Yahoo Group Archive: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)

Yahoo Group summary: Scanning enthusiasts in Bay area

These Yahoo Groups messages were archived in WARC format by ArchiveTeam in 2016. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the WARC files in 2026.

Date span: 2005-01-01 through 2005-05-31

Source WARC: yahoo-groups-2016-02-01T12:27:03Z-ffd4nq.warc.gz

Source item: https://archive.org/details/yahoo-groups-2016-02-01T12-27-03Z-ffd4nq

Messages included in this PDF: 4788

Message records with no body available in the source archive: 4

Yahoo replaced the domain portions of email addresses with @... in the archived messages. Characters lost in Yahoo's archived text appear as ?.

Messages are presented in ascending Yahoo message-ID order. Gaps indicate records absent from the source WARC or messages omitted from this PDF as spam.

35279. Re: [bayscan] BART talkgroups?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 01:21:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35268 Message: 35279

The 02-021 thru 02-037 are train operations. 00-001 thru 00-011 are also train ops , don't ask me the differance , i don't know

Police are 00-021 thru 00-035

Fire use 00-041 - 0044 SFFD/ 0045-0050 Oakland/00-051 - 00-054 Berkeley and 00-055 - 00-060 Contra Costa. Don't have any for San Mateo County , although I know they have multiple HHs for their use if need be. Stations ops for BART are on 04-021 thru 04-027

Kelty has 1-1/2 pages of Talkgroups for them , SU has the new 2005 book for Golden Gate.....good reference.

Ed

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35280. Re: [bayscan] let the parties begin .....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 01:24:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35272 Message: 35280

yeah, what are the chances of " sneaking it in " LOL , not to mention having
a " few" before/ after..... hope its peaceful, we'll see.

I mentioned this before, but Friday is the most dangerous night of the week
on the highways. Add New Years eve' and the rain AND
alcohol.....good night to sit on the couch and fire up' them scanner.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35281. OT-- the "other" bay... NYPD feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 01:27:53 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35281 Message: 35281

Listening to the teamspeak feed on scannerbuff.net
radio.scannerbuff.net:8767

Looks like they dropped the registration requirement for
the night BTW.

NYPD feed is starting to get REALLY busy.

Some impromptu protest crowd that they are trying to get
under control, amongst all the Time's Square crowd mess.

35282. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 / Trunking Question?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 01:41:09 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35242 Message: 35282

At 08:07 PM 12/30/2004, you wrote:
>Can an agency initiate a Code 33 beep/tone over a trunked system?

I don't see why not, from a technical standpoint...

Evan

35283. San Diego / Southern Cal

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 01:55:22 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35283 Message: 35283

First , Southern Cal is really getting hit hard by the storms,
check out CHP/los Angeles or San Diego at times

Firefeeds.com has San Diego City Fire Department and uses the
following channels

7A 7B 7C 7E 7F 7H 7I 8A 8B 8D 8E 8G 8H 9A 9B 9D 9E 9G 9H and

the following lifeguard TGs
Dispatch , River Rescue, River Rescue Tac , Tacs 1 thru 7

Been listening to it the past 1/2 hour / loud and clear and fairly
busy.

Ed

35284. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 / Trunking Question?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 01:50:18 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35242 Message: 35284

I think that Oakland did that (well they use to on the old VHF system, I know I'm showing my age)
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, December 31, 2004 17:41
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 / Trunking Question?

At 08:07 PM 12/30/2004, you wrote:
>Can an agency initiate a Code 33 beep/tone over a trunked system?

I don't see why not, from a technical standpoint...

Evan

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35285. Re: USAR Teams to go to Disaster Areas

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 02:32:56 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35222 Message: 35285

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Seems what they need is heavy equipment, need to make airstrips and some
> roads because lack of those is hampering getting water, food and other supplies to
> the people. Now how they get the heavy equipment to the areas, especially
> the islands is another huge problem.....
>
> ED

That's why we're sending the Third Marine Expeditionary Force, which includes several engineering battalions. They'll be able to get their equipment off the LPD's with airfoil landing craft or helicopters. Certainly a better use of resources than sending a few USAR team members to do what the military already does best.

Regards, Jim

35286. Re: [bayscan] BART talkgroups?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 02:56:17 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35268 Message: 35286

Thanks much Ed. I won't have too much time to listen tonight, have to go play a music gig in North Beach but will take the scanner for trip too and from the gig. Remember to delay your Happy New Year countdown a nanosecond or so to account for the rotational change of the earth brought on by last weeks Great Quake.
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, December 31, 2004 5:21 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] BART talkgroups?

>
> The 02-021 thru 02-037 are train operations. 00-001 thru 00-011 are also
> train ops , don't ask me the differance , i don't know
>
> Police are 00-021 thru 00-035
>
> Fire use 00-041 - 0044 SFFD/ 0045-0050 Oakland/00-051 - 00-054 Berkeley and
> 00-055 - 00-060 Contra Costa. Don't have any for San Mateo County , although
> I know they have multiple HHs for their use if need be. Stations ops for
> BART are on 04-021 thru 04-027
>
> Kelty has 1-1/2 pages of Talkgroups for them , SU has the new 2005 book for
> Golden Gate.....good reference.
>
> Ed
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

35287. BART TalkGroups

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 03:07:27 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35287 Message: 35287

Sorry guys, I should have just checked my own files. I saved Ed's email to a folder and found the following file. Of course it could be out of date, but from the looks of it, I think it's probably right. Jim

Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) TRS (Ericcson EDACS) (Original information given by Toni S.)
(Complete Update!)
866.075, 866.725, 866.8875, 867.375, 867.6, 867.8, 867.85, 867.9625, 868.15, 868.6875
Talk group info (most of the ID's have been gratefully furnished by Cy, cmoy@...):
Exclusive!
00-001 Trains
00-002 Trains
00-003 Trains
00-004 Trains
00-005 Trains
00-006 Trains
00-007 Trains
00-010
00-021 BART Police Service
00-022 BPD Main
00-023 BPD Intercom
00-024 BPD COM (workers link to PD dispatch)
00-025 BPD Tac 1
00-026 BPD Tac 2
00-027 BPD Tac 3
00-028 BPD Tac 4
00-030
00-032
00-033
00-037 Fire Command
00-038 Fire Tac 2
00-039 Fire Tac 3
00-040 Fire Tac 4
00-041 Fire Tac 5
00-042 Fire Tac 6
00-043 Fire Tac 7
00-044 Fire Tac 8
00-045 Fire Tac 9
00-046 Fire Tac 10
00-047 Fire Tac 11
00-048 Fire Tac 12
00-049 Fire Tac 13
02-021 OPS (Home Ops-emergency button willl automatically go to home

ops.)
02-022
02-025
02-026
02-030 Colma Turn Back
02-031 Dublin Turn Back
02-032 Bay Point Turn Back
02-033 Fremont Turn Back
02-034 Richmond Turn Back
02-037 Power/Support (BART's Central Maintainence)
02-061 Concord Tower
02-062 Daly City Tower
02-063 Hayward Tower
02-064 Richmond Tower
02-081 Hayward Test Tracks
02-101 Concord Administration
02-102 Daly City Admin.
02-104 Richmond TM Zone
02-121"Tango 1" (on board train technicians)
02-122 Tango 2
02-141 Power & Way 1
02-142 Power & Way 2
03-001 BART 1 (engineers)
03-002 BART 2
03-003 BART 3
03-004 Incident Command 1 (channel for any emergency-medical calls,
accidents, fire, etc.)
03-005 IC 2 (backup channnel)
03-021 Richmond Shop
03-041 Hayward Shop
03-061 Daly City Shop
03-081 Concord Shop
03-101 Building and Grounds Maintainence
03-102 Radio technicians/Communications
03-103 Power/Mechanical
03-104 Train Control Techs.
03-105 Track & Structure
03-121 Maintainence 1
03-122 Maintainence 2
03-123 Maintainence 3
03-124 Maintainence 4
03-125 Maintainence 5
03-126 Maintainence 6
03-127 Maintainence 7
03-128 Maintainence 8
03-129 Maintainence 9
03-130 Maintainence 10
03-131 Maintainence 11
03-132 Maintainence 12
04-021 Line Supervisor

04-022
04-025 Station Agents
06-041 Test channel

35288. Re: New San diego RCS feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 03:32:40 UTC
From: calidude692
Topic: 35278 Message: 35288

For clarification, that is the San Diego City system and not the
County RCS. RCS is the 800 system for everybody but San Diego City.

Jeff

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
>
> Thanks to Jose at scansandiego.net we now have a link to a good SD
RCS
> site.. lemme know if you guys have any problems connecting. Its a
winamp
> feed also..
>
> Ben
> www.mattlogic.com

35289. Oakland/EDACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 04:25:47 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35289 Message: 35289

Looks like 02-05{1,2,3,4} are in use for the DUI/extra tows. And very busy :-)

Possible that they are lined up with Patrol 1/2/3/4 ...

Also: references to "tows on Tac 9" ... which i guess could be 02-054?

35290. Re: [bayscan] Re: New San diego RCS feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 03:36:12 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35278 Message: 35290

yeah just found that out.. thanks

calidude692 wrote:

>
> For clarification, that is the San Diego City system and not the
> County RCS. RCS is the 800 system for everybody but San Diego City.
>
> Jeff
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> >
> > Thanks to Jose at scansandiego.net we now have a link to a good SD
> RCS
> > site.. lemme know if you guys have any problems connecting. Its a
> winamp
> > feed also..
> >
> > Ben
> > www.mattlogic.com
>
>
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129468e3j/M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1104636763/A=2434971/R=0/SIG=11eeoolb0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0185400>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

>
>

35291. double shooting EPA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 06:43:26 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35291 Message: 35291

Garden / Ralmar first victim 22yr old male shot in stomach
with a though and though wound exiting the back. truama alert code 3
to Stanford. Now with 2nd victim shot in leg. these 2 " may " have
shot each other , not confirmed. req Detectives , CSI etc etc

EPAPD 488.3875
Menlo Fire 154.37 (control 2)

note, getting a few reports of shots fired here and there in city ,
some maybe fireworks. it usually starts up big time in about an hour

2243 hours
Ed

35292. Re: [bayscan] BART talkgroups?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 07:01:04 UTC
From: Ross Mutzelburg
Topic: 35268 Message: 35292

Qiuck question - I see this is an EDACS system, but I though SFFD was on a Motorola Type II. I'm not sure I understand?!

Thanks,

Ross (in Australia)

caladded@... wrote:

The 02-021 thru 02-037 are train operations. 00-001 thru 00-011 are also train ops , don't ask me the difference , i don't know

Police are 00-021 thru 00-035

Fire use 00-041 - 0044 SFFD/ 0045-0050 Oakland/00-051 - 00-054 Berkeley and 00-055 - 00-060 Contra Costa. Don't have any for San Mateo County , although I know they have multiple HHs for their use if need be. Stations ops for BART are on 04-021 thru 04-027

Kelty has 1-1/2 pages of Talkgroups for them , SU has the new 2005 book for Golden Gate.....good reference.

Ed

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

Regards,

Ross

Find local movie times and trailers on Yahoo! Movies.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35293. Re: [bayscan] BART talkgroups?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 07:08:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35268 Message: 35293

Correct and Correct.....the SFFD talkgroups I refered to are for the BART radios that the SFFD uses for a BART incident, same is true for the other agencies.....Berkeley Fire and Con Fire are conventional and use the EDACS Tgs for BART. BART also has EDACS radios for San Mateo county fire agencies like Millbrae,San Bruno , North County Fire for use in BART incidents. For SFFD communications with each other and their dispatch, they are their own radios and that is Moto 2.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35294. Re: [bayscan] BART talkgroups?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 07:21:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35268 Message: 35294

To add to this. These radios (BART-Edacs) could be of great use for SFFD and Oakland to communicate with each other for a transbay incident such as the one they had several years ago that resulted in the death of an OFD Lieutenant. Not that radios did or did not have to do with his death, he ran out of air. I never saw the official report of why that happened.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35295. Re: [bayscan] BART talkgroups?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 07:30:00 UTC
From: Ross Mutzelburg
Topic: 35268 Message: 35295

Got it!

caladded@... wrote:
Correct and Correct.....the SFFD talkgroups I refered to are for
the BART radios that the SFFD uses for a BART incident, same is true for the
other agencies.....Berkeley Fire and Con Fire are conventional and use the EDACS
Tgs for BART. BART also has EDACS radios for San Mateo county fire agencies
like Millbrae,San Bruno , North County Fire for use in BART incidents. For
SFFD communications with each other and their dispatch, they are their own
radios and that is Moto 2.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

Regards,

Ross

Find local movie times and trailers on Yahoo! Movies.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35296. chase 155.310

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 09:58:05 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 35296 Message: 35296

Chase now on 155.310 Oakland and Coco SO..

1:57PM

Robert
BayScan

35297. SF super busy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 10:11:02 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35297 Message: 35297

for those still up , monitoring Bens' feed SFPD and SFFD especially
EMS is swapped with calls , keep running out of ambulances.

Ed

0210 hours

35298. RE: [bayscan] chase 155.310

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 10:12:59 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35296 Message: 35298

The first post of 2005! :-)

Anything new on this chase Robert?

-Roger in San Rafael 2:12AM
-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@... [mailto:bayscan@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 01, 2005 1:58 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] chase 155.310

Chase now on 155.310 Oakland and Coco SO..

1:57PM

Robert
BayScan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35299. FW: [bayscan] chase 155.310

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 10:43:01 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35299 Message: 35299

Well, the second anyway...Ed beat me to it. :-)

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 01, 2005 2:13 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] chase 155.310

The first post of 2005! :-)

Anything new on this chase Robert?

-Roger in San Rafael 2:12AM
-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@... [mailto:bayscan@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 01, 2005 1:58 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] chase 155.310

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35300. Re: early start on partying

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 16:37:13 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 35277 Message: 35300

Probably English :)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> I heard El Cerrito PD pull a car over on San Pablo Ave. a few
minutes ago
> for driving on the wrong side of the street. Someone got an early
start!

35301. pc scanner managment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 16:46:17 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35301 Message: 35301

happy new year everybody, thanks for all the teaching and patience with
a newcomer.

does anyone have any experience with/ thoughts about scancontrol
sofware as an alternative to butel?

nina

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35302. Re: pc scanner managment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 17:51:07 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35301 Message: 35302

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> happy new year everybody, thanks for all the teaching and patience
with
> a newcomer.
>
> does anyone have any experience with/ thoughts about scancontrol
> sofware as an alternative to butel?
>
> nina

Nina,

I think there are five basic choices:

1. Butel
2. Scancontrol
3. EScanner
4. ScanCat
5. WinScan

Not being a Uniden user, I only know what I read about these programs so I'm sure other will be of more help. It seems the EScanner, which is made by Uniden, is clearly the worst of the bunch. Butel and WinScan seem to get the best reviews although WinScan is apparently better for computer control of your Uniden compared to just managing frequency lists. I'm sure others will jump in.

BTW, it was amazingly quiet up here last night. It usually sounds like a war zone fo a half hour before and after midnight. We had some fireworks and other "noisemakers for about five minutes at midnight and then quiet. Nothing happening on the scanner either. Maybe it was the weather but it was a little strange.

Regards, Jim

35303. Re: [bayscan] Re: pc scanner managment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 18:08:12 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35301 Message: 35303

thanks jim, i only have very limited familiarity with butel. but i
understand that scancontrol works on the rs 2052.

does anything else talk to both rs/uniden?

nina
On Saturday, Jan 1, 2005, at 09:51 US/Pacific, jjcooke@... wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>> happy new year everybody, thanks for all the teaching and patience
> with
>> a newcomer.
>>
>> does anyone have any experience with/ thoughts about scancontrol
>> sofware as an alternative to butel?
>>
>> nina
>
> Nina,
>
> I think there are five basic choices:
>
> 1. Butel
> 2. Scancontrol
> 3. EScanner
> 4. ScanCat
> 5. WinScan
>
> Not being a Uniden user, I only know what I read about these programs
> so I'm sure other will be of more help. It seems the EScanner, which
> is made by Uniden, is clearly the worst of the bunch. Butel and
> WinScan seem to get the best reviews although WinScan is apparently
> better for computer control of your Uniden compared to just managing
> frequency lists. I'm sure others will jump in.
>
> BTW, it was amazingly quiet up here last night. It usually sounds
> like a war zone fo a half hour before and after midnight. We had some
> fireworks and other "noisemakers for about five minutes at midnight
> and then quiet. Nothing happening on the scanner either. Maybe it was
> the weather but it was a little strange.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>

==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35304. Re: [bayscan] Re: pc scanner managment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 19:06:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35301 Message: 35304

Nina , also trunkstar .....www.scanstar.com I like it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35305. Fire in S.Mateo county now a 3rd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 19:58:00 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35305 Message: 35305

this is in Palomar park / foothills on San Carlos/Redwood City area

321 Palomar.....staging at Clifford School , 225 Palomar

arson fire/ well involved residence

1st alarm E18 16 10 T9 BC4

2nd alarm E12 E17 E8 E217 T9 BC3 BC15 Breath.Support 217 Cm 1

3rd alarm E3 - 7 - 14 T1 BC 2

Control 1 / 153.89
Control 5/ 156.105
Sheriffs/ TG 13840

35306. Re: [bayscan] Fire in S.Mateo county now a 3rd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 20:03:12 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35305 Message: 35306

how do we know that it is arson?
On Saturday, Jan 1, 2005, at 11:58 US/Pacific, Ed wrote:

>
>
>
> this is in Palomar park / foothills on San Carlos/Redwood City area
>
> 321 Palomar.....staging at Clifford School , 225 Palomar
>
> arson fire/ well involved residence
>
>
> 1st alarm E18 16 10 T9 BC4
>
> 2nd alarm E12 E17 E8 E217 T9 BC3 BC15 Breath.Support 217 Cm 1
>
> 3rd alarm E3 - 7 - 14 T1 BC 2
>
> Control 1 / 153.89
> Control 5/ 156.105
> Sheriffs/ TG 13840
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35307. Re: [bayscan] Fire in S.Mateo county now a 3rd alarm ////// update 1205 hour

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 20:09:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35307 Message: 35307

Arson ? - Nina , Prior fires in area, man seen running from house just before flames seen. (I have heard of at least 2 other fires right near this myself) Sheriffs and Arson team WELL aware of this situation

Structure fully involved. E11 replaced E3 on 3rd alarm

this is on San Mateo Thomas Brothers map page 749 G6

those not familiar , this is slightly N/W of Alameda De Las Palmas/Edgewood.

Not far from Sequoia Hospital and Canada College

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35308. oops , meant Alameda de las PULGAS not palmas EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 20:14:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35308 Message: 35308

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35309. Re: [bayscan] Fire in S.Mateo county now a 3rd alarm ////// update 1211

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-01 20:12:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35309 Message: 35309

Hmm , CHP rolling to assist in traffic control , AND CHP website shows a suspect in custody.....missed that on S/O channel.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35310. Re: [bayscan] Fire in S.Mateo county now a 3rd alarm ////// update 1205 hour

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-02 04:31:18 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35307 Message: 35310

those details are hard to quarrel with -- wasn't aware of them...

what is the latest?

On Saturday, Jan 1, 2005, at 12:09 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

>
>
> Arson ? - Nina , Prior fires in area, man seen running from
> house just
> before flames seen. (I have heard of at least 2 other fires right
> near this
> myself) Sheriffs and Arson team WELL aware of this situation
>
> Structure fully involved. E11 replaced E3 on 3rd alarm
>
> this is on San Mateo Thomas Brothers map page 749 G6
>
> those not familiar , this is slightly N/W of Alameda De Las
> Palmas/Edgewood.
>
> Not far from Sequoia Hospital and Canada College
>
> ED

==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35311. Fully Involved Barn/Garage Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-02 10:54:49 UTC
From: Fast Eddie
Topic: 35311 Message: 35311

1600 block of Hill St., Novato

46.36
Tac Red 46.46

Don't have the digital going on this one.

30 ft. flames ripping out the top of structure.

Fire under control by now (02:55)

35312. Valota Road Redwood City all day?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-03 02:05:02 UTC
From: ellenchandler
Topic: 35312 Message: 35312

I heard some stuff on the scanner about a swat team at Valota Rd, then I heard they were closing off the street. Then, on Firedispatch.com, they list a medical aid on Valota, and its been on the active list all day.

Anybody know what happened?

35313. PRO-96 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-03 05:20:26 UTC
From: Brian Verhalen
Topic: 35313 Message: 35313

Some time ago someone posted a link that had the details of what all of the items on the display were. I thought it was something from Star but I could not find anything on Win-96. If someone still has that would you please post it?
Thanks.
Brian

35314. Re: PRO-96 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-03 05:49:17 UTC
From: gariac450
Topic: 35313 Message: 35314

The file is in this directory
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner/files/4phun/
Download "Pro-96Display.pdf"
You will probably have to join the group, which is a good idea anyway.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Brian Verhalen <brianv@p...> wrote:
> Some time ago someone posted a link that had the details of what all
of the
> items on the display were. I thought it was something from Star but
I could
> not find anything on Win-96. If someone still has that would you please
> post it?
> Thanks.
> Brian

35315. Another new MERA TG 24016

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-03 20:17:16 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35315 Message: 35315

I'm hearing traffic but cant tell if it's the Radio shop or what yet. I'll post if I learn more...

Below is a list of unidentified MERA TG's I've collected so far. If anyone monitotring MERA can identify any of the below TG's please post. Thanks!

-Roger 12:17PM

8320 (?)
11600 (PD?)
20031 (?)
24016 (?)

24080 (?)

26320 (?)
40816 (?)
63120 Rangers (?)
63216 Radio Shop? (?)
734349 (?)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35316. Re: Valota Road Redwood City all day?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-03 21:49:32 UTC
From: bush_sh1t
Topic: 35312 Message: 35316

http://www.kcbs.com/pages/kcbs/news/news_story.nsp?story_id=62710339

:)

35317. High Rise Fire .....SF ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 01:13:43 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35317 Message: 35317

Battalion 3/ Engine 35 & Truck 13 rolled on an alarm at 55 Spear at Market. Reported smoke on 42nd floor , now requesting full 1st alarm.....we'll see where this goes

A1/ 14800
A13/ 15184

1713hrs
Ed

35318. Re: High Rise Fire .....SF ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 01:28:25 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35317 Message: 35318

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
>
>
> Battalion 3/ Engine 35 & Truck 13 rolled on an alarm at 55
Spear
> at Market. Reported smoke on 42nd floor , now requesting full 1st
> alarm.....we'll see where this goes
>
> A1/ 14800
> A13/ 15184
>
> 1713hrs
>

1729 hours >> doesn't sound like much.....sending units
home

35319. Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 01:42:59 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35319 Message: 35319

Twin Cities PD calling out the Coroner and Detectives on Drake Ave in I believe Larkspur. I'm not sure what happened surrounding all this...

Twin Cities MERA TG 58448

-Roger 17:42

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35320. Re: [bayscan] Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 01:48:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35319 Message: 35320

boy if its a 187 , its sure unusual for that area.

I see some guy died via taser in Pacifica last night ., I heard lot of traffic on their channel. didn't know for sure that was it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35321. Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 04:08:53 UTC
From: Fast Eddie
Topic: 35319 Message: 35321

Medical - Cardiac

no merrit to 187

Sir Francis Drake Blvd.

> Twin Cities PD calling out the Coroner and Detectives on Drake Ave
in I
> believe Larkspur. I'm not sure what happened surrounding all this...
>
> Twin Cities MERA TG 58448
>
> -Roger 17:42
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35322. RE: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 04:37:57 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35319 Message: 35322

Hmmm, I heard a Coroner call and a call for Marin Detectives to come
out...one detective was stuck in traffic coming from Sonoma and one
Detective was stuck in traffic coming from the east bay.

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@...]
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:09 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Medical - Cardiac

no merrit to 187

Sir Francis Drake Blvd.

> Twin Cities PD calling out the Coroner and Detectives on Drake Ave
in I
> believe Larkspur. I'm not sure what happened surrounding all this...
>
> Twin Cities MERA TG 58448
>
> -Roger 17:42
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35323. Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 04:41:12 UTC
From: Fast Eddie
Topic: 35319 Message: 35323

I called Twin Cities P.D. and the guy who answered the phone said it
was a medical, but he may have been giving me bogus info.

> Hmmm, I heard a Coroner call and a call for Marin Detectives to come
> out...one detective was stuck in traffic coming from Sonoma and one
> Detective was stuck in traffic coming from the east bay.
>
> -Roger
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@e...]
> Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:09 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur
>
>
>
> Medical - Cardiac
>
> no merrit to 187
>
> Sir Francis Drake Blvd.
>
>
> > Twin Cities PD calling out the Coroner and Detectives on Drake
Ave
> in I
> > believe Larkspur. I'm not sure what happened surrounding all
this...
> >
> > Twin Cities MERA TG 58448
> >
> > -Roger 17:42
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35324. RE: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 04:48:36 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35319 Message: 35324

Yeah, thanks, we'll see as the story develops....I imagine it will be in the Independent Journal.

-Roger 20:47
-----Original Message-----
From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@...]
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:41 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

I called Twin Cities P.D. and the guy who answered the phone said it was a medical, but he may have been giving me bogus info.

> Hmmm, I heard a Coroner call and a call for Marin Detectives to come
> out...one detective was stuck in traffic coming from Sonoma and one
> Detective was stuck in traffic coming from the east bay.
>
> -Roger
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@e...]
> Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:09 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur
>
>
>
> Medical - Cardiac
>
> no merrit to 187
>
> Sir Francis Drake Blvd.
>
>
> > Twin Cities PD calling out the Coroner and Detectives on Drake Ave
> in I
> > believe Larkspur. I'm not sure what happened surrounding all this...
> >
> > Twin Cities MERA TG 58448
> >
> > -Roger 17:42
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

>
>
>
>
> --

> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35325. Re: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 04:50:13 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35319 Message: 35325

sounds like the guy was giving themselves some distance from possible
media sniffing

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> Yeah, thanks, we'll see as the story develops....I imagine it will be
> in the
> Independent Journal.
>
> -Roger 20:47
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@...]
> Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:41 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur
>
>
>
> I called Twin Cities P.D. and the guy who answered the phone said it
> was a medical, but he may have been giving me bogus info.
>
>
> > Hmmm, I heard a Coroner call and a call for Marin Detectives to come
> > out...one detective was stuck in traffic coming from Sonoma and one
> > Detective was stuck in traffic coming from the east bay.
> >
> > -Roger
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@e...]
> > Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:09 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur
> >
> >
> >
> > Medical - Cardiac
> >
> > no merrit to 187
> >
> > Sir Francis Drake Blvd.
> >
> >
> > > Twin Cities PD calling out the Coroner and Detectives on Drake
> Ave
> > in I

> > > believe Larkspur. I'm not sure what happened surrounding all
> this...
> > >
> > > Twin Cities MERA TG 58448
> > >
> > > -Roger 17:42
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> --------
> > --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35326. Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 16:16:21 UTC
From: gariac450
Topic: 35319 Message: 35326

I've never done this, but I know it is possible to buy the police reports. I had spent a God awful long time in the Sunnyvale PD foyer once waiting to give my statement on a bank robbery and the procedure to get police reports was posted on the bulletin board.

Now that California has something similar to a FOIA (freedom of information act), this may be easier to do.

BTW, what is the other twin city?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> sounds like the guy was giving themselves some distance from possible
> media sniffing
>
> Roger Strohmeyer wrote:
>
> > Yeah, thanks, we'll see as the story develops....I imagine it will be
> > in the
> > Independent Journal.
> >
> > -Roger 20:47
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@e...]
> > Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:41 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur
> >
> >
> >
> > I called Twin Cities P.D. and the guy who answered the phone said it
> > was a medical, but he may have been giving me bogus info.
> >
> >
> > > Hmmm, I heard a Coroner call and a call for Marin Detectives
to come
> > > out...one detective was stuck in traffic coming from Sonoma
and one
> > > Detective was stuck in traffic coming from the east bay.
> > >
> > > -Roger
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@e...]
> > > Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:09 PM
> > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Medical - Cardiac
> > >
> > > no merrit to 187
> > >
> > > Sir Francis Drake Blvd.
> > >
> > >
> > > > Twin Cities PD calling out the Coroner and Detectives on Drake
> > Ave
> > > in I
> > > > believe Larkspur. I'm not sure what happened surrounding all
> > this...
> > > >
> > > > Twin Cities MERA TG 58448
> > > >
> > > > -Roger 17:42
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > --------
> > > --
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
Terms of
> > Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >

> > --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

35327. Re: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 16:20:58 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 35319 Message: 35327

Corte Madera
----- Original Message -----
From: gariac450
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 04, 2005 8:16 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

I've never done this, but I know it is possible to buy the police
reports. I had spent a God awful long time in the Sunnyvale PD foyer
once waiting to give my statement on a bank robbery and the procedure
to get police reports was posted on the bulletin board.

Now that California has something similar to a FOIA (freedom of
information act), this may be easier to do.

BTW, what is the other twin city?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> sounds like the guy was giving themselves some distance from possible
> media sniffing
>
> Roger Strohmeyer wrote:
>
> > Yeah, thanks, we'll see as the story develops....I imagine it will be
> > in the
> > Independent Journal.
> >
> > -Roger 20:47
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@e...]
> > Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:41 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur
> >
> >
> >
> > I called Twin Cities P.D. and the guy who answered the phone said it
> > was a medical, but he may have been giving me bogus info.
> >
> >
> > > Hmmm, I heard a Coroner call and a call for Marin Detectives
to come
> > > out...one detective was stuck in traffic coming from Sonoma
and one
> > > Detective was stuck in traffic coming from the east bay.
> > >

> > > -Roger
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: Fast Eddie [mailto:alee2001@e...]
> > > Sent: Monday, January 03, 2005 8:09 PM
> > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Medical - Cardiac
> > >
> > > no merrit to 187
> > >
> > > Sir Francis Drake Blvd.
> > >
> > >
> > > > Twin Cities PD calling out the Coroner and Detectives on Drake
> > Ave
> > > > in I
> > > > believe Larkspur. I'm not sure what happened surrounding all
> > this...
> > > > >
> > > > Twin Cities MERA TG 58448
> > > > >
> > > > -Roger 17:42
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > --------
> > > --
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
Terms of
> > Service.
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> > --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35328. Yaesu FT-8800R

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 16:29:01 UTC
From: brianc_95008
Topic: 35328 Message: 35328

Hi all,

I know some of the folks on this group are hams and would like to know if any of you are running the new Yaesu FT-8800R mobile 2M/440 rig and what you think of it?

I know it's not exactly "scanning" related, although this radio will receive way out of band, but I thought I'd throw the question out there before I go buy this radio.

Thanks in advance!

Brian in Campbell
K6SCC

35329. Re: [bayscan] Valota Road Redwood City all day?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 16:34:01 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35312 Message: 35329

news last night said Redwood city PD shot and killed suspect with knife

----- Original Message -----
From: ellenchandler
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 02, 2005 6:05 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Valota Road Redwood City all day?

I heard some stuff on the scanner about a swat team at Valota Rd, then
I heard they were closing off the street. Then, on Firedispatch.com,
they list a medical aid on Valota, and its been on the active list all
day.

Anybody know what happened?

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35330. Re: [bayscan] Yaesu FT-8800R

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 17:01:55 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35328 Message: 35330

I think this is not at all off topic! Ham gear can fill in some nice spots and compliment scanners. I'm pretty sure that I listen to channels on my ham radios that I'm not licensed to transmit on more than ones I am :-}

> I know it's not exactly "scanning" related, although this
> radio will receive way out of band ...

And how! I have one in the Berkeley hills and the other night I was chatting with a pal of mine in Mtn. View. He asked me if I could hear MVPD on 482.5125; I dialed it into my 780. I have one of these on my roof:

http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT9.html

I heard nothing, even with the squelch off. I have good cable, not too long. I can hear aircraft for 250+ miles. I got zip.

Then I tried my FT-8800R and noticed that I could only do 482.510 because the Yaesu only goes down to 5kHz steps, but I receive them loud and clear. I have a Diamond X6000A on the roof:

http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/hamants/1486.html

It has better cable because it needs to support 23cm, but still. And the combination of this radio and the antenna seems to pull in VHF/Air better (even with a duplexer that should be cutting down on that band) in some cases than the scanner.

I think there are a bunch of radios in this class/price, and it might just come down to what you're used to or if there's a particular feature you're looking for. I have no complaints so far, but if I were doing it again I just might wait until the Kenwood TM-V708A ships: it's the same radio as the TM-D700 but without the APRS stuff. Since I have a TM-D700 in my truck, I'd love to have the same interface at home, and of course the display is beautiful. But that's me.

/jordan

35331. Re: [bayscan] Yaesu FT-8800R

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 16:43:12 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35328 Message: 35331

now has been replaced by the FT-7800 which will do the Cross Band repeat.

I had a FT-8100M which was a much better radio with one of the best front ends in a mobile radio of that type(receivers)

when SFFD was on T-band (before the current trunking system) I would get a TV station audio alone with SFFD and made Control unusable for me and the only radio that would just listen without the bleed over was my FT-8100, I had hard times for a while and sold it (BIG MISTAKE) now I'm running mostly Kenwood VHF/UHF and Yaesu H/F gear and all of my H/T's are Motorola.

I have a few thing about the Yaesu line of radios if you would like contact me off list (and no I don't work for Yaesu but I'm a ham)
----- Original Message -----
From: brianc_95008
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 04, 2005 08:29
Subject: [bayscan] Yaesu FT-8800R <A little off topic>

Hi all,

I know some of the folks on this group are hams and would like to
know if any of you are running the new Yaesu FT-8800R mobile 2M/440
rig and what you think of it?

I know it's not exactly "scanning" related, although this radio will
receive way out of band, but I thought I'd throw the question out
there before I go buy this radio.

Thanks in advance!

Brian in Campbell
K6SCC

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35332. Re: [bayscan] Yaesu FT-8800R

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 17:34:23 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35328 Message: 35332

> now has been replaced by the FT-7800 which will do the
> Cross Band repeat.

I think you've got this backwards: the FT-8800R will cross-band-repeat while the FT-7800R will not. The 7800 is also $100 cheaper, perhaps reflecting this.

/jordan

35333. Fremont Fire .....probable drill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 20:01:15 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35333 Message: 35333

Sounds fairly real , but I am betting its a drill. Structure Fire
with fire fighter down, activating RIT team etc.

its on Tac 15 (48912) which they rarely use which is why I believe
its a drill.

Ed
1201 hours

35334. Re: [bayscan] Fremont Fire .....probable drill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 20:02:48 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35333 Message: 35334

its a multicompany. multi agency drill with alco.

Ed wrote:

>
>
> Sounds fairly real , but I am betting its a drill. Structure Fire
> with fire fighter down, activating RIT team etc.
>
> its on Tac 15 (48912) which they rarely use which is why I believe
> its a drill.
>
> Ed
> 1201 hours
>
>
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129m91eqq/M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1104955295/A=2495202/R=0/SIG=11evjk50a/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60188913>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35335. Re: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 20:07:08 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 35319 Message: 35335

Bryan Carmody <nbaynews2@...> wrote:

Corte Madera
----- Original Message -----
From: gariac450
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 04, 2005 8:16 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Possible homicide in Larkspur

BTW, what is the other twin city?

Larkspur/ Corte Madera

J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35336. A couple of more new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 20:31:26 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35336 Message: 35336

709620 (Encrypted so far)
707545 (?)

Looks like MERA is going 6 digits all of the sudden with their TG's...so far anyway.

Also, heard Radio Shop testing on TG 63120 again...for the past few months this TG seems to have a lot of testing going on sometimes and other times it sounds like Rangers of some sort.

-Roger in San Rafael 12:31

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35337. oakland MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 21:16:44 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35337 Message: 35337

AMR rig at 70th ave and International requesting 3 additional ambulances for an MVA. on TG 21360 , not sure which TG Oakland Fire is using

1314 hours

ED

35338. Re: [bayscan] Yaesu FT-8800R

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 20:44:41 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35328 Message: 35338

I stand corrected
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 04, 2005 09:34
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Yaesu FT-8800R <A little off topic>

> now has been replaced by the FT-7800 which will do the
> Cross Band repeat.

I think you've got this backwards: the FT-8800R will cross-band-repeat
while the FT-7800R will not. The 7800 is also $100 cheaper, perhaps
reflecting this.

/jordan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35339. Re: [bayscan] Yaesu FT-8800R

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-04 21:39:23 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 35328 Message: 35339

Thanks, all. I just picked up a new one today from HRO in Sunnyvale.

' 73

Brian
K6SCC

On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 12:44:41 -0800, Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
>
> I stand corrected
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Jordan Hayes
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, January 04, 2005 09:34
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Yaesu FT-8800R <A little off topic>
>
> > now has been replaced by the FT-7800 which will do the
> > Cross Band repeat.
>
> I think you've got this backwards: the FT-8800R will cross-band-repeat
> while the FT-7800R will not. The 7800 is also $100 cheaper, perhaps
> reflecting this.
>
> /jordan
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

35340. Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-05 20:09:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35340 Message: 35340

there is a yahoo group for this scanner , due out late summer / early fall ,
looks pretty neat. Also a press release on Unidens site.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35341. Re: [bayscan] Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-05 20:25:55 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 35340 Message: 35341

Hi Ed,

No, I don't see any group for the scanner ----- yet. I too read the
press release, looks like a good little radio but no mention of it
handling trunked systems.

Bummer.

Brian in Campbell

On Wed, 5 Jan 2005 15:09:06 EST, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
> there is a yahoo group for this scanner , due out late summer / early fall ,
> looks pretty neat. Also a press release on Unidens site.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

35342. Re: [bayscan] Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-05 20:29:41 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35340 Message: 35342

At 12:25 PM 1/5/2005, you wrote:
>Hi Ed,
>
>No, I don't see any group for the scanner ----- yet. I too read the
>press release, looks like a good little radio but no mention of it
>handling trunked systems.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/BCD396T/

:)

Evan

35343. Excuse me, can you have your fire another time? I'm busy.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-05 20:56:45 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35343 Message: 35343

Sunnyvale FD responding to a reported Commercial fire @ Del Monte restaurant on Murphy Avenue.

"RP in the restaurant calling from a cell phone reports a light ballast is on fire."
A responding fire engine requests dispatch to call the restaurant.
Dispatch: "Person who answered the phone said they weren't sure what was going on, and they were too busy to check as they had a bunch of customers to help."

Yeah.

FD just evacuated, attempting to look for the source.

Sunnyvale FD: 482.7125

1256 hrs

35344. Where to buy the BC-246T in the bay area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-05 22:59:35 UTC
From: n9ohw_california
Topic: 35344 Message: 35344

Hi group,

Does anyone know who has the BC-246T in stock in the bay area? As of
the week before Christmas, HRO in Sunnyvale didn't.

Can anyone give a quick comparison to the Pro-95? (I have that one.)
Does anyone have the sensitivity/selectivity specs on the BC-246T?

Thanks,
Mike

35345. Re: [bayscan] Where to buy the BC-246T in the bay area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-05 23:00:43 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35344 Message: 35345

www.scannersunlimited.com

n9ohw_california wrote:

>
> Hi group,
>
> Does anyone know who has the BC-246T in stock in the bay area? As of
> the week before Christmas, HRO in Sunnyvale didn't.
>
> Can anyone give a quick comparison to the Pro-95? (I have that one.)
> Does anyone have the sensitivity/selectivity specs on the BC-246T?
>
> Thanks,
> Mike
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35346. Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 01:53:05 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35346 Message: 35346

Any help would be appreciated?

35347. Re: [bayscan] Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 02:50:54 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 35346 Message: 35347

http://groups.yahoo.com/search?query=911+dispatchers&submit=Search

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35348. Re: [bayscan] Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 04:55:33 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35346 Message: 35348

911console-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

hey, this is a pretty good one and seems to be very active

35349. Re: [bayscan] Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 04:57:00 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35346 Message: 35349

thanks just subscribed to it..

Boulrog@... wrote:

>
> 911console-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> hey, this is a pretty good one and seems to be very active
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35350. This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 05:38:51 UTC
From: radiophanatic_71
Topic: 35350 Message: 35350

oopps Forgot the link sorry folks.. Here it is. This is very interesting and could be a VERY good scanning op.
www.mercurynews.com/mld/mercurynews/news/10569531.htm?1c

35351. This really Sounds like trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 05:37:40 UTC
From: radiophanatic_71
Topic: 35351 Message: 35351

Check this out. This could make for some VERY interesting scanner listening.. if all pans out as they say.

35352. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 06:00:49 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35350 Message: 35352

bah i have to sign up for this b 4 i can see the link.. can you copy/paste?
----- Original Message -----
From: radiophanatic_71
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 9:38 PM
Subject: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

oopps Forgot the link sorry folks.. Here it is. This is very
interesting and could be a VERY good scanning op.
www.mercurynews.com/mld/mercurynews/news/10569531.htm?1c

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35353. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 06:05:50 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35350 Message: 35353

That would be nice.

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35354. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 06:06:29 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35350 Message: 35354

thanks bayard my sentiments too...
On Wednesday, Jan 5, 2005, at 22:00 US/Pacific, Bayard wrote:

>
> bah i have to sign up for this b 4 i can see the link.. can you
> copy/paste?
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: radiophanatic_71
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 9:38 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble
>
>
>
>
> oopps Forgot the link sorry folks.. Here it is. This is very
> interesting and could be a VERY good scanning op.
> www.mercurynews.com/mld/mercurynews/news/10569531.htm?1c
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35355. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 06:35:04 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 35350 Message: 35355

I managed to see the story without subscribing. However when I try to go back, it prompts to subscribe. Must have used my one free pass ;) Here is the trouble...

THREE NASTY SYSTEMS TO CONVERGE ON U.S.

WASHINGTON - Moisture-laden storms from the north, west and south are likely to converge on much of America over the next several days in what could be a once-in-a-generation onslaught, meteorologists said Tuesday.

If the gloomy computer models at the U.S. Climate Prediction Center are right, we will see this trio:

? The ``Pineapple Express,'' a series of warm, wet storms heading east from Hawaii, drenching Southern California and the far Southwest, which already are beset with heavy rain and snow. It could cause flooding, avalanches and mudslides.

? An ``Arctic Express,'' a mass of cold air chugging south from Alaska and Canada, bringing frigid air and potentially heavy snow and ice to the usually mild-wintered Pacific Northwest.

? A warm, moist storm system from the Gulf of Mexico drenching the already saturated Ohio, Tennessee and Mississippi valleys. Forecasters also expect heavy river flooding and springlike tornadoes.

All three are likely to meet somewhere in the nation's midsection and cause more problems, sparing only areas east of the Appalachian Mountains.

``You're talking a two- or three-times-a-century type of thing,'' said prediction center senior meteorologist James Wagner, who has been forecasting storms since 1965. ``It's a pattern that has a little bit of everything.''

Forecasters said the Bay Area can expect lower temperatures and lots of rain from the storm.

The exact time and place of the predicted one-two-three punch changes slightly with every new forecast. But in its weekly ``hazards assessment,'' the National Weather Service alerted meteorologists and disaster specialists Tuesday that flooding and frigid weather could start as early as Friday and stretch into early next week, if not longer.

``It's a situation that looks pretty potent,'' said Ed O'Lenic, the Climate Prediction Center's operations chief. ``A large part of North America looks like it's going to be affected.''

In Northern California, there will be more rain, with snow in the mountains, said Rick Canepa, a meteorologist at the National Weather Service's Monterey Office.

``We're easily talking a few inches of rain in the valleys that will translate to many feet of snow in the mountains,'' Canepa said.

It will be a few degrees chillier than normal in the Bay Area, with lows over the weekend in the mid-30s to mid-40s.

The storms also will increase beach swells from the normal of about 10 feet to 12 to 14 feet, Canepa said.

``It looks like a pattern that's actually going to stick around possibly out 10 days, until the middle of the month,'' Canepa said.

Kelly Redmond, the deputy director of the Western Regional Climate Center at the Desert Research Institute in Reno, where an unusual 18 inches of snow is on the ground already, said the expected heavy Western rains could cause avalanches. Since Oct. 1, Southern California and western Arizona have had three to four times the average precipitation for the area.

The last time a similar situation seemed to be brewing -- especially in the West -- was in January 1950, O'Lenic said. That month, 21 inches of snow hit Seattle, killing 13 people in an extended freeze, and Sunnyvale got a tornado.

The same scenario played out in 1937, when there was record flooding in the Ohio River Valley, said Wagner, of the prediction center.

Meteorologists caution that their predictions are only as good as their computer models. Forecasts are less accurate the further into the future they look. ``The models tend to overdo the formation of these really exciting weather formations for us,'' said Mike Wallace, a University of Washington atmospheric scientist.

Yet the more Wallace studied the models, the more he became convinced that something wicked was coming this way.

``It all fits together nicely,'' Wallace said. ``There's going to be weather in the headlines this weekend, that's for sure.''

The converging storms are being steered by high-pressure ridges off Alaska and Florida and are part of a temporary change in world climate conditions, O'Lenic said

35356. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 05:57:51 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35350 Message: 35356

have to be a member. don't feel like being spammed by another newspaper

radiophanatic_71 wrote:

>
>
> oopps Forgot the link sorry folks.. Here it is. This is very
> interesting and could be a VERY good scanning op.
> www.mercurynews.com/mld/mercurynews/news/10569531.htm?1c
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35357. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 06:39:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35350 Message: 35357

yee haw.. a little slice of Seattle.. =)

Jake H wrote:

>I managed to see the story without subscribing. However when I try to go
>back, it prompts to subscribe. Must have used my one free pass ;) Here
>is the trouble...
>
>THREE NASTY SYSTEMS TO CONVERGE ON U.S.
>
>WASHINGTON - Moisture-laden storms from the north, west and south are
>likely to converge on much of America over the next several days in what
>could be a once-in-a-generation onslaught, meteorologists said Tuesday.
>
>If the gloomy computer models at the U.S. Climate Prediction Center are
>right, we will see this trio:
>
>? The ``Pineapple Express,'' a series of warm, wet storms heading east
>from Hawaii, drenching Southern California and the far Southwest, which
>already are beset with heavy rain and snow. It could cause flooding,
>avalanches and mudslides.
>
>? An ``Arctic Express,'' a mass of cold air chugging south from Alaska
>and Canada, bringing frigid air and potentially heavy snow and ice to
>the usually mild-wintered Pacific Northwest.
>
>? A warm, moist storm system from the Gulf of Mexico drenching the
>already saturated Ohio, Tennessee and Mississippi valleys. Forecasters
>also expect heavy river flooding and springlike tornadoes.
>
>All three are likely to meet somewhere in the nation's midsection and
>cause more problems, sparing only areas east of the Appalachian Mountains.
>
>``You're talking a two- or three-times-a-century type of thing,'' said
>prediction center senior meteorologist James Wagner, who has been
>forecasting storms since 1965. ``It's a pattern that has a little bit of
>everything.''
>
>Forecasters said the Bay Area can expect lower temperatures and lots of
>rain from the storm.
>
>The exact time and place of the predicted one-two-three punch changes
>slightly with every new forecast. But in its weekly ``hazards
>assessment,'' the National Weather Service alerted meteorologists and
>disaster specialists Tuesday that flooding and frigid weather could

>start as early as Friday and stretch into early next week, if not longer.
>
>``It's a situation that looks pretty potent,'' said Ed O'Lenic, the
>Climate Prediction Center's operations chief. ``A large part of North
>America looks like it's going to be affected.''
>
>In Northern California, there will be more rain, with snow in the
>mountains, said Rick Canepa, a meteorologist at the National Weather
>Service's Monterey Office.
>
>``We're easily talking a few inches of rain in the valleys that will
>translate to many feet of snow in the mountains,'' Canepa said.
>
>It will be a few degrees chillier than normal in the Bay Area, with lows
>over the weekend in the mid-30s to mid-40s.
>
>The storms also will increase beach swells from the normal of about 10
>feet to 12 to 14 feet, Canepa said.
>
>``It looks like a pattern that's actually going to stick around possibly
>out 10 days, until the middle of the month,'' Canepa said.
>
>Kelly Redmond, the deputy director of the Western Regional Climate
>Center at the Desert Research Institute in Reno, where an unusual 18
>inches of snow is on the ground already, said the expected heavy Western
>rains could cause avalanches. Since Oct. 1, Southern California and
>western Arizona have had three to four times the average precipitation
>for the area.
>
>The last time a similar situation seemed to be brewing -- especially in
>the West -- was in January 1950, O'Lenic said. That month, 21 inches of
>snow hit Seattle, killing 13 people in an extended freeze, and Sunnyvale
>got a tornado.
>
>The same scenario played out in 1937, when there was record flooding in
>the Ohio River Valley, said Wagner, of the prediction center.
>
>Meteorologists caution that their predictions are only as good as their
>computer models. Forecasts are less accurate the further into the future
>they look. ``The models tend to overdo the formation of these really
>exciting weather formations for us,'' said Mike Wallace, a University of
>Washington atmospheric scientist.
>
>Yet the more Wallace studied the models, the more he became convinced
>that something wicked was coming this way.
>
>``It all fits together nicely,'' Wallace said. ``There's going to be
>weather in the headlines this weekend, that's for sure.''
>
>The converging storms are being steered by high-pressure ridges off

>Alaska and Florida and are part of a temporary change in world climate
>conditions, O'Lenic said
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35358. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 07:30:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35350 Message: 35358

Southern Cal seems to have more trouble with a lot of rain than we do

(thank God !!) hehehehehehehe

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35359. Re: [bayscan] Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 07:43:47 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35340 Message: 35359

Well.... like ALL THE NEW Uniden scanners coming out since the BC245, I have to say, it's probably not accessible for those of us who can't see the displays. I for one feel more and more left behind and, Uniden just doesn't give one crap about it. In all fairness, none of the other scanner companies do either. Maybe I'll have to get like those environmentalists that torch SUV's and start torching scanners! Now there's an idea. Anyone want to help?? Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Brian C." <bdchristensen@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 12:25 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

>
> Hi Ed,
>
> No, I don't see any group for the scanner ----- yet. I too read the
> press release, looks like a good little radio but no mention of it
> handling trunked systems.
>
> Bummer.
>
> Brian in Campbell
>
>
> On Wed, 5 Jan 2005 15:09:06 EST, caladded@... <caladded@...>
wrote:
> >
> > there is a yahoo group for this scanner , due out late summer / early
fall ,
> > looks pretty neat. Also a press release on Unidens site.
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35360. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 07:48:18 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35350 Message: 35360

Thanks for the story Jake, I never would have gotten it but for you sending
it in your email! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jake H" <yahoo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 10:35 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

>
> I managed to see the story without subscribing. However when I try to go
> back, it prompts to subscribe. Must have used my one free pass ;) Here
> is the trouble...
>
> THREE NASTY SYSTEMS TO CONVERGE ON U.S.
>

35361. Re: [bayscan] Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 10:02:46 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 35346 Message: 35361

Ben wrote:

>Any help would be appreciated?
>
>

Ben go here .. great group of people to chat with ....
http://www.911console.com

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

35362. Re: [bayscan] Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 15:44:14 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 35340 Message: 35362

>Well.... like ALL THE NEW Uniden scanners coming out since the BC245, I
>have
>to say, it's probably not accessible for those of us who can't see the
>displays. I for one feel more and more left behind and, Uniden just
>doesn't

maybe do what some of the real serious scannerfans do, if you want a good portable, get a Moto MTS2000 or whatever (set the xmit fields to zero).

Mike

35363. Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 16:28:45 UTC
From: gariac450
Topic: 35340 Message: 35363

What Jim needs is voice synthesis in the scanner to read out the display. I don't think Moto will build that in their commercial gear, though I've seen it built into FRS radios.

I discussed the voice synthesis with Uniden once. They said they were working with an outside consultant on such a feature. The reality is unless the government mandates such gear exists, the free market won't build it. You wouldn't have those wheelchair ramps at restaurants if it wasn't for the ADA.

I think the ham gear with voice synthesis came about due to remote base features. Early remote base gear would beep to respond to commands, and that wasn't exactly good feedback. Later gear used voice synthesis to read back the programmed values.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@h...> wrote:
> >Well.... like ALL THE NEW Uniden scanners coming out since the BC245, I
> >have
> >to say, it's probably not accessible for those of us who can't see the
> >displays. I for one feel more and more left behind and, Uniden just
> >doesn't
>
> maybe do what some of the real serious scannerfans do, if you want a good
> portable, get a Moto MTS2000 or whatever (set the xmit fields to zero).
>
> Mike

35364. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 16:37:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35340 Message: 35364

While I am sure most hear feel for Jim (being he, like us is a scanner nut) what it comes down to is supply and demand. How many people are out there who ARE scanner nuts and can't see? I have to believe the number isn't all that much. You can't compare it to ramps for those wheelchair bound for restaurants because the demand is there where for scanners for the blind, the demand is NOT. I doubt strongly the ADA or ANY group would lobby for such a device. Still, I would like to see some product that would adapt a scanner so Jim can continue to enjoy the hobby.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35365. Re: [bayscan] Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 17:27:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35346 Message: 35365

Looks like I'm not welcomed there. I put a message on the list and its moderated but it never showed up. There's been like 40 messages since mine.. Oh well guess i'mleaving that list.

Mike Derryberry wrote:

> Ben wrote:
>
> >Any help would be appreciated?
> >
> >
>
> Ben go here .. great group of people to chat with ....
> http://www.911console.com
>
> Mike
>
> --
>
> miked911@...
> Mike Derryberry
>
> Dispatcher II
> Kern County Sheriff's Department
> Bakersfield, CA
>
> Listen to my department:
> http://war.str3am.com:7300/
>
> Listen to Kern County Scanning:
> http://war.str3am.com:7460/
>
>
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1296mghfq/M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1105092171/A=2495202/R=0/SIG=11evjk50a/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60188913>
>
>
>
> ---

> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35366. Re: [bayscan] Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 17:29:36 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35346 Message: 35366

what was your post? if you have a question maybe we can answer it here?

On Thursday, Jan 6, 2005, at 09:27 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:

>
> Looks like I'm not welcomed there. I put a message on the list and its
> moderated but it never showed up. There's been like 40 messages since
> mine.. Oh well guess i'mleaving that list.
>
> Mike Derryberry wrote:
>
>> Ben wrote:
>>
>>> Any help would be appreciated?
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Ben go here .. great group of people to chat with ....
>> http://www.911console.com
>>
>> Mike
>>
>> --
>>
>> miked911@...
>> Mike Derryberry
>>
>> Dispatcher II
>> Kern County Sheriff's Department
>> Bakersfield, CA
>>
>> Listen to my department:
>> http://war.str3am.com:7300/
>>
>> Listen to Kern County Scanning:
>> http://war.str3am.com:7460/
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
>> ADVERTISEMENT
>> click here
>> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1296mghfq/
>> M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/

>> EXP=1105092171/A=2495202/R=0/SIG=11evjk50a/*http://www.netflix.com/
>> Default?mqso=60188913>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> --
>> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>>
>> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>>
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>>
>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35367. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 17:35:40 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35340 Message: 35367

> What Jim needs is voice synthesis in the scanner to read
> out the display.

I was wondering if the programming software for these new scanners is adaptable to the speech software that's out there. Since the Uniden scanners are "controllable" there's some hope there. I don't know enough about the speech stuff Jim uses, though.

Jim: I know I promissed you some time with my 246T, but I've been up to my eyeballs in work and travel and will get to it soon.

/jordan

35368. Re: [bayscan] Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 17:43:49 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35346 Message: 35368

It was a dispatcher specific question. apparently since i'm not a
dispatcher yet it got moderated.

nina zhito wrote:

> what was your post? if you have a question maybe we can answer it here?
>
> On Thursday, Jan 6, 2005, at 09:27 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
>
> >
> > Looks like I'm not welcomed there. I put a message on the list and its
> > moderated but it never showed up. There's been like 40 messages since
> > mine.. Oh well guess i'mleaving that list.
> >
> > Mike Derryberry wrote:
> >
> >> Ben wrote:
> >>
> >>> Any help would be appreciated?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >> Ben go here .. great group of people to chat with ....
> >> http://www.911console.com
> >>
> >> Mike
> >>
> >> --
> >>
> >> miked911@...
> >> Mike Derryberry
> >>
> >> Dispatcher II
> >> Kern County Sheriff's Department
> >> Bakersfield, CA
> >>
> >> Listen to my department:
> >> http://war.str3am.com:7300/
> >>
> >> Listen to Kern County Scanning:
> >> http://war.str3am.com:7460/
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> >> ADVERTISEMENT
> >> click here
> >> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1296mghfq/
> >> M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/
> >> EXP=1105092171/A=2495202/R=0/SIG=11evjk50a/*http://www.netflix.com/
> >> Default?mqso=60188913>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> --
> >> --
> >> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >>
> >> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >>
> >> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >>
> >> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> >> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> ==============
> zhito photography
> (415) 892-5443
> (707) 338-3604
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>

> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35369. Re: [bayscan] Is there a yahoo group for 911 dispatchers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 18:51:05 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35346 Message: 35369

hey ben,
i'm a dispatcher, i could possibly answer it.. if i cant, i can post it
on the 9-1-1 list if u want???
-boulrog-

In a message dated 1/6/05 09:46:54, bkellogg9@... writes:

<<

It was a dispatcher specific question. apparently since i'm not a

dispatcher yet it got moderated.

>>

35370. Re: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 19:22:50 UTC
From: Mr. Russ Colombo
Topic: 35350 Message: 35370

What is there to listen to? I copied and pasted the link and I don't see anything to listen to.
----- Original Message -----
From: radiophanatic_71
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 9:38 PM
Subject: [bayscan] This sounds like Trouble

oopps Forgot the link sorry folks.. Here it is. This is very
interesting and could be a VERY good scanning op.
www.mercurynews.com/mld/mercurynews/news/10569531.htm?1c

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35371. POWERFUL PACIFIC STORM TO AFFECT CALIFORNIA COASTAL WATERS TONIGHT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 20:16:29 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35371 Message: 35371

Looks like we are going to get another storm starting tonight:

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
1116 AM PST THU JAN 6 2005

...POWERFUL PACIFIC STORM TO AFFECT CALIFORNIA COASTAL WATERS TONIGHT
THROUGH FRIDAY...

.AT 11 AM...A DEVELOPING PACIFIC GALE WAS CENTERED ABOUT 500 NAUTICAL
MILES WEST OF MONTEREY...APPROACHING THE CALIFORNIA COAST. THIS
SYSTEM IS EXPECTED TO STRENGTHEN INTO A 988 MB STORM BEFORE REACHING
THE COAST NEAR POINT ARENA FRIDAY MORNING.

PZZ530-535-550-555-570-575-070600-
COASTAL WATERS FROM PIGEON PT. TO PT. PIEDRAS BLANCAS CA OUT 20 NM-
COASTAL WATERS FROM PT. ARENA TO PIGEON PT. CA OUT 20 NM-
MONTEREY BAY-SAN FRANCISCO/SAN PABLO/SUISUN BAYS AND THE WEST DELTA-
WATERS FROM PIGEON PT. TO PT. PIEDRAS BLANCAS CA FROM 20 TO 60 NM-
WATERS FROM PT. ARENA TO PIGEON PT. CA FROM 20 TO 60 NM-
1116 AM PST THU JAN 6 2005

AS THE DEVELOPING PACIFIC STORM APPROACHES...EAST TO SOUTHEAST WINDS
WILL INCREASE OVERNIGHT. WINDS WILL REACH GALE FORCE SOUTH OF POINT
ARENA BY 3 AM FRIDAY MORNING...WITH SUSTAINED WINDS OF 25 TO 35 KNOTS
AND FREQUENT GUSTS TO 45 KNOTS. ALONG THE CENTRAL COAST...SOUTH OF
PIGEON POINT...SUSTAINED WINDS AS HIGH 45 KNOTS WITH FREQUENT STORM
FORCE GUSTS TO 55 KNOTS ARE EXPECTED JUST BEFORE AN ASSOCIATED COLD
FRONT MOVES ONSHORE AROUND SUNRISE FRIDAY MORNING. THIS DANGEROUS
SYSTEM HAS THE POTENTIAL TO PRODUCE LOCAL HURRICANE FORCE GUSTS TO 70
KNOTS IN THE TYPICALLY WINDIER SPOTS SUCH AS ANGEL ISLAND AND POINT
SUR.

ALONG WITH THE STRONG WINDS WILL COME LARGE...SHORT PERIOD SEAS. THE
STRONG WINDS WILL GENERATE SHORT PERIOD WIND WAVES OF UP TO 10
FEET...WITH THE HIGHEST SEAS SOUTH OF POINT REYES. IN ADDITION TO THE
WIND WAVES...WEST SWELLS WILL BEGIN TO BUILD OVERNIGHT...WITH
COMBINED SEAS REACHING AS HIGH AS 18 FEET BY LATE FRIDAY. AS THE
STORM MOVES ONSHORE FRIDAY...WINDS AND WIND WAVES WILL SLOWLY
EASE...BUT THE LARGE SWELLS WILL PERSIST WELL INTO THE WEEKEND.

IF AT ALL POSSIBLE...MARINERS SHOULD STAY IN PORT TONIGHT AND FRIDAY
DURING THIS DANGEROUS STORM. IN ADDITION...MARINERS SHOULD TAKE
NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS NOW TO PROTECT THEIR VESSELS FROM THE STRONG
WINDS AND LARGE WIND DRIVEN SEAS WHICH MAY BE DAMAGING EVEN IN PORT.
STAY TUNED TO NOAA WEATHER RADIO OR YOUR LOCAL MEDIA OUTLET FOR

FURTHER UPDATES ON THIS DEVELOPING WEATHER SITUATION.

$$

WEAGLE/KENNEDY

EDIS-01-06-05 1122 PST

35372. Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 20:43:20 UTC
From: gariac450
Topic: 35340 Message: 35372

There are blind hams out there, so I would expect there are blind scanner enthusiests.

If you think back to the time prior to the ADA, you didn't see many people in wheelchairs in restaurants.

Uniden wanted a very sophisticated system where it could do text to speech. That is, a bit more than just spitting out the numbers. Maybe they over reached, but you have to look at it from a corporate viewpoint. Uniden makes more than just scanners, thus the voice synthesis could be used across all their product lines.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> While I am sure most hear feel for Jim (being he, like us is a scanner nut)
> what it comes down to is supply and demand. How many people are out there who
> ARE scanner nuts and can't see? I have to believe the number isn't all that
> much. You can't compare it to ramps for those wheelchair bound for restaurants
> because the demand is there where for scanners for the blind, the demand is NOT.
> I doubt strongly the ADA or ANY group would lobby for such a device. Still, I
> would like to see some product that would adapt a scanner so Jim can continue
> to enjoy the hobby.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35373. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 20:49:58 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35340 Message: 35373

I work with not One but Three Blind or VC to be PC hams and two of them are using BC780's and a 245 and while programming was a bit of a problem but once that was done they have not had a problem with it and are able to keep up with what's going and are able to add new freq. or TG's to the system.
How way that my .02 cents
----- Original Message -----
From: gariac450
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 12:43
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

There are blind hams out there, so I would expect there are blind scanner enthusiests.

If you think back to the time prior to the ADA, you didn't see many people in wheelchairs in restaurants.

Uniden wanted a very sophisticated system where it could do text to speech. That is, a bit more than just spitting out the numbers. Maybe they over reached, but you have to look at it from a corporate viewpoint. Uniden makes more than just scanners, thus the voice synthesis could be used across all their product lines.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> While I am sure most hear feel for Jim (being he, like us is a scanner nut)
> what it comes down to is supply and demand. How many people are out there who
> ARE scanner nuts and can't see? I have to believe the number isn't all that
> much. You can't compare it to ramps for those wheelchair bound for restaurants
> because the demand is there where for scanners for the blind, the demand is NOT.
> I doubt strongly the ADA or ANY group would lobby for such a device. Still, I
> would like to see some product that would adapt a scanner so Jim can continue
> to enjoy the hobby.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35374. Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 22:17:45 UTC
From: brianc_95008
Topic: 35374 Message: 35374

Cross post from Santa_Clara_County_Scanning regarding AMR. Very interesting reading....

Hello scanners and other listeners,

I talked to my friend the supervisor at County Communications today and
got the following information regarding the ambulance/paramedic system.

Motorola no longer manufactures the pagers that the County was using to
"alert dispatch" paramedic crews on 800 mHz, so they had to switch to
450 mHz pagers.

In order for the crews to hear the voice messages on the pagers, it was
necessary to transmit the voice dispatch on 450 mHz, as well as on 800
mHz (the frequency now called "Med 91"), for the crews that were in
their rigs.

So a decision was made to have the dispatchers simulcast on Med 91 and
Frequency 9. It is not a full simulcast repeat system; paramedic voice
traffic is not repeated onto Freq. 9, all you hear is the dispatcher.

I asked "What about the BLS ambulances?", all the private companies that
have been on Frequency 9, and was told that they will all be upgraded to
800 mHz radios. I believe that will create another set of "issues," but
since it's no longer my problem, I'll be glad to let them all work it
out.

As for "Med 92," the former "Control 92," it is no longer used for
hospital ringdowns, but is reserved as a command channel for major
incidents. Hospital ringdowns are now being handled exclusively by
cellular phone.

Frequencies:

Med 91 856.4375
Med 92 857.4375
Freq 9 453.100

Questions, or anything I left out? Please post here.

Dave Schultheis, retired
County Communications (1973-2003)

35375. Military Scanning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 22:47:54 UTC
From: Jerry
Topic: 2689 Message: 35375

For those interested in military scanning we have stsrted two new groups.

A good place to discuss military comms as well as posting your daily logs.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/westcoast_milcom/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/eastcoast_milcom/

35376. whats up yall new to you!`

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 23:19:33 UTC
From: Mark W.
Topic: 35376 Message: 35376

i'm an employee of an ambulance company here in the bay but
i'm also a listener, dispatchers don't tell you everything and
somtimes it pays to be nosy. I'm all about good times and good
beer, but i did just buy an Alinco xj-10T and have really been
disapointed with it. Seems to not suck in the signals as much
as my old pro-94 did. any ideas????

35377. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 23:33:59 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 35374 Message: 35377

brianc_95008 wrote:

> As for "Med 92," the former "Control 92," it is no longer used for
> hospital ringdowns, but is reserved as a command channel for major
> incidents. Hospital ringdowns are now being handled exclusively by
> cellular phone.

This may be the ultimate plan, but as of right now Med 92 is still a backup system for when the cellular network is busy. I still hear ringdowns 4-5 times per night.

There is a SCC EMS document describing their overall planned changes (the above is listed), and their progress to date. I had posted a link to it some months back, and no longer have it saved, but I guess I'll search the archives.

-Johnathan, AE6HO

35378. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-06 23:43:19 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 35374 Message: 35378

Johnathan Corgan wrote:

> There is a SCC EMS document describing their overall planned changes
> (the above is listed), and their progress to date. I had posted a link
> to it some months back, and no longer have it saved, but I guess I'll
> search the archives.

Here is my original email regarding this:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/message/31915

-Johnathan, AE6HO

35379. San Jose PD - Suicide Attempt In Progress

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 00:07:20 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35379 Message: 35379

San Jose PD, Fire responding to a call of a male that is stabbing himself in the stomach and is now running on 20th Street towards Williams.

Working on San Jose PD -5 (460.400).

-C-

35380. Interesting MERA chatter and a new TG

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 00:49:51 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35380 Message: 35380

A few minutes ago I heard some interesting chatter on TG 8272 which I have on my MERA list as Marin County Comm Fire Dispatch 2...one side of the conversation was fully encrypted while the other guy was in the clear. The unencrypted guy was talking about an Army Helicopter that's on a flatbed in pieces and what it would take as a hobbyist to put it back together. :-)

Also, yesterday I heard what sounded like Marin Fire on a new TG 38416 which I added to my master MERA list.

-Roger in San Rafael 16:47

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35381. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 04:05:01 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35340 Message: 35381

You know if they would only make a serial or USB port available that would probably do the trick or go a long way to solving the situation. I, we, thost of us who are interested could use an external speech synsizer, probably one already built in to our portable notetakers, to "readout" the display, if the info was only available out of a port. I for one, would gladly pay for the add-on synthesizer as was the case in some of the Icom ham rigs. I never owned one because I couldn't put up the required antenna, but played with a couple and they were impressive and were able to give me enough info to control transmit, receive frequencies, mode etc.
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 8:37 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

>
> While I am sure most hear feel for Jim (being he, like us is a scanner nut)
> what it comes down to is supply and demand. How many people are out there who
> ARE scanner nuts and can't see? I have to believe the number isn't all that
> much. You can't compare it to ramps for those wheelchair bound for restaurants
> because the demand is there where for scanners for the blind, the demand is NOT.
> I doubt strongly the ADA or ANY group would lobby for such a device. Still, I
> would like to see some product that would adapt a scanner so Jim can continue
> to enjoy the hobby.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

35382. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 04:07:03 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35340 Message: 35382

Jordan, I do use computer control for my VX5R and it works great to up and download files, but still doesn't give you the requisite information that you need from a scanner such as what frequency it stops on. At least I don' think it does having never used a scanner software program. Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 9:35 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

>
> > What Jim needs is voice synthesis in the scanner to read
> > out the display.
>
> I was wondering if the programming software for these new scanners is
> adaptable to the speech software that's out there. Since the Uniden
> scanners are "controllable" there's some hope there. I don't know
> enough about the speech stuff Jim uses, though.
>
> Jim: I know I promissed you some time with my 246T, but I've been up to
> my eyeballs in work and travel and will get to it soon.
>
> /jordan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35383. Re: Interesting MERA chatter and a new TG

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 06:24:44 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35380 Message: 35383

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> A few minutes ago I heard some interesting chatter on TG 8272 which
I have
> on my MERA list as Marin County Comm Fire Dispatch 2...one side of
the
> conversation was fully encrypted while the other guy was in the
clear. The
> unencrypted guy was talking about an Army Helicopter that's on a
flatbed in
> pieces and what it would take as a hobbyist to put it back
together. :-)
>
> Also, yesterday I heard what sounded like Marin Fire on a new TG
38416 which
> I added to my master MERA list.
>
> -Roger in San Rafael 16:47

Roger,

I've also been hearing a lot of tone testing on TG 00016, which I think is the radio tech talk group. They may be testing equipment for the Tiburon tower. I haven't heard all the new talk groups that you have but I don't monitor Marin as closely as you do either. I imagine there will be a bunch of new talk groups for the south county once the Tiburon tower is up. I had lunch with their SAR coordinator the other day and there will be at least three SAR talk groups added once the Tiburon tower is up, he tells me.

Regards, Jim

35384. RE: [bayscan] Re: Interesting MERA chatter and a new TG

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 06:59:43 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35380 Message: 35384

Hey Jim,

I've heard nothing but weird tones etc. on TG 16 ever since I started listening to MERA and always just lock it out. I've never heard any voice communications on there at all.

And oh yeah, I hear something new\different on MERA just about every day. It's going to be a long time before it all settles.

-Roger

Roger,

I've also been hearing a lot of tone testing on TG 00016, which I think is the radio tech talk group. They may be testing equipment for the Tiburon tower. I haven't heard all the new talk groups that you have but I don't monitor Marin as closely as you do either. I imagine there will be a bunch of new talk groups for the south county once the Tiburon tower is up. I had lunch with their SAR coordinator the other day and there will be at least three SAR talk groups added once the Tiburon tower is up, he tells me.

Regards, Jim

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35385. action in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 06:49:18 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35385 Message: 35385

Some chase just happened when some car tryed to ram a marked patrol car. I didn't hear the chase but they are setting up a perimeter now and the comms are taking place on A-8.

10:49 pm

Robert
BayScan

35386. S.F. trunk system???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 07:16:54 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35386 Message: 35386

I just lost S.f. no control channel whatsoever? I'm still getting everything else... Is it still ticking?

35387. Re: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 07:19:19 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 35386 Message: 35387

866.9625 is the curent cc and its booming
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 11:16 PM
Subject: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???

I just lost S.f. no control channel whatsoever? I'm still getting
everything else... Is it still ticking?

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35388. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 07:20:23 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35340 Message: 35388

Thanks, that's great! I have two BC245's and can do likewise with them. for the most part they are accessible. I have never fondled a BC780 but don't think it's digital capable. Far as I have heard, none of those are accessible, too many menus dependent on scrolling until you get to the right icon or words on the display then hitting the any key, or is it the enter key. Well, non-the-less, us blind folks are still being excluded whether unconsciously or not, at least until all devices are built where we can talk to them and they in turn, will talk back to us. Guess I'll just have to get Lexis to make me a "Talking" scanner! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 12:49 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

>
> I work with not One but Three Blind or VC to be PC hams and two of them are using BC780's and a 245 and while programming was a bit of a problem but once that was done they have not had a problem with it and are able to keep up with what's going and are able to add new freq. or TG's to the system.
> How way that my .02 cents
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: gariac450
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 12:43
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.
>
>
>
> There are blind hams out there, so I would expect there are blind
> scanner enthusiests.
>
> If you think back to the time prior to the ADA, you didn't see many
> people in wheelchairs in restaurants.
>
> Uniden wanted a very sophisticated system where it could do text to
> speech. That is, a bit more than just spitting out the numbers. Maybe
> they over reached, but you have to look at it from a corporate
> viewpoint. Uniden makes more than just scanners, thus the voice
> synthesis could be used across all their product lines.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > While I am sure most hear feel for Jim (being he, like us is a
> scanner nut)

> > what it comes down to is supply and demand. How many people are out
> there who
> > ARE scanner nuts and can't see? I have to believe the number isn't
> all that
> > much. You can't compare it to ramps for those wheelchair bound for
> restaurants
> > because the demand is there where for scanners for the blind, the
> demand is NOT.
> > I doubt strongly the ADA or ANY group would lobby for such a device.
> Still, I
> > would like to see some product that would adapt a scanner so Jim can
> continue
> > to enjoy the hobby.
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

35389. improved scanner access

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 07:37:33 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35389 Message: 35389

Last year I wrote to Al Silverberg, President of Uniden and later, to Paul Obitz spelling? around the developing BC246 but it had little effect. I didn't think it would. In the early days of good old Windows, some states told Microsoft they wouldn't buy it unless they made it Accessible to blind and/or disabled computer users. This was after blind computer users had been using very effective Dos screen reader software which of course broke when you installed Windows. And so it continues to be. Almost every new iteration of Windows has necessitated screen reader developers to have to go back to the drawingboard and make their products work with the new version of Windows. And as for Apple mac's?? Well, they had one screen reader developed by a third party vendor, Outspoken, that worked up to I think OS 7, then after that it would no longer work. Last year Apple put out the word to hire some talented programmers to develop a new screen reader for I think it's called Tiger, ther new OS. I think the only reason they did this was out of fear of class action law suits from school districts who remain strong users of Mac's. I haven't heard about the progress of the new screen reader. If scanners fell under the perview of the telecommunications ammendment of 1997, they would have to provide, at the very least, some access hooks to give blind and other disabled users some degree of access to their products. For anyone interested, there is a
way cool cell phone a Nokia 6 something that works on singular that has talking software that gives blind folks access to many of the functions of the phone. It would seem that many folks would or could benefit from this feature so they could operate their cell phones in the dark or, say, after they have been carjacked and are locked in the trunk. Well, I have certainly rambled on and yes I know off topic about this, but if all you scanner buffs woke up tomorrow morning and your displays were suddenly blacked out, believe me, you'd be screaming bloody murder for ACCESS!! OK, enough already! Thanks to those of you who read all this.
Jim WA6EKS
from
----- Original Message -----
From: "gariac450" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 12:43 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

>
>
> There are blind hams out there, so I would expect there are blind
> scanner enthusiests.
>
> If you think back to the time prior to the ADA, you didn't see many
> people in wheelchairs in restaurants.
>

> Uniden wanted a very sophisticated system where it could do text to
> speech. That is, a bit more than just spitting out the numbers. Maybe
> they over reached, but you have to look at it from a corporate
> viewpoint. Uniden makes more than just scanners, thus the voice
> synthesis could be used across all their product lines.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > While I am sure most hear feel for Jim (being he, like us is a
> scanner nut)
> > what it comes down to is supply and demand. How many people are out
> there who
> > ARE scanner nuts and can't see? I have to believe the number isn't
> all that
> > much. You can't compare it to ramps for those wheelchair bound for
> restaurants
> > because the demand is there where for scanners for the blind, the
> demand is NOT.
> > I doubt strongly the ADA or ANY group would lobby for such a device.
> Still, I
> > would like to see some product that would adapt a scanner so Jim can
> continue
> > to enjoy the hobby.
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35390. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 07:42:30 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35340 Message: 35390

Hey, I bet their "outside consultant" was someone I referred them to but nothing ever happened. Uniden was actually willing to send me a BC246 and have some engineering department at U.C. try and make it more accessible. I should have taken them up on their offer and just kept the radio. I guess that was because they knew I work at U.C. Berkeley. I tried to connect them to a CS prof. who was really in to developing better human interfaces for products and had classes where students would develop prototype products to allow more access for disabled people.

----- Original Message -----
From: "gariac450" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 8:28 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Fwd: [BCD396T] C.E.S.

>
>
> What Jim needs is voice synthesis in the scanner to read out the
> display. I don't think Moto will build that in their commercial gear,
> though I've seen it built into FRS radios.
>
> I discussed the voice synthesis with Uniden once. They said they were
> working with an outside consultant on such a feature. The reality is
> unless the government mandates such gear exists, the free market won't
> build it. You wouldn't have those wheelchair ramps at restaurants if
> it wasn't for the ADA.
>
> I think the ham gear with voice synthesis came about due to remote
> base features. Early remote base gear would beep to respond to
> commands, and that wasn't exactly good feedback. Later gear used voice
> synthesis to read back the programmed values.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@h...> wrote:
> > >Well.... like ALL THE NEW Uniden scanners coming out since the
> BC245, I
> > >have
> > >to say, it's probably not accessible for those of us who can't see the
> > >displays. I for one feel more and more left behind and, Uniden just
> > >doesn't
> >
> > maybe do what some of the real serious scannerfans do, if you want a
> good
> > portable, get a Moto MTS2000 or whatever (set the xmit fields to zero).
> >
> > Mike

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35391. Re: [bayscan] action in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 07:07:37 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35385 Message: 35391

vehicle was going 75mph

Robert W. Sanford wrote:

> Some chase just happened when some car tryed to ram a marked patrol
> car. I
> didn't hear the chase but they are setting up a perimeter now and the
> comms
> are taking place on A-8.
>
> 10:49 pm
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35392. Re: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 07:20:57 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35386 Message: 35392

aww man. now alco is at 2 bars... livermore is strong still. crap whats
going on...

Bryan Carmody wrote:

> 866.9625 is the curent cc and its booming
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ben
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 11:16 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???
>
>
> I just lost S.f. no control channel whatsoever? I'm still getting
> everything else... Is it still ticking?
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>

> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35393. Re: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 08:07:31 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35386 Message: 35393

At 11:20 PM 1/6/2005, you wrote:

>aww man. now alco is at 2 bars... livermore is strong still. crap whats
>going on...

1. Antenna connection
2. Dying Stridsburg

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35394. Re: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 08:06:38 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35386 Message: 35394

must be the coax. Just rotored the yagi's around and using a different one.. everything working now.. I thought coax going bad was supposed to be a gradual, slow process not BAM, just lost the signal thing...

KG6VXT wrote:

> At 11:20 PM 1/6/2005, you wrote:
>
> >aww man. now alco is at 2 bars... livermore is strong still. crap whats
> >going on...
>
> 1. Antenna connection
> 2. Dying Stridsburg
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35395. A handy storm URL

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 08:12:02 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35395 Message: 35395

http://www.valleywater.org/Emergency_Info_and_Preparation/Emergency_updates.cfm

35396. RE: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 08:17:06 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 35386 Message: 35396

Sounds like the coax to connector interface to me. But I wouldn't go up to look at it on a night like tonight!

Matthew

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
> Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 12:07 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???
>
>
>
> must be the coax. Just rotored the yagi's around and using a
> different
> one.. everything working now.. I thought coax going bad was
> supposed to
> be a gradual, slow process not BAM, just lost the signal thing...
>

35397. Re: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 08:18:07 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35386 Message: 35397

yeah it'll wait a couple days.. no big deal unless the other one goes
down....

Matthew Kaufman wrote:

> Sounds like the coax to connector interface to me. But I wouldn't go up to
> look at it on a night like tonight!
>
> Matthew
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
> > Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 12:07 AM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] S.F. trunk system???
> >
> >
> >
> > must be the coax. Just rotored the yagi's around and using a
> > different
> > one.. everything working now.. I thought coax going bad was
> > supposed to
> > be a gradual, slow process not BAM, just lost the signal thing...
> >
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35398. San Jose Fire----------2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 09:00:32 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35398 Message: 35398

SJFD with a working fire at 11th and Santa Clara , 2 story
apartments with fire showing from 2nd floor.

Engines 8 -3 - 1 Trucks 2- 1 USAR 5

also E 30 - 5 Truck 3 Air 6

35399. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire----------3rd alarm now / 0104hour

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 09:07:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35399 Message: 35399

now a 3rd alarm and request a 4th Battalion Chief

1) E 8 - 3-1 T3 T1 BC1- 2 Med 30

2) E30 - 5 T2 BC 10 Air 6 HIT 29

3) E7 - 26 T18 USAR 5 BC 29

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35400. Re: [bayscan] A handy storm URL

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 08:22:07 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35395 Message: 35400

any links for bay area wide?

KG6VXT wrote:

> http://www.valleywater.org/Emergency_Info_and_Preparation/Emergency_updates.cfm
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35401. Re: [bayscan] A handy storm URL

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 08:25:02 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35395 Message: 35401

we've got a big one coming right offshore

http://radar.wrh.noaa.gov/radar/loop/DS.p19r0/si.kmux.shtml

KG6VXT wrote:

> http://www.valleywater.org/Emergency_Info_and_Preparation/Emergency_updates.cfm
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35402. Re: [bayscan] storm SJ fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 09:11:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35402 Message: 35402

Steady rain at my place now, not real heavy yet .... Ben , can you hold
TAC 5 for SJFD on feed 3 ??

freqs for the 3rd alarm at 11th and Santa Clara in San Jose
Command is Command 3 / 154.115

TAC is on Tac 5 / 155.88
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35403. Re: [bayscan] storm SJ fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 09:15:01 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35402 Message: 35403

its on there if I get it..

caladded@... wrote:

> Steady rain at my place now, not real heavy yet .... Ben , can
> you hold
> TAC 5 for SJFD on feed 3 ??
>
>
> freqs for the 3rd alarm at 11th and Santa Clara in San Jose
> Command is Command 3 / 154.115
>
> TAC is on Tac 5 / 155.88
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35404. Re: [bayscan] storm SJ fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 09:18:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35402 Message: 35404

oh yeah, its not that strong , oh well, thanks for trying......at least I am getting dispatch , got cmd 3 on scanner.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35405. Watch out San Mateo..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 09:11:03 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35405 Message: 35405

You're about to get lit up like a christmas tree. big red doppler blob coming onshore.... this is gonna be ugly....

35406. FLOOD WATCH IS IN EFFECT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 11:03:03 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35406 Message: 35406

FLOOD WATCH IS IN EFFECT FOR FLASH FLOODING TODAY THROUGH SATURDAY

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
235 AM PST FRI JAN 7 2005

...A FLOOD WATCH IS IN EFFECT FOR FLASH FLOODING TODAY THROUGH
SUNDAY FOR SANTA CRUZ AND MONTEREY COUNTIES...

THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA HAS ISSUED A
FLOOD WATCH FOR FLASH FLOODING AND POSSIBLE MUD AND DEBRIS
FLOWS FOR THE SANTA CRUZ AND SANTA LUCIA MOUNTAINS IN SANTA CRUZ AND
MONTEREY COUNTIES. THE WATCH IS IN EFFECT FROM TODAY THROUGH
SUNDAY.

A SERIES OF STORMS WILL BE AFFECTING CENTRAL CALIFORNIA THROUGH
SUNDAY. RAINFALL TOTALS FOR THE WEEKEND ARE FORECAST TO RANGE FROM 4
TO 8 INCHES IN THE FOOTHILLS AND MOUNTAINS...AND LOCAL TOTALS OF UP
TO 10 INCHES ALONG SOME OF THE GEOGROGRAPHICALLY FAVORED SLOPES.

GIVEN THE LARGE AMOUNTS OF RAIN THAT HAVE ALREADY FALLEN ACROSS
CENTRAL CALIFORNIA DURING THE PAST WEEK...THE ADDITIONAL EXPECTED
RAINFALL HAS THE POTENTIAL TO CAUSE SERIOUS FLOODING PROBLEMS. FLASH
FLOODING IS POSSIBLE ALONG SMALL STREAMS AND IN LOW LYING AREAS.
STREET AND ROADWAY FLOODING IS LIKELY IN THE NORMALLY FLOOD PRONE
AREAS.

RESIDENTS IN AND NEAR THE WATCH AREA SHOULD KEEP A CLOSE WATCH ON THE
WEATHER AND BE PREPARED TO TAKE NECESSARY ACTION IF FLOODING IS
OBSERVED OR A WARNING IS ISSUED.

MUDSLIDES AND DEBRIS FLOWS WILL BE A SIGNIFICANT THREAT WITH THIS
STORM AS WELL. RESIDENTS LIVING ON OR NEAR HILLSIDES OR MOUNTAIN
SLOPES SHOULD BE PREPARED FOR POSSIBLE MUDSLIDES AND DEBRIS FLOWS.

A FLASH FLOOD WATCH MEANS THAT IF WEATHER CONDITIONS CONTINUE TO
DEVELOP AS EXPECTED...FLOODING IS POSSIBLE IN THE WATCH AREA. STAY
TUNED TO NOAA WEATHER RADIO OR YOUR FAVORITE COMMERCIAL MEDIA
SOURCE FOR UPDATES ON THIS SITUATION. CHECK OUR WEB-SITE OFTEN AT:
WWW.WEATHER.GOV/SANFRANCISCO (ALL LOWER CASE).

THE NEXT STATEMENT ON THIS SITUATION CAN BE EXPECTED BY 11 AM
FRIDAY MORNING.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35407. Santa Clara S.O. Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 12:07:57 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35407 Message: 35407

SCCSO responding Code3 with 3 units looking for a mercury. Unknown what th car did however there is a RP who is on cell following the vehicle unit PD can catch up to the are of Montery @ fitzgerald. CHP rolling as well code3.

35408. Re: Santa Clara S.O. Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 12:09:49 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35407 Message: 35408

Sounds like a possible kid napping? units looking for a white lexus.
The RP is in a dark mercury.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> SCCSO responding Code3 with 3 units looking for a mercury. Unknown
> what th car did however there is a RP who is on cell following the
> vehicle unit PD can catch up to the are of Montery @ fitzgerald.
CHP
> rolling as well code3.

35409. Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara S.O. Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 12:16:39 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35407 Message: 35409

bah! Sounds like much a do about nothin.. Started as a rolling 415 however someone might have jumped into the other car causing them to make it a possible kid napping. Everything is code 4 now.. No one in custody.. Units are taking report from RP.. *yawn*.. Time for some X-box and bed..
----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 4:09 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara S.O. Chase

Sounds like a possible kid napping? units looking for a white lexus.
The RP is in a dark mercury.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> SCCSO responding Code3 with 3 units looking for a mercury. Unknown
> what th car did however there is a RP who is on cell following the
> vehicle unit PD can catch up to the are of Montery @ fitzgerald.
CHP
> rolling as well code3.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35410. Was: FLOOD WATCH -Dud in North Bay So Far

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 17:14:37 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35406 Message: 35410

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...>
wrote:
>
> FLOOD WATCH IS IN EFFECT FOR FLASH FLOODING TODAY THROUGH SATURDAY
>
> NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
> 235 AM PST FRI JAN 7 2005

I don't know how you folks in the South Bay are doing but the storm has been a dud up here. As of 0900, we've only had .35 inches of rain and the high wind gust has been 25 mph at 0435. The barometer is amazingly low at 29.29. San Diego is at 29.95 so there should be enough pressure gradient for come pretty strong winds but it all must be south of here or offshore. There's no lowland station in California reporting more than light rain now although Truckee is reporting heavy snow. The radar loop looks less than impressive as well as it looks like some drier air is getting entrained to the north. I don't think this one is going to turn out to be as bad as predicted, at least for those of us north of Santa Cruz.

Regards, Jim

35411. North Bay Dud? US1, Other Roads Closed By Water

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 17:30:37 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35411 Message: 35411

The rain may have been lighter than expected, but there are numerous South Marin road closures due to flooding and a few cars floating around. US1 is closed, usual 101 off ramps at Seminary and elsewhere under water. Miller Road closed in Mill Valley. Etc. There are also a few waves splashing over the bulkhead into the pool area at my apartment at Second and Main, Sausalito.

PD 39.88
SO 39.24 MHz
FD 46.5 MHz and 37.1 MHz

35412. Re: Was: FLOOD WATCH -Dud in North Bay So Far

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 17:31:41 UTC
From: sbrenner01
Topic: 35406 Message: 35412

It hasn't been that bad in San Jose either... Here is a good site
to see info recorded near downtown SJ.

http://metsun1.met.sjsu.edu/wx/current.html

-sb

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...>
> wrote:
> >
> > FLOOD WATCH IS IN EFFECT FOR FLASH FLOODING TODAY THROUGH SATURDAY
> >
> > NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
> > 235 AM PST FRI JAN 7 2005
>
> I don't know how you folks in the South Bay are doing but the storm
> has been a dud up here. As of 0900, we've only had .35 inches of rain
> and the high wind gust has been 25 mph at 0435. The barometer is
> amazingly low at 29.29. San Diego is at 29.95 so there should be
> enough pressure gradient for come pretty strong winds but it all must
> be south of here or offshore. There's no lowland station in
> California reporting more than light rain now although Truckee is
> reporting heavy snow. The radar loop looks less than impressive as
> well as it looks like some drier air is getting entrained to the
> north. I don't think this one is going to turn out to be as bad as
> predicted, at least for those of us north of Santa Cruz.
>
> Regards, Jim

35413. New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 17:50:21 UTC
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 3879 Message: 35413

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
group.

File : /Frequency Lists/Santa Clara County Frequencies.html
Uploaded by : brianc_95008 <bdchristensen@...>
Description : Santa Clara County Frequencies (Updated 1/7/05)

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/Frequency%20Lists/Santa%20Clara%20County%20Frequencies.html

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files

Regards,

brianc_95008 <bdchristensen@...>

35414. Storm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 17:39:30 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35414 Message: 35414

Here in SF it's mostly flooding due to the HIGH TIDE and making it hard to drive around town, I'm more worried about Monday with the HIGHEST TIDES and the rain will make things real bad for the low areas around the bay & coast.
Today is a good day to stay home and work the radios.

Ben which stack of antenna's are not working ???could they blown over ???

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35415. Re: North Bay Dud? US1, Other Roads Closed By Water

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 18:55:18 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35411 Message: 35415

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
>
> The rain may have been lighter than expected, but there are
numerous
> South Marin road closures due to flooding and a few cars floating
> around. US1 is closed, usual 101 off ramps at Seminary and
elsewhere
> under water. Miller Road closed in Mill Valley. Etc. There are also
a
> few waves splashing over the bulkhead into the pool area at my
> apartment at Second and Main, Sausalito.
>
> PD 39.88
> SO 39.24 MHz
> FD 46.5 MHz and 37.1 MHz

That's just high tide effects that happen with almost any storm that occurs near the astronomical high tides. Given the amount of buildup that this storm had, it has dropped suprisingly little rain.

Regards, Jim

35416. Re: Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 19:06:10 UTC
From: Mark W.
Topic: 35374 Message: 35416

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "brianc_95008" <bdchristensen@g...>
wrote:
>
> Cross post from Santa_Clara_County_Scanning regarding AMR. Very
> interesting reading....
>
> Hello scanners and other listeners,
>
> I talked to my friend the supervisor at County Communications today
> and
> got the following information regarding the ambulance/paramedic
> system.
>
> Motorola no longer manufactures the pagers that the County was
using
> to
> "alert dispatch" paramedic crews on 800 mHz, so they had to switch
to
> 450 mHz pagers.
>
> In order for the crews to hear the voice messages on the pagers, it
> was
> necessary to transmit the voice dispatch on 450 mHz, as well as on
> 800
> mHz (the frequency now called "Med 91"), for the crews that were in
> their rigs.
>
> So a decision was made to have the dispatchers simulcast on Med 91
> and
> Frequency 9. It is not a full simulcast repeat system; paramedic
> voice
> traffic is not repeated onto Freq. 9, all you hear is the
dispatcher.
>
> I asked "What about the BLS ambulances?", all the private companies
> that
> have been on Frequency 9, and was told that they will all be
> upgraded to
> 800 mHz radios. I believe that will create another set
of "issues,"
> but
> since it's no longer my problem, I'll be glad to let them all work
it
> out.
>

> As for "Med 92," the former "Control 92," it is no longer used for
> hospital ringdowns, but is reserved as a command channel for major
> incidents. Hospital ringdowns are now being handled exclusively by
> cellular phone.
>
> Frequencies:
>
> Med 91 856.4375
> Med 92 857.4375
> Freq 9 453.100
>
> Questions, or anything I left out? Please post here.
>
> Dave Schultheis, retired
> County Communications (1973-2003)

Close but no. Ringdowns for cardiac, stroke, trauma alerts and all code three ringdowns are still on 92 Med 9 is now Control 9 and is used for the voice pagers (which suck, minitors three). There are a couple of new tacs and somthing called Baymacs. what that is is beyond me. BLS has switched exclusively to Nextel which also sucks and have been given 800mhz handy talkies but we don't use them unless there is a code three thousand. Oh wait thats gone too. I'm still trying to get an awnser on my alinco question so if you know anybody with ideas sendem my way. Also almost forgot, SCCOM does not do the actual ringdown anymore, its generated from the rig itself which means more work for me. especially if its KSC cause thier shit is always broken anyways adios
marko

35417. High Tides Thru Thurs May Flood Usual Areas

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 19:09:25 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35417 Message: 35417

High Tides At The Golden Gate
Saturday Jan 8 0848 hrs 6.9 feet
Sunday Jan 9 0940 hrs 7.2 feet
Monday Jan 10 1032 hrs 7.3 feet
Tuesday Jan 11 1125 hrs 7.2 feet
Wednesday Jan 12 1218 hrs 6.8 feet
Thursday Jan 13 1313 hrs 6.3 feet

35418. Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-07 23:52:11 UTC
From: sbrenner01
Topic: 35418 Message: 35418

LGPD 482.9125 On Santa Cruz Blvd.

Evacuating Office Depot, 2x 3rd degree burn vics in the Office Depot parking lot, requesting Campbell or SJPD to close off the "Blvd".

35419. Re: [bayscan] Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 00:20:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35418 Message: 35419

getting this has a 2nd alarm

SC Command 1 / 153.905 , fire must be out, asking for board-up
company and 6' high cyclone fencing , several hundred feet

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35420. Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 00:24:00 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 35418 Message: 35420

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> getting this has a 2nd alarm
>
> SC Command 1 / 153.905 , fire must be out, asking for
board-up
> company and 6' high cyclone fencing , several hundred feet

Channel 7 just broke in with video, no update (1622 hrs). FYI, Ed,
your computer date is Saturday 12AM. :)

Evan

35421. Re: [bayscan] Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 00:31:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35418 Message: 35421

Thanks Evan ? my clock shows 1629 right now so...??

Los Gatos / Monte Sereno PD getting lots of media calls , trying set upa
PIO at car wash, might check 5pm news........

anybody hear injury count ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35422. RE: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 00:32:08 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35418 Message: 35422

Live on channel 3 NBC right now...looks pretty bad!

-Roger

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35423. Storm fizzling so far in certain areas

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 00:24:27 UTC
From: radiophanatic_71
Topic: 35423 Message: 35423

This storm seems to be somewhat lighter than it was led to be. So far today. Although I am sure CHP thinks differently. These guys are Jumpin today. 42.620 Dublin Area Give em a listen..

35424. Re: [bayscan] Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 00:54:40 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35418 Message: 35424

SCCF PIO just was on channel 4.. said 2 critical and 1 minor injury..Damn it.. i was just working in that area yesterday.. why odes none of the cool stuff happen when im on duty.

By the way im very dissapointed none of you posted on my trauma auto vs ped fatal on sunnyvale - saragtoga rd monday night. I cant post and save people at the same time!
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 4:31 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Large explosion in Los Gatos

Thanks Evan ? my clock shows 1629 right now so...??

Los Gatos / Monte Sereno PD getting lots of media calls , trying set upa
PIO at car wash, might check 5pm news.....

anybody hear injury count ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35425. Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 00:43:08 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35418 Message: 35425

this was at the auto mall. anybody wanna guess maybe was those
environmental anarchasts? This place sells alot of Hummers and SUVS..

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> Live on channel 3 NBC right now...looks pretty bad!
>
> -Roger
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1291q8kbr/M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1105230712/A=2434971/R=0/SIG=11eeoolb0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60185400>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35426. Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 01:36:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35418 Message: 35426

highly unlikely..... 2 critical were plumbers working on a gas line , the SCCOFD BC interviewed said they , while not 100 % positive , believed this to be an accident , not terrorist involved. I, too , thought about that once I heard it was a auto dealership. My X-ray friend knows this area WELL and she says the name of it is Los Gatos Auto Mall , not sure if they have hummers , but "fancy" cars is right.

for those just getting home, Channels 3,4,5 and 7 all are there and had video. fire is out.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35427. Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 01:39:07 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35418 Message: 35427

At 04:43 PM 1/7/2005, you wrote:

>this was at the auto mall. anybody wanna guess maybe was those
>environmental anarchasts? This place sells alot of Hummers and SUVS..

PIO stated it was two plumbers and leaking natural gas from a commercial
water heater that
found an "ignition source".

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35428. RE: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 01:37:53 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35418 Message: 35428

Hey Ben,

Actually on cable channel 3 (KNTV 11) they said (speculated) it was 2 plumbers in the attic doing some work and they smelled a strong smell\odor of natural gas. I think they (the plumbers) were warning people to get out when the explosion happened...

This is all unconfirmed.

-Roger 17:35
-----Original Message-----
From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 4:43 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

this was at the auto mall. anybody wanna guess maybe was those environmental anarchasts? This place sells alot of Hummers and SUVS..

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> Live on channel 3 NBC right now...looks pretty bad!
>
> -Roger
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35429. Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 01:42:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35418 Message: 35429

San Jose Police have a ton of cops there. working on SJ 7
460.475 Officers from Districts A E N S T X Y pretty active ,
although its mostly crowd and traffic control.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35430. Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 01:43:58 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35418 Message: 35430

KRON 4 is overhead. Although the main fire is out there are a lot of spot fires still going. The FF's aren't going in do to the gas is still on per the PG&E Spokesman said. They haven't been able to get in to shut it off. It has been shut off at the street but still on in the building or what is left of the building.

just an observation

17:44

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35431. something going on in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 01:49:10 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35431 Message: 35431

On the bus going home we had to take a detour. Cops had Shatuck Ave. and Kitridge along with some other streets blocked off. Don't know when it started, bu listening to BPD, 460.175 460.250, they had a bomb dog at maybe a bank. Can't think of which bank it would be as I don't bank there. Problem may still be ongoing now at 17:50. I started listening at roughly 16:45.

35432. 17:50 Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 01:51:01 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35418 Message: 35432

mutual aid from SJ for usar being cancelled on white 1. 2 people missing
have been found.

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> Live on channel 3 NBC right now...looks pretty bad!
>
> -Roger
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1291q8kbr/M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1105230712/A=2434971/R=0/SIG=11eeoolb0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60185400>
>
>
>
> ~~~~~~
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35433. Idea for blind operation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 02:08:33 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 35418 Message: 35433

Hi Jim. Just got an idea that we use at the fire department.

So you know where you are as you're navigating the scanner, program in NOAA Weather freqs at the beginning of each bank or something. Lock them out so they don't scan, but when you're manually moving around the scanner you can hear where you're at. On my 32Ch fire HT, I programmed NOAA on channel 32 so I know to crank the knob until I hear weather, then go 1 click left to be on CDF Local and 1 click right to be on Tact 1 while the radio is in my pocket.

Maybe bank 1 can be channel 1 weather, bank 2 channel 31 and 32 weather, bank 3, channel 51, 52, 53 weather. Different combinations can work so you don't end up having 10 channels of weather for bank 10, but you get the idea.

Just my 2 cents and enjoy the weather down there. Blow it all away so I can enjoy my week long conference trip to MacWorld.

Jake

35434. Re: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 02:37:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35418 Message: 35434

That won't work with a BC246T and probably not the BCD396T as they have NO banks.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35435. Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 01:40:30 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35418 Message: 35435

yeah after I posted that I saw the same thing on the news... that thing
is beyond demolished. man looked like a war zone

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> Hey Ben,
>
> Actually on cable channel 3 (KNTV 11) they said (speculated) it was 2
> plumbers in the attic doing some work and they smelled a strong smell\odor
> of natural gas. I think they (the plumbers) were warning people to get out
> when the explosion happened...
>
> This is all unconfirmed.
>
> -Roger 17:35
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
> Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 4:43 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Large explosion in Los Gatos
>
>
> this was at the auto mall. anybody wanna guess maybe was those
> environmental anarchasts? This place sells alot of Hummers and SUVS..
>
> Roger Strohmeyer wrote:
>
> > Live on channel 3 NBC right now...looks pretty bad!
> >
> > -Roger
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35436. Re: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 02:37:51 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35418 Message: 35436

no banks? say what?

caladded@... wrote:

> That won't work with a BC246T and probably not the BCD396T as they
> have NO
> banks.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35437. Re: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 02:46:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35418 Message: 35437

the 246 doesn't have banks as with prior scanners. it has systems and groups.

my system 1 is San Mateo , I then break it into groups i.e. police / fire / CHP

but you can't directy access it like most scanners. my 296 for instance , I can put in 1-2-3 and I get channel 123. won't work with the 246T and probably not the 396 either. you HOLD the scanner on whatever channel and scroll to the desired channel. sounds odd but its done fairly quickly. So Jakes suggestion will not work with these scanners.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35438. OT: Bull Puts CHP Officer Behind Desk

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 03:26:09 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35438 Message: 35438

I know it's not radio related but.....

A veteran Marin County California Highway Patrol officer is behind a desk this week after his encounter with a 2,600-pound bull on Lakeville Highway on Tuesday afternoon. CHP Officer Patrick Ensley said the bull injured the unidentified officer when he and four ranchers tried to redirect it off the road and back onto a ranch through a 30-foot gate near the Cougar Mountain entrance to Infineon Raceway around 3 p.m. The 4-year-old bull would have none of it. Ensley said the polled, or hornless, bull pivoted, charged the officer and threw him seven feet in the air when it struck him in the torso with its head. The bull then stepped on the officer's lower legs and right hand as the officer was on his knees on the ground. It reentered the pasture by making a new hole in the wooden fence, Ensley said. The officer suffered deep bruising to his legs and hand and has been assigned to limited duties this week, Ensley said. The charging bull was not charged with assault, Ensley said.

That must'a hurt!

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35439. santa clara explosion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 03:55:25 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35439 Message: 35439

...bay city news points out that the location of the explosion 15200 Los Gatos Blvd. is the the former site of the Flames Restaurant....

nina

35440. Multi-Alarm Fire -- Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:25:03 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35418 Message: 35440

Need help from anyone that monitored this incident. I was on scene, but had to split for another incident and failed to get responding units and number of alarms. It was at least two alarms and was on Flora Vista in Santa Clara. Single family residence fully involved.

Any details would be greatly appreciated!

Cheers!!!

Craig

35441. Re: something going on in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:35:36 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35431 Message: 35441

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> On the bus going home we had to take a detour. Cops had Shatuck Ave. and
> Kitridge along with some other streets blocked off. Don't know when it
> started, bu listening to BPD, 460.175 460.250, they had a bomb dog at maybe
> a bank. Can't think of which bank it would be as I don't bank there.
> Problem may still be ongoing now at 17:50. I started listening at roughly
> 16:45.

I hapened to be listening to BPD. It was a holdup at a Bank of America. The bad guy left a duffle bag behind that he said was full of explosives he would set off by cell phone if any officers entered the bank within an hour of when he left. They detoured everyone away because they were afraid someone's cell phone might set off the explosives. Last I heard was that the bomb squad was in the bank about 1730 and said there were no explosives. Novel idea on the bad guy's part though since it kept most of the police tied up at the bank scene instead of looking for him.

Regards, Jim

35442. Re: Since we're talking about SF...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:36:18 UTC
From: Wayne
Topic: 35151 Message: 35442

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
> > the " rule of thumb" I go by for SF encryption is the
> > 2 ,3 and 4 digit talkgroups.
>
> Ok, well _that_ narrows it down! :-)
>
> (Did you drop a part of your sentence?)

Digital operation is configured to operate within the range of 16
and 8160.

-Wayne

35443. Re: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:34:19 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35418 Message: 35443

Hi Jake. Great idea! I've done that with my BC245, have no idea how the same strategy may or may not work on the newer scanners like the BC246 etc. Thanks for thinking about it!
Hey, you ben playing much music lately? That's what keeps me sane! best 73's, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jake H" <yahoo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 6:08 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

> Hi Jim. Just got an idea that we use at the fire department.
>
> So you know where you are as you're navigating the scanner, program in
> NOAA Weather freqs at the beginning of each bank or something. Lock them
> out so they don't scan, but when you're manually moving around the
> scanner you can hear where you're at. On my 32Ch fire HT, I programmed
> NOAA on channel 32 so I know to crank the knob until I hear weather,
> then go 1 click left to be on CDF Local and 1 click right to be on Tact
> 1 while the radio is in my pocket.
>
> Maybe bank 1 can be channel 1 weather, bank 2 channel 31 and 32 weather,
> bank 3, channel 51, 52, 53 weather. Different combinations can work so
> you don't end up having 10 channels of weather for bank 10, but you get
> the idea.
>
> Just my 2 cents and enjoy the weather down there. Blow it all away so I
> can enjoy my week long conference trip to MacWorld.
>
> Jake
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35444. Re: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:38:24 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35418 Message: 35444

Yah, Ed, sounds like me trying to use a Minidisk recorder where you have to scroll through menus until you get to the "right" one then hit enter or something. Thank God Sony made the MZB100, a very accessible minidisk recorder/player!! Three cheers to them. Check it out! Guys, it CAN BE Done! With a little forethought it really can! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 6:46 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

>
> the 246 doesn't have banks as with prior scanners. it has systems and groups.
>
> my system 1 is San Mateo , I then break it into groups i.e. police / fire /
> CHP
>
> but you can't directy access it like most scanners. my 296 for instance , I
> can put in 1-2-3 and I get channel 123. won't work with the 246T and
> probably not the 396 either. you HOLD the scanner on whatever channel and scroll
> to the desired channel. sounds odd but its done fairly quickly. So Jakes
> suggestion will not work with these scanners.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35445. Re: [bayscan] OT: Bull Puts CHP Officer Behind Desk

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:40:15 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35438 Message: 35445

I think that damned bull belongs in San Quentin! Sure would make a lot of convicts happy after they executed him.

----- Original Message -----
From: <Mobile11@...>
To: <Bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 7:26 PM
Subject: [bayscan] OT: Bull Puts CHP Officer Behind Desk

>
>
> I know it's not radio related but.......
>
> A veteran Marin County California Highway Patrol officer is behind a desk
> this week after his encounter with a 2,600-pound bull on Lakeville Highway on
> Tuesday afternoon. CHP Officer Patrick Ensley said the bull injured the
> unidentified officer when he and four ranchers tried to redirect it off the road and
> back onto a ranch through a 30-foot gate near the Cougar Mountain entrance to
> Infineon Raceway around 3 p.m. The 4-year-old bull would have none of it. Ensley
> said the polled, or hornless, bull pivoted, charged the officer and threw him
> seven feet in the air when it struck him in the torso with its head. The bull
> then stepped on the officer's lower legs and right hand as the officer was on
> his knees on the ground. It reentered the pasture by making a new hole in the
> wooden fence, Ensley said. The officer suffered deep bruising to his legs and
> hand and has been assigned to limited duties this week, Ensley said. The
> charging bull was not charged with assault, Ensley said.
>
> That must'a hurt!
>
>
> PY
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35446. Re: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:34:50 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35418 Message: 35446

Oh well, so much for my question to Jake's email. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 6:37 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Idea for blind operation

>
> That won't work with a BC246T and probably not the BCD396T as they have
NO
> banks.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35447. Re: [bayscan] Re: something going on in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 04:47:37 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35431 Message: 35447

Hi and thanks Jim. Yah the cops weren't transmitting in the area of the bank. I wonder how they first discovered that radios could set off bombs, etc. I remember my parents telling me not to transmit on my Good Buddy CB when we drove through blasting zones. Anyone have an idea how or when that discovery occured?
Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: <jjcooke@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 8:35 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: something going on in Berkeley

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> > On the bus going home we had to take a detour. Cops had Shatuck
> Ave. and
> > Kitridge along with some other streets blocked off. Don't know
> when it
> > started, bu listening to BPD, 460.175 460.250, they had a bomb dog
> at maybe
> > a bank. Can't think of which bank it would be as I don't bank
> there.
> > Problem may still be ongoing now at 17:50. I started listening at
> roughly
> > 16:45.
>
> I hapened to be listening to BPD. It was a holdup at a Bank of
> America. The bad guy left a duffle bag behind that he said was full
> of explosives he would set off by cell phone if any officers entered
> the bank within an hour of when he left. They detoured everyone away
> because they were afraid someone's cell phone might set off the
> explosives. Last I heard was that the bomb squad was in the bank
> about 1730 and said there were no explosives. Novel idea on the bad
> guy's part though since it kept most of the police tied up at the
> bank scene instead of looking for him.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35448. Re: [bayscan] OT: Bull Puts CHP Officer Behind Desk

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 05:16:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35438 Message: 35448

hmmm, could make him a cellmate for scott peterson

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35449. Marin Explosion?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 05:39:41 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35449 Message: 35449

Im hearing on Marin SO 483.1000 near the prudential building on sir francis drake there was just an explosion

35450. Re: something going on in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 05:55:52 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35431 Message: 35450

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> Hi and thanks Jim. Yah the cops weren't transmitting in the area of the
> bank. I wonder how they first discovered that radios could set off bombs,
> etc. I remember my parents telling me not to transmit on my Good Buddy CB
> when we drove through blasting zones. Anyone have an idea how or when that
> discovery occured?
> Jim

Because there are many modern blasting caps that set to go off when activated by a certain radio frequency. This saves having to run wiring all over the place and is common in quarrying and road construction that requires large cuts. There's also an issue with RF setting off explosives in wired arrays althouogh it's a very unlikely possibility. You can read about how RF affects fire works at http://www.hamradio-online.com/1996/feb/blasting.html .

Regards, Jim

35451. Re: [bayscan] Marin Explosion?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 06:06:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35449 Message: 35451

483.100 ?? never heard of it

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35452. Re: Marin Explosion?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 06:13:22 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35449 Message: 35452

Gee wiz.. it must not exist if you have never heard of it.
Also hearing traffic on 483.0500 and Fairafax PD. Somthing about a
bomb dog.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> 483.100 ?? never heard of it
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35453. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 06:16:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35449 Message: 35453

Marin is on MERA , trunked digital . that is probably ONE of the trunked frequencys.....what about the talkgroup ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35454. Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 08:59:27 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35374 Message: 35454

At 11:06 AM 1/7/2005, you wrote:
>I'm still trying to get an awnser on my alinco question so if you know
>anybody
>with ideas sendem my way.

What question is that?

Evan

35455. Re: [bayscan] Large explosion in Los Gatos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 09:01:44 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35418 Message: 35455

At 04:54 PM 1/7/2005, you wrote:
>SCCF PIO just was on channel 4.. said 2 critical and 1 minor injury..Damn
>it.. i was just working in that area yesterday.. why odes none of the cool
>stuff happen when im on duty.
>
>By the way im very dissapointed none of you posted on my trauma auto vs
>ped fatal on sunnyvale - saragtoga rd monday night. I cant post and save
>people at the same time!

I heard it but didn't hear it was a fatal.

Hey, you got my cell phone, call me when you're enroute and I can post it. :)

Evan

35456. Those pesky jacknifed trailers..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 09:21:50 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35456 Message: 35456

This is from the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup...

North Carolina PD.

http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack-knifed%20truck.wav

Gotta love the "Do you need another hand?"

hehe

35457. Re: OT: Bull Puts CHP Officer Behind Desk

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 14:50:51 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 35438 Message: 35457

Why didn't the officer use his patrol vehicle corral it? I know the CHP teaches their officers to use their vehicle for protection. So, wouldn't that have made more sense? I'm just thinking out loud...

This reminds me of a similiar situation I had when working for Caltrans. A coworker and I were patroling our section--I was riding shotgun--when we came upon two bulls fighting on the highway. Fortunately this wasn't a busy stretch of highway, so we just waited them out and remained in our truck. After a few short minutes they pushed themselves back through the fence on the opposite side of the roadway from where they entered.

We used our vehicle to block the opening. True, this ~really~ wouldn't have stopped. But it served as a deterrent. But the main point is: we used property that can easily be replaced if damaged--we DID NOT use our bodies. Our main objective: do the job and go home unhurt at the end of the day.

- Brian

35458. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: Bull Puts CHP Officer Behind Desk

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 16:57:34 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35438 Message: 35458

At 06:50 AM 1/8/2005, you wrote:

>Why didn't the officer use his patrol vehicle corral it?

Makes one wonder. Back in "the day" we had loose cattle
all the time in a certain rural area I patrolled.

Nothing beats a Large vehicle with a push bar and a 100 watt Unitrol in
their ear to "coax" them
back behind the fence.

It never even REMOTELY crossed my mind to get out of the truck and do it
by hand vs. an 800 Lb. bull

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35459. Southern Marin Tide Peaks, Winds Up, Usual Roads Closed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 17:28:16 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35459 Message: 35459

A beautiful clear and calm morning turned to light rain and southerly winds about 9 a.m., just as the high tide peaked. CHP, SO and PD's are closing the usual low roads, including the Lucky off from 101. If the wind continues blowing up Richardson Bay, the flooding could persist even as the tide recedes.

Live picture from Sausalito available courtesy of my landlord:

http://rntl.net/sausalitocam.htm

35460. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: Bull Puts CHP Officer Behind Desk

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 17:30:28 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35438 Message: 35460

Mabey the officer was between his car and bull and he had his red lights on.
We all know what happens when a bull see red!

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35461. marin 211 / code 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 17:32:58 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35461 Message: 35461

0930

after 211 w/ knife in novato, HMA

code 33, code 777

older model ford f150 poss southbound on 101

tg 62800

nina
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35462. RE: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 18:04:02 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35461 Message: 35462

Suspect now here in little old Terra Linda where I live. Foot bailed somewhere around Freitas parkway and Las Pavadas and was seen jumping fences . San Rafael PD and CHP setting up a command post at Hickory and Hyacinth....also calling in Dog's and Chopper (weather permitting)

SRPD TG 52048
APB 62800
CHP 42.16
Marin Sheriff 45616

-Roger 10:03am

-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 9:33 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777

0930

after 211 w/ knife in novato, HMA

code 33, code 777

older model ford f150 poss southbound on 101

tg 62800

nina
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35463. RE: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 18:09:29 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35449 Message: 35463

I dont want to be mean sprited here but from the looks of your post, you need some help setting up your radio properly.

-Roger

-----Original Message-----
From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@...]
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 10:13 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

Gee wiz.. it must not exist if you have never heard of it.
Also hearing traffic on 483.0500 and Fairafax PD. Somthing about a bomb dog.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> 483.100 ?? never heard of it
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35464. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 18:11:01 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35449 Message: 35464

On Saturday, Jan 8, 2005, at 10:09 US/Pacific, Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> I dont want to be mean sprited here but from the looks of your post,
> you
> need some help setting up your radio properly.
>
> -Roger
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@...]
> Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 10:13 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?
>
>
>
> Gee wiz.. it must not exist if you have never heard of it.
> Also hearing traffic on 483.0500 and Fairafax PD. Somthing about a
> bomb dog.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>> 483.100 ?? never heard of it
>>
>> ED
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35465. RE: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 18:16:35 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35461 Message: 35465

Just hearing the shots were fired at some point by an officer during this whole scenerio. I dont know if he was returning fire but shots were indeed fired.

-Roger 10:16 am
-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 10:04 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777

Suspect now here in little old Terra Linda where I live. Foot bailed somewhere around Freitas parkway and Las Pavadas and was seen jumping fences
. San Rafael PD and CHP setting up a command post at Hickory and Hyacinth....also calling in Dog's and Chopper (weather permitting)

SRPD TG 52048
APB 62800
CHP 42.16
Marin Sheriff 45616

-Roger 10:03am

-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 9:33 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777

0930

after 211 w/ knife in novato, HMA

code 33, code 777

older model ford f150 poss southbound on 101

tg 62800

nina
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35466. OT- but interesting/ snow rescues in San Bernardino

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 18:43:20 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35466 Message: 35466

Listening to a VERY interesting rescue operation in San Bernardino
on their System 8 trunk system. ArrowBear.

2 Divisions of snow-cats + snowmobile rescues of 150 trapped people
and 200 trapped vehicles.

Multiple medical calls being evac'd by snowmobile.

Very interesting to listen to.

On the Scannerbuff teamspeak server.
teamspeak.scannerbuff.net:8767

Channel San Bernardino

Lots of communication interoperability problems being discussed.

35467. Re: New unit designations for San Mateo PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 18:53:40 UTC
From: jcbaker
Topic: 34905 Message: 35467

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Norman Worthington" <norman11@p...> wrote:
From Dec. 19:
> Last night I started hearing Alpha units as well as Sam and Lincoln
> (more than the usual Sgt. and Lt.) being dispatched on calls. Is
> this temporary or are they replacing the old Adam, Boy, Charlie etc.
> beats?

Late to this thread, but the San Mateo officers associations' Christmas party was on the 19th. The Chief (Alpha 1), captains (other alphas) , lieutenants and sergeants worked the streets for a night to give the rank and file a few hours off.

35468. marin 211/ 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 18:45:51 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35468 Message: 35468

0952

vehicle abandonned related to 211

perimeter established...

calling air support and k9 unit

mutual aid from so and novato requested

suspect spotted...
seen at 446 hickory running eastbpund over fences...

0954 swat team requested

52048

nina

==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35469. FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:38:19 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35469 Message: 35469

SWAT starting search at 412 Hickory.

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 10:46 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777

0952

vehicle abandonned related to 211

perimeter established...

calling air support and k9 unit

mutual aid from so and novato requested

suspect spotted...
seen at 446 hickory running eastbpund over fences...

0954 swat team requested

52048

nina

==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35470. Re: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:40:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35461 Message: 35470

what TG is the SWAT units using for the search ???

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35471. FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:41:40 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35469 Message: 35471

Also sending up a chopper from Knoss Field.

-Roger

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 11:38 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777

SWAT starting search at 412 Hickory.

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 10:46 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777

0952

vehicle abandonned related to 211

perimeter established...

calling air support and k9 unit

mutual aid from so and novato requested

suspect spotted...
seen at 446 hickory running eastbpund over fences...

0954 swat team requested

52048

nina

==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35472. RE: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:43:35 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35461 Message: 35472

Good question Ed. I'm only hearing them on 62800. They may be using non-MERA portable radios?

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 11:41 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777

what TG is the SWAT units using for the search ???

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35473. Traffic in San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:44:20 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35473 Message: 35473

Any flooding going on in San Rafael or Corte Madera?

Was just looking at the CalTrans Vide of SB 101 @ 580

Slow traffic southbound. Is that Normal traffic or is Lucky Drive in
Larksbur/Corte Madera flooded again?

http://video.dot.ca.gov/ (http://video.dot.ca.gov/)

BTW if your gonna look check out the cams for the Tahoe area...WhiteOut!

50 @ Myers
80 @ Kingvale
80 @ Truckee Truck Scales (Not a white out but a ton of snow)
50 @ Ski Run

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35474. Re: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bruno Jail

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:46:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35474 Message: 35474

ok, thanks.....what helo would that be ?? wish I got Marin better than I do ;-(

also, San Bruno Fire and AMR at San Bruno Jail on london ct up by skyline. Deputy assulted by inmate , needs c-collar ......no more on this.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35475. Re: [bayscan] snow

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:48:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35475 Message: 35475

I love snow , especially when its on my TV set LOL .....heard this morning 50 was closed ? not sure now , but more white stuff on the way. it will close again if its open and 80 will be NOT a fun drive

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35476. FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777 Code 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:53:01 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35476 Message: 35476

One suspect in custody at 412 Hickory. Code 4 but looking for second suspect.

-Roger 11:52am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35477. RE: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bruno Jail

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 20:03:43 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35474 Message: 35477

They just said Air 197 I believe...it is overhead the perimeter now.
-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 11:47 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bruno Jail

ok, thanks.....what helo would that be ?? wish I got Marin better
than
I do ;-(

also, San Bruno Fire and AMR at San Bruno Jail on london ct up by
skyline. Deputy assulted by inmate , needs c-collar ......no more on
this.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35478. Re: [bayscan] Re: New unit designations for San Mateo PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 20:08:23 UTC
From: Norman Worthington
Topic: 34905 Message: 35478

Thanks very much for the explaination. I've been monitoring SMPD for 35 years and had never heard that before.

Best regards,

Norm

jcbaker <jcb10@...> wrote:

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Norman Worthington" <norman11@p...> wrote:
From Dec. 19:
> Last night I started hearing Alpha units as well as Sam and Lincoln
> (more than the usual Sgt. and Lt.) being dispatched on calls. Is
> this temporary or are they replacing the old Adam, Boy, Charlie etc.
> beats?

Late to this thread, but the San Mateo officers associations' Christmas party was on the 19th. The Chief (Alpha 1), captains (other alphas) , lieutenants and sergeants worked the streets for a night to give the rank and file a few hours off.

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35479. FW: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bruno Jail

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 20:09:30 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35479 Message: 35479

It's actually Air 1 (one) I heard her say Air 1 is 97 as in arrived on the scene. :-)

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 12:04 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bruno Jail

They just said Air 197 I believe...it is overhead the perimeter now.
-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 11:47 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bruno Jail

ok, thanks.....what helo would that be ?? wish I got Marin better than
I do ;-(

also, San Bruno Fire and AMR at San Bruno Jail on london ct up by skyline. Deputy assulted by inmate , needs c-collar ......no more on this.

ED

a.. ! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35480. Fwd: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 19:31:15 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35480 Message: 35480

hargghh! posts at 1130!!!

Begin forwarded message:

> From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
> Date: Sat Jan 8, 2005 10:45:51 US/Pacific
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>
>
> 0952
>
> vehicle abandonned related to 211
>
> perimeter established...
>
> calling air support and k9 unit
>
> mutual aid from so and novato requested
>
> suspect spotted...
> seen at 446 hickory running eastbpund over fences...
>
> 0954 swat team requested
>
>
> 52048
>
> nina
>

35481. FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777 Code 4 (quick update)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 21:06:27 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35481 Message: 35481

FYI I was just on the scene and Air 1 is actually an airplane not a chopper.

They are still looking for the second suspect....PD\SWAT now searching 416 Hickory Lane I believe.

-Roger 13:05 hours

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 11:53 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777 Code 4

One suspect in custody at 412 Hickory. Code 4 but looking for second suspect.

-Roger 11:52am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35482. Re: FW: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bru...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 21:10:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35482 Message: 35482

Sorry about this but who has Air 1 ? Sonoma S.O. ? also , who handles terra linda normally ? SRPD or the SO ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35483. FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777 Code 4 second suspect in custody

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 21:13:16 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35483 Message: 35483

Second suspect was taken into custody at 451 Hibiscus. Code 4, 10-15.

Sorry about the multiple posts but we don't get scanner action like this too often in Marin. ;-)

-Roger

PS PD is still holding perimeter for awhile longer

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 1:06 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777 Code 4 (quick update)

FYI I was just on the scene and Air 1 is actually an airplane not a chopper.

They are still looking for the second suspect....PD\SWAT now searching 416 Hickory Lane I believe.

-Roger 13:05 hours

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 11:53 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: FW: [bayscan] marin 211/ 777 Code 4

One suspect in custody at 412 Hickory. Code 4 but looking for second suspect.

-Roger 11:52am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35484. RE: FW: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bru...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 21:17:52 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35482 Message: 35484

Jim might be able to correct me on this but I believe Air 1 is used by CHP locally for Air Traffic Patrol.

San Rafael PD handles Terra Linda but Terra Linda was out of the San Rafael City limits when we moved to TL from San Rafael in 1963. They incorporated it in the late 60's early 70's.

-Roger 13:17 hours
-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 1:11 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: FW: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bru...

Sorry about this but who has Air 1 ? Sonoma S.O. ? also , who handles
terra linda normally ? SRPD or the SO ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35485. Re: FW: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bru...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 21:25:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35482 Message: 35485

never heard CHP with an Air 1 ....their fixed wings are Air 31 and Air 37 , maybe it was Air 31 ....they usually use the fixed wings in the daytime and the helos (H30 and H32) at night.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35486. Amber Alert - Los Angeles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 22:59:34 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35486 Message: 35486

Missing > Jason Steele-Murdock 2 yrs 3' 1" 28lbs white male juvenile / blue eyes / blonde hair ..last seen with green coat and blue sweatshirt/ blue jeans / blue shoes

suspect 1 / WFA 30-35 yrs 5' 7" 130lbs thin long blue coat with hood.

suspect 2 / WMA 6' 2" large build 30-35 yrs no clothing descrip.

suspect 3 / WMA 6' 2" unk race . large build / green military jacket

vehicle 1 / 2002 white car 4 doors

vehicle 2/ 2002 white ford pick up cal license 6W95060

Ed
1500 hours

35487. Re: [bayscan] OT- but interesting/ snow rescues in San Bernardino

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-08 23:13:44 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35466 Message: 35487

also on
www.mattlogic.com
feed 12

KG6VXT wrote:

> Listening to a VERY interesting rescue operation in San Bernardino
> on their System 8 trunk system. ArrowBear.
>
> 2 Divisions of snow-cats + snowmobile rescues of 150 trapped people
> and 200 trapped vehicles.
>
> Multiple medical calls being evac'd by snowmobile.
>
> Very interesting to listen to.
>
> On the Scannerbuff teamspeak server.
> teamspeak.scannerbuff.net:8767
>
> Channel San Bernardino
>
> Lots of communication interoperability problems being discussed.
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35488. Re: FW: [bayscan] marin 211 / code 777---------- deputy down in San Bru...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 00:40:57 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35482 Message: 35488

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> never heard CHP with an Air 1 ....their fixed wings are Air 31 and
> Air 37 , maybe it was Air 31 ....they usually use the fixed wings in the
> daytime and the helos (H30 and H32) at night.
>
> ED

Correct. It would have been CHP Air 31 flying out Gnoss Field. Roger probably just heard the designator wrong. Sonoma S.O. has only one aircraft, Henry One, a helo flying out of Sonoma County/Charles M Shultz Airport.

Regards, Jim

35489. patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 00:52:39 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 35489 Message: 35489

I heard a call to cancel an ambulance due to "patient ama." I think that's what I heard. I was curious what that meant.

Thanks, Steve

35490. Re: [bayscan] patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 00:58:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35489 Message: 35490

At 04:52 PM 1/8/2005, you wrote:

>I heard a call to cancel an ambulance due to "patient ama." I think
>that's what I heard. I was curious what that meant.

AMA = Against Medical Advice.

Basically means the medics believe the person should be taken to a hospital
(via ambulance at least) but the patient feels otherwise.

Been a while since I read one, but essentially relieves the agency of
responsibility should the person ... well... you know.

Evan

35491. Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 01:07:12 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 35489 Message: 35491

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 04:52 PM 1/8/2005, you wrote:
>
> >I heard a call to cancel an ambulance due to "patient ama." I
think
> >that's what I heard. I was curious what that meant.
>
>
> AMA = Against Medical Advice.
>
> Basically means the medics believe the person should be taken to a
hospital
> (via ambulance at least) but the patient feels otherwise.
>
> Been a while since I read one, but essentially relieves the agency
of
> responsibility should the person ... well... you know.
>
> Evan

I guess the longer version of that that I've heard is,
"patient declines medical assistance." AMA sure is a lot shorter! <g?

Thanks, Steve

35492. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 01:15:16 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35449 Message: 35492

sounds good.. want to help? I'm at a loss because I have asked for help before and people just seem to link me to stuff. Then I read the link and try to figure it out.. I still seem to be on a different page then every one else.. The tag is the 5 digit number correct? Is that what you want posted when the traffic is on a digital system such as MERA? I have programed in all the trucked stuff for MERA and I seem to be hearing every agency fine; however the trucked stuff for SF and Oakland do not work at all.. This is frustrating. I know i heard traffic on those freq I posted.. Help! =\

Thanks

----- Original Message -----
From: Roger Strohmeyer
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 10:09 AM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

I dont want to be mean sprited here but from the looks of your post, you
need some help setting up your radio properly.

-Roger

-----Original Message-----
From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@...]
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 10:13 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

Gee wiz.. it must not exist if you have never heard of it.
Also hearing traffic on 483.0500 and Fairafax PD. Somthing about a
bomb dog.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> 483.100 ?? never heard of it
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35493. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 01:16:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35489 Message: 35493

At 05:07 PM 1/8/2005, you wrote:

>I guess the longer version of that that I've heard is,
>"patient declines medical assistance." AMA sure is a lot shorter! <g?

That could be two different things.
(and I could be wrong).

From what I gather: If medics arrive to report of a unconscious person'
and it's simply a transient (or otherwise) person taking a nap, it's a
'patient declines medical assistance' (the medics don't believe the patient
needs to go either). If, however the medics believe the patient SHOULD go
to the hospital, but patient declines, it's a AMA. No AMA is necessary if
the medics don't believe the patient should be transported.

Evan

35494. RE: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 01:19:24 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35449 Message: 35494

Sure Bayard, please contact me off list and we'll take it from there.

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: Bayard [mailto:Charger@...]
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 5:15 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

sounds good.. want to help? I'm at a loss because I have asked for help
before and people just seem to link me to stuff. Then I read the link and
try to figure it out.. I still seem to be on a different page then every
one else.. The tag is the 5 digit number correct? Is that what you want
posted when the traffic is on a digital system such as MERA? I have
programed in all the trucked stuff for MERA and I seem to be hearing every
agency fine; however the trucked stuff for SF and Oakland do not work at
all.. This is frustrating. I know i heard traffic on those freq I posted..
Help! =\

Thanks

----- Original Message -----
From: Roger Strohmeyer
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 10:09 AM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

I dont want to be mean sprited here but from the looks of your post, you
need some help setting up your radio properly.

-Roger

-----Original Message-----
From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@...]
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2005 10:13 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Marin Explosion?

Gee wiz.. it must not exist if you have never heard of it.
Also hearing traffic on 483.0500 and Fairafax PD. Somthing about a
bomb dog.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> 483.100 ?? never heard of it
>
> ED
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35495. CHP extenders

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 01:19:44 UTC
From: John Beatty
Topic: 35495 Message: 35495

I was stuck up on Hwy. 50 last week during a 5 hour
closure with nowt to do. I was just searching around
with my dual band. 2m/440 Somebody on the West side
told me about 154.905. It's the CHP mobile extenders
frequency. I was able to here quite a bit of good dreck.
Pretty neat because everywhere I go in the state notwithstanding
the frequency that the CHP is transmitting/ recieveing on, I
can here them (if a unit is close enough)
J.B. AD6WX

35496. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 01:26:32 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 35489 Message: 35496

I'm not sure if this relates or not, but I'm sure somebody here can point me straight. I heard a call today from MGH that a patient was leaving the emergency room against the order of a doctor. They were dispatching Twin cities and the Sherriff (I think that the code was 647F). I was under the impression that unless you were under arrest you were free to leave a hospital anytime you wished? Reguardless of what anyone else thought.

Am I mistaken?

J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35497. Re: [bayscan] CHP extenders

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 01:25:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35495 Message: 35497

At 05:19 PM 1/8/2005, you wrote:

>I was stuck up on Hwy. 50 last week during a 5 hour
>closure with nowt to do. I was just searching around
>with my dual band. 2m/440 Somebody on the West side
>told me about 154.905. It's the CHP mobile extenders
>frequency. I was able to here quite a bit of good dreck.
>Pretty neat because everywhere I go in the state notwithstanding
>the frequency that the CHP is transmitting/ recieveing on, I
>can here them (if a unit is close enough)

Yup. My dual band mobile has that frequency in it too as I don't have a scanner. Usually I'm lucky if I get a mile or so, but beggers can't be choosers. :)

Evan

35498. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 01:30:52 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35489 Message: 35498

There are two things you will hear. They mean different things are different counties because each county EMS does its own thing. (Which by the way makes no sense at all but that's a different soap box.)

AMA = Against Medical Advice This means the paramedic feels the person should be transported to the hospital however the patient does not want to go and refuses medical transport. In order to do this the patient MUST be alert and oriented X 4. This means they must be able to answer 4 simple questions.

Example: Whets your name, Age, City you live in, who is the president of the USA?

RAS = Release at Scene this is for people who did not call 9-1-1 for them self and/or have no medical complaint. This makes them a "non-patient." Non patients still have to sign this form stating we arrived and they did not need our services. This form is used in some counties for patients who have an injury but the paramedics do NOT think they need to be transported via ambulance. Example: Cut to the finger. Person with toe pain.

I hope I did not just confuse you all now =)

I would link you to the policy online but the Santa Clara EMS web site appears to be down at the moment.

----- Original Message -----
From: Steve Wilson
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 5:07 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: patient ama?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 04:52 PM 1/8/2005, you wrote:
>
> >I heard a call to cancel an ambulance due to "patient ama." I
think
> >that's what I heard. I was curious what that meant.
>
>
> AMA = Against Medical Advice.
>
> Basically means the medics believe the person should be taken to a
hospital
> (via ambulance at least) but the patient feels otherwise.
>
> Been a while since I read one, but essentially relieves the agency
of
> responsibility should the person ... well... you know.
>
> Evan

I guess the longer version of that that I've heard is,
"patient declines medical assistance." AMA sure is a lot shorter! <g?

Thanks, Steve

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35499. Re: CHP extenders

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 02:03:29 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 35495 Message: 35499

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 05:19 PM 1/8/2005, you wrote:
>
> >I was stuck up on Hwy. 50 last week during a 5 hour
> >closure with nowt to do. I was just searching around
> >with my dual band. 2m/440 Somebody on the West side
> >told me about 154.905. It's the CHP mobile extenders
> >frequency. I was able to here quite a bit of good dreck.
> >Pretty neat because everywhere I go in the state notwithstanding
> >the frequency that the CHP is transmitting/ recieveing on, I
> >can here them (if a unit is close enough)
>
> Yup. My dual band mobile has that frequency in it too as I don't
have a
> scanner. Usually I'm lucky if I get a mile or so, but beggers can't
be
> choosers. :)
>
> Evan

I also heard that the CHP installed repeaters throughout the area, as coverage seems to be better. One of the most comprehensive CHP frequency lists I have found on the web is at: http://www.scancal.org/chp/freq.html I have all the bay area frequencies in one group and ALL frequencies in another in my BC246T. That way I only scan the local stuff when I'm around here and switch to all frequencies when I travel. Monitoring their frequencies clued me into a fixed wing working on the other side of a hill on a trip up I-5 several years ago. Nothing like hearing something like, "it's the red honda passing the u-haul truck now" and then cresting the hill to see three CHP cars on the side of the road and SEEING the red honda! <g>

Steve

35500. Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 02:13:01 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35489 Message: 35500

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> I'm not sure if this relates or not, but I'm sure somebody here can point me straight. I heard a call today from MGH that a patient was leaving the emergency room against the order of a doctor. They were dispatching Twin cities and the Sherriff (I think that the code was 647F). I was under the impression that unless you were under arrest you were free to leave a hospital anytime you wished? Reguardless of what anyone else thought.
>
> Am I mistaken?
>
> J.B. AD6WX

647(f) is the penal code section for being under the influence of alcohol or drugs. 647(g) allows a peace officer to take a person suspected of being guilty of 647(f) into civil protective custody and transport the suspect to a treatment facility for a 72 hour evaluation. I assume the staff at MGH thought this person was too much under the influence to care for himself so they were asking the police to take him into custody under PC 647(g).

Regards, Jim

35501. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 02:29:40 UTC
From: Tony Schollar
Topic: 35489 Message: 35501

From what I have been taught the patient must be conscious, to sign an AMA form, meaning that fire and AMR (usually) released from any medical advise this is expressed consent, now if the patient is unconscious then its implied consent, meaning that medics has every right to transport, only medics can do AMA, EMT's Can't. then there is all this things about minors being transported and parents have to give consent to transport.

--- jjcooke@... wrote:

>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty
> <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> > I'm not sure if this relates or not, but I'm sure
> somebody here can
> point me straight. I heard a call today from MGH
> that a patient was
> leaving the emergency room against the order of a
> doctor. They were
> dispatching Twin cities and the Sherriff (I think
> that the code was
> 647F). I was under the impression that unless you
> were under arrest
> you were free to leave a hospital anytime you
> wished? Reguardless of
> what anyone else thought.
> >
> > Am I mistaken?
> >
> > J.B. AD6WX
>
> 647(f) is the penal code section for being under the
> influence of
> alcohol or drugs. 647(g) allows a peace officer to
> take a person
> suspected of being guilty of 647(f) into civil
> protective custody and
> transport the suspect to a treatment facility for a
> 72 hour
> evaluation. I assume the staff at MGH thought this
> person was too
> much under the influence to care for himself so they
> were asking the

> police to take him into custody under PC 647(g).
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>

35502. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 02:37:17 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35489 Message: 35502

EMT's can certainly do AMA's... Not everything is an ALS call. Not all fd's have paramedics on them. Its is common practice for fire to cancel AMR and do an AMA at the scene...

Tony Schollar wrote:

> >From what I have been taught the patient must be
> conscious, to sign an AMA form, meaning that fire and
> AMR (usually) released from any medical advise this is
> expressed consent, now if the patient is unconscious
> then its implied consent, meaning that medics has
> every right to transport, only medics can do AMA,
> EMT's Can't. then there is all this things about
> minors being transported and parents have to give
> consent to transport.
>
>
> --- jjcooke@... wrote:
>
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty
> > <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> > > I'm not sure if this relates or not, but I'm sure
> > somebody here can
> > point me straight. I heard a call today from MGH
> > that a patient was
> > leaving the emergency room against the order of a
> > doctor. They were
> > dispatching Twin cities and the Sherriff (I think
> > that the code was
> > 647F). I was under the impression that unless you
> > were under arrest
> > you were free to leave a hospital anytime you
> > wished? Reguardless of
> > what anyone else thought.
> > >
> > > Am I mistaken?
> > >
> > > J.B. AD6WX
> >
> > 647(f) is the penal code section for being under the
> > influence of
> > alcohol or drugs. 647(g) allows a peace officer to
> > take a person
> > suspected of being guilty of 647(f) into civil

> > protective custody and
> > transport the suspect to a treatment facility for a
> > 72 hour
> > evaluation. I assume the staff at MGH thought this
> > person was too
> > much under the influence to care for himself so they
> > were asking the
> > police to take him into custody under PC 647(g).
> >
> > Regards, Jim
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35503. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 04:14:17 UTC
From: Tony Schollar
Topic: 35489 Message: 35503

Thats right about common practice, but EMT's cannot do
AMA's only Medics,

What emt's can do is for patients that refuse service,
that applies to those persons who are refusing ALL EMS
services INCLUDING assessment and transportations.

Refusal of care applies to patients who by DIRECT
examation, MOI, or by initating a patient relationship
by 911.

here is the ALCO Protocals #8040.

http://www.co.alameda.ca.us/PublicHealth/organization/divisions/ems/Resource_policy_manual
/RefusalofService_RefusalofCare.pdf

--- Ben <bkellogg9@...> wrote:

> EMT's can certainly do AMA's... Not everything is an
> ALS call. Not all
> fd's have paramedics on them. Its is common practice
> for fire to cancel
> AMR and do an AMA at the scene...
>
> Tony Schollar wrote:
>
> > >From what I have been taught the patient must be
> > conscious, to sign an AMA form, meaning that fire
> and
> > AMR (usually) released from any medical advise
> this is
> > expressed consent, now if the patient is
> unconscious
> > then its implied consent, meaning that medics has
> > every right to transport, only medics can do AMA,
> > EMT's Can't. then there is all this things about
> > minors being transported and parents have to give
> > consent to transport.
> >
> >
> > --- jjcooke@... wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty
> > > <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> > > > I'm not sure if this relates or not, but I'm

> sure
> > > somebody here can
> > > point me straight. I heard a call today from MGH
> > > that a patient was
> > > leaving the emergency room against the order of
> a
> > > doctor. They were
> > > dispatching Twin cities and the Sherriff (I
> think
> > > that the code was
> > > 647F). I was under the impression that unless
> you
> > > were under arrest
> > > you were free to leave a hospital anytime you
> > > wished? Reguardless of
> > > what anyone else thought.
> > > >
> > > > Am I mistaken?
> > > >
> > > > J.B. AD6WX
> > >
> > > 647(f) is the penal code section for being under
> the
> > > influence of
> > > alcohol or drugs. 647(g) allows a peace officer
> to
> > > take a person
> > > suspected of being guilty of 647(f) into civil
> > > protective custody and
> > > transport the suspect to a treatment facility
> for a
> > > 72 hour
> > > evaluation. I assume the staff at MGH thought
> this
> > > person was too
> > > much under the influence to care for himself so
> they
> > > were asking the
> > > police to take him into custody under PC 647(g).
> > >
> > > Regards, Jim
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
>
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>

35504. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 04:20:33 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35489 Message: 35504

At 08:14 PM 1/8/2005, you wrote:
>Thats right about common practice, but EMT's cannot do
>AMA's only Medics,
>
>What emt's can do is for patients that refuse service,
>that applies to those persons who are refusing ALL EMS
>services INCLUDING assessment and transportations.
>
>Refusal of care applies to patients who by DIRECT
>examation, MOI, or by initating a patient relationship
>by 911.
>
>here is the ALCO Protocals #8040.

That may be county by county. I know in Santa Clara County FD's AMA patients - and they are EMT's.

Evan

35505. Re: [bayscan] patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 05:44:31 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 35489 Message: 35505

Steve Wilson wrote:

>I heard a call to cancel an ambulance due to "patient ama." I think
>that's what I heard. I was curious what that meant.
>
>Thanks, Steve
>
>

ama = against medical advise

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

35506. 2 new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 09:13:17 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35506 Message: 35506

TG 58576 (sounded like PD)
TG 34992 (sounded like PD)

-Roger in San Rafael 1:13am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35507. 19th Ave. @ Rivera .. Whats going on?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 10:12:52 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35507 Message: 35507

Wow i wish SFPD worked on my scanner. I was driving home from the AMR X-mas party just now and saw 15-20 SFPD units out front what looked like a night club or bar. SFFD was staging down the block. Large fight I assume.. Any one hear this? Just courious.

35508. Re: [bayscan] 19th Ave. @ Rivera .. Whats going on?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 10:18:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35507 Message: 35508

I am monitoring Bens' feed for SF now . will post if I hear anything

what scanner do you own ? a BC245 is under 200 bucks and gets SFFD and SFPD. Doesn't have " all " the bells and whistles , but it what I had for a few years and my nephew now takes when he goes into the city. good scanner.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35509. Re: [bayscan] 19th Ave. @ Rivera .. Whats going on?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 10:22:26 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35507 Message: 35509

Its not that my scanner sucks.. The problem is user error =). Im getting help to set it up asap. I have a BC796D
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 2:18 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] 19th Ave. @ Rivera .. Whats going on?

I am monitoring Bens' feed for SF now . will post if I hear anything

what scanner do you own ? a BC245 is under 200 bucks and gets SFFD and
SFPD. Doesn't have " all " the bells and whistles , but it what I had for a few
years and my nephew now takes when he goes into the city. good scanner.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35510. Re: [bayscan] 19th Ave. @ Rivera .. Whats going on?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 10:27:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35507 Message: 35510

so its new right ? the scanner is excellant , I own TWO of
them AND a BC296 (same scanner = handheld)

the mistake most people make when programming is they DON'T set up the
bank as a trunked frequency . go over it again and DO NOT skip any steps , do it
slowly and it will work for you. its a great scanner.

I did hear a shooting mentioned , not sure if its what you saw, didn't catch
location.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35511. Re: [bayscan] patient ama?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 14:11:02 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 35489 Message: 35511

hi there what city did u hear this in???

Anthony

35512. SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 17:04:37 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35512 Message: 35512

San Jose cleaning up a greater alarm on Little Orchard in 3s area

went under control around 0845 . no more details.....

command 3 / 154.115

Sounds like they are changing shifts at 0900.....used to be
0800.....?? something new I guess

Ed
0903

35513. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 17:07:16 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35512 Message: 35513

Just got back from this 3-alarm incident. Images to follow (nothing too exciting due to late arrival).

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

On 1/9/05 9:04 AM, "Ed" <caladded@...> wrote:

>
>
>
> San Jose cleaning up a greater alarm on Little Orchard in 3s area
>
> went under control around 0845 . no more details.....
>
> command 3 / 154.115
>
>
> Sounds like they are changing shifts at 0900.....used to be
> 0800.....?? something new I guess
>
> Ed
> 0903

35514. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 17:08:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35512 Message: 35514

Craig ..... any details like cross street.....type
buildings etc ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35515. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 17:10:55 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35512 Message: 35515

Patience, patience... ;^)

On 1/9/05 9:08 AM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> Craig ..... any details like cross street.....type
> buildings etc ?

35516. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 17:44:15 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35512 Message: 35516

This was a 3-alarm warehouse fire at the Santa Clara Nut Company located at 1590 Little Orchard. IC called incident under control at 0846 hours on January 9, 2005.

There were multiple safety concerns including the presence of at least one drum of methyl bromide - a commonly used pesticide - that was not breached. Second major safety concern was a large HVAC unit on the roof of the warehouse that threatened to collapse as the roof had been compromised. Finally, downed electrical wires posed a major safety concern.

Units responding included Engine 30, Engine 7, Engine 6, Engine 3, Truck 1, Truck 3, Truck 4, Truck 18, Battalion 29, Battalion 2, etc.

I missed most of the action due to a relatively late night and missing a phone call because I simply couldn't get my sleepy rear out of bed! ;^)

Want to see some pics? Nothing amazing, but gives an idea of the conditions and the structure...let me know!

On 1/9/05 9:08 AM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
> Craig ..... any details like cross street.....type
> buildings etc ?
>
> ED

35517. Re: [bayscan] 19th Ave. @ Rivera .. Whats going on?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 17:35:46 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35507 Message: 35517

gee ed with all those scanners you're starting to turn into me :-)

caladded@... wrote:

> so its new right ? the scanner is excellant , I own
> TWO of
> them AND a BC296 (same scanner = handheld)
>
> the mistake most people make when programming is they DON'T set up the
> bank as a trunked frequency . go over it again and DO NOT skip any
> steps , do it
> slowly and it will work for you. its a great scanner.
>
> I did hear a shooting mentioned , not sure if its what you saw, didn't
> catch
> location.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35518. Marin police action yesterday

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 18:48:45 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35518 Message: 35518

http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24407~2643949,00.html

Two teenage suspects were arrested yesterday for their role in a Novato attempted robbery after they barreled through a roadblock and drew fire from police, touching off a car chase before more than 60 officers hunted them down in a San Rafael neighborhood, authorities said.
The incident began at 9:10 a.m. when police said one of the suspects pulled a knife on a 25-year-old woman in the parking lot of Safeway at 470 Ignacio Blvd., police said.

Police said the suspects fled empty-handed before the victim responded. Police declined to release the victim's identity, citing privacy issues.

A witness to the robbery summoned police and followed the two suspects riding in a white Ford pickup truck over the Ignacio Boulevard Highway 101 overpass to Nave Drive and onto Marin Valley Drive, where Novato police officers had a roadblock set up.

The suspects evaded police, hitting the witness's vehicle and veering toward a Novato police officer as they drove around the roadblock, police said. The officer, who police declined to identify, drew his gun and fired two rounds at the truck. No one was injured in the incident.

Police alerted local law enforcement agencies, including the California Highway Patrol, the Marin County Sheriff's office and the Tiburon and San Rafael police departments.

The suspects then fled southbound on Highway 101, where a CHP officer spotted them and gave pursuit off the Manuel T. Freitas Parkway exit. The two suspects pulled over on the 400 block of Hickory Lane in Terra Linda and fled on foot, San Rafael police spokeswoman Margo Rohrbacher said.

A total of 65 officers from various agencies cordoned off the area around Hickory Lane as SWAT teams by fanned out in the normally sleepy neighborhood, Rohrbacher said. A Marin County sheriff's airplane also was used in the search, she said.

During the three-hour manhunt, the Marin County Office of Emergency Services left residents automated telephone messages to stay in their homes for safety while police looked for the suspects, who they said were armed and dangerous, Rohr-bacher said.

Tom Charlton, a retired iron worker who lives at 309 Holly Drive with his wife, Nancy, said he heeded police warnings to stay indoors during the search, which was unprecedented during his seven years living in the area.

"I felt pretty safe because there was an awful lot of police activity in the area," he said. "I don't think the suspects had much of a chance."

Police found one suspect hiding in a shed at 412 Hickory Lane at about 12:15 p.m.; the second suspect was found hiding in a boat on a trailer parked in the driveway at 451 Hibiscus Way nearly an hour later, police said.

The suspects were identified as Juan Sanchez, 18, of Alviso, near San Jose, and a 17-year-old boy police declined to identify.

Sanchez was arrested on suspicion of attempted robbery and assault with a deadly weapon, said Novato Police Lt. Jim Laveroni.

Both Sanchez and his accomplice were arrested on suspicion of resisting arrest and possession of a stolen vehicle, Laveroni added.

Sanchez was booked into Marin County jail, where he was held in lieu of $50,000 bail. He will be arraigned in Marin County Superior Court on Monday.

Details were not immediately available yesterday on the bail amount and arraignment date for the 17-year-old, who was booked into Marin County Juvenile Hall.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35519. Re: [bayscan] 19th Ave. @ Rivera .. Whats going on?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 18:49:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35507 Message: 35519

naw ,,,,,,,,,,you ought to see Roberts set- up he has a lot more than me

I have 2- 796s 1 @ of 780 , 296 , 246T , 898 , 2053 and a xtal
controlled RS oh and a BC210xlt that is 10-7

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35520. FW: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday Air 1?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 19:15:22 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35520 Message: 35520

"A Marin County sheriff's airplane also was
used in the search, she said."

Could this have been the Air 1 (one) I hearing yesterday?

-Roger 11:15am

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 10:49 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday

http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24407~2643949,00.html

Two teenage suspects were arrested yesterday for their role in a Novato attempted robbery after they barreled through a roadblock and drew fire from police, touching off a car chase before more than 60 officers hunted them down in a San Rafael neighborhood, authorities said.
The incident began at 9:10 a.m. when police said one of the suspects pulled a knife on a 25-year-old woman in the parking lot of Safeway at 470 Ignacio Blvd., police said.

Police said the suspects fled empty-handed before the victim responded. Police declined to release the victim's identity, citing privacy issues.

A witness to the robbery summoned police and followed the two suspects riding in a white Ford pickup truck over the Ignacio Boulevard Highway 101 overpass to Nave Drive and onto Marin Valley Drive, where Novato police officers had a roadblock set up.

The suspects evaded police, hitting the witness's vehicle and veering toward a Novato police officer as they drove around the roadblock, police said. The officer, who police declined to identify, drew his gun and fired two rounds at the truck. No one was injured in the incident.

Police alerted local law enforcement agencies, including the California Highway Patrol, the Marin County Sheriff's office and the Tiburon and San Rafael police departments.

The suspects then fled southbound on Highway 101, where a CHP officer spotted them and gave pursuit off the Manuel T. Freitas Parkway exit. The two suspects pulled over on the 400 block of Hickory Lane in Terra Linda and fled on foot, San Rafael police spokeswoman Margo Rohrbacher said.

A total of 65 officers from various agencies cordoned off the area around Hickory Lane as SWAT teams by fanned out in the normally sleepy neighborhood, Rohrbacher said. A Marin County sheriff's airplane also was

used in the search, she said.

During the three-hour manhunt, the Marin County Office of Emergency Services left residents automated telephone messages to stay in their homes for safety while police looked for the suspects, who they said were armed and dangerous, Rohr-bacher said.

Tom Charlton, a retired iron worker who lives at 309 Holly Drive with his wife, Nancy, said he heeded police warnings to stay indoors during the search, which was unprecedented during his seven years living in the area.

"I felt pretty safe because there was an awful lot of police activity in the area," he said. "I don't think the suspects had much of a chance."

Police found one suspect hiding in a shed at 412 Hickory Lane at about 12:15 p.m.; the second suspect was found hiding in a boat on a trailer parked in the driveway at 451 Hibiscus Way nearly an hour later, police said.

The suspects were identified as Juan Sanchez, 18, of Alviso, near San Jose, and a 17-year-old boy police declined to identify.

Sanchez was arrested on suspicion of attempted robbery and assault with a deadly weapon, said Novato Police Lt. Jim Laveroni.

Both Sanchez and his accomplice were arrested on suspicion of resisting arrest and possession of a stolen vehicle, Laveroni added.

Sanchez was booked into Marin County jail, where he was held in lieu of $50,000 bail. He will be arraigned in Marin County Superior Court on Monday.

Details were not immediately available yesterday on the bail amount and arraignment date for the 17-year-old, who was booked into Marin County Juvenile Hall.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35521. nice flooding in marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 18:35:09 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35521 Message: 35521

Man I was just out riding around to check out the high tides and MAN its flooded in Marin.

The helicopter pad area known as the Manzanita lot is COMPLETELY FLOODED, there were people going by in rowboats where the roadway and traffic normally are!!

I dont know if I have time to take pics but Im sure you will see it on the news tonight.

Robert
BayScan

35522. Re: FW: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday Air 1?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 19:17:44 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 35520 Message: 35522

my understanding is that air one is the fixed wing and henry one the heli...
On Sunday, Jan 9, 2005, at 11:15 US/Pacific, Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

>
> "A Marin County sheriff's airplane also was
> used in the search, she said."
>
> Could this have been the Air 1 (one) I hearing yesterday?
>
> -Roger 11:15am
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
> Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 10:49 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday
>
>
> http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24407~2643949,00.html
>
> Two teenage suspects were arrested yesterday for their role in a Novato
> attempted robbery after they barreled through a roadblock and drew
> fire from
> police, touching off a car chase before more than 60 officers hunted
> them
> down in a San Rafael neighborhood, authorities said.
> The incident began at 9:10 a.m. when police said one of the suspects
> pulled
> a knife on a 25-year-old woman in the parking lot of Safeway at 470
> Ignacio
> Blvd., police said.
>
> Police said the suspects fled empty-handed before the victim responded.
> Police declined to release the victim's identity, citing privacy
> issues.
>
> A witness to the robbery summoned police and followed the two suspects
> riding in a white Ford pickup truck over the Ignacio Boulevard Highway
> 101
> overpass to Nave Drive and onto Marin Valley Drive, where Novato police
> officers had a roadblock set up.

>
> The suspects evaded police, hitting the witness's vehicle and veering
> toward
> a Novato police officer as they drove around the roadblock, police
> said. The
> officer, who police declined to identify, drew his gun and fired two
> rounds
> at the truck. No one was injured in the incident.
>
> Police alerted local law enforcement agencies, including the California
> Highway Patrol, the Marin County Sheriff's office and the Tiburon and
> San
> Rafael police departments.
>
> The suspects then fled southbound on Highway 101, where a CHP officer
> spotted them and gave pursuit off the Manuel T. Freitas Parkway exit.
> The
> two suspects pulled over on the 400 block of Hickory Lane in Terra
> Linda and
> fled on foot, San Rafael police spokeswoman Margo Rohrbacher said.
>
> A total of 65 officers from various agencies cordoned off the area
> around
> Hickory Lane as SWAT teams by fanned out in the normally sleepy
> neighborhood, Rohrbacher said. A Marin County sheriff's airplane also
> was
> used in the search, she said.
>
> During the three-hour manhunt, the Marin County Office of Emergency
> Services
> left residents automated telephone messages to stay in their homes for
> safety while police looked for the suspects, who they said were armed
> and
> dangerous, Rohr-bacher said.
>
> Tom Charlton, a retired iron worker who lives at 309 Holly Drive with
> his
> wife, Nancy, said he heeded police warnings to stay indoors during the
> search, which was unprecedented during his seven years living in the
> area.
>
> "I felt pretty safe because there was an awful lot of police activity
> in the
> area," he said. "I don't think the suspects had much of a chance."
>
> Police found one suspect hiding in a shed at 412 Hickory Lane at about
> 12:15
> p.m.; the second suspect was found hiding in a boat on a trailer
> parked in
> the driveway at 451 Hibiscus Way nearly an hour later, police said.

>
> The suspects were identified as Juan Sanchez, 18, of Alviso, near San
> Jose,
> and a 17-year-old boy police declined to identify.
>
> Sanchez was arrested on suspicion of attempted robbery and assault
> with a
> deadly weapon, said Novato Police Lt. Jim Laveroni.
>
> Both Sanchez and his accomplice were arrested on suspicion of resisting
> arrest and possession of a stolen vehicle, Laveroni added.
>
> Sanchez was booked into Marin County jail, where he was held in lieu of
> $50,000 bail. He will be arraigned in Marin County Superior Court on
> Monday.
>
> Details were not immediately available yesterday on the bail amount and
> arraignment date for the 17-year-old, who was booked into Marin County
> Juvenile Hall.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35523. RE: FW: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday Air 1?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 19:20:43 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35520 Message: 35523

Thanks Nina...I've got start recording some of this stuff. :-)

-Roger 11:20am
-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@...]
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 11:18 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: FW: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday Air 1?

my understanding is that air one is the fixed wing and henry one the
heli...
On Sunday, Jan 9, 2005, at 11:15 US/Pacific, Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

>
> "A Marin County sheriff's airplane also was
> used in the search, she said."
>
> Could this have been the Air 1 (one) I hearing yesterday?
>
> -Roger 11:15am
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
> Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 10:49 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday
>
>
> http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24407~2643949,00.html
>
> Two teenage suspects were arrested yesterday for their role in a Novato
> attempted robbery after they barreled through a roadblock and drew
> fire from
> police, touching off a car chase before more than 60 officers hunted
> them
> down in a San Rafael neighborhood, authorities said.
> The incident began at 9:10 a.m. when police said one of the suspects
> pulled
> a knife on a 25-year-old woman in the parking lot of Safeway at 470
> Ignacio
> Blvd., police said.
>
> Police said the suspects fled empty-handed before the victim responded.

> Police declined to release the victim's identity, citing privacy
> issues.
>
> A witness to the robbery summoned police and followed the two suspects
> riding in a white Ford pickup truck over the Ignacio Boulevard Highway
> 101
> overpass to Nave Drive and onto Marin Valley Drive, where Novato police
> officers had a roadblock set up.
>
> The suspects evaded police, hitting the witness's vehicle and veering
> toward
> a Novato police officer as they drove around the roadblock, police
> said. The
> officer, who police declined to identify, drew his gun and fired two
> rounds
> at the truck. No one was injured in the incident.
>
> Police alerted local law enforcement agencies, including the California
> Highway Patrol, the Marin County Sheriff's office and the Tiburon and
> San
> Rafael police departments.
>
> The suspects then fled southbound on Highway 101, where a CHP officer
> spotted them and gave pursuit off the Manuel T. Freitas Parkway exit.
> The
> two suspects pulled over on the 400 block of Hickory Lane in Terra
> Linda and
> fled on foot, San Rafael police spokeswoman Margo Rohrbacher said.
>
> A total of 65 officers from various agencies cordoned off the area
> around
> Hickory Lane as SWAT teams by fanned out in the normally sleepy
> neighborhood, Rohrbacher said. A Marin County sheriff's airplane also
> was
> used in the search, she said.
>
> During the three-hour manhunt, the Marin County Office of Emergency
> Services
> left residents automated telephone messages to stay in their homes for
> safety while police looked for the suspects, who they said were armed
> and
> dangerous, Rohr-bacher said.
>
> Tom Charlton, a retired iron worker who lives at 309 Holly Drive with
> his
> wife, Nancy, said he heeded police warnings to stay indoors during the
> search, which was unprecedented during his seven years living in the
> area.
>
> "I felt pretty safe because there was an awful lot of police activity

> in the
> area," he said. "I don't think the suspects had much of a chance."
>
> Police found one suspect hiding in a shed at 412 Hickory Lane at about
> 12:15
> p.m.; the second suspect was found hiding in a boat on a trailer
> parked in
> the driveway at 451 Hibiscus Way nearly an hour later, police said.
>
> The suspects were identified as Juan Sanchez, 18, of Alviso, near San
> Jose,
> and a 17-year-old boy police declined to identify.
>
> Sanchez was arrested on suspicion of attempted robbery and assault
> with a
> deadly weapon, said Novato Police Lt. Jim Laveroni.
>
> Both Sanchez and his accomplice were arrested on suspicion of resisting
> arrest and possession of a stolen vehicle, Laveroni added.
>
> Sanchez was booked into Marin County jail, where he was held in lieu of
> $50,000 bail. He will be arraigned in Marin County Superior Court on
> Monday.
>
> Details were not immediately available yesterday on the bail amount and
> arraignment date for the 17-year-old, who was booked into Marin County
> Juvenile Hall.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35524. San Rafael Shooting 110 Larkspun 2 Victims Down In Street

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 19:20:31 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35524 Message: 35524

SRFD 46.20 MSO 39.24

35525. Marin Code 777 Shooting in Canal

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 19:20:54 UTC
From: Dave H.
Topic: 35525 Message: 35525

2 gunshot victims @ larkspur and Canal streets

SRPD TG 52048
SRFD 46.20

35526. Re: FW: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday Air 1?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 19:26:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35520 Message: 35526

might be a fixed wing they only used as needed. San Mateo has one they use off and on.....narcotics uses it from time to time , but its not something up day to day like the CHP stuff , H1 in Sonoma , Air 2 or Star 1 in San Jose / Santa Clara etc etc

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35527. Re: FW: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday Air 1?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 21:24:39 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35520 Message: 35527

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> "A Marin County sheriff's airplane also was
> used in the search, she said."
>
> Could this have been the Air 1 (one) I hearing yesterday?
>
> -Roger 11:15am

Roger,

It could have been. Marin has a reserve aero squadron and their designators are Air (Number). I'm suprised they could one in the air so quickly but the aero squadron may have been on some type of training at Gnoss Field and so were available immediately.

Regards, Jim

35528. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 23:26:42 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35512 Message: 35528

Ed and others that may be interested...

Here are images from this morning's 3-alarm fire in San José.

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/orchard/orchard.htm

Let me know if y'all have any questions...

Cheers!

CRAIG

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

On 1/9/05 9:44 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@earthlink.net> wrote:

>
> This was a 3-alarm warehouse fire at the Santa Clara Nut Company located at
> 1590 Little Orchard. IC called incident under control at 0846 hours on
> January 9, 2005.
>
> There were multiple safety concerns including the presence of at least one
> drum of methyl bromide - a commonly used pesticide - that was not breached.
> Second major safety concern was a large HVAC unit on the roof of the
> warehouse that threatened to collapse as the roof had been compromised.
> Finally, downed electrical wires posed a major safety concern.
>
> Units responding included Engine 30, Engine 7, Engine 6, Engine 3, Truck 1,
> Truck 3, Truck 4, Truck 18, Battalion 29, Battalion 2, etc.
>
> I missed most of the action due to a relatively late night and missing a
> phone call because I simply couldn't get my sleepy rear out of bed! ;^)
>
> Want to see some pics? Nothing amazing, but gives an idea of the conditions
> and the structure...let me know!
>
> On 1/9/05 9:08 AM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:
>

>>
>> Craig ..... any details like cross street.....type
>> buildings etc ?
>>
>> ED

35529. OT trunout colers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-09 23:36:56 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35529 Message: 35529

in the link below from the fire in San Jose, I notice that there THREE(3) different colors turnouts (Blk, Begie & Grennish) anyone know what's up with that???, All of SF is Black, (not SFO Airport)
----- Original Message -----
From: Craig Rose
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 15:26
Subject: Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Ed and others that may be interested...

Here are images from this morning's 3-alarm fire in San José.

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/orchard/orchard.htm

Let me know if y'all have any questions...

Cheers!

CRAIG

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35530. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 00:06:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35512 Message: 35530

Well, no flames and smoke......but you (as usual) have some nice shots.................notice the words "Franklin Engine" on E3s rig...........any ideas of the significance of that?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35531. Re: [bayscan] OT trunout colers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 00:08:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35529 Message: 35531

San Jose changed to Black turnouts awhile back , but no ALL have switched.
Beige was the old color.....as far as greenish ?? they also switched to
the Cairns helmets , so they look a lot like SFFD

You also have White (in SF and most depts) for Chiefs and SF has Yellow
turnouts for the Reserves.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35532. Re: [bayscan] OT Turnout colors

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 00:12:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35532 Message: 35532

oh..........just looked again , ,,,,,,,,,,,the " greenish" colors
simply look to me like the beige turnouts that are very wet. our (reserves)
yellow turnouts would get fairly dark when soaked (but you felt great !!) LOL

trust me , it doesn't have to be raining to get them
soaked,,,,,,,,,happened to me plenty of times , especially working right underneath ladder
pipes !!!
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35533. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 00:22:27 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35512 Message: 35533

Yup, like I said, I missed the phone call while sawing logs and missed what I was told was a real rager...oh well.

As for E3 - I will track that one down for ya, Ed. I was wondering the very same thing even though I've seen it a number of times.

Glad you like some of the shots...although they're mostly "people" shots.

Cheers!

Craig

On 1/9/05 4:06 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
> Well, no flames and smoke......but you (as usual) have some nice
> shots.................notice the words "Franklin Engine" on E3s
> rig...........any ideas of
> the significance of that?
>
> ED

35534. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 00:24:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35512 Message: 35534

unlike most fires today,,,,,,,,,,I noticed they dropped a lot of lines on this one, looked more like the old fires back in my SFFD days , when we picked up what seemed like MILES of hose.

looks like T3 and T18 were running ladder pipes

people shots are some of the best shots.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35535. Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 00:25:57 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35489 Message: 35535

I always advise my family to get to the hospital on their own for minor injuries and avoid money grubbing AMR if at all possible quick ride can cost more than $2000 and they can stuff the form I do not have to sign anything just because some lawyer wants to cover his ass..

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Tony Schollar <hydrant_boy02@y...> wrote:
> Thats right about common practice, but EMT's cannot do
> AMA's only Medics,
>
> What emt's can do is for patients that refuse service,
> that applies to those persons who are refusing ALL EMS
> services INCLUDING assessment and transportations.
>
> Refusal of care applies to patients who by DIRECT
> examation, MOI, or by initating a patient relationship
> by 911.
>
> here is the ALCO Protocals #8040.
>
>
http://www.co.alameda.ca.us/PublicHealth/organization/divisions/ems/Resource_policy_manual/RefusalofService_RefusalofCare.pdf
>
>
> --- Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
>
> > EMT's can certainly do AMA's... Not everything is an
> > ALS call. Not all
> > fd's have paramedics on them. Its is common practice
> > for fire to cancel
> > AMR and do an AMA at the scene...
> >
> > Tony Schollar wrote:
> >
> > > >From what I have been taught the patient must be
> > > conscious, to sign an AMA form, meaning that fire
> > and
> > > AMR (usually) released from any medical advise
> > this is
> > > expressed consent, now if the patient is
> > unconscious
> > > then its implied consent, meaning that medics has
> > > every right to transport, only medics can do AMA,

> > > EMT's Can't. then there is all this things about
> > > minors being transported and parents have to give
> > > consent to transport.
> > >
> > >
> > > --- jjcooke@y... wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty
> > > > <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> > > > > I'm not sure if this relates or not, but I'm
> > sure
> > > > somebody here can
> > > > point me straight. I heard a call today from MGH
> > > > that a patient was
> > > > leaving the emergency room against the order of
> > a
> > > > doctor. They were
> > > > dispatching Twin cities and the Sherriff (I
> > think
> > > > that the code was
> > > > 647F). I was under the impression that unless
> > you
> > > > were under arrest
> > > > you were free to leave a hospital anytime you
> > > > wished? Reguardless of
> > > > what anyone else thought.
> > > > >
> > > > > Am I mistaken?
> > > > >
> > > > > J.B. AD6WX
> > > >
> > > > 647(f) is the penal code section for being under
> > the
> > > > influence of
> > > > alcohol or drugs. 647(g) allows a peace officer
> > to
> > > > take a person
> > > > suspected of being guilty of 647(f) into civil
> > > > protective custody and
> > > > transport the suspect to a treatment facility
> > for a
> > > > 72 hour
> > > > evaluation. I assume the staff at MGH thought
> > this
> > > > person was too
> > > > much under the influence to care for himself so
> > they
> > > > were asking the
> > > > police to take him into custody under PC 647(g).

> > > >
> > > > Regards, Jim
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> --

> > > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> > >
> > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an
> > email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> >
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> > >
> > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > Yahoo! Terms of
> > > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

35536. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 00:33:42 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35512 Message: 35536

ED -

Here's an "unpublished" shot of T1 fully extended -

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/orchard/images/orch
ard_021.jpg

Indeed, there was a whole lot of hose dropped by the time I got there.

-C-

On 1/9/05 4:24 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
> unlike most fires today,,,,,,,,,,I noticed they dropped a lot of lines on
> this one, looked more like the old fires back in my SFFD days , when we picked
> up
> what seemed like MILES of hose.
>
> looks like T3 and T18 were running ladder pipes
>
> people shots are some of the best shots.
>
> ED

35537. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 00:52:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35512 Message: 35537

oh ok, T1 still has the old rig. was wondering if they did. one of my sources says SJFD is thinking of going to 1 or 2 tiller type trucks.....maybe T3.................time will tell.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35538. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 01:27:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35489 Message: 35538

At 04:25 PM 1/9/2005, you wrote:

>I always advise my family to get to the hospital on their own for
>minor injuries and avoid money grubbing AMR if at all possible quick
>ride can cost more than $2000 and they can stuff the form I do not
>have to sign anything just because some lawyer wants to cover his
>ass..

My ride via Palo Alto FD was $900, IIRC. Granted, 10 years ago I think -
night rate and code 3 rate.

But if the Fire department is called and they believe you should go to the
hospital, and you say no, I think you'll find yourself signing that form...

Or riding to the hospital with metal bracelets.

Evan

35539. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 02:05:11 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35512 Message: 35539

ED -

Haven't heard anything official as of late...but have you had the opportunity to drive by Station 1 the past couple of weeks? Not sure if it's already been repaired, but some unintentional contact was made between apparatus and structure, if you get my drift. I wonder how cold it was in the doghouse... ;^)

Hey, would you do the group a favor - for those that are interested, and explain the term 'tiller' as it relates to aerials. Some folks might not have a clear picture in their minds.

Thanks!

-C-

On 1/9/05 4:52 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> oh ok, T1 still has the old rig. was wondering if they did. one of my
> sources says SJFD is thinking of going to 1 or 2 tiller type trucks.....maybe
> T3.................time will tell.

35540. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 01:40:00 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35489 Message: 35540

Unless you are in a mental capacity that you can not make that decision
for yourself or you are a threat to yourseld or others. You can
absolutely go AMA and they can't do a thing..

Evan Platt wrote:

> At 04:25 PM 1/9/2005, you wrote:
>
> >I always advise my family to get to the hospital on their own for
> >minor injuries and avoid money grubbing AMR if at all possible quick
> >ride can cost more than $2000 and they can stuff the form I do not
> >have to sign anything just because some lawyer wants to cover his
> >ass..
>
> My ride via Palo Alto FD was $900, IIRC. Granted, 10 years ago I think -
> night rate and code 3 rate.
>
> But if the Fire department is called and they believe you should go to
> the
> hospital, and you say no, I think you'll find yourself signing that
> form...
>
> Or riding to the hospital with metal bracelets.
>
> Evan
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35541. Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 02:11:34 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35489 Message: 35541

That is absoulute B.S. No one can force you to sign a form that grants AMR some sort of immunity from lawsuits I think you are like too many other Americans today scared of the system and goose stepping in fright of big brother.
AMR is private company trying to make a buck every way it can and destroying small providers and driving up the cost of health care at the same time AMR is BAD for America and should be broken up like the Bell system was.
If they force me to sign or ride They will have to get a cop to arrest me first and then face a huge lawsuit and what would the arrest be for? Failure to follow company policy?.
Ambulances,ALS,EMT's, etc should be provided under state law by the local Fire Dept's at real cost without profit margin.

>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 04:25 PM 1/9/2005, you wrote:
>
> >I always advise my family to get to the hospital on their own for
> >minor injuries and avoid money grubbing AMR if at all possible quick
> >ride can cost more than $2000 and they can stuff the form I do not
> >have to sign anything just because some lawyer wants to cover his
> >ass..
>
> My ride via Palo Alto FD was $900, IIRC. Granted, 10 years ago I think -
> night rate and code 3 rate.
>
> But if the Fire department is called and they believe you should go to the
> hospital, and you say no, I think you'll find yourself signing that form...
>
> Or riding to the hospital with metal bracelets.
>
> Evan

35542. Re: FW: [bayscan] Marin police action yesterday Air 1?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 02:14:53 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35520 Message: 35542

HENRY 1 is a Jetranger Helicopter with the Sonoma County Sheriff. It's based at the Sonoma Couty Airport AKA Charles M. Shultz airport. It's used by other countys as well

Check

www.Henry1.com

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35543. Chase in San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 02:48:27 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35543 Message: 35543

SRPD attempting to stop a vehicle. Multiple PD vehicles trying to stop this car...

TG 52048

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35544. FW: [bayscan] Chase in San Rafael update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:01:08 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35544 Message: 35544

San Rafael PD has car near 80 Jewell St. this is also around a dead end Belle Ave. Setting up a perimeter and asking for a chopper and SWAT teams from surrounding cities.

-Roger 19:00 hours

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 6:48 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Chase in San Rafael

SRPD attempting to stop a vehicle. Multiple PD vehicles trying to stop this car...

TG 52048

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35545. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:07:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35489 Message: 35545

you can't be forced to sign. they simply make a note that you refused.

but I agree , goverment should handle ambulances , preferably Fire Depts.
it would help lower the ridiculous health care cost

AMR charged Kaiser 1100.00 for my short trip and a BLS ambulance
could have handled it , although I had chest pains so it was smart to have an ALS
rig do the transport ,,,,,,could have been my heart.

Santa Clara FF/Paramedics are the ones who took care of me.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35546. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm /////////// Tiller rigs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:12:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35546 Message: 35546

Sure .... a Tiller-type Aerial truck is one with a fire fighter who " steers" the rear wheels. Makes the rig more maneuverable. while there are newer trucks with " rear wheel " steering , the " Tillerman" helps a lot by helping to guide the rig thru congested streets , small alleys etc etc

All of SFFD Trucks are Tillers , As most if not all of Oakland and Alameda Counties are. Fremonts trucks are tillers as are Redwood City, South County (Belmont-S.Carlos) Foster City , San Mateo , Santa Clara City, San Bruno.

to name just a few.

Craig........don't you have a shot of Santa Clara City Truck 10 ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35547. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm /////////// Tiller rigs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:14:50 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35546 Message: 35547

The tillers can also be locked in place for tractor steering only..

caladded@... wrote:

> Sure ..... a Tiller-type Aerial truck is one with a fire fighter
> who "
> steers" the rear wheels. Makes the rig more maneuverable. while there are
> newer trucks with " rear wheel " steering , the " Tillerman" helps a
> lot by
> helping to guide the rig thru congested streets , small alleys etc etc
>
> All of SFFD Trucks are Tillers , As most if not all of Oakland and
> Alameda Counties are. Fremonts trucks are tillers as are Redwood
> City, South County
> (Belmont-S.Carlos) Foster City , San Mateo , Santa Clara City, San Bruno.
>
> to name just a few.
>
> Craig........don't you have a shot of Santa Clara City Truck 10 ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35548. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm /////////// Tiller rigs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:31:05 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35546 Message: 35548

Great definition - thanks for taking the time to say it far better than I could have!

Yes sir - here's a shot of Santa Clara T10 from the rear:

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/various/misc_image_024.htm

And from the front:

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/tools/tools_image_028.htm

Just thought I'd tap your knowledge for all things fire-related :^)

-C-

On 1/9/05 7:12 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
> Sure .... a Tiller-type Aerial truck is one with a fire fighter who "
> steers" the rear wheels. Makes the rig more maneuverable. while there are
> newer trucks with " rear wheel " steering , the " Tillerman" helps a lot by
> helping to guide the rig thru congested streets , small alleys etc etc
>
> All of SFFD Trucks are Tillers , As most if not all of Oakland and
> Alameda Counties are. Fremonts trucks are tillers as are Redwood City, South
> County
> (Belmont-S.Carlos) Foster City , San Mateo , Santa Clara City, San Bruno.
>
> to name just a few.
>
> Craig........don't you have a shot of Santa Clara City Truck 10 ?
>
> ED

35549. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:34:20 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35489 Message: 35549

hey Ed,
BLS units dont respond to calls for chest pain in San Mateo County, that is
against protocol ;)

In a message dated 1/9/05 19:08:20, caladded@... writes:

<< AMR charged Kaiser 1100.00 for my short trip and a BLS ambulance
could have handled it , although I had chest pains so it was smart to have an
ALS
rig do the transport ,,,,,,could have been my heart.
>>

35550. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:35:27 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 35512 Message: 35550

Craig Rose wrote:
> ED -
>
> Haven't heard anything official as of late...but have you had the
> opportunity to drive by Station 1 the past couple of weeks? Not sure if it's
> already been repaired, but some unintentional contact was made between
> apparatus and structure, if you get my drift. I wonder how cold it was in
> the doghouse... ;^)

I drove by Station 1 today, and the first thing that caught my eye was
the 'modification' to the Market Street side. The rigs in the bay were
lined up for a rapid retreat, if you get my drift...

Don

35551. Tiller Trucks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:37:17 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 35551 Message: 35551

At one point in time someone had posted a link to a video of the view from the tiller while enroute to a code three call, it was shot somewhere in Sam Mateo county and I can't find it anymore but maybe someone here knows where to find it...

35552. Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy! political payoffs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:40:18 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35489 Message: 35552

I understand that a lot of that revenue AMR receives goes to political payoffs there has been attempts in the past to legislate local government medical transport but they always die in the legislature.
Relative of mine was the Fire Chief of a major bay area city and advocated Fire ambulance companies but could not get anywhere AMR is always a major political contributor the second the subject come up in a locality and always claims to be more cost efficient and cheaper for the cities and counties, And because of start up cost etc they are always hesitant these days because of tight budgets.
It will take a grass roots effort when people get upset enough about spiraling cost to ever get the necessary legislation mandating local government transport.
Once people realize that 90% of Fire Dept calls are medical anyhow it starts to make even more sense to stop duplicating services.
Right now would be a good time to start reviving this subject again because there is a lot of Homeland security grant money available for this sort of thing.
Anyhow this will probably be my last word on the subject before the Flames start erupting about being off subject

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> you can't be forced to sign. they simply make a note that you refused.
>
> but I agree , goverment should handle ambulances , preferably Fire Depts.
> it would help lower the ridiculous health care cost
>
> AMR charged Kaiser 1100.00 for my short trip and a BLS ambulance
> could have handled it , although I had chest pains so it was smart to have an ALS
> rig do the transport ,,,,,,could have been my heart.
>
> Santa Clara FF/Paramedics are the ones who took care of me.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35553. Re: [bayscan] SJFD greater alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:42:14 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35512 Message: 35553

Hi Don -

"Modification" is one way to put it! ;^)

On 1/9/05 7:35 PM, "Don Root" <k6cdo@...> wrote:

> I drove by Station 1 today, and the first thing that caught my eye was
> the 'modification' to the Market Street side. The rigs in the bay were
> lined up for a rapid retreat, if you get my drift...

35554. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:41:38 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35489 Message: 35554

and Santa Clara County too
-boulrog-

In a message dated 1/9/05 19:08:20, caladded@... writes:

<<
Santa Clara FF/Paramedics are the ones who took care of me.

ED >>

35555. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 04:10:50 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35489 Message: 35555

A little update on AMR from Hoover's -

If you've fallen and can't get up, alert American Medical Response (AMR), a subsidiary of Laidlaw. With more than 4,000 vehicles in more than 30 states, AMR is equipped to respond to all kinds of requests. AMR is a major provider of emergency and non-emergency ambulance services (the latter include critical care transportation for medically unstable patients and such non-medical transport services as transfers to and from health care facilities.) AMR serves about 4 million patients per year. The company also maintains one of Nation's largest databases of emergency and non-emergency pre-care information that is used to develop new procedures for hospital pre-care. AMR is being acquired by Onex.

That last part about Onex, if anyone cares, reads like this...

Is Onex a shopaholic? The investment firm has interests in companies in about half a dozen business sectors. Key segments include electronic manufacturing services and auto products; its holdings include ClientLogic (customer service management) and Celestica (electronics manufacturer). The company bought troubled theater chain Loews Cineplex in 2002, selling it in mid-2004 to a group of investors led by Bain Capital and the Carlyle Group. It also owns 24% of beleaguered healthcare firm Magellan Health Services, which it helped bail out of Chapter 11. Onex is also active in investment funds and partnerships. Chairman and CEO Gerald Schwartz controls more than half of the voting shares of Onex.

Onex is a Canadian LBO firm...

-C-

On 1/9/05 7:41 PM, "Boulrog@..." <Boulrog@...> wrote:

>
> and Santa Clara County too
> -boulrog-
>
> In a message dated 1/9/05 19:08:20, caladded@... writes:
>
> <<
> Santa Clara FF/Paramedics are the ones who took care of me.
>
> ED >>

35556. Re: [bayscan] Tiller Trucks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:39:27 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35551 Message: 35556

it used to be on firedispatch.com

scan_boss wrote:

>
> At one point in time someone had posted a link to a video of the
> view from the tiller while enroute to a code three call, it was shot
> somewhere in Sam Mateo county and I can't find it anymore but maybe
> someone here knows where to find it...
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35557. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy! political payoffs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 03:44:25 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35489 Message: 35557

Not alot of the FF/paramedics are all that thrilled either to be stuck
on a bus instead of a rig all the time either.....

Bob wrote:

>
> I understand that a lot of that revenue AMR receives goes to
> political payoffs there has been attempts in the past to legislate
> local government medical transport but they always die in the
> legislature.
> Relative of mine was the Fire Chief of a major bay area city and
> advocated Fire ambulance companies but could not get anywhere AMR is
> always a major political contributor the second the subject come up
> in a locality and always claims to be more cost efficient and
> cheaper for the cities and counties, And because of start up cost
> etc they are always hesitant these days because of tight budgets.
> It will take a grass roots effort when people get upset enough
> about spiraling cost to ever get the necessary legislation mandating
> local government transport.
> Once people realize that 90% of Fire Dept calls are medical anyhow
> it starts to make even more sense to stop duplicating services.
> Right now would be a good time to start reviving this subject again
> because there is a lot of Homeland security grant money available
> for this sort of thing.
> Anyhow this will probably be my last word on the subject before the
> Flames start erupting about being off subject
>
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > you can't be forced to sign. they simply make a note that you
> refused.
> > >
> > > but I agree , goverment should handle ambulances , preferably
> Fire Depts.
> > > it would help lower the ridiculous health care cost
> > >
> > > AMR charged Kaiser 1100.00 for my short trip and a BLS
> ambulance
> > > could have handled it , although I had chest pains so it was smart
> to have an ALS
> > > rig do the transport ,,,,,,could have been my heart.
> > >
> > > Santa Clara FF/Paramedics are the ones who took care of me.

> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35558. Re: [bayscan] Tiller Trucks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 04:37:31 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 35551 Message: 35558

In a message dated 1/9/2005 7:39:12 PM Pacific Standard Time,
scanner4@... writes:

> At one point in time someone had posted a link to a video of the
> view from the tiller while enroute to a code three call, it was shot
> somewhere in Sam Mateo county and I can't find it anymore but maybe
> someone here knows where to find it...

It's about halfway down this page:

http://www.firedispatch.com/gallery1001.asp

It's from South County (Belmont/San Carlos) Truck 13 as they responded to a
2nd alarm in Redwood City.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35559. Marin Activity

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 04:40:04 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35559 Message: 35559

I just got home from work so Im not sure what is going on but the talk group 62800 which is basically the new version of "Control 2" or the County Wide channel when different agencies working together have to communicate.

Robert
BayScan

35560. Re: [bayscan] Tiller Trucks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 04:55:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35551 Message: 35560

yeah, that was on firedispatch.com , it was South County Truck 13
.....think the link is gone now.

btw (craig , you didn't catch my goof) Santa Clara T2 is NOT a tiller

FDNY has the biggest number of Truck(ladder) rigs around 140 total , some
are tillers , some mid-mount (like SJFD T3) some rear mount (like SJFD T4)
some are tower ladders (like SJFD T1 and T29 ---- have buckets for fire
fighters.....but Aerial ladders are better for rescue)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35561. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy! political payoffs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 04:59:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35489 Message: 35561

might be slightly off topic , but we all are paying for the rip-offs. Some of the ambulance companies , probably AMR included since they are number Uno.....charge HMOs for paramedic/ALS services while in reality the ALS stuff is done by the fire dept people and the ambulance transports ONLY. its a rip off

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35562. Re: [bayscan Tillers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 05:04:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35562 Message: 35562

When Ben is right, they can be made to run without a tiller, that is only done by mechanics working on the rigs.

SFFD T2 got out of its house in error once without a tillerman (lot of questions arose of "HOW" could that happen and a pedestrian was run over and killed.....several years ago, wonder how much the city paid out for that goof.

SFFD T1 also had a tillerman error (rookie) that lost control several years ago and the rear of the rig ran over a streetcar island hitting several and I believe killed about 3 .....back in the 70s.....

But those are only 2 incidents out of thousands and thousands of responses, the guys in SF are darn good with those things, I have seen some amazing driving and spotting of the rigs for aerial operations.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35563. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 05:06:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35489 Message: 35563

my last on this subject.

As with most every company ....AMR has a bottom
line.....profit
.... and THAT is the problem

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35564. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy! political payoffs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 05:06:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35489 Message: 35564

At 08:59 PM 1/9/2005, you wrote:
>charge HMOs for paramedic/ALS services while in reality the ALS stuff is
>done by the fire dept people and the ambulance transports ONLY. its a rip off

Like what? Example?

35565. Re: [bayscan] Re: patient ama? Sign this buddy! political payoffs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 05:12:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35489 Message: 35565

like FD paramedics do all the ALS and the AMR (other
other ambulance companies) do the tranport. there are cases , documented case
firehouse.com has reported where ambulance companies have charged for ALS and
ONLY BLS people were on the rigs.....that should be FRAUD. your paying
double, Once thru taxes paying for the ALS trained FFs and another time thru
your medical coverage that pays for the ambulance crew.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35566. Re: [bayscan] Marin Activity

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 06:15:48 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 35559 Message: 35566

They are watching residences of known gang members expecting them to retaliate for the dual 187 drive by this morning in the Canal area of San Rafael. Most of the traffic is occurring on Nextels. Not much for you to listen to on your scanner.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35567. Re: [bayscan] Tiller Trucks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 05:51:26 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35551 Message: 35567

it still there and thanks to whoever sent me the link
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 19:39
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Tiller Trucks

it used to be on firedispatch.com

scan_boss wrote:

>
> At one point in time someone had posted a link to a video of the
> view from the tiller while enroute to a code three call, it was shot
> somewhere in Sam Mateo county and I can't find it anymore but maybe
> someone here knows where to find it...
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35568. Re: [bayscan] San Rafael Shooting 110 Larkspun 2 Victims Down In Street

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 06:24:14 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35524 Message: 35568

I thought all Marin Low Band stuff had gone trunked digital.
----- Original Message -----
From: "john_polich" <jpolich@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 11:20 AM
Subject: [bayscan] San Rafael Shooting 110 Larkspun 2 Victims Down In Street

>
>
> SRFD 46.20 MSO 39.24
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35569. Re: [bayscan] San Rafael Shooting 110 Larkspun 2 Victims Down In Street

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 06:27:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35524 Message: 35569

no , I still hear some stuff i.e. 46.50 37.10 for fire ,
some other stuff , can't think of right now......Southern Marin is still low

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35570. two Ceres PD officers shot, critically injured

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 07:08:30 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35570 Message: 35570

Around 20:00 tonight, Sunday 1-10-05 two Ceres PD officers, (Stanislaus County SO 158.7300) were shot by an armed robbery suspect at Georges Liquors, Caswell and Central Ave. in Ceres. As of 2305 hrs Swat shot one suspect in the leg.

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.9 - Release Date: 1/6/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35571. Armed robbery update, Ceres

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 07:13:33 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35571 Message: 35571

Suspect is down, SWAT is trying to approach?Ambulance now approaching, AMR 155.295 PL 88.5, MED Net 463.000 PL 179.9

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.9 - Release Date: 1/6/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35572. Armed robbery update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 07:15:21 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35572 Message: 35572

AMR Paramedic (Daryl Albino) walking in with EKG to run a strip, is the suspect dead?

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.9 - Release Date: 1/6/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35573. Armed Robbery update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 07:21:46 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35573 Message: 35573

Statements are now being taken, four officers fired on the suspect in the alley. The suspect had been barricaded in a home near the liquor store. The suspect fired an assault weapon (KCRA 3 report) at the two Ceres officers who responded to the 211 P.C. (Armed Robbery) at George?s Liquors on the corner of Caswell and Central approximately 20:00 hrs. Sunday 1-10-05, both officers were reported to be in critical condition and being treated at Doctor?s Medical Center, Modesto and Memorial Medical Center, Modesto.

Agencies that responded were Stanislaus County SO, Modesto PD, CHP, and Turlock PD. Agency communications were on VHF High as Ceres PD using a trunked system they share with Turlock PD. Modesto PD uses UHF. The SO took over all communications.

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.9 - Release Date: 1/6/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35574. Ceres armed robbery UPDATE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 07:39:31 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35574 Message: 35574

23:30 hrs Sunday 1-10-05: Stanislaus County SO Swat Team and Modesto PD Swat Team are searching the alley off of Glenwood which runs off of Caswell. I believe I heard the one suspect down and paramedic Albino who was running a strip on is 11-44 (Coroner?s case) or for the Bay Area listeners 10-55.

Ceres PD Swat Team is working another street and checking houses for a possible second suspect.

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.9 - Release Date: 1/6/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35575. Re: [bayscan] Armed robbery update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 07:51:16 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35572 Message: 35575

At 11:15 PM 1/9/2005, you wrote:

>AMR Paramedic walking in with EKG to run a strip, is the suspect
>dead?
>
>

Details are great, but please leave first responder's names out of an internet published narration.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35576. Modesto PD (Stanislaus Co.)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 08:01:24 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35576 Message: 35576

Modesto PD is covering the Sheriff?s unincorporated territory on MPD-2 460.500 PL 173.8 while SO units are tied up as dual duty Swat/patrol deputies. Because of the size of this incident radio call signs I have never heard are being used tonight. SO channel-1 158.730 PL 103.5 is still 10-33 (Emergency traffic only) with beep tone while Swat is searching on Beachwood including an on-duty MPD officer?s home for a second suspect in the 211 earlier.

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.9 - Release Date: 1/6/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35577. Re: Tiller Trucks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 09:53:58 UTC
From: tprest49
Topic: 35551 Message: 35577

From my recollection and I can ask some of my friends back home on the FDNY but the only mid-mount trucks on the roster are the Tower ladders, all of the regular trucks are either Tillers or rear mounts.

I did find it interesting that SFFD only runs tillers, I was wondering if Oakland does the same.

To keep this scanner related I am addicted to the SFFD link on firefeeds.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> FDNY has the biggest number of Truck(ladder) rigs around 140 total , some
> are tillers , some mid-mount (like SJFD T3) some rear mount (like SJFD T4)
> some are tower ladders (like SJFD T1 and T29 ---- have buckets for fire
> fighters.......but Aerial ladders are better for rescue)
>
> ED

35578. Re: [bayscan] Modesto PD (Stanislaus Co.)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 09:56:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35576 Message: 35578

TOO MUCH information.....names, location of LE officers homes? use more discretion

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35579. RE: [bayscan] Marin Activity

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 09:56:56 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35559 Message: 35579

There were no 187's as far as I could tell. 2 people were shot...one was not life threatening and the other was serious, but stable. Both were taken to Marin General. Yes, they do use Nextels at times but by no means wahtsoever does that mean you wont know what's going if you have a digital capable scanner. I honestly couldn't keep up with all the MERA radio traffic during the whole fiasco.

-Roger in San Rafael 1:56 am
-----Original Message-----
From: qualoptics@... [mailto:qualoptics@...]
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 10:16 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Marin Activity

They are watching residences of known gang members expecting them to retaliate for the dual 187 drive by this morning in the Canal area of San Rafael.
Most of the traffic is occurring on Nextels. Not much for you to listen to
on your scanner.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35580. Re: [bayscan] Re: Tiller Trucks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 09:58:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35551 Message: 35580

as far as the FDNY , you could be right , checking my code3 models LOL I only have the mid-mount towers !!

I mentioned OFD also with all tillers.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35581. Two Teens Gunned Down In San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 10:09:29 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35581 Message: 35581

Here is a story about that shooting in San Rafael:

POSTED: 2:50 pm PST January 9, 2005

SAN RAFAEL -- Two male teenagers were shot Sunday morning while walking down a street together in San Rafael's Canal District, a San Rafael Police spokeswoman said.

The victims, ages 14 and 19, were in front of 125 Larkspur St. around 11:10 a.m. when they were allegedly shot by a man who fled in a minivan, police spokeswoman Margo Rohrbacher.

The 19-year-old was being treated for a serious wound and the juvenile was being treated for injuries that are not believed to be life threatening, according to Rohrbacher.

Both are victims were at Marin General Hospital, police said.

The suspect was described as a Hispanic man who at the time of the shooting was wearing a black beanie cap. He fled in a gray minivan that contained four to five other passengers, also believed to be Hispanic males, according to Rohrbacher.

Anyone with information on the suspect's whereabouts is asked to call San Rafael Police at (415) 485-3000 or the Crime Stoppers tip line at (408) 947-STOP.

Police have not yet determined a motive for the shooting and are still at the scene investigating the incident, Rohrbacher said.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35582. Re: Armed robbery update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 08:37:40 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 35572 Message: 35582

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Darrin Buth" <dbuth@s...> wrote:
> AMR Paramedic (Daryl Albino) walking in with EKG to run a strip, is the suspect
> dead?
>
>
>
> Darrin E. Buth
>
> Turlock, CA

I'm fascinated by why you bothered to give the AMR Paramedic's name. I don't see how it's relevent to anything, so the only 'reason' I can think of it that it's an effort to somehow 'show-off' that through great mystical powers or intelligence, you happened to recognize a voice or medic callsign.

But then, you show how silly you are by asking *us* idiots if the guy is dead! Seems to me that death would mitigate the need to do an EKG, but maybe things are different on your planet, Darrin.

35583. Re: Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 11:33:07 UTC
From: Mark W.
Topic: 35374 Message: 35583

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 11:06 AM 1/7/2005, you wrote:
> >I'm still trying to get an awnser on my alinco question so if you know
> >anybody
> >with ideas sendem my way.
>
>
> What question is that?
>
> Evan
Glad you asked do you know or have expeiance with Alinco scanners mine seems to suck and i'm wondering if its just mine or the brand in general. thanks
marko

35584. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan Tillers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 13:17:11 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 35562 Message: 35584

When Ben is right, they can be made to run without a tiller

when was the last time anyone saw a tiller without a tillerman ?? was it a new rig or older (as in early 90s or older) i'm just wondering

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35585. Re: [bayscan] Tiller Trucks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 13:23:30 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 35551 Message: 35585

it still there and thanks to whoever sent me the link
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 09, 2005 19:39
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Tiller Trucks

it used to be on firedispatch.com

can you tell me where i have look and can't find it ... Bill

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35586. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan Tillers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 13:55:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35562 Message: 35586

I already answered the question about running without a tillerman .

Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35587. Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 16:05:10 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35587 Message: 35587

[http://www.mercurynews.com/mld/mercurynews/news/local/states/california/counties/alameda_county/10608232.htm]

Wonder if they will form their own PD, or contract with the Sheriff's office.

35588. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 16:09:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35587 Message: 35588

the article isn't there.....unless you sign up for it , NO THANK

got another link ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35589. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 16:23:05 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35587 Message: 35589

Here I cut & pasted it from the web site

Posted on Mon, Jan. 10, 2005

Alameda County residents push to form new city

By Thaai Walker

Mercury News

It was bad enough having to put up with the dearth of dress shops and banks. But when the seven medicinal marijuana clinics came to the area, Kathie Ready decided she'd had just about enough of living in unincorporated Alameda County.

Ready has lived in San Lorenzo, south of Oakland, for 39 years. It's a community, not a city. Being governed by Alameda County, she believes, has meant too many of the things she and her neighbors don't want and too few of the things they do.

Her solution: Cut the county apron strings and become an actual city with a government of its own.

She and like-minded others in San Lorenzo, Ashland and Cherryland believe it's time for the three communities to unite and incorporate. Together, they have a population of about 70,000 in about 7.3 square miles.

The new city, wedged between San Leandro, Hayward and unincorporated Castro Valley, would be called San Lorenzo.

So far, the Committee to Incorporate San Lorenzo has about two dozen members, including Ready. Its headquarters is in Ashland resident Richard Hancocks' living room. But the few hope to persuade the many to come around.

If they do, San Lorenzo would become Alameda County's 15th city and its first to incorporate since Dublin in 1982.

Later this month, the San Lorenzo committee will start gathering 6,000 signatures on a petition to the county's Local Agency Formation Commission, which oversees incorporations and annexations.

Lengthy and expensive hearings would follow. If the proposal weathers that process, it must go before voters in the three communities for final approval. Residents elsewhere in Alameda County would not vote on the new city.

The last Alameda County area to attempt incorporation was Castro Valley, whose voters refused the idea in 2002.

But those favoring San Lorenzo's incorporation say their small but densely populated communities have languished.

It was 39 years ago that Ready and her husband-to-be went on a Sunday drive through San Lorenzo's winding sycamore-lined streets and thought it was one of the prettiest places they'd ever seen. They used $1,700 they'd saved for a Hawaiian honeymoon as a down payment on a little house with gray shutters. They've never made it to Hawaii, but they raised two girls in that house, and Ready can't think of anyplace else she'd rather live.

Even so, she bridles at the fact that none of the three communities has any viable retail areas. Only one, San Lorenzo, has a supermarket. And in Cherryland, where cherry orchard king William Meek was said to have created the Bing variety, many of the streets lack sidewalks.

Instead, incorporation advocates lament, the communities have been given more than their share of low-income housing and halfway houses for wayward teens and ex-cons. Those seven marijuana clinics sprouted in a two-mile area after being locked out of neighboring cities whose councils had developed ordinances to control the clinics' proliferation.

``Most of the county supervisors live in Oakland and Berkeley or on the other side of the hills,'' said Cherryland resident Ron Palmeri. ``Most of the county's resources go out to Livermore and Castro Valley. And here, it's like, `Do you need more low-income housing?' ''

Residents credit county Supervisor Alice Lai-Bitker, whose district includes San Lorenzo and Ashland, with doing her best. But even she agrees that the county board is consumed by larger issues.

``I think their feelings are fair,'' said Lai-Bitker, who supports incorporation.

Incorporation advocates said they realize becoming a city won't be a cure-all -- after all, cities have problems of their own.

``But,'' Ready said, ``at least we'd be able to blame ourselves for the failures.''

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 08:09
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

the article isn't there........unless you sign up for it , NO THANK

got another link ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35590. Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 16:28:59 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35374 Message: 35590

At 03:33 AM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>Glad you asked do you know or have expeiance with Alinco scanners
>mine seems to suck and i'm wondering if its just mine or the brand in
>general. thanks

I don't think I've ever owned an Alinco. A friend of myne had a Alinco scanner once, then one day I asked him about it, he said he gave it to a friend. Said it was the third Alinco he had owned, they all sucked, and he'd never buy another Alinco again.

That's his experience.. I'd still want to see for myself, but maybe not buying one - in store. :)

Evan

35591. Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 16:52:19 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35374 Message: 35591

FYI: all Alinco H/T's are not worth the money you spend on them, Now their mobile radio are another storey, I have had quite a few mobiles from Alinco and all of them worked find but 1 that I bought used...
My two cents worth.
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 08:28
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara County Med. Frequencies

At 03:33 AM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>Glad you asked do you know or have expeiance with Alinco scanners
>mine seems to suck and i'm wondering if its just mine or the brand in
>general. thanks

I don't think I've ever owned an Alinco. A friend of myne had a Alinco scanner once, then one day I asked him about it, he said he gave it to a friend. Said it was the third Alinco he had owned, they all sucked, and he'd never buy another Alinco again.

That's his experience.. I'd still want to see for myself, but maybe not buying one - in store. :)

Evan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35592. BIG Tree down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 17:21:00 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35592 Message: 35592

Very large tree down on several cars and a 1 story apt. bldg in
East Palo Alto , 1934 Capitol @ Cooley (west side of 101)
at least 1 injuried

Control 1 / 153.89
Control 5/ 156.105
EPAPD / 488.3875

Engines 2 1 77 Truck 1 BC1

0920hours
Ed

35593. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 17:22:17 UTC
From: John Drake
Topic: 35587 Message: 35593

Here's a link to a database of website registrations that anyone can
use. The sign-in for mercurynews.com is:

lostreaders@...
000111

http://www.bugmenot.com/

caladded@... wrote:
> the article isn't there........unless you sign up for it , NO THANK
>
> got another link ?
>
> ED

35594. high tide in marin today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 18:15:49 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35594 Message: 35594

Today the high tide for Marin is
High 10:32 AM 7.3

Im going to run out now and see if I can get some good photographs of the flooding.
If it's anything like yesterday I should have some great photo ops. Ill upload anything I shoot later.

Robert
BayScan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35595. 2 more new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 18:34:13 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35595 Message: 35595

TG 757840 (sounded like PD tac?)
TG 18112 (sounded like a couple of old ladies? Huh?)

I went ahead and added them to my MERA master list.

-Roger in San Rafael 10:34am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35596. Ceres PD officer dies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 18:35:08 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35596 Message: 35596

BREAKING NEWS: Ceres officer dies from injuries

By Debbie Noda/ The Modesto Bee

Members of the Stanislaus County Sheriff's Department S.W.A.T. team prepare to search an area where a shootout occurred between deputies and a man Sunday evening. One officer died as a result of his injuries, and another remains in critical condition.
By Debbie Noda/ The Modesto Bee

HYPERLINK
"mailto:metro@...?subject=BREAKING%20NEWS:%20Ceres%20officer%20dies%20fro
m%20injuries%20"
BY PATRICK GIBLIN
BEE STAFF WRITER

Last Updated: January 10, 2005, 09:59:19 AM PST

One of the two officers shot in a gun battle Sunday night has died.

Ceres Police Sgt. Howard Stevenson died from his injuries at an area hospital, a spokesman for the Stanislaus County Sheriff?s Department said this morning.

Officer Sam Ryno was listed in critical condition at an area hospital Monday morning. Both men had been shot several times with an automatic rifle.

Three hours after the shooting, police shot the suspect when they found him behind a nearby home. His name and condition were not released this morning.

The officers responded to a call made by an employee at George?s Liquors on Caswell Avenue at 8:07 p.m. Sunday. The employee told emergency dispatchers that a man holding a rifle and acting crazy had just left the store and was walking down the street.

Gunfire erupted shortly after police arrived. Both officers had been shot several times, Modesto police spokesman Rick Applegate said late Sunday night. The officers also exchanged gunfire with the suspect before he fled. Witnesses told police the suspect ducked into a home on Caswell Avenue about two blocks from the liquor store.

Officers from the Ceres, Modesto, Turlock and Newman police departments as well as the Stanislaus and Merced Sheriff?s offices and the California Highway Patrol responded.

Nearly one square mile of the city?s roads were closed as a California Highway Patrol helicopter hovered overhead and police officers and SWAT teams took positions around the home. Police officers shot out street lights to diminish the suspects vision, Applegate said.

"We can?t have a city employee turn off the lights because in order to do that, he would have put himself in harm?s way and in the line of fire of the suspect," he said Sunday night.

At first, residents in the area were told to lock their doors and turn off their lights. Then about 10 p.m., officers began evacuating homes.

At about 11:30 p.m., the suspect was spotted behind the home. Applegate said the suspect "initiated a gunfight." The suspect was shot in the alley. His name or condition were not available early this morning.

Both officers have been members of the Ceres police department for several years.

The streets around the area of both shootings were still closed today.

Parents of students at Caswell Elementary School, one block north of the shooting, were told to drop their children off in front of the school Monday morning.

For the complete story, see tomorrow's Bee or www.modbee.com.

HYPERLINK "http://modbee.com/local/story/9745305p-10612066c.html"http://modbee.com/local/story/9745305p-10612066c.html

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.10 - Release Date: 1/10/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35597. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan Tillers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 19:45:34 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35562 Message: 35597

mid early 90's

Bill wrote:

> When Ben is right, they can be made to run without a tiller
>
> when was the last time anyone saw a tiller without a tillerman ??
> was it a new rig or older (as in early 90s or older) i'm just
> wondering
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35598. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 19:48:06 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35587 Message: 35598

oh brother, this is like splitting hairs.. There's a city called san lorenzo.. Its unincorported but its a city. All these cherryland bs.. its a district within san lorenzo.... nothing big

Scanner 4 wrote:

> Here I cut & pasted it from the web site
>
>
> Posted on Mon, Jan. 10, 2005
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Alameda County residents push to form new city
>
> By Thaai Walker
>
> Mercury News
>
>
> It was bad enough having to put up with the dearth of dress
> shops and banks. But when the seven medicinal marijuana clinics came
> to the area, Kathie Ready decided she'd had just about enough of
> living in unincorporated Alameda County.
>
> Ready has lived in San Lorenzo, south of Oakland, for 39 years.
> It's a community, not a city. Being governed by Alameda County, she
> believes, has meant too many of the things she and her neighbors don't
> want and too few of the things they do.
>
> Her solution: Cut the county apron strings and become an actual
> city with a government of its own.
>
> She and like-minded others in San Lorenzo, Ashland and
> Cherryland believe it's time for the three communities to unite and
> incorporate. Together, they have a population of about 70,000 in about
> 7.3 square miles.
>
> The new city, wedged between San Leandro, Hayward and
> unincorporated Castro Valley, would be called San Lorenzo.
>
> So far, the Committee to Incorporate San Lorenzo has about two
> dozen members, including Ready. Its headquarters is in Ashland

> resident Richard Hancocks' living room. But the few hope to persuade
> the many to come around.
>
> If they do, San Lorenzo would become Alameda County's 15th city
> and its first to incorporate since Dublin in 1982.
>
> Later this month, the San Lorenzo committee will start gathering
> 6,000 signatures on a petition to the county's Local Agency Formation
> Commission, which oversees incorporations and annexations.
>
> Lengthy and expensive hearings would follow. If the proposal
> weathers that process, it must go before voters in the three
> communities for final approval. Residents elsewhere in Alameda County
> would not vote on the new city.
>
> The last Alameda County area to attempt incorporation was Castro
> Valley, whose voters refused the idea in 2002.
>
> But those favoring San Lorenzo's incorporation say their small
> but densely populated communities have languished.
>
> It was 39 years ago that Ready and her husband-to-be went on a
> Sunday drive through San Lorenzo's winding sycamore-lined streets and
> thought it was one of the prettiest places they'd ever seen. They used
> $1,700 they'd saved for a Hawaiian honeymoon as a down payment on a
> little house with gray shutters. They've never made it to Hawaii, but
> they raised two girls in that house, and Ready can't think of anyplace
> else she'd rather live.
>
> Even so, she bridles at the fact that none of the three
> communities has any viable retail areas. Only one, San Lorenzo, has a
> supermarket. And in Cherryland, where cherry orchard king William Meek
> was said to have created the Bing variety, many of the streets lack
> sidewalks.
>
> Instead, incorporation advocates lament, the communities have
> been given more than their share of low-income housing and halfway
> houses for wayward teens and ex-cons. Those seven marijuana clinics
> sprouted in a two-mile area after being locked out of neighboring
> cities whose councils had developed ordinances to control the clinics'
> proliferation.
>
> ``Most of the county supervisors live in Oakland and Berkeley or
> on the other side of the hills,'' said Cherryland resident Ron
> Palmeri. ``Most of the county's resources go out to Livermore and
> Castro Valley. And here, it's like, `Do you need more low-income
> housing?' ''
>
> Residents credit county Supervisor Alice Lai-Bitker, whose
> district includes San Lorenzo and Ashland, with doing her best. But

> even she agrees that the county board is consumed by larger issues.
>
> ``I think their feelings are fair,'' said Lai-Bitker, who
> supports incorporation.
>
> Incorporation advocates said they realize becoming a city won't
> be a cure-all -- after all, cities have problems of their own.
>
> ``But,'' Ready said, ``at least we'd be able to blame ourselves
> for the failures.''
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 08:09
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO
>
>
> the article isn't there........unless you sign up for it , NO THANK
>
> got another link ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>

> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35599. Re: [bayscan] Marin Activity

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 19:54:39 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 35559 Message: 35599

Roger, you are correct about San Rafael's AM shooting. One victim was shot in the stomach and one was shot in the leg. Neither of then died. Last night San Rafael gang officers saw the suspect vehicle with the three suspects pull up to a house they were watching and go inside. They called it in and were sitting tight when the suspects exited the house and got back in their car and left. Marked units attempted to make the stop. There was a short vehicle chase which ended near the dead end when the suspects stopped and foot bailed. One was caught while going over a fence the other two got away while being chased. PD set up a perimeter and called for San Rafael SWAT and Marin SO SWAT. SWAT teams set up and the SO's SWAT communications rig was set up with tactical command dispatchers. SWAT teams were unsuccessful in locating the two outstanding suspects. They were later identified as known gang members from Salinas.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35600. Trunked and/or P25 system listening in San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 20:19:29 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 35600 Message: 35600

With all the group traffic about MERA, ALCO, San Francisco, and other trunked radio systems, I've wondered what monitoring opportunities there are in San Jose for trunked systems and/or P25 encoded systems. I am mobile with a 796D; around town I can pickup:

SJPD 17 (MERGE) non-trunked, P25

SCC SO - non-trunked, some channels P25

San Jose Airport TSA - non-trunked, P25

City of Santa Clara - everything trunked but analog

I don't know of any other public safety agencies down here that are trunked and/or use P25.

There do seem to be a few commercial trunked radio systems in the 800/900 MHz ranges--anything worth checking out? Does the 796D work with these systems?

-Johnathan

35601. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:09:40 UTC
From: troyboym@aol.com
Topic: 35587 Message: 35601

Huh? An unicorporated are is not a city - you're dependant on the county for services and more importantly planning which is what caused our big push to incorporate Castro Valley a couple of years ago.

In a message dated 1/10/2005 11:49:55 AM Pacific Standard Time, bkellogg9@... writes:
oh brother, this is like splitting hairs.. There's a city called san lorenzo.. Its unincorported but its a city. All these cherryland bs.. its a district within san lorenzo.... nothing big

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35602. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:35:42 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 35587 Message: 35602

About half of all governmental services are NOT provided by Incorporated Cities or towns. State Laws permit "Service Districts", which are incorporated to serve the genreal public and to provide just about any type of service needed. Water, Sewer, School, Fire, Police Protection, Hospital. You name it --- Any formal request for city incorporation is first reviewed to see if the area or community should be incorporated. You do not need to be a city to apply for an FCC radio license. In fact all FM and TV stations are assigned to serve a "Community" . It does not have to be an incorporated city or town.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35603. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:28:12 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35587 Message: 35603

Ok let me correct my statement.. nothing would change in San Lorenzo if the became a city. Fire would still be with alco and pd would still be ACSO... too small of a "town" to do anything else when they have everything good right now

troyboym@... wrote:

> Huh? An unicorporated are is not a city - you're dependant on the
> county for
> services and more importantly planning which is what caused our big
> push to
> incorporate Castro Valley a couple of years ago.
>
>
> In a message dated 1/10/2005 11:49:55 AM Pacific Standard Time,
> bkellogg9@... writes:
> oh brother, this is like splitting hairs.. There's a city called san
> lorenzo.. Its unincorported but its a city. All these cherryland bs..
> its a district within san lorenzo.... nothing big
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35604. Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:41:29 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35587 Message: 35604

According to CNN's website - there is a county in California called "Canyon County" - anyone familiar with that one? ;^)

The front page shows an image of a home being swept into a river in the southland and it's captioned "A home is washed away in Canyon County, California."

++++sigh++++

So much for accuracy...

-C-

35605. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:42:50 UTC
From: Terry Taylor
Topic: 35587 Message: 35605

> oh brother, this is like splitting hairs.. There's a
> city called san
> lorenzo.. Its unincorported but its a city. All
> these cherryland bs..
> its a district within san lorenzo.... nothing big

Oh, boy, are you ever wrong, I have lived in San
Lorenzo my entire life. We are NOT a city, we have NO
city government, no city services and are subject to
the whims of the county and LAFCO. Cherryland and
Ashland are exactly the same. I suggest you look up
the LEGAL definitions of a city (or a town, like Los Gatos).

35606. Re: [bayscan] Somewhat OT, New City trying to form in ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:48:16 UTC
From: Terry Taylor
Topic: 35587 Message: 35606

This is also incorrect. Those decisions are made by the CITY GOVERNMENT. For examples, look at Isleton. It has a population of about 4000, but has it's own fire department and police department, as does Rio Vista. There is NOTHING that precludes a small city from having their own police and fire. Those decisions are made by the elected government. Budget issues, MAY make it more cost effective to contract those services out, but many of us who live in these communities would push to throw out ASO and have our own police agency. Fire DOES make sense to leave with AlCo.

--- Ben <bkellogg9@...> wrote:

>
> Ok let me correct my statement.. nothing would
> change in San Lorenzo if
> the became a city. Fire would still be with alco and
> pd would still be
> ACSO... too small of a "town" to do anything else
> when they have
> everything good right now

35607. Re: [bayscan] Modesto PD (Stanislaus Co.)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:51:23 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35576 Message: 35607

and SWAT should be all caps, its an abbreviation.

In a message dated 1/10/05 00:01:38, dbuth@... writes:

<< Swat is searching on Beachwood including an on-duty MPD

officer’s home for a second suspect in the 211 earlier.

>>

35608. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:57:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35587 Message: 35608

At 01:41 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>According to CNN's website - there is a county in California called "Canyon
>County" - anyone familiar with that one? ;^)
>
>The front page shows an image of a home being swept into a river in the
>southland and it's captioned "A home is washed away in Canyon County,
>California."
>
>++++sigh++++
>
>So much for accuracy...

I don't get it... is there not a Canyon County in California?

http://www.cityfreq.com/ca/canyoncounty/

That's just a google search I turned up...

Evan

35609. Re: [bayscan] Modesto PD (Stanislaus Co.)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 21:59:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35576 Message: 35609

If we are to really, really nitpicking, it's not an abbreviation - it is rather an acronym.

1. Acronym - a word (as NATO,) formed from the initial letter or letters of each of the successive parts or major parts of a compound term.

2. SWAT - Special Weapons and Tactics

3. Abbreviation - Shortened form of a word.

The above was offered in the hopes of lightening the mood on the list - it's just for fun...

Cheers! :^)

-C-

On 1/10/05 1:51 PM, "Boulrog@..." <Boulrog@...> wrote:

> and SWAT should be all caps, its an abbreviation.

35610. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 22:02:23 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35587 Message: 35610

Evan ---- the article reads as though there is a county in the state of California called Canyon County. That's all - no big deal - just having a little fun on a slow day in which the group has been consumed with somewhat less than stimulating event-related news.

-C-

On 1/10/05 1:57 PM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

> I don't get it... is there not a Canyon County in California?

35611. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 22:02:12 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35587 Message: 35611

sure it wasn't canyon country, ca? which is near santa clarita off hwy 14...

Evan Platt wrote:

> At 01:41 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
> >According to CNN's website - there is a county in California called
> "Canyon
> >County" - anyone familiar with that one? ;^)
> >
> >The front page shows an image of a home being swept into a river in the
> >southland and it's captioned "A home is washed away in Canyon County,
> >California."
> >
> >++++sigh++++
> >
> >So much for accuracy...
>
> I don't get it... is there not a Canyon County in California?
>
> http://www.cityfreq.com/ca/canyoncounty/
>
> That's just a google search I turned up...
>
>
> Evan
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35612. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 22:06:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35587 Message: 35612

At 02:02 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>Evan ---- the article reads as though there is a county in the state of
>California called Canyon County. That's all - no big deal - just having a
>little fun on a slow day in which the group has been consumed with somewhat
>less than stimulating event-related news.

So I'm guessing there's NOT a canyon county (that's a county)?

Pardon my ignorance... :)

Evan

35613. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 22:14:23 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35587 Message: 35613

Evan -

'zactly - it just struck me as being kinda funny, and no need to request a pardon from me ;^)

-C-

On 1/10/05 2:06 PM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

> So I'm guessing there's NOT a canyon county (that's a county)?
>
> Pardon my ignorance... :)
>
> Evan

35614. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 22:05:34 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35587 Message: 35614

maybe its a typo and they meant to write "canyon country"

In a message dated 1/10/05 13:42:36, craigarose@... writes:
<<
According to CNN's website - there is a county in California called "Canyon
County" - anyone familiar with that one? ;^)
The front page shows an image of a home being swept into a river in the
southland and it's captioned "A home is washed away in Canyon County,
California."
++++sigh++++
So much for accuracy...
-C- >>

35615. RE: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 23:14:33 UTC
From: Steven Anderson
Topic: 35587 Message: 35615

Maybe ' Country'?
Me being in Minnesota...
-----Original Message-----
From: Craig Rose [mailto:craigarose@...]
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 4:14 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Evan -

'zactly - it just struck me as being kinda funny, and no need to request a
pardon from me ;^)

-C-

On 1/10/05 2:06 PM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

> So I'm guessing there's NOT a canyon county (that's a county)?
>
> Pardon my ignorance... :)
>
> Evan

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35616. Full 1st Alarm - San Jose Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 23:38:10 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35616 Message: 35616

HOLLY IC established for smoke coming from the eaves of a single story residential structure at 3320 Holly Drive.

Full first alarm has been dispatched with Engine 19 advising fire in the garage from a dryer.

TRUCK COMPANY 2
PATROL 2
ENGINE 19
BATTALION 2
USAR COMPANY 5
ENGINE 23
BATTALION 1
MED 30

No further information on this incident unless situation warrants.

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

35617. Re: [bayscan] Full 1st Alarm - San Jose Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-10 23:40:00 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35616 Message: 35617

This incident is being handled on San Jose Fire COMMAND 3 - 154.115

Sorry about the brain fade!

-C-

On 1/10/05 3:38 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> HOLLY IC established for smoke coming from the eaves of a single story
> residential structure at 3320 Holly Drive.
>
> Full first alarm has been dispatched with Engine 19 advising fire in the
> garage from a dryer.
>
> TRUCK COMPANY 2
> PATROL 2
> ENGINE 19
> BATTALION 2
> USAR COMPANY 5
> ENGINE 23
> BATTALION 1
> MED 30
>
> No further information on this incident unless situation warrants.

35618. Re: [bayscan] two Ceres PD officers shot, critically injured

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 01:10:21 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 35570 Message: 35618

Just in case anyone might want to hear what transpired in this incident, I just might be able to come up with a recording of it from about 10 min. into the original call.

Let me know if your interested.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/09/05 23:09:27
To: SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com; bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] two Ceres PD officers shot, critically injured

Around 20:00 tonight, Sunday 1-10-05 two Ceres PD officers, (Stanislaus County
SO 158.7300) were shot by an armed robbery suspect at Georges Liquors, Caswell
and Central Ave. in Ceres. As of 2305 hrs Swat shot one suspect in the leg.

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend
"

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.9 - Release Date: 1/6/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35619. CG helo with a problem

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 01:18:32 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35619 Message: 35619

There are two CG helos up right now, one of them (6505) has a problem with a window and is going to land and take a look. Closest is Chrissy Field. Heads up GGB commuters! 345.0/AM

17:15/PT

35620. AWOL Marine murders one Ceres PD officer, other critical

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 01:24:50 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35620 Message: 35620

HYPERLINK "http://www.thekcrachannel.com/news/4066891/detail.html"http://www.thekcrachannel.com/news/4066891/detail.html

Here is the link from Channel 3 in Sacramento. Channel-10 HYPERLINK "http://www.news10.net/"www.news10.net showed the surveillance camera tape of the AWOL Marine firing on the two Ceres PD officers last night on tonight?s 5 PM news.

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.10 - Release Date: 1/10/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35621. Re: [bayscan] CG helo with a problem

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 01:58:45 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35619 Message: 35621

funny I didn't hear a dispatch for a helo landing on SFFD
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 17:18
Subject: [bayscan] CG helo with a problem

There are two CG helos up right now, one of them (6505) has a problem
with a window and is going to land and take a look. Closest is Chrissy
Field. Heads up GGB commuters! 345.0/AM

17:15/PT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35622. Re: [bayscan] CG helo with a problem

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 02:05:27 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35619 Message: 35622

> I didn't hear a dispatch for a helo landing on SFFD

should you have? i mean, is there some rule about it?

35623. Thank you for your comments

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 02:36:35 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 35623 Message: 35623

For those who bothered to comment and chastise me for my messages regarding the shooting in Ceres last night. Ed believes I need to use more discretion in mentioning names and locations; I mentioned that a SWAT team was searching on Beachwood and at an on-duty MPD officer?s home. I did not mention the address in my e-mail; the home in question was not on Beachwood and yes I did have the address. Why would I have such information? I lived in Ceres for 10 years; my parents also live in Ceres. I have many friends who work for Ceres PD, Modesto PD, Stanislaus County Sheriff?s office, the local fire departments and even AMR. In response to Ed?s and Tim?s complaint that I mentioned the paramedics name; the SWAT member asked over the radio for Daryl Albino by name to approach with the EKG monitor. Daryl is a friend of mine and someone who I used to dispatch on calls while we worked together at AMR.

As for the words in my e-mail ?Is he dead?? I see that I typed that. I probably meant to add to that and instead sent the e-mail as it was. Sorry Tim no mystical powers here, the central valley is a different place; however, it is located on the same planet as the bay area and just 90 miles southeast of San Francisco. Bay area scanner listeners would probably be surprised to hear the common use of names by officers, firefighters, and paramedics on the radio waves.

Boulrog mentions that SWAT is to be represented in all capital letters as it is an acronym; I am sorry I missed that when I spell checked my e-mail message and missed it when I proofread my message; to think of the gross error I made by not capitalizing all of the letters. I see more complaints from users on discussion boards for others who ?Shout? in all capital letters yet nothing when writers use nothing but lowercase letters, no punctuation, misspelled words, and zero grammar. To think I missed capitalizing three letters and the e-mail started posting.

I am angry, no. My intent was to post what information I had, the frequencies being used, and the agencies that were on-scene all for those who take a much more active role in listening and could tune their yagi antennas in the direction of the valley and listen in. I use an indoor antenna and I do not have my 780 set up to feed the audio to my PC.

Darrin E. Buth

Turlock, CA

"A friend is someone who knows everything about you and is still your friend."

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.10 - Release Date: 1/10/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35624. Re: [bayscan] CG helo with a problem

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 02:17:08 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35619 Message: 35624

yes, SFFD reponds to all Helo landings, Just in case of a problem in landing, mostly it's for Med flights landing at pier 70,
And yes if any helo is landing for any type of an EMERGENCY I would think that the local FD would be there has well as PD
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 18:05
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CG helo with a problem

> I didn't hear a dispatch for a helo landing on SFFD

should you have? i mean, is there some rule about it?

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35625. Full assignment in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 03:02:03 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 16306 Message: 35625

2828 Fulton, corner of Oregon. Vacant house, smoke from the garage.
2245, 2242, 2241, 2275, 2295 and 2204 responding. BFD #2 154.43 ...

19:00/PT

35626. Re: [bayscan] CG helo with a problem

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 03:04:42 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35619 Message: 35626

> SFFD reponds to all Helo landings

Huh. Not sure how they would have found out in this case.

> And yes if any helo is landing for any type of an EMERGENCY
> I would think that the local FD would be there has well as PD

I don't think they "declared an emergency" -- I just heard the chit-chat between them and another one in the area. It sounded like maybe something came loose and thwacked the windscreen on the right hand side. He called it a "precautionary" landing, to sort of take a look around, and didn't ask the other guy to do anything other than 'cover' -- once they were on the ground, the one in the air radio'd to SanFranAir where they were and to expect a call on the cell phone from them.

/jordan

35627. Re: [bayscan] Thank you for your comments

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 03:23:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35623 Message: 35627

Just because you KNOW them doesn't justify mentioning them by name or address on this forum. We, at bayscan ask that people show discretion no matter what the scanner information is. Any movement by SWAT teams , narcs etc should remain OFF the list. your new here and some of the information regarding this tragedy is appreciated but lets keep the stuff that SHOULD be confidential just that.

ED
Moderator

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35628. Re: [bayscan] CG helo with a problem

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 03:25:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35619 Message: 35628

probably should have had an Engine (Presidio) on standby a Chrissy if you had a helo in trouble. maybe it only went over GGNRA freq ?

Presidio Fire units DO have SFFD radios as well , probably when George asked about it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35629. MVA - South San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:22:09 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35629 Message: 35629

MVA located at SB 101 just north of Bailey.

Incident being handled on COMMAND 4 - 153.980

ENGINE 27
TRUCK COMPANY 18
MED 30
BATTALION 13
COUNTY ENGINE 12

Four vehicles with one overturned on the right-hand shoulder. Battalion 13 requesting a total of three AMR rigs and an additional ALS rig.

35630. BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:23:36 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35630 Message: 35630

Just curious which antennas you guys would recommend for my house and car. I got a BC796D. I called HRO in Sunnyvale and the shop off El Camino. I want bay scans opinion now. You guys can be the tiebreaker =). Links if you can provide them to pictures would be helpful.

Thanks!

Bayard

Btw I'm on Med 7 tonight. Evan you better post my good calls. I hope this rain lets up. Running an MVA would not be fun right now. I believe I might resemble a drowned rat once I would be done with the patient.

35631. Re: [bayscan] MVA - South San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:26:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35629 Message: 35631

SAN JOSE ENGINE 27 now requesting a full rescue response for three patients with one trapped in the overturned vehicle and all suffering from moderate injuries.

Overturned vehicle on right-hand shoulder is carrying biohazard waste. BATTALION 13 requests HIT TEAM respond CODE-2 at this time.

On 1/10/05 8:22 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> MVA located at SB 101 just north of Bailey.
>
> Incident being handled on COMMAND 4 - 153.980
>
> ENGINE 27
> TRUCK COMPANY 18
> MED 30
> BATTALION 13
> COUNTY ENGINE 12
>
> Four vehicles with one overturned on the right-hand shoulder. Battalion 13
> requesting a total of three AMR rigs and an additional ALS rig.

35632. Re: [bayscan] BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:30:28 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35630 Message: 35632

At 08:23 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>Just curious which antennas you guys would recommend for my house and
>car. I got a BC796D. I called HRO in Sunnyvale and the shop off El
>Camino. I want bay scans opinion now. You guys can be the tiebreaker
>=). Links if you can provide them to pictures would be helpful.

Depends on your primary frequency use. What are you mainly looking to listen to? I have a mag mount antenna, just let me know what frequency you primarily listen to and I can put something together for you. I have about a dozen antennas, mainly Larsen.

>Btw I'm on Med 7 tonight. Evan you better post my good calls.

You just jinxed yourself. No calls for you.

Or wait... All medicals. :)

>I hope this rain lets up. Running an MVA would not be fun right now. I
>believe I might resemble a drowned rat once I would be done with the
>patient.

Naw, the med units here are usually only on scene for about 2-3 minutes.

And whoever's been running med 7 today has been confused.
"Med 8 responding... err med 7." ... "Med 8 on sc... err med 7 on scene."
"Med 8 bac.. err med 7 back in quarters."
(Or was it med 7 / 8? He confused me too!"

35633. Re: [bayscan] MVA - South San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:31:50 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35629 Message: 35633

101 IC requesting TRUCK COMPANY 18 work the overturn.

BATTALION 13 now requesting CHP close NB 101 at Bailey. Again, this is NB
101 after Bailey.

HIT 29 A & B are responding to this incident for the biohazard.

On 1/10/05 8:26 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> SAN JOSE ENGINE 27 now requesting a full rescue response for three patients
> with one trapped in the overturned vehicle and all suffering from moderate
> injuries.
>
> Overturned vehicle on right-hand shoulder is carrying biohazard waste.
> BATTALION 13 requests HIT TEAM respond CODE-2 at this time.
>
>
> On 1/10/05 8:22 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> MVA located at SB 101 just north of Bailey.
>>
>> Incident being handled on COMMAND 4 - 153.980
>>
>> ENGINE 27
>> TRUCK COMPANY 18
>> MED 30
>> BATTALION 13
>> COUNTY ENGINE 12
>>
>> Four vehicles with one overturned on the right-hand shoulder. Battalion 13
>> requesting a total of three AMR rigs and an additional ALS rig.

35634. Re: [bayscan] MVA - South San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:37:43 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35629 Message: 35634

CHP CAD INFORMATION FOR THE MVA ON 101 NORTH OF BAILEY

ADDITIONAL DETAILS

8:30PM-19 BRINGING A BREAK FROM JSO COYOTE CREEK
8:29PM-FIRE WORKING A RESCUE
8:25PM-CA TOW ENRT FOR TC JNO COYOTE CREEK GOLF FOR OVERTURNED
8:14PM-POSS ANOTHER TC JUST OCCD
8:11PM-2 ON RHS
8:11PM-3 IN CD
8:11PM-PER 2ND RP 5 VEHS INV
8:09PM-WHI PK VS UNK VEH
8:08PM-2 VEHS INV-NEG 1125

On 1/10/05 8:31 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> 101 IC requesting TRUCK COMPANY 18 work the overturn.
>
> BATTALION 13 now requesting CHP close NB 101 at Bailey. Again, this is NB
> 101 after Bailey.
>
> HIT 29 A & B are responding to this incident for the biohazard.
>
> On 1/10/05 8:26 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> SAN JOSE ENGINE 27 now requesting a full rescue response for three patients
>> with one trapped in the overturned vehicle and all suffering from moderate
>> injuries.
>>
>> Overturned vehicle on right-hand shoulder is carrying biohazard waste.
>> BATTALION 13 requests HIT TEAM respond CODE-2 at this time.
>>
>>
>> On 1/10/05 8:22 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> MVA located at SB 101 just north of Bailey.
>>>
>>> Incident being handled on COMMAND 4 - 153.980
>>>
>>> ENGINE 27
>>> TRUCK COMPANY 18
>>> MED 30
>>> BATTALION 13

>>> COUNTY ENGINE 12
>>>
>>> Four vehicles with one overturned on the right-hand shoulder. Battalion 13
>>> requesting a total of three AMR rigs and an additional ALS rig.

35635. Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:40:59 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35630 Message: 35635

If I'm not mistaken SF and Marin are 400 & 800 megz? That's who will be listening to most. mag mount is obviously for car. You have antenna for your house?

Yes the medic who was on Med7 today was on over time. He normally works Med 8. =) Your observation skills are on fire today.

And with my luck AMR will be working another MVA like the one in San Jose right now and they will have a delayed response to my MVA. Oh yea.. And then I will look like a rat lol.

- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 08:23 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
> >Just curious which antennas you guys would recommend for my house and
> >car. I got a BC796D. I called HRO in Sunnyvale and the shop off El
> >Camino. I want bay scans opinion now. You guys can be the tiebreaker
> >=). Links if you can provide them to pictures would be helpful.
>
>
> Depends on your primary frequency use. What are you mainly looking to
> listen to? I have a mag mount antenna, just let me know what frequency you
> primarily listen to and I can put something together for you. I have about
> a dozen antennas, mainly Larsen.
>
> >Btw I'm on Med 7 tonight. Evan you better post my good calls.
>
> You just jinxed yourself. No calls for you.
>
> Or wait... All medicals. :)
>
> >I hope this rain lets up. Running an MVA would not be fun right now. I
> >believe I might resemble a drowned rat once I would be done with the
> >patient.
>
> Naw, the med units here are usually only on scene for about 2-3 minutes.
>
> And whoever's been running med 7 today has been confused.
> "Med 8 responding... err med 7." ... "Med 8 on sc... err med 7 on

scene."
> "Med 8 bac.. err med 7 back in quarters."
> (Or was it med 7 / 8? He confused me too!"

35636. Re: [bayscan] MVA south Sj

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:47:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35636 Message: 35636

What SJ Engine did I miss Craig .....E27 County E12 plus ??

asking for a STARCAR .....?? they have Rescue / Medic 18 which is one ,
maybe they want another .. sending Rescue / Medic 2

btw . Rescue/ Medic 2 is new , they switched their light unit with 29

some of you may have noticed , the Rescue/Medic units are rolling on MORE
calls now.....that is because of the closure of San Jose hospital.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35637. Re: [bayscan] MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:49:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35637 Message: 35637

Got another MVA , vehicle over the side , a truck with driver pinned on 152 near Casa De Fruita.....

CDF / 151.445

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35638. Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:53:04 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35637 Message: 35638

Any word on Cal Star reponse to that? I heard some rummor with our new protocals Cal Star was NOT an auto dispatch to MVA's near Casa De Fruita any more.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Got another MVA , vehicle over the side , a truck with driver pinned on 152
> near Casa De Fruita.................
>
> CDF / 151.445
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35639. Re: [bayscan] MVA south Sj

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:55:14 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35636 Message: 35639

They rolled Engine 12, then cancelled after Battalion 13 did his assessment.

Still rolling HAZMAT for a bunch of "blood products" that were being carried by one of the vehicles.

RESCUE MEDIC 18 did roll on this incident with the Truck. This sounds like it's wrapping up now with three AMR rigs being used for transport.

You mentioned the Rescue/Medic units rolling more - definitely noticing an increase in their use.

BTW - detail just called under control by Battalion 13. HIT 29 is still 5-10 minutes out to deal with the "blood products".

-C-

On 1/10/05 8:47 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> What SJ Engine did I miss Craig ....E27 County E12 plus ??

35641. Re: [bayscan] Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:57:16 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35637 Message: 35641

According to MED 30 and San Jose Fire Dispatch there are no helos available
due to the weather. Not sure on the auto dispatch aspect you mentioned.

-C-

On 1/10/05 8:53 PM, "stfucode3" <Charger@...> wrote:

> Any word on Cal Star reponse to that? I heard some rummor with our
> new protocals Cal Star was NOT an auto dispatch to MVA's near Casa De
> Fruita any more.

35642. Re: [bayscan] Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:56:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35637 Message: 35642

No word on Calstar,,,,,,,,,seems a good idea for them to start for crashes on 152 considering the history of bad crashes on it..... but wonder if they can fly in this weather? I am no where near it so don't know the fog/cloud/ rain situation

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35643. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:58:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35630 Message: 35643

At 08:40 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>If I'm not mistaken SF and Marin are 400 & 800 megz? That's who will
>be listening to most. mag mount is obviously for car. You have
>antenna for your house?

SF is 800. Don't know about Marin. I believe 800. I have a Yagi, you'd need a cable for it, but we can talk off list about that. It's a 7 element I think. You'd need to aim it to where your primary area is.

>Yes the medic who was on Med7 today was on over time. He normally
>works Med 8. =) Your observation skills are on fire today.

Ahhh .. poor guy.

>And with my luck AMR will be working another MVA like the one in San
>Jose right now and they will have a delayed response to my MVA. Oh
>yea.. And then I will look like a rat lol.

I'm biting my tongue.. :)

35644. Re: [bayscan] MVA south Sj

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 04:59:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35636 Message: 35644

CHP with victim on the 152 incident by " the big cut " can't remember what they mean by that.....asking for a tow rig with 300 feet of cable

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35645. SJ STAR car

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:02:37 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35645 Message: 35645

Star car was for another wreck at 87 and 280 because AMR was extended.

35647. Re: [bayscan] SJ STAR car

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:03:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35645 Message: 35647

ah !!!!!!!!!! thanks , gets confusing

BTW , Updated list for Star cars , since they switched 2/29

Med 22 and 31 with Engines 22 and 31

Rescue/Medic rigs at Stations 2 , 4 and 18

only differance I know of is R/M rigs have light towers..

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35648. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:04:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35630 Message: 35648

Marin is 400

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35649. Re: [bayscan) mill valley ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:06:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35649 Message: 35649

CHP website has a RP that can see a Large house on fire in mill valley.....NOT confirmed, those who get MERA .....listen up !!!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35650. Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:08:55 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35637 Message: 35650

Ah yes. rain.. I forgot... It seems the pilots of REACH are more willing to fly in the rain then cal star. Not sure if thats due to the type of helo. I know alot of the REACH pilots flew in Vietnam. Maybe they are more experianced.

As far as new protocals for Helos, it's a new dispatch protocal. Nothing I have immidiate access to look up. Thats why I asked.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> No word on Calstar,,,,,,,,,seems a good idea for them to start for crashes on
> 152 considering the history of bad crashes on it..... but wonder if they
> can fly in this weather? I am no where near it so don't know the fog/cloud/
> rain situation
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35651. Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:10:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35651 Message: 35651

AMR rolling a rig on Fremont st in SYV for a SWAT callout,,,,,,,,,

not hearing anything on Sunnyvale ....yet

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35652. AMR responding to S.W.A.T. Call out in SC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:10:32 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35652 Message: 35652

I dont have Santa Clara PD on any radios at work here. Any idea whats going on in SC? I heard AMR send 510 Code2 to stage for a S.W.A.T. call out at some retirement center.

35653. Re: [bayscan] AMR responding to S.W.A.T. Call out in SC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:13:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35652 Message: 35653

thought I heard Sunnyvale but not hearing anything , there IS a retirement home , in fact TWO of them on Fremont , one at wright near 85 , the other up towards wolfe road

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35654. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:13:49 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35651 Message: 35654

:-\ Hey Ed... weren't you just crucifying someone for posting SWAT
street info?

caladded@... wrote:

> AMR rolling a rig on Fremont st in SYV for a SWAT callout,,,,,,,,,
>
> not hearing anything on Sunnyvale ....yet
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35655. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:14:01 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35651 Message: 35655

I thought there wasn't steets to mentioned during S.W.A.T. incidents??

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35656. Re: [bayscan] Mill Valley / 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:14:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35656 Message: 35656

ok, CHP now shows this as a 2nd alarm at princeton and California , in Mill Valley

DAMN its busy

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35657. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) mill valley ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:15:03 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35649 Message: 35657

At 09:06 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>CHP website has a RP that can see a Large house on fire in mill
>valley.....NOT confirmed, those who get MERA .....listen
>up !!!

ADDITIONAL DETAILS

9:06PM - 2 ALARM HOUSE FIRE
9:04PM - RP CAN SEE LARGE HOUSE ON FIRE

Evan

35658. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:15:54 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35630 Message: 35658

Marin is T-Band which starts at 470.XXX but the trunking system for Marin is APCO-25 and you'll need a digital scanner
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:04
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Marin is 400

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35659. Re: [bayscan) mill valley ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:15:58 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35649 Message: 35659

oh $@#&*! I hope its not my house.. ugh.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> CHP website has a RP that can see a Large house on fire in mill
> valley.....NOT confirmed, those who get
MERA .....listen up !!!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35660. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:18:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35651 Message: 35660

I gave him a BAD time because he mentioned an OFFICER lived on the street ,
NOT the SWAT incident itself !!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35661. Re: [bayscan] Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:19:27 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35637 Message: 35661

At 09:08 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:

>Ah yes. rain.. I forgot... It seems the pilots of REACH are more
>willing to fly in the rain then cal star. Not sure if thats due to
>the type of helo. I know alot of the REACH pilots flew in Vietnam.
>Maybe they are more experianced.

Most of CALSTAR's pilots are also ex-vietnam pilots. It's more a matter of safety. I'm not saying it's bad to take a call, but often it's safer to NOT take a call. If you have a hard enough time flying in, it's going to be even harder to fly out. And then you're not only endangering the life of the 3 crew members, but also a patient.

Evan

35662. Re: AMR responding to S.W.A.T. Call out in SC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:21:14 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35652 Message: 35662

Not sure.. I heard AMR dispatch to Santa Clara. I'm working in Sunnyvale and I have not heard any engines get dispatched for standby. Dono. AMR 510 now being sent to Monroe Street for a patient hyperventilating.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> thought I heard Sunnyvale but not hearing anything , there IS a retirement
> home , in fact TWO of them on Fremont , one at wright near 85 , the other up
> towards wolfe road
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35663. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:21:20 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35651 Message: 35663

caladded@... wrote:

*We, at bayscan ask that people show discretion no matter what
the scanner information is. Any movement by SWAT teams , narcs etc should
remain OFF the list.*

hmmmm....

caladded@... wrote:

> I gave him a BAD time because he mentioned an OFFICER lived on the
> street ,
> NOT the SWAT incident itself !!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35664. Re: [bayscan] Air med helo's in rain- was MVA south Sj

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:22:51 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35637 Message: 35664

Also usually its out of professional courtesy that is 1 Airship turns it down, everyone does...

Evan Platt wrote:

> At 09:08 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Ah yes. rain.. I forgot... It seems the pilots of REACH are more
> >willing to fly in the rain then cal star. Not sure if thats due to
> >the type of helo. I know alot of the REACH pilots flew in Vietnam.
> >Maybe they are more experianced.
>
> Most of CALSTAR's pilots are also ex-vietnam pilots. It's more a
> matter of
> safety. I'm not saying it's bad to take a call, but often it's safer
> to NOT
> take a call. If you have a hard enough time flying in, it's going to be
> even harder to fly out. And then you're not only endangering the life of
> the 3 crew members, but also a patient.
>
> Evan
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35665. Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:24:12 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35637 Message: 35665

yea good point.. I dono why. I will ask them next time I work South County.

Btw I just heard AMR 927 On scene @ 152 reporting prolonged extrication with serious injuires.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 09:08 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Ah yes. rain.. I forgot... It seems the pilots of REACH are more
> >willing to fly in the rain then cal star. Not sure if thats due to
> >the type of helo. I know alot of the REACH pilots flew in Vietnam.
> >Maybe they are more experianced.
>
> Most of CALSTAR's pilots are also ex-vietnam pilots. It's more a matter of
> safety. I'm not saying it's bad to take a call, but often it's safer to NOT
> take a call. If you have a hard enough time flying in, it's going to be
> even harder to fly out. And then you're not only endangering the life of
> the 3 crew members, but also a patient.
>
> Evan

35666. Santa Clara SWAT incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:27:10 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35666 Message: 35666

On PD 2, sorry don't know talk group as I'm all alpha on this scanner.

2 Victims at the PD, slight injury.

Sounds like it is wrapping up.

Asking if suspect needs to go to VMC

35667. Re: [bayscan] Re: AMR responding to S.W.A.T. Call out in SC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:25:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35652 Message: 35667

I get nothing in Sunnyvale either and there is a fremont in
S.Clara.............. listening to SC now.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35668. Re: [bayscan] Mill Valley / 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:26:55 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 35656 Message: 35668

One block from my house.
J.B. AD6WX

caladded@... wrote:

ok, CHP now shows this as a 2nd alarm at princeton and California , in Mill Valley

DAMN its busy

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35669. Santa Clara update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:31:03 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35669 Message: 35669

Suspect in custody with a dog bite.

Will be enroute VMC for treatment/medical clearance.

Perimeter/traffic units told to break down and secure.

35670. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:29:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35651 Message: 35670

thats RIGHT BEN ....any MOVEMENT , NOT the fact that there
is an INCIDENT...................

you don't post EXACT locations of officers etc etc READ IT AGAIN

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35671. Re: [bayscan] Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:29:57 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35637 Message: 35671

At 09:24 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:

>yea good point.. I dono why. I will ask them next time I work South
>County.

That reminds me.. Might be a good time to schedule a fly-along. :)

Evan

35672. Re: Mill Valley / 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:30:44 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35656 Message: 35672

YAY not my house! feel bad for the people who do own that place.. But happy its not mine. =)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> ok, CHP now shows this as a 2nd alarm at princeton and California , in Mill
> Valley
>
>
> DAMN its busy
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35673. Re: [bayscan] Re: AMR responding to S.W.A.T. Call out in SC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:30:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35652 Message: 35673

the TG for Santa Clara is 80 , but its over soooooo

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35674. 11-80 NB 680 at Mayberry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:32:16 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35674 Message: 35674

AMR reporting they just onviewed a MVA rollover, 80 @ mayberry. Unk injuries.

35676. Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:36:28 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35630 Message: 35676

cool thank you. I do have a digital scanner.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
> Marin is T-Band which starts at 470.XXX but the trunking system for
Marin is APCO-25 and you'll need a digital scanner
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@a...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:04
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
recommendations?
>
>
> Marin is 400
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35677. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:36:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35651 Message: 35677

At 09:29 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
>thats RIGHT BEN ....any MOVEMENT , NOT the fact that there
>is an INCIDENT...................
>
> you don't post EXACT locations of officers etc etc READ IT AGAIN

I personally feel out of respect for the officers, I personally won't post the exact location of a SWAT incident. I may post "<City> working a SWAT detail on XXX.XXXX Frequency" but that would be it.

Not that it would be like the subject wouldn't know SWAT was moving in on his location (I mean come on - most swat activities that you hear over the air are because of incidents - i.e. a person just stabbed someone than ran into his house). So this person already knows SWAT is there - sirens, big vans, etc. I seriously doubt there's a incident where the guy is surprised that PD is breaking down his door. But still, I won't do it.

But come on, regardless, by the time you posted "SWAT Entry Team Alpha about to make entry", and Yahoo sends it out to the list, Entry Team Alpha is back at the station recapping what happened. :)

Evan

35678. Another wreck with rescue// San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:40:14 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35678 Message: 35678

N/B 680 @ Berryessa.
3 vehicles, 1 rollover

"680 IC"
San Jose Cmd 3
154.115

///

Hwy 152 rescue waiting on a Heavy Duty tow rig to secure the vehicle
before they start rescue.

BC advising a VERY extended rescue operation
151.445 CDF Local

Weather can't be that bad now.. Santa Clara Sheriff STAR 1 helo just went
airborne.. of course
observation is a LOT different than landing/flying with a medical patient.

35679. Re: Mill Valley / 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:42:16 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35656 Message: 35679

Pictures please =)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> One block from my house.
> J.B. AD6WX
>
> caladded@a... wrote:
>
> ok, CHP now shows this as a 2nd alarm at princeton and California ,
in Mill
> Valley
>
>
> DAMN its busy
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35680. Re: 11-80 NB 680 at Mayberry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:43:42 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35674 Message: 35680

Fire requesting second AMR unit Code 2 . Updated location is 680 @
Mckee

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> AMR reporting they just onviewed a MVA rollover, 80 @ mayberry. Unk
> injuries.

35681. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:44:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35651 Message: 35681

That's right Evan. I ONLY post location, IF I can tell by listening to it I KNOW the perp KNOWS the cops are there. You noticed my first post even had the city wrong.

IPN guidelines, which I "kind of" go by here also, don't allow the address to show up on the page, although there is a way around it AND I have seen on of the owners of IPN actually violate the rule himself, so its kind of hypocritical of them to do that.

Common sense should prevail, although I know not everyone has that.

Swat Callout in a retirement home? Does anyone really think these people are here on Bayscan? Well, ya never know..... Still, some discretion is needed, you can't really assume a lot as NOT everything come over the radio.

I stand 100% behind my statements in regard to the Ceres incident.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35682. Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:46:59 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35637 Message: 35682

yikes 927 now updating CDF just got access to the car and they are looking at 1hr + for patient extrication. Couty Com to check back with Cal Star in 30 min for weather recheck to see if they may be able to fly then.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> yea good point.. I dono why. I will ask them next time I work South
> County.
>
> Btw I just heard AMR 927 On scene @ 152 reporting prolonged
> extrication with serious injuires.
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> > At 09:08 PM 1/10/2005, you wrote:
> >
> > >Ah yes. rain.. I forgot... It seems the pilots of REACH are more
> > >willing to fly in the rain then cal star. Not sure if thats due
to
> > >the type of helo. I know alot of the REACH pilots flew in
Vietnam.
> > >Maybe they are more experianced.
> >
> > Most of CALSTAR's pilots are also ex-vietnam pilots. It's more a
> matter of
> > safety. I'm not saying it's bad to take a call, but often it's
> safer to NOT
> > take a call. If you have a hard enough time flying in, it's going
> to be
> > even harder to fly out. And then you're not only endangering the
> life of
> > the 3 crew members, but also a patient.
> >
> > Evan

35683. Re: [bayscan] Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:49:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35637 Message: 35683

Did you catch ......is this a big rig on the 152 incident , it mentions a MIT truck on the CHP cad .....another HOUR !! geez , that sucks.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35684. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:56:14 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35651 Message: 35684

Also I'm sure the guy in the the SWAT incident heard a siren then ran to his PC to check the Bayscan List to find out the location of the the team.

Like Evan said by the time Yahoo posts this stuff they (The SWAT Team) are back in the squad room conducting an incident debrief.

You make this list a little more "Official" than it really is.

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35685. Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:56:22 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35637 Message: 35685

Stupid question. Whats A MIT truck?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Did you catch ......is this a big rig on the 152 incident , it
mentions a
> MIT truck on the CHP cad ....another HOUR !!
geez , that
> sucks.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35686. Re: Mill Valley / 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 05:59:42 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35656 Message: 35686

Any more info on this? I assume if the RP was from 101 and saw the
fire it was fully involved. Injuries? Defense Operation I assume?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Pictures please =)
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> > One block from my house.
> > J.B. AD6WX
> >
> > caladded@a... wrote:
> >
> > ok, CHP now shows this as a 2nd alarm at princeton and
California ,
> in Mill
> > Valley
> >
> >
> > DAMN its busy
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35687. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 06:06:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35651 Message: 35687

Who.....ME ????????? just some common courtesy, NO this isn't a official ANYTHING . just like to show some respect for the people we listen to

Paul , ya know who I am refering to at IPN ? same guy who put out the " fact" that 3 fire fighters died in the S.Cal fires last year, when it was NOT 3 but 1 !!!!

biggest snafu I ever saw on IPN

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35688. 2233hrs/ Campbell foot pursuit/ Firearm suspects

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 06:35:31 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 35688 Message: 35688

Known gang house on Sherman Palms.

5 detained, request 2 Los Gatos units for fill.

Came in as cellphone call, subject being held at gunpoint.

LGPD 482.9125
CPD 482.4625

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35689. Re: 2233hrs/ Campbell foot pursuit/ Firearm suspects

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 07:07:57 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35688 Message: 35689

Unk. if related, AMR responding C2 to Camble for a subject sprayed
with pepper spray by PD and another subject C/O CP.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> Known gang house on Sherman Palms.
>
> 5 detained, request 2 Los Gatos units for fill.
>
> Came in as cellphone call, subject being held at gunpoint.
>
> LGPD 482.9125
> CPD 482.4625
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35690. Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 07:26:38 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35637 Message: 35690

omg! 1 hour does suck.. what sucks more is that it is 11:25 and AMR 927 just advised they are STILL on scene. 927 is going to transport the patient down the hill to Cal Star. Med 91 advised them to call when they are 5 min. from Cal Stars landing pad. Cal Star will then evaluate if they can fly the patient to San Jose (VMC).

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Did you catch ......is this a big rig on the 152 incident , it mentions a
> MIT truck on the CHP cad .....another HOUR !! geez , that
> sucks.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35691. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 07:34:31 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 35587 Message: 35691

Craig Rose wrote:

>According to CNN's website - there is a county in California called "Canyon
>County" - anyone familiar with that one? ;^)
>
>The front page shows an image of a home being swept into a river in the
>southland and it's captioned "A home is washed away in Canyon County,
>California."
>
>++++sigh++++
>
>So much for accuracy...
>
>-C-
>
>

I think they mean Canyon Country in So Cal. It's just east of Santa
Clarita on Hwy 14 north of Los Angeles.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

35692. Bla Bla Bla

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 07:56:09 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 35692 Message: 35692

If it goes out over the radio and is not scrambled, why not post it? All SWAT teams that I am familiar with have encrypted coms. It is not as if radio traffic is where we share privileged information. We now have mobile data terminals, two way paging, cell phones, Nextel, satellite, encrypted radios and many more means of which to communicate without the worry of a bad guy with a scanner listening in. It's a real stretch of the imagination to think that Bayscan is being monitored by anyone involved in any criminal high stress or tactical situation. With our other means of communications in the field, very little of what goes on is actually transmitted over our two way radios. All sensitive information is handled through cell, Nextel, MDT, or two way pager. Go ahead and post anything you feel tells a clear story and update of events that you heard on your scanner. Scanning is a fun hobby and if it was broadcasted over the radio, it's just fine to share that with the Bayscan group.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35693. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 08:41:30 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 35587 Message: 35693

Mike Derryberry wrote:
>
> I think they mean Canyon Country in So Cal. It's just east of Santa
> Clarita on Hwy 14 north of Los Angeles.
>
> Mike

Having just gotten back from soaked SoCal, I agree with Mike -
It was Canyon Country. I suspect it was a typo that no one at CNN caught.

I have found that 'localisms' are often mis-understood by those not
familliar with the lingo (Somewhere I have an award certificate from the
American Radio Relay League for some work I did 25+ years ago on an
incident in eastern San Diego County. When one is in the urban coastal
area of San Diego, the county has quadrants - North County, East County,
and South County. You guessed it, the award is for my activities in
East County, CA).

Its bad, though, when the local on-air talent totally screws-up the
name, as I heard one reporter (who has been there long enough to know
better) refer to the City of Encinitas in San Diego County as the
Ensenada area (between Oceanside and Del Mar).

Don

35694. Re: [bayscan] Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 09:05:43 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35637 Message: 35694

hey,
regarding REACH being more willing to fly in rain... thats because REACH has IFR (instrumental flight rule) capabilities and CalSTAR doesnt. this means they are able to fly in rain and fog and CalSTAR can't. Stanford Lifeflight also has IFR on their helo.
-boulrog-

In a message dated 1/10/05 21:09:53, Charger@... writes:

<< Ah yes. rain.. I forgot... It seems the pilots of REACH are more

willing to fly in the rain then cal star. Not sure if thats due to

the type of helo. I know alot of the REACH pilots flew in Vietnam.

Maybe they are more experianced.

As far as new protocals for Helos, it's a new dispatch protocal.

Nothing I have immidiate access to look up. Thats why I asked.

>>

35695. Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 10:17:11 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35637 Message: 35695

ahh good stuff... Can you explain more detail what the IFR does and
how it helps with flying in bad weather?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Boulrog@a... wrote:
> hey,
> regarding REACH being more willing to fly in rain... thats because
REACH has
> IFR (instrumental flight rule) capabilities and CalSTAR doesnt.
this means
> they are able to fly in rain and fog and CalSTAR can't. Stanford
Lifeflight also
> has IFR on their helo.
> -boulrog-
>
> In a message dated 1/10/05 21:09:53, Charger@W... writes:
>
> << Ah yes. rain.. I forgot... It seems the pilots of REACH are more
>
> willing to fly in the rain then cal star. Not sure if thats due to
>
> the type of helo. I know alot of the REACH pilots flew in Vietnam.
>
> Maybe they are more experianced.
>
>
> As far as new protocals for Helos, it's a new dispatch protocal.
>
> Nothing I have immidiate access to look up. Thats why I asked.
>
> >>

35696. Re: [bayscan] Bla Bla Bla

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 12:02:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35692 Message: 35696

Your wrong. NOT all sensitive information is on encrypted or nextel etc

Officers from time to time will " forget" or whatever and it goes over regular channels. SOME stuff needs to stay off public forums like this and I will tell you WHY

Ask those who live and monitor in Orange County. Almost ALL LE traffic is encrypted. If your interest is LE monitoring you are OUT OF LUCK in Orange county and you can bet that they want to keep what they say out of people like you and others' ears. Don't forget , those who want to go full encryption will cite stuff like information being made public as reason to do just that.

a reporter in Phildelphia pushed politicians in his column for FULL encryption for not only LE but fire and ems too.

we don't need that here , use some common sense , leave NAMES , exact addresses etc OFF the forum. Just because you hear it doesn't mean its an invitation to go public. Be happy you have a scanner and keep it to yourself !

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35697. Re: Bla Bla Bla

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 13:25:17 UTC
From: ofc53
Topic: 35692 Message: 35697

Actually, that's incorrect....I'll let you figure out who does and doesn't use encryption but no...not all SWAT teams use encrypted stuff. I agree with Ed and Evan...just use common sense.

My two cents: From a LE point of view, I didn't see any problem with Ed's post.

I could go on and on about what would be appropriate and what wouldn't but we've been down that road before. Common sense people...common sense.

-C

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, qualoptics@a... wrote:
> If it goes out over the radio and is not scrambled, why not post it? All
> SWAT teams that I am familiar with have encrypted coms. It is not as if radio
> traffic is where we share privileged information. We now have mobile data
> terminals, two way paging, cell phones, Nextel, satellite, encrypted radios and
> many more means of which to communicate without the worry of a bad guy with
> a scanner listening in. It's a real stretch of the imagination to think that
> Bayscan is being monitored by anyone involved in any criminal high stress or
> tactical situation. With our other means of communications in the field,
> very little of what goes on is actually transmitted over our two way radios.
> All sensitive information is handled through cell, Nextel, MDT, or two way
> pager. Go ahead and post anything you feel tells a clear story and update of
> events that you heard on your scanner. Scanning is a fun hobby and if it was
> broadcasted over the radio, it's just fine to share that with the Bayscan
> group.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35698. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bla Bla Bla

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 13:45:14 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35692 Message: 35698

The whole thing stemed from a earlier post. It was from the shooting in Ceres,CA

The person mentioned that a SWAT team was on a street where a officer lived.

"Swat is searching on Beachwood including an on-duty MPD officer’s home for a second suspect in the 211 earlier."

That officer is envolved in the story. No names were given or addresses.

I don't see anything wrong with that.

Now, if a Fire broke on some street and some On-Duty FireFighters house was envolved would that be the same thing? I see a double standard here.

Putting all this aside.....Why would the SWAT team be searching an area or street and having the "beep tones" going off. Wouldn't they be on a TAC channel where it would be quiet so whom ever they are looking for wouldn't here them comming (unless they checked the Bayscan list first)?

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35699. Re: [bayscan] Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 15:02:49 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 35637 Message: 35699

IFR = Instrument flight rules
as opposed to when you can see (visibility OK)
VFR= Visual flight rules
J.B. AD6WX

stfucode3 <Charger@...> wrote:

ahh good stuff... Can you explain more detail what the IFR does and
how it helps with flying in bad weather?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Boulrog@a... wrote:
> hey,
> regarding REACH being more willing to fly in rain... thats because
REACH has
> IFR (instrumental flight rule) capabilities and CalSTAR doesnt.
this means
> they are able to fly in rain and fog and CalSTAR can't. Stanford
Lifeflight also
> has IFR on their helo.
> -boulrog-
>
> In a message dated 1/10/05 21:09:53, Charger@W... writes:
>
> << Ah yes. rain.. I forgot... It seems the pilots of REACH are more
>
> willing to fly in the rain then cal star. Not sure if thats due to
>
> the type of helo. I know alot of the REACH pilots flew in Vietnam.
>
> Maybe they are more experianced.
>
>
> As far as new protocals for Helos, it's a new dispatch protocal.
>
> Nothing I have immidiate access to look up. Thats why I asked.
>
> >>

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35700. Re: SWAT bla bla bla

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 13:03:08 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 35700 Message: 35700

> From: qualoptics@...
>Subject: Bla Bla Bla

>If it goes out over the radio and is not scrambled,
>why not post it?

Because most of us understand that a non-secure
radio communication isn't necessarily a non-sensitive
communication.

>All
>SWAT teams that I am familiar with have encrypted
>coms.

You're not familiar with many SWAT units.

> It is not as
>if radio
>traffic is where we share privileged information.

Who is "we?" You seem to be operating with the
belief that anything sent out in the clear is not
priviledged information.

I guess if you leave the window down on your car, I
have the right to reach in & take the CDs laying on
the seat -- if you didn't think it'd be OK for me to
do so, you would have put the window up!

> We now have mobile data terminals, two way paging,
>cell phones, Nextel, satellite, encrypted
>radios and many more means of which to communicate
>without the worry of a bad guy with
>a scanner listening in.

Again, who is "we?" You're clearly not a member of
any LEA
SWAT-type unit.

> With our other means of communications in the
>field,
>very little of what goes on is actually transmitted
>over our two way radios.
>All sensitive information is handled through cell,
>Nextel, MDT, or two way pager.

In a normal situation with perimeter units, scout
sniper team(s), entry units, HNT & command post all

deployed, the primary SWAT comms system is going to be a two-way radio, clear or secure.

In a dynamic situation, no one has time to take eyes off the target to fumble around with a cellphone. Besides that, I suppose you think the CP would make a conference call or something? What sort of ringtone does "your" SWAT team use, the Mickey Mouse Club theme? Maybe it'd make a barricaded gunman calm down if he kept hearing 15 cell phones all around his home playing that theme at once... And what a pretty sight it could be at night, to look out from your barricaded home & see all the pretty little cellphone lights in the bushes, your neighbor's roof, etc.

If you think a Nextel is feasible for an entry team, you apparently don't use a Nextel much. It may be fine for outer perimeter units, especially since they do have tactical headsets for Nextels now, but it's not at all practical for a SWAT entry team (Direct Connect voice clarity, signal strength, circuit availability, network latency).

Do I really need to address the silly notion of MDTs? Does the negotiator take a time-out from trying to use a bullhorn or PA to talk to a suspect & say something like "CP to all units, fall back from your positions around the objective & go take a look at the nearest MDT display for a sensitive message!"

A two-way pager requires the personnel to take their eyes off the target, let alone hands off a weapon, requires light to use in dark environments and is way too slow of a method, especially if you need to rescind an instruction.

All the items you mentioned can be useful tools during some stages of the event, but not by the people that need to be up close & personal, and ready to act in a well-choreographed & rapid fashion inside a hostile environment.

It'd be nice if all tactical comms were encrypted, but as pretty much any intelligent scanner hobbyist who has been within range of the right freqs knows, that's usually NOT the case.

By all means, the SWAT unit needs to try to practice good communications OPSEC/COMSEC, use hand signals when practical, etc. Luckily for them, the people they're after usually don't have scanners, or at least not the right freqs in the scanner(s), or are too

hyped up/busy to monitor them. But the primary comms system is going to be a two-way radio that they've got a headset for, and that they can instantly communicate to all the other units in their tactical cell with, using a PTT switch that just requires one hand to hit & lets them keep their eyes on the target. And that two-way radio is going to be what's used to convey important operational intel & tactical instructions via.

> Go ahead and post anything you feel tells a clear
>story and
>update of events that you heard on your scanner.
>Scanning is a fun hobby and if it was
>broadcasted over the radio, it's just fine to share
>that with the Bayscan group.

I think it's a waste of time for anyone to pontificate what others here should or should not do, but the 'logic' you use to support your opinion is extremely ignorant of the real world.

Tim

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - 250MB free storage. Do more. Manage less.
http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250

35701. Re: [bayscan] Way OT, but kinda funny...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 15:41:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35587 Message: 35701

Sounds like it - thanks, Mike!

-C-

On 1/10/05 11:34 PM, "Mike Derryberry" <miked911@...> wrote:

> I think they mean Canyon Country in So Cal. It's just east of Santa
> Clarita on Hwy 14 north of Los Angeles.

35702. Re: [bayscan] Re: MVA south Sj----------and.....another one south

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 16:41:38 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35637 Message: 35702

At 01:05 AM 1/11/2005, you wrote:
>hey,
>regarding REACH being more willing to fly in rain... thats because REACH has
>IFR (instrumental flight rule) capabilities and CalSTAR doesnt. this means
>they are able to fly in rain and fog and CalSTAR can't. Stanford
>Lifeflight also
>has IFR on their helo.

I'll double check, but I thought a number of our Helos (if not all) were upgraded and certified IFR. What I understand of IFR is it's primary use is for landing / taking off at a known location, i.e. an airport, where you know the GPS coordinates of the landing spot, the altitude is know, it's known that the closest obstruction is at an altitude of 300 feet high 400 feet to the south, etc. IFR doesn't really help with landing in a field in the middle of a storm with nothing but a fire enging and a firefighter with a flashlight on the ground... The main problem would be those power lines that neither the flight crew nor ground firefighters saw.

Evan

35703. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 17:32:10 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35630 Message: 35703

There is a nice Randall Larsen Tri band antenna for your car it covers High VHF/UHF/800mz (no good on low band) and is on a NMO mount it's about 12-14 inches tall and is hooked up to a BC785D in a VW rag top (2001) and mounted across the trunk deck is a Dual Band Ham antenna I could send you a photo off list if you would like
----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:36
Subject: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

cool thank you. I do have a digital scanner.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
> Marin is T-Band which starts at 470.XXX but the trunking system for
Marin is APCO-25 and you'll need a digital scanner
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@a...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:04
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
recommendations?
>
>
> Marin is 400
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ----------

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35704. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 17:37:10 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35630 Message: 35704

I have one of these on my truck for use with a BC-780xlt and it works great on all the bands I care about from CHP to 800MHz.

http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html

/jordan

35705. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 17:48:47 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35630 Message: 35705

with the mount it would be about the same price but it's not a Mag mount
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 09:37
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

I have one of these on my truck for use with a BC-780xlt and it works
great on all the bands I care about from CHP to 800MHz.

http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html

/jordan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35706. Photo of tri-band antenna

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 18:02:16 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 35706 Message: 35706

I just posted a photo of the car with the two antennas on it and you will find it in the folder called Antennas in this group (I did this to a friends car and you should see what I did to a 2000 town car later that year, I like to call it the Global Mobile)

35707. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 19:20:10 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 35651 Message: 35707

Hi Ed,

Not to prolong the discussion about what information one should not disclosed, but as I recall he said that Officers live on near by streets. By the way the Officer in question does not live on any of the streets he mentioned.

I am not trying to start anything hear just trying to get the facts straight Please excuse me if I spoke out of turn.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/10/05 21:20:02
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

I gave him a BAD time because he mentioned an OFFICER lived on the street , NOT the SWAT incident itself !!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35708. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) SWAT in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 19:37:11 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 35651 Message: 35708

>Not to prolong the discussion about what information one should not
>disclosed,

from what I understand, none of us are allowed to repeat what we heard on Part 90 frequencies per the Communications Act of 1935 or 1934. Or cannot use it for personal or business gain if the traffic was not intended for you (though newsmedia been doing that with scanners for years*).

*if they do it, then can we listen to their freq and find out where Chopper 11 or Sky 2 next assignment?

Mike

35709. CHP Pursuit - Stop

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 20:47:12 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35709 Message: 35709

I just caught a BOL on San Jose PD regarding pursuit of vehicle with female and child and something about a gun.

Fremont PD was in pursuit of a vehicle with a female and child passenger in a blue 98 Ford Explorer that is apparently stopped now.

SB 101 approaching Capitol Expy

CHP - 42.500
San Jose PD Responding with two units on 460.200
Fremont PD in the chase

35710. Stop Made - Was CHP/Fremont PD Pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 20:50:48 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35709 Message: 35710

Sorry about any confusion - the blue Ford Explorer has been cleared and
suspect is in custody.

-C-

On 1/11/05 12:47 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> I just caught a BOL on San Jose PD regarding pursuit of vehicle with female
> and child and something about a gun.
>
> Fremont PD was in pursuit of a vehicle with a female and child passenger in
> a blue 98 Ford Explorer that is apparently stopped now.
>
> SB 101 approaching Capitol Expy
>
> CHP - 42.500
> San Jose PD Responding with two units on 460.200
> Fremont PD in the chase

35711. Re: [bayscan] Re: SWAT bla bla bla

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 22:22:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35700 Message: 35711

Excellant points Tim , Excellant.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35712. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 23:13:58 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35630 Message: 35712

thanks.. =) so many choices!
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 9:37 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

I have one of these on my truck for use with a BC-780xlt and it works
great on all the bands I care about from CHP to 800MHz.

http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html

/jordan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35713. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 23:14:36 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35630 Message: 35713

yes please.
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 9:32 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

There is a nice Randall Larsen Tri band antenna for your car it covers High VHF/UHF/800mz (no good on low band) and is on a NMO mount it's about 12-14 inches tall and is hooked up to a BC785D in a VW rag top (2001) and mounted across the trunk deck is a Dual Band Ham antenna I could send you a photo off list if you would like
----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:36
Subject: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

cool thank you. I do have a digital scanner.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
> Marin is T-Band which starts at 470.XXX but the trunking system for
Marin is APCO-25 and you'll need a digital scanner
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@a...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:04
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
recommendations?
>
>
> Marin is 400
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ----------

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>

> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35714. Re: SWAT bla bla bla NEW BLAH BLAH

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 23:25:36 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35700 Message: 35714

Ok on the same subject sort of or not..How is IPN getting away with charging for their service when clearly they are doing it for profit? see quote below?

Rocdad

> from what I understand, none of us are allowed to repeat what we
> heard on
> Part 90 frequencies per the Communications Act of 1935 or 1934. Or
> cannot
> use it for personal or business gain if the traffic was not >
intended for you

35715. Re: [bayscan] Re: SWAT bla bla bla NEW BLAH BLAH

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 23:33:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35700 Message: 35715

good question for their lawyers. I imagine there is a loophole ,
IPN is NOT the only service that does that. to extend the question , how are any media able to make money on what they hear on a scanner ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35716. Incident Alerts vs General Discussion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 23:40:06 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35716 Message: 35716

Forgive me if this has been discussed before, but as a newcomer to Bayscan I'm wondering if there has been any consideration given to separating posts of 1) alerts on in-progress major public safety incidents from 2) discussions on past incidents or other topics. Perhaps there could be separate branches of Bayscan or separate Yahoo groups. Thanks.

35717. Re: SWAT bla bla bla NEW BLAH BLAH

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 23:43:49 UTC
From: sh9730
Topic: 35700 Message: 35717

I cant speak for the IPN and other services aspect, but for
the "mainstream" media, they don't (or shouldnt) ever rely on scanner
based information for anything they report. Their assignment editors
typically hear something on the scanner, then make an actual phone
call to the agency in question, or send a reporter to verify
information. Yes, I have seen exceptions to this, but then they try
to cover themselves by saying "monitoring public safety radios we
hear that....". Im not sure that's adequeate but...

As a matter of fact, ALOT of times, the posts on these groups are the
initial source of information to news desks and again, they always
try to verify independently.

Its a fine line as to whether that means they are making money from
the airwaves but...

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> good question for their lawyers. I imagine there is a
loophole ,
> IPN is NOT the only service that does that. to extend the
question , how are
> any media able to make money on what they hear on a scanner ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35718. Re: [bayscan] Incident Alerts vs General Discussion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 23:54:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35716 Message: 35718

I prefer to NOT have TOO many lists , especially for the same area,
problem is you " miss" calls. there are probably too many as it is. I mean
there is bayscan,scannorcal, norcalonlinescanning , santa clara scanning

I prefer to keep things here on bayscan BECAUSE its been here probably the
longest time and has the most subscribers with over 600 , that way more people
can get involved/ are notified of incidents or get involved with the
discussion

while anyone is free to start any group they want , it dilutes the area to
too many discussion groups and also you start getting duplicate information on
incidents.

ScanNorCal tends to lean out of the area and more towards the area north
of the bay , so that one is not too much duplication , but norcalonlinescanning
and the one for Santa Clara Scanning is the same area and its overlapping.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35719. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-11 23:56:25 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35630 Message: 35719

what do you guys think of the MFJ dual band HT.

www.norad.dk/mfj/antennas/mfj1721.htm

not sure.. would I be able to get SF on this.. I know SF is 800.

----- Original Message -----
From: Bayard
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 3:13 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

thanks.. =) so many choices!
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 9:37 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

I have one of these on my truck for use with a BC-780xlt and it works
great on all the bands I care about from CHP to 800MHz.

http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html

/jordan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35720. Helicopter IFR (Re: MVA south SJ)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 00:08:16 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35637 Message: 35720

Hey guys, I know I'm in on this discussion a little late, but this PDF from the FAA has everything you'd ever want to know about helicopter Instrument Flight Rules:

http://av-info.faa.gov/terps/IPH/appndxC1.pdf

I'm sure Calstar helicopters are also equipped to fly IFR (and their pilots IFR certified), the company probably just chooses not to fly IFR because there are stricter FAA regulations, and the company's liability insurance would cost way more (I've heard this is a common issue with airplane charter companies, some choose not to fly night VFR either because of insurance woes).

Because IFR only applies to airports & heliports with charted instrument approaches, every LZ REACH and Calstar land at has to meet VFR minimums, which are basically 3 statue miles visibility and a cloud ceiling of 500 ft or more (more on this on p. 5 of the PDF). Even then, that's really crappy flying weather and a pilot would have every right to turn down the flight if they felt surrounding terrain or obstacles near the LZ made it a dangerous situation (which is probably what happened with REACH that day).

The real benefit REACH gets by operating IFR is that they can fly through all the weather and clouds they want ENROUTE from their base to the LZ, and from the LZ to the hospital, while Calstar would have to divert around all the clouds (if they could even make it there at all).

Calstar flies Eurocopter BO-105 helicopters (Here's a pic of Calstar 1 at Concord's Buchanan field, and pictures of what the cockpit of a BO-105 looks like):

http://www.airliners.net/open.file/670039/L/
http://www.airliners.net/open.file/636631/L/
http://www.airliners.net/open.file/413571/L/

REACH Mediplane flies Augusta A-109 helicopters (The first link is their rotar-wing website, and the next two are pictures of what the cockpit looks like in the A-109):

http://www.mediplane.com/Rotor_Wing/rotor_wing.html
http://www.airliners.net/open.file/524579/L/
http://www.airliners.net/open.file/344576/L/

Although the Augusta is a heck of a lot nicer (not to mention more expensive), both helicopters in the pictures could be legally flown single pilot IFR as per the PDF.

I hope I've been helpful, I've put a lot of thought into a career as a pilot for either one of these companies when I'm done with school, and in the meantime I enjoy it every time one of their pilots pops up on my scanner. =)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 01:05 AM 1/11/2005, you wrote:
> >hey,
> >regarding REACH being more willing to fly in rain... thats because REACH has
> >IFR (instrumental flight rule) capabilities and CalSTAR doesnt. this means
> >they are able to fly in rain and fog and CalSTAR can't. Stanford
> >Lifeflight also
> >has IFR on their helo.
>
> I'll double check, but I thought a number of our Helos (if not all) were
> upgraded and certified IFR. What I understand of IFR is it's primary use is
> for landing / taking off at a known location, i.e. an airport, where you
> know the GPS coordinates of the landing spot, the altitude is know, it's
> known that the closest obstruction is at an altitude of 300 feet high 400
> feet to the south, etc. IFR doesn't really help with landing in a field in
> the middle of a storm with nothing but a fire enging and a firefighter with
> a flashlight on the ground... The main problem would be those power lines
> that neither the flight crew nor ground firefighters saw.
>
> Evan

35721. If we want to be technical about this...Was Re: Bla Bla Bla

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 00:14:36 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 35692 Message: 35721

According to Section 2511.3 of the ECPA, intentionally disclosing anything we intercept legally to another party is illegal. Since we do that all the time here, we are technially in violation of the law, as I read it.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, qualoptics@a... wrote:
> If it goes out over the radio and is not scrambled, why not post it? All
> SWAT teams that I am familiar with have encrypted coms. It is not as if radio
> traffic is where we share privileged information. We now have mobile data
> terminals, two way paging, cell phones, Nextel, satellite, encrypted radios and
> many more means of which to communicate without the worry of a bad guy with
> a scanner listening in. It's a real stretch of the imagination to think that
> Bayscan is being monitored by anyone involved in any criminal high stress or
> tactical situation. With our other means of communications in the field,
> very little of what goes on is actually transmitted over our two way radios.
> All sensitive information is handled through cell, Nextel, MDT, or two way
> pager. Go ahead and post anything you feel tells a clear story and update of
> events that you heard on your scanner. Scanning is a fun hobby and if it was
> broadcasted over the radio, it's just fine to share that with the Bayscan
> group.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35722. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 00:26:29 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35630 Message: 35722

I posted it in the photo section of the group
----- Original Message -----
From: Bayard
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 15:14
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

yes please.
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 9:32 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

There is a nice Randall Larsen Tri band antenna for your car it covers High VHF/UHF/800mz (no good on low band) and is on a NMO mount it's about 12-14 inches tall and is hooked up to a BC785D in a VW rag top (2001) and mounted across the trunk deck is a Dual Band Ham antenna I could send you a photo off list if you would like
----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:36
Subject: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

cool thank you. I do have a digital scanner.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
> Marin is T-Band which starts at 470.XXX but the trunking system for
Marin is APCO-25 and you'll need a digital scanner
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@a...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:04
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
recommendations?
>
>
> Marin is 400
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35723. Re: [bayscan] Bad crash downtown SJ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 01:28:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35723 Message: 35723

North 3rd and St. James E1 T1 BC1 MED 30 and 3 AMR + supervisor

at least one trapped/ 4 patients including a critically injuried child

command 3 /154.115

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35724. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 01:32:03 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35630 Message: 35724

ty
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 4:26 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

I posted it in the photo section of the group
----- Original Message -----
From: Bayard
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 15:14
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

yes please.
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 9:32 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

There is a nice Randall Larsen Tri band antenna for your car it covers High VHF/UHF/800mz (no good on low band) and is on a NMO mount it's about 12-14 inches tall and is hooked up to a BC785D in a VW rag top (2001) and mounted across the trunk deck is a Dual Band Ham antenna I could send you a photo off list if you would like
----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:36
Subject: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

cool thank you. I do have a digital scanner.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
> Marin is T-Band which starts at 470.XXX but the trunking system for
Marin is APCO-25 and you'll need a digital scanner
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@a...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 10, 2005 21:04
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
recommendations?
>
>
> Marin is 400
>

> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35725. FS: Motorola 6 Bay STX Battery charger

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 02:22:39 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35725 Message: 35725

Give me a reasonable offer and its yours..... Its in Excellent condition. Barely used.

35726. SFPD chase tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 05:02:34 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 35726 Message: 35726

Wow. What a treat for my first night scanning SF trunked system. Multiple units all talking over each other on the channel. Sounds like this was a narc sting and the car got away with 3 suspects. Went into pursuit and the car started going down wrong way streets at high rates of speed. Taxi was hit Van Ness and Eddy. At one point a PD officer shot at the car but unknown if the car was hit. "Was your shot effective? Don't know, gotta check the car" Had a problem calling the chase for a while. By the time dispatch got the location, the
car was 3 blocks over in a different direction. Almost lost in traffic. Had spikes setup at one point. 2 suspects foot bailed, unknown if in custody yet. Driver is in custody after footbailing with the car still in motion. Lead vehicles didn't see the driver bail and kept chasing the empty car. ;)

Fun fun for scanning.

Jake

35727. Re: [bayscan] SFPD chase tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 05:10:57 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35726 Message: 35727

started on A-2 and went through A-6 & ending on A-4.
Thank God for more than One radio
----- Original Message -----
From: Jake H
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 21:02
Subject: [bayscan] SFPD chase tonight

Wow. What a treat for my first night scanning SF trunked system. Multiple units all talking over each other on the channel. Sounds like this was a narc sting and the car got away with 3 suspects. Went into pursuit and the car started going down wrong way streets at high rates of speed. Taxi was hit Van Ness and Eddy. At one point a PD officer shot at the car but unknown if the car was hit. "Was your shot effective? Don't know, gotta check the car" Had a problem calling the chase for a while. By the time dispatch got the location, the
car was 3 blocks over in a different direction. Almost lost in traffic. Had spikes setup at one point. 2 suspects foot bailed, unknown if in custody yet. Driver is in custody after footbailing with the car still in motion. Lead vehicles didn't see the driver bail and kept chasing the empty car. ;)

Fun fun for scanning.

Jake

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35728. Re: [bayscan] SFPD chase tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 05:13:01 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35726 Message: 35728

Are you down here Jake or on a feed?

Jake H wrote:

> Wow. What a treat for my first night scanning SF trunked system.
> Multiple units all talking
> over each other on the channel. Sounds like this was a narc sting and
> the car got away
> with 3 suspects. Went into pursuit and the car started going down
> wrong way streets at
> high rates of speed. Taxi was hit Van Ness and Eddy. At one point a PD
> officer shot at the
> car but unknown if the car was hit. "Was your shot effective? Don't
> know, gotta check the
> car" Had a problem calling the chase for a while. By the time dispatch
> got the location, the
> car was 3 blocks over in a different direction. Almost lost in
> traffic. Had spikes setup at
> one point. 2 suspects foot bailed, unknown if in custody yet. Driver
> is in custody after
> footbailing with the car still in motion. Lead vehicles didn't see the
> driver bail and kept
> chasing the empty car. ;)
>
> Fun fun for scanning.
>
> Jake
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>

>

35729. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 05:19:15 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35630 Message: 35729

Jordan, have you tried transmitting on this antenna? Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 9:37 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

>
> I have one of these on my truck for use with a BC-780xlt and it works
> great on all the bands I care about from CHP to 800MHz.
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html
>
> /jordan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35730. Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 06:18:48 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 34051 Message: 35730

Gilman @ san Pablo
Units looking for 245 suspect.

35731. Re: Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 06:19:17 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 34051 Message: 35731

460.1750

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Gilman @ san Pablo
> Units looking for 245 suspect.

35732. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 06:19:14 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 34051 Message: 35732

245?

stfucode3 wrote:

>
> Gilman @ san Pablo
> Units looking for 245 suspect.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35733. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 06:21:10 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 34051 Message: 35733

assault with deadly weapon.. and its Berkeley.. I always do that =)

Bayard Culley
Paramedic
AMR
Santa Clara County
Charger@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:19 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

245?

stfucode3 wrote:

> Gilman @ san Pablo
> Units looking for 245 suspect.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35734. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 06:23:02 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 34051 Message: 35734

suspect in custody.. code 34. Victim is with El Cerito

Bayard Culley
Paramedic
AMR
Santa Clara County
Charger@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Bayard
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:21 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

assault with deadly weapon.. and its Berkeley.. I always do that =)

Bayard Culley
Paramedic
AMR
Santa Clara County
Charger@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:19 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

245?

stfucode3 wrote:

>
> Gilman @ san Pablo
> Units looking for 245 suspect.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35735. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 07:07:35 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 34051 Message: 35735

You mean ECPD caught the guy? They are usually hanging out at the local
donut shop! Well, guess they do catch a few now and then.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bayard" <Charger@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:23 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

>
> suspect in custody.. code 34. Victim is with El Cerito
> ____
>
> Bayard Culley
> Paramedic
> AMR
> Santa Clara County
> Charger@...
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Bayard
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:21 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley
>
>
> assault with deadly weapon.. and its Berkeley.. I always do that =)
> ____
>
> Bayard Culley
> Paramedic
> AMR
> Santa Clara County
> Charger@...
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ben
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:19 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley
>
>
> 245?

>
> stfucode3 wrote:
>
> >
> > Gilman @ san Pablo
> > Units looking for 245 suspect.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

> --
> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35736. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 07:09:29 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 34051 Message: 35736

no they didnt catch him.. Brekeley did.. they just had the victim. Im hearing more action on Berkeley now.. not sure whats going on.. missed the dispatch.. dog is out and they requested 2 more units and fire to stage.

_____

Bayard Culley
Paramedic
AMR
Santa Clara County
Charger@...

----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 11:07 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

You mean ECPD caught the guy? They are usually hanging out at the local donut shop! Well, guess they do catch a few now and then.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bayard" <Charger@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:23 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

>
> suspect in custody.. code 34. Victim is with El Cerito
> _____
>
> Bayard Culley
> Paramedic
> AMR
> Santa Clara County
> Charger@...
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Bayard
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:21 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley
>
>
> assault with deadly weapon.. and its Berkeley.. I always do that =)

> _____
>
> Bayard Culley
> Paramedic
> AMR
> Santa Clara County
> Charger@...
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ben
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 10:19 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley
>
>
> 245?
>
> stfucode3 wrote:
>
> >
> > Gilman @ san Pablo
> > Units looking for 245 suspect.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

> ---
> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>
>
> ---

> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35737. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 07:19:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 34051 Message: 35737

come on guys.....it BERKELEY and its El CERRITO

getting SFPD yet ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35738. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 07:26:32 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 34051 Message: 35738

negitive.. spelling was never my strong point.. but i can do drug calculations like no ones bussiness =)..

Bayard Culley
Paramedic
AMR
Santa Clara County
Charger@...

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2005 11:19 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Berkley

come on guys.....it BERKELEY and its El CERRITO

getting SFPD yet ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35739. Mountain View PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 07:35:48 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 14468 Message: 35739

Mt. View with something going on ....Evandale ave or st

at least 7 or 8 units inc K9 asking for CHP assistance ??

482.5125

2334hrs

35740. Code33 Santa Clara SO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:19:33 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35740 Message: 35740

156.2100

Code33 on channel. Units forced entry into house .. sound like 415.
female screaming.

35741. Re: Code33 Santa Clara SO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:25:52 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35740 Message: 35741

requesting additional SO units from Gilroy to assist.
415 as stopped however units cannot make entry due to jammed
deadbolt. lol.. oh man.
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> 156.2100
>
> Code33 on channel. Units forced entry into house .. sound like
415.
> female screaming.

35742. Re: Code33 Santa Clara SO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:29:59 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35740 Message: 35742

Updated location is Arizona Circle south san jose/morgan hill area?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> requesting additional SO units from Gilroy to assist.
> 415 as stopped however units cannot make entry due to jammed
> deadbolt. lol.. oh man.
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> >
> > 156.2100
> >
> > Code33 on channel. Units forced entry into house .. sound like
> 415.
> > female screaming.

35743. Another big manhunt here in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:33:24 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35743 Message: 35743

Novato to be exact that is...sounds like someone bailed on a CHP officer. Establishing a perimeter and sending H-30, SWAT, Homeland Security, the FBI...the kitchen sink folks. :-)

CHP 42.16
MC Sheriff 39.24 TG 45616
Novato PD TG 47248

-Roger 12:33 am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35744. Search in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:34:12 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35744 Message: 35744

There was a DUI traffic stop made by the CHP and the guy foot bailed.

They just said on Novato's primary talk group to go to A5, going to search and see where the end up.

Ok they switched to talk group 62800 which is listed as County Wide APB but is being called "Control 2" on the talk group.

H-30 is going to come down and fly over the perimeter which is near the Vintage Oaks Shopping Center where Costco and Target are located.

Robert

BayScan

35745. New bearcat BC296D/BC796D SS software

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:35:54 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35745 Message: 35745

Anyone besides me use this software? if so please msg me off list. I have a question.

thanks

bayard

35746. Re: [bayscan] Another big manhunt here in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:36:19 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 35743 Message: 35746

That was a great post

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35747. Re: [bayscan] Search in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:43:42 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35744 Message: 35747

Rowland and HWY 101

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35748. Re: [bayscan] Another big manhunt here in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:46:12 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35743 Message: 35748

Mmmmm Homeland Security? I guess Coast Guard will be enroute since there is water around that shopping center. H30 might have some company from a Coast Guard HH-65.

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35749. Re: Another big manhunt here in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:51:57 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35743 Message: 35749

i think not. on CHP 453.8250 Code 33 in effect. H30 just advised
they cannot flyd ue to fog =\

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mobile11@a... wrote:
>
>
> Mmmmm Homeland Security? I guess Coast Guard will be enroute since
there is
> water around that shopping center. H30 might have some company
from a Coast
> Guard HH-65.
>
> PY
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35750. Re: [bayscan] Another big manhunt here in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:52:13 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 35743 Message: 35750

Don't forget, the kitchen sink is on it's way too

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35751. Pursuit SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 09:01:45 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35751 Message: 35751

comming from san mateo right now. on teh green 488.8900
100 MPH not sure what agency .. sound like its north bound

35752. Re: [bayscan] Re: Another big manhunt here in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 08:58:34 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35743 Message: 35752

Just kidding about the Coast Guard.

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35753. RE: [bayscan] Another big manhunt here in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 09:05:08 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35743 Message: 35753

And Steven Seagal....when he looked good. :-)
-----Original Message-----
From: qualoptics@... [mailto:qualoptics@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 12:52 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Another big manhunt here in Marin

Don't forget, the kitchen sink is on it's way too

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35754. Re: Pursuit SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 09:07:26 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35751 Message: 35754

sigh. Well i dont hear anything more on the green. it was scratchy
for me at best anyways. Not sure if it went to SFPD? I hope someone
else can pick this up.. Ive lost it for now.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> comming from san mateo right now. on teh green 488.8900
> 100 MPH not sure what agency .. sound like its north bound

35755. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 09:10:51 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35751 Message: 35755

Just a correction

The Green channel is

488.8875

Nothing personal just helping the Scanning public

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35756. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 09:13:44 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35751 Message: 35756

damn it my scanner soft ware enjoys roundingup the numbers.. not sure why.. that would be my earlier post question about the scanner software
----- Original Message -----
From: Mobile11@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 1:10 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Pursuit SF

Just a correction

The Green channel is

488.8875

Nothing personal just helping the Scanning public

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35757. Re: Another big manhunt here in Marin HOMELAND SECURITY?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 09:40:06 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35743 Message: 35757

I think the terminator (Govenator?)Is on the way too?

BTW Homeland Security does not respond to anything just coordinates incidents of national significance they use the DOJ and FBI as their hammer.
Which brings up the NRP (National response plan) I just finished a online course (IS-800)available on the FEMA site very interesting class for
scanner enthusiast recommend it for everyone on this list.
Another thought is we should start using more clear text in reports which is the way the pro's are going no more 10 and 11 codes that's
old fashioned and not allowed according to ICS (incident command system) protocols, FEMA also has a great NIMS (National incident Management system)class online. This should also be of use to users of this site.
Also lots of info on current and future Interoperability

* * * * * * * * * * *

National Incident Management System
Developed by the Secretary of Homeland Security at the request of the President, the National Incident Management System (NIMS) integrates effective practices in emergency response into a comprehensive national framework for incident management.
The NIMS will enable responders at all levels to work together more effectively and efficiently to manage domestic incidents no matter what the cause, size or complexity, including catastrophic acts of terrorism and disasters. Federal agencies also are required to use the NIMS framework in domestic incident management and in support of state and local incident response and recovery activities.
The benefits of the NIMS system will be significant:
☐ Standardized organizational structures, processes and procedures;
☐ Standards for planning, training and exercising;
☐ Personnel qualification standards;
☐ Equipment acquisition and certification standards;
☐ Interoperable communications processes, procedures and systems;
☐ Information management systems with a commonly accepted architecture;
☐ Supporting technologies ☐ voice and data communications systems, information systems, data display systems, specialized technologies; and
☐ Publication management processes and activities.

Check this link:
http://training.fema.gov/emiweb/is/is800.asp

Rocdad (Bob)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> And Steven Seagal....when he looked good. :-)
> -----Original Message-----
> From: qualoptics@a... [mailto:qualoptics@a...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 12:52 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Another big manhunt here in Marin
>
>
> Don't forget, the kitchen sink is on it's way too
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35758. overnight fire on T.I.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 15:10:11 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35758 Message: 35758

went to be too early :-(SFFD called a 2nd alarm for an
abandoned warehouse fire on treasure island , clearly visable from
the bay bridge around midnight . most tv stations had
video.....Brian ?? yours ??

>>>>>>>>>> below is educated guess <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

1st alarm) E48 E1 E13 E8 E35 Trucks 48 & 1 Rescue 1 Medic 1
Division 2 Battalions 3-1 Fireboat 1

2nd alarm) E2 E28 E36 E29 Truck 13 Mobile Air 1 arson 1
Battalion 2

note: E35s crew mans the Fireboat.

Ed

35759. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 17:01:09 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35751 Message: 35759

> my scanner soft ware enjoys roundingup the numbers..

is it possible that you have the step size wrong for that bank?

35760. Campbell PD Fill Request

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 17:06:02 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35760 Message: 35760

Campbell PD (482.4625) requested SJPD fill for two at gunpoint at Westmont High School for an occupied 10851 (stolen vehicle).

San Jose sending two units on San Jose-2 (460.275).

Campbell just advising CODE 4 with two in custody.

-C-

35761. Re: [bayscan] overnight fire on T.I.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 17:08:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35758 Message: 35761

At 07:10 AM 1/12/2005, you wrote:

>
> went to be too early :-(SFFD called a 2nd alarm for an
>abandoned warehouse fire on treasure island , clearly visable from
>the bay bridge around midnight . most tv stations had
>video.....Brian ?? yours ??
>
> >>>>>>>>>>> below is educated guess <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<
>
>
>1st alarm) E48 E1 E13 E8 E35 Trucks 48 & 1 Rescue 1 Medic 1
>Division 2 Battalions 3-1 Fireboat 1
>
>2nd alarm) E2 E28 E36 E29 Truck 13 Mobile Air 1 arson 1
>Battalion 2
>
>note: E35s crew mans the Fireboat.

Hey Ed, this IS yahoo. You can post something 8 hours later, and then when people say "This was 8 hours ago!" just say "Yeah. Typical yahoo."

:)

35762. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 18:07:47 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35630 Message: 35762

>> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html
>
> have you tried transmitting on this antenna?

Yeah, it's decent. But I have a Larsen dual band for my TM-D700.

Is it just me, or is it weird how often Emeryville PD says they are "deploying a rifle" ... I guess they have ARs or something, and a lot of them: I seem to hear a lot of them check in for the day and record which rifle they have. Given that most of the traffic on 453.45[173.8] is traffic stops and homeless people on San Pablo getting moved along, this seems a little bit of overkill. Have they ever actually used one of these rifles?

35763. Re: [bayscan] SFFD Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 18:06:09 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 35763 Message: 35763

what freqs SFFD use now anyone know thanks Anthony

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35764. Re: [bayscan] SFFD Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 18:25:22 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35763 Message: 35764

SFFD is on a Type II trunking system
go to the file section of this group for a complete list
----- Original Message -----
From: AMP6352@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 10:06
Subject: Re: [bayscan] SFFD Freqs

what freqs SFFD use now anyone know thanks Anthony

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35765. Re: [bayscan] SFFD Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 18:29:40 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35763 Message: 35765

At 10:06 AM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
>what freqs SFFD use now anyone know thanks Anthony

Motorola 800 trunked system. Create an account at
http://www.radioreference.com/ - this will give you the control channels
and talkgroups, and for many other systems.

Evan

35766. [EDIS] COMMUNITY WARNING SYSTEM MESSAGE [Urgent: San Francisco Bay]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 19:19:48 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35766 Message: 35766

[EDIS] COMMUNITY WARNING SYSTEM MESSAGE [Urgent: San Francisco Bay]
To: "EDIS Email Recipient" <edisfwd@...>

From: Contra Costa County Health Services

ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
This is an advisory for Pittsburg and Antioch. An incident at the Dow
Chemical
Plant in Pittsburg could affect individuals with respiratory
sensitivities. Most
people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat irritation may
be
possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow Chemical.
If
people
experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse any
irritated area
of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information only.
(DOW)ABNNNN

For more information contact:
Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262

EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST

35767. EDIS advisory for Pittsburg and Antioch. An incident at the Dow

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 19:17:03 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35767 Message: 35767

From: Contra Costa County Health Services

ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
This is an . Most
people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat irritation may
be
possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow Chemical.
If
people
experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse any
irritated area
of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information only.
(DOW)ABNNNN

For more information contact:
Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262

EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST

35768. Re: [bayscan] [EDIS] COMMUNITY WARNING SYSTEM MESSAGE [Urgent: San Francisco Bay]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 19:49:39 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35766 Message: 35768

they retracted this a few minutes later. fumble fingers during training.

35769. Re: EDIS advisory for Pittsburg and Antioch. An incident at the Dow

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 19:52:55 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35767 Message: 35769

Why are these posted here anyone can sign up for these alerts if
thet want them?

Rocdad

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
>
> From: Contra Costa County Health Services
>
> ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
> This is an . Most
> people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat irritation
may
> be
> possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow
Chemical.
> If
> people
> experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse any
> irritated area
> of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information
only.
> (DOW)ABNNNN
>
>
> For more information contact:
> Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
>
> EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST

35770. Re: EDIS advisory There is NOT an incident at DOW Chemical Pittsburg

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 19:51:09 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35767 Message: 35770

Apparently you missed this update 12 minutes later:

From: Contra Costa County Health Services

ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-006013+0000-11195-

There is NOT an incident at DOW Chemical Pittsburg. Operator
inadvertantly
activated during testing. Again there is No release at Dow Chemical
Pittsburg. -
CWS Manager

(CCCOES) ABNNNN

For more information contact:
Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262

EDIS-01-12-05 1106 PST

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
>
> From: Contra Costa County Health Services
>
> ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
> This is an . Most
> people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat irritation
may
> be
> possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow
Chemical.
> If
> people
> experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse any
> irritated area
> of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information
only.
> (DOW)ABNNNN
>
>
> For more information contact:
> Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
>
> EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST

35771. Re: [EDIS] COMMUNITY WARNING SYSTEM MESSAGE [Urgent: San Francisco Bay]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 19:56:07 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35766 Message: 35771

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
> they retracted this a few minutes later. fumble fingers during
training.

Thanks for the update. No retraction from EDIS has hit my email inox.
How'd you hear it was a drill?

35772. Re: [bayscan] Re: EDIS advisory for Pittsburg and Antioch. An incident at the Dow

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 20:14:23 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 35767 Message: 35772

Because they are "scanning related" if there was an actual incident. Plus
not all of us sign up for all those alrerts.

Robert
BayScan

At 11:52 AM 1/12/2005, you wrote:

>Why are these posted here anyone can sign up for these alerts if
>thet want them?
>
>
>
>Rocdad
>
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
> >
> > From: Contra Costa County Health Services
> >
> > ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
> > This is an . Most
> > people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat irritation
>may
> > be
> > possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow
>Chemical.
> > If
> > people
> > experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse any
> > irritated area
> > of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information
>only.
> > (DOW)ABNNNN
> >
> >
> > For more information contact:
> > Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
> >
> > EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST
>
>
>
>
>

>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35773. Re: [EDIS] EDIS Distribution Zones?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 20:29:11 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35766 Message: 35773

Rechecking my inbox I received the cancel within a minute of the
alert checking email headers I cannot see much different except that
the alert was sent out as "URGENT" and the cancel was sent out
as "NEWS" possibly you should check your preferances at incident.com
Also I receive double alerts on a lot of incidents probably because
of my selection of EDIS Distribution Zones.
Rocdad (Bob)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...>
wrote:
> > they retracted this a few minutes later. fumble fingers during
> training.
>
> Thanks for the update. No retraction from EDIS has hit my email
inox.
> How'd you hear it was a drill?

35774. Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails incident.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 21:01:23 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35767 Message: 35774

My point is reducing unnecessary e-mails and postings if someone wants them they can sign up for the alert zones that concern them. I already receive double copies from EDIS in somewhat real time should I get off the EDIS list and count on you to post EDIS messages 24-7 in real time? With your postings you're forcing them on people that elect not to receive them and incomplete messages at that. As an example you admitted you did not receive the cancel order that came out at the same time.

Sign up is easy and free at incident.com
Rocdad (Bob)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...> wrote:
> Because they are "scanning related" if there was an actual incident. Plus
> not all of us sign up for all those alrerts.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
> At 11:52 AM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >Why are these posted here anyone can sign up for these alerts if
> >thet want them?
> >
> >
> >
> >Rocdad
> >
> >--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
> > >
> > > From: Contra Costa County Health Services
> > >
> > > ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
> > > This is an . Most
> > > people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat irritation
> >may
> > > be
> > > possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow

> >Chemical.
> > > If
> > > people
> > > experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse
any
> > > irritated area
> > > of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information
> >only.
> > > (DOW)ABNNNN
> > >
> > >
> > > For more information contact:
> > > Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
> > >
> > > EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > *
> >
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group
/bayscan/
> > *
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > *
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > *
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35775. Re: [bayscan] Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails incident.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 21:05:07 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35767 Message: 35775

I'd have to agree with Robert.. It is scanning related. They aren't coming automatically to the list which I would have a problem with. They don't come often either so I don't see why its a big deal honestly...I've never heard you complain when its been an edis about an amber alert or earthquake which get posted here also...

my 2 cents
Ben

Bob wrote:

> My point is reducing unnecessary e-mails and postings if someone wants them they can sign up for the alert zones that concern them. I already receive double copies from EDIS in somewhat real time should I get off the EDIS list and count on you to post EDIS messages 24-7 in real time? With your postings you're forcing them on people that elect not to receive them and incomplete messages at that. As an example you admitted you did not receive the cancel order that came out at the same time.
>
> Sign up is easy and free at incident.com
> Rocdad (Bob)
>
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...>
> wrote:
> > Because they are "scanning related" if there was an actual incident. Plus
> > not all of us sign up for all those alrerts.
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >
> >
> >
> > At 11:52 AM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
> >
> >
> > >Why are these posted here anyone can sign up for these alerts if
> > >thet want them?
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >Rocdad
> > >
> > >--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...>
> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > From: Contra Costa County Health Services
> > > >
> > > > ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
> > > > This is an . Most
> > > > people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat
> irritation
> > > >may
> > > > be
> > > > possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow
> > > >Chemical.
> > > > If
> > > > people
> > > > experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse
> any
> > > > irritated area
> > > > of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information
> > > >only.
> > > > (DOW)ABNNNN
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > For more information contact:
> > > > Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
> > > >
> > > > EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >----------
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > *
> > >
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group
> /bayscan/
> > > *
> > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > *
> > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > *
> > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129ed496e/M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1105650148/A=2434971/R=0/SIG=11eeoolb0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0185400>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35776. Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails incident.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 21:24:33 UTC
From: john_polich
Topic: 35767 Message: 35776

Thanks for the advice, Bob. I thought I was doing people a favor by passing on a legitimate alert. If someone posted a similar alert that I may not have seen for my town, I would be grateful.

As for "forcing them on people," that could be said of anybody's postings that are not what a particular member of the group is looking for. Anyway, this discussion does give people a chance to consider subcribing to EDIS.

I'm not sure what you mean by, "As an example you admitted you did not receive the cancel order that came out at the same time." EDIS has yet to send a cancellation that reached my mailbox. If EDIS only sent the cancellation to subscribers to their "News" category, they did a disservice to lots of subscribers who received the original alert because they only subscribe to the more serious warning categories that are higher than news. I appreciated learning this from bayscan and I will pass it on to EDIS.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
>
> My point is reducing unnecessary e-mails and postings if someone
> wants them they can sign up for the alert zones that concern them.
I
> already receive double copies from EDIS in somewhat real time
should
> I get off the EDIS list and count on you to post EDIS messages 24-7
> in real time? With your postings you're forcing them on people that
> elect not to receive them and incomplete messages at that. As an
> example you admitted you did not receive the cancel order that came
> out at the same time.
>
> Sign up is easy and free at incident.com
> Rocdad (Bob)
>
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...>
> wrote:
> > Because they are "scanning related" if there was an actual
> incident. Plus
> > not all of us sign up for all those alrerts.
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >

> >
> >
> > At 11:52 AM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
> >
> >
> > >Why are these posted here anyone can sign up for these alerts if
> > >thet want them?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Rocdad
> > >
> > >--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...>
> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > From: Contra Costa County Health Services
> > > >
> > > > ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
> > > > This is an . Most
> > > > people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat
> irritation
> > >may
> > > > be
> > > > possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow
> > >Chemical.
> > > > If
> > > > people
> > > > experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse
> any
> > > > irritated area
> > > > of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information
> > >only.
> > > > (DOW)ABNNNN
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > For more information contact:
> > > > Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
> > > >
> > > > EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >----------
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > *
> > >
>

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group
> /bayscan/
> > > *
> > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > *
> > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > *
> > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35777. Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary duplicate effort

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 21:30:10 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35767 Message: 35777

My argument was simple request to reduce e-mail load by utilizing EDIS as a write-it-once method for issuing warnings over multiple dissemination systems without duplicate effort.
I also noticed that the alert Robert sent was cut and pasted different then the official version this could be confusing and create incorrect information in a real emergency.
Like my post in regards to Homeland security last night I was just trying to help make this list more valuable and professional it was just a suggestion take it or leave it.
Bob (Rocdad)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> I'd have to agree with Robert.. It is scanning related. They aren't
> coming automatically to the list which I would have a problem with. They
> don't come often either so I don't see why its a big deal
> honestly...I've never heard you complain when its been an edis about an
> amber alert or earthquake which get posted here also...
>
> my 2 cents
> Ben
>
> Bob wrote:
>
> >
> > My point is reducing unnecessary e-mails and postings if someone
> > wants them they can sign up for the alert zones that concern them. I
> > already receive double copies from EDIS in somewhat real time should
> > I get off the EDIS list and count on you to post EDIS messages 24-7
> > in real time? With your postings you're forcing them on people that
> > elect not to receive them and incomplete messages at that. As an
> > example you admitted you did not receive the cancel order that came
> > out at the same time.
> >
> > Sign up is easy and free at incident.com
> > Rocdad (Bob)
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford"
<bayscan@c...>
> > wrote:
> > > Because they are "scanning related" if there was an actual
> > incident. Plus
> > > not all of us sign up for all those alrerts.
> > >
> > > Robert
> > > BayScan
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > At 11:52 AM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > >Why are these posted here anyone can sign up for these alerts
if
> > > >thet want them?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Rocdad
> > > >
> > > >--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...>
> > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > From: Contra Costa County Health Services
> > > > >
> > > > > ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
> > > > > This is an . Most
> > > > > people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat
> > irritation
> > > > >may
> > > > > be
> > > > > possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow
> > > > >Chemical.
> > > > > If
> > > > > people
> > > > > experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and
rinse
> > any
> > > > > irritated area
> > > > > of their body with water. Handle this as advisory
information
> > > > >only.
> > > > > (DOW)ABNNNN
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > For more information contact:
> > > > > Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
> > > > >
> > > > > EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >----------
> > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > > *
> > > >
> >
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group
> > /bayscan/
> > > > *
> > > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > > *
> > > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> > subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > >
> > > > *
> > > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> > click here
> >
<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129ed496e/M=298184.5639630.6699735.30011
76/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1105650148/A=2434971/R=0/SIG=11eeoolb
0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60185400>
> >
> >
> >
> > ---

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

35778. Re: [bayscan] Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails incident.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 21:36:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35767 Message: 35778

I have to agree with Robert and Ben . You must consider too that
this time you got double the emails because the warning was put out in error
so you got both the warning and correction once from incidents.com and then
again from bayscan. I consider it only a small inconveniance. The warnings are ,
as Robert says , scanning related so I have to agree to keep posting them ,
depite my getting them twice.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35779. Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails incident.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 21:39:51 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35767 Message: 35779

I agree with you as to a valuable discussion "everything happens for a reason" I do not want to seem argumentative at all with you. We share common passions scanners, public safety, photography and it probably was valuable if someone now signs up and receives an alert that is important to their safety.

Sign up at incident.com if you're reading this post people
Bob (Rocdad)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the advice, Bob. I thought I was doing people a favor by
> passing on a legitimate alert. If someone posted a similar alert that
> I may not have seen for my town, I would be grateful.
>
> As for "forcing them on people," that could be said of anybody's
> postings that are not what a particular member of the group is
> looking for. Anyway, this discussion does give people a chance to
> consider subcribing to EDIS.
>
> I'm not sure what you mean by, "As an example you admitted you did
> not receive the cancel order that came out at the same time." EDIS
> has yet to send a cancellation that reached my mailbox. If EDIS only
> sent the cancellation to subscribers to their "News" category, they
> did a disservice to lots of subscribers who received the original
> alert because they only subscribe to the more serious warning
> categories that are higher than news. I appreciated learning this
> from bayscan and I will pass it on to EDIS.
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
> >
> > My point is reducing unnecessary e-mails and postings if someone
> > wants them they can sign up for the alert zones that concern them.
> I
> > already receive double copies from EDIS in somewhat real time
> should
> > I get off the EDIS list and count on you to post EDIS messages 24-7
> > in real time? With your postings you're forcing them on people

that
> > elect not to receive them and incomplete messages at that. As an
> > example you admitted you did not receive the cancel order that
came
> > out at the same time.
> >
> > Sign up is easy and free at incident.com
> > Rocdad (Bob)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford"
<bayscan@c...>
> > wrote:
> > > Because they are "scanning related" if there was an actual
> > incident. Plus
> > > not all of us sign up for all those alrerts.
> > >
> > > Robert
> > > BayScan
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > At 11:52 AM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > >Why are these posted here anyone can sign up for these alerts
if
> > > >thet want them?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Rocdad
> > > >
> > > >--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "john_polich" <jpolich@s...>
> > wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > From: Contra Costa County Health Services
> > > > > >
> > > > > ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-306013+0045-111853-
> > > > > This is an . Most
> > > > > people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat
> > irritation
> > > > >may
> > > > > be
> > > > > possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Dow
> > > > >Chemical.
> > > > > If
> > > > > people

> > > > > experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and
rinse
> > any
> > > > > irritated area
> > > > > of their body with water. Handle this as advisory
information
> > > >only.
> > > > > (DOW)ABNNNN
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > For more information contact:
> > > > > Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
> > > > >
> > > > > EDIS-01-12-05 1054 PST
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >----------
> > > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > > *
> > > >
> >
>
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group
> > /bayscan/
> > > > *
> > > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > > *
> > > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> > subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > >
> > > > *
> > > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35780. Re: [bayscan] Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails incident.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 21:41:26 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35767 Message: 35780

> The warnings are, as Robert says, scanning related ...

Although no indication was given as to how one might try to listen in on
this incident. Does Dow have a system? Would it be CCSO handing this?
Did anyone on bayscan get a phone call?

35781. Re: Ed Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails incident.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 21:52:46 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35767 Message: 35781

Just to clarify
I get double messages from EDIS in regards to incidents all the time
I believe it started after I adjusted my preferences at
incidents.com so in effect Roberts was a third and I believe I
received the cancel because of my selection to receive "NEWS" alerts
at EDIS in addition to "ALERTS" this point's out an operational
problem at EDIS.
Since I got your attention Ed did you read my post regarding
Homeland security and ICS last night I really believe we should be
posting in CLEAR TEXT and if wanted by poster putting 10 and 11
codes in parentheses? I would appreciate your thoughts on this
matter.

Bob (Rocdad)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I have to agree with Robert and Ben . You must consider
too that
> this time you got double the emails because the warning was put
out in error
> so you got both the warning and correction once from incidents.com
and then
> again from bayscan. I consider it only a small inconveniance. The
warnings are ,
> as Robert says , scanning related so I have to agree to keep
posting them ,
> depite my getting them twice.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35782. Re: [bayscan] clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:09:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35782 Message: 35782

We on Bayscan have gone over and over the argument over codes and clear texts. The bottom line is no one is going to be totally happy on the list as some want the clear text others have no problem with codes.

anyone who is interested in law enforcement scanning has no alternative but to learn codes as I know of NO law enforcement agency that uses clear text only.

Should you want to learn codes, there are a number of publications plus files such as those here on Bayscan.

I like codes and know it takes time to learn them especially with the different agencies covered by Bayscan such as SFPD, Oakland, CHP and others using different numbers for the same thing. I also believe you scanning pleasure is enhanced by learning the codes, but that is IMHO

Some will use codes on this list and some won't, that won't change anytime soon.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35783. Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:34:32 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35782 Message: 35783

10-4 good buddy
Fair enough it's kind of like learning Morse code unnecessary, unneeded, outmoded but still fun and interesting. The issue of use of Clear text will resolve itself in the next few years anyhow as the whole nation goes to NIMS (http://fema.gov/nims/nims_training.shtm) and 10 11 codes go the way of horse and buggy.
I do believe the users of this list add to the incident description many Codes that they did not even hear used on the air in the particular situation just to show some kind of special knowledge or think its correct protocol when they really are showing a sort of ignorance of today's real world communication protocols. It is a proven fact that 10 codes just add to confusion especially since as you said "different agencies covered by Bayscan such as SFPD, Oakland, CHP and others using
Different numbers for the same thing" and in this time of so many mutual aid incidents seems so cumbersome and even dangerous. In a few years only we "old timers" will remember them anyhow.

Bob (Rocdad)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> We on Bayscan have gone over and over the argument over codes and clear
> texts. The bottom line is no one is going to be totally happy on the list as some
> want the clear text others have no problem with codes.
>
> anyone who is interested in law enforcement scanning has no
> alternative but to learn codes as I know of NO law enforcement agency that uses
> clear text only.
>
> Should you want to learn codes, there are a number of publications
> plus files such as those here on Bayscan.
>
> I like codes and know it takes time to learn them especially with the
> different agencies covered by Bayscan such as SFPD, Oakland, CHP and others using
> different numbers for the same thing. I also believe you scanning pleasure is
> enhanced by learning the codes, but that is IMHO

>
> Some will use codes on this list and some won't, that won't
change
> anytime soon.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35784. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:42:36 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 35782 Message: 35784

Who you calling an old timer?

Oh, well I guess it applies here.

By the way just for your info:

The requested file was not found on WWW.FEMA.GOV.
As a result of FEMA's redesigned website some of the file names may have changed. We recommend that you attempt to change the file name extension to shtm (ex: http://www.fema.gov/help/index.htm becomes http://www.fema gov/help/index.shtm)
If you are unable to find the document you want, try searching for it or check the master index.
nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/12/05 14:36:07
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: clear text

10-4 good buddy
Fair enough it's kind of like learning Morse code unnecessary, unneeded, outmoded but still fun and interesting. The issue of use of Clear text will resolve itself in the next few years anyhow as the whole nation goes to NIMS (http://fema.gov/nims/nims_training.shtm) and 10 11 codes go the way of horse and buggy.
I do believe the users of this list add to the incident description many Codes that they did not even hear used on the air in the particular situation just to show some kind of special knowledge or think its correct protocol when they really are showing a sort of ignorance of today's real world communication protocols. It is a proven fact that 10 codes just add to confusion especially since as you said "different agencies covered by Bayscan such as SFPD, Oakland, CHP and others using
Different numbers for the same thing" and in this time of so many mutual aid incidents seems so cumbersome and even dangerous. In a

few years only we "old timers" will remember them anyhow.

Bob (Rocdad)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> We on Bayscan have gone over and over the argument over codes
and clear
> texts. The bottom line is no one is going to be totally happy on
the list as some
> want the clear text others have no problem with codes.
>
> anyone who is interested in law enforcement scanning
has no
> alternative but to learn codes as I know of NO law enforcement
agency that uses
> clear text only.
>
> Should you want to learn codes, there are a number of
publications
> plus files such as those here on Bayscan.
>
> I like codes and know it takes time to learn them especially
with the
> different agencies covered by Bayscan such as SFPD, Oakland, CHP
and others using
> different numbers for the same thing. I also believe you scanning
pleasure is
> enhanced by learning the codes, but that is IMHO
>
> Some will use codes on this list and some won't, that won't
change
> anytime soon.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35785. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:48:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35782 Message: 35785

it does NOT add to the confusion ,maybe on this list it does . But SFPD officers KNOW their own codes and have little reason to use others. Don't forget penal codes such as 211 , 459 mean the same statewide.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35786. Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:51:11 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35782 Message: 35786

My contention and Fema agrees is that it adds to cofusion on Mutual aid incidents,

Another note on clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet all federal grants to public agencies are going to be tied to adopting ICS (NIMS) which will cause an extremely fast adoption time frame get on the train or get left behind.

The following are some quotes from NEMA site.

"As you know, NIMS implementation is on a fast track. Minimum training requirements to be accomplished this year are listed in a memorandum from the DHS Secretary to Governors (www.fema.gov/nims). It is important that the minimum requirement be met, while the comprehensive NIMS Curriculum is being developed".

"Homeland Security Outlines NIMS Requirements for FY 2005
In a Sept. 8, 2004, letter to the nation's governors, the Secretary of Homeland Security outlined the minimum requirements for states and territories to comply with the new National Incident Management System (NIMS), a nationwide standardized approach to incident management and response. Developed by the Department of Homeland Security and released in March 2004, it establishes a uniform set of processes and procedures that emergency responders at all levels of government will use to conduct response operations."

Rocdad (Bob)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> it does NOT add to the confusion ,maybe on this list it does . But SFPD
> officers KNOW their own codes and have little reason to use others. Don't forget
> penal codes such as 211 , 459 mean the same statewide.
>
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35787. EDIS Just Did It Again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:51:15 UTC
From: nadar888
Topic: 35787 Message: 35787

Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde and Vine Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?

35788. Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:56:09 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35782 Message: 35788

I provided a working link as shown below in my original message?---
Bob (rocdad)

In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike (Nobag)" <NOBAG@M...> wrote:
> Who you calling an old timer?
>
> Oh, well I guess it applies here.
>
> By the way just for your info:
>
> The requested file was not found on WWW.FEMA.GOV.
> As a result of FEMA's redesigned website some of the file names
may have
> changed. We recommend that you attempt to change the file name
extension to
> shtm (ex: http://www.fema.gov/help/index.htm becomes
http://www.fema
> gov/help/index.shtm)
> If you are unable to find the document you want, try searching for
it or
> check the master index.
> nobag@m...
> Mike (BikerMike)
> CA.
> U.S.A.
> Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
> Owner: U.P.I.P.
>
> "Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was
just as
> happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: 01/12/05 14:36:07
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: clear text
>
>
> 10-4 good buddy
> Fair enough it's kind of like learning Morse code unnecessary,
> unneeded, outmoded but still fun and interesting. The issue of use
> of Clear text will resolve itself in the next few years anyhow as
> the whole nation goes to NIMS
> (http://fema.gov/nims/nims_training.shtm) and 10 11 codes go the

way
> of horse and buggy.
> I do believe the users of this list add to the incident
description
> many Codes that they did not even hear used on the air in the
> particular situation just to show some kind of special knowledge
or
> think its correct protocol when they really are showing a sort of
> ignorance of today's real world communication protocols. It is a
> proven fact that 10 codes just add to confusion especially since
as
> you said "different agencies covered by Bayscan such as SFPD,
> Oakland, CHP and others using
> Different numbers for the same thing" and in this time of so many
> mutual aid incidents seems so cumbersome and even dangerous. In a
> few years only we "old timers" will remember them anyhow.
>
> Bob (Rocdad)
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > We on Bayscan have gone over and over the argument over codes
> and clear
> > texts. The bottom line is no one is going to be totally happy on
> the list as some
> > want the clear text others have no problem with codes.
> >
> > anyone who is interested in law enforcement scanning
> has no
> > alternative but to learn codes as I know of NO law enforcement
> agency that uses
> > clear text only.
> >
> > Should you want to learn codes, there are a number of
> publications
> > plus files such as those here on Bayscan.
> >
> > I like codes and know it takes time to learn them especially
> with the
> > different agencies covered by Bayscan such as SFPD, Oakland, CHP
> and others using
> > different numbers for the same thing. I also believe you
scanning
> pleasure is
> > enhanced by learning the codes, but that is IMHO
> >
> > Some will use codes on this list and some won't, that won't
> change
> > anytime soon.
> >
> > ED

> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35789. Re: EDIS Just Did It Again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:58:23 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35787 Message: 35789

The way TOSCO operates their plants it is probably real....
Bob
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "nadar888" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
>
> Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde and
Vine
> Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?

35790. Re: EDIS Just Did It Again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 23:02:48 UTC
From: nadar888
Topic: 35787 Message: 35790

Your intuition is correct. By phone Contra Costa Health Services Tel:
(925) 260-6262 confirms a level II incident.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
>
>
>
> The way TOSCO operates their plants it is probably real....
> Bob
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "nadar888" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
> >
> > Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde and
> Vine
> > Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?

35791. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:54:06 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35782 Message: 35791

I'll say my peace and crawl back in my hole... For those of you guys who insist on using codes.. How bout doing both?
For instance

"Just heard SFPD units go available" (10-8)

Then everyone is happy... You guys don't have to type out the whole damn code but since every department is a little different, I don't think its fair that we'd have to memorize each cities codes.. If that how you guys want it however I'm sure ED would be glad to teach a course on it for 600 + bayscanners at his house.. :-)

caladded@... wrote:

> it does NOT add to the confusion ,maybe on this list it does . But SFPD
> officers KNOW their own codes and have little reason to use others.
> Don't forget
> penal codes such as 211 , 459 mean the same statewide.
>
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35792. Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 23:09:40 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35782 Message: 35792

Thats what I was saying maybe you just said it better?
Bob (rocdad)
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> I'll say my peace and crawl back in my hole... For those of you
guys who
> insist on using codes.. How bout doing both?
> For instance
>
> "Just heard SFPD units go available" (10-8)
>
> Then everyone is happy... You guys don't have to type out the
whole damn
> code but since every department is a little different, I don't
think its
> fair that we'd have to memorize each cities codes.. If that how
you guys
> want it however I'm sure ED would be glad to teach a course on it
for
> 600 + bayscanners at his house.. :-)
>
>
> caladded@a... wrote:
>
> > it does NOT add to the confusion ,maybe on this list it does .
But SFPD
> > officers KNOW their own codes and have little reason to use
others.
> > Don't forget
> > penal codes such as 211 , 459 mean the same statewide.
> >
> >
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > --

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >

> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

35793. Re: [bayscan] EDIS Just Did It Again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 22:55:48 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35787 Message: 35793

Don't know about that, but a question just popped into my head.. What is nadar? I've heard of radar and gaydar.. but nadar? Is that when there's a sighting of Nader? :-) Ok crawling back in my hole...

nadar888 wrote:

>
> Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde and Vine
> Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35794. From Minnesota

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 23:16:09 UTC
From: Steven Anderson
Topic: 35794 Message: 35794

I am traveling to the Bay Area this March. Staying in Hayward and touring to the wine country, up and down the shore and also the the Sequoia Nat. Forest. I use a BC 296 scanner. Does anyone have files they would share or recommend?
I use ARC software as well as the Bearcat stuff. Please contact off list if you would like.

Thanks,
Steve

35795. Re: clear text But this horse is really dead now I guess.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 23:18:36 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35782 Message: 35795

What about National inter agency incidents?

Speaking of statewide what if DOJ,DOD or a private firm is involved
in the incident will they know the state penal codes?
CDF and the Feds require Clear text on all working incidents for
previous mentioned reasons.
But this horse is really dead now I guess.
Bob (rocdad)
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> it does NOT add to the confusion ,maybe on this list it does . But
SFPD
> officers KNOW their own codes and have little reason to use
others. Don't forget
> penal codes such as 211 , 459 mean the same statewide.
>
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35796. Re: [bayscan] EDIS Just Did It Again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 23:24:17 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 35787 Message: 35796

Isn't nadar when you sight a green peace member?

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/12/05 15:16:00
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] EDIS Just Did It Again

Don't know about that, but a question just popped into my head.. What
is nadar? I've heard of radar and gaydar.. but nadar? Is that when
there's a sighting of Nader? :-) Ok crawling back in my hole...

nadar888 wrote:

>
> Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde and Vine
> Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35797. Re: EDIS Just Did It Again -NADAR-

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 23:33:30 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35787 Message: 35797

NADAR - National Advanced notice of Deployment of Road hazard

It is a new Homeland Security system to identify Ford Pinto's and
Covair's on the Interstate highway system. In the future it will
alert individuls to deployment of any Ford on a highway.

Rocdad(Bob)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike (Nobag)" <NOBAG@M...> wrote:
> Isn't nadar when you sight a green peace member?
>
> nobag@m...
> Mike (BikerMike)
> CA.
> U.S.A.
> Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
> Owner: U.P.I.P.
>
> "Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was
just as
> happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: 01/12/05 15:16:00
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] EDIS Just Did It Again
>
> Don't know about that, but a question just popped into my head..
What
> is nadar? I've heard of radar and gaydar.. but nadar? Is that when
> there's a sighting of Nader? :-) Ok crawling back in my hole...
>
> nadar888 wrote:
>
> >
> > Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde and
Vine
> > Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*

> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35798. Re: NADAR and Codes vs Words

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 23:49:19 UTC
From: nadar888
Topic: 35787 Message: 35798

Nadar is a Code 10-55PF. Plain language: photographer, dead, French.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> Don't know about that, but a question just popped into my head..
What
> is nadar? I've heard of radar and gaydar.. but nadar? Is that when
> there's a sighting of Nader? :-) Ok crawling back in my hole...
>
> nadar888 wrote:
>
> >
> > Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde and
Vine
> > Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

35799. Re: [bayscan] Re: NADAR and Codes vs Words

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:00:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35787 Message: 35799

I have always wanted to say this ------ can you guys 10-22 this thread as
it's really jamming my email in box with nonsense.

Where's the list owner, the moderator...

;^)

Jus' teasing - LOL!

On 1/12/05 3:49 PM, "nadar888" <jpolich@...> wrote:

>
>
> Nadar is a Code 10-55PF. Plain language: photographer, dead, French.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
>> Don't know about that, but a question just popped into my head..
> What
>> is nadar? I've heard of radar and gaydar.. but nadar? Is that when
>> there's a sighting of Nader? :-) Ok crawling back in my hole...
>>
>> nadar888 wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde and
> Vine
>>> Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> ---
> ------
>>> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>>>
>>> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
>>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>>>
>>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>>> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> subject=Unsubscribe>
>>>
>>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
>>> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>>>

>>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35800. Re: NADAR and Codes vs Words

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:00:28 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35787 Message: 35800

Is that because the photographer is really dead? or being French
just smells dead?
Bob(rocdad)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "nadar888" <jpolich@s...> wrote:
>
> Nadar is a Code 10-55PF. Plain language: photographer, dead,
French.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > Don't know about that, but a question just popped into my
head..
> What
> > is nadar? I've heard of radar and gaydar.. but nadar? Is that
when
> > there's a sighting of Nader? :-) Ok crawling back in my hole...
> >
> > nadar888 wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Anyone know if the new alert for North Concord, Avon, Clyde
and
> Vine
> > > Hill is real, or a drill and cancelled?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --

> ------
> > > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> > >
> > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> subject=Unsubscribe>
> > >
> > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of
> > > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> > >

> > >

35801. 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:05:25 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35801 Message: 35801

"This is TCU, I need a gurney ..." [...]

Any idea who this is? I'm in Berkeley.

35802. Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:08:30 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35801 Message: 35802

That would likely be CDF TCU unit.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
> "This is TCU, I need a gurney ..." [...]
>
> Any idea who this is? I'm in Berkeley.

35803. Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:10:59 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35801 Message: 35803

Picking that up in Berkley? pretty good.
Tuolumne-Calaveras Unit
Unit Chief Fred McVay
Star Route 1 - 785 Mountain Ranch Road

San Andreas
CA
95249
(209) 754-3831

Rocdad(Bob)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
> "This is TCU, I need a gurney ..." [...]
>
> Any idea who this is? I'm in Berkeley.

35804. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:14:15 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35801 Message: 35804

Something doesn't sound right with this being CDF. Bob, what besides the possible acronym TCU suggests this is CDF? Help!!!

On 1/12/05 4:10 PM, "Bob" <rocdad@...> wrote:

>
>
> Picking that up in Berkley? pretty good.
> Tuolumne-Calaveras Unit
> Unit Chief Fred McVay
> Star Route 1 - 785 Mountain Ranch Road
>
> San Andreas
> CA
> 95249
> (209) 754-3831
>
> Rocdad(Bob)

35805. RE: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:16:25 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 35782 Message: 35805

>10-4 good buddy

"what's your 20?"
"I'll be 10-10 on the side"
"I need to take a 10-100"

and others that have interoperability across the country because of the popular movie in the 1970s featuring CB radios and a fast Trans-Am. All other codes mean different things in different places.

Mike

35806. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:17:17 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35801 Message: 35806

could TCU units working inside stanislaus national forest

Craig Rose wrote:

> Something doesn't sound right with this being CDF. Bob, what besides the
> possible acronym TCU suggests this is CDF? Help!!!
>
>
> On 1/12/05 4:10 PM, "Bob" <rocdad@...> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Picking that up in Berkley? pretty good.
> > Tuolumne-Calaveras Unit
> > Unit Chief Fred McVay
> > Star Route 1 - 785 Mountain Ranch Road
> >
> > San Andreas
> > CA
> > 95249
> > (209) 754-3831
> >
> > Rocdad(Bob)
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35807. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:19:15 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35801 Message: 35807

> That would likely be CDF TCU unit.

It sounds more like a hospital, like maybe a paging system?

35808. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:23:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35801 Message: 35808

Ah! Could be, I suppose.

On 1/12/05 4:17 PM, "Ben" <bkellogg9@...> wrote:

> could TCU units working inside stanislaus national forest

35809. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:24:14 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35801 Message: 35809

its a state frequency so its either indeed CDF or it could be a state hospital. Does it sound like they are outside or does it sound like a paging system of some sort?

Craig Rose wrote:

> Ah! Could be, I suppose.
>
> On 1/12/05 4:17 PM, "Ben" <bkellogg9@...> wrote:
>
> > could TCU units working inside stanislaus national forest
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35810. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:27:00 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35801 Message: 35810

> Does it sound like they are outside or does it sound like a
> paging system of some sort?

I've heard a few more transmissions now, and it sounds like a paging system: the messages are being sent twice, and they sound like an answering machine. "Please bring that box of platelets up to room NNNN" and that kind of thing. I'm hearing it with a rubber duck on a Pro-96, so it's not in Stanislas. :)

35811. Re: [bayscan] From Minnesota

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-12 23:25:26 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35794 Message: 35811

Steve send me an e-mail off list and I'll send you my Butel file that I use
----- Original Message -----
From: Steven Anderson
To: Bayscan
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 15:16
Subject: [bayscan] From Minnesota

I am traveling to the Bay Area this March. Staying in Hayward and touring
to the wine country, up and down the shore and also the the Sequoia Nat.
Forest. I use a BC 296 scanner. Does anyone have files they would share or
recommend?
I use ARC software as well as the Bearcat stuff. Please contact off list if
you would like.

Thanks,
Steve

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35812. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:34:51 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35801 Message: 35812

i've inputted the freq now.. listening to see if i can hear anything.
Any VA hospitals near by you?

Jordan Hayes wrote:

> > Does it sound like they are outside or does it sound like a
> > paging system of some sort?
>
> I've heard a few more transmissions now, and it sounds like a paging
> system: the messages are being sent twice, and they sound like an
> answering machine. "Please bring that box of platelets up to room NNNN"
> and that kind of thing. I'm hearing it with a rubber duck on a Pro-96,
> so it's not in Stanislas. :)
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35813. Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 00:41:23 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35801 Message: 35813

Probably Truma Care Unit ie: ICU?
Bob

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> i've inputted the freq now.. listening to see if i can hear
anything.
> Any VA hospitals near by you?
>
> Jordan Hayes wrote:
>
> > > Does it sound like they are outside or does it sound like a
> > > paging system of some sort?
> >
> > I've heard a few more transmissions now, and it sounds like a
paging
> > system: the messages are being sent twice, and they sound like an
> > answering machine. "Please bring that box of platelets up to
room NNNN"
> > and that kind of thing. I'm hearing it with a rubber duck on a
Pro-96,
> > so it's not in Stanislas. :)
> >
> >
> > --

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

35814. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:06:52 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 35801 Message: 35814

166.7125 or 166.725 are both FEDERAL frequencies - NOT state. 166.725 is assigned to the VA.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35815. Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:08:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35782 Message: 35815

sounds like the same old thing, the feds shoving their ideas down the throats
of states and counties , no matter if its good or
not.....remember the 55 mph ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35816. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:09:54 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 35782 Message: 35816

heres a idea why don't we do a poll and see what the members want

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35817. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:10:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35782 Message: 35817

I will teach it , if you pay me enough.....why would I use a code,
if I type it clear text ? not going to happen

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35818. Re: [bayscan] EDIS Just Did It Again

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:12:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35787 Message: 35818

gaydar ??????????? is that something they use on Castro street ?

hehehehehe , sorry , couldn't resist

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35819. Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:14:31 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35782 Message: 35819

I agree on the shoving and was going to use your example (55) I
think States rights are being eroded very fast..
With that said I think the concept is good and makes sense.
Bob
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> sounds like the same old thing, the feds shoving their ideas down
the throats
> of states and counties , no matter if its good or
> not.....remember the 55 mph ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35820. Re: [bayscan] Re: NADAR and Codes vs Words

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:15:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35787 Message: 35820

I tried to explain.....BUT you guys don't
listen.....there are people on both sides of this issue and
its
NOT going to get resolved.

bottom line IS we agree .....to .....disagree

NOW , lets end this thread.....please

ED
MODERATOR

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35821. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:23:57 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35801 Message: 35821

I think it's a shared frequency as well - National Park Service uses/used it in Boston as well.

-C-

On 1/12/05 5:06 PM, "Mike McKenna" <way2twohot@...> wrote:

> 166.7125 or 166.725 are both FEDERAL frequencies - NOT state. 166.725 is
> assigned to the VA.

35822. Re: My final thought

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:23:31 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35787 Message: 35822

My final thought
Which thread? Seriously though at least it proved participation on
this group compared to very quite norcalscan and was somewhat
educational and very interesting points of view were expressed.
Scan on

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I tried to explain.....BUT you guys don't
> listen.....there are people on both
sides of this issue and its
> NOT going to get resolved.
>
>
> bottom line IS we
agree .....to .....disagree
>
> NOW , lets end this thread.....please
>
> ED
> MODERATOR
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35823. Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:23:59 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35782 Message: 35823

The concept is probably a good one. the POINT I am making is you have people on both sides of the issue on bayscan. I am 52 and have taken a L-O-N-G time to learn all these codes. I don't expect someone in San Jose to know SFPD and Oakland code.....heck " I Don't know" most of Oaklands codes..... but I and others find it faster to do the 211 thing as opposed to armed robbery , there are some codes that take longer to type in and on prolonged incidents I personally don't feel like doing it longhand.

Lets see how acceptable this stuff is to organizations like the CHP which uses LOTS of 10 and 11 codes for over 7000 officers (not to forget all the dispatchers)

BTW , South SF has a working fire on Mitchell 154.100 154.04 tac

1723hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35824. Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:32:10 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35782 Message: 35824

Your CHP point is very interesting it is going to take a lot of Fed money for all the training because the Feds are requiring NIMS certification for all first responders
(Local, state, private, fed, etc) we will hear something soon I suspect.
Anyhow off to a training class myself catch up to this thread later.
Rocdad (Bob)
-

-- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> The concept is probably a good one. the POINT I am making is you have people
> on both sides of the issue on bayscan. I am 52 and have taken a L-O-N-G time
> to learn all these codes. I don't expect someone in San Jose to know SFPD and
> Oakland code..........heck " I Don't know" most of Oaklands codes.........
> but I and others find it faster to do the 211 thing as opposed to armed robbery
> , there are some codes that take longer to type in and on prolonged incidents
> I personally don't feel like doing it longhand.
>
> Lets see how acceptable this stuff is to organizations like the CHP which
> uses LOTS of 10 and 11 codes for over 7000 officers (not to forget all the
> dispatchers)
>
> BTW , South SF has a working fire on Mitchell 154.100 154.04 tac
>
> 1723hours
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35825. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 01:40:35 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35801 Message: 35825

hey,
actually TCU means Telemetry Care Unit
could be Alta Bates asking their transport team people for a gurney?? that seems to make sense
-boulrog-

In a message dated 1/12/05 16:47:27, rocdad@... writes:
<< Probably Truma Care Unit ie: ICU?

Bob >>

35826. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 02:32:54 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 35782 Message: 35826

caladded@... wrote:

>it does NOT add to the confusion ,maybe on this list it does . But SFPD
>officers KNOW their own codes and have little reason to use others. Don't forget
>penal codes such as 211 , 459 mean the same statewide.
>
>
>
>ED
>
>

Codes DO add to the confusion on a mulit agency event. Many agencies are slowly getting away from codes. I use codes all day long at work, but do not use them with other agencies. Their codes may or may not be the same as ours.

The only time codes do not add to confusion is when used within the same agency and everyone uses the exact same ones.

Just my 2 cents ....

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

35827. EDIS emails

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 02:38:55 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35827 Message: 35827

I agree with Ben, Robert and Ed as well. I am not signed up although i could. What irritates me more than this, is folks going on and on about some stuff and either not changing the subject line or not taking it off the list and conversing directly with the individual(s) involved with the same exchange.
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 1:36 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails incident.com

>
> I have to agree with Robert and Ben . You must consider too that
> this time you got double the emails because the warning was put out in error
> so you got both the warning and correction once from incidents.com and then
> again from bayscan. I consider it only a small inconveniance. The warnings are ,
> as Robert says , scanning related so I have to agree to keep posting them ,
> depite my getting them twice.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35828. Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 02:32:21 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35630 Message: 35828

I don't know about the rifle deal, but I use a Larson dual band mag mount antenna also. I have one for ten meters as I had one of those old converted CB rigs for ten meters. Worked Japan and Austrailia on it a few years back, but ten is gone for now and besides, the ten meter repeaters all require PL tones now and that old rig didn't have any PL. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 10:07 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

>
> >> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html
> >
> > have you tried transmitting on this antenna?
>
> Yeah, it's decent. But I have a Larsen dual band for my TM-D700.
>
> ---
>
> Is it just me, or is it weird how often Emeryville PD says they are
> "deploying a rifle" ... I guess they have ARs or something, and a lot of
> them: I seem to hear a lot of them check in for the day and record which
> rifle they have. Given that most of the traffic on 453.45[173.8] is
> traffic stops and homeless people on San Pablo getting moved along, this
> seems a little bit of overkill. Have they ever actually used one of
> these rifles?
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35829. question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 03:00:50 UTC
From: JERRY HAUN
Topic: 9558 Message: 35829

What is NIMS?

Jerry KG6EBK
"73"

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.10 - Release Date: 1/10/2005

35830. Fremont Hostage Situation Now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 03:49:32 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 35830 Message: 35830

tag 30736.. man with gun holding hostage. cops have guns on bad guy. Newark & Union City pd on scene. 207pc suspect from Warwick Elementary School area in Fremont.

35831. Re: [bayscan] Fremont Hostage Situation Now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 04:01:59 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35830 Message: 35831

ok, I am giving location as the bad guy KNOWs they are there

Chinook court , somewhere off De Coto

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35832. Re: [bayscan] Fremont Hostage Situation Now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 04:12:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35830 Message: 35832

Thomas map 752 E1 / Fremont lost sight , suspect wearing black/
black......ok, NOW they see them again ,..........right on Fremont/Union City border.
got a helo coming , not sure whos..... but 30 minute eta

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35833. Re: [bayscan] Fremont Hostage Situation Now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 04:15:17 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35830 Message: 35833

Now Map pages?

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35834. Re: [bayscan] question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 04:43:20 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 9558 Message: 35834

National Incident Management System (in this case)
but also the Galileo Near-Infrared Mapping Spectrometer
and National institute for Materials Science
J.B. AD6WX

JERRY HAUN <j.haun@...> wrote:

What is NIMS?

Jerry KG6EBK
"73"

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.10 - Release Date: 1/10/2005

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35835. Re: [bayscan] Those pesky jacknifed trailers..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 04:43:42 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35456 Message: 35835

Evan, that jack knifed truck file is a hoot! What other stuff is there?

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 08, 2005 1:21 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Those pesky jacknifed trailers..

>
> This is from the rec.radio.scanner newsgroup...
>
> North Carolina PD.
>
> http://www.nc911.com/Sounds/jack-knifed%20truck.wav
>
> Gotta love the "Do you need another hand?"
>
> hehe
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35836. Re: EDIS emails Re: Jim

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 04:55:30 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35827 Message: 35836

Well Jim I am sorry you are so irritated but this was discussion
that was very scanning related, You choose not to sign up for EDIS
alerts and instead want to rely on second hand Inconsistent,
untimely, and possibly inaccurate information? Ed is not changing
anything so relax And as far as subject lines why change subject
lines? I have usually just add an tag line to them It makes
following a thread much easier and seems to be simple logic and that
way you can ignore the threads you do not like . so Jim I do it for
you.
Actually I felt today's threads about EDIS, NIMS, ICS, NRP and
related discussions were informative for everyone.

Rocdad(Bob)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> I agree with Ben, Robert and Ed as well. I am not signed up
although i
> could. What irritates me more than this, is folks going on and on
about
> some stuff and either not changing the subject line or not taking
it off
> the list and conversing directly with the individual(s) involved
with the
> same exchange.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <caladded@a...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 1:36 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: EDIS reducing unnecessary e-mails
incident.com
>
>
> > > I have to agree with Robert and Ben . You must
consider too
> that
> > > this time you got double the emails because the warning was put
out in
> error
> > > so you got both the warning and correction once from
incidents.com and
> then
> > > again from bayscan. I consider it only a small inconveniance.
The
> warnings are ,

> > as Robert says , scanning related so I have to agree to keep
posting them
> ,
> > depite my getting them twice.
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

35837. Re: Fremont Hostage Situation Now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:16:54 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 35830 Message: 35837

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mobile11@a... wrote:
>
>
> Now Map pages?
>
> PY
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

I heard the chopper and immediately grabbed my scanner. Fremont PD
is now asking for the DUI tailer.

Steve

35838. Re: question Re: Answer in a large nutshell

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:20:30 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 9558 Message: 35838

Hi Jerry,
NIMS stands for (National incident Management System) and is the new standard and related protocols (including clear text) for consistent Incident communication's it is the big brother of the ICS (Incident Command System) protocols being adopted by all agencies at all levels.
It is all part of the new NRP (National Response Plan) which is a very comprehensive system of consistent incident response at all levels of government and private responder's including even the private citizen volunteer it stresses that incidents should be handled at the lowest possible level and what how resources should be allocated. And defines type of incident response from local problem up to Incident of National Significance The following links are very informative and I think essential for any scanner enthusiast that wants to stay in the loop.
Also there is some great online courses available at these links and the price is right FREE
If your job whether public or private has any chance of disaster response or you just want to learn more you should get these Certs.

http://www.dhs.gov/dhspublic/interapp/editorial/editorial_0566.xml
http://fema.gov/nims/index.shtm
http://fema.gov/nims/nims_training.shtm

Also this new system is going to affect great changes for scanner listeners because it addresses Interoperability and new communications processes, procedures and systems;
Quote's from FEMA site

National Response Plan

"One team, one goal...a safer, more secure America"

The National Response Plan establishes a comprehensive all-hazards approach to enhance the ability of the United States to manage domestic incidents. The plan incorporates best practices and procedures from incident management disciplines□homeland security,
emergency management, law enforcement, firefighting, public works, public health, responder and recovery worker health and safety, emergency medical services, and the private sector□and integrates them into a unified structure. It forms the basis of how the federal government coordinates with state, local, and tribal governments and the private sector during incidents. It establishes protocols to help

☐ Save lives and protect the health and safety of the public, responders, and recovery workers;
☐ Ensure security of the homeland;
☐ Prevent an imminent incident, including acts of terrorism, from occurring;
☐ Protect and restore critical infrastructure and key resources;
☐ Conduct law enforcement investigations to resolve the incident, apprehend the perpetrators, and collect and preserve evidence for prosecution and/or attribution;
☐ Protect property and mitigate damages and impacts to individuals, communities, and the environment; and
☐ Facilitate recovery of individuals, families, businesses, governments, and the environment.

The benefits of the NIMS system will be significant:
☐ Standardized organizational structures, processes and procedures;
☐ Standards for planning, training and exercising;
☐ Personnel qualification standards;
☐ Equipment acquisition and certification standards;
☐ Interoperable communications processes, procedures and systems;
☐ Information management systems with a commonly accepted architecture;
☐ Supporting technologies ☐ voice and data communications systems, information systems, data display systems, specialized technologies; and
☐ Publication management processes and activities.
Hope this was informative,
Bob (Rocdad)

35839. Re: [bayscan] Re: 166.72

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:25:51 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 35801 Message: 35839

Ben wrote:

> its a state frequency so its either indeed CDF or it could be a state
> hospital. Does it sound like they are outside or does it sound like a
> paging system of some sort?

166.72 is not a CA state frequency.

35840. Re: [bayscan] question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:01:20 UTC
From: JERRY HAUN
Topic: 9558 Message: 35840

At 08:43 PM 1/12/2005 -0800, you wrote:

> Thanks

>National Incident Management System (in this case)
>but also the Galileo Near-Infrared Mapping Spectrometer
>and National institute for Materials Science
>J.B. AD6WX
>
>JERRY HAUN <j.haun@...> wrote:
>
>What is NIMS?
>
>Jerry KG6EBK
>"73"
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this outgoing message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.10 - Release Date: 1/10/2005
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.10 - Release Date: 1/10/2005

Jerry KG6EBK
"73"

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.10 - Release Date: 1/10/2005

35841. Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:38:15 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 35782 Message: 35841

caladded@... wrote:

> sounds like the same old thing, the feds shoving their ideas down the throats
> of states and counties , no matter if its good or
> not.....remember the 55 mph ?

Ed, NIMS is based on something called the Incident Command System -
developed in California by LOCAL GOVERNMENT firefighters in conjunction
with the State of CA and USFS, following chaos on a series of major
incidents in the 1970s because everyone responded "their way."

Don

35842. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:39:46 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35782 Message: 35842

At 02:54 PM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
>I'll say my peace and crawl back in my hole... For those of you guys who
>insist on using codes.. How bout doing both?
>For instance
>
>"Just heard SFPD units go available" (10-8)
>
>Then everyone is happy... You guys don't have to type out the whole damn
>code but since every department is a little different, I don't think its
>fair that we'd have to memorize each cities codes.. If that how you guys
>want it however I'm sure ED would be glad to teach a course on it for
>600 + bayscanners at his house.. :-)

I 100% agree, and this dead horse has long been calcified.

If you hear something, and you post it, you obviously know the code, so
take the extra 30 seconds to post what the code means.

If your time is that valuable that you can't take the 30 seconds (or less)
to type it all out, you shouldn't be posting here to begin with.

35843. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fremont Hostage Situation Now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:40:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35830 Message: 35843

hopefully this will be on news , should be. victims family was taken to FDP HDQTs , something also about a 10-72 , not sure if they mean someone was actually stabbed or just looking for the knife. surprized no body updated this ?? suspect was shot quite awhile ago

I had to go out for a bit (but kept scanner with me heheheheh)

check out ktvu 2 at 10 (maybe)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35844. New subject More Change in the wind "THE CAP" EDIS related

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:41:44 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35844 Message: 35844

Just thought this would be interesting to We Scanner people.

Link to more Information: http://incident.com/cap/what-why-how.html

What is it?
THE CAP
The Common Alerting Protocol (CAP) is an open, non-proprietary standard data interchange format that can be used to collect all types of hazard warnings and reports locally, regionally and nationally, for input into a wide range of information-management and warning dissemination systems.

This project acts on several of the recommendations of the "Effective Disaster Warnings" report issued in November, 2000 by the Working Group on Natural Disaster Information Systems, Subcommittee on Natural Disaster Reduction. It also draws on various earlier professional discussions such as the recurring "Common Alerting Protocol" thread in the Networks in Emergency Management e-mail forum during the 1990s.
Why do we need it?

Warning systems in the United States today are a chaotic patchwork of technologies and procedures. Not only is there no coordination, there's no mechanism for coordination.

Existing nationwide systems are limited in scope both by their technological legacies and by the organizational mandates and priorities of their sponsoring agencies. In particular, none of the existing national systems are entirely suited to the needs of state, local and private emergency-information programs. As a result, dozens of different technical and operational warning systems have sprouted, seemingly at random, throughout the nation.

The Common Alerting Protocol will benefit a) the public, b) public agencies and private concerns (such as industrial plant operators) with warning responsibilities, and c) developers of new sensor, threat-evaluation and warning-dissemination technologies.

Check out the link above for alot more Information.
Bob (Rocdad)

35845. Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:48:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35782 Message: 35845

that is NOT the point, feds are telling states do it OUR way or you don't get the money. same thing they have done with plenty of stuff.....like the 55 mph.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35846. Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:50:14 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35630 Message: 35846

Fremont keeps a AR in every supervisors (Sgt) trunk and Yes they
have used them They dropped a scum bag in the middle of Fremont Blvd
a few years ago during a pretty wild shoot out.
Rocdad(Bob)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> I don't know about the rifle deal, but I use a Larson dual band
mag mount
> antenna also. I have one for ten meters as I had one of those old
converted
> CB rigs for ten meters. Worked Japan and Austrailia on it a few
years back,
> but ten is gone for now and besides, the ten meter repeaters all
require PL
> tones now and that old rig didn't have any PL. Jim
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 10:07 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
recommendations?
>
>
> > >> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html
> > >
> > > have you tried transmitting on this antenna?
> >
> > Yeah, it's decent. But I have a Larsen dual band for my TM-D700.
> >
> > ---
> >
> > Is it just me, or is it weird how often Emeryville PD says they
are
> > "deploying a rifle" ... I guess they have ARs or something, and
a lot of
> > them: I seem to hear a lot of them check in for the day and
record which
> > rifle they have. Given that most of the traffic on 453.45
[173.8] is
> > traffic stops and homeless people on San Pablo getting moved
along, this
> > seems a little bit of overkill. Have they ever actually used
one of

> > these rifles?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

35847. Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:55:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35782 Message: 35847

At 09:48 PM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
>that is NOT the point, feds are telling states do it OUR way or you don't
>get the money.

What is not the point? This thread has had about 50 messages - please quote
a little of what / who you're replying to.

Thanks...

Evan

35848. Re:clear text its coming (State Always take's the carrot)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 05:57:28 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35782 Message: 35848

And Seatbelts, Minimum Sentences, Bridge and highway designs,
Marijuana laws and enforcement, >08 DUI law, etc all of these and a
lot more. Sometimes I agree sometimes I don't but as a State We
always take the carrot and knuckle under.

In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> that is NOT the point, feds are telling states do it OUR way or
you don't
> get the money. same thing they have done with plenty of
> stuff.....like the 55 mph.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35850. 880 @ Hesperian

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 06:37:15 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35850 Message: 35850

1180 = ACCIDENT - MAJOR INJURY

Over turned vehicle

Hayward - 460.600
CHP Aqua 42.62

35851. Code 33 Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 06:53:33 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35851 Message: 35851

Suspect with a gun near Sonoma Blvd. Code33. 2 in custody. units still searching for more suspects in the area.

35852. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 06:55:13 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35851 Message: 35852

Code33 lifted. Suspects in custody. Rifle found in bushes.
----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 10:53 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Code 33 Vallejo

Suspect with a gun near Sonoma Blvd. Code33. 2 in custody. units
still searching for more suspects in the area.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35853. Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:12:49 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35853 Message: 35853

Darn missed the inital part of this. Not sure but multipal units
rolling code3 to unk location for unk reason. lol .. not much help..
Code 33 on San Jose 460.1750

Sounds like a foot pursuit

35854. Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:16:13 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35853 Message: 35854

Code 33 Lifted on teh channel. PD knows who the suspect is. Suspect ran due to out standing no bail warrents. Not that exciting.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Darn missed the inital part of this. Not sure but multipal units
> rolling code3 to unk location for unk reason. lol .. not much help..
> Code 33 on San Jose 460.1750
>
> Sounds like a foot pursuit

35855. Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:19:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35782 Message: 35855

Evan , your the only one who can seem to figure it out.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35856. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:19:59 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35853 Message: 35856

*warrants =)
----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 11:16 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Code 33 Lifted on teh channel. PD knows who the suspect is. Suspect
ran due to out standing no bail warrents. Not that exciting.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Darn missed the inital part of this. Not sure but multipal units
> rolling code3 to unk location for unk reason. lol .. not much
help..
> Code 33 on San Jose 460.1750
>
> Sounds like a foot pursuit

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35857. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:21:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35853 Message: 35857

that (460.175) is SJ 15 ? you sure of that , I never hear anything of 15 , believe its low power

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35858. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:22:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35851 Message: 35858

didn't happen to catch the cross street did ya ??? (i got family in Amer. Canyon off sonoma blvd)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35859. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:25:18 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35853 Message: 35859

its on the bay area freq dir. as San Jose Tac 1 channel 13.. i am sure.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 11:21 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

that (460.175) is SJ 15 ? you sure of that , I never hear anything of 15 ,
believe its low power

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35860. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:25:49 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35851 Message: 35860

near redwood
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 11:22 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Vallejo

didn't happen to catch the cross street did ya ??? (i got family in Amer. Canyon off sonoma blvd)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35861. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:31:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35851 Message: 35861

ok cool , couple miles away , thanks

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35862. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 07:30:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35853 Message: 35862

yeah it is , i am surprized ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,what , are you HOME ?? you can't get TAC 1 from Marin , no way.............sure it isn't Berkeley ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35863. Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 08:05:17 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35853 Message: 35863

Very werid I do get it from marin. I also get santa clara SO and
Sunnyvale fire lol .. and milpitas pd.. very odd.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> yeah it is , i am surprized ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,what , are you
HOME ?? you can't
> get TAC 1 from Marin , no way.............sure it isn't
Berkeley ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35864. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 08:11:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35853 Message: 35864

check that freq ....460.175 , I can't get it from EPA , I get the other SJ channels.....460.175 is also Berkeley , the tone for BPD is 162.2 and the one from San Jose is 186.2

S.Clara SO is a real strong channel , Sunnyvale too , but SJ Tac 1 is not

what streets did you hear on it ???? its also Pittsburg 127.3 is the tone

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35865. Berkeley PD failure to yeild

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 08:13:39 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35865 Message: 35865

aww man.. almost a pursuit i just heard unit on Berkeley 460.1750 say he was attempting to make a stop.. then the car sped away. Failure to yeild .. then he said he was not gonna go after the car .. unit 10-8.. man I would not wanna work there.. that stinks.

35866. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 08:14:53 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35853 Message: 35866

yea i have the tone for Berkeley in there 162.2Hz.... Im positive its san jose.. they streets they are calling out are san jose.. Talking about Hwy 280 etc.. cant be Berkeley..
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 12:11 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

check that freq ....460.175 , I can't get it from EPA , I get the other SJ channels.....460.175 is also Berkeley , the tone for BPD is 162.2 and the one from San Jose is 186.2

S.Clara SO is a real strong channel , Sunnyvale too , but SJ Tac 1 is not

what streets did you hear on it ???? its also Pittsburg 127.3 is the tone

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35867. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 08:18:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35853 Message: 35867

interesting , I will pay more attention to that channel , only heard motors on it a few times @ great america for training.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35868. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 08:26:26 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35853 Message: 35868

I will let you know the next time I hear anything on there.. I made sure the tones are correct.. the only difference I have is the tone for Pittsburg (I was not hearing them at all since they are so far away. I have 156.7Hz for them.. not sure where I got that.. confirming that is not correct? I was on Hwy 80 last week on my way to Folsom and I did hear Pittsburg talking about assisting Bay Point etc. I know Bay point is right next to Pittsburg.

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 12:18 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

interesting , I will pay more attention to that channel , only heard motors on it a few times @ great america for training.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35869. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 08:34:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35853 Message: 35869

156.7 is for 460.375 their channel 1 / I show channel 2 as 460.175 with a P/L of 127.3

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35870. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 08:39:34 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35853 Message: 35870

cool i will change it.. thanks
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 12:34 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

156.7 is for 460.375 their channel 1 / I show channel 2 as 460.175 with a
P/L of 127.3

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35871. Structure Fire Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 09:07:47 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35871 Message: 35871

Patrol On scene advising heavy smoke.

Units E1, T1, Med 7, E6, E2, BC, patrol

482.7125.

35872. Sunnyvale Fire.....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 09:08:29 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35872 Message: 35872

Patrol on scene , MISSED location heavy smoke showing

fire 482.7125
Police 482.9625

sounds like either E1 or E5s area

0108hours
Ed

35873. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Fire.....off chestnut street EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 09:11:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35873 Message: 35873

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35874. Re: Structure Fire Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 09:12:43 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 35871 Message: 35874

E1 going interior.. House is charged with smoke. Possibly a remodel home (no occupants hopefully)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Patrol On scene advising heavy smoke.
>
> Units E1, T1, Med 7, E6, E2, BC, patrol
>
> 482.7125.

35875. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Fire..... location

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 09:13:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35875 Message: 35875

Chestnut off stowell ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,this is close to maude and mathida

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35876. Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 09:14:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35871 Message: 35876

come on YOU work in Sunnyvale 3 engines 1 squad 1 truck and Rescue 2

E1 E5 E2 T2 RS2 Sq6 BC6 patrol

btw , do you know if Rescue 2 has their new rig yet ???? had the old yellow international last time I looked

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35877. Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 09:18:55 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35871 Message: 35877

Whoops i replaced E6 for E5.. my bad lol.

Yes they do.. I ran with them the other night.
Med 7 is out of Station 2... I think those englines look funny.. weird light bars..

By the way interior advising attic fire.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 1:14 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire Sunnyvale

come on YOU work in Sunnyvale 3 engines 1 squad 1 truck and Rescue 2

E1 E5 E2 T2 RS2 Sq6 BC6 patrol

btw , do you know if Rescue 2 has their new rig yet ???? had the old
yellow international last time I looked

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35878. Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 09:28:26 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35871 Message: 35878

correction.. My bad.. R2 is still the old box.. E2 is brand new..
----- Original Message -----
From: Bayard
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 1:18 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire Sunnyvale

Whoops i replaced E6 for E5.. my bad lol.

Yes they do.. I ran with them the other night.
Med 7 is out of Station 2... I think those englines look funny.. weird light bars..

By the way interior advising attic fire.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 1:14 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire Sunnyvale

come on YOU work in Sunnyvale 3 engines 1 squad 1 truck and Rescue 2

E1 E5 E2 T2 RS2 Sq6 BC6 patrol

btw , do you know if Rescue 2 has their new rig yet ???? had the old
yellow international last time I looked

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35879. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 33 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 16:11:33 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 35853 Message: 35879

caladded@... wrote:

> interesting , I will pay more attention to that channel , only heard motors
> on it a few times @ great america for training.

SJPD tac channels are chock full of interesting tidbits if you are close enough to hear. The repeated backup channels 9-11 are often "code 33" (here only meaning "don't use"), so 13-15 get the overflow. Occasionally undercover ops are coordinated on them as well.

Channels with dispatchers show the professional, highly-skilled side of our police force; tac channels tend to reveal the more human, comedic side. I'll leave it at that :-)

-Johnathan

35880. Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 16:16:25 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35782 Message: 35880

At 11:19 PM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
>Evan , your the only one who can seem to figure it out.

Or maybe that should be CAN'T.

Ed: Maybe I'm the only one who's saying anything.

It's just a common courtesy request, just about everyone else (actually I can't think of anyone else here who doesn't). Just a polite request, it would make things easier on me and I'm sure everyone else.

One can only say please in so many ways. When it's a thread with 4 or 5 messages, it's no big deal. But when it's a thread such as this with 300 messages, it's impossible to know when you reply with "You're wrong." as to who you're talking to.

35881. Pro 2052

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 17:09:48 UTC
From: Bill
Topic: 35881 Message: 35881

does anyone use this scanner as i am thinking of getting one for my feed on firefeeds.com but b4 i do i need to know if it scans trunkled systems and comventional freqs at the same time like the pro95,96 does i looked at the manual online breifly but i saw nothing to tell me either way

any help would be great and TY in advance

P.S. sorry if this off topic

Bill Scanlan
Fire Buff 30 Years
Fire Apparatus Photographer 20+ Years
MFDEngine11@...
IPN - NHA004
IPN Hotline Dispatch Team
http://www.incidentpage.net - FREE DISPATCHER ACCOUNT

FDNY 343
Worcester 6
Never Forget

Boston Red Sox 2004 MLB World Champs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35882. bomb squad activity on ALCO Sheriff now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 17:45:25 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 35882 Message: 35882

I haven't had a chance to determine location, but I have heard ALCO Sheriff transmissions about a home-made bomb, building evacuations, and someone taking the bomb squad in to the bomb. Most recent traffic on talk group 16176.

Steve

35883. Re: bomb squad activity on ALCO Sheriff now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 17:58:45 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 35882 Message: 35883

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Steve Wilson" <steve_wilson@y...>
wrote:
>
> I haven't had a chance to determine location, but I have heard ALCO
> Sheriff transmissions about a home-made bomb, building evacuations,
> and someone taking the bomb squad in to the bomb. Most recent
> traffic on talk group 16176.
>
> Steve

Still don't know the city. Intersections heard:

6th and Kepler
6th and Fernandez (close to location)
8th and Hutchins
5th and Evans

Steve

35884. RE: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 18:31:09 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35782 Message: 35884

I completely agree Evan. If the thread is long and on track as far scanning related the least everyone can do when you crop\edit the message is to leave what you're replying to intact in the body of the message. Otherwise, it just creates confusion as to where thread is going and where it has been.

This is a huge bitch I have had with AOL and one of the reasons I stopped using\recommending them back in 93'. I believe by default with AOL's mail program doesn't include the original message in the reply. It's extremely hard to manage email if you don't know what the person was replying to and vice versa especially if you recieve an average of 200 emails a day.

My 2 cents.

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: Evan Platt [mailto:evan@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 8:16 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding bullet

At 11:19 PM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
>Evan , your the only one who can seem to figure it out.

Or maybe that should be CAN'T.

Ed: Maybe I'm the only one who's saying anything.

It's just a common courtesy request, just about everyone else (actually I can't think of anyone else here who doesn't). Just a polite request, it would make things easier on me and I'm sure everyone else.

One can only say please in so many ways. When it's a thread with 4 or 5 messages, it's no big deal. But when it's a thread such as this with 300 messages, it's impossible to know when you reply with "You're wrong." as to
who you're talking to.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35885. SJPD 9

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 18:34:29 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 35885 Message: 35885

1/13, 10:35am

SJPD 9 = 460.100

Anyone know if all the chatter on 9 regarding the "T" (Tom) tracking cars is a drill or live?

Brian in Campbell

35886. Re: [bayscan] SJPD 9

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 18:41:00 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35885 Message: 35886

Hi Brian,

I THINK they referred to it as a drill.

-C-

On 1/13/05 10:34 AM, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@...> wrote:

>
> 1/13, 10:35am
>
> SJPD 9 = 460.100
>
> Anyone know if all the chatter on 9 regarding the "T" (Tom) tracking
> cars is a drill or live?
>
> Brian in Campbell

35887. SJPD 9 (DRILL)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 18:41:58 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 35887 Message: 35887

Looks like it was all a DRILL on SJPD 9, 460.100 MHz.

Brian

35888. Re: [bayscan] Re: bomb squad activity on ALCO Sheriff now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 19:20:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35882 Message: 35888

It would be in Dublin ....near Camp Parks

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35889. MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 19:58:47 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35889 Message: 35889

MVA with rescue being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMAND 3 - 154.115

-- PARTIAL LIST OF ASSETS --

MED 30
RESCUE MEDIC 4
BATTALION 1
TRUCK 3
ENGINE 30

-C-

35890. MVA Details - CHP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 20:02:06 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35890 Message: 35890

11:51AM
-
#4 LANE BLOCKED 1141 97

11:50AM-5 VEHS AT LEAST INVD

11:48AM-HAVE XRAY W/ BLEEDING COMEING FRM LEGS

11:48AM-2 VEHS 1125 BIRD OFR

11:48AM-3 VEHS INVD

11:48AM-PER CALLER ON THE OFR

11:48AM-BLU SD XRAY IN THE BACK SEAT W/ AN INFANT / RS

11:48AM-SIL SD / TC DAMAGE ON THE FRONT OF THE VEH POSS HIT THE CD NOW ON RS

11:48AM-PER ANOTHER CALLER // 2 SMALL VEH S

11:46AM -RT LNE

35891. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 20:02:47 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35889 Message: 35891

IC just requested additional assistance with TRUCK 4 dispatched.

On 1/13/05 11:58 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> MVA with rescue being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMAND 3 - 154.115
>
> -- PARTIAL LIST OF ASSETS --
>
> MED 30
> RESCUE MEDIC 4
> BATTALION 1
> TRUCK 3
> ENGINE 30
>
> -C-

35892. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 20:10:42 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35889 Message: 35892

AMR just moved this incident over to MED 92 - 857.4375

On 1/13/05 12:02 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> IC just requested additional assistance with TRUCK 4 dispatched.
>
> On 1/13/05 11:58 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> MVA with rescue being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMAND 3 - 154.115
>>
>> -- PARTIAL LIST OF ASSETS --
>>
>> MED 30
>> RESCUE MEDIC 4
>> BATTALION 1
>> TRUCK 3
>> ENGINE 30
>>
>> -C-

35893. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 20:15:15 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35889 Message: 35893

Four patients - two children and two adults with three AMR rigs on-scene.
Extrication complete - sounds like this one is winding down with MED 30
canceling the MTIP (?) alert.

TRANSPORTATION (AMR) just confirmed that Valley Medical Center will receive
all four patients.

Final update on this incident unless conditions warrant.

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

On 1/13/05 12:10 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> AMR just moved this incident over to MED 92 - 857.4375
>
> On 1/13/05 12:02 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> IC just requested additional assistance with TRUCK 4 dispatched.
>>
>> On 1/13/05 11:58 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> MVA with rescue being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMAND 3 - 154.115
>>>
>>> -- PARTIAL LIST OF ASSETS --
>>>
>>> MED 30
>>> RESCUE MEDIC 4
>>> BATTALION 1
>>> TRUCK 3
>>> ENGINE 30
>>>
>>> -C-

35894. Test msg - ignore

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 21:31:45 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 35894 Message: 35894

```
1/13 @ 1331
```

35895. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 22:13:51 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 35889 Message: 35895

Craig,

Ah, that's the new freq. for major incidents. It was the old hospital
ringdown freq.

Brian

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:58:47 -0800, Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
> MVA with rescue being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMAND 3 - 154.115
>
> -- PARTIAL LIST OF ASSETS --
>
> MED 30
> RESCUE MEDIC 4
> BATTALION 1
> TRUCK 3
> ENGINE 30
>
> -C-
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

35896. Structure Fire - Mountain View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 22:43:22 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35896 Message: 35896

Mountain View Fire working a 1st Alarm structure fire at 1901 Rock Street.

ENGINE 3 reports a small fire in one of the townhomes.

Incident handled on Mountain View Fire - 154.025

Units Responding

B18
E5
R1
E4
T1

No updates on this incident unless situation warrants.

-C-

35897. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 23:17:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35889 Message: 35897

Craig .....MCI ? med 92 is STILL being used for ringdowns ,
heard several last night

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35898. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 23:35:34 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35889 Message: 35898

Is there a question in there? :^)

On 1/13/05 3:17 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> Craig .....MCI ? med 92 is STILL being used for ringdowns ,
> heard several last night
>
> ED

35899. Looking for generous scanner enthusiasts to help me out with a work party..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 23:39:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35899 Message: 35899

In need of a few you guys for a work party/antenna maintenance afternoon. I'm in Livermore. I need to swap out some connectors and coax lines. Need the man power to bring down one of my antenna stacks.. Any nice individuals please email me offlist.. I'm shooting this for the weekend of 1/22 or 1/23. Not sure what day. Day that gets the most people win. =) A fun afternoon with lunch is included with a bunch of your scanner comrades....

Thanks In advance

Ben
www.mattlogic.com

35900. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 23:44:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35889 Message: 35900

Craig , you mention MTIB alert ? hand not heard of it, did you mean MCI alert ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35901. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 23:55:28 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35889 Message: 35901

Ah! I could swear that San Jose MED 30, working on AMR's MED 92 channel, called it an MTIB Alert...definitely did not call it an MCI. Maybe someone with more AMR knowledge can chime in on this one?

On 1/13/05 3:44 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> Craig , you mention MTIB alert ? hand not heard of it, did you mean MCI
> alert ?
>
> ED

35902. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-13 23:59:16 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35889 Message: 35902

probably Mass trauma Incident, don't know what B is...

Craig Rose wrote:

> Ah! I could swear that San Jose MED 30, working on AMR's MED 92 channel,
> called it an MTIB Alert...definitely did not call it an MCI. Maybe someone
> with more AMR knowledge can chime in on this one?
>
> On 1/13/05 3:44 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:
>
> > Craig , you mention MTIB alert ? hand not heard of it, did you
> mean MCI
> > alert ?
> >
> > ED
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35903. Re: [bayscan] MCIP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:11:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35903 Message: 35903

I found it ,,,,,,(Keltys blue book comes thru)

MCIP = Mass Casuality Incident Plan

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35904. Re: [bayscan] MCIP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:14:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35903 Message: 35904

BINGO!!!!! Thanks for that, Ed! Nice sleuthing.

On 1/13/05 4:11 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> MCIP = Mass Casuality Incident Plan

35905. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:13:54 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 35889 Message: 35905

Craig,

Glad to read you're putting it to good use. I actually got some press lately as the Jan. 2005 issue of "Scanning USA" did a piece on scanning in the Bay Area and for Santa Clara County they mentioned my name and web address. Kinda cool!

Anyway, please check it regularly as I make changes as needed. I recently updated some PL's and San Jose Fire command channels.

Take care,
Brian
List Owner: Santa_Clara_County_Scanning

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 18:44:17 EST, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
> Craig , you mention MTIB alert ? hand not heard of it, did you mean MCI
> alert ?
>
> ED
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

35906. ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:15:45 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 25358 Message: 35906

ALCO and Fremont on some incident looking for a helo , either
EBAY REG Eagle or CHP ....not sure of location , possibly 84 near
palomares

on Tac 14 / 48880

also see a crash on Tesla road out towards Dublin ,different incident

1615 hours
Ed

35907. New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:19:59 UTC
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 3879 Message: 35907

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
group.

File : /Frequency Lists/Santa Clara County Frequencies.html
Uploaded by : brianc_95008 <bdchristensen@...>
Description : Santa Clara County Frequencies (Updated 1/13/05)

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/Frequency%20Lists/Santa%20Clara%20County%20Frequencies.html

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files

Regards,

brianc_95008 <bdchristensen@...>

35908. Re: [bayscan] ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:20:33 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 25358 Message: 35908

At 04:15 PM 1/13/2005, you wrote:

> ALCO and Fremont on some incident looking for a helo , either
>EBAY REG Eagle or CHP ....not sure of location , possibly 84 near
>palomares
>
>on Tac 14 / 48880

From Ben's post to Norcalonlinescanning:

CHP Helo being requested for boat turned over in the canyon..

Alco tac 14

Fremont & Alco

Is this one and the same?

35909. Re: [bayscan] ALCO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:22:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 25358 Message: 35909

well, Ben just mentioned (on his list) it was an overturned boat , but they are cancelling everything just now.

1622

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35910. working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:51:53 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 23345 Message: 35910

Capital & Broad Sts
A-9
Tac A-9 15056

Now 2 alarm
16+:51 hours

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35911. Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:51:55 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 35889 Message: 35911

Multiple trauma in bound?

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/13/05 16:00:49
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] MVA - I-280 & BIRD AVENUE - SAN JOSE

probably Mass trauma Incident, don't know what B is...

Craig Rose wrote:

> Ah! I could swear that San Jose MED 30, working on AMR's MED 92 channel,
> called it an MTIB Alert...definitely did not call it an MCI. Maybe someone
> with more AMR knowledge can chime in on this one?
>
> On 1/13/05 3:44 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:
>
> > Craig , you mention MTIB alert ? hand not heard of it, did you
> mean MCI
> > alert ?
> >
> > ED
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of

> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35912. Re: [bayscan] working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 00:59:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 23345 Message: 35912

First .....George .....I can NOT get your feed on firefeeds.com ?? I am using Bens feed

this should be residential with exposure problems
A6/14960 command
A9/ 15056 TAC

1st) E33 19 15 43 Trucks 19 & 15 Division 3 Battalions 9- 8 Rescue 2 and a med unit (educated guess)

2nd) E 39 26 42 44 Truck 11 Battalion 6 - mobile air 1 med 3(confirmed)

this is very close to station 33 , near the Daly City border

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35913. Re: [bayscan] working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:02:19 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 23345 Message: 35913

yay for bens feed! =)
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 4:59 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] working fire in SF

First ....George ....I can NOT get your feed on
firefeeds.com ?? I am using Bens feed

this should be residential with exposure problems
A6/14960 command
A9/ 15056 TAC

1st) E33 19 15 43 Trucks 19 & 15 Division 3 Battalions 9- 8 Rescue
2 and a med unit (educated guess)

2nd) E 39 26 42 44 Truck 11 Battalion 6 - mobile air 1 med 3(confirmed)

this is very close to station 33 , near the Daly City border

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35914. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:06:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 22424 Message: 35914

update , lot of fire in attic , truck companies 19 and 15 opening up

1st alarm correction E32 went with 19 and ?? two of the following
15 , 33 , 43 (its a hobby with me :-) plus medic unit is 7

on 2nd alarm / E11 was closer and rolled and cancelled E44

I am a bit surprized a Daly City Engine didn't roll on automatic aid.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35915. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:14:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35915 Message: 35915

its nice having 2 to choose from. Bens has the advantage of also having SFPD, BUT its a disadvantage when (like NOW) there is a fire

BUT , since firefeeds SFFD is not working, its a life (well ,not exactly :-))) saver

btw , BEN !!! if you get a LA County FEED , PLEASE see if you can get them to give you ONLY LA County Fire.....firefeeds has one but they also have south bay regional fire , Verdugo fire and some others on the feed and its way too confusing. LA Co Fire will be PLENTY busy by itself !!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35916. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm is under control in SF / no reported injuries yet / EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:21:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35916 Message: 35916

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35917. firefeeds.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:38:23 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35917 Message: 35917

My feed is back up and working, Looks like I smoked a stick of Ram (sh*&) anyone got any PC-133 128mb sticks they want to get rid of cheap
contact me off list

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35918. Re: [bayscan] firefeeds.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:44:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35917 Message: 35918

At 05:38 PM 1/13/2005, you wrote:
>My feed is back up and working, Looks like I smoked a stick of Ram (sh*&)
>anyone got any PC-133 128mb sticks they want to get rid of cheap
>contact me off list

For you or anyone else looking for memory: http://www.pricewatch.com is great for looking up prices. Retailers 'compete' for the lowest price. No bidding or anything. Currently a 128 meg pc133 is $11.

Evan

35919. Re: [bayscan] firefeeds.com // firedispatch.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:49:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35919 Message: 35919

ok cool.....btw , firedispatch.com (NETCOMM - Santa Cruz) has a working fire at 429 Front near Laurel with one smoke inhalation
city of Santa Cruz

1749 hours

Netcomm 154.325
Yellow 154.19
blue 154.415

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35920. Ben's Feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:52:54 UTC
From: John Beatty
Topic: 35920 Message: 35920

OK my curiosity is killing me.
What is Bens' feed?
J.B. AD6WX

35921. Re: [bayscan] Ben's Feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:55:05 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 35920 Message: 35921

Burger King?

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/13/05 17:53:42
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Ben's Feed

OK my curiosity is killing me.
What is Bens' feed?
J.B. AD6WX

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35922. Re: [bayscan] Ben's Feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 01:57:32 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35920 Message: 35922

www.mattlogic.com follow the link to live scanners,
Ben lives in Livermore Ca.
----- Original Message -----
From: John Beatty
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 17:52
Subject: [bayscan] Ben's Feed

OK my curiosity is killing me.
What is Bens' feed?
J.B. AD6WX

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35923. Re: [bayscan] Ben's Feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:01:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35920 Message: 35923

AND when you get Bens feed up.....ya gotta play NAME THAT TUNE LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35924. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:05:18 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35915 Message: 35924

Why is it a disadvantage to have SFPD during a fire when 1 comes out of each stereo speaker? They don't go over each other?

caladded@... wrote:

> its nice having 2 to choose from. Bens has the advantage of also
> having
> SFPD, BUT its a disadvantage when (like NOW) there is a fire
>
> BUT , since firefeeds SFFD is not working, its a life (well ,not
> exactly
> :-))) saver
>
>
> btw , BEN !!! if you get a LA County FEED , PLEASE see if you
> can get
> them to give you ONLY LA County Fire.....firefeeds has one but
> they also
> have south bay regional fire , Verdugo fire and some others on the
> feed and
> its way too confusing. LA Co Fire will be PLENTY busy by itself !!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35925. Re: [bayscan] Ben's Feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:07:59 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 35920 Message: 35925

Thank you
J.B. AD6WX

Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:

www.mattlogic.com follow the link to live scanners,
Ben lives in Livermore Ca.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35926. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:11:50 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 35915 Message: 35926

seems to go over each other on mine.. I hear Fire taking priority over PD.
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 6:05 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Why is it a disadvantage to have SFPD during a fire when 1 comes out of
each stereo speaker? They don't go over each other?

caladded@... wrote:

> its nice having 2 to choose from. Bens has the advantage of also
> having
> SFPD, BUT its a disadvantage when (like NOW) there is a fire
>
> BUT , since firefeeds SFFD is not working, its a life (well ,not
> exactly
> :-))) saver
>
>
> btw , BEN !!! if you get a LA County FEED , PLEASE see if you
> can get
> them to give you ONLY LA County Fire.....firefeeds has one but
> they also
> have south bay regional fire , Verdugo fire and some others on the
> feed and
> its way too confusing. LA Co Fire will be PLENTY busy by itself !!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of

> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35927. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:13:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35915 Message: 35927

because my brain has a problem blocking out the cops talk when fire is more interesting..... but , not the biggest problem in my life :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35928. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:16:51 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35915 Message: 35928

Hehehe ok thats good to know... I wish I had a relative in socal.. I could use that house for a feed... There's gotta be somebody in socal who would stream that for me lol....

caladded@... wrote:

> because my brain has a problem blocking out the cops talk when fire
> is more
> interesting..... but , not the biggest problem in my life :-)
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35929. SFPD question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:17:39 UTC
From: Bryan
Topic: 33641 Message: 35929

I was in SF for a couple of days and noticed the patrol cars had lo-band whips. I thought SFPD was completely TRS now, what gives? BTW, I was about a half block away when the pursuit went flying down Van Ness Tuesday eve. Pretty wild ,my first time scanning SF. Thanks for any help on my question.

35930. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:18:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35915 Message: 35930

I adjusted my speaker to only hear the left , but I still then hear the cops on the left speaker as well.

Ben , would it be too much to ask to reverse the speakers ?? I don't know the set up for others, but on my dell , I can unplug the left speaker , this means I can UNPLUG the cops when needed .....if it works for you and others , since you primarily do fire stuff anyway, would solve the problem . BUT , don't dump the cops entirely , that feed can be real interesting as well .....thanks for all your feeds !!!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35931. OPD Question(s)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:19:20 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35931 Message: 35931

Hey guys, first off I just wanted to say thanks because I think it was this group that I heard about the RS 800 Mhz antenna on. Well I got one, and now all the sudden I can recieve the Oakland TRS almost all the time and Richmond and ALCO in the afternoons and evenings (I assume from skip), from my house at sea level in a VALLEY in Marin (Fairfax).

I was just wondering if any long time Oakland listeners could tell me if any of their TACs are reserved for (or at least commonly used by) specific divisions like NARC, VICE, detectives etc., as opposed to just the normal car-to-car stuff you commonly hear. I've been listening to NARC surveillance of a drug deal (and their subsequent bust) in West Oakland on one of the TACs this evening, which is why I ask. It's been really interesting to hear how coordinated these guys are, and I'm only asking because their TG is pretty much dead now.

I was also wondering what the "patch" TGs as I've heard them referred to are used for (00-001 and 02-011) as well as Patrol 3 thru Patrol 5 (so far I've heard miscellanious Tactical stuff on these). If anyone can help me that'd be great.

Thanks,
-Inigo

35932. Re: [bayscan] SFPD question >> answer + frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:21:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35932 Message: 35932

they still have the lowband radios has backups in case the trunked system crashes.....which it HAS

btw, since you brought it up.....

SFPD LOW BAND
45.10 45.14 45.58 45.46

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35933. SFPD answers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:23:44 UTC
From: Bryan
Topic: 35933 Message: 35933

Thanks, ED!

35934. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:23:54 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35915 Message: 35934

done

caladded@... wrote:

> I adjusted my speaker to only hear the left , but I still then hear
> the cops
> on the left speaker as well.
>
> Ben , would it be too much to ask to reverse the speakers ?? I don't
> know
> the set up for others, but on my dell , I can unplug the left speaker
> , this
> means I can UNPLUG the cops when needed ....if it works for you
> and others ,
> since you primarily do fire stuff anyway, would solve the problem . BUT ,
> don't dump the cops entirely , that feed can be real interesting as well
> ....thanks for all your feeds !!!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35935. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:25:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35915 Message: 35935

BTW , Firefeeds.com SFFD is back up .....I prefer this to Bens cause' i get it better and don't get bugged by the cops.....BUT , Ben does have SFPD soooo best of both worlds here

firefeeds.com is adding Sacramento soon AND Detroit .....wonder if they still have HELL night around Halloween ??? for those not familiar, lot of idiots in that town go crazy and set a ton of fires , including BUILDING fires..... One year I recall they had something like 800 fires in a 72 hours period .....have the scanner for THAT !!!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35936. Re: [bayscan] OPD Question(s)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:27:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35931 Message: 35936

I can answer one of those.....02-011 is a patch that links together two or more other TGs when ONE dispatcher is doing both.

although football is over for now in Oakland , I have heard them use Tac 5 for raider games.....and its busy !!!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35937. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:29:17 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35915 Message: 35937

for those not knowing. i am also streaming Sac TRS... Feed 4..

caladded@... wrote:

> BTW , Firefeeds.com SFFD is back up .....I prefer this to Bens
> cause' i
> get it better and don't get bugged by the cops.....BUT , Ben
> does have
> SFPD soooo best of both worlds here
>
> firefeeds.com is adding Sacramento soon AND Detroit
> .....wonder if
> they still have HELL night around Halloween ??? for those not
> familiar, lot of
> idiots in that town go crazy and set a ton of fires , including BUILDING
> fires..... One year I recall they had something like 800 fires
> in a 72 hours
> period .....have the scanner for THAT !!!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35938. Re: [bayscan] OPD Question(s)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:29:58 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35931 Message: 35938

Would be nice if someone could step up and stream Oakland... :-)

caladded@... wrote:

> I can answer one of those.....02-011 is a patch that links
> together two
> or more other TGs when ONE dispatcher is doing both.
>
> although football is over for now in Oakland , I have heard them use
> Tac 5
> for raider games.....and its busy !!!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35939. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed---------super , thank you SIR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:31:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35939 Message: 35939

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35940. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:15:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35915 Message: 35940

hmm that really odd. It shouldn't.. If there is a fire and you want to nix PD go into windows mixer and slide the little slider bar to the far left or right.. If you do that it will cancel out the offending stereo side...

Bayard wrote:

> seems to go over each other on mine.. I hear Fire taking priority over
> PD.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ben
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, January 13, 2005 6:05 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bens feed
>
>
> Why is it a disadvantage to have SFPD during a fire when 1 comes out of
> each stereo speaker? They don't go over each other?
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>
> > its nice having 2 to choose from. Bens has the advantage of also
> > having
> > SFPD, BUT its a disadvantage when (like NOW) there is a fire
> >
> > BUT , since firefeeds SFFD is not working, its a life (well ,not
> > exactly
> > :-))) saver
> >
> >
> > btw , BEN !!! if you get a LA County FEED , PLEASE see if you
> > can get
> > them to give you ONLY LA County Fire.....firefeeds has one but
> > they also
> > have south bay regional fire , Verdugo fire and some others on the
> > feed and
> > its way too confusing. LA Co Fire will be PLENTY busy by itself !!
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >

> --
> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35941. Re: OPD Question(s)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:37:20 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35931 Message: 35941

Thanks Ed! That makes sense, I heard it was a patched dispatch position on the site I found it on originally, but every time I'd search around to try and match the dispatchers voice on another TG I never could, so I just wanted to double check and make sure it wasn't something completely different.

I've heard that Patrol 1 is the primary dispatch for the area around downtown Oakland, and Patrol 2 is everything east of that (and I'll bet 3 and 4 are secondaries for 1 and 2). Have you found this to be the case?

Again, thanks for the speedy reply,
-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I can answer one of those.....02-011 is a patch that links together two
> or more other TGs when ONE dispatcher is doing both.
>
> although football is over for now in Oakland , I have heard them use Tac 5
> for raider games.....and its busy !!!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35942. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:38:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35915 Message: 35942

Ben, I have done that, sliding to just the left speaker, doesn't completely dump the cops .....reversing the speakers solves the problem, hope it work for others

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35943. Re: [bayscan] sf hazmat problem

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:41:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35943 Message: 35943

Haven't heard where , in Battalion 4s area , Engine 5 and Truck 5 (
station is at Turk & Webster) have a nat. gas and water
leak.....hmmm, this MAY be webster and geary

some people getting sick , surprized they haven't asked for medic units

on A14/ 15216 --- I believe , getting it on a feed.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35944. Re: [bayscan] SFPD question >> answer + frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:44:28 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 35932 Message: 35944

Do the SFPD Patrol Cars still have Low Band mobile in them ???

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35945. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed---------super , thank you SIR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:45:27 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35939 Message: 35945

Hows the volume on SFPD speaker?

caladded@... wrote:

>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129d26c6c/M=298184.5639630.6699735.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1105756324/A=2434971/R=0/SIG=11eeoolb0/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60185400>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35946. Re: OPD Question(s)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:45:27 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35931 Message: 35946

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> Would be nice if someone could step up and stream Oakland... :-)
>

I was just wishing I could. :-) I only have a Pro-93 right now, but I'm getting more and more tempted to go work at a local radio shack for a couple weeks, use the employee discount to buy a PRO-96 and then quit. lol! If that ends up happening I'd be happy to use this one to stream for you.

-Inigo

35947. Re: [bayscan] SFPD question >> answer + frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:47:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35932 Message: 35947

I answered that .... YES

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35948. Re: [bayscan] Re: OPD Question(s)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:48:03 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35931 Message: 35948

that sounds good... This is for everyone but whats the cheapest
trunking scanner (old rs or uniden) that is could be used?

inigo4688 wrote:

>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > Would be nice if someone could step up and stream Oakland... :-)
> >
>
> I was just wishing I could. :-) I only have a Pro-93 right now, but
> I'm getting more and more tempted to go work at a local radio shack
> for a couple weeks, use the employee discount to buy a PRO-96 and
> then quit. lol! If that ends up happening I'd be happy to use this
> one to stream for you.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35949. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed---------super , thank you SIR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:49:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35939 Message: 35949

its ok. not great .....firefeeds volumne for fire is better for sure and clearer , the other thing is i get your feed ok at times , at others I miss some of the converations.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35950. Re: OPD Question(s)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 03:00:45 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35931 Message: 35950

I got the Pro-93 for $90 on eBay a few months ago. Only 300 channels
but it's got alpha tags and trunks and everything, it seemed like a
pretty good deal (although now I wish I went for the 92 for its LTR
trunking capability).

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> that sounds good... This is for everyone but whats the cheapest
> trunking scanner (old rs or uniden) that is could be used?
>
> inigo4688 wrote:
>
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > > Would be nice if someone could step up and stream
Oakland... :-)
> > >
> >
> > I was just wishing I could. :-) I only have a Pro-93 right now,
but
> > I'm getting more and more tempted to go work at a local radio
shack
> > for a couple weeks, use the employee discount to buy a PRO-96 and
> > then quit. lol! If that ends up happening I'd be happy to use this
> > one to stream for you.
> >
> > -Inigo
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of

> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

35951. Re: [bayscan] Ben's Feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:06:54 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35920 Message: 35951

www.mattlogic.com

John Beatty wrote:

> OK my curiosity is killing me.
> What is Bens' feed?
> J.B. AD6WX
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35952. Re: [bayscan] Ben's Feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:07:24 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35920 Message: 35952

Very cute =)

caladded@... wrote:

> AND when you get Bens feed up.....ya gotta play NAME THAT
> TUNE LOL
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35953. Beeping at the end of transmissions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 03:24:18 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35953 Message: 35953

Today I've noticed that CDF SCU transmissions are getting a long beeeeppp at the end.. Never heard it before.. Anybody know whats up?

SCU 151.445

35954. Re: [bayscan] Beeping at the end of transmissions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 03:31:39 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35953 Message: 35954

At 07:24 PM 1/13/2005, you wrote:
>Today I've noticed that CDF SCU transmissions are getting a long
>beeeeppp at the end.. Never heard it before.. Anybody know whats up?
>
>SCU 151.445

That's on tone 4. It's apparently got some issues. We were told not to use it until further notice. I don't recall being told it's back in operation, so it may be some repeater low battery alert or issue.

35955. Re: [bayscan] Bens feed---------super , thank you SIR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 02:50:29 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35939 Message: 35955

i'll turn the pd volume up some... It is probably your bandwidth since
you're on dialup also

caladded@... wrote:

> its ok. not great .....firefeeds volumne for fire is better for sure and
> clearer , the other thing is i get your feed ok at times , at others
> I miss some
> of the converations.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35956. Re: [bayscan] Re: OPD Question(s)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 03:27:56 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35931 Message: 35956

lemme see what i can do.. maybe I can dig one up.. =)

inigo4688 wrote:

>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > Would be nice if someone could step up and stream Oakland... :-)
> >
>
> I was just wishing I could. :-) I only have a Pro-93 right now, but
> I'm getting more and more tempted to go work at a local radio shack
> for a couple weeks, use the employee discount to buy a PRO-96 and
> then quit. lol! If that ends up happening I'd be happy to use this
> one to stream for you.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35957. Re: [bayscan] SFPD question >> answer + frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 04:58:20 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 35932 Message: 35957

Thank You.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35958. Mtn View has 10851 occupied

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:10:44 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35958 Message: 35958

NB Hwy 101 exiting into EPA south on Donahue
Mtn View 482.5125
Palo Alto 482.6125

35959. Re: [bayscan] Mtn View has 10851 occupied

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:16:41 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 35958 Message: 35959

vehicled TC'd at Brentwood Middle School in EPA
MVPD/EPA/Menlo Park setting up perimeter, 2 HMA's wearing all back

35960. RIFLES!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:15:17 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35960 Message: 35960

Now Bob, what does BC796 home and Car antennas have to do with rifles??
I still think the subject line should be changed when the subject mater in
the body of the email changes, especially if it does so as radically as that
represented here.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bob" <rocdad@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 9:50 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?

>
>
> Fremont keeps a AR in every supervisors (Sgt) trunk and Yes they
> have used them They dropped a scum bag in the middle of Fremont Blvd
> a few years ago during a pretty wild shoot out.
> Rocdad(Bob)
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> > I don't know about the rifle deal, but I use a Larson dual band
> mag mount
> > antenna also. I have one for ten meters as I had one of those old
> converted
> > CB rigs for ten meters. Worked Japan and Austrailia on it a few
> years back,
> > but ten is gone for now and besides, the ten meter repeaters all
> require PL
> > tones now and that old rig didn't have any PL. Jim
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...>
> > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 10:07 AM
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
> recommendations?
> >
> >
> > >
> > > >> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html
> > > >
> > > > have you tried transmitting on this antenna?
> > >
> > > Yeah, it's decent. But I have a Larsen dual band for my TM-D700.
> > >
> > > ---

> > >
> > > Is it just me, or is it weird how often Emeryville PD says they
> are
> > > "deploying a rifle" ... I guess they have ARs or something, and
> a lot of
> > > them: I seem to hear a lot of them check in for the day and
> record which
> > > rifle they have. Given that most of the traffic on 453.45
> [173.8] is
> > > traffic stops and homeless people on San Pablo getting moved
> along, this
> > > seems a little bit of overkill. Have they ever actually used
> one of
> > > these rifles?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35961. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:11:23 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35782 Message: 35961

Hey, if Ed wants to provide the pizza and brewsky's for 600 bayscanners, count me in, I'll bring a jazz band, well, we can party after the PD code lessons, throw in some Morse code as well! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 9:39 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text

> At 02:54 PM 1/12/2005, you wrote:
> >I'll say my peace and crawl back in my hole... For those of you guys who
> >insist on using codes.. How bout doing both?
> >For instance
> >
> >"Just heard SFPD units go available" (10-8)
> >
> >Then everyone is happy... You guys don't have to type out the whole damn
> >code but since every department is a little different, I don't think its
> >fair that we'd have to memorize each cities codes.. If that how you guys
> >want it however I'm sure ED would be glad to teach a course on it for
> >600 + bayscanners at his house.. :-)
>
> I 100% agree, and this dead horse has long been calcified.
>
> If you hear something, and you post it, you obviously know the code, so
> take the extra 30 seconds to post what the code means.
>
> If your time is that valuable that you can't take the 30 seconds (or less)
> to type it all out, you shouldn't be posting here to begin with.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

35962. pursuit / crash EPA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:19:04 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 35962 Message: 35962

Mt. View just had a chase where vehicle has crashed near O' Conner
and Pulgas. 2 HMJs teenagers wearing all black footbail

Mt View / 482.5125
East Palo Alto / 488.3875
Palo Alto / 482.6125
Menlo Park also involved , on EPA primary

2218 hours

Ed they may switch to Green/ 488.8875
or Tac 3 / 488.5375

35963. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear text Pursuit update # 1

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:21:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35963 Message: 35963

ok , I will bring the kelty books :-)

OK, on the pursuit ....they are switching to the green/ 488.8875

this was a carjacking with a " carjack" as the weapons

2220 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35964. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear textPursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:27:08 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35963 Message: 35964

At 10:21 PM 1/13/2005, you wrote:
>ok , I will bring the kelty books :-)
>
>OK, on the pursuit ....they are switching to the green/ 488.8875
>
>this was a carjacking with a " carjack" as the weapons
>
>2220 hours
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

35965. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear textPursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:30:33 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35963 Message: 35965

At 10:21 PM 1/13/2005, you wrote:
>ok , I will bring the kelty books :-)
>
>OK, on the pursuit ....they are switching to the green/ 488.8875
>
>this was a carjacking with a " carjack" as the weapons

Whoops..

Fat fast fingers.

Do you mean a tire iron?
http://www.radishworks.com/ModelLib/images/TL02TireIron.jpg

A car jack would be kinda ... awkward to use...

http://www.cesaroni.com/brocher/acdelco/acdelco1.jpg

35966. Re: [bayscan] Re: clear textPursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:37:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35963 Message: 35966

nope , the car jack itself is what they said

now they say 3 latin males late teens / early 20s ......between Mt. View,
East Palo Alto , Palo Alto and Menlo Park , they got lot of officers here, at
least one k9 for sure..........haven't heard them ask for a helo, not sure why .
there in the field behind Ronald McNair school , big area, football field
and area ....good place for a helicopter (besides, I could SEE the light
if the respond.........close to me :-) lots of sirens :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35967. Re: clear textPursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:43:17 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35963 Message: 35967

Maybe one of these... Of course that's like trying to car jack
someone with a brick. Damn...

-Inigo

http://www.dnacounter.com/dnacounter/dnac_site/specialty_s/specia_p/sp
ecitem/hydraulic_a_p/Jasan-hyd-40a.jpg

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> nope , the car jack itself is what they said
>
> now they say 3 latin males late teens / early 20s ......between
Mt. View,
> East Palo Alto , Palo Alto and Menlo Park , they got lot of
officers here, at
> least one k9 for sure.....haven't heard them ask for a helo,
not sure why .
> there in the field behind Ronald McNair school , big area,
football field
> and area .....good place for a helicopter (besides, I could
SEE the light
> if the respond.....close to me :-) lots of sirens :-)
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35968. Re: [bayscan]Pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 06:47:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 6809 Message: 35968

around 140 myrtle , now , gang- related house , nortenos gang

a few officers don't have the green capable radios (for some reason) I believe they were trying to pair them up with officers that do........

all four of these agencies DO have the green.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35969. pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 07:00:31 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 1061 Message: 35969

they are giving up on the search ,,,,,,,surprizes me a bit , not
really a long search ....oh well

2300

Ed

35970. Re: Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 08:27:41 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35915 Message: 35970

Just a thought? maybe logical? use your balance control?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> Hehehe ok thats good to know... I wish I had a relative in
socal.. I
> could use that house for a feed... There's gotta be somebody in
socal
> who would stream that for me lol....
>
> caladded@a... wrote:
>
> > because my brain has a problem blocking out the cops talk when
fire
> > is more
> > interesting.......... but , not the biggest problem in my
life :-)
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > --

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

35971. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bens feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 08:31:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35915 Message: 35971

balance control.....you didn't see all the posts , done that , doesn't completely block all traffic .....results in some traffic from one speaker coming out the other one. As far as SFFD is concerned , its not a problem as long as firefeeds is up. With SFPD , it does create a problem, although nothing I will lose sleep over .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35972. Re: RIFLES! My mistake

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 08:38:11 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35960 Message: 35972

Nothing I must of clicked on wrong message? I guess I will have to
serve detention?
Bob

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> Now Bob, what does BC796 home and Car antennas have to do with
rifles??
> I still think the subject line should be changed when the subject
mater in
> the body of the email changes, especially if it does so as
radically as that
> represented here.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Bob" <rocdad@b...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 9:50 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?
>
>
> >
> >
> > Fremont keeps a AR in every supervisors (Sgt) trunk and Yes they
> > have used them They dropped a scum bag in the middle of Fremont
Blvd
> > a few years ago during a pretty wild shoot out.
> > Rocdad(Bob)
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...>
wrote:
> > > I don't know about the rifle deal, but I use a Larson dual band
> > mag mount
> > > antenna also. I have one for ten meters as I had one of those
old
> > converted
> > > CB rigs for ten meters. Worked Japan and Austrailia on it a
few
> > years back,
> > > but ten is gone for now and besides, the ten meter repeaters
all
> > require PL
> > > tones now and that old rig didn't have any PL. Jim
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...>

> > > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > > Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 10:07 AM
> > > Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
> > recommendations?
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html
> > > > >
> > > > > have you tried transmitting on this antenna?
> > > > >
> > > > > Yeah, it's decent. But I have a Larsen dual band for my TM-
D700.
> > > > >
> > > > > ---
> > > > >
> > > > > Is it just me, or is it weird how often Emeryville PD says
they
> > are
> > > > > "deploying a rifle" ... I guess they have ARs or something,
and
> > a lot of
> > > > > them: I seem to hear a lot of them check in for the day and
> > record which
> > > > > rifle they have. Given that most of the traffic on 453.45
> > [173.8] is
> > > > > traffic stops and homeless people on San Pablo getting moved
> > along, this
> > > > > seems a little bit of overkill. Have they ever actually used
> > one of
> > > > > these rifles?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

35973. Re: Bens feed re:self replied F?';/%&*88@

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 08:43:19 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35915 Message: 35973

Replied too fast.. next message discussed that... I said Damm slow down self and self replied F?';/%&*88@

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> balance control.....you didn't see all the posts , done that , doesn't
> completely block all traffic ....results in some traffic from one speaker
> coming out the other one. As far as SFFD is concerned , its not a problem as
> long as firefeeds is up. With SFPD , it does create a problem, although
> nothing I will lose sleep over .
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35974. Re: [bayscan] Beeping at the end of transmissions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 10:37:18 UTC
From: Ross Mutzelburg
Topic: 35953 Message: 35974

SCU? (Pardon my ignorance!)

Ross

Ben <bkellogg9@...> wrote:

Today I've noticed that CDF SCU transmissions are getting a long
beeeeppp at the end.. Never heard it before.. Anybody know whats up?

SCU 151.445

Yahoo! Groups Links

Regards,

Ross

Find local movie times and trailers on Yahoo! Movies.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35975. Re: [bayscan] Beeping at the end of transmissions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 13:50:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35953 Message: 35975

SCU is Santa Clara ranger Unit of the Calif. Dept of Forestry . their headquarters is in Morgan Hill. they cover Santa Clara , Alameda and Contra Costa counties .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35976. TRADE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 14:51:28 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35976 Message: 35976

I'll trade my BC785D for a BC780 (BC785D without card)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35977. Commercial Structure - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 15:59:54 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35977 Message: 35977

This is a post incident report:

San Jose Fire with a full first structure response to the Mapleleaf Plaza (strip mall at Saratoga Avenue and De Mille) for report of fire in one of the units.

Fire broke out in an acupuncture office.

Incident was handled on COMMAND 3 - 154.115 and TAC 5 - 155.880

ENGINE 14
ENGINE 10
TRUCK 14
LIGHT UNIT 14
BATTALION 10
MED 30
ENGINE 15
TRUCK 4
RESCUE MEDIC 4
BATTALION 1

---

craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

35978. Structure Fire-San Jose (Post Incident)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 16:21:13 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35978 Message: 35978

For those of you keeping count...

San Jose Fire responded to a fire in a single family dwelling on Grass Valley Court in east San Jose.

This was a fire in a back room that caused four smoke inhalation injuries with three transported by a single AMR unit and one patient going AMA per MED 30 on scene.

Incident was contained to the room and handled with initial responding units. This fire was handled on San Jose Command 4 - 153.980.

-C-

35979. Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire-San Jose (Post Incident)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 16:27:14 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35978 Message: 35979

At 08:21 AM 1/14/2005, you wrote:
>with three transported by a single AMR unit

Wow, talk about standing room only...

35980. Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire-San Jose (Post Incident)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 16:30:37 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35978 Message: 35980

No kidding! I guess it was two patients and one "rider" per the AMR unit.
Getting a little crowded in there!

On 1/14/05 8:27 AM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

> At 08:21 AM 1/14/2005, you wrote:
>> with three transported by a single AMR unit
>
> Wow, talk about standing room only...

35981. Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire-San Jose (Post Incident)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 16:37:21 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35978 Message: 35981

At 08:30 AM 1/14/2005, you wrote:
>No kidding! I guess it was two patients and one "rider" per the AMR unit.
>Getting a little crowded in there!

Ahh ok, that's different. Rider gets shotgun. I couldn't see them taking 3 'patients'... No way!

35982. pleasanton incident?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 18:30:58 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 35982 Message: 35982

A friend at work here in Pleasanton said he saw swat out near Hopyard and 580 an hour or so ago. I haven't heard anything on the radio and there are no posts. Anyone hear anything? Just curious.

Thanks, Steve

35983. chase 42.600

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 18:42:25 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 20461 Message: 35983

Chase now on CHP Solano 42.600

oppps slowing down :(was going 105 mph!!

Robert
BayScan

10:42 am

35984. Hayward Fire Transmissions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 19:33:56 UTC
From: collin
Topic: 35984 Message: 35984

I have noticed lately that Hayward Fire(460.600) is seeming to come in better,Here at my house in the Tri-Valley. Did they do anyhting to thier system? or could it just be the weather (low cloud cover over the past few days?) Thanks.

35985. Re: [bayscan] Hayward Fire Transmissions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 19:59:03 UTC
From: Terry Taylor
Topic: 35984 Message: 35985

I do know that they replaced the old Micor repeater on
Walpert Ridge with a new Quantar. That may (or may
not) be the reason. I have not been in to the other 2
trasmitter sites, so I do not know if they have
replaced those (Hayward Fire is a 3 transmitter
simulcast system, with (last I knew) 6 receiver sites
voting)

>
> I have noticed lately that Hayward Fire(460.600) is
> seeming to come
> in better,Here at my house in the Tri-Valley. Did
> they do anyhting to
> thier system? or could it just be the weather (low
> cloud cover over
> the past few days?) Thanks.

35986. RE: Hayward Fire Transmissions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 20:14:00 UTC
From: collin
Topic: 35984 Message: 35986

That could be it. a much needed improvement..Glad to hear them better and more often..

35987. Re: [bayscan] Hayward Fire Transmissions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 19:41:36 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35984 Message: 35987

I think its the weather. I'm in livermore and everything VHF wise has
been better.. Butte and Tehema area has been coming in crystal clear...
maybe all the rain cleaned stuff out a bit..

collin wrote:

>
> I have noticed lately that Hayward Fire(460.600) is seeming to come
> in better,Here at my house in the Tri-Valley. Did they do anyhting to
> thier system? or could it just be the weather (low cloud cover over
> the past few days?) Thanks.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35988. RESCUE RESPONSE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 20:39:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35988 Message: 35988

Rescue response for two vehicle TC involving a ped with at least one coroner's case (10-55) at San Tomas Expressway and Moorpark Avenue.

SAN JOSE PD - 460.275
CHP - 42.500
SAN JOSE FIRE - 154.115

RESCUE MEDIC 4
TRUCK 4
ENGINE 10
TRUCK 14
LIGHT UNIT 14
MED 30
B10
AMR x 2

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

35989. Re: [bayscan] RESCUE RESPONSE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 20:45:26 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 35988 Message: 35989

Cancel RESCUE RESPONSE (TRUCK 4 & RESCUE MEDIC 4 canceled) - patient expired in the vehicle :^(

IC requests one AMR rig CODE 3, second rig CODE 2.

No updates will be provided on this incident unless the situation warrants.

-C-

On 1/14/05 12:39 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> Rescue response for two vehicle TC involving a ped with at least one
> coroner's case (10-55) at San Tomas Expressway and Moorpark Avenue.
>
> SAN JOSE PD - 460.275
> CHP - 42.500
> SAN JOSE FIRE - 154.115
>
> RESCUE MEDIC 4
> TRUCK 4
> ENGINE 10
> TRUCK 14
> LIGHT UNIT 14
> MED 30
> B10
> AMR x 2

35990. Working Fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 20:51:51 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 35990 Message: 35990

745 Alabahma Street @ 19th Street

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35991. Picking up a CC on 866.3250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 22:14:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35991 Message: 35991

The only thing in the area is a mobile relay interconnect for oakland 19 ERM. Can i do anything with this at all?

ben

35992. 2nd alarm fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 23:28:36 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 19053 Message: 35992

3435 Ulloa

Command A-6 (14960)
Tac A-8 (15024)

15:28hrs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35993. Re: 2nd alarm fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 23:30:52 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 19053 Message: 35993

two-2story wooden dwelling with fire in exp. b

35994. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 23:33:43 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 19053 Message: 35994

I'm currently holding Firefeeds.com on the TAC channel
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 15:28
Subject: [bayscan] 2nd alarm fire in SF

3435 Ulloa

Command A-6 (14960)
Tac A-8 (15024)

15:28hrs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35995. Re: Picking up a CC on 866.3250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 23:42:35 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35991 Message: 35995

It's one of the Oakland TRS frequencies... Location #2. I've noticed their EDACS system tends to send the control channel all over the place at different times of the day, LCN4, LCN9, etc. It defaults on LCN1 though obviously.
Ben, I've also noticed that I get way better sound quality on some frequencies within oakland's system then on others, and I don't really know why this is since they should all be at the Broadway site (I don't know why if they're using omnidirectional antennas) but maybe you're experiencing a similar thing.

I did find CC type noise a few days ago in the 860 MHz range that sounded unlike any I've ever heard before, like really high pitched chirping in a continuous rhythm (nothing like Motorola or EDACS), and I've been listening to various examples of signals online and it sounded like TDMA digital trunking, maybe some weird kind of MDT or the digital signal federal agencies use to update DES Encryption keys (although unlikely since it's the wrong band, if I find it again I'll post the freq)... but I suppose those are all possibilities if you can't identify it, but I have a feeling it's Oakland EDACS.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> The only thing in the area is a mobile relay interconnect for oakland 19
> ERM. Can i do anything with this at all?
>
> ben

35996. Re: [bayscan] Re: Picking up a CC on 866.3250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 23:50:39 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35991 Message: 35996

I tried putting it in trunk mode in both Edacs and moto mode and nothing
was trunking.. I'm going to move the yagi around a bit and see what happens

inigo4688 wrote:

>
> It's one of the Oakland TRS frequencies... Location #2. I've noticed
> their EDACS system tends to send the control channel all over the
> place at different times of the day, LCN4, LCN9, etc. It defaults on
> LCN1 though obviously.
> Ben, I've also noticed that I get way better sound quality on some
> frequencies within oakland's system then on others, and I don't
> really know why this is since they should all be at the Broadway site
> (I don't know why if they're using omnidirectional antennas) but
> maybe you're experiencing a similar thing.
>
> I did find CC type noise a few days ago in the 860 MHz range that
> sounded unlike any I've ever heard before, like really high pitched
> chirping in a continuous rhythm (nothing like Motorola or EDACS), and
> I've been listening to various examples of signals online and it
> sounded like TDMA digital trunking, maybe some weird kind of MDT or
> the digital signal federal agencies use to update DES Encryption keys
> (although unlikely since it's the wrong band, if I find it again I'll
> post the freq)... but I suppose those are all possibilities if you
> can't identify it, but I have a feeling it's Oakland EDACS.
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > The only thing in the area is a mobile relay interconnect for
> oakland 19
> > ERM. Can i do anything with this at all?
> >
> > ben
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

35997. CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 23:51:37 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35997 Message: 35997

Hi guys,

I know the usage patterns of CHP Repeaters have been discussed a lot in the past, and are somewhat of a conundrum (not to mention 453.825!), but I figured I'd ask anyway.

Does anyone know why the CHP "Violet" frequency - 42.16 is no longer repeated? I get the dispatch still but mobile units have always been repeated as long as I've been listening and since I got back from a trip a few weeks ago they haven't been. Highway 101 is more than 5 miles away so I haven't been able to get anything on the mobile or the extender frequency. Is this a seasonal thing, or should I just accept the fact that I'll never hear mobiles again and reluctantly move on with my life? :-P

Thanks guys,

-Inigo

35998. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 23:52:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 19053 Message: 35998

Darn Good idea George , wish others would do the same. are you running one speaker on scan and the other on Tac ??

lets see.................

1)E18 - 40- 19 - 39 T18 T19 AC3 BC8-9 RS2 Med18
2) E23 - 22- 15- 20 T15 BC7 Breathing Sprt 1 Arson 1

(not taken into account , brown outs ;-( ))

Under control at 1551 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

35999. Re: [bayscan] CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-14 23:56:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35997 Message: 35999

they have done the same with other freqs in GG Division.....the Amber (Redwood City) is on/off with the repeater too. cross your fingers, it may come back. btw , late at night I hear the same dispatcher doing both Marin and SF on 42.44 (pink) or Marin and Redwood City on the Amber (42.080) they are usually on repeater lately. I notice the Ruby is not repeated lately (42.50 San Jose) but the Bronze(42.12 - Oakland) and the Aqua (42.62 - Castro Valley/Hayward/Livermore/Pleasanton) almost always are on repeater.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36000. Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 00:19:55 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 35997 Message: 36000

Don't forget about 42.920 (Contra Costa). They are always repeated
as well.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> they have done the same with other freqs in GG Division.....the
Amber
> (Redwood City) is on/off with the repeater too. cross your
fingers, it may come
> back. btw , late at night I hear the same dispatcher doing both
Marin and SF
> on 42.44 (pink) or Marin and Redwood City on the Amber (
42.080) they are
> usually on repeater lately. I notice the Ruby is not repeated
lately (42.50
> San Jose) but the Bronze(42.12 - Oakland) and the Aqua (42.62 -
Castro
> Valley/Hayward/Livermore/Pleasanton) almost always are on
repeater.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36001. Re: Picking up a CC on 866.3250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 00:24:50 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35991 Message: 36001

Keep us posted. I figured I'd be a little more helpful and actually post the site I was talking about (although I had to find it on google again). It is: http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/

I've found it really useful in identifying those horrible noises that always startle me when I get daring and scan entire bands. :) It's also useful because each control channel sounds a little different, so you can audibly identify the type of system it is even if the signal's too weak for the scanner to decode.

EDACS 9600 baud Control Channel:
http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/edacs96.wav

Motorola Type I and II:
http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/motorola2.wav

Motorola APCO-25 Digital "ASTRO" stuff:
http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/motorola_net.wav
http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/astro96k.wav

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> I tried putting it in trunk mode in both Edacs and moto mode and nothing
> was trunking.. I'm going to move the yagi around a bit and see what happens
>
> inigo4688 wrote:
>
> >
> > It's one of the Oakland TRS frequencies... Location #2. I've noticed
> > their EDACS system tends to send the control channel all over the
> > place at different times of the day, LCN4, LCN9, etc. It defaults on
> > LCN1 though obviously.
> > Ben, I've also noticed that I get way better sound quality on some
> > frequencies within oakland's system then on others, and I don't
> > really know why this is since they should all be at the Broadway site
> > (I don't know why if they're using omnidirectional antennas) but
> > maybe you're experiencing a similar thing.
> >
> > I did find CC type noise a few days ago in the 860 MHz range that
> > sounded unlike any I've ever heard before, like really high

pitched
> > chirping in a continuous rhythm (nothing like Motorola or EDACS),
and
> > I've been listening to various examples of signals online and it
> > sounded like TDMA digital trunking, maybe some weird kind of MDT
or
> > the digital signal federal agencies use to update DES Encryption
keys
> > (although unlikely since it's the wrong band, if I find it again
I'll
> > post the freq)... but I suppose those are all possibilities if you
> > can't identify it, but I have a feeling it's Oakland EDACS.
> >
> > -Inigo
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > > The only thing in the area is a mobile relay interconnect for
> > oakland 19
> > > ERM. Can i do anything with this at all?
> > >
> > > ben
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

36002. Re: [bayscan] Re: Picking up a CC on 866.3250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 00:10:28 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35991 Message: 36002

I'm also picking up buzzing on 867.5750 which is an oakland channel but
it doesn't sound like a CC.Its a tighter deeper sounding buzzing...

inigo4688 wrote:

>
> It's one of the Oakland TRS frequencies... Location #2. I've noticed
> their EDACS system tends to send the control channel all over the
> place at different times of the day, LCN4, LCN9, etc. It defaults on
> LCN1 though obviously.
> Ben, I've also noticed that I get way better sound quality on some
> frequencies within oakland's system then on others, and I don't
> really know why this is since they should all be at the Broadway site
> (I don't know why if they're using omnidirectional antennas) but
> maybe you're experiencing a similar thing.
>
> I did find CC type noise a few days ago in the 860 MHz range that
> sounded unlike any I've ever heard before, like really high pitched
> chirping in a continuous rhythm (nothing like Motorola or EDACS), and
> I've been listening to various examples of signals online and it
> sounded like TDMA digital trunking, maybe some weird kind of MDT or
> the digital signal federal agencies use to update DES Encryption keys
> (although unlikely since it's the wrong band, if I find it again I'll
> post the freq)... but I suppose those are all possibilities if you
> can't identify it, but I have a feeling it's Oakland EDACS.
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > The only thing in the area is a mobile relay interconnect for
> oakland 19
> > ERM. Can i do anything with this at all?
> >
> > ben
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36003. Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 00:34:03 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35997 Message: 36003

Thanks for the quick reply guys,

I also immediately thought of Maroon (Contra Costa), even though I lock them out some of the time because I get these weird high pitch sounds at the beginning and end of their transmissions (even when the attenuation is on). I'll take your advice and keep my fingers crossed though.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kma371" <kma371@y...> wrote:
>
> Don't forget about 42.920 (Contra Costa). They are always repeated
> as well.
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > they have done the same with other freqs in GG Division.....the
> Amber
> > (Redwood City) is on/off with the repeater too. cross your
> fingers, it may come
> > back. btw , late at night I hear the same dispatcher doing both
> Marin and SF
> > on 42.44 (pink) or Marin and Redwood City on the Amber (
> 42.080) they are
> > usually on repeater lately. I notice the Ruby is not repeated
> lately (42.50
> > San Jose) but the Bronze(42.12 - Oakland) and the Aqua (42.62 -
> Castro
> > Valley/Hayward/Livermore/Pleasanton) almost always are on
> repeater.
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36004. Re: [bayscan] Re: Picking up a CC on 866.3250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 00:38:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35991 Message: 36004

I'm definitely picking up a moto II CC on 868.3125 & on 868. loud and clear.

867.5750 kinda sounds like the astro sound but its a deeper sounding brrrrrrrrrr..

868.9625 sounds like a moto II also

tried to trunk scan all of them either moto or edacs with no luck...

Any ideas?

inigo4688 wrote:

>
> Keep us posted. I figured I'd be a little more helpful and actually
> post the site I was talking about (although I had to find it on
> google again). It is: http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>
> I've found it really useful in identifying those horrible noises that
> always startle me when I get daring and scan entire bands. :) It's
> also useful because each control channel sounds a little different,
> so you can audibly identify the type of system it is even if the
> signal's too weak for the scanner to decode.
>
> EDACS 9600 baud Control Channel:
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/edacs96.wav
>
> Motorola Type I and II:
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/motorola2.wav
>
> Motorola APCO-25 Digital "ASTRO" stuff:
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/motorola_net.wav
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/astro96k.wav
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > I tried putting it in trunk mode in both Edacs and moto mode and
> nothing
> > was trunking.. I'm going to move the yagi around a bit and see what
> happens
> >
> > inigo4688 wrote:
> >
> > >

> > > It's one of the Oakland TRS frequencies... Location #2. I've
> noticed
> > > their EDACS system tends to send the control channel all over the
> > > place at different times of the day, LCN4, LCN9, etc. It defaults
> on
> > > LCN1 though obviously.
> > > Ben, I've also noticed that I get way better sound quality on some
> > > frequencies within oakland's system then on others, and I don't
> > > really know why this is since they should all be at the Broadway
> site
> > > (I don't know why if they're using omnidirectional antennas) but
> > > maybe you're experiencing a similar thing.
> > >
> > > I did find CC type noise a few days ago in the 860 MHz range that
> > > sounded unlike any I've ever heard before, like really high
> pitched
> > > chirping in a continuous rhythm (nothing like Motorola or EDACS),
> and
> > > I've been listening to various examples of signals online and it
> > > sounded like TDMA digital trunking, maybe some weird kind of MDT
> or
> > > the digital signal federal agencies use to update DES Encryption
> keys
> > > (although unlikely since it's the wrong band, if I find it again
> I'll
> > > post the freq)... but I suppose those are all possibilities if you
> > > can't identify it, but I have a feeling it's Oakland EDACS.
> > >
> > > -Inigo
> > >
> > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > > > The only thing in the area is a mobile relay interconnect for
> > > oakland 19
> > > > ERM. Can i do anything with this at all?
> > > >
> > > > ben
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> ------
> > > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> > >
> > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?

> subject=Unsubscribe>
> > >
> > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36005. RE: [bayscan] Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 01:26:48 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35997 Message: 36005

Hey Inigo, listen a little closer to 42.64 and 154.905 and you should no doubt hear more CHP units\cars here in Marin.

-Roger 17:26
-----Original Message-----
From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@...]
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 4:34 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Thanks for the quick reply guys,

I also immediately thought of Maroon (Contra Costa), even though I lock them out some of the time because I get these weird high pitch sounds at the beginning and end of their transmissions (even when the attenuation is on). I'll take your advice and keep my fingers crossed though.

-Inigo

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36006. Jumper off I-280 bridge in Hillsborough area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 00:44:47 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 36006 Message: 36006

At approximately 4:30PM, a CHP Officer on I-280 witnessed a vehicle on Southbound I_280 stop partway across the Durand Bridge (going over Crystal Springs Dam) & the driver get out of the car & hop off the bridge. This is in an unicorportated area og Hillsbough I think.

It's a couple hundred feet drop down onto a road below, some buildings, & a wooded area, so it was a suicide.

This is at least the second "10-56" suicide in the past month.

Obviously CHP is on-scene (42.08), as is San Mateo County SO (now on the Green -- 488.8875), plus fire dept & EMS. The Water Dept is also on-scene, since much of the wooded area & some of the buildings beneath the bridge are theirs, and fenced/gated).

CHP & SO units on-scene now are still trying to find the person/body, and SO investigator's & Coroner were being notified.

Tim
4:43PM

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

36007. Re: Jumper off I-280 bridge in Hillsborough area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 01:33:43 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36006 Message: 36007

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Tim <sfbayhooligan@y...> wrote:
>
> At approximately 4:30PM, a CHP Officer on I-280
> witnessed a vehicle on Southbound I_280 stop partway
> across the Durand Bridge (going over Crystal Springs
> Dam) & the driver get out of the car & hop off the bridge
>
> CHP & SO units on-scene now are still trying to
> find the person/body, and SO investigator's & Coroner
> were being notified.
>
>
> Tim
> 4:43PM
>
> CHP Webpage shows Coroner enroute at 1701 hours
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.
> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

36008. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 02:26:47 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 19053 Message: 36008

no the whole feed was just the tac channel, until it was under control then I went back to just scanning
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 15:52
Subject: Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm fire in SF

Darn Good idea George , wish others would do the same. are you running one speaker on scan and the other on Tac ??

lets see.................

1)E18 - 40- 19 - 39 T18 T19 AC3 BC8-9 RS2 Med18
2) E23 - 22- 15- 20 T15 BC7 Breathing Sprt 1 Arson 1

(not taken into account , brown outs ;-( ))

Under control at 1551 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36009. Re: [bayscan] Re: Picking up a CC on 866.3250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 02:32:19 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35991 Message: 36009

I'm definitely picking up a moto II CC on 868.3125 & on 868. loud and
clear.

867.5750 kinda sounds like the astro sound but its a deeper sounding (Oakland trunk channel
7)
brrrrrrrrrr..

868.9625 sounds like a moto II also (Current control channel for SF)

tried to trunk scan all of them either moto or edacs with no luck...

Any ideas?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36010. Re: [bayscan] Re: RIFLES! My mistake

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 02:31:18 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35960 Message: 36010

You are forgiven my son!
----- Original Message -----
From: "Bob" <rocdad@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 12:38 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: RIFLES! My mistake

>
>
> Nothing I must of clicked on wrong message? I guess I will have to
> serve detention?
> Bob
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> > Now Bob, what does BC796 home and Car antennas have to do with
> rifles??
> > I still think the subject line should be changed when the subject
> mater in
> > the body of the email changes, especially if it does so as
> radically as that
> > represented here.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Bob" <rocdad@b...>
> > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 9:50 PM
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna recommendations?
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Fremont keeps a AR in every supervisors (Sgt) trunk and Yes they
> > > > have used them They dropped a scum bag in the middle of Fremont
> Blvd
> > > > a few years ago during a pretty wild shoot out.
> > > > Rocdad(Bob)
> > > >
> > > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...>
> wrote:
> > > > > I don't know about the rifle deal, but I use a Larson dual band
> > > > mag mount
> > > > > antenna also. I have one for ten meters as I had one of those
> old
> > > > converted
> > > > > CB rigs for ten meters. Worked Japan and Austrailia on it a

> few
> > > years back,
> > > > but ten is gone for now and besides, the ten meter repeaters
> all
> > > require PL
> > > > tones now and that old rig didn't have any PL. Jim
> > > >
> > > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > > From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...>
> > > > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > > > Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2005 10:07 AM
> > > > Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: BC796D Home and Car Antenna
> > > recommendations?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT12.html
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > have you tried transmitting on this antenna?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yeah, it's decent. But I have a Larsen dual band for my TM-
> D700.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ---
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Is it just me, or is it weird how often Emeryville PD says
> they
> > > are
> > > > > > "deploying a rifle" ... I guess they have ARs or something,
> and
> > > a lot of
> > > > > > them: I seem to hear a lot of them check in for the day and
> > > record which
> > > > > > rifle they have. Given that most of the traffic on 453.45
> > > [173.8] is
> > > > > > traffic stops and homeless people on San Pablo getting moved
> > > along, this
> > > > > > seems a little bit of overkill. Have they ever actually used
> > > one of
> > > > > > these rifles?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36011. Re: [bayscan] CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 02:36:55 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 35997 Message: 36011

seems like Bronze is on repeat mode more often than not.
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 14, 2005 3:56 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

>
> they have done the same with other freqs in GG Division.....the Amber
> (Redwood City) is on/off with the repeater too. cross your fingers, it
may come
> back. btw , late at night I hear the same dispatcher doing both Marin and
SF
> on 42.44 (pink) or Marin and Redwood City on the Amber (42.080) they
are
> usually on repeater lately. I notice the Ruby is not repeated lately (
42.50
> San Jose) but the Bronze(42.12 - Oakland) and the Aqua (42.62 - Castro
> Valley/Hayward/Livermore/Pleasanton) almost always are on repeater.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36012. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 02:46:57 UTC
From: Paul E Olson
Topic: 35997 Message: 36012

I could be having a senior moment, but I seem to recall about the time the CHP started "repeating" the mobile units, was that it isn't really repeated. But that the dispatcher has the option of "patching" the mobile transmissions back onto the base frequency. I don't recall anyone ever explaining why they would do this vs. not.

I've never heard this in the Sacramento area, but again I seem to remember someone saying that Golden Gate got new dispatch consoles about the time this started.

Can anyone more in the know clarify/refute this?

paul

---- bayscan@yahoogroups.com wrote:
>
>
>
> Thanks for the quick reply guys,
>
> I also immediately thought of Maroon (Contra Costa), even though I
> lock them out some of the time because I get these weird high pitch
> sounds at the beginning and end of their transmissions (even when the
> attenuation is on). I'll take your advice and keep my fingers crossed
> though.
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@...m, "kma371" <kma371@y...> wrote:
> >
> > Don't forget about 42.920 (Contra Costa). They are always repeated
> > as well.
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > > they have done the same with other freqs in GG Division.....the
> > Amber
> > > (Redwood City) is on/off with the repeater too. cross your
> > fingers, it may come
> > > back. btw , late at night I hear the same dispatcher doing both
> > Marin and SF
> > > on 42.44 (pink) or Marin and Redwood City on the Amber (
> > 42.080) they are
> > > usually on repeater lately. I notice the Ruby is not repeated
> > lately (42.50
> > > San Jose) but the Bronze(42.12 - Oakland) and the Aqua (42.62 -
> > Castro
> > > Valley/Hayward/Livermore/Pleasanton) almost always are on

> > repeater.
> > >
> > > ED
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36013. Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 03:38:24 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35997 Message: 36013

Hi Paul,

I suspect that is the case, but because the dispatcher's transmissions are are coming from a high up antenna somewhere rather than a lower power mobile one, I'd assume the patched mobile transmissions will still be going over that powerful antenna which will act just like a repeater. I think we're all just using the word "repeated" to simplify things.

If I'm wrong though please set me straight. I'm still pretty new to the technical aspect of kind of stuff, and always interested in learning more. :)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Paul E Olson <peo@p...> wrote:
> I could be having a senior moment, but I seem to recall about the time the CHP started "repeating" the mobile units, was that it isn't really repeated. But that the dispatcher has the option of "patching" the mobile transmissions back onto the base frequency. I don't recall anyone ever explaining why they would do this vs. not.
>
> I've never heard this in the Sacramento area, but again I seem to remember someone saying that Golden Gate got new dispatch consoles about the time this started.
>
> Can anyone more in the know clarify/refute this?
>
> paul
>
> ---- bayscan@yahoogroups.com wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > Thanks for the quick reply guys,
> >
> > I also immediately thought of Maroon (Contra Costa), even though I
> > lock them out some of the time because I get these weird high pitch
> > sounds at the beginning and end of their transmissions (even when the
> > attenuation is on). I'll take your advice and keep my fingers crossed
> > though.
> >

> > -Inigo
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kma371" <kma371@y...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Don't forget about 42.920 (Contra Costa). They are always
repeated
> > > as well.
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > > > they have done the same with other freqs in GG
Division.....the
> > > Amber
> > > > (Redwood City) is on/off with the repeater too. cross your
> > > fingers, it may come
> > > > back. btw , late at night I hear the same dispatcher doing
both
> > > Marin and SF
> > > > on 42.44 (pink) or Marin and Redwood City on the Amber (
> > > 42.080) they are
> > > > usually on repeater lately. I notice the Ruby is not
repeated
> > > lately (42.50
> > > > San Jose) but the Bronze(42.12 - Oakland) and the Aqua (
42.62 -
> > > Castro
> > > > Valley/Hayward/Livermore/Pleasanton) almost always are on
> > > repeater.
> > > >
> > > > ED
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

36014. RE: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 04:01:02 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36014 Message: 36014

At 10:31 AM 1/13/2005, you wrote:
>I completely agree Evan. If the thread is long and on track as far scanning
>related the least everyone can do when you crop\edit the message is to leave
>what you're replying to intact in the body of the message. Otherwise, it
>just creates confusion as to where thread is going and where it has been.

It's not really a matter of how big the thread is, although on a larger / longer thread, it's worse.

There IS a way to turn quoting on by default on AOL, I just don't know how. And unfortunately, when you politely ask people to do so, the attitude is usually "&*#@ you" if they don't know how.

I've had a number of people who e-mail me off list and change the subject and don't quote a thing, but then cop an attitude when I have no clue what they're talking about. One was subject "Interesting thought...." and the body only contained "But it won't work. Get back to reality" or some such. I politely replied asking them what they were referring to - and their answer was "Geez, you REALLY are clueless, moron." They quickly found a new spot in my killfile. :)

I'm on dozens of lists, and get in some groups hundreds of e-mails a day. I may not see every message in every thread, often I'll just read random messages in the threads, and to see one that's a reply but mentions nothing of what they're replying to is a waste.

>This is a huge bitch I have had with AOL and one of the reasons I stopped
>using\recommending them back in 93'. I believe by default with AOL's mail
>program doesn't include the original message in the reply. It's extremely
>hard to manage email if you don't know what the person was replying to and
>vice versa especially if you recieve an average of 200 emails a day.

There's gotta be a way to turn it on by default. I do know a senior SysAdmin there, but as I don't think Ed is willing to implement it if I found out how, I'm not going to bother him.

I do have a funny story when I worked at an ISP - one of our sales reps had Herpes, but didn't 'advertise' it. A few of us who were good friends with him knew. One of our sales meetings, we were discussing pricing, and how we were about twice as expensive as AOL - and how to best 'market' ourselves to someone who says "Well, why pay $20 a month with you when I can pay $10 to AOL?" and one of the sales reps (who didn't know the other rep had herpes) gave a great reply: "I will NEVER put AOL on any computer I ever own. AOL is horrible. It's a virus. It's worse than herpes." Almost everyone but him burst into laughter.

Evan

36015. OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 04:06:31 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36015 Message: 36015

Hey guys, I put this OT because this is a public safety scanning forum, but if anyone listens to airband, I'll be flying an airplane out of Novato's Gnoss Field airport tomorrow afternoon. I'll be getting a lesson in a Cessna T303 (thanks to a very generous family friend):

http://www.airliners.net/open.file/565301/L/

I'll be talking to ATC with the callsign: N707RL -or- Cessna 707RL.

Again I know this is off topic, I just thought it might be cool if someone in Marin happened to hear me tomorrow. :)

-Inigo

36016. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 04:28:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35997 Message: 36016

Don't know if thats is right , heard officer safety was an issue , for instance the officers from one area wanted to hear others like the coast units on amber wanted to be able to hear units near 101 , don't know if thats right. Knew about " repeater" wasn't "technically " correct but it is the same effect.

I never have heard the green , 42.54 for Hollister/Gilroy office doing it nor Sacramento when I am up there either.

I wasn't a "big" fan of CHP radio until GG Division started doing it .....makes it a whole lot better agency to listen to.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36017. Re: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 04:30:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36014 Message: 36017

don't be sure Evan ,,,,,,,,,,,let me know , and maybe I will

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36018. Re: [bayscan] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 04:34:26 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36015 Message: 36018

Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range in time.
J.B. AD6WX

inigo4688 <inigo.markle@...> wrote:

Hey guys, I put this OT because this is a public safety scanning
forum, but if anyone listens to airband, I'll be flying an airplane
out of Novato's Gnoss Field airport tomorrow afternoon. I'll be
getting a lesson in a Cessna T303 (thanks to a very generous family
friend):

http://www.airliners.net/open.file/565301/L/

I'll be talking to ATC with the callsign: N707RL -or- Cessna 707RL.

Again I know this is off topic, I just thought it might be cool if
someone in Marin happened to hear me tomorrow. :)

-Inigo

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36019. Re: [bayscan] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 04:38:15 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36015 Message: 36019

Hear it, not here it. Jeez.
J.B. AD6WX

john Beatty <jbxx@...> wrote:

Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range in time.
J.B. AD6WX

inigo4688 wrote:

Hey guys, I put this OT because this is a public safety scanning
forum, but if anyone listens to airband, I'll be flying an airplane
out of Novato's Gnoss Field airport tomorrow afternoon. I'll be
getting a lesson in a Cessna T303 (thanks to a very generous family
friend):

http://www.airliners.net/open.file/565301/L/

I'll be talking to ATC with the callsign: N707RL -or- Cessna 707RL.

Again I know this is off topic, I just thought it might be cool if
someone in Marin happened to hear me tomorrow. :)

-Inigo

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36020. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 05:13:28 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 35997 Message: 36020

inigo4688 wrote:

>Hi Paul,
>
>I suspect that is the case, but because the dispatcher's
>transmissions are are coming from a high up antenna somewhere rather
>than a lower power mobile one, I'd assume the patched mobile
>transmissions will still be going over that powerful antenna which
>will act just like a repeater. I think we're all just using the
>word "repeated" to simplify things.
>
>

From what I have been told by friends at CHP, there is no set policy of weather to leave the repeated audio on or off. It is dispatcher's preference. The reason I say preference is that when repeating audio the dispatcher has to wait about 1 second after the unit stops talking to transmit or they start transmitting over the unit's squech tail. Some people apparently don't like having wait.

Some dispatchers like the repeated audio so that all units can hear someone talking and not step on eachother.

At least that is what I have been told ....

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

36021. Re: OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 05:15:08 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36015 Message: 36021

Haha I figured the reply would be "Who Cares?" but I'm excited so
what the hell. 123.075 & 127.8 AM.

-Inigo
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> Hear it, not here it. Jeez.
> J.B. AD6WX
>
> john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
>
> Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range
in time.
> J.B. AD6WX
>
> inigo4688 wrote:
>
>
> Hey guys, I put this OT because this is a public safety scanning
> forum, but if anyone listens to airband, I'll be flying an airplane
> out of Novato's Gnoss Field airport tomorrow afternoon. I'll be
> getting a lesson in a Cessna T303 (thanks to a very generous family
> friend):
>
> http://www.airliners.net/open.file/565301/L/
>
> I'll be talking to ATC with the callsign: N707RL -or- Cessna 707RL.
>
> Again I know this is off topic, I just thought it might be cool if
> someone in Marin happened to hear me tomorrow. :)
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36022. Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 05:17:28 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 35997 Message: 36022

Interesting, you'd think the wait would be worth it given units could hear eachother and keep a better mental idea of what's going on. Ultimately I think that would amount to less talking on dispatch's part.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike Derryberry <miked911@p...> wrote:
> inigo4688 wrote:
>
> >Hi Paul,
> >
> >I suspect that is the case, but because the dispatcher's
> >transmissions are are coming from a high up antenna somewhere rather
> >than a lower power mobile one, I'd assume the patched mobile
> >transmissions will still be going over that powerful antenna which
> >will act just like a repeater. I think we're all just using the
> >word "repeated" to simplify things.
> >
> >
>
> From what I have been told by friends at CHP, there is no set policy
> of weather to leave the repeated audio on or off. It is dispatcher's
> preference. The reason I say preference is that when repeating audio the
> dispatcher has to wait about 1 second after the unit stops talking to
> transmit or they start transmitting over the unit's squech tail. Some
> people apparently don't like having wait.
>
> Some dispatchers like the repeated audio so that all units can hear
> someone talking and not step on eachother.
>
> At least that is what I have been told ....
>
> Mike
>
> --
>
> miked911@p...
> Mike Derryberry

>
> Dispatcher II
> Kern County Sheriff's Department
> Bakersfield, CA
>
> Listen to my department:
> http://war.str3am.com:7300/
>
> Listen to Kern County Scanning:
> http://war.str3am.com:7460/

36023. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 05:50:09 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 36015 Message: 36023

Just remember ... be brief and to the point :) Even I get
nervous when newbie pilots take 30 seconds of time on the
tower freq to say 5 seconds worth of information.

On Sat, Jan 15, 2005 at 05:15:08AM -0000, inigo4688 wrote:
>
>
> Haha I figured the reply would be "Who Cares?" but I'm excited so
> what the hell. 123.075 & 127.8 AM.
>
> -Inigo
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> > Hear it, not here it. Jeez.
> > J.B. AD6WX
> >
> > john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> >
> > Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range
> in time.
> > J.B. AD6WX
> >
> > inigo4688 wrote:
> >
> >
> > Hey guys, I put this OT because this is a public safety scanning
> > forum, but if anyone listens to airband, I'll be flying an airplane
> > out of Novato's Gnoss Field airport tomorrow afternoon. I'll be
> > getting a lesson in a Cessna T303 (thanks to a very generous family
> > friend):
> >
> > http://www.airliners.net/open.file/565301/L/
> >
> > I'll be talking to ATC with the callsign: N707RL -or- Cessna 707RL.
> >
> > Again I know this is off topic, I just thought it might be cool if
> > someone in Marin happened to hear me tomorrow. :)
> >
> > -Inigo
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36024. Re: [bayscan] Re: Picking up a CC on 866.3250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 06:41:05 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 35991 Message: 36024

the weird thing about the oakland channel is that it doesn't sound like
a normal CC..

Scanner 4 wrote:

> I'm definitely picking up a moto II CC on 868.3125 & on 868. loud and
> clear.
>
> 867.5750 kinda sounds like the astro sound but its a deeper sounding
> (Oakland trunk channel # 7)
> brrrrrrrrrr..
>
> 868.9625 sounds like a moto II also (Current control channel for SF)
>
> tried to trunk scan all of them either moto or edacs with no luck...
>
> Any ideas?
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36025. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP "Violet" Repeater Off Line?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 06:56:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35997 Message: 36025

At 09:17 PM 1/14/2005, you wrote:

>Interesting, you'd think the wait would be worth it given units could
>hear eachother and keep a better mental idea of what's going on.
>Ultimately I think that would amount to less talking on dispatch's
>part.

If the units are close enough, they can hear each other....

Evan

36026. Re: Pro 2052 try this software

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 08:02:49 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35881 Message: 36026

Hey Bill,
I use a Pro-2052 and it cannot scan both at the same time out of the box but with proper software it will switch from scanning conventional to trunked automatically There are a quite a few good choices in software my favorite is "Radio commander 2052 for windows" http://www.qsl.net/kd5lmy/software1.htm this shareware does not expire and the author keeps it bug free and updated I should really pay the guy the $14.95 he is asking for it.The author calls it AUTOMODE and it lets You scan conventional and trunked simultaneously. I love the fact that it logs all freq's found and actually searches and logs talk group ID's and has a GUI that looks and behaves just like the radio.

FEATURES of Radio commander 2052 for windows

☐ Upload and Download the Radios Channels including trunk bank frequencies and ID's.
☐ Complete Computer control of the Pro-2052.
☐ Display Alpha Tags, and Bank Names, including Trunk ID's from the
Computer.
☐ Edit Frequencies, and Data, then upload to the Radio.
☐ Keep a database of Trunk Id's with Alpha Tags.
☐ Instant Channel GOTO.
☐ Tune the Radio to particular frequency from the Computer.
☐ Bank control.
☐ Search for frequencies, in over 20 different bands.
☐ Manual searches.
☐ All search frequencies are logged.
☐ Search for Trunk Id's with logging, and database search.
☐ Name all 20 banks to reflect frequncies , ie: Police etc., and trunk status.

Bob (Rocdad)
GZ vet
9-11 Never forget

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill <MFDEngine11@g...> wrote:
> does anyone use this scanner as i am thinking of getting one for my feed on firefeeds.com but b4 i do i need to know if it scans trunkled systems and comventional freqs at the same time like the pro95,96 does i looked at the manual online breifly but i saw nothing to tell me either way
>
> any help would be great and TY in advance

>
> P.S. sorry if this off topic
>
> Bill Scanlan
> Fire Buff 30 Years
> Fire Apparatus Photographer 20+ Years
> MFDEngine11@g...
> IPN - NHA004
> IPN Hotline Dispatch Team
> http://www.incidentpage.net - FREE DISPATCHER ACCOUNT
>
> FDNY 343
> Worcester 6
> Never Forget
>
> Boston Red Sox 2004 MLB World Champs
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36027. FCC Call Sign Look-Ups

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 10:05:16 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36027 Message: 36027

Anyone know of a good website to look up FCC call signs?

Not HAMs but Commercial or Local Government.

IE: KDJ 543

Thanks

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36028. Re: [bayscan] FCC Call Sign Look-Ups

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 10:46:31 UTC
From: JERRY HAUN
Topic: 36027 Message: 36028

At 05:05 AM 1/15/2005 -0500, you wrote:

>Anyone know of a good website to look up FCC call signs?
>
>Not HAMs but Commercial or Local Government.
>
>IE: KDJ 543
> QRZ.COM
>
>Thanks
>
>
>
>
>PY
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.12 - Release Date: 1/14/2005

Jerry KG6EBK
"73"

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.6.12 - Release Date: 1/14/2005

36029. Re: FCC Call Sign Look-Ups

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 12:09:28 UTC
From: Darrin Buth
Topic: 36027 Message: 36029

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mobile11@a... wrote:

> Anyone know of a good website to look up FCC call signs?
>
> Not HAMs but Commercial or Local Government.
>
> IE: KDJ 543
> >
> Thanks
> PY

Paul try this one, I found it while searching through the Strong Signals website:

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm

36030. Re: [bayscan] FCC Call Sign Look-Ups

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 15:07:41 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36027 Message: 36030

This is the FCC site. You can look up whatever, however you wish.
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
J.B. AD6WX

Mobile11@... wrote:

Anyone know of a good website to look up FCC call signs?

Not HAMs but Commercial or Local Government.

IE: KDJ 543

Thanks

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36031. Sunnyvale Activity last night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 16:02:11 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 36031 Message: 36031

Did anyone hear what happened in Sunnyval last night? PD was calling in a negotiator. The person they were looking for was hiding in the crawl space of a house. They ended up cutting the floor to get to him.

Another question about the incident. It was handled on Sunnyvale PD's main dispatch frequency. All of the other PD units switched to the 'STAR' frequency (special tactics and response).

Anyone have any idea why they don't use the special ops frequency for special ops? ;) 'Hiding out' on the main dispatch channel doesn't sound very stealthy...

36032. Why broadcast open mic calls?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 16:03:48 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 36032 Message: 36032

Occasionally, I hear dispatchers announce an open mic. How does the offending officer get this call? Do the PD radios still receive when they are transmitting? Or are they hoping that they'll get the message from a college or car radio?

36033. Re: [bayscan] Why broadcast open mic calls?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 16:21:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36032 Message: 36033

At 08:03 AM 1/15/2005, you wrote:

>Occasionally, I hear dispatchers announce an open mic. How does the
>offending officer get this call? Do the PD radios still receive
>when they are transmitting? Or are they hoping that they'll get the
>message from a college or car radio?

They're assuming that the officer will hear it on the car or handheld
(which ever one he's NOT using).

Typically when I do hear an open mic announcement, it's done in a break
between the open mike, when the radio unkeys.

Evan

36034. RE: [bayscan] Re:clear text its coming faster then a speeding

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 00:04:55 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 35782 Message: 36034

At 10:31 AM 1/13/2005, you wrote:
>I completely agree Evan. If the thread is long and on track as far scanning
>related the least everyone can do when you crop\edit the message is to leave
>what you're replying to intact in the body of the message. Otherwise, it
>just creates confusion as to where thread is going and where it has been.

It's not really a matter of how big the thread is, although on a larger / longer thread, it's worse.

There IS a way to turn quoting on by default on AOL, I just don't know how. And unfortunately, when you politely ask people to do so, the attitude is usually "&*#@ you" if they don't know how.

I've had a number of people who e-mail me off list and change the subject and don't quote a thing, but then cop an attitude when I have no clue what they're talking about. One was subject "Interesting thought...." and the body only contained "But it won't work. Get back to reality" or some such. I politely replied asking them what they were referring to - and their answer was "Geez, you REALLY are clueless, moron." They quickly found a new spot in my killfile. :)

I'm on dozens of lists, and get in some groups hundreds of e-mails a day. I may not see every message in every thread, often I'll just read random messages in the threads, and to see one that's a reply but mentions nothing of what they're replying to is a waste.

>This is a huge bitch I have had with AOL and one of the reasons I stopped
>using\recommending them back in 93'. I believe by default with AOL's mail
>program doesn't include the original message in the reply. It's extremely
>hard to manage email if you don't know what the person was replying to and
>vice versa especially if you recieve an average of 200 emails a day.

There's gotta be a way to turn it on by default. I do know a senior SysAdmin there, but as I don't think Ed is willing to implement it if I found out how, I'm not going to bother him.

I do have a funny story when I worked at an ISP - one of our sales reps had Herpes, but didn't 'advertise' it. A few of us who were good friends with him knew. One of our sales meetings, we were discussing pricing, and how we were about twice as expensive as AOL - and how to best 'market' ourselves to someone who says "Well, why pay $20 a month with you when I can pay $10 to AOL?" and one of the sales reps (who didn't know the other rep had herpes) gave a great reply: "I will NEVER put AOL on any computer I ever own. AOL is horrible. It's a virus. It's worse than herpes." Almost everyone but him burst into laughter.

Evan

36035. GAS LEAK - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 16:55:02 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36035 Message: 36035

2530 Brentford Drive at Winlock

Being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMANY 4 - 153.980

High pressure gas line that is pushing up the pavement. Requesting CODE 3 San Jose PD response to assist with an immediate evacuation of the immediate area. ENGINE 16 is dropping a 5" supply line, but keeping it dry at this time.

USAR COMPANY 16
ENGINE 21
ENGINE 3
TRUCK COMPANY 3
BATTALION 1
BATTALION 2
MED 30
HIT COMPANY 29
ENGINE 16

36036. Re: [bayscan] GAS LEAK - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 17:00:03 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 36035 Message: 36036

Craig Rose wrote:

> 2530 Brentford Drive at Winlock

Just FYI, for anyone looking at an online map, this is

2530 Brenford Drive at Wenlock

-Johnathan

36037. Re: [bayscan] GAS LEAK - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 17:02:48 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36035 Message: 36037

Address correction ----- 2530 Brenford Drive between Logsden Way and Winlock Way in east San Jose.

TRUCK COMPANY 3 canceled and replaced by TRUCK COMPANY 2

SAN JOSE PD - 460.425
SAN JOSE FIRE - 153.980

IC advising gas is being ejected through the street and sidewalk in two areas. ENGINE 16 and ENGINE 21 have dropped lines (dry) and are conducting evacuations with PD.

On 1/15/05 8:55 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> 2530 Brentford Drive at Winlock
>
> Being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMANY 4 - 153.980
>
> High pressure gas line that is pushing up the pavement. Requesting CODE 3
> San Jose PD response to assist with an immediate evacuation of the immediate
> area. ENGINE 16 is dropping a 5" supply line, but keeping it dry at this
> time.
>
> USAR COMPANY 16
> ENGINE 21
> ENGINE 3
> TRUCK COMPANY 3
> BATTALION 1
> BATTALION 2
> MED 30
> HIT COMPANY 29
> ENGINE 16

36038. Re: [bayscan] GAS LEAK - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 17:02:52 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 36035 Message: 36038

Craig Rose wrote:

> Being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMANY 4 - 153.980

Also being handled on SJPD 4, 460.425 MHz, beginning evacuation code 3.

-Johnathan

36039. Re: [bayscan] GAS LEAK - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 17:13:56 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36035 Message: 36039

San Jose PD advising they are evacuating 12-15 homes at this time. PG&E is
just now coming on scene at 9:13 am.

Here is an updated list of apparatus:

USAR COMPANY 16
ENGINE 21
ENGINE 3
TRUCK COMPANY 2
BATTALION 1
BATTALION 2
MED 30
HIT 29 A & B
TRUCK 29
ENGINE 16

On 1/15/05 9:02 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> Address correction ----- 2530 Brenford Drive between Logsden Way and Winlock
> Way in east San Jose.
>
> TRUCK COMPANY 3 canceled and replaced by TRUCK COMPANY 2
>
> SAN JOSE PD - 460.425
> SAN JOSE FIRE - 153.980
>
> IC advising gas is being ejected through the street and sidewalk in two
> areas. ENGINE 16 and ENGINE 21 have dropped lines (dry) and are conducting
> evacuations with PD.
>
> On 1/15/05 8:55 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> 2530 Brentford Drive at Winlock
>>
>> Being handled on SAN JOSE FIRE COMMANY 4 - 153.980
>>
>> High pressure gas line that is pushing up the pavement. Requesting CODE 3
>> San Jose PD response to assist with an immediate evacuation of the immediate
>> area. ENGINE 16 is dropping a 5" supply line, but keeping it dry at this
>> time.
>>
>> USAR COMPANY 16
>> ENGINE 21
>> ENGINE 3

>> TRUCK COMPANY 3
>> BATTALION 1
>> BATTALION 2
>> MED 30
>> HIT COMPANY 29
>> ENGINE 16
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36040. Re: [bayscan] GAS LEAK - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 17:26:38 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36035 Message: 36040

Quick update -

BATTALION 1 just requested two VTA busses to respond to shelter the residents due to the cold.

BATTALION 2 is having difficulty with radio - this incident is now being moved to COMMAND 3 - 154.115.

-C-

On 1/15/05 9:13 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

> San Jose PD advising they are evacuating 12-15 homes at this time. PG&E is
> just now coming on scene at 9:13 am.
>
> Here is an updated list of apparatus:
>
> USAR COMPANY 16
> ENGINE 21
> ENGINE 3
> TRUCK COMPANY 2
> BATTALION 1
> BATTALION 2
> MED 30
> HIT 29 A & B
> TRUCK 29
> ENGINE 16
>
> On 1/15/05 9:02 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> Address correction ----- 2530 Brenford Drive between Logsden Way and Winlock
>> Way in east San Jose.
>>
>> TRUCK COMPANY 3 canceled and replaced by TRUCK COMPANY 2
>>
>> SAN JOSE PD - 460.425
>> SAN JOSE FIRE - 153.980
>>
>> IC advising gas is being ejected through the street and sidewalk in two
>> areas. ENGINE 16 and ENGINE 21 have dropped lines (dry) and are conducting
>> evacuations with PD.
>>
>> On 1/15/05 8:55 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

36041. Re: [bayscan] GAS LEAK - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 17:45:20 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36035 Message: 36041

Incident update -

- BATTALION 2 is now leading FIRE GROUP consisting of all assets except the HIT TEAM - they are now operating on San Jose Fire TAC 5 - 155.880 (I believe?).

- MED 30 and San Jose PD 5C10 are working together on evacuation coordination.

- Cassell Elementary School to be opened as an evacuation center.

- IC requests one additional Engine Company (ENGINE 19) to respond CODE 2. Also requests training be canceled for today and have Red Cross cantina respond to the evacuation center.

On 1/15/05 9:26 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> Quick update -
>
> BATTALION 1 just requested two VTA busses to respond to shelter the
> residents due to the cold.
>
> BATTALION 2 is having difficulty with radio - this incident is now being
> moved to COMMAND 3 - 154.115.
>
> -C-
>
> On 1/15/05 9:13 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> San Jose PD advising they are evacuating 12-15 homes at this time. PG&E is
>> just now coming on scene at 9:13 am.
>>
>> Here is an updated list of apparatus:
>>
>> USAR COMPANY 16
>> ENGINE 21
>> ENGINE 3
>> TRUCK COMPANY 2
>> BATTALION 1
>> BATTALION 2
>> MED 30
>> HIT 29 A & B
>> TRUCK 29
>> ENGINE 16

36042. Trauma Alert- MVA / Half Moon Bay 1144hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 19:45:17 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36042 Message: 36042

Hwy 1 @ Pigeon Point

3 critical patients, 2 vehicle motor vehicle accident (MVA)

1 air ambulance requested
2 als engines from CDF on scene
1 AMR

151.370 CDF Felton Dispatch

36043. Re: [bayscan] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 19:42:13 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 36015 Message: 36043

Here is the number 2 rule that I use in the groups that I own or moderate;
This forum is not for teaching proper grammar or spelling. You do not know
the educational or ethnic background of any member of this forum, and as
such it is considered rude to correct or chastise a member for their
failings.
Just something to think about.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/14/05 20:38:16
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Hear it, not here it. Jeez.
J.B. AD6WX

john Beatty <jbxx@...> wrote:

Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range in time.
J.B. AD6WX

inigo4688 wrote:

Hey guys, I put this OT because this is a public safety scanning
forum, but if anyone listens to airband, I'll be flying an airplane
out of Novato's Gnoss Field airport tomorrow afternoon. I'll be
getting a lesson in a Cessna T303 (thanks to a very generous family
friend):

http://www.airliners.net/open.file/565301/L/

I'll be talking to ATC with the callsign: N707RL -or- Cessna 707RL.

Again I know this is off topic, I just thought it might be cool if
someone in Marin happened to hear me tomorrow. :)

-Inigo

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36044. PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 20:16:22 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36044 Message: 36044

Why do Scanner buffs care about PL tones? They are not transmitting to a repeater so why worry about them? but I see them on a lot of list's and messages.
Bob (Rocdad)

36045. Re: [bayscan] PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 20:18:44 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36044 Message: 36045

The pl's that we refer to are the OUTPUT pl tone.. The majority of the time each department has a separate pl tone. So if you have more than 1 department with the same freq, you can listen to both or 1 by blocking out 1 freq by putting in the pl tone for the output. Thats what I do for CDF and also for Milpitas and stockton fire..

Ben

Bob wrote:

> Why do Scanner buffs care about PL tones? They are not transmitting
> to a repeater so why worry about them? but I see them on a lot of
> list's and messages.
> Bob (Rocdad)
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36046. Re: PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 20:23:14 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36044 Message: 36046

Thanks for the quick answer I guess that option is available on
certain scanners? I do not know how to do that on my Pro-2052
Bob

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> The pl's that we refer to are the OUTPUT pl tone.. The majority of
the
> time each department has a separate pl tone. So if you have more
than 1
> department with the same freq, you can listen to both or 1 by
blocking
> out 1 freq by putting in the pl tone for the output. Thats what I
do for
> CDF and also for Milpitas and stockton fire..
>
> Ben
>
> Bob wrote:
>
> >
> > Why do Scanner buffs care about PL tones? They are not
transmitting
> > to a repeater so why worry about them? but I see them on a lot of
> > list's and messages.
> > Bob (Rocdad)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---

> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >

36047. Re: [bayscan] Re: PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 20:24:42 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36044 Message: 36047

all the new scaners starting with the bc 780 has this feature, maybe the
895 had this feature but i don't recall..

Bob wrote:

>
> Thanks for the quick answer I guess that option is available on
> certain scanners? I do not know how to do that on my Pro-2052
> Bob
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > The pl's that we refer to are the OUTPUT pl tone.. The majority of
> the
> > time each department has a separate pl tone. So if you have more
> than 1
> > department with the same freq, you can listen to both or 1 by
> blocking
> > out 1 freq by putting in the pl tone for the output. Thats what I
> do for
> > CDF and also for Milpitas and stockton fire..
> >
> > Ben
> >
> > Bob wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Why do Scanner buffs care about PL tones? They are not
> transmitting
> > > to a repeater so why worry about them? but I see them on a lot of
> > > list's and messages.
> > > Bob (Rocdad)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> -------
> > > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> > >
> > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

> > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> subject=Unsubscribe>
> > >
> > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36048. Re: [bayscan] PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 20:27:49 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 36044 Message: 36048

Bob wrote:

> Why do Scanner buffs care about PL tones? They are not transmitting
> to a repeater so why worry about them? but I see them on a lot of
> list's and messages.

Many of us are situated in areas that receive multiple agencies on a single frequency; for example, San Jose PD and Berkeley PD share some frequencies. If I only want to hear SJPD, then I can put it the PL tone and I won't hear BPD, which uses a different one.

Secondly, scanners have (relatively) terrible RF front-ends with regards to overload. So we often get spurious transmissions on a frequency which are really intermodulation products ("intermod") from being near some strong transmitter on a different frequency. Having the PL tone-squelch turned on decreases the likelihood of hearing this noise. The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San Jose. Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.

Radios purpose-built for a narrow range have much better (and more expensive) RF front-end designs, so it isn't as much of an issue for the actual users of these frequencies.

-Johnathan

36049. Re: PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 21:01:18 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36044 Message: 36049

Thanks for the quick answers I did not realize that available
scanners allowed pl tone inputs. Sounds like it is a nice feature I
will have to check mt owners manual but do not think mine can do
this thanks again.
Bob

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
<Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> Bob wrote:
>
> > Why do Scanner buffs care about PL tones? They are not
transmitting
> > to a repeater so why worry about them? but I see them on a lot
of
> > list's and messages.
>
> Many of us are situated in areas that receive multiple agencies on
a
> single frequency; for example, San Jose PD and Berkeley PD share
some
> frequencies. If I only want to hear SJPD, then I can put it the
PL tone
> and I won't hear BPD, which uses a different one.
>
> Secondly, scanners have (relatively) terrible RF front-ends with
regards
> to overload. So we often get spurious transmissions on a
frequency
> which are really intermodulation products ("intermod") from being
near
> some strong transmitter on a different frequency. Having the PL
> tone-squelch turned on decreases the likelihood of hearing this
noise.
> The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
Jose.
> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
>
> Radios purpose-built for a narrow range have much better (and more
> expensive) RF front-end designs, so it isn't as much of an issue
for the
> actual users of these frequencies.
>
> -Johnathan

36050. Re: Pro 2052 try this software

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 21:24:09 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 35881 Message: 36050

Hey Bill, Just another note the winscan software for the pro-2052
that comes with police call also allows you to Scan both
conventional and trunked systems
simultaneously!

"Now you can control Radio Shack Pro-2052 with one easy to use
software package.

Features on WinScanRS® Version 2.0 for Windows 95/98/NT 4.0/ME/2000
Professional/XP:

Simple to use interface. Looks and works just like the front of
the Pro-2052.*
Build customized scan lists.
Add Alpha tagging to the frequencies you listen to+.
Upload your scanner's memory to a database on your PC.
Scan in conventional and trunking mode simultainiously!
Program EDACS and Motorola talkgroups and frequencies!
Create different scan lists for multiple states and cities!
Has MultiTracker□ scan mode- Scan both conventional and trunked
systems
simultaneously!
And much much more!"

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
>
>
> Hey Bill,
> I use a Pro-2052 and it cannot scan both at the same time out of
the
> box but with proper software it will switch from scanning
> conventional to trunked automatically There are a quite a few good
> choices in software my favorite is "Radio commander 2052 for
> windows" http://www.qsl.net/kd5lmy/software1.htm this shareware
does
> not expire and the author keeps it bug free and updated I should
> really pay the guy the $14.95 he is asking for it.The author calls
> it AUTOMODE and it lets You scan conventional and trunked
> simultaneously. I love the fact that it logs all freq's found and
> actually searches and logs talk group ID's and has a GUI that
looks
> and behaves just like the radio.
>
> FEATURES of Radio commander 2052 for windows
>

> ☐ Upload and Download the Radios Channels including trunk bank
> frequencies and ID's.
> ☐ Complete Computer control of the Pro-2052.
> ☐ Display Alpha Tags, and Bank Names, including Trunk ID's from
> the
> Computer.
> ☐ Edit Frequencies, and Data, then upload to the Radio.
> ☐ Keep a database of Trunk Id's with Alpha Tags.
> ☐ Instant Channel GOTO.
> ☐ Tune the Radio to particular frequency from the Computer.
> ☐ Bank control.
> ☐ Search for frequencies, in over 20 different bands.
> ☐ Manual searches.
> ☐ All search frequencies are logged.
> ☐ Search for Trunk Id's with logging, and database search.
> ☐ Name all 20 banks to reflect frequncies , ie: Police etc., and
> trunk status.
>
> Bob (Rocdad)
> GZ vet
> 9-11 Never forget
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Bill <MFDEngine11@g...> wrote:
> > does anyone use this scanner as i am thinking of getting
one
> for my feed on firefeeds.com but b4 i do i need to know if it
> scans trunkled systems and comventional freqs at the same time
> like the pro95,96 does i looked at the manual online breifly but
i
> saw nothing to tell me either way
> >
> > any help would be great and TY in advance
> >
> > P.S. sorry if this off topic
> >
> > Bill Scanlan
> > Fire Buff 30 Years
> > Fire Apparatus Photographer 20+ Years
> > MFDEngine11@g...
> > IPN - NHA004
> > IPN Hotline Dispatch Team
> > http://www.incidentpage.net - FREE DISPATCHER ACCOUNT
> >
> > FDNY 343
> > Worcester 6
> > Never Forget
> >
> > Boston Red Sox 2004 MLB World Champs
> >

> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36051. Re: OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 21:24:44 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36015 Message: 36051

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike (Nobag)" <NOBAG@M...> wrote:
> Here is the number 2 rule that I use in the groups that I own or
moderate;
> This forum is not for teaching proper grammar or spelling. You do
not know
> the educational or ethnic background of any member of this forum,
and as
> such it is considered rude to correct or chastise a member for their
> failings.
> Just something to think about.
>
> nobag@m...
> Mike (BikerMike)
> CA.
> U.S.A.
> Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
> Owner: U.P.I.P.
>
> "Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was
just as
> happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: 01/14/05 20:38:16
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!
>
> Hear it, not here it. Jeez.
> J.B. AD6WX
>
> john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
>
> Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range
in time.
> J.B. AD6WX

If you review the posts, I think you'll see it was John correcting
his own misspelling rather than attacking someone else. I agree with
your rule but I think John is innocent in this case.

Regards, Jim

36052. Re: PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 21:39:29 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36044 Message: 36052

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the quick answers I did not realize that available
> scanners allowed pl tone inputs. Sounds like it is a nice feature I
> will have to check mt owners manual but do not think mine can do
> this thanks again.
> Bob

The Pro-2052 does not have tone decode capability so you'll hear the transmission from any station within range on a frequency. The only current Radio Shack scanner with tone decode capability is the the Pro-96. The Pro-92 and the Pro-2067, the desktop version of the Pro-92, were the only other Radio Shack scanners that had tone decode capability built-in.

Regards, Jim

36053. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 21:50:43 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 36015 Message: 36053

I noticed that just two seconds after I pushed send, thanks for pointing
that out. Sorry about that.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/15/05 13:25:01
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike (Nobag)" <NOBAG@M...> wrote:
> Here is the number 2 rule that I use in the groups that I own or
moderate;
> This forum is not for teaching proper grammar or spelling. You do
not know
> the educational or ethnic background of any member of this forum,
and as
> such it is considered rude to correct or chastise a member for their
> failings.
> Just something to think about.
>
> nobag@m...
> Mike (BikerMike)
> CA.
> U.S.A.
> Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
> Owner: U.P.I.P.
>
> "Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was
just as
> happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: 01/14/05 20:38:16
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

>
> Hear it, not here it. Jeez.
> J.B. AD6WX
>
> john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
>
> Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range
in time.
> J.B. AD6WX

If you review the posts, I think you'll see it was John correcting
his own misspelling rather than attacking someone else. I agree with
your rule but I think John is innocent in this case.

Regards, Jim

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36054. Re: [bayscan] TRADE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-15 23:38:31 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 35976 Message: 36054

> I'll trade my BC785D for a BC780 (BC785D without card)

So that begs the question: do you think a 780 is better than a 785D for analog stuff? Why and how? Have you compared it to the 796D?

Thanks!

36055. STRUCTURE FIRE - SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 00:05:19 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 33473 Message: 36055

Working structure fire - kitchen fire at 921 El Lisa Drive, Unit B in south San Jose.

Being handled on SAN JOSE COMMAND 3 - 154.115

Engine 13
Engine 17
USAR COMPANY 13
BATTALION 13

-C-

36056. Re: [bayscan] PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 00:20:38 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36044 Message: 36056

> Secondly, scanners have (relatively) terrible RF front-ends
> with regards to overload.

That's why I wish Berkeley would use different tones on their channels:
I often have my 780 stop on #1 [460.175] with traffic on #2 [460.25];
since the whole thing bleeds over, the tone from #2 stops the scanner on
#1. If they were different tones, this wouldn't happen. Granted, their
tower is about 1 mile from my rof, direct line-o-sight, but still. I
finally wound up attenuating these channels, which helps a lot.

36057. Re: [bayscan] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 00:38:02 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36015 Message: 36057

LOOK WHO THE ORIGINAL POSTER WAS DUFUS !
J.B. AD6WX

"Mike (Nobag)" <NOBAG@...> wrote:

Here is the number 2 rule that I use in the groups that I own or moderate;
This forum is not for teaching proper grammar or spelling. You do not know
the educational or ethnic background of any member of this forum, and as
such it is considered rude to correct or chastise a member for their
failings.
Just something to think about.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"can] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Hear it, not here it. Jeez.
J.B. AD6WX

john Beatty wrote:

Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range in time.
J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36058. Re: [bayscan] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 00:37:53 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36015 Message: 36058

LOOK WHO THE ORIGINAL POSTER WAS DUFUS !
J.B. AD6WX

"Mike (Nobag)" <NOBAG@...> wrote:

Here is the number 2 rule that I use in the groups that I own or moderate;
This forum is not for teaching proper grammar or spelling. You do not know
the educational or ethnic background of any member of this forum, and as
such it is considered rude to correct or chastise a member for their
failings.
Just something to think about.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"can] OT: You might hear me tomorrow!

Hear it, not here it. Jeez.
J.B. AD6WX

john Beatty wrote:

Frequency? I should be able to here it if I'm back from the range in time.
J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36059. MVA in Woodside

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 02:48:38 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36059 Message: 36059

At Canada & Runnymeade , 2 trapped , 1 critical , 2 minor

requesting 2 AMR rigs/ E19 E12 Rescue 7 Battalion 2 (IC)
Sheriffs and CHP

Control 2 / 154.37
Sheriffs/ TG 13840
CHP / 42.080

1848

Ed

36060. Re: [bayscan] Re: PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 02:50:55 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 36044 Message: 36060

jjcooke@... wrote:

>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
>
>
>>Thanks for the quick answers I did not realize that available
>>scanners allowed pl tone inputs. Sounds like it is a nice feature I
>>will have to check mt owners manual but do not think mine can do
>>this thanks again.
>>Bob
>>
>>
>
>The Pro-2052 does not have tone decode capability so you'll hear the
>transmission from any station within range on a frequency. The only
>current Radio Shack scanner with tone decode capability is the the
>Pro-96. The Pro-92 and the Pro-2067, the desktop version of the Pro-
>92, were the only other Radio Shack scanners that had tone decode
>capability built-in.
>
>Regards, Jim
>
>

Also the Pro-2045 had an optional CTCSS board you could by. I have 2 of
them and love them.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36061. Re: [bayscan] MVA in Woodside

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 02:59:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36059 Message: 36061

vehicle came off 280 into a tree (ouch) both victims extracated, 2nd AMR o/s , CHP also o/s . no helo requested

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36063. SFFD fire in the mission

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 04:55:16 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36063 Message: 36063

Box 5444 3945 - 20th st @ Church , fire in 2 story type 5 (
wood) in the rear of 2nd floor

E11-24- 7- 6 Trucks 11 - 7 Rescue 2 (1st on the fire) Medic 36
Division 3 / Battalions 6 and probably 2 . BC6 is the IC

A3/14864
A16/ 15280

2055

36064. Re: [bayscan] SFFD fire in the mission

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 04:58:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36063 Message: 36064

actually (I know better ,hehehehe) Division 3 chief is the ic .

fire is knocked down , sounds like RS2 and E11 did a great job of jumping on this fire , rear of 2nd floor........

checking the bathroom for an occupant..........

2058 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36065. trying to identify fire dept.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 05:31:27 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36065 Message: 36065

Hearing 36XX units on CDf Felton. We're just dispatched to a call in very southern Los Gatos.. Anyone know what dept this is since it isn't the usuall CDF number Scheme?

ben

36066. RE: [bayscan] trying to identify fire dept.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 05:38:40 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 36065 Message: 36066

CDF Felton dispatches "County Fire" units, which include both paid and volunteer stations. The old numbering scheme was two-digit, but changed to match up with the rest of the county's 4-digit scheme some months ago.

Thus Bonny Doon, with engines 32 and 34 and rescues 32 and 34 and water tender 32 became engine 3221, 3222, rescue 3261 and 3262, and water tender 3252.

However not all companies were so lucky as to get to keep their first 2 digits, because of conflict with the numbering scheme. I believe that 36xx is the Loma Prieta volunteers. I haven't updated firescan.net with the new numbers.

Matthew

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 9:31 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] trying to identify fire dept.
>
>
>
> Hearing 36XX units on CDf Felton. We're just dispatched to a call in
> very southern Los Gatos.. Anyone know what dept this is since
> it isn't
> the usuall CDF number Scheme?
>
> ben
>

36067. Re: [bayscan] trying to identify fire dept.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 05:45:00 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36065 Message: 36067

thanks for the info.. Been wondering since last summer who those guys
were...

Matthew Kaufman wrote:

> CDF Felton dispatches "County Fire" units, which include both paid and
> volunteer stations. The old numbering scheme was two-digit, but changed to
> match up with the rest of the county's 4-digit scheme some months ago.
>
> Thus Bonny Doon, with engines 32 and 34 and rescues 32 and 34 and water
> tender 32 became engine 3221, 3222, rescue 3261 and 3262, and water tender
> 3252.
>
> However not all companies were so lucky as to get to keep their first 2
> digits, because of conflict with the numbering scheme. I believe that 36xx
> is the Loma Prieta volunteers. I haven't updated firescan.net with the new
> numbers.
>
> Matthew
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
> > Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 9:31 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] trying to identify fire dept.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Hearing 36XX units on CDf Felton. We're just dispatched to a call in
> > very southern Los Gatos.. Anyone know what dept this is since
> > it isn't
> > the usuall CDF number Scheme?
> > >
> > ben
> > >
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36068. Re: PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 16:48:31 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 36044 Message: 36068

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bob" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
> Why do Scanner buffs care about PL tones? They are not
transmitting
> to a repeater so why worry about them?

The other reason is that that can clue you into who might be using
the frequency.

36069. FS: UNIDEN BC-780XLT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 16:57:47 UTC
From: ac6ja
Topic: 36069 Message: 36069

I have a 780 in excellent condition will all original boxes, manuals,
mounting bracket, power supply, etc. I was forced to upgrade to a
796 due to everything here went digital.
am asking $250 and will ship to you.
let me know if interested.
thanks!

mike

36070. CHP simulcast is back up on Violet 42.16

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 21:07:02 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36070 Message: 36070

You can hear the cars again.

-Roger 13:06

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36071. follow up for SFPD crash in Brisbane ????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 21:59:21 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36071 Message: 36071

Anyone have more infor on the crash earlier involving the overturned SFPD vehicle on 101 by Brisbane ??

36072. sf incident ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 23:05:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36072 Message: 36072

E 33 and other fire units staging at Wilson and Rhine, near Daly City border.....E33 at staging advising numerous SFPD units on scene with area roped off ??? anyone hearing this ?

I have Bens' feed and getting nothing on PD..side.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36073. Re: [bayscan] sf incident ? bomb found ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 23:23:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36073 Message: 36073

Its not Bens ' fault I am NOT hearing anything LOL , units have
radios off.....its a suspected explosive device .

SFFD Division 3 calls Battalion 9 to find out whats up and BC9
answers with the fact that they want they wanted no one to transmit.

but in answering ,he transmits ....right ? KABOOOOOOOMM

lol lol .....seriously , they advised EOD enroute.

so, monitoring this won't do anyone much good until its over.
once that happens , should be on PD A7 or A8 and Fire A3 and or A9

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36074. MVA with rescue, Sunnyvale / Mountain View border.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 23:27:19 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36074 Message: 36074

E/B 237 E of 101, mutual aid with Mountain View & Sunnyvale. 2 callers, one reports people trapped.

Fire Blue, 153.845.

Fire not yet on scene..

1528 hrs

36075. Re: [bayscan] sf incident ? bomb found ? Bomb GONE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 23:29:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36075 Message: 36075

that was fast ,,,,,,,,,,,BC9 back on the air.....EOD removed the device.

where is everyone today ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36076. Re: [bayscan] MVA with rescue, Sunnyvale / Mountain View border.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-16 23:32:04 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36074 Message: 36076

At 03:27 PM 1/16/2005, you wrote:
>E/B 237 E of 101, mutual aid with Mountain View & Sunnyvale. 2 callers, one
>reports people trapped.
>
>Fire Blue, 153.845.
>
>Fire not yet on scene..
>
>1528 hrs

My reception of Blue stinks.

They've gone UTL, other callers indicate it's much further south (into San Jose).

CHP CAD:

Incident: 1149 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding Location: EB SR237 JEO SB US101 Zoom Map: 812 4D Info as of: 1/16/2005 3:32:24 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS

3:30PM - 1039 SAN JOSE SANTA CLARA SD 527
3:29PM - 1039 MILPITAS W/ ALL SD 562
3:27PM - SERIOUS R SIDE TRAUMA FOR PARTY IN BLU CAR NOW ADV JEO TASMAN FOR PARTY THAT IS TRAPPED, VEHS MATCH DESC IN THIS TC
3:25PM - 1 TRAPPED PARTY HMA 24 YOA ALTERED CONSC BREATHING, 1 OTHER PARTY MINOR INJ OTHER VEH ALL ON RHS, XFERRING PARAMEDIC TO 114 DISPATCH
3:24PM - PARTY INJ IN BLU CAR, UNK IF TRAPPED PER PARAMEDIC
3:23PM - EB 237 JWO 1ST PER OTHER PARTY, ADV HUSB OFF DUTY EMT 1097 CONFIRMING 1 INJ
3:21PM - DEBRIS IN LANES CAUSED TC // DEBRIS #1
3:21PM - SIL CHEV SUBURBAN VS BLU CAR
3:21PM - BLKNG LNS
3:21PM - 2 VEHS POSS 3

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS

3:23PM - CHP Unit Enroute
3:24PM - CHP Unit Enroute
3:31PM - CHP Unit Assigned

No updates for me.

Evan

36077. MVA 580.... Flynn rd.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 04:13:55 UTC
From: collin
Topic: 36077 Message: 36077

Just caught the tail end of an MVA on 580@ Flynn rd. (atop the Altamont) Helo had landed and has now picked up patient and lifted off again. I have no further deatils, other than they are re-opening 2 lanes of 580(Just got in, did not hear initial dispatch)..

36078. Re: PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 07:20:20 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36044 Message: 36078

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:

...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
> Jose.
> > Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
> > intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.

I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma Fire (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my Bendix King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.

I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging interference. Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025 (and a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.

36079. Re: [bayscan] Re: PL Tones for scanners?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:25:01 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36044 Message: 36079

Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on my
site under my scanner pics... They work very well...

Ben
www.mattlogic.com
Erik wrote:

>
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
> > <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
>
> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
> > Jose.
> > > Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
> > > intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
>
>
> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma Fire
> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my Bendix
> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.
>
> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging interference.
> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025 (and
> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36080. China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 07:34:13 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36080 Message: 36080

Anybody know what frequency they run on? I know they have at least one or two Ranger vehicles and I know they're equipped with 2-way radio.

Thanks,

-Roger 23:34

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36081. Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 07:40:14 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36080 Message: 36081

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Anybody know what frequency they run on? I know they have at least
one or
> two Ranger vehicles and I know they're equipped with 2-way radio.
>
> Thanks,
>
> -Roger 23:34

All of the State Park rangers in Marin/Sonoma area are on the DPR's 800 MHz analog conventional system. When I get home (at work now), I can get the freq info out of my Calif state GRS (Mobile Radio Resources) freq book.

36082. Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 07:42:20 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36082 Message: 36082

Are these marin fire freq still being used at all?

46.2800 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Input*
37.1000 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Output*
46.3600 - Control 35 - Novato Fire Primary
46.2000 - Control 16 - San Rafael Fire Primary
46.5000 - Control 6 - Misc Fire Departments Primary**
46.4000 - Control 40 - Corte Madera/Lakespur
46.1200 - Contrl 13 - Marin County Mutual Aid
46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
482.7875 - Kentfield Fire Tac
460.6125 - Inverness Fire Tac
154.3250 - San Quentin Fire
46.3600 - Novato Fire & Medic

46.2000 - San Rafael & Marinwood Fire
46.4000 - Lakspur & Corte Madera Fire

36083. RE: [bayscan] Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 08:00:53 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36082 Message: 36083

That look's pretty accurate to me, Ben. I do know some Marin fire departments here are gearing up for the MERA switch but I have no dates on that....

-Roger 12:00am or 24:00
-----Original Message-----
From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
Sent: Sunday, January 16, 2005 11:42 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Are these marin fire freq still being used at all?

46.2800 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Input*
37.1000 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Output*
46.3600 - Control 35 - Novato Fire Primary
46.2000 - Control 16 - San Rafael Fire Primary
46.5000 - Control 6 - Misc Fire Departments Primary**
46.4000 - Control 40 - Corte Madera/Lakespur
46.1200 - Contrl 13 - Marin County Mutual Aid
46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
482.7875 - Kentfield Fire Tac
460.6125 - Inverness Fire Tac
154.3250 - San Quentin Fire
46.3600 - Novato Fire & Medic

46.2000 - San Rafael & Marinwood Fire
46.4000 - Lakspur & Corte Madera Fire

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36084. RE: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 08:04:52 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36080 Message: 36084

Thanks Erik...please post!

-Roger 00:04
-----Original Message-----
From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@...]
Sent: Sunday, January 16, 2005 11:40 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Anybody know what frequency they run on? I know they have at least
one or
> two Ranger vehicles and I know they're equipped with 2-way radio.
>
> Thanks,
>
> -Roger 23:34

All of the State Park rangers in Marin/Sonoma area are on the DPR's
800 MHz analog conventional system. When I get home (at work now), I
can get the freq info out of my Calif state GRS (Mobile Radio
Resources) freq book.

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36085. Re: Search in Novato - MERA info

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 08:05:20 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 35744 Message: 36085

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...> wrote:

...Ok they switched to talk group 62800 which is listed as County Wide APB but is being called "Control 2" on the talk group...

From what I heard recently, the lowband Control 2 (39.52) is being patched into a MERA tg. This enables MERA law enfc users to somewhat communicate with lowband law users. The problem is that in order to be heard on the MERA tg, the transmission on Control 2 has to be effectively received by the lowband site. With the crummy performance of lowband portables, this is sometimes a challenge.

Control 6 fire dispatch (46.50) is also patched into the MERA system. It is on the "SAF" (So.Area Fire) tg. As I only have a system XTS3000 from which to listen on, I have no scanner tg/hex numbers. I think it's on the RadioReference site, though.

FYI: The previous MERA fire TG plan is being scrapped, and eventually Marin fire agencies will all be dispatched on one TG, much like Sonoma County's REDCOM setup.

BTW: did anyone hear Marin County Comm lose both F-6 and F-13 on Sat night? They had to use telephone for district fire dispatching until the problem got fixed.

36086. RE: [bayscan] Re: Search in Novato - MERA info

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 08:19:37 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 35744 Message: 36086

I heard TG 45616 which is the patch\feed for Marin County Sheriff 39.24 go down late Saturday night...do you think it was related?

I've also heard of lot's of meetings\changes concerning MERA and Fire. I'm sure there's more yet to pan out...

-Roger 00:19
-----Original Message-----
From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@...]
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 12:05 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Search in Novato - MERA info

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...> wrote:

...Ok they switched to talk group 62800 which is listed as County Wide APB but is being called "Control 2" on the talk group...

From what I heard recently, the lowband Control 2 (39.52) is being patched into a MERA tg. This enables MERA law enfc users to somewhat communicate with lowband law users. The problem is that in order to be heard on the MERA tg, the transmission on Control 2 has to be effectively received by the lowband site. With the crummy performance of lowband portables, this is sometimes a challenge.

Control 6 fire dispatch (46.50) is also patched into the MERA system. It is on the "SAF" (So.Area Fire) tg. As I only have a system XTS3000 from which to listen on, I have no scanner tg/hex numbers. I think it's on the RadioReference site, though.

FYI: The previous MERA fire TG plan is being scrapped, and eventually Marin fire agencies will all be dispatched on one TG, much like Sonoma County's REDCOM setup.

BTW: did anyone hear Marin County Comm lose both F-6 and F-13 on Sat night? They had to use telephone for district fire dispatching until the problem got fixed.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36087. Re: [bayscan] Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 08:19:59 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36082 Message: 36087

Ben , I still hear plenty on 37.10 and 46.50 , don't know about the others.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36088. Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 08:29:24 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36082 Message: 36088

Hey Ben,

Control 4, Control 6, Control 13, Control 16, and Control 35 as well as San Quentin Fire and all the Tacticals are still used on a daily basis in Marin. I used to live in Inverness and the Fire TAC UHF freq is active there but it sounds like a simulcast of a combination of Control 4 (County Fire) and Control 35 (Novato Fire), maybe so the volunteer fire fighters there can stay informed... but they're dispatched on County's freq. Every district fire department outside San Rafael (Control 16), and Novato (Control 35) is on Control 6. Got all that? Frequencies are below in your post.

When they switch to MERA I'll have nothing to listen to... btw I flew today. Went from Novato down the golden gate to pier 39 and back up to Santa Rosa, and back through central Marin county on my way back. Beautiful day, and [mostly] friendly air traffic controllers. :)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> Are these marin fire freq still being used at all?
>
> 46.2800 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Input*
> 37.1000 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Output*
> 46.3600 - Control 35 - Novato Fire Primary
> 46.2000 - Control 16 - San Rafael Fire Primary
> 46.5000 - Control 6 - Misc Fire Departments Primary**
> 46.4000 - Control 40 - Corte Madera/Lakespur
> 46.1200 - Contrl 13 - Marin County Mutual Aid
> 46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
> 46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
> 46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
> 46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
> 482.7875 - Kentfield Fire Tac
> 460.6125 - Inverness Fire Tac
> 154.3250 - San Quentin Fire
> 46.3600 - Novato Fire & Medic
>
> 46.2000 - San Rafael & Marinwood Fire
> 46.4000 - Lakspur & Corte Madera Fire

36089. Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 09:41:15 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36080 Message: 36089

Hey Roger,

Marin is the DPR Park Ranger "Green" on - 857.9375
Sonoma is "Orange" - It's on 855.7125 (Although I haven't been able to hear anything yet)

Green is interesting because it covers a lot of areas at once (even though there are so few rangers). You've got one or two rangers around Mount Tam that check in once or twice a day (if you're lucky), I guess the guys at China Camp are also on here but they sparesly touch their radios. What I've noticed most is the rangers on Angel Island also use this freq, as well as the boats they use to ferry people to and from Angel Island, so when you hear passenger reports and what not, that's the Angel Island ferry you're listening to. Keep in mind this is a very dead frequency, but I might try to run ScanREC on it a few times to see if I can figure out the numbering scheme on units (vs. where they're located, if someone hasn't done that already).

Hope I could be of some help,

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Thanks Erik...please post!
>
> -Roger 00:04
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@f...]
> Sent: Sunday, January 16, 2005 11:40 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> > Anybody know what frequency they run on? I know they have at least
> one or
> > two Ranger vehicles and I know they're equipped with 2-way radio.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >

> > -Roger 23:34
>
> All of the State Park rangers in Marin/Sonoma area are on the
DPR's
> 800 MHz analog conventional system. When I get home (at work
now), I
> can get the freq info out of my Calif state GRS (Mobile Radio
> Resources) freq book.
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36090. RE: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 10:13:11 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36080 Message: 36090

Yes, thanks Inigo. That's very helpful. I think I'm just curious more than anything about the Rangers frequencies since China Camp is not far from my place here in Terra Linda.

Way back when China Camp was a well know "race track" so to speak and even now you still hear a good accident now and then usually revolving around speed. In the good old days bfore it was a state park there was a small motocross track out there...you could shoot a gun...etc. etc.

-Roger 2:13am

-----Original Message-----
From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@...]
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 1:41 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Hey Roger,

Marin is the DPR Park Ranger "Green" on - 857.9375
Sonoma is "Orange" - It's on 855.7125 (Although I haven't been able to hear anything yet)

Green is interesting because it covers a lot of areas at once (even though there are so few rangers). You've got one or two rangers around Mount Tam that check in once or twice a day (if you're lucky), I guess the guys at China Camp are also on here but they sparesly touch their radios. What I've noticed most is the rangers on Angel Island also use this freq, as well as the boats they use to ferry people to and from Angel Island, so when you hear passenger reports and what not, that's the Angel Island ferry you're listening to. Keep in mind this is a very dead frequency, but I might try to run ScanREC on it a few times to see if I can figure out the numbering scheme on units (vs. where they're located, if someone hasn't done that already).

Hope I could be of some help,

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Thanks Erik...please post!
>
> -Roger 00:04
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@f...]

> Sent: Sunday, January 16, 2005 11:40 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> > Anybody know what frequency they run on? I know they have at
least
> one or
> > two Ranger vehicles and I know they're equipped with 2-way
radio.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > -Roger 23:34
>
> All of the State Park rangers in Marin/Sonoma area are on the
DPR's
> 800 MHz analog conventional system. When I get home (at work
now), I
> can get the freq info out of my Calif state GRS (Mobile Radio
> Resources) freq book.
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36091. Re: CHP simulcast is back up on Violet 42.16

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 10:18:01 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36070 Message: 36091

Thanks! Hehe that's weird. Hey Roger on a related note, do you or does anyone else know if anyone's tried to make a CHP "beatmap" kind of thing?

I'm not sure whether CHP assigns officers to segments of the freeway like a beat, or if everyone just hangs out at the office and a few cars are out just cruising the entire thing. I'm not even sure why I'm curious since they give their location fairly frequently anyway, but if you stumble onto a conversation that's in progress with "34-20" for instance, it'd be cool to be able to get an idea of where they might be. (I always hear that unit around Central San Rafael.)

It'd be fun to do with Marin County Sheriff too but CHP would be a heck of a lot easier because there're less of them.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> You can hear the cars again.
>
> -Roger 13:06
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36092. Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 10:21:06 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36080 Message: 36092

Ahh, yeah North San Pedro Rd. would even still make a nice place for a street race in the middle of the night. I'm sure SRPDs all over that though, plus if you screw up then you're in San Pablo bay. That's pretty harsh.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Yes, thanks Inigo. That's very helpful. I think I'm just curious more than
> anything about the Rangers frequencies since China Camp is not far from my
> place here in Terra Linda.
>
> Way back when China Camp was a well know "race track" so to speak and even
> now you still hear a good accident now and then usually revolving around
> speed. In the good old days bfore it was a state park there was a small
> motocross track out there...you could shoot a gun...etc. etc.
>
> -Roger 2:13am
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@m...]
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 1:41 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers
>
>
>
>
> Hey Roger,
>
> Marin is the DPR Park Ranger "Green" on - 857.9375
> Sonoma is "Orange" - It's on 855.7125 (Although I haven't been able
> to hear anything yet)
>
> Green is interesting because it covers a lot of areas at once (even
> though there are so few rangers). You've got one or two rangers
> around Mount Tam that check in once or twice a day (if you're lucky),

> I guess the guys at China Camp are also on here but they sparesly
> touch their radios. What I've noticed most is the rangers on Angel
> Island also use this freq, as well as the boats they use to ferry
> people to and from Angel Island, so when you hear passenger
reports
> and what not, that's the Angel Island ferry you're listening to.
Keep
> in mind this is a very dead frequency, but I might try to run
ScanREC
> on it a few times to see if I can figure out the numbering scheme
on
> units (vs. where they're located, if someone hasn't done that
> already).
>
> Hope I could be of some help,
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
> wrote:
> > Thanks Erik...please post!
> >
> > -Roger 00:04
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@f...]
> > Sent: Sunday, January 16, 2005 11:40 PM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer"
<rstroh@e...>
> wrote:
> > > Anybody know what frequency they run on? I know they have at
> least
> > one or
> > > two Ranger vehicles and I know they're equipped with 2-way
> radio.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > >
> > > -Roger 23:34
> >
> > All of the State Park rangers in Marin/Sonoma area are on the
> DPR's
> > 800 MHz analog conventional system. When I get home (at work
> now), I
> > can get the freq info out of my Calif state GRS (Mobile Radio
> > Resources) freq book.

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --

> --------
> > --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36093. crash in San Joaquin county

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 10:31:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36093 Message: 36093

San Joaquin has a fatal on State route 12 near " korea" wonder about
the spelling but that is what it sounds like. originally came out as SR12 , 5
miles East or West of Interstate 5 . Units rolling included Chief 74 or 7-4
Engine 74 or 7-4 Rescue 28 and Rescue 71 or 7-1 .

anybody familiar with the area know where they units are out of , or have a
better idea of just where crash is. I seem to think its west of Lodi.
but these are not Lodi Fire units.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36094. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP simulcast is back up on Violet 42.16

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 12:32:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36070 Message: 36094

You answered your own question. You always hear 34-20 around San Rafael right ? that is obviously their beat. Yes, they have designated area of coverage although I can't off the top of my head think of a list , website or book that shows the areas covered. Best idea would be to spend an evening or two monitoring only the violet on one scanner and make notes of where they are being sent. It won't give you exact limits but do you really need them ? I wouldn't think so.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36095. Crash on SR 12 ----------update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 12:38:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36095 Message: 36095

Disregard my earlier question , looks like the units were
from Woodbridge Engine 7-4 7-2 and Rescue 7-1 etc . Still couldn't find
the cross (korea ?) but that ok

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36096. demonstration in SF today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 13:09:06 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36096 Message: 36096

Some of you may have seen this on news. a bus called # 19 from Jerusalem that was bombed in Israel , is being brought to a rally in SF at Civic Center around 1100 today. Pro - Israleli groups will be there AND expect pro- Palestinian groups as well. this is what just occured in Berkeley on Sunday (www.ktvu.com) Civic Center is in the Northern Police District A5)12976 or A6)13008 but I expect SFPD may handle this on one of the following tac channels

A9) 13104 A10)13136 or A11) 13168

Ed

36097. RE: [bayscan] Re: Search in Novato - MERA info

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 14:11:27 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 35744 Message: 36097

Marin fire is now going through their training cycle
for the MERA radios, they will be changing over soon.
I have not yet been scheduled, but I will be soon.
I'm curious to see how they have their radios programmed
as opposed to how I have my XTS programmed.
J.B. AD6WX
P.S. did you hear during the floods that pallets of the new radios were under water
at the storage sheds up in Novato (the same building that houses SAR Sherriff?)

Roger Strohmeyer <rstroh@...> wrote:

I've also heard of lot's of meetings\changes concerning MERA and Fire. I'm
sure there's more yet to pan out...

-Roger 00:19
-----Original Message-----
From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@...]
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 12:05 AM
To: bay scan@yahoo groups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Search in Novato - MERA info

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36098. Re: [bayscan] Crash on SR 12 ----------update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 15:35:51 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36095 Message: 36098

It's Corriea Rd

Small road to some farms

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36099. Re: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 16:26:19 UTC
From: Hubert Stamps
Topic: 36080 Message: 36099

Is 857.9375 CDPR "Green" or Gold?
I've seen 855.4875 listed as Green.

Hubert

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
All your favorites on one personal page ? Try My Yahoo!
http://my.yahoo.com

36100. Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 16:45:26 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36082 Message: 36100

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:

> When they switch to MERA I'll have nothing to listen to...

You can listen to the MERA system if you purchase one of the several
digital-capable scanners available...

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > Are these marin fire freq still being used at all?
> >
> > 46.2800 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Input*
> > 37.1000 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Output*
> > 46.3600 - Control 35 - Novato Fire Primary
> > 46.2000 - Control 16 - San Rafael Fire Primary
> > 46.5000 - Control 6 - Misc Fire Departments Primary**
> > 46.4000 - Control 40 - Corte Madera/Lakespur
> > 46.1200 - Contrl 13 - Marin County Mutual Aid
> > 46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
> > 46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
> > 46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
> > 46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
> > 482.7875 - Kentfield Fire Tac
> > 460.6125 - Inverness Fire Tac
> > 154.3250 - San Quentin Fire
> > 46.3600 - Novato Fire & Medic
> >
> > 46.2000 - San Rafael & Marinwood Fire
> > 46.4000 - Lakspur & Corte Madera Fire

Marin fire agencies are still operating on all the lowband freqs.
This list is outdated by about five years. It's been about that long
since Corte Madera and Larkspur Fire Depts have been dispatched on
F-40 from Twin Cities Dispatch (dispatch is and has been on
F-6/46.50). We still are licensed for 46.40, but never use it.

Novato Fire should be switching to MERA the first week of Feb, though
F-35 (46.36) may be used for awhile for station alerting.

36101. Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 16:21:13 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36044 Message: 36101

can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get that you
want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
thanks, nina

On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:

>
> Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on my
> site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
>
> Ben
> www.mattlogic.com
> Erik wrote:
>
>>
>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
>>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
>>
>> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
>>> Jose.
>>>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
>>>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
>>
>>
>> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma Fire
>> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my Bendix
>> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.
>>
>> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging interference.
>> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025 (and
>> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
>>
>>

36102. Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 17:10:53 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36044 Message: 36102

It blocks and RF except the bands that you want, You must know what you want to hear and what you want blocked.
I have THREE filters for my HAM gear I use a PAR Triple Notch filter for pagers(cover the 2 meter band), a DCI dual Band Band Pass filter (2M /70CM) and a DCI Band Pass for 2 meters
----- Original Message -----
From: nina zhito
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 08:21
Subject: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get that you
want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
thanks, nina

On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:

>
> Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on my
> site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
>
> Ben
> www.mattlogic.com
> Erik wrote:
>
>>
>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
>>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
>>
>> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
>>> Jose.
>>>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
>>>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
>>
>>
>> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma Fire
>> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my Bendix
>> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.
>>
>> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging interference.
>> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025 (and
>> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
>>
>>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36103. Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 17:14:02 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36044 Message: 36103

so this is just another name for a band pass filter, i get it now.

On Monday, Jan 17, 2005, at 09:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> It blocks and RF except the bands that you want, You must know what
> you want to hear and what you want blocked.
> I have THREE filters for my HAM gear I use a PAR Triple Notch filter
> for pagers(cover the 2 meter band), a DCI dual Band Band Pass filter
> (2M /70CM) and a DCI Band Pass for 2 meters
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: nina zhito
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 08:21
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
>
>
> can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get that you
> want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
> thanks, nina
>
>
> On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
>
>>
>> Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on my
>> site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
>>
>> Ben
>> www.mattlogic.com
>> Erik wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
>>>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
>>>
>>> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
>>>> Jose.
>>>>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
>>>>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
>>>
>>>
>>> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma Fire
>>> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my
>>> Bendix

>>> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.
>>>
>>> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging interference.
>>> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025 (and
>>> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
>>>
>>>
>
>
>
> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36104. Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 17:22:09 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36044 Message: 36104

no a NOTCH filter and a BAND PASS Filter are not the same and they work differently from each other,

Band Pass will block everything but the band you want, the NOTCH Filter works within the band that you are having problems with to block unwanted noise.

I would try and read the ARRL's Handbook for a better understanding of how these filters work (for I know a little bit about everything and I do not know it all)

----- Original Message -----
From: nina zhito
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 09:14
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

so this is just another name for a band pass filter, i get it now.

On Monday, Jan 17, 2005, at 09:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> It blocks and RF except the bands that you want, You must know what
> you want to hear and what you want blocked.
> I have THREE filters for my HAM gear I use a PAR Triple Notch filter
> for pagers(cover the 2 meter band), a DCI dual Band Band Pass filter
> (2M /70CM) and a DCI Band Pass for 2 meters
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: nina zhito
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 08:21
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
>
>
> can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get that you
> want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
> thanks, nina
>
>
> On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
>
>>
>> Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on my
>> site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
>>
>> Ben
>> www.mattlogic.com
>> Erik wrote:

>>
>>>
>>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
>>>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
>>>
>>> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
>>>> Jose.
>>>>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
>>>>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
>>>
>>>
>>> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma Fire
>>> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my
>>> Bendix
>>> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.
>>>
>>> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging interference.
>>> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025 (and
>>> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
>>>
>>>
>
>
>
> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36105. RE: [bayscan] Re: CHP simulcast is back up on Violet 42.16

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 18:40:55 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36070 Message: 36105

Hey again Inigo,

No I dont have a CHP beat map...maybe one of the stringers on the group does? I'd be interested in seeing it if someone does...

-Roger 10:40 am
-----Original Message-----
From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@...]
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 2:18 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: CHP simulcast is back up on Violet 42.16

Thanks! Hehe that's weird. Hey Roger on a related note, do you or does anyone else know if anyone's tried to make a CHP "beatmap" kind of thing?

I'm not sure whether CHP assigns officers to segments of the freeway like a beat, or if everyone just hangs out at the office and a few cars are out just cruising the entire thing. I'm not even sure why I'm curious since they give their location fairly frequently anyway, but if you stumble onto a conversation that's in progress with "34-20" for instance, it'd be cool to be able to get an idea of where they might be. (I always hear that unit around Central San Rafael.)

It'd be fun to do with Marin County Sheriff too but CHP would be a heck of a lot easier because there're less of them.

-Inigo

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36106. Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 18:19:42 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36044 Message: 36106

check out his site.. That should clear alot up

http://www.parelectronics.com/scanner.htm

nina zhito wrote:

> can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get that you
> want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
> thanks, nina
>
>
> On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
>
> >
> > Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on my
> > site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
> >
> > Ben
> > www.mattlogic.com
> > Erik wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
> >>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> >>
> >> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
> >>> Jose.
> >>>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
> >>>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
> >>
> >>
> >> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma Fire
> >> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my Bendix
> >> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.
> >>
> >> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging interference.
> >> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025 (and
> >> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > ---
> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36107. Marin: SAR Search: China camp state park

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 18:33:45 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36107 Message: 36107

Woman missing overnight, Womans car found in park.... :-\

36108. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP simulcast is back up on Violet 42.16

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 18:47:00 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36070 Message: 36108

That would actually be something I'd like to put on my site...

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> Hey again Inigo,
>
> No I dont have a CHP beat map...maybe one of the stringers on the group
> does? I'd be interested in seeing it if someone does...
>
> -Roger 10:40 am
> -----Original Message-----
> From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@...]
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 2:18 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: CHP simulcast is back up on Violet 42.16
>
>
>
> Thanks! Hehe that's weird. Hey Roger on a related note, do you or
> does anyone else know if anyone's tried to make a CHP "beatmap" kind
> of thing?
>
> I'm not sure whether CHP assigns officers to segments of the freeway
> like a beat, or if everyone just hangs out at the office and a few
> cars are out just cruising the entire thing. I'm not even sure why
> I'm curious since they give their location fairly frequently anyway,
> but if you stumble onto a conversation that's in progress with "34-
> 20" for instance, it'd be cool to be able to get an idea of where
> they might be. (I always hear that unit around Central San Rafael.)
>
> It'd be fun to do with Marin County Sheriff too but CHP would be a
> heck of a lot easier because there're less of them.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36109. Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 19:48:54 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 36044 Message: 36109

How much loss do you get on say the two meter ham band, if you insert a notch filter for 152 MHZ??
----- Original Message -----
From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 9:22 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

>
> no a NOTCH filter and a BAND PASS Filter are not the same and they work differently from each other,
>
> Band Pass will block everything but the band you want, the NOTCH Filter works within the band that you are having problems with to block unwanted noise.
>
> I would try and read the ARRL's Handbook for a better understanding of how these filters work (for I know a little bit about everything and I do not know it all)
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: nina zhito
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 09:14
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
>
>
> so this is just another name for a band pass filter, i get it now.
>
> On Monday, Jan 17, 2005, at 09:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:
>
> >
> > It blocks and RF except the bands that you want, You must know what
> > you want to hear and what you want blocked.
> > I have THREE filters for my HAM gear I use a PAR Triple Notch filter
> > for pagers(cover the 2 meter band), a DCI dual Band Band Pass filter
> > (2M /70CM) and a DCI Band Pass for 2 meters
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: nina zhito
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 08:21
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
> >
> >
> > can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get that you

> > want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
> > thanks, nina
> >
> >
> > On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on
my
> >> site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
> >>
> >> Ben
> >> www.mattlogic.com
> >> Erik wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
> >>>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
> >>>> Jose.
> >>>>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
> >>>>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma
Fire
> >>> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my
> >>> Bendix
> >>> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.
> >>>
> >>> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging
interference.
> >>> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025
(and
> >>> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
> >>>
> >>>
> >
> >
> >
>
> ----------
> > -------
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> ==========
> zhito photography
> (415) 892-5443
> (707) 338-3604
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36110. Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:06:05 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36080 Message: 36110

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Hubert Stamps <kc6nah@y...> wrote:
> Is 857.9375 CDPR "Green" or Gold?
> I've seen 855.4875 listed as Green.
>
> Hubert

From the MRR (Kelty) book, 857.9375 is listed as *gold* and 855.4875 is *green*.

The Marin area parks use 857.9375 *gold*. I haven't listened much to the Marin area state parks since they moved from 44.72. Occasionally, I'll listen to the Southern/Eastern Sonoma area parks on 855.7125 *orange* (Silverado dispatch). The Central/Coastal Sonoma parks are on 858.9375 *gray* (Russian River dispatch).

36111. Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:13:39 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36044 Message: 36111

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon@j...> wrote:
> How much loss do you get on say the two meter ham band, if you insert a
> notch filter for 152 MHZ??

I would check the PAR website for more info, but it should be less than about 0.5 dB insertion loss, which is minimal. A 152 MHz notch filter should only notch out the high-power 152 meg pager transmissions, which overload the front end on many scanners.

36112. Protesters preparing for execution

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:15:56 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 36112 Message: 36112

Protesters preparing for execution
By Con Garretson
IJ reporter

Monday, January 17, 2005 - Prayers, vigil at San Quentin tomorrow

Death penalty opponents are gearing up to protest outside San Quentin State Prison tomorrow night in advance of the scheduled execution of convicted murderer Donald Jay Beardslee.

Beardslee, 61, has been on death row for 20 years after being convicted in San Mateo County for the murders of two women, Paula Geddling and Stacey Benjamin, in 1981, as part of a drug dispute. He is scheduled to die by lethal injection 12:01 a.m. Wednesday.

Beardslee, who previously served time for a Missouri murder, shot 23-year-old Geddling near Half Moon Bay. He also admitted slitting the throat of Benjamin, 19, after he and others traveled through Marin under the guise of a drug run to Lakeport.

Contrary to recent press reports that Benjamin was killed in Marin, attorneys on both sides of the case told the Independent Journal last week that Benjamin was killed in a remote area of Lake County. One court record incorrectly referenced Marin, they said.

A juror from his trial and a former San Quentin warden had called for clemency. Robert Martinez said he would have voted against the death penalty had the brain damage been revealed at trial, and Daniel Vasquez, who served as warden from 1983 to 1993, called Beardslee a "model prisoner" and called for leniency.

Several hundred people who believe the death penalty is unwarranted under any circumstances are expected to attend prayer services in Marin before assembling outside the prison.

A 25-mile "Walk for Life," which has been held before every execution since 1960, will begin at 7 a.m. tomorrow at the Legion of Honor in San Francisco. Participants expect to arrive at the prison at 6 p.m. after a stop at St. Paul's Episcopal Church in San Rafael.

A prayer service will be at the church at 1123 Court St., at 6 p.m., which has also become a pre-execution tradition.

Marion Cedarblade of San Rafael has taken part in the St. Paul service and prison protest since the death penalty was restored in California in 1978.

"I'm opposed to the death penalty, and it is one way to protest," she said. "More and more people are opposing it. We hope to help change people's minds."

Sister Marion Irvine of the Dominican Sisters' Center in San Rafael said she is among those in her religious order who have documented a request that, in the event she becomes a murder victim, that her killer not be executed.

Irvine has served in the role of "justice promoter" since her order took a formal stance against the death penalty in 2000. The Dominican nuns have hosted a vigil the night of executions since then and also have taken part in protests outside the prison's east gate.

The Dominican Sisters' Center at 1520 Grand Ave. will be open from 8 to 10:30 p.m. tomorrow, when carpooling will begin to the prison.

At 6 p.m., the center's bells will ring for two minutes in protest in accordance with the order's "For Whom The Bell Tolls" campaign. St. Paul's also plans to ring its bells on the hour from 6 p.m. to midnight.

"The death penalty is racist, classist and geographically biased," Irvine said. "Most people on death row are either minorities or poor and couldn't afford the best legal representation.

"Some jurisdictions, such as Marin and San Francisco, don't pursue the death penalty, and you're more likely to get it in some conservative counties in Southern California. We have an execution every couple of years while in Texas they have about five a month."

Irvine said the death penalty has not proved to be a social deterrent to murder. She said arguments that executions are cheaper than life sentences ignore the costs of legal appeals and the reality that most condemned inmates are incarcerated for decades anyway.

Those who turn out at the prison will be under watch by law enforcement, while prisoners inside will be under a customary lockdown for security purposes.

San Quentin spokesman Lt. Vernell Crittendon said protesters will be monitored by a "large contingent" of prison and Department of Corrections agents along with additional officers from the California Highway Patrol, Marin County Sheriff's Office, San Rafael Police Department and Twin Cities Police Authority.

"We're putting our plan together based on our intelligence gathering," he said last week. "We're looking to have 500 to 600 people turn out to exercise their first amendment rights."

Crowd sizes have varied in recent years, from about 100 for Robert Lee Massie in 2001 to around 2,000 for Kevin Cooper, who received a stay just hours before his scheduled February execution. He remains on death row.

"It varies case by case based on the person, the circumstances and their demeanor," Crittendon said. "Massie was resigned to die and did not do much community outreach, unlike Cooper."

The number of security personnel can be "scaled up or down" based on updated information, as happened for Cooper's scheduled execution when the anticipated number of protesters doubled in the preceding week.

Beardslee would become the 11th California inmate to be executed since the state reinstated the death penalty in 1978 and the first from San Mateo County.

The state Attorney General's office has neither scheduled a date nor designated a condemned inmate for the state's next execution, according to Crittendon, who said there are 641 men and 15 women on death row.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36113. Re: CHP Marin (#34) beat map

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:20:48 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36070 Message: 36113

In the past, I was told what and where the 34-unit beat zones were. They seemed to have changed slightly over the years, but along U.S. 101, the -10 was south, -50 was central, and -70 was north. -22 was the main coastal unit. They add letters (such as A-Adam, B-Boy, etc.) for additional units in those areas. I can ask again at office #34 for the latest...

36114. san quentin trunked during executions?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:29:34 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 36114 Message: 36114

During a couple of past executions, I've listened to San Quentin trunked but didn't hear anything much, any ideas for listening for those of us who don't have digital scanners for the Marin system? I would supposed CHP and maybe low band sheriff. Any info on what may happen on the prison system would be appreciated. Thanks.

36115. Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 21:08:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36115 Message: 36115

Both suspects in custody, silent code 22 lifted, units at perimeter cleared. 1308 hrs - and my first post has yet to even show.

Go YAHOO!

36116. Foot pursuit Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:46:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36116 Message: 36116

Near Home Depot - Fair Oaks area.

Sunnyvale PD 482.9625
1245 hrs

One outstanding.. NFI

36117. Sunnyvale update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 20:52:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36117 Message: 36117

(Can't reply since my original post hasn't shown)

Outstanding is a WFA 5'6 150 Dark brown hair black top (jacket) grey pants red shoes.

And something I'm just noticing since I haven't had a scanner w/o PL until recently - Sunnyvale broadcasts their callsign via morse code. It broadcasts without the PL. And should anyone transmit and interrupt it, it will stop, and then wait a few seconds, then reattempt to transmit it. So now Sunnyvale PD has a Code 22 (that's what Sunnyvale calls their priority traffic only with a tone). So - basically, the morse code would start, it would be interrupted by the code 22 tone, then a few seconds later, the morse ID starts, interrupted by the tone, etc. This went on for a good 5 minutes.

Now a silent code 22, suspect could be AFA.

Units at the Blue Bonnett. ?

36118. Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 21:22:33 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36044 Message: 36118

2db

Jim Gammon wrote:

> How much loss do you get on say the two meter ham band, if you insert a
> notch filter for 152 MHZ??
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 9:22 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
>
>
> >
> > no a NOTCH filter and a BAND PASS Filter are not the same and they work
> differently from each other,
> >
> > Band Pass will block everything but the band you want, the NOTCH Filter
> works within the band that you are having problems with to block unwanted
> noise.
> >
> > I would try and read the ARRL's Handbook for a better understanding
> of how
> these filters work (for I know a little bit about everything and I do not
> know it all)
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: nina zhito
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 09:14
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
> > >
> > >
> > so this is just another name for a band pass filter, i get it now.
> > >
> > On Monday, Jan 17, 2005, at 09:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > It blocks and RF except the bands that you want, You must know what
> > > you want to hear and what you want blocked.
> > > I have THREE filters for my HAM gear I use a PAR Triple Notch filter
> > > > for pagers(cover the 2 meter band), a DCI dual Band Band Pass
> filter
> > > > (2M /70CM) and a DCI Band Pass for 2 meters
> > > > ----- Original Message -----

> > > From: nina zhito
> > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 08:21
> > > Subject: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
> > >
> > >
> > > can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get
> that you
> > > want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
> > > thanks, nina
> > >
> > >
> > > On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
> > >
> > >>
> > >> Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on
> my
> > >> site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
> > >>
> > >> Ben
> > >> www.mattlogic.com
> > >> Erik wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>
> > >>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
> > >>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
> > >>> Jose.
> > >>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz
> band, so
> > >>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is
> enabled.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma
> Fire
> > >>> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my
> > >>> Bendix
> > >>> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good
> receiver.
> > >>>
> > >>> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging
> interference.
> > >>> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025
> (and
> > >>> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> --
> > > -------
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > > Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ==============
> > > zhito photography
> > > (415) 892-5443
> > > (707) 338-3604
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
> ----
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36119. Re: [bayscan] Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 22:07:26 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36080 Message: 36119

I was at the SAR most of the morning.

SAR was using CALCORD 1, And there was a low power repeater

on CALCORD II for ops.

154.920 & 154.935

J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36120. Re: [bayscan] Protesters preparing for execution

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 23:35:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36112 Message: 36120

hmmmmmmmmm,,,,,,,,,,,TG 62800 maybe ???

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36121. Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 23:38:11 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 36044 Message: 36121

I think the best deal is to have a good rig with triple conversion receive and enough filtering to knock out intermod. Unfortunately, I only use HT's of the Yaesu type. Doesn't much matter as I mainly use them on duckies anyway not an outside antenna.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <bkellogg9@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 1:22 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

>
> 2db
>
> Jim Gammon wrote:
>
> > How much loss do you get on say the two meter ham band, if you insert a
> > notch filter for 152 MHZ??
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
> > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 9:22 AM
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
> >
> >
> > >
> > > no a NOTCH filter and a BAND PASS Filter are not the same and they
work
> > differently from each other,
> > >
> > > Band Pass will block everything but the band you want, the NOTCH
Filter
> > works within the band that you are having problems with to block
unwanted
> > noise.
> > >
> > > I would try and read the ARRL's Handbook for a better understanding
> > of how
> > these filters work (for I know a little bit about everything and I do
not
> > know it all)
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: nina zhito
> > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com

> > > Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 09:14
> > > Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
> > >
> > >
> > > so this is just another name for a band pass filter, i get it now.
> > >
> > > On Monday, Jan 17, 2005, at 09:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > It blocks and RF except the bands that you want, You must know
what
> > > > you want to hear and what you want blocked.
> > > > I have THREE filters for my HAM gear I use a PAR Triple Notch
filter
> > > > for pagers(cover the 2 meter band), a DCI dual Band Band Pass
> > filter
> > > > (2M /70CM) and a DCI Band Pass for 2 meters
> > > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > > From: nina zhito
> > > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 08:21
> > > > Subject: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get
> > that you
> > > > want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
> > > > thanks, nina
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>
> > > >> Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen
on
> > my
> > > >> site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
> > > >>
> > > >> Ben
> > > >> www.mattlogic.com
> > > >> Erik wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>
> > > >>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
> > > >>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> > > >>>
> > > >>> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot
San
> > > >>> Jose.
> > > >>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz

> > band, so
> > > >>>>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is
> > enabled.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >>> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma
> > Fire
> > > >>> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my
> > > >>> Bendix
> > > >>> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good
> > receiver.
> > > >>>
> > > >>> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging
> > interference.
> > > >>> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025
> > (and
> > > >>> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone
squelch.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > > -------
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > > >
> > > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > >
> > > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of
> > > > Service.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > ==========
> > > zhito photography
> > > (415) 892-5443
> > > (707) 338-3604
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
> --
> > ----
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > --
> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >

> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36122. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 23:40:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36117 Message: 36122

the blue bonnett is a bar at Fair Oaks and Evelyn , the other side of the overpass from Home Depot. mobilehome park next door.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36123. Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-17 23:47:22 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36044 Message: 36123

3-5 db
----- Original Message -----
From: Jim Gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 11:48
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

How much loss do you get on say the two meter ham band, if you insert a notch filter for 152 MHZ??
----- Original Message -----
From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 9:22 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters

>
> no a NOTCH filter and a BAND PASS Filter are not the same and they work differently from each other,
>
> Band Pass will block everything but the band you want, the NOTCH Filter works within the band that you are having problems with to block unwanted noise.
>
> I would try and read the ARRL's Handbook for a better understanding of how these filters work (for I know a little bit about everything and I do not know it all)
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: nina zhito
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 09:14
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
>
>
> so this is just another name for a band pass filter, i get it now.
>
> On Monday, Jan 17, 2005, at 09:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:
>
> >
> > It blocks and RF except the bands that you want, You must know what
> > you want to hear and what you want blocked.
> > I have THREE filters for my HAM gear I use a PAR Triple Notch filter
> > for pagers(cover the 2 meter band), a DCI dual Band Band Pass filter
> > (2M /70CM) and a DCI Band Pass for 2 meters
> > ----- Original Message -----

> > From: nina zhito
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 08:21
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: inline notch filters
> >
> >
> > can someone explain to me how using these would work? i get that you
> > want to cut out what lives in that 152 range...
> > thanks, nina
> >
> >
> > On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 23:25 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> Yup I use the par ones. i have 1 for 152 & 158 which can be seen on
my
> >> site under my scanner pics... They work very well...
> >>
> >> Ben
> >> www.mattlogic.com
> >> Erik wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
> >>>> <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> ...The worst case for me is the 152 MHz paging towers that dot San
> >>>> Jose.
> >>>>> Much of San Jose area public safety is in the 151-156 MHz band, so
> >>>>> intermod is a real problem, unless the PL tone-squelch is enabled.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> I have frequent co-user interference on 154.025 between Petaluma
Fire
> >>> (primary agency for me) and Mtn View Fire. I frequently use my
> >>> Bendix
> >>> King H/T to monitor PFD, as it has tone squelch and a good receiver.
> >>>
> >>> I have heard of inline notch filters for 152 MHz paging
interference.
> >>> Has anyone here used them? I get some pager intermod on 154.025
(and
> >>> a few other VHF freqs) with my PRO-2066, which lacks tone squelch.
> >>>
> >>>
> >
> >
> >
>
> --

> > -------
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> ==========
> zhito photography
> (415) 892-5443
> (707) 338-3604
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36124. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 00:55:47 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36117 Message: 36124

At 03:40 PM 1/17/2005, you wrote:
>the blue bonnett is a bar at Fair Oaks and Evelyn , the other side of the
>overpass from Home Depot. mobilehome park next door.

Ahhh.. Ok, pass by there quite often, never bothered to catch the name. :)

36125. Re: China Camp State Park Rangers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 00:57:04 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36080 Message: 36125

lol I'm sorry guys, my mistake. My alpha tag cut off after G (I thought G for "Green") but I could have double checked it in five seconds on google.
Where is Gold dispatched from?

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Hubert Stamps <kc6nah@y...> wrote:
> > Is 857.9375 CDPR "Green" or Gold?
> > I've seen 855.4875 listed as Green.
> >
> > Hubert
>
> From the MRR (Kelty) book, 857.9375 is listed as *gold* and 855.4875
> is *green*.
>
> The Marin area parks use 857.9375 *gold*. I haven't listened much to
> the Marin area state parks since they moved from 44.72.
> Occasionally, I'll listen to the Southern/Eastern Sonoma area parks
> on 855.7125 *orange* (Silverado dispatch). The Central/Coastal
> Sonoma parks are on 858.9375 *gray* (Russian River dispatch).

36126. RE: [bayscan] san quentin trunked during executions?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 01:40:14 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36114 Message: 36126

Hmmm, as far as I know San Quentin has nothing to do with MERA. I'm not sure what the Department of Corrections people use radio or frequency wise but I believe Marin County Sheriffs and CHP will most likely be handling the crowd both of which can be listened to conventionally on 39.24 and 42.16. (or you digitally capable people can listen to sheriffs on the MERA patch TG 45616)

-Roger 17:40

From: Jim Gammon [mailto:jgammon@...]
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 12:30 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] san quentin trunked during executions?

During a couple of past executions, I've listened to San Quentin trunked but
didn't hear anything much, any ideas for listening for those of us who don't
have digital scanners for the Marin system? I would supposed CHP and maybe
low band sheriff. Any info on what may happen on the prison system would be
appreciated. Thanks.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36127. Air Ambulance call, Milpitas / Spring Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 01:42:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36127 Message: 36127

Rider thrown from horse, 3460 Calaveras road, X Spring Creek @ the Indian Hills Ranch.

Spring Valley Fire enroute, Milpitas Fire requested, CALSTAR activated.

CDF SCU, 151.445.

I'm enroute, someone else will have to update.

Evan

36128. Re: [bayscan] san quentin trunked during executions?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 01:46:48 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36114 Message: 36128

san Quentin is on a moto type I system

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=1531

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> Hmmm, as far as I know San Quentin has nothing to do with MERA. I'm
> not sure
> what the Department of Corrections people use radio or frequency wise
> but I
> believe Marin County Sheriffs and CHP will most likely be handling the
> crowd
> both of which can be listened to conventionally on 39.24 and 42.16.
> (or you
> digitally capable people can listen to sheriffs on the MERA patch TG
> 45616)
>
> -Roger 17:40
>
> From: Jim Gammon [mailto:jgammon@...]
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 12:30 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] san quentin trunked during executions?
>
>
> During a couple of past executions, I've listened to San Quentin trunked
> but
> didn't hear anything much, any ideas for listening for those of us who
> don't
> have digital scanners for the Marin system? I would supposed CHP and
> maybe
> low band sheriff. Any info on what may happen on the prison system
> would
> be
> appreciated. Thanks.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36129. Re: demonstration in SF today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 01:51:55 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 36096 Message: 36129

Went down there during my lunch hour, about 100 on each side, and
about 25 SFPD, and 3 or 4 guys in suits, with ear pieces, seemed to
be israels? walking in the crowd, but never too far from the bus.
tried, but couldn't find out what freq. they were using.
everyone was playing nice at that point.

> Some of you may have seen this on news. a bus called # 19
from
> Jerusalem that was bombed in Israel , is being brought to a rally
in
> SF at Civic Center around 1100 today. Pro - Israleli groups will
be
> there AND expect pro- Palestinian groups as well. this is what
just
> occured in Berkeley on Sunday (www.ktvu.com) Civic Center is in
> the Northern Police District A5)12976 or A6)13008 but I
expect
> SFPD may handle this on one of the following tac channels
>
> A9) 13104 A10)13136 or A11) 13168
>
> Ed

36130. Re: CLEMARS vs CALCORD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 01:53:02 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36080 Message: 36130

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:

>I was at the SAR most of the morning.
>SAR was using CALCORD 1, And there was a low power repeater
>on CALCORD II for ops.
>154.920 & 154.935
>
> J.B. AD6WX

Uh: 154.920 is CLEMARS VHF 1 and 154.935 is CLEMARS VHF 2. CALCORD would be 156.075.

36131. RE: [bayscan] san quentin trunked during executions?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 01:55:38 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36114 Message: 36131

Ahh, thanks Ben. Before I went digital I didn't have a trunking scanner of any type so I never listened in on these guys. And I had a very unique way of listening to San Rafael PD's conventional trunked system but we wont go there. :-)

I'm going to punch in the San Quentin system now and see if I can pull anything from here on my perch in Terra Linda.

-Roger 17:55
-----Original Message-----
From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 5:47 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] san quentin trunked during executions?

san Quentin is on a moto type I system

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=1531

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> Hmmm, as far as I know San Quentin has nothing to do with MERA. I'm
> not sure
> what the Department of Corrections people use radio or frequency wise
> but I
> believe Marin County Sheriffs and CHP will most likely be handling the
> crowd
> both of which can be listened to conventionally on 39.24 and 42.16.
> (or you
> digitally capable people can listen to sheriffs on the MERA patch TG
> 45616)
>
> -Roger 17:40
>
> From: Jim Gammon [mailto:jgammon@...]
> Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 12:30 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] san quentin trunked during executions?
>
>
> During a couple of past executions, I've listened to San Quentin
trunked
> but
> didn't hear anything much, any ideas for listening for those of us who
> don't
> have digital scanners for the Marin system? I would supposed CHP and

> maybe
> low band sheriff. Any info on what may happen on the prison system
> would
> be
> appreciated. Thanks.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36132. Re: [bayscan] Re: CLEMARS vs CALCORD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 02:14:15 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36080 Message: 36132

You are quite correct, for some reason the radio I was
using was mis labled (by me) .
J.B. AD6WX

Erik <esvanr@...> wrote:

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty wrote:

>I was at the SAR most of the morning.
>SAR was using CALCORD 1, And there was a low power repeater
>on CALCORD II for ops.
>154.920 & 154.935
>
> J.B. AD6WX

Uh: 154.920 is CLEMARS VHF 1 and 154.935 is CLEMARS VHF 2. CALCORD
would be 156.075.

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36133. Re: [bayscan] Re: demonstration in SF today ////// San Quentin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 02:14:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36133 Message: 36133

KRON reported no problems , the 2 groups were a good distance from each other . nice to see both sides have their say without the violence .

as far as San Quentin is concerned , they had a few arrests today , mainly from a few blocking the gate. I doubt much will happen , even if the execution is carried out. Usually a lot of people singing , carrying candles making speeches and a little while after the execution they all leave. May be some pro-death penalty people there as well ,but in the past there has been little trouble.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36134. : [bayscan] Air Ambulance call, Milpitas / Spring Valley--- S.Cruz Co

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 02:16:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36134 Message: 36134

Doesn't sound like they will get a helo , Calstar and others refusing, the ceiling is way too low tonight.

got another rescue up in the Santa Cruz mtns on CDF/CZU 151.37 includes Santa Clara Co. E4 and Santa Cruz E3661

1815

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36135. Re: san quentin trunked during executions?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 02:24:53 UTC
From: gariac450
Topic: 36114 Message: 36135

I've been to a few of these protests and they are very somber. It doesn't exactly attact the black bloc crowd, but rather Catholics in good standing. It doesn't get more well behaved than this. The biggest police action involves towing illegally parked cars.

The timing is such that this event can't make the news live (i.e. the time of reported death), so the talking heads just do their thing with the crowd as a backdrop sometime during the newscast.

http://www.lazygranch.com/death_penalty.htm

36136. SFFD Dispatch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 02:34:25 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36136 Message: 36136

George ....got anyone you can ask WHY not all
boxes,stills etc are going out on a dispatch ? I know civilians now
do the dispatching and someone said they got busy and missed
dispatches of some incident. But just now I heard an Engine asking if
he should charge the line and NO dispatch whatsover.

whats up with this stuff ??

Ed

36137. Re: [bayscan] SFFD Dispatch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:04:32 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36136 Message: 36137

I brought that question up a month or so ago. Everyone said I was missing the calls or programed my radio wrong.

It seems they are dispatching the calls over the MDT (Mobile data Terminals) and if units are in the field then they seems to send the dispatch over the radio.

I've heard numerous calls where the units just show up on scene or they say "We missed the call can you send to our MDT." Or "Our MDT isn't working can you repeat the call".

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36138. Re: [bayscan] SFFD Dispatch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:08:07 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36136 Message: 36138

yeah usually they send it to the MDT and they get a voice dispatch..
maybe they are slowly switching over to Allocution dispatching

Mobile11@... wrote:

>
>
> I brought that question up a month or so ago. Everyone said I was
> missing
> the calls or programed my radio wrong.
>
> It seems they are dispatching the calls over the MDT (Mobile data
> Terminals)
> and if units are in the field then they seems to send the dispatch
> over the
> radio.
>
> I've heard numerous calls where the units just show up on scene or
> they say
> "We missed the call can you send to our MDT." Or "Our MDT isn't
> working can
> you repeat the call".
>
>
>
>
> PY
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>

>

36139. about 2000 miles off topic...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:10:06 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 36139 Message: 36139

If anyone happens to be in DC this Thursday for the Presidential inauguration, this might be helpful, a story about all the Federal, and misc. freq. in use.
Also might be useful around here.

http://henney.com/chm/0105/CHM0105.pdf

36140. FS or trade: Motorola Spectra 800 mhz radio...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:10:09 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36140 Message: 36140

I don't really have a use for this radio anymore. Would like $100 obo OR 1 or 2 older scanners for my feed.. If you're interested in dealing a bit. email me offlist...

thanks

Ben

36141. 2nd Alarm Haz-Mat in Redwood City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:16:15 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 36141 Message: 36141

2nd alarm at Kaiser Hospital, 1150 Veterans in Redwood City. Sounds like there is a large scale liquid oxygen tank leak in the area of Marshall and Marshall Court. Haz-Mat has been enroute since the first alarm, large cloud in the area. Companies evacuating surrounding buildings.

Control 1 - 153.89
Control 5 - 156.105 (can listen in on FireDispatch.com!)

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36142. Hazmat @ Kaiser - Redwood City .....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:20:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36142 Message: 36142

Unknown substance leaking from some tank 2/3 full. Calling a 2nd alarm response on this
Control 5/ 156.105 >> live on FireDispatch.com
Control 1/ 153.89

Engines 9 10 11 14 Trucks 9- 13 BC3-4 Hazmat 20 OES 22 Hazmat 13.

2nd alarm - Engines 20 - 3 Truck 28 Breathing Support 9 BC6

1920 hrs

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36143. Re: [bayscan] FS or trade: Motorola Spectra 800 mhz radio...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:12:51 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36140 Message: 36143

OK now you need to call me
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com ; bayscan@yahoogroups.com ;
cencalfire@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 19:10
Subject: [bayscan] FS or trade: Motorola Spectra 800 mhz radio...

I don't really have a use for this radio anymore. Would like $100 obo OR
1 or 2 older scanners for my feed.. If you're interested in dealing a
bit. email me offlist...

thanks

Ben

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36144. Re: [bayscan] SFFD Dispatch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 02:59:45 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36136 Message: 36144

a lot of FIRST dispatches are going out ovet the computers and not on the air unless someone fails to hit the right key on the keyboard and than you get the e-31 are you responding
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2005 18:34
Subject: [bayscan] SFFD Dispatch

George ....got anyone you can ask WHY not all
boxes,stills etc are going out on a dispatch ? I know civilians now
do the dispatching and someone said they got busy and missed
dispatches of some incident. But just now I heard an Engine asking if
he should charge the line and NO dispatch whatsover.

whats up with this stuff ??

Ed

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36145. Re: [bayscan] SFFD Dispatch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:26:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36136 Message: 36145

ok , thanks guys.....it sucks !! IMHO :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36146. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:28:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36146 Message: 36146

IC reports tank has about 2500 gallons , responsible parties are a ways
off (missed from 1st location , 2nd guy from Sacramento)

this may go for quite awhile.

Fire Dispatch.com is good source for tac channel , control 5 / 156.105

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36147. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:36:54 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36146 Message: 36147

Thanks for the heads-up on this one, Jeff and Ed! Monitoring via FireDispatch.com as I type this.

-C-

On 1/17/05 7:28 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
> IC reports tank has about 2500 gallons , responsible parties are a ways
> off (missed from 1st location , 2nd guy from Sacramento)
>
> this may go for quite awhile.
>
> Fire Dispatch.com is good source for tac channel , control 5 / 156.105
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36148. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:43:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36146 Message: 36148

As this is my hospital (well, ok I don't own it) I know the area pretty well. there is a some residential buildings in the area , but mostly commercial. the tank is to the rear of the ER near the nutrition center in the marshall court. there is a 2nd tank nearby.

seems they are doing shelter in place with the ER which is in the rear of the main building. I wonder , however , if they will divert ambulances for this ?

anyone have an idea of the dangers of a cloud leaking from a liquid oxygen tank ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36149. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:48:54 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36146 Message: 36149

You might think they would put this on EDIS or break in on the TV with news.

PY

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36150. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:52:01 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 36146 Message: 36150

Early on, shortly after BC-3's arrival in fact, they did say that they would divert ambulances from Kaiser to other hospitals. 2500 gallons sounds like a lot of liquid oxygen to me, I wonder how long it will take to leak out.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36151. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat - 3rd alarm @ 7:52

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:53:09 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 36151 Message: 36151

I'm sure this will end up as a duplicate and/or everyone is listening, but they're calling for a 3rd alarm now.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36152. Rwd City Hazmat/ MSDS for hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:56:30 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36152 Message: 36152

Here is the Material Safety Data Sheet link for liquid oxygen:
http://www.uigi.com/MSDS_liquid_O2.html

Also firedispatch now shows on White 1.

36153. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 04:00:16 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36146 Message: 36153

Just some background on cryogenic liquid - oxygen:

The hazards associated with liquid oxygen are exposure to cold temperatures that can cause severe burns; over-pressurization due to expansion of small amounts of liquid into large volumes of gas in inadequately vented equipment; oxygen enrichment of the surrounding atmosphere; and the possibility of a combustion reaction if the oxygen is permitted to contact a non-compatible material. The low temperature of liquid oxygen and the vapors it releases not only pose a serious burn hazard to human tissue, but can also cause many materials of construction to lose their strength and become brittle enough to shatter. The large expansion ratio of liquid-to-gas can rapidly build pressure in systems where liquid can be trapped. This necessitates that these areas be identified and protected with pressure relief. This expansion ratio also allows atmospheres of oxygen-enriched air to form in the area surrounding a release. It is important to note that fire chemistry starts to change when the concentration of oxygen increases to as little as 23%. Materials easily ignited in air not only become more susceptible to ignition, but also burn with added violence in the presence of oxygen. These materials include clothing and hair, which have air spaces that readily trap the oxygen. Oxygen levels of 23% can be reached very quickly and all personnel must be aware of the hazard. Any clothing that has been splashed or soaked with liquid oxygen or exposed to high oxygen concentrations should be removed immediately and aired for at least an hour. Personnel should stay in a well-ventilated area and avoid any source of ignition until their clothing is completely free of any excess oxygen. Clothing saturated with oxygen is readily ignitable and will burn vigorously. Do not permit smoking or open flames in any areas where liquid oxygen is stored or handled. Do not permit liquid oxygen or oxygen-enriched air to come in contact with organic materials or flammable or combustible substances of any kind. Some of the organic materials that can react violently with oxygen when ignited by a spark or even a mechanical shock are oil, grease, asphalt, kerosene, cloth, tar, and dirt that may contain oil or grease. If liquid oxygen spills on asphalt or other surfaces contaminated with combustibles, do not walk on or roll equipment over the area of the spill. Keep sources of ignition away for 30 minutes after all frost or fog has disappeared.

For a really in-depth discussion:

http://www-safety.deas.harvard.edu/services/oxygen.html

On 1/17/05 7:48 PM, "Mobile11@..." <Mobile11@...> wrote:

>
>
>

> You might think they would put this on EDIS or break in on the TV with news.
>
> PY
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36154. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 04:08:49 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36146 Message: 36154

This is a little more concise as to the risk associated with a release of liquid oxygen.

In the event of a major escape of liquid or gaseous oxygen, switch off all electrical appliances in the area concerned and extinguish all naked lights. As a rough guide, experience has shown that in the case of liquid oxygen spillage, substantial oxygen enrichment occurs only within the visible cloud associated with the spill.

Firefighting materials under oxygen rich conditions should be confined to water or fire extinguishers as described in Section 5.4.

A person whose clothing catches fire should be deluged with water from a hose or series of fire buckets, and removed into the fresh air as soon as possible. It is very dangerous to attempt to rescue a person on fire in an oxygen enriched atmosphere, as the rescuer will most probably catch fire himself. (In certain cases it may be possible to enter such a space if the rescuer is totally deluged with water and protected by constant water hosing.)

36155. Re: [bayscan] Kaiser Hazmat - 3rd alarm @ 7:52

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 03:54:30 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36151 Message: 36155

feeding on feed 3

ww.mattlogic.com

regult@... wrote:

> I'm sure this will end up as a duplicate and/or everyone is listening,
> but
> they're calling for a 3rd alarm now.
>
> Jeff
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36156. Re: [bayscan] Rwd City Hazmat/ MSDS for hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 04:56:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36152 Message: 36156

Fire Dispatch.com is showing White 3 not White 1 .....being used for Hazmat teams.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36157. redwood city hazmat / 2142

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 05:42:34 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36157 Message: 36157

Bay City News advises that a third-alarm response was called tonight after a 6,000-gallon liquid oxygen tank was found to be leaking at Kaiser Foundation Hospital in Redwood City. The hospital's emergency department was shut down while nine agencies, including the San Mateo County hazardous materials team, isolated a one-square block area between Veteran and Main streets. The flow of liquid oxygen has been greatly reduced, but not contained as of 9:15 p.m according to city Fire Chief Gerry Kohlmann. One person had a heart attack at the scene of the leak, unrelated to the incident, Kohlmann said. Emergency personnel at the scene treated the individual.

==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36158. Re: Kaiser Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 05:46:20 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36146 Message: 36158

Assuming that this liquid o2 tank is installed outside and was installed according to code, a leak shouldn't pose a hazard to anyone outside the immediate area of the leak. Any electrical equipment within 100 feet of the the tank is supposed to be intrinsically safe and the concentraction of oxygen in free air falls to normal atmosphere within about 150 feet, even with a fairly large leak. Most of the leaks are actaully pressure valve failures and the liquid o2 vents through the failed pressure valve. There are are generally two pressure valves so one can be shut off and replaced while maintaining the integrity of the tank. If the tank itself it leaking, there's not much you can do except let the tank bleed off. The big problem would be for patients in the hospital who are on o2. If the tank is leaking, the piped in o2 pressure will drop quickly and they'll have to get a bunch of o2 tanks from somewhere pretty quick.

Written as a former repiratory therapist, who had to learn all about this stuff. <g>

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> This is a little more concise as to the risk associated with a release of
> liquid oxygen.
>
> ----
>
> In the event of a major escape of liquid or gaseous oxygen, switch off all
> electrical appliances in the area concerned and extinguish all naked lights.
> As a rough guide, experience has shown that in the case of liquid oxygen
> spillage, substantial oxygen enrichment occurs only within the visible cloud
> associated with the spill.
>
> Firefighting materials under oxygen rich conditions should be confined to
> water or fire extinguishers as described in Section 5.4.
>
> A person whose clothing catches fire should be deluged with water from a
> hose or series of fire buckets, and removed into the fresh air as soon as
> possible. It is very dangerous to attempt to rescue a person on

fire in an
> oxygen enriched atmosphere, as the rescuer will most probably catch
fire
> himself. (In certain cases it may be possible to enter such a space
if the
> rescuer is totally deluged with water and protected by constant
water
> hosing.)

36159. Re: [bayscan] FS or trade: Motorola Spectra 800 mhz radio...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 05:52:46 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36140 Message: 36159

At 07:12 PM 1/17/2005, you wrote:
>OK now you need to call me

Before there becomes about 400 messages on this.. can I point out the last sentence of Ben's e-mail please?

> email me offlist...

Evan

36160. Re: Marin Search

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 06:28:54 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36080 Message: 36160

The search was scaled back at 1700 today. There were no substantial clues found and three out of four tracking dogs went to the water. Marin will have their dive team check the area but the water dogs never gave any strong alerts and the area has some pretty nasty currents. The missing person has a history of suicide attempts although none involved trying to drown herself. The land areas were well covered so the assumption is that she's either in the water or outside our search area.

The frequencies used were indeed CLEMARS 1 and 2 plus 155.160.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> You are quite correct, for some reason the radio I was
> using was mis labled (by me) .
> J.B. AD6WX
>
> Erik <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty wrote:
>
> >I was at the SAR most of the morning.
> >SAR was using CALCORD 1, And there was a low power repeater
> >on CALCORD II for ops.
> >154.920 & 154.935
> >
> > J.B. AD6WX
>
> Uh: 154.920 is CLEMARS VHF 1 and 154.935 is CLEMARS VHF 2. CALCORD
> would be 156.075.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36161. Shots Fire Union City. 11:15 Pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 07:07:29 UTC
From: radiophanatic_71
Topic: 36161 Message: 36161

Report of several shots fired into or at a residence. Multiple
callers reporting shots fired and a man screaming "call 911"
A "ratty" four door white car seen leaving area. PD were called to
the same area/house earlier in the night on a vandalism call. 980
called for on UC. PD TG 1 on the Alco TRS. TG 40016

36162. Update: Shots Fired Union City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 07:43:53 UTC
From: radiophanatic_71
Topic: 36162 Message: 36162

Several PD units got to the scene fast! Called a 980 on that channel. No vics, no body hit. Now looking for the white "ratty" four-door car.

36163. Re: [bayscan] follow up for SFPD crash in Brisbane ????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 08:36:11 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36071 Message: 36163

did not hear anything about this is news or paper.. anyone else?
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 16, 2005 1:59 PM
Subject: [bayscan] follow up for SFPD crash in Brisbane ????

Anyone have more infor on the crash earlier involving the
overturned SFPD vehicle on 101 by Brisbane ??

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36164. slow posting on yahoo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 08:47:38 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 36164 Message: 36164

well I posted twice about a chase that was going on in Oakland but is now over, great one of speeds around 100 and went all over the place, into Emeryville then headed back twords Oakland.
They ended up getting into a TC and then were apprehended at gunpoint by the CHP.

Wonder when those will post?

Robert
BayScan

36165. CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 08:34:22 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 21996 Message: 36165

CHASE NOW OVER 100 MPH

NEAR 80 IN THE EAST BAY

42.440
42.160

OAKLAND MIGHT BE TAKING OVER PRIMARY

12:34

ROBERT
BAYSCAN

36166. Re: [bayscan] follow up for SFPD crash in Brisbane ????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 11:46:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36071 Message: 36166

It was on several news shows. The officer was only slightly injured which is surprising because he absolutely TOTALLY his squad car. Vehicle rolled, maybe several times and crushed the roof. Still, with a little assistance from a guy and his wife who stopped, got out of the car without extraction (I am telling ya, without knowing the story I figure this would be a JAWS situation, but it wasn't)

Vehicle he was pursuing was stolen and got away.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36167. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit in Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 11:47:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36167 Message: 36167

ok, posting at 0347

Ended up at 16th and Jefferson , 3 in custody. One SFPD officer injured , complained of back pain.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36168. late night fires.....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 12:33:03 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36168 Message: 36168

San Jose with a 2nd alarm on Boynton @ Underwood , now under
control , in an apartment building

Engines 14/10/4 Trucks 14/4 Battalions 10(IC)- 1 (Safety) Med 30

2) Engines 15- 7 Truck 9 Air 6 HIT29

under control

Mountain View with a 2nd alarm now (0430 hours) at 1902 Montecito @
Sierra Vista. fire on 2nd floor (apt 14) spreading to 2nd apt with
heavy fire in attic

1) Engines 3- 1- 5- Truck 1 Battalion 18
request another Engine - 4

2nd) Mt.View E2 Palo Alto Engines 4 & 5 S.Clara County Truck 14
Palo Alto Battalion 6

ALL FIRE FIGHTERS ordered out of the building , heavy fire working
now wires down as well

0432 hours

Ed

36169. Fire in Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 12:45:31 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 36169 Message: 36169

I just woke up to Mtn View Fire working on Monticito, unknown cross.
Expediting PG&E for fire "burning right on those lines."

Sounds like multiple divisions/agencies assisting: County Truck 14
and others.

154.025 Mtn View Fire Primary

- Brian

36170. Re: Fire in Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 13:20:47 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 36169 Message: 36170

Sorry about the duplicate, Ed. I didn't realize that anyone was brave enough to be up this early, so didn't bother reading messages. ;-)

- Brian

36171. Re: Fire in Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 13:28:19 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36169 Message: 36171

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian" <radioscanner@s...> wrote:
>
> Sorry about the duplicate, Ed. I didn't realize that anyone was
> brave enough to be up this early, so didn't bother reading
> messages. ;-)
>
> - Brian

I was wondering LOL..... UPDATE .....fire really got
hold of this place and went thru roof , caused lots of damage , Truck
1 set up ladder pipe and soaked it for a long time. PG&E (as usual)
took their sweet time but finally got there to kill power to the down
lines. They had All Mt.View units plus the M/A units working.

Mt.View E7 (off duty) is manned at Station 1. I am a bit surprized
they didn't man E6 as well. ((only 1 Engine for the entire town ??
hmmmm)
Ed/ 0528

36172. FS; Pro 2050 Scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 14:19:42 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 36172 Message: 36172

For sale;

Radio Shack Trunk tracking Scanner Model 2050
300 channel
it is allready programed for San Francisco, comes with manual, ect.

great cond. $100 or best. in San Francisco

Contact me off list please

merlinw@...

36173. Sunnyvale: Apartment fire, 305 E. Evelyn.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 19:37:17 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36173 Message: 36173

Dispatched at 1135. 305 E. Evelyn X Carroll. Apartment 301.

This is about 2 blocks away.

Fire on the (i thought they said 2nd floor). Smoke visible inside, sprinklers going off

Sending in 2 people with SCBA.

I'm enroute

36174. Sunnyvale: Pot on the stove.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 19:39:41 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36174 Message: 36174

Didn't get too far out the door. Pot on the stove. unit and hallway charged with smoke.

No more updates unless warranted.

36175. Sunnyvale Pot On The Stove

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 19:57:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36175 Message: 36175

That's gotta be one of the most expensive pot-on-the-stove (with no active fire) that I have ever heard! Quite a salvage operation going on - might be photo worthy without the flames, Evan ;^)

-C-

36176. Marin officers plan to use 'bait car' to catch thieves

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 20:02:36 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 36176 Message: 36176

Marin officers plan to use 'bait car' to catch thieves
By Gary Klien
IJ reporter

Tuesday, January 18, 2005 - Marin County reported 941 vehicle thefts in 2004, a slight drop from 2003 but still well above the theft levels of the 1990s, authorities said.

But police are about to roll out a secret weapon that could alter the picture in 2005 - a bait vehicle that alerts police when it has been stolen and can be shut off by remote command.

"Other agencies have seen significant reductions in the auto theft rate - in the 30 percent range," said Detective Mike Augustus of the Marin County Auto Theft Task Force.

The 941 vehicle thefts, a figure that includes motorcycles and trailers but excludes 19 stolen boats, is down from 966 in 2003, according to the state Department of Justice. The most frequently stolen models were not immediately available.

The 2003 figures represented a surge of about 35 percent over the previous year - and a significant shift from the late 1990s, when fewer than 500 vehicles a year were stolen in Marin.

Augustus said the persistent high level of vehicle thefts could be the result of bad economic times, when property crimes tend to rise. But he also said much of the problem can be attributed to Marin's reputation as a place where residents leave their keys in their cars.

"The most stolen car in Marin this year was the one with the keys in it - time and time again," he said. "The guys I've arrested this year tell me Marin's an easy market. Everybody leaves their keys in the cars."

Next month, the Auto Theft Task Force plans to deploy a "bait" car to attract and trap auto thieves. The task force, overseen by the Sheriff's Office and funded through vehicle license fees and state grants, bought the car from an insurance carrier for $1, then spent about $10,000 rigging it with the necessary equipment and software.

The bait vehicle will alert police when someone steals it, allow police to track it by satellite, and enable police to turn the engine off remotely so the driver can be arrested. Such vehicles have been in use for about a decade at police departments throughout the United States and Canada.

Wayne Johnson, an auto-theft investigator for the Minneapolis Police Department, said vehicle thefts plummeted about 30 percent when the city

first started using the bait cars in 1996. The department uses about a dozen bait cars rigged with cameras, microphones, remote-controlled door locks, motion detectors and global positioning satellite software.

Instead of sending expensive teams of undercover detectives on the street, Johnson can now sit back and wait for the bait car to send him a page when it has been stolen.

"In the old days, you sat on a car all night and spent $1,000 (in payroll) trying to catch one person," Johnson said. "Now you can do other things in the meantime. It costs a bunch of money to have cops sitting out there on a detail, and some departments still do that."

In Tempe, Ariz., a city of 159,000 residents in the Phoenix area, police started using bait cars about two years ago and saw vehicle thefts drop 16 percent in 2003 and 10 percent in 2004, said Tempe police Chief Ralph Tranter. About 2,500 vehicles were stolen in the city last year.

Tranter said it is difficult to tell how much of the decline is because of the bait cars and how much is because of other regional prevention efforts, but he said there is no question the bait cars are a deterrent. The cars are equipped with video cameras, and police release the crime videos to the local media.

"Quite often, it's actually hilarious," Tranter said. "You've got two suspects and they're high-fiving each other, and then you see them look in the rear-view mirror and the expression changes. Those videos are shown on the 6 and 11 o'clock news, and I think it has a tremendous impact."

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36177. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Pot On The Stove

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 20:26:07 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36175 Message: 36177

At 11:57 AM 1/18/2005, you wrote:
>That's gotta be one of the most expensive pot-on-the-stove (with no active
>fire) that I have ever heard! Quite a salvage operation going on - might be
>photo worthy without the flames, Evan ;^)

Naw, sounds like they have their hands full. One person estimated $10k
worth of damage.

36178. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Pot On The Stove

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 20:30:55 UTC
From: E.L. Mud Bogger
Topic: 36175 Message: 36178

Probably got into the cabinets above the stove, not to mention all the
smoke & water damage to the unit.

Evan Platt wrote:

> At 11:57 AM 1/18/2005, you wrote:
> >That's gotta be one of the most expensive pot-on-the-stove (with no
> active
> >fire) that I have ever heard! Quite a salvage operation going on -
> might be
> >photo worthy without the flames, Evan ;^)
>
> Naw, sounds like they have their hands full. One person estimated $10k
> worth of damage.
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36179. Re: [bayscan] Marin officers plan to use 'bait car' to catch thieves

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 20:36:46 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36176 Message: 36179

> police are about to roll out a secret weapon that could
> alter the picture in 2005 - a bait vehicle ...

So secret that it's posted on bayscan! :-)

36180. Re: [bayscan] Marin officers plan to use 'bait car' to catch thieves

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 20:44:42 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36176 Message: 36180

At 12:36 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:
>So secret that it's posted on bayscan! :-)

Come on, didn't you read? It was sold to Marin for $1. So look for a rust / primer painted 1971 Pinto. :)

Seriously, this is the USA. Everything that happens is announced beforehand. I'm surprised they didn't release the make, model and license plate of the car! People would still try to steal it anyway.

Remember Airplane!, back in 1980:

Ted: My orders came through. My squadron ships out tomorrow. We're bombing the storage depots at Daiquiri at 1800 hours. We're coming in from the north, below their radar.
Elaine: When will you be back?
Ted: I can't tell you that. It's classified.

36181. Search for woman winding down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 20:12:51 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 36181 Message: 36181

Im surprised nobody picked up any new talk groups that the Marin Search and Rescue should be using on the new radio system but maybe they are not on it yet?

Search for woman winding down
By Gary Klien
IJ reporter

Tuesday, January 18, 2005 - A second full day of intensive searching yesterday failed to turn up a 43-year-old woman last seen Saturday at China Camp State Park in San Rafael.

Park rangers and a sheriff's detective will continue looking for Amy Xu today, but the full-blown search-and-rescue effort of the past two days will not resume unless authorities get solid leads, said Mike St. John of Marin County Search and Rescue, a unit of the Sheriff's Department.

"We felt like we've exhausted everything we could do," he said. "No one has called in any credible sightings at all."

St. John said dozens of rescuers, 10 dogs and several divers and boaters searched from San Pedro Ridge to the bay, but found no sign of Xu.

The search was hampered by persistent fog in the area.

Shortly after ending their search for Xu, the rescue team was called to Mount Tamalpais to find a lost hiker near Alpine Dam. The hiker, who called 911 from his cell phone, was found around 9 p.m.

"It definitely stretches our resources," St. John said.

Xu, a San Rafael resident, was last seen Saturday afternoon at Buckeye Point, a picnic area in the state park. Authorities said Xu suffered from chronic health problems and might have been despondent.

Xu was known to walk with a limp because of a hip injury, leading investigators to believe she could not have strayed far from Buckeye Point.

Xu was described as 5-foot-5 and 118 pounds with a slight build, brown eyes and black hair, authorities said.

She was last seen wearing a blue sweatshirt and white or gray pants with white tennis shoes.

Anyone with information is asked to call 479-2311.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36182. Re: [bayscan] Marin officers plan to use 'bait car' to catch thieves

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 21:59:00 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36176 Message: 36182

"Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote:

Marin officers plan to use 'bait car' to catch thieves
By Gary Klien
IJ reporter

A Marin bait car? Sushi?

J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36183. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit in Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 22:42:30 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36167 Message: 36183

Is this the same pursuit ed? Times are kinda off .. but with yahoo you never know.. your post is at 3:47 am.. news says 12:am... not sure.. maybe a long pursuit?

The San Francisco Police Department reports that it is involved in
a high-speed pursuit, which has led them over the Bay Bridge into the East
Bay at up to speeds of 90 mph, with a vehicle that was allegedly involved in
a shooting in the Tenderloin District early this morning.
According to the police, the incident occurred at 12:16 a.m., in
the 1000 block of Turk Street, for an unknown reason.
When officers responded to the scene a pursuit ensued that led
officers over the bridge, toward Oakland on Interstate Highway 580 and back
to Emeryville.
The California Highway Patrol has joined in the pursuit, police
report.
The number or extent of possible injuries caused by the shooting
is unknown at this time.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 3:47 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Pursuit in Oakland

ok, posting at 0347

Ended up at 16th and Jefferson , 3 in custody. One SFPD officer injured ,
complained of back pain.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36184. Re: Search for woman winding down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-18 22:44:30 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36181 Message: 36184

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...>
wrote:
> Im surprised nobody picked up any new talk groups that the Marin
Search and
> Rescue should be using on the new radio system but maybe they are
not on it
> yet?

Marin SAR isn't on MERA yet. We were using CLEMARS 1 and 2 as well as SAR Primary (166.160). The comms weren't good in the area even after a repeater was set up on CLEMARS 2. The China Camp area has always been a tough place for radio communications.

There were about 30 teams involved in yesterday's operation so it will still be an issue even when Marin SAR is on MERA unless they have 40 or 50 extra MERA portables to hand out to teams. This is pretty unlikely so we will still have to rely on the radio resources bought by other teams. This means VHF simplex since those are the resources available.

Regards, Jim

36185. Coast Guard Search in SF Bay

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 01:00:46 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36185 Message: 36185

Hey guys,

It's 16:55 and I just heard KRON 4's helicopter (450.4125 MHz) talking about seeing US Coast Guard boats and a helicopter or two orbiting around the area near Alcatraz. Story is someone fell off a ferry. I'm in a crappy place for Marine band reception and my milair UHF capable scanner is in my mom's car (Doh!) but try listening for them on Channels 21A, 22A and 23A, and the newly revised UHF AM Coast Guard frequencies that were posted on this group about a month ago.

Please let me know what you hear missing the helicopters is torturous for me! lol

-Inigo

36186. Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Search in SF Bay

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 01:07:27 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36185 Message: 36186

> US Coast Guard boats and a helicopter or two
> orbiting around the area near Alcatraz.

CG helo 6505 was on his way down to Moffett to shoot some approaches and got called back for what I'm guessing is this rescue mission. He mentioned to SanFranAir on 345.0 that the rescue wimmer wasn't on board, but they could probably stop back at the airstation and pick someone up.

I haven't heard anything since then, about half an hour ago; there's a fog bank in that part of the bay, otherwise I'd have a visual. I can't see jack :-)

/jordan

17:05/PT

36187. Re: Coast Guard Search in SF Bay

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 01:18:58 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36185 Message: 36187

Gotcha, figured it was 6505 that's one of their HH-65B Dolphins. He's still messin around a couple hundred feet off the water one of KGO 810AM's traffic reporters over the bay bridge was just watching him asking about it. (They're on 450.2125 MHz).

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
> > US Coast Guard boats and a helicopter or two
> > orbiting around the area near Alcatraz.
>
> CG helo 6505 was on his way down to Moffett to shoot some approaches and
> got called back for what I'm guessing is this rescue mission. He
> mentioned to SanFranAir on 345.0 that the rescue wimmer wasn't on board,
> but they could probably stop back at the airstation and pick someone up.
>
> I haven't heard anything since then, about half an hour ago; there's a
> fog bank in that part of the bay, otherwise I'd have a visual. I can't
> see jack :-)
>
> /jordan
>
> 17:05/PT

36188. Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Search in SF Bay

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 01:20:35 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36185 Message: 36188

On 1/18/05 5:07 PM, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...> wrote:

> CG helo 6505 was on his way down to Moffett to shoot some approaches and
> got called back for what I'm guessing is this rescue mission. He
> mentioned to SanFranAir on 345.0 that the rescue wimmer wasn't on board,
> but they could probably stop back at the airstation and pick someone up.

This is precisely what happened, Jordan. Last I heard was that this is an elderly Asian male in the water, but that's about all I can add.

-C-

36189. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit in Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 01:41:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36167 Message: 36189

sounds like the same pursuit, they took powell exit , got back on 80 went to 580 got off , back ON back off at powell , thru some streets in Emeryville past IKEA and eventually the crash. news probably just had the time wrong.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36190. Re: [bayscan] MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 01:43:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 24248 Message: 36190

anybody know if they add a transmitter or something recently ? I am getting MERA a lot better today than I had been :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36191. basic question for fire buffs here...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 02:06:43 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36191 Message: 36191

hey there... hoping someone can help me get a better sense of something real basic here:

when a battalion chief "establishes incident command", what precisely happens? i.e. what is going on from a logistics and organizational standpoint? what are all the components involved in "establishing I.C." "terminating I.C." ?

thanks so much, in advance...

nina

36192. Re: [bayscan] basic question for fire buffs here...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 02:33:26 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36191 Message: 36192

At 06:06 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:
>hey there... hoping someone can help me get a better sense of something
>real basic here:
>
>when a battalion chief "establishes incident command", what precisely
>happens? i.e. what is going on from a logistics and organizational
>standpoint? what are all the components involved in "establishing
>I.C." "terminating I.C." ?
>
>thanks so much, in advance...

Accountability (i.e. what firefighter is where, who's in a building, where is interior group), etc. among other things.

It's part of the ICS - Incident Command System.

The Forestry Service has a good link for some information on it - http://www.fs.fed.us/fire/operations/niims.shtml

and then click on Incident Command System.

Hope this helps.

Evan

36193. Re: [bayscan] basic question for fire buffs here...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 02:19:00 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36191 Message: 36193

Nina, I could give you your answer here but it's long.
but the IC or Incident Commander is in charge of the Fireground the IC will tell everyone what do do.
If you would like and give me a call I could give you a better answer (I'm ICS trained for the C&CSF as an Emergency Communication spec.)
----- Original Message -----
From: nina zhito
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 18:06
Subject: [bayscan] basic question for fire buffs here...

hey there... hoping someone can help me get a better sense of something
real basic here:

when a battalion chief "establishes incident command", what precisely
happens? i.e. what is going on from a logistics and organizational
standpoint? what are all the components involved in "establishing
I.C." "terminating I.C." ?

thanks so much, in advance...

nina

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36194. Re: [bayscan] basic question for fire buffs here...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 03:00:31 UTC
From: Smokey Behr
Topic: 36191 Message: 36194

----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 6:06 PM
Subject: [bayscan] basic question for fire buffs here...

> hey there... hoping someone can help me get a better sense of something
> real basic here:
>
> when a battalion chief "establishes incident command", what precisely
> happens? i.e. what is going on from a logistics and organizational
> standpoint? what are all the components involved in "establishing
> I.C." "terminating I.C." ?
>
> thanks so much, in advance...
>
> nina

When an incident is started, the dispatch center essentially has "command" until the first unit arrives on scene. That unit then takes command from the dispatch center until either a higher ranking officer takes command or the first in unit gives command to another unit. When the incident is resolved, the on-scene incident commander terminates the incident, and the dispatch center takes command until all units are back in quarters.

The Incident Command System is a very simple, highly scalable way of managing the resources that may be assigned to an incident. ICS is used on incidents from one engine putting out a trash fire all the way to major incidents spanning large geographic areas and hundreds of apparatus and thousands of personnel.

If you'd like to like to learn the basics of ICS, you can take the course presented by FEMA at http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/IS/is195lst.asp
--
John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT, CCNA, MCSE
IPN-CAL023 N6FOG UP Fresno Sub MP183.5
List Owner x6, Moderator x4.

36195. Re: Search for woman winding down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 03:56:26 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36181 Message: 36195

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
...as well as SAR Primary (166.160).

I believe the SAR primary freq is 155.160.

Also, even when MERA is in full operation, larger scale fire/SAR incidents will most likely be run on simplex conventional VHF/UHF freqs. The Marin wildland fire plan already addresses the initial assignment of VHF high-band freqs for tactical/fireground radio comms for large fires. This is because it is easier for outside mutual aid agencies to operate on established statewide freqs.

It is also in the works for a MERA/conventional interoperability *device* (kinda like a cross-band mobile repeater unit) to be available for large-scale incidents.

36196. Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 04:05:00 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36181 Message: 36196

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...>
> wrote:
>Im surprised nobody picked up any new talk groups that the Marin
>Search and Rescue should be using on the new radio system but maybe
they are not on it yet?

From what I know, the agencies currently on MERA are: Novato PD, San Rafael PD, San Rafael DPW, San Anselmo PD, Fairfax PD, Twin Cities PD, Mill Valley PD, and all ambulance-to-hospital radio contact. I'm not sure if the DPWs of Novato, San Anselmo, and Fairfax are on it yet. There are also a few other misc users on the system, too (jail?). I'll give it a listen at work and see what I can find.

Also, Marin Co Sheriff and Marin Co Fire will probably be the last agencies to go to MERA, as the issue of the Bolinas radio site is unresolved. This greatly affects both agencies.

36197. Re: Pursuit in Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 05:52:34 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36167 Message: 36197

thats what i thought. thanks.. that would have been a good pursuit to listen to.. You did not record this by chance did you?

BTW working MED 7 right now.. You better post my major calls =) since i will be pre occupied.

Bayard

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> sounds like the same pursuit, they took powell exit , got back on 80 went to
> 580 got off , back ON back off at powell , thru some streets in Emeryville
> past IKEA and eventually the crash. news probably just had the time wrong.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36198. Re: Search for woman winding down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 05:56:04 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36181 Message: 36198

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
> >
> ...as well as SAR Primary (166.160).
>
> I believe the SAR primary freq is 155.160.

Correct, my brain wasn't as fast as my fingers. <g)

> Also, even when MERA is in full operation, larger scale fire/SAR
> incidents will most likely be run on simplex conventional VHF/UHF
> freqs. The Marin wildland fire plan already addresses the initial
> assignment of VHF high-band freqs for tactical/fireground radio
comms
> for large fires. This is because it is easier for outside mutual
aid
> agencies to operate on established statewide freqs.
>
> It is also in the works for a MERA/conventional interoperability
> *device* (kinda like a cross-band mobile repeater unit) to be
> available for large-scale incidents.

Indeed, as I wrote, mutual aid agencies will be bring in their radio caches which are primarily VHF simplex with the ability to operate on temporary repeaters also. I know that MERA already has a patch in place for some agencies (CHP and Sonoma S.O. helicopters are two that come to mind) and I assume that the goal of all agencies will be to eventually have some sort of interoperability patch. How well this works out in real life remains to be seen.

Regards, Jim

36199. Re: [bayscan] Re: Pursuit in Oakland// DUMB victim of the weak (yes WEAK)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:00:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36199 Message: 36199

no , didn't record the pursuit ....sorry (didn't hear it until they were in Oakland)

dumb victim. some S*** for brains guy just had his 99 pearl white Lexus SUV taken in a carjacking on Clarke in EPA. guy went into Eastside market , left the SUV running (as in with KEYS in it of course) comes out to find these two badasses who attack him , beat him and rip off his SUV (which has to be worth ....what , 50K or more)

EPAPD lost the vehicle on Pulgas near Runnymeade....

license......Ca 4DQB980

two BMAs both with gold teeth (they can afford gold teeth , ripping off cars like a lexus SUV !!

2200
Ed NYPD BLUE coming on , see ya

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36200. Foot Chase near HWY 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:13:18 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36200 Message: 36200

CoCoSO 155.1900

Code 33

Looking for BMA 6'2 .. Near Hwy 4.. requesting STAR Air unit..

36201. Re: Foot Chase near HWY 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:16:02 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36200 Message: 36201

Now requesting K-9 unit. Suspect is wanted for 211,487 P.C.
Also under the influance of extacy. Requesting CHP H30. STAR not
avalibe.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> CoCoSO 155.1900
>
> Code 33
>
> Looking for BMA 6'2 .. Near Hwy 4.. requesting STAR Air unit..

36202. Re: [bayscan] Re: Foot Chase near HWY 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:17:47 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 36200 Message: 36202

Possible escapee from Byron Boys Ranch

----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 10:16 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Foot Chase near HWY 4

Now requesting K-9 unit. Suspect is wanted for 211,487 P.C.
Also under the influance of extacy. Requesting CHP H30. STAR not
avalibe.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> CoCoSO 155.1900
>
> Code 33
>
> Looking for BMA 6'2 .. Near Hwy 4.. requesting STAR Air unit..

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.7.0 - Release Date: 1/17/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.7.0 - Release Date: 1/17/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36203. Re: Pursuit in Oakland// DUMB victim of the weak (yes WEAK)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:22:56 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36199 Message: 36203

ROFL .. "Bling Bling"

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> no , didn't record the pursuit ....sorry (didn't hear it until
they were
> in Oakland)
>
>
> dumb victim. some S*** for brains guy just had his 99 pearl
white Lexus
> SUV taken in a carjacking on Clarke in EPA. guy went into Eastside
market , left
> the SUV running (as in with KEYS in it of course) comes out to
find these
> two badasses who attack him , beat him and rip off his SUV (which
has to be
> worth ....what , 50K or more)
>
> EPAPD lost the vehicle on Pulgas near Runnymeade....
>
> license......Ca 4DQB980
>
> two BMAs both with gold teeth (they can afford gold teeth ,
ripping off cars
> like a lexus SUV !!
>
> 2200
> Ed NYPD BLUE coming on , see ya
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36204. Re: [bayscan] Re: Foot Chase near HWY 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:19:05 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36200 Message: 36204

run forest run

Danny Monge wrote:

> Possible escapee from Byron Boys Ranch
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: stfucode3
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 10:16 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Foot Chase near HWY 4
>
>
>
> Now requesting K-9 unit. Suspect is wanted for 211,487 P.C.
> Also under the influance of extacy. Requesting CHP H30. STAR not
> avalibe.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> >
> > CoCoSO 155.1900
> >
> > Code 33
> >
> > Looking for BMA 6'2 .. Near Hwy 4.. requesting STAR Air unit..
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>

>
> ---
>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.7.0 - Release Date: 1/17/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.7.0 - Release Date: 1/17/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36205. Re: [bayscan] Re: Foot Chase near HWY 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:30:29 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 36200 Message: 36205

Confirmed escapee from Boys Ranch and only one missing from head count.

----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 10:19 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Foot Chase near HWY 4

run forest run

Danny Monge wrote:

> Possible escapee from Byron Boys Ranch
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: stfucode3
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 10:16 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Foot Chase near HWY 4
>
>
>
> Now requesting K-9 unit. Suspect is wanted for 211,487 P.C.
> Also under the influance of extacy. Requesting CHP H30. STAR not
> avalibe.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> >
> > CoCoSO 155.1900
> >
> > Code 33
> >
> > Looking for BMA 6'2 .. Near Hwy 4.. requesting STAR Air unit..
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.7.0 - Release Date: 1/17/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.7.0 - Release Date: 1/17/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.7.0 - Release Date: 1/17/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.7.0 - Release Date: 1/17/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36206. SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:53:10 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36206 Message: 36206

Calling 2nd alarm upon arrival.
2620 Alvin @ Flanigan

Confirmed children trapped.

Multi unit apt. complex, building halfway involved.

Children trapped on 2nd floor, children trapped on balcony.

Look for this to go to 3rd alarm for manpower soon.

Command 4.. don't have freq handy.. sorry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36207. SJ Alvin Fire now 3rd Alarm 2300 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:02:00 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36207 Message: 36207

Command 4
153.980

Alvin @ Flannigan
Pinewood Terrace Apartments

Reports of multiple subjects trapped, multi unit apt building, half involved.

36208. Re: SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:02:01 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36206 Message: 36208

Now 3rd Alarm

1st Alarm Assignment

E16, E26, USR, E24, T18, B2

2nd Alarm Assignment

B13, E21, E31, T2

3rd Alarm Assignment

E2, E11, T3

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> Calling 2nd alarm upon arrival.
> 2620 Alvin @ Flanigan
>
> Confirmed children trapped.
>
> Multi unit apt. complex, building halfway involved.
>
> Children trapped on 2nd floor, children trapped on balcony.
>
> Look for this to go to 3rd alarm for manpower soon.
>
> Command 4.. don't have freq handy.. sorry
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36209. Re: SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:04:55 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36206 Message: 36209

Command Requesting second AMR Code3 for smoke inhalation near the
pool area. SJPD on scene with the patient.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Now 3rd Alarm
>
> 1st Alarm Assignment
>
> E16, E26, USR, E24, T18, B2
>
> 2nd Alarm Assignment
>
> B13, E21, E31, T2
>
> 3rd Alarm Assignment
>
> E2, E11, T3
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> > Calling 2nd alarm upon arrival.
> > 2620 Alvin @ Flanigan
> >
> > Confirmed children trapped.
> >
> > Multi unit apt. complex, building halfway involved.
> >
> > Children trapped on 2nd floor, children trapped on balcony.
> >
> > Look for this to go to 3rd alarm for manpower soon.
> >
> > Command 4.. don't have freq handy.. sorry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36210. Re: SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:13:04 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36206 Message: 36210

Oh yea MED 30 is on scene as well. Second AMR unit to staging now.
Stil searching for more victims.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Command Requesting second AMR Code3 for smoke inhalation near the
> pool area. SJPD on scene with the patient.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> >
> > Now 3rd Alarm
> >
> > 1st Alarm Assignment
> >
> > E16, E26, USR, E24, T18, B2
> >
> > 2nd Alarm Assignment
> >
> > B13, E21, E31, T2
> >
> > 3rd Alarm Assignment
> >
> > E2, E11, T3
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> > > Calling 2nd alarm upon arrival.
> > > 2620 Alvin @ Flanigan
> > >
> > > Confirmed children trapped.
> > >
> > > Multi unit apt. complex, building halfway involved.
> > >
> > > Children trapped on 2nd floor, children trapped on balcony.
> > >
> > > Look for this to go to 3rd alarm for manpower soon.
> > >
> > > Command 4.. don't have freq handy.. sorry
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36211. SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:19:56 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36211 Message: 36211

Sounds like they are having access problems and trouble getting water supply to the C side of the fire.

Engine 30 running out of water.

Command 4
153.980

36212. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:20:32 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36206 Message: 36212

Can someone confirm - is this a 3-alarm fire now?

On 1/18/05 11:13 PM, "stfucode3" <Charger@...> wrote:

>
>
> Oh yea MED 30 is on scene as well. Second AMR unit to staging now.
> Stil searching for more victims.
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>>
>> Command Requesting second AMR Code3 for smoke inhalation near the
>> pool area. SJPD on scene with the patient.
>>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>>>
>>> Now 3rd Alarm
>>>
>>> 1st Alarm Assignment
>>>
>>> E16, E26, USR, E24, T18, B2
>>>
>>> 2nd Alarm Assignment
>>>
>>> B13, E21, E31, T2
>>>
>>> 3rd Alarm Assignment
>>>
>>> E2, E11, T3
>>>
>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
>>>> Calling 2nd alarm upon arrival.
>>>> 2620 Alvin @ Flanigan
>>>>
>>>> Confirmed children trapped.
>>>>
>>>> Multi unit apt. complex, building halfway involved.
>>>>
>>>> Children trapped on 2nd floor, children trapped on balcony.
>>>>
>>>> Look for this to go to 3rd alarm for manpower soon.
>>>>
>>>> Command 4.. don't have freq handy.. sorry
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36213. Re: [bayscan] SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 06:52:51 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36206 Message: 36213

feed 3
www.mattlogic.com

KG6VXT wrote:

> Calling 2nd alarm upon arrival.
> 2620 Alvin @ Flanigan
>
> Confirmed children trapped.
>
> Multi unit apt. complex, building halfway involved.
>
> Children trapped on 2nd floor, children trapped on balcony.
>
> Look for this to go to 3rd alarm for manpower soon.
>
> Command 4.. don't have freq handy.. sorry
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36214. Re: [bayscan] SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin-- TAC channel is 6 - 153.83 EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:24:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36214 Message: 36214

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36215. Re: [bayscan] SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin-- TAC channel is 6 - 153.83 EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:28:39 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36214 Message: 36215

Thanks Ed -

I am probably 15-20 minutes away from this incident - think it's worth it to mount up at this point?

-C-

On 1/18/05 11:24 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
>
>
> ED

36216. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire, 3rd alarm 2330 hrs. Alvin Ave

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:32:30 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36206 Message: 36216

At 11:20 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:

>Can someone confirm - is this a 3-alarm fire now?

Confirmed 3rd alarm, access problems on the exposures.

Just now getting a significant amout of water on it.

Lots of rescues, 2 amr rigs code 3 to the scene.

PD evacuating exposures, now have a medical call on
Bowling Green, trying to get a unit to respond.

Command 4 153.980
TAC 6 153.830

Fireground comms streaming at
radio.scannerbuff.net:8767
on teamspeak server

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36217. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:05:00 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36206 Message: 36217

anbody know how deep SJ has to be in alarms before Mutual aid sets in?

stfucode3 wrote:

>
> Now 3rd Alarm
>
> 1st Alarm Assignment
>
> E16, E26, USR, E24, T18, B2
>
> 2nd Alarm Assignment
>
> B13, E21, E31, T2
>
> 3rd Alarm Assignment
>
> E2, E11, T3
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> > Calling 2nd alarm upon arrival.
> > 2620 Alvin @ Flanigan
> >
> > Confirmed children trapped.
> >
> > Multi unit apt. complex, building halfway involved.
> >
> > Children trapped on 2nd floor, children trapped on balcony.
> >
> > Look for this to go to 3rd alarm for manpower soon.
> >
> > Command 4.. don't have freq handy.. sorry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>

> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36218. Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:31:52 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36211 Message: 36218

Whats the tone for Command 4? I am listing to this on my MED 7 Portable but im not hearing the ground units.. mostly command talking. trying to program this into my BC =)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> Sounds like they are having access problems and trouble getting water
> supply to the C side of the fire.
>
> Engine 30 running out of water.
>
> Command 4
> 153.980

36219. Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:33:43 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36211 Message: 36219

never mind i got it..

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Whats the tone for Command 4? I am listing to this on my MED 7
> Portable but im not hearing the ground units.. mostly command
> talking. trying to program this into my BC =)
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> > Sounds like they are having access problems and trouble getting
> water
> > supply to the C side of the fire.
> >
> > Engine 30 running out of water.
> >
> > Command 4
> > 153.980

36220. Re: SJ and mutual aid

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:39:24 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36206 Message: 36220

At 11:05 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:

>anbody know how deep SJ has to be in alarms before Mutual aid sets in?

Santana Row was 11 or 12 alarms.

I think they went mutual aid after 5.

It would also depend on where in the city. If it's on the border
with another agency/city, it may be automatic aid for multiple alarms.

Monitor Fire Red 33.960 156.7ctcss

They give updates to other departments on that ECC channel.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36221. Re: [bayscan] SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin-- TAC channel is 6 - 153.83 EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:36:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36214 Message: 36221

craig , go for it

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36222. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:36:02 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36211 Message: 36222

At 11:31 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:

>Whats the tone for Command 4? I am listing to this on my MED 7
>Portable but im not hearing the ground units.. mostly command
>talking. trying to program this into my BC =)

You're too far. Probably won't hear anything unless you're 2-3 miles away
on the command frequencies.

Evan

36223. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:43:12 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36211 Message: 36223

At 11:31 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:

>Whats the tone for Command 4? I am listing to this on my MED 7
>Portable but im not hearing the ground units.. mostly command
>talking. trying to program this into my BC =)

173.8

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36224. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:41:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36211 Message: 36224

I am not getting mobiles on command 4 either .....must have killed the repeater ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36225. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:44:57 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36211 Message: 36225

At 11:36 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:

>At 11:31 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Whats the tone for Command 4? I am listing to this on my MED 7
> >Portable but im not hearing the ground units.. mostly command
> >talking. trying to program this into my BC =)
>
>You're too far. Probably won't hear anything unless you're 2-3 miles away
>on the command frequencies.
>
>Evan

Command 4 is one of the few (only) repeated San Jose fire channels.

You will probably get it in Sunnyvale.

Tac 6 is another story. Strictly simplex.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36226. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ and mutual aid

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:43:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36206 Message: 36226

Usually 4th alarm.....Santana Row went to mutual aid on the 4th alarm and then they requested 2 Strike Teams after the 6th alarm

I heard the WHOLE thing and have the SJFD report on it (hard copy only.....sorry)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36227. Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:45:30 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36211 Message: 36227

I'm not hearng anything on the tac channel but I am hearing both
ground units and command on C4

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 11:31 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Whats the tone for Command 4? I am listing to this on my MED 7
> >Portable but im not hearing the ground units.. mostly command
> >talking. trying to program this into my BC =)
>
> You're too far. Probably won't hear anything unless you're 2-3
miles away
> on the command frequencies.
>
> Evan

36228. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:53:30 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36211 Message: 36228

At 11:45 PM 1/18/2005, you wrote:

>I'm not hearng anything on the tac channel but I am hearing both
>ground units and command on C4

They seem to be having a problem with command 4 now.. sounds like 2
repeaters keying
at the same time, nobody can hear fireground traffic.

Alvin Com advising he "can't hear the field units anymore since comm
switched the repeater".

Time to invest in that high band beam for Tac channel traffic I guess ;-)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36229. Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:50:55 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36211 Message: 36229

Yup command 4 is now having problems hearing ground units. LOL glad to know its not just me.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I am not getting mobiles on command 4 either .....must have killed the
> repeater ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36230. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave CHATROOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 07:51:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36230 Message: 36230

g.bayscan:1 chat is open

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36231. Re: SJ Fire, 2nd alarm 2250hrs. Alvin Ave CHATROOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 08:03:56 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36230 Message: 36231

=(oh well. My AMR issued laptop does not allow for the chat feature
to be used. But i dont think there is going to be much to chat about.
IC advising everyone to "Vacate now!" Defensive operations with
master streams going into place soon.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> g.bayscan:1 chat is open
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36232. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 3rd Alarm on Alvin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 08:18:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36211 Message: 36232

weird , only my scanner with the extenal antenna is getting it , so i CRANKED it up .....(its in the bedroom) LOL

sounds like it really is cooking.....hope they all got out , anyone hear anymore on the kids trapped ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36233. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 4th Alarm on Alvin Mutual aid units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 08:24:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36233 Message: 36233

Milpitas sending Engine 4, Truck 1 and Battalion 19 Santa Clara City sending Engine 1 on the 4th alarm.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36234. Re: SJ Fire 4th Alarm on Alvin Mutual aid units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 08:35:27 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36233 Message: 36234

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> Milpitas sending Engine 4, Truck 1 and Battalion 19
Santa Clara
> City sending Engine 1 on the 4th alarm.
> ED
>
>
> Sounds like they are being assigned to division D , running master
streams.

yahoo kicked me off the chatroom <grrr> I am not going to fight
with it allnight , for those still there....

36235. Shots Fired in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 08:56:46 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36235 Message: 36235

Citizens report of hearing several shots fired broadcast by CHP for officer safety on the "Violet" - 42.16 MHz. I'm sorry but I didn't catch the street, I think it started with a B.

Anyone with a scanner capable of Twin Cities PD on MERA who is awake at this hour please expand on this info. This is an extremely un-common event for that area. 00:56 hrs.

-Inigo

36236. RE: [bayscan] Shots Fired in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 09:16:15 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36235 Message: 36236

Hey Inigo,

I'm not hearing anything yet on Twin Cities TG 58448...I had it locked out though. Also, it looks as if CHP 42.16 is back to not simulcasting the cars.

I will post if I hear anything important but I will be turning in soon.

-Roger 1:15am

-----Original Message-----
From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@...]
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2005 12:57 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Shots Fired in Larkspur

Citizens report of hearing several shots fired broadcast by CHP for officer safety on the "Violet" - 42.16 MHz. I'm sorry but I didn't catch the street, I think it started with a B.

Anyone with a scanner capable of Twin Cities PD on MERA who is awake at this hour please expand on this info. This is an extremely un-common event for that area. 00:56 hrs.

-Inigo

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36237. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 4th Alarm on Alvin Mutual aid units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 09:21:43 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36233 Message: 36237

Just got back from this fire - one word sums this incident up - UGLY!

Not much of a story to tell, but there are a whole lot of folks with no place to live this morning. I saw the mutual aid units as they arrived, but the photo opportunities were scarce at best.

I think there were more cops than firefighters!

At any rate, I did pop off a couple hundred shots hoping to catch just the right moment when they opened up on this beast with the master stream. We shall see.

ED - Thanks for the nudge ;^) Got to see some friends while I was over there, too!

-C-

36238. Re: Shots Fired in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 09:27:18 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36235 Message: 36238

Probably someone's car backfiring or something lol. I can see why you locked out Twin Cities, Larkspur and Corte Madera are too rich for anything interesting to ever happen there. ;)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Hey Inigo,
>
> I'm not hearing anything yet on Twin Cities TG 58448...I had it locked out
> though. Also, it looks as if CHP 42.16 is back to not simulcasting the cars.
>
> I will post if I hear anything important but I will be turning in soon.
>
> -Roger 1:15am
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@m...]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2005 12:57 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Shots Fired in Larkspur
>
>
>
> Citizens report of hearing several shots fired broadcast by CHP for
> officer safety on the "Violet" - 42.16 MHz. I'm sorry but I didn't
> catch the street, I think it started with a B.
>
> Anyone with a scanner capable of Twin Cities PD on MERA who is awake
> at this hour please expand on this info. This is an extremely un-
> common event for that area. 00:56 hrs.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36239. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 4th Alarm on Alvin Mutual aid units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 09:30:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36233 Message: 36239

Craig , as I recall ....Alvin is NOT the best of neighborhoods , is it ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36240. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 4th Alarm on Alvin Mutual aid units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 09:42:09 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36233 Message: 36240

As neighborhoods go - nope, it isn't.

On 1/19/05 1:30 AM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
> Craig , as I recall ....Alvin is NOT the best of neighborhoods , is it ?
>
> ED

36241. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 4th Alarm on Alvin Mutual aid units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 09:47:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36233 Message: 36241

did you catch any update on the rescues ?? how many transported ?

bothers me about the kids maybe trapped ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36242. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 4th Alarm on Alvin Mutual aid units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 10:01:14 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36233 Message: 36242

Couldn't get any information while I was there - there was no SJFD PIO on scene and the PD supervisor was less than helpful. I'll talk to SJFD tomorrow at some point.

On 1/19/05 1:47 AM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> did you catch any update on the rescues ?? how many transported ?
>
> bothers me about the kids maybe trapped ??

36243. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJ Fire 4th Alarm on Alvin Mutual aid units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 10:04:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36233 Message: 36243

ok.................Engines 23 - 4 and USAR 13 now on scene for relief purposes..... relieving E11 USAR 16 and ?? not sure who else

I'll flip KGO radio on at 2:30 for the fire , wondering about the kids.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36244. Fire Sunnyvale..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 10:35:58 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36244 Message: 36244

Im responding01 Hollenbeck =) 16

36245. Re: Fire Sunnyvale..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 12:07:38 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36244 Message: 36245

bah... canceled enroute.. janitor saw some sparks from an outlet and
cried wolf.. No fire.. ugh.. but back to back medicals right after..
finnaly going to bed now.. 4:09AM..

Bayard

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Im responding 1601 Hollenbeck =)

36246. chase 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 12:07:42 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 29223 Message: 36246

Chase now 42.440

4:06 am

Robert
BayScan

I know what the hell am I doing up :)

36247. Re: [bayscan] Fire Sunnyvale..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 13:18:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36244 Message: 36247

electrical short ? real exciting LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36248. Re: [bayscan] 4th alarm San Jose final update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 13:26:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36248 Message: 36248

They called it under control at 0317 hours.....final toll
3 injuried , 1 fire fighter and 2 civilians ......none real serious

10 units destroyed , 53 people displaced.....

channels 2 and 4 had great video (the SAME video) think was really cooking
, showed fire coming out both front and rear of building on both floors.

they also had video of the crash , I believe it was Ben who posted it , in
Alameda..... nasty

one car hit a tree or pole and split in half , the other , a BMW wiped out
big time. they believe they were racing @ 100mph.

body found in bay near Crissyfield was an Asian Man , 91 years old and they
say he was the one on the red/white fleet - Bay Cruise Harbor
Queen.............only 7 passengers (bit cold on the bay huh ?)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36249. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 13:34:00 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36181 Message: 36249

Some of the agencies quoted below are on, but do not as of yet have their MERA radios . They are rebroadcast.
J.B. AD6WX

Erik <esvanr@...> wrote:

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford"
> wrote:
>Im surprised nobody picked up any new talk groups that the Marin
>Search and Rescue should be using on the new radio system but maybe they are not on it yet?

From what I know, the agencies currently on MERA are: Novato PD, San Rafael PD, San Rafael DPW, San Anselmo PD, Fairfax PD, Twin Cities PD, Mill Valley PD, and all ambulance-to-hospital radio contact. I'm not sure if the DPWs of Novato, San Anselmo, and Fairfax are on it yet. There are also a few other misc users on the system, too (jail?). I'll give it a listen at work and see what I can find.

Also, Marin Co Sheriff and Marin Co Fire will probably be the last agencies to go to MERA, as the issue of the Bolinas radio site is unresolved. This greatly affects both agencies.

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36250. Re: 4th alarm San Jose final update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 14:30:01 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36248 Message: 36250

I just got back from a call.. So much for sleeping =\.

At least i dont need to watch the news this morning because ED just posted the entire morning news on bayscan. Thanks for saving me time ED. Next time can you post quick video bits of the fires and wrecks also. j/k =)

going to try this whole bed thing one more time
MED 7 in quarters and in bed 6:31AM

Bayard

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> They called it under control at 0317 hours........final toll
> 3 injuried , 1 fire fighter and 2 civilians ......none real serious
>
> 10 units destroyed , 53 people displaced.......
>
> channels 2 and 4 had great video (the SAME video) think was really cooking
> , showed fire coming out both front and rear of building on both floors.
>
> they also had video of the crash , I believe it was Ben who posted it , in
> Alameda..... nasty
>
> one car hit a tree or pole and split in half , the other , a BMW wiped out
> big time. they believe they were racing @ 100mph.
>
> body found in bay near Crissyfield was an Asian Man , 91 years old and they
> say he was the one on the red/white fleet - Bay Cruise Harbor
> Queen..............only 7 passengers (bit cold on the bay huh ?)
>
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36251. Re: [bayscan] Re: 4th alarm San Jose final update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 14:48:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36248 Message: 36251

well, ok one more thing ..... est damage.....$3 Million

and the crash in alameda was a Mercedes that split in half and the BMW I mentioned. for some reason APD has NOT towed the vehicles yet ,,,, not sure why not , no reported fatalities.

0648 hrs

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36252. Firefeeds.com SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 15:03:04 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 36252 Message: 36252

While SF is still free I did some changes here to the feed.
if someone (maybe ED) would log on to www.firefeeds.com and click
on the link for SF and listen to it and see if you get SPLIT AUDIO
Main dispatch on the Right channel and TAC channel are going to on
LEFT Channel and big events will be on the left Channel.

Thanks
Scanner4

36253. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds.com SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 15:05:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36252 Message: 36253

I'll check.....listening to it right now .....0705 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36254. Firefeeds.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 14:48:48 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 34957 Message: 36254

While SF is still free I did some changes here to the feed.
if someone (maybe ED) would log on to www.firefeeds.com and click on the link for SF and listen to it and see if you get SPLIT AUDIO Main dispatch on the Right channel and TAC channel are going to on LEFT Channel and big events will be on the left Channel.

Thanks
Scanner4

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36255. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds.com SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 15:24:09 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36252 Message: 36255

great, nothing going on now
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2005 07:05
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds.com SF

I'll check.....listening to it right now .....0705 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36256. power problems in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 16:18:55 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 36256 Message: 36256

Noticed several, about 4 power surges here in the downtown area,
SFFD responding to many alarms set off.

36257. Re: [bayscan] Re: 4th alarm San Jose final update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 17:01:06 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36248 Message: 36257

At 06:30 AM 1/19/2005, you wrote:

>going to try this whole bed thing one more time
>MED 7 in quarters and in bed 6:31AM

And if you're still on and trying to sleep.. Medical at 09:00.

No sleep for you this night it sounds like!

Evan

36258. This could be humorous...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 17:14:16 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36258 Message: 36258

"Sunnyvale fire, engine 4, we need another apparatus to take this call for us, we're having mechanical problems. We're not moving."
"Uhhhhh Sunnyvale fire, engine 4, cancel the last, we're moving."

Wouldn't it be kind of embarrassing to have to get a jump start in front of a persons house who they just helped into an ambulance? :)

36259. Re: [bayscan] ICS question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 17:05:38 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36191 Message: 36259

thanks to everyone who pointed me to so many useful resources and
offered their willingness to help... i'll start with the online stuff...

the list rox!

On Tuesday, Jan 18, 2005, at 19:00 US/Pacific, Smokey Behr wrote:
>
> When an incident is started, the dispatch center essentially has
> "command"
> until the first unit arrives on scene. That unit then takes command
> from the
> dispatch center until either a higher ranking officer takes command or
> the
> first in unit gives command to another unit. When the incident is
> resolved,
> the on-scene incident commander terminates the incident, and the
> dispatch
> center takes command until all units are back in quarters.
>
> The Incident Command System is a very simple, highly scalable way of
> managing the resources that may be assigned to an incident. ICS is
> used on
> incidents from one engine putting out a trash fire all the way to major
> incidents spanning large geographic areas and hundreds of apparatus and
> thousands of personnel.
>
> If you'd like to like to learn the basics of ICS, you can take the
> course
> presented by FEMA at http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/IS/is195lst.asp
> --
> John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT, CCNA, MCSE
> IPN-CAL023 N6FOG UP Fresno Sub MP183.5
> List Owner x6, Moderator x4.
>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36260. Re: 4th alarm San Jose final update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 18:34:58 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36248 Message: 36260

OMG please make the bad man stop.. It has been non stop since 2am. Im going to try bed again.. I got about 45min total of sleep =(.

Calls for me at:
2:34
3:02
3:35
5:43
8:11
9:00
9:16
9:40

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 06:30 AM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
>
> >going to try this whole bed thing one more time
> >MED 7 in quarters and in bed 6:31AM
>
> And if you're still on and trying to sleep.. Medical at 09:00.
>
> No sleep for you this night it sounds like!
>
> Evan

36261. Re: [bayscan] Re: 4th alarm San Jose final update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 18:56:21 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36248 Message: 36261

Ah yes, the glamour of being a first responder - ;^)

-C-

On 1/19/05 10:34 AM, "stfucode3" <Charger@...> wrote:

>
> OMG please make the bad man stop.. It has been non stop since 2am. Im
> going to try bed again.. I got about 45min total of sleep =(.
>
> Calls for me at:
> 2:34
> 3:02
> 3:35
> 5:43
> 8:11
> 9:00
> 9:16
> 9:40

36262. TV Channel 5 audio on 161.670

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 19:02:05 UTC
From: Michael Wright
Topic: 36262 Message: 36262

hi all,

Here in south bay area (mountain view) I can hear the audio of
Channel 5 on 161.670.

Mike
1100 hours

36263. Re: [bayscan] Re: 4th alarm San Jose final update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 19:58:14 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36248 Message: 36263

At 10:56 AM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
>Ah yes, the glamour of being a first responder - ;^)

And working the Sunnyvale Med unit guarantees non stop calls.

You can tell the good ones (and Bayard is one of them) - you'll hear say Med 7 on a call, and another call will come in, med-8 will be dispatched, and right after the dispatch "Sunnyvale Fire, Med-7, I'm clearing this call, I can take Med-8's call".

Although, I'm betting he's on No-Doz. :)

36264. Quoting on AOL...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 20:01:06 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36264 Message: 36264

Thank you Roger..

It's so easy, it should have been obvious....

To quote the original message in a reply with AOL:
* Open the message you want to reply to in AOL.
* Highlight the part of the message you want to include in your reply
with the mouse.
* Click Reply.

AOL automatically includes the highlighted text in your reply, indented by
a quotation bar.

I'm still smacking my head in disbeief. :)

Evan

36265. Images - San Jose 4-Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 23:24:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36265 Message: 36265

For those interested, here are a few shots from the big San Jose fire last night/this morning.

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_002.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_003.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_007.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_011.jpg

Access, or at least freedom of movement for members of the press was severely restricted by the time I arrived - hence the common perspective for these shots. Wish I had had the gumption to get over there when KRON did :-(

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

36266. Re: Images - San Jose 4-Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 23:36:31 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 36265 Message: 36266

Love that last one Craig! It seems so sureal. But I especially like
how the street sign is light up and there is an "Apartment Avalible"
sign with the apartment ablaze in the background.

What kinda camera setup were you using? Lens?

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> For those interested, here are a few shots from the big San Jose
fire last
> night/this morning.
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_002.jpg
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_003.jpg
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_007.jpg
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_011.jpg
>
> Access, or at least freedom of movement for members of the press was
> severely restricted by the time I arrived - hence the common
perspective for
> these shots. Wish I had had the gumption to get over there when
KRON did :-(
>
>
> ----------
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@c...
> emergencyphoto@g...
>
> travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature
> ----------

36267. Re: [bayscan] Images - San Jose 4-Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-19 23:38:35 UTC
From: Tony Schollar
Topic: 36265 Message: 36267

Hey Craig, aswsome pics,

just want to know what kind of camera takes so
excellent pictures, guessing its digital.

--- Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:

> For those interested, here are a few shots from the
> big San Jose fire last
> night/this morning.
>
>
http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_002.jpg
>
>
http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_003.jpg
>
>
http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_007.jpg
>
>
http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_011.jpg
>
> Access, or at least freedom of movement for members
> of the press was
> severely restricted by the time I arrived - hence
> the common perspective for
> these shots. Wish I had had the gumption to get over
> there when KRON did :-(
>
>
>

> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@...
> emergencyphoto@...
>
> travel // aviation // railroad // emergency //
> nature
>

>

>

36268. Re: [bayscan] Images - San Jose 4-Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 00:07:37 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36265 Message: 36268

Hi Tony and Sagar -

Last night I ran out of the house, with some prompting from some guy named ED, and I grabbed the closest camera that was ready for action (meaning in my bag) - the Canon EOS Digital Rebel. I left the big gun at home for this incident (EOS 1D) since it is having some 'issues' at the moment.

As for lens selection - everything was shot with a Sigma 70-200 f/2.8. I did use a fence to steady myself for some of the shots since I wanted to drop the ISO down from 800 to 400.

Glad you guys like the images - thanks for the kind words!!!

Cheers!

Craig

On 1/19/05 3:38 PM, "Tony Schollar" <hydrant_boy02@...> wrote:

>
> Hey Craig, aswsome pics,
>
> just want to know what kind of camera takes so
> excellent pictures, guessing its digital.
>
>
> --- Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>> For those interested, here are a few shots from the
>> big San Jose fire last
>> night/this morning.
>>
>>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_002.jpg
>>
>>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_003.jpg
>>
>>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_007.jpg
>>
>>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/alvin/alvin_011.jpg
>>
>> Access, or at least freedom of movement for members
>> of the press was
>> severely restricted by the time I arrived - hence

>> the common perspective for
>> these shots. Wish I had had the gumption to get over
>> there when KRON did :-(
>>
>>
>>
> --
>> craig allyn rose photography
>>
>> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
>> http://www.carosephoto.com
>>
>> craig@...
>> emergencyphoto@...
>>
>> travel // aviation // railroad // emergency //
>> nature
>>
> --
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36269. Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 01:34:35 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36181 Message: 36269

J.B. -
What do you mean by that statement? Please elaborate. Is my info
incorrect?

- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> Some of the agencies quoted below are on, but do not as of yet have
> their MERA radios . They are rebroadcast.
> J.B. AD6WX
>

> Erik <esvanr@f...> wrote:
> From what I know, the agencies currently on MERA are: Novato PD,
San
> Rafael PD, San Rafael DPW, San Anselmo PD, Fairfax PD, Twin Cities
> PD, Mill Valley PD, and all ambulance-to-hospital radio contact.
I'm
> not sure if the DPWs of Novato, San Anselmo, and Fairfax are on it
> yet. There are also a few other misc users on the system, too
> (jail?). I'll give it a listen at work and see what I can find.
>
> Also, Marin Co Sheriff and Marin Co Fire will probably be the last
> agencies to go to MERA, as the issue of the Bolinas radio site is
> unresolved. This greatly affects both agencies.

36270. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 02:47:24 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36181 Message: 36270

Notice that this evening, you can hear Twin cities, Mill Valley, and SO
on their lowband frequencies but not on MERA.
You can tell that they are rebroadcasting by the fact that you here
them on the lowband just slightly ahead of when you hear them on
MERA (at least I think that should be true, I'm not totally sure
of this though, so I wouldn't take it to the bank).
J.B. AD6WX

Erik <esvanr@...> wrote:

J.B. -
What do you mean by that statement? Please elaborate. Is my info
incorrect?

- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty wrote:
> Some of the agencies quoted below are on, but do not as of yet have
> their MERA radios . They are rebroadcast.
> J.B. AD6WX
>

> Erik wrote:
> From what I know, the agencies currently on MERA are: Novato PD,
San
> Rafael PD, San Rafael DPW, San Anselmo PD, Fairfax PD, Twin Cities
> PD, Mill Valley PD, and all ambulance-to-hospital radio contact.
I'm
> not sure if the DPWs of Novato, San Anselmo, and Fairfax are on it
> yet. There are also a few other misc users on the system, too
> (jail?). I'll give it a listen at work and see what I can find.
>
> Also, Marin Co Sheriff and Marin Co Fire will probably be the last
> agencies to go to MERA, as the issue of the Bolinas radio site is
> unresolved. This greatly affects both agencies.

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36271. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 02:55:27 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36181 Message: 36271

O.K. I'm hearing Mill Valley again now on MERA.
But I a am hearing them on their lowband channel
a red hair earlier.
Maybe 500 mS
This is weird, as I type sometimes I'm hearing MV on MERA
sometimes not.
I'm hearing them 5X9 +60 on lowband.
J.B AD6WX

john Beatty <jbxx@...> wrote:

Notice that this evening, you can hear Twin cities, Mill Valley, and SO
on their lowband frequencies but not on MERA.
You can tell that they are rebroadcasting by the fact that you here
them on the lowband just slightly ahead of when you hear them on
MERA (at least I think that should be true, I'm not totally sure
of this though, so I wouldn't take it to the bank).
J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36272. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 01:57:20 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36181 Message: 36272

It means in simple terms that they are still on vhf but there is a patch
to the mera system.. The traffic is then heard on MERA

Erik wrote:

>
> J.B. -
> What do you mean by that statement? Please elaborate. Is my info
> incorrect?
>
> - In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> > Some of the agencies quoted below are on, but do not as of yet have
> > their MERA radios . They are rebroadcast.
> > J.B. AD6WX
> >
>
> > Erik <esvanr@f...> wrote:
> > From what I know, the agencies currently on MERA are: Novato PD,
> San
> > Rafael PD, San Rafael DPW, San Anselmo PD, Fairfax PD, Twin Cities
> > PD, Mill Valley PD, and all ambulance-to-hospital radio contact.
> I'm
> > not sure if the DPWs of Novato, San Anselmo, and Fairfax are on it
> > yet. There are also a few other misc users on the system, too
> > (jail?). I'll give it a listen at work and see what I can find.
> >
> > Also, Marin Co Sheriff and Marin Co Fire will probably be the last
> > agencies to go to MERA, as the issue of the Bolinas radio site is
> > unresolved. This greatly affects both agencies.
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of

> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36273. Your Opinion: RS 2050

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 03:26:12 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36273 Message: 36273

What do you guys think of this radio? any opinions?

thanks
ben

36274. Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 04:23:40 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36082 Message: 36274

are these freq's repeatered or simplex?

46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac

Ben wrote:

> Are these marin fire freq still being used at all?
>
> 46.2800 - Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Input* 37.1000 -
> Control 4 - Marin County Fire - Output* 46.3600 - Control 35 -
> Novato Fire Primary 46.2000 - Control 16 - San Rafael Fire Primary
> 46.5000 - Control 6 - Misc Fire Departments Primary** 46.4000 -
> Control 40 - Corte Madera/Lakespur 46.1200 - Contrl 13 - Marin
> County Mutual Aid 46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
> 46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac 46.1400 - Gold -
> Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac 46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire
> Green Tac 482.7875 - Kentfield Fire Tac 460.6125 - Inverness
> Fire Tac 154.3250 - San Quentin Fire 46.3600 - Novato Fire & Medic
>
> 46.2000 - San Rafael & Marinwood Fire 46.4000 - Lakspur & Corte
> Madera Fire
>
>

36275. Re: TV channel 5 audio on 161.670

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 01:54:58 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 36275 Message: 36275

****
From: "Michael Wright" <mikeyht220@...>
Subject: TV Channel 5 audio on 161.670

Here in south bay area (mountain view) I can hear the
audio of
Channel 5 on 161.670.
****

I believe what you heard was what's known as an
"IFB" -- Interruptable Feed Back. It's what the
reporters listen to while doing live broadcasts in the
field.

Listen to that during channel 5's news broadcasts &
you'll hear one of the production people back at the
studio control room pass info on to the reporter & que
them for their live shot.

It can be funny to hear when the reporter is
interviewing someone who is giving a longwinded
answer, as the production director will start yelling
at the reporter over the IFB to wrap it up, and if
you're watching the broadcast on TV at the same time,
you'll sometimes see the reporter nod slightly
(acknowledging what the director just said) & start to
get consternated.

You'll hear other IFBs in the 450-451 & 455-456MHz
range (NBFM, 12.5kHz steps).

Tim

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Helps protect you from nasty viruses.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

36276. firefeeds.....SFFD feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:23:56 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36276 Message: 36276

George,,,,,,,,,,,,,, your move is a good one, obviously you have A7 thru A16 on the left speaker , volume is good . A1,2 and 3 on the right , volume is OK ,not quite as good. good idea to split them

Where is A4,5 and 6.......I would suggest having them on the right speaker if you don't already, that way during a greater alarm you have the IC talking to dispatch on the right and the fireground traffic on the left.

What about the EMS stuff ? right or left ?

again, good move

ED

36277. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:24:13 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36082 Message: 36277

At 08:23 PM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
>are these freq's repeatered or simplex?
>
>46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
>46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
>46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
>46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac

Tac's are typically simplex. But dunno on those. :)

36278. Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:37:51 UTC
From: Dave H.
Topic: 36082 Message: 36278

Can anyone give a brief definition of simplex?
Thanks, Dave

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 08:23 PM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
> >are these freq's repeatered or simplex?
> >
> >46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
> >46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
> >46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
> >46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
>
> Tac's are typically simplex. But dunno on those. :)

36279. Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:38:34 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36082 Message: 36279

They are indeed simplex.

- Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 08:23 PM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
> >are these freq's repeatered or simplex?
> >
> >46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
> >46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
> >46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
> >46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
>
> Tac's are typically simplex. But dunno on those. :)

36280. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:47:56 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36082 Message: 36280

At 09:37 PM 1/19/2005, you wrote:

>Can anyone give a brief definition of simplex?

Transmitting and receiving on the same frequency.

Not using a repeater. :)

Evan

36281. Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:53:13 UTC
From: Dave H.
Topic: 36082 Message: 36281

Hey that makes sense. Thanks

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 09:37 PM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Can anyone give a brief definition of simplex?
>
>
> Transmitting and receiving on the same frequency.
>
> Not using a repeater. :)
>
> Evan

36282. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:41:10 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36082 Message: 36282

thanks

inigo4688 wrote:

>
> They are indeed simplex.
>
> - Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> > At 08:23 PM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
> > >are these freq's repeatered or simplex?
> > >
> > >46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
> > >46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
> > >46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
> > >46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
> >
> > Tac's are typically simplex. But dunno on those. :)
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36283. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:47:56 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36082 Message: 36283

Tx & Rx on the same freq., Radio to Radio without a repeater, Next ????

"what's a repeater ??? it's just what it sound like it take a signal and retransmits it on another Freq. at a higher power,

Most Walkie talkies are 3 to 5 watts and mobiles are 50 to 75 watts of Tx power, base stations can be up a 100 watts or more
----- Original Message -----
From: Dave H.
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2005 21:37
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Can anyone give a brief definition of simplex?
Thanks, Dave

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 08:23 PM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
> >are these freq's repeatered or simplex?
> >
> >46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
> >46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
> >46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
> >46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
>
> Tac's are typically simplex. But dunno on those. :)

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36284. Re: Your Opinion: RS 2050

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 06:01:08 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36273 Message: 36284

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> What do you guys think of this radio? any opinions?
>
> thanks
> ben

It's a good receiver for what it does if you can get it cheap. It's a Uniden with a pretty tight front end and is relatively immune to intermod. It does MilAir and has good search speed. The downside is that it only does Moto Type I & II trunking and you can listen to either trunked or conventional frequencies but not both. The sensitivity is also not very good which may be directly related to the tight front end. No text tags or TG tags either. If you want it for some dedicated trunking monitoring and the lack of text and TG tags isn't a problem, it's an OK receiver for that. I wouldn't pay more than $75 for one tops though.

Regards, Jim

36285. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County Fd VHF freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:39:09 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36082 Message: 36285

very brief would be its not repeatered....

Dave H. wrote:

>
> Can anyone give a brief definition of simplex?
> Thanks, Dave
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> > At 08:23 PM 1/19/2005, you wrote:
> > >are these freq's repeatered or simplex?
> > >
> > >46.4600 - Red - Marin Fire Agencies Red Tac
> > >46.0800 - Blue - Marin Fire Agencies Blue Tac
> > >46.1400 - Gold - Marin Fire Agencies Gold Tac
> > >46.5600 - Green - San Rafael Fire Green Tac
> >
> > Tac's are typically simplex. But dunno on those. :)
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36286. Re: [bayscan] firefeeds.....SFFD feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 05:38:51 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36276 Message: 36286

A-4 + A-6 are on the right.
and NO B-14,15,B-16 (no EMS) I was asked to lock them out, I know it on the list along with OES but they are lock-out also, and as far as holding the command channel it's the plan to use Left for the Tac Channel with a hold during and keep the right running as always
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2005 21:23
Subject: [bayscan] firefeeds.....SFFD feed

George,,,,,,,,,,,,,, your move is a good one, obviously you have A7 thru A16 on the left speaker , volume is good . A1,2 and 3 on the right , volume is OK ,not quite as good. good idea to split them

Where is A4,5 and 6.....I would suggest having them on the right speaker if you don't already, that way during a greater alarm you have the IC talking to dispatch on the right and the fireground traffic on the left.

What about the EMS stuff ? right or left ?

again, good move

ED

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36287. Re: [bayscan] firefeeds.....SFFD feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 06:26:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36276 Message: 36287

Ok, thats cool as far as no EMS .....btw , I said Tac (left) was better than the right (dispatch) but NOT all that much . I don't think anyone will complain. you have it set up better than a lot of the feeds that have TOO much on them

I know they are adding Sac..........still need someone for Oakland/Richmond etc.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36288. Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 06:48:08 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36181 Message: 36288

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> It means in simple terms that they are still on vhf but there is a
patch
> to the mera system.. The traffic is then heard on MERA

It's actually the other way around. I know for a fact (as I work
with them), that Twin Cities PD has no lowband equipment in the field
(mobiles/portables). They have a *patch* from MERA that is re-
transmitted from an old lowband base radio at dispatch. The patch was
supposed to be taken down a month or so ago, but I don't think the
Motorola techs have had the time to do so.

36289. Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 08:35:55 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36181 Message: 36289

It's actually both ways around, depending on the agency. Marin County Fire, for example, is still completely on low band but has a patch that rebroadcasts through MERA. Until the Tiburon tower is up and working, there will still be a crazy quilt of MERA and low band frequencies with patches in both directions.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > It means in simple terms that they are still on vhf but there is a
> patch
> > to the mera system.. The traffic is then heard on MERA
> >
> >
> It's actually the other way around. I know for a fact (as I work
> with them), that Twin Cities PD has no lowband equipment in the field
> (mobiles/portables). They have a *patch* from MERA that is re-
> transmitted from an old lowband base radio at dispatch. The patch was
> supposed to be taken down a month or so ago, but I don't think the
> Motorola techs have had the time to do so.

36290. Re: [bayscan] firefeeds.....SFFD feed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 13:47:05 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36276 Message: 36290

I got my first check from them the other day and covers 75 % of my ISP thank you
firefeeds.com
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2005 22:26
Subject: Re: [bayscan] firefeeds.....SFFD feed

Ok, thats cool as far as no EMS .....btw , I said Tac (left) was better than
the right (dispatch) but NOT all that much . I don't think anyone will
complain. you have it set up better than a lot of the feeds that have TOO much on
them

I know they are adding Sac.....still need someone for
Oakland/Richmond etc.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36291. Alinco Ham Rig For Sale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 14:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 36291 Message: 36291

1 Alinco DJ-580
1 Drop-In Charger
1 Ni-Cad Battery Pack
1 Uniden Scanner Antena/ Mostly scanned with this radio.

Cosmeticly poor but works great. Radio has been engraved on the back with my info.

Not an expert with these radios at all, but there is something that allows monitoring of 800mhz with this radio, not trunking of course.

If interested e-mail me off list.

36292. Yeah yeah, off topic - item on sale at Frys...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 15:00:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36292 Message: 36292

As much as I hate that place...

They have a universal 1200 mah adapter, selectable 3, 4.5, 6, 7.5, 9, 12v for$6.99. Can't really see in the ad, but it looks like it's made by XG? SKU 4011642.

FYI, the frys ad is available by going to http://www.sjmercury.com and on the lower right side selecting Fry's Ad.(under Featured Advertisers).

These items are "Loss Leaders" - items sold below or at cost to get you in the door in hopes you buy the overpriced items. :)

Evan

36293. SFFD staffing

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 19:39:29 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36293 Message: 36293

this subject was brought up the other day. SFFD staffing is as follows

Engine Companies One officer + fire fighters , some Engine companies one of those fire fithers is a parmedic. the officer is a Captain 1 out of 3 days , the other 2 its a Lieutenant(same on the trucks and Rescue Squads)

Truck Companies - One Officer + 4 fire fighters .

Rescue Companies 1 & 2 - One Officer + 3 fire fighters.

In the 60s- 70s Engines were 1+ 4 and the Trucks 1+6 , the Rescue Squads 1+4 . They also had 4 Salvage Companies that were 1+3

The " Big House " was at Drumm and Sacramento and had the following Division 1 Engines 1 & 12 Truck 13 Salvage 3 Hosetender 1 and Valve Wagon 1 for a total of 25 personal PER shift. how would you like to be the cook for THAT crew !! this was in the 60s . Station is now # 13 and only has Engine 13 Truck 13 and pollution Control unit 1 with a total of 9 personnel.

ED

36294. Re: [bayscan] SFFD staffing

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 19:50:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36293 Message: 36294

geez , kinda blew that one .

Engines 1 officer + 3 fire fighters , SOME of the Engines one of
those fire fighters is also a paramedic (ALS Engine)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36295. Re: Yeah yeah, off topic - item on sale at Frys...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 19:55:14 UTC
From: gariac450
Topic: 36292 Message: 36295

Some of these universal supplies make it easy to accidentally reverse
the polarity, so use caution.

The prices are good today only, but this is "not one damn dime day",
so I guess I'll have to pass on the sale.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> As much as I hate that place...
>
> They have a universal 1200 mah adapter, selectable 3, 4.5, 6, 7.5,
9, 12v
> for$6.99. Can't really see in the ad, but it looks like it's made by
XG?
> SKU 4011642.
>
> FYI, the frys ad is available by going to http://www.sjmercury.com
and on
> the lower right side selecting Fry's Ad.(under Featured Advertisers).
>
> These items are "Loss Leaders" - items sold below or at cost to get
you in
> the door in hopes you buy the overpriced items. :)
>
> Evan

36296. Re: [bayscan] This could be humorous...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 20:40:27 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36258 Message: 36296

been there.. done that.. not very funny let me tell you.. Ambulance died on me in Vallejo one day... Patient was in the back.. Had to wait for another ambulance to take the patient for me. Died right as we tried to leave the scene.. Family was not impressed.

----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2005 9:14 AM
Subject: [bayscan] This could be humorous...

"Sunnyvale fire, engine 4, we need another apparatus to take this call for
us, we're having mechanical problems. We're not moving."
"Uhhhhh Sunnyvale fire, engine 4, cancel the last, we're moving."

Wouldn't it be kind of embarrassing to have to get a jump start in front of
a persons house who they just helped into an ambulance? :)

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36297. Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-20 22:33:33 UTC
From: John Beatty
Topic: 36181 Message: 36297

I have to correct my earlier post about Twin Cities and
Mill Valley. I went to both today to check our radios
and was able to pick up the UHF from cars and portables.
Both are now up and running on MERA.
I pulled our antenna feeds in MV and it was very strong.
Twin Cities does not seem to be rebroadcasting on the
old channel. BUT, I still am only getting about half of the
Mill Valley transmissions on MERA yet I'm getting all of them on
the old low band.
J.B. AD6WX

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
> It's actually both ways around, depending on the agency. Marin
County
> Fire, for example, is still completely on low band but has a patch
> that rebroadcasts through MERA. Until the Tiburon tower is up and
> working, there will still be a crazy quilt of MERA and low band
> frequencies with patches in both directions.
>

36298. [SJFD] Dandini IC (West Sj)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 00:03:34 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 36298 Message: 36298

Dispatched at 15:15 approx (was on South 680 in Berryessa at time)

Dandini Cir. 2 story single family home

1st Alarm: E4 E 6 T 4 B10
Full 1st: E10 T9 B1 Med30

Small fire in kitchen, lots of smoke. Beat out Med30 B1 and T9 to
scene fire was knocked down at the time of my arrival.

Ran into Craig Rose, perhaps some pix on the way....

EOM

36299. Copter 106 [16:03]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 00:04:54 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 36299 Message: 36299

Just saw Copter 106 fly over. Perhaps Alma will be open soon...

36300. Livermore RAWS?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 00:10:01 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 36300 Message: 36300

What is this? I saw a quick call for this on Firescan.net for CDF SCU.

CT

36301. SJPD - Carjacking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 01:55:36 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36301 Message: 36301

SJPD is working a possible carjacking on 460.425 (San Jose - 4).

-C-

36302. Re: [bayscan] SJPD - Carjacking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 01:59:27 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36301 Message: 36302

This sounds like it may not be a carjacking - apparently suspect(s) is/are known to the victim.

Nothing further unless events warrant.

-C-

On 1/20/05 5:55 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

> SJPD is working a possible carjacking on 460.425 (San Jose - 4).
>
> -C-
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

36303. Re: [bayscan] This could be humorous...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 02:02:40 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36258 Message: 36303

At 12:40 PM 1/20/2005, you wrote:
>been there.. done that.. not very funny let me tell you.. Ambulance died
>on me in Vallejo one day... Patient was in the back.. Had to wait for
>another ambulance to take the patient for me. Died right as we tried to
>leave the scene.. Family was not impressed.

Yup.. heard med-91 traffic once.. A ambulance requesting another code 3
ambulance to the scene... Conversation went in circles, something like:
"Med-91 <unit>, We need another code-3 rig here to the scene."
"Copy that, <unit>, what's the nature of the request?"
"Ummm.. we need another rig here code 3!"
"We copy, I need to know the nature of the request."
"Uhhh we ... uhh... need assistance. One more code-3 rig please."
(Supervisor comes up on air)"What is the nature of your need? Do you need
PD? Are you code 4? Do you have another trauma patient?"
"Umm.. No... We.. uhhh.... locked ourselves out of our rig."

I bet they never heard the last of that one.

I saw in the rig there's like an emergency start button. Is that a second
battey or something? Did that not work for you?

Evan

36304. Second Alarm, Gilroy.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 02:13:15 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36304 Message: 36304

Second Alarm, Gilroy to a vacant barn, Murray, X Los Alamas.

CDF SCU engine requested, 151.4445, not sure what GIlroy FD's frequency is.

Evan

36305. Re: [bayscan] Second Alarm, Gilroy.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 02:20:26 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 36304 Message: 36305

gilroy primary 154.340. no known tactical (at least not in my records).

-g-

> Second Alarm, Gilroy to a vacant barn, Murray, X Los Alamas.
>
> CDF SCU engine requested, 151.4445, not sure what GIlroy FD's frequency
> is.
>
> Evan

36306. Re: Livermore RAWS?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 03:19:59 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36300 Message: 36306

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Craig" <iawireman@y...> wrote:
>
> What is this? I saw a quick call for this on Firescan.net for CDF
> SCU.
>
> CT

RAWS: Remote Automated Weather Station
Data from the station can be retrieved over-the-air via a *quick
call* tone.

36307. Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 03:24:55 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36181 Message: 36307

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
. Marin County Fire, for example, is still completely on low band but has a patch that rebroadcasts through MERA.

What talkgroup is MCFD F-4 being patched into? I'm looking for the actual MERA talkgroup name, not the ID #, as I only have a system XTS3000 radio to listen to the system on.
I know that District Fire (F-6) is patched into the SAF [Southern Area Fire] talkgroup.

36308. Re: [bayscan] [SJFD] Dandini IC (West Sj)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 05:17:46 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36298 Message: 36308

Nice to meet Craig T. at the scene on Dandini Circle today! Like Craig said, this was a small, but smoky kitchen fire.

Not much to share in the way of exciting images as this was another instance of San Jose Fire hitting it fast and keeping it small! Mostly just some apparatus shots:

http://tinyurl.com/4j63p

http://tinyurl.com/5xpz9

http://tinyurl.com/7xey3

http://tinyurl.com/6hxdl

http://tinyurl.com/6vjs5

Pretty quiet shots, but definitely should make the homeowner pretty darn grateful!

Cheers!

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

On 1/20/05 4:03 PM, "Craig" <iawireman@...> wrote:

>
>
> Dispatched at 15:15 approx (was on South 680 in Berryessa at time)
>
> Dandini Cir. 2 story single family home
>
> 1st Alarm: E4 E 6 T 4 B10
> Full 1st: E10 T9 B1 Med30
>
> Small fire in kitchen, lots of smoke. Beat out Med30 B1 and T9 to
> scene fire was knocked down at the time of my arrival.
>

> Ran into Craig Rose, perhaps some pix on the way....
>
> EOM

36309. RE: [bayscan] Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 05:19:07 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36181 Message: 36309

Hey Erik,

So you are looking of the frequency associated with a certain MERA talkgroup? That's not a problem... but I don't know of anyone who has a list of "actual" talk group names except maybe the county of Marin technicians working on MERA or possibly the latest Kelty frequency book which I don't have a copy of yet. On the MERA list I maintain I just jot down in a word doc what the TG number is and what I hear in the conversation as whether it sounds like PD, FD, Paramedic, Pizza delivery, whatever. I have no "actual" accurate list of TG names but I've got a pretty good start with the one I have\maintain.

Hope this helps,

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 7:25 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Marin agencies on MERA

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
. Marin County Fire, for example, is still completely on low band but has a patch that rebroadcasts through MERA.

What talkgroup is MCFD F-4 being patched into? I'm looking for the actual MERA talkgroup name, not the ID #, as I only have a system XTS3000 radio to listen to the system on.
I know that District Fire (F-6) is patched into the SAF [Southern Area Fire] talkgroup.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36310. and speaking of new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 05:34:58 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36310 Message: 36310

I just heard as I was writing that last email what sounded possibly like Marinwoood Fire Department on new TG 26416 which I have added to my MERA list. I'll continue monitoring to see if I can confirm what\who it is.

-Roger 21:29

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36311. Re: [bayscan] [SJFD] Dandini IC (West Sj)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 05:47:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36298 Message: 36311

darn ugly old ones.....LOL for those who wonder what a
light unit is ....its the 2nd one posted (ugly yellowish/green thing with
light tower ((in down position))

Nice shot of T4s aerial up Craig..................new background for me
:-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36312. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 05:48:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36181 Message: 36312

Keltys 2005 does NOT have the complete list , has many , but " leaves room" for you to " fill in " the actual TGs next to names .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36313. Re: Marin agencies on MERA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 06:01:52 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36181 Message: 36313

Like Roger said, you're going to have to talk to your MERA rep or a radio tech to get the actual system affiliation information. The TG numbers and ID's are no problem but system affiliation information is going to have to come from someone who has access to that data.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
> >
> . Marin County Fire, for example, is still completely on low band but
> has a patch that rebroadcasts through MERA.
>
> What talkgroup is MCFD F-4 being patched into? I'm looking for the
> actual MERA talkgroup name, not the ID #, as I only have a system
> XTS3000 radio to listen to the system on.
> I know that District Fire (F-6) is patched into the SAF [Southern
> Area Fire] talkgroup.

36314. Re: [bayscan] and speaking of new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 05:36:35 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36310 Message: 36314

so fd is going to mera now?

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> I just heard as I was writing that last email what sounded possibly like
> Marinwoood Fire Department on new TG 26416 which I have added to my MERA
> list. I'll continue monitoring to see if I can confirm what\who it is.
>
> -Roger 21:29
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36315. RE: [bayscan] and speaking of new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 06:31:37 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36310 Message: 36315

No, not necesarilry...not yet anyway. It was a short broadcast but I heard
them identify themselves and I heard sirens.....but then I didn't hear
anything after that at all. Weird.
-----Original Message-----
From: Ben [mailto:bkellogg9@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 9:37 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] and speaking of new MERA TG's

so fd is going to mera now?

Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> I just heard as I was writing that last email what sounded possibly like
> Marinwoood Fire Department on new TG 26416 which I have added to my MERA
> list. I'll continue monitoring to see if I can confirm what\who it is.
>
> -Roger 21:29
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36316. Busted

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 07:59:51 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36316 Message: 36316

SFFD on scene working fire on 2300 block of 20th ave @ Taraval out
in the Sunset.

IC called SFPD cause the place is FULL of Marijuana plants

opps

SFFD A8 15024

SFPD A7 13040 or A8 13072

2400 hours
ED

36317. Duped Officials OK'd GGB Suicide Film

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 09:35:37 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 36317 Message: 36317

I thought some of you might find this interesting since its our back yard:

Duped Officials OK'd GGB Suicide Film

SAN FRANCISCO (AP) -- The film project was proposed as a "monuments documentary" intended to "capture the grandeur" of the Golden Gate Bridge.

But after a year, filmmaker Eric Steel ended up with footage of 19 deaths and several attempts by people who wanted to end their lives by jumping off what is regarded as the world's No. 1 suicide landmark.

Bridge officials who approved the filming are now furious at Steel, saying he lied about his project. They are looking for ways to see the footage and possibly prevent him from showing it.

"It's unprofessional to have misrepresented a project," said Mary Currie, spokeswoman for the agency that administers and maintains the famous bridge.

She said if Steel lied about his project, he might also have lied about what he filmed.

"It's an invasion of privacy. It's grim. It's a tragic thing," she said. "But if he wants to show someone jumping off the bridge, he's entitled to do that."

Reached Wednesday by email, Steel refused to comment, citing ongoing "discussions with the bridge district authority."

The Golden Gate National Recreation Area, which manages the piece of property where Steel set up a digital movie camera, issued him a $65 permit in December 2003, spokesman Rich Weideman said.

Quoting Steel's application, Weideman said the filmmaker wrote that his video was intended "to capture the powerful, spectacular intersection of monument and nature that takes place every day at the Golden Gate Bridge. ... It will be the first in a series. Future projects will focus on the arch in St. Louis and the Statue of Liberty in New York."

Weideman said it's possible Steel began filming the project he proposed, then caught a suicide on tape and changed his plan.

"We kind of want to think of the good in the person rather than the grim background that's coming out of this," he said.

In light of the controversy, the recreation area is re-evaluating its permit policy, he said.

The Golden Gate Bridge has served for decades as platform for suicide jumpers. An estimated 1,200 people have committed suicide there since it opened in May 1937.

Steel revealed the true nature of his project after he completed filming and his permit expired on Dec. 31, Currie said.

"He's now come to our agency and asked to film our staff ... do interviews with our people," Currie said.

Steel sent her an email after she asked for a written proposal.

"I believe the film will allow us to see into the most impenetrable corners of the human mind and challenge us to think and talk about suicide in profoundly different ways. It is a movie about the human spirit in crisis. It is a movie about people," Steel wrote.

Steel said he filmed nearly two dozen suicides and "a great many of the unrealized attempts."

He also said he recorded nearly 100 hours of interviews with families and friends of the jumpers, witnesses who were walking, biking or driving across the bridge or surfing or boating beneath it, psychiatrists and "several of the attempters themselves."

He said his intention is to make "an independent, feature-length film that I can show at a major film festival. Beyond that, I have no distribution plans -- only hopes."

Currie said the bridge district is evaluating Steel's request to interview employees.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36318. Re: [bayscan] Duped Officials OK'd GGB Suicide Film ot

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 11:54:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36318 Message: 36318

yeah, its interesting alright , I don't know how many people want to watch people jumping to their deaths .

you know whats REALLY obscene about the bridge is that the board of directors include people as far north as Del Norte County . absolutly ridiculous , 19 people on the board which should only have people from SF, Marin and maybe a few other " bay area " counties like Sonoma , San Mateo , Alameda .....

we are ALL paying for those extra people everytime we cough up the Five bucks toll.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36319. Re: [bayscan] Busted

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 13:15:41 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36316 Message: 36319

hahah bad luck
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 11:59 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Busted

SFFD on scene working fire on 2300 block of 20th ave @ Taraval out
in the Sunset.

IC called SFPD cause the place is FULL of Marijuana plants

opps

SFFD A8 15024

SFPD A7 13040 or A8 13072

2400 hours
ED

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36320. Re: [bayscan] Copter 106 [16:03]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 13:18:02 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36299 Message: 36320

who is the owner of copter 106? is that CDF?
----- Original Message -----
From: Craig
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 4:04 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Copter 106 [16:03]

Just saw Copter 106 fly over. Perhaps Alma will be open soon...

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36321. Re: [bayscan] Copter 106 [16:03]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 13:33:00 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36299 Message: 36321

At 05:18 AM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
>who is the owner of copter 106? is that CDF?

Yup.. I've got some pictures of it somewhere I'll dig up later...

Evan

36322. OT: If you steal, better let the IRS know!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 14:24:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36322 Message: 36322

http://www.irs.gov/publications/p17/ch13.html#d0e36729

"Stolen property. If you steal property, you must report its fair market value in your income in the year you steal it unless in the same year, you return it to its rightful owner."

Keep that in mind when doing your taxes.

36323. Re: [bayscan] Copter 106 [16:03]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 15:22:58 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36299 Message: 36323

Hi all,

I just created a new photo folder called "CDF". Check there for pics
of copter 106 and feel free to add other CDF pics.

Brian in Campbell

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 05:33:00 -0800, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
>
> At 05:18 AM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
> >who is the owner of copter 106? is that CDF?
>
> Yup.. I've got some pictures of it somewhere I'll dig up later...
>
> Evan
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

36324. Re: [bayscan] [SJFD] Dandini IC (West Sj)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 15:47:30 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36298 Message: 36324

We aims to please!

Cheers!!!

-C-

On 1/20/05 9:47 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> Nice shot of T4s aerial up Craig.....................new background for me
> :-)

36325. Re: [bayscan] Busted

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 15:54:48 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36316 Message: 36325

Hey Ed -

FROM KNTV

Fire Call Reveals Major Pot-Growing Operation

A minor electrical problem turned into a major drug bust for San Francisco police Thursday night.

Firefighters stopped at a home in the Sunset District late Thursday night as they drove by and saw sparks coming from the garage. The firefighters called police when they smelled marijuana as they opened the door to the house.

Officers found hundreds of marijuana plants, with every room in the three-story house filled with the plants. Elaborate electrical wiring and timers were set up throughout the house. Police suspect the heavy load on the electrical system triggered the small fire, which was confined to the electrical box.

Several bags of dried marijuana buds were also found in the residence.

Police called the setup part of a sophisticated marijuana growing operation.

Nobody was living in the home, and no arrests have been made yet.

On 1/20/05 11:59 PM, "Ed" <caladded@...> wrote:

>
>
>
> SFFD on scene working fire on 2300 block of 20th ave @ Taraval out
> in the Sunset.
>
> IC called SFPD cause the place is FULL of Marijuana plants
>
> opps
>
>
>
> SFFD A8 15024
>
> SFPD A7 13040 or A8 13072
>
> 2400 hours
> ED

36326. Re: [bayscan] and speaking of new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 16:37:39 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36310 Message: 36326

I heard them also, lots of 5 prefixes.
I also heard the radio techs talking about working at station 5 if that helps.
J.B. AD6WX

Roger Strohmeyer <rstroh@...> wrote:

I just heard as I was writing that last email what sounded possibly like
Marinwoood Fire Department on new TG 26416 which I have added to my MERA
list. I'll continue monitoring to see if I can confirm what\who it is.

-Roger 21:29

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36327. RE: [bayscan] and speaking of new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 18:22:48 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36310 Message: 36327

Thanks John...any help identifiing these MERA TG's is always welcome.
Anybody know where Station 5 is up here in San Rafael\TL?

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: john Beatty [mailto:jbxx@...]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 8:38 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] and speaking of new MERA TG's

I heard them also, lots of 5 prefixes.
I also heard the radio techs talking about working at station 5 if that
helps.
J.B. AD6WX

Roger Strohmeyer <rstroh@...> wrote:

I just heard as I was writing that last email what sounded possibly like
Marinwoood Fire Department on new TG 26416 which I have added to my MERA
list. I'll continue monitoring to see if I can confirm what\who it is.

-Roger 21:29

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36328. Re: [bayscan] Busted

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 19:20:04 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36316 Message: 36328

At 11:59 PM 1/20/2005, you wrote:

> SFFD on scene working fire on 2300 block of 20th ave @ Taraval out
>in the Sunset.
>
> IC called SFPD cause the place is FULL of Marijuana plants
>
>opps

Is THAT why nobody wanted to scott-up downwind.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36329. Re: [bayscan] Marin officers plan to use 'bait car' to catch thieves

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 19:54:43 UTC
From: laura l
Topic: 36176 Message: 36329

its not supposed to be completely secret just the make and model of the car is secret which some of us may know...hint hint wink wink

Jordan Hayes <jmhayes@...> wrote:> police are about to roll out a secret weapon that could > alter the picture in 2005 - a bait vehicle ...

So secret that it's posted on bayscan! :-)

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Get it on your mobile phone.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36330. Re: OT: If you steal, better let the IRS know!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 20:20:17 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36322 Message: 36330

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> http://www.irs.gov/publications/p17/ch13.html#d0e36729
>
> "Stolen property. If you steal property, you must report its fair
market
> value in your income in the year you steal it unless in the same
year, you
> return it to its rightful owner."
>
> Keep that in mind when doing your taxes.

This is a good tax dodge. I can steal from the rightful owner every January 1, return the property on December 31, and then steal it again on January 1, never having to worry about breaking the IRS code. Thanks, Evan, I'm looking at my neighbor's riding mower in a different light. <g>

Regards, Jim

36331. RESCUE RESPONSE - SANTA CLARA COUNTY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 20:39:35 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36331 Message: 36331

NB 17 north of Hamilton Avenue (Campbell)

Rescue Response for a vehicle rollover - subject trapped in Jeep Cherokee
being handled on COUNTY COMMAND 1 - 153.905

BATTALION 3
TRUCK 5
ENGINE 11

36332. Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 20:54:34 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 36332 Message: 36332

http://story.news.yahoo.com/news?
tmpl=story&cid=573&ncid=757&e=3&u=/nm/20050121/od_nm/crime_alarms_dc

or

http://tinyurl.com/6f5t2

36333. RE: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 21:15:24 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 36332 Message: 36333

>http://tinyurl.com/6f5t2
>

"Was That a Burglar Alarm? Nah....

SAN FRANCISCO (Reuters) - Police in a prosperous Californian city near San Francisco will begin ignoring most burglar alarms, prompted by too many false alarms and too little money.

Fremont police said the overwhelming majority of warning signals they receive are false alarms that cost the city $600,000 a year. Because of budget cuts, starting next month they will only respond if they have additional verification, such as a video feed of a crime occurring or a witness report. "

so does that mean if I set off the alarm while burglarizing the place, then the police will not respond?

On a serious note my cousin, a deputy sheriff in Albuquerque, says his laptop constantly generates messages of burglar alarms which all have been false alarms "What am I supposed to do, constantly drive from one house to another in the rich neighborhood? Ignore other parts of the beat?"

Mike

36334. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 21:55:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36332 Message: 36334

hmm,,,,,,,,,,, I remember the radio we used to have in our company trucks
had a unique feature.....I could set off an alarm at a pizza joint
in Sunnyvale if I transmitted while close enough to the building . LOL

was on the 800 band.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36335. SANTA CLARA - 2 ALARM STRUCTURE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 23:44:47 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36335 Message: 36335

I don't have time to post all of the details, but Santa Clara has called a second alarm for a residential structure fire on Esperanca Avenue in the northern part of the city. Mutual aid has been requested to backfill stations as the city is wiped out.

San Jose is sending a mutual aid response.

Santa Clara Fire is working this fire on talkgroup 304 and San Jose is staging at the Santa Clara Fire Training Center at Scott and Walsh to handle additional calls.

I'm out of here - already had to switch flights to Seattle...everyone have a great weekend.

-C-

36336. Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To protect and serve?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 00:12:44 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36332 Message: 36336

This is also really convenient for the city because all of the city buildings are protected with alarm dialers that go straight into PD dispatch so they will still respond to their own buildings but the taxpayers will be unprotected even though they alredy have paid for alarm permits. To protect and serve?
Rocdad
Bob

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> hmm,,,,,,,,,,, I remember the radio we used to have in our company trucks
> had a unique feature.....I could set off an alarm at a pizza joint
> in Sunnyvale if I transmitted while close enough to the building . LOL
>
> was on the 800 band.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36337. Re: [bayscan] Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To protect and serve?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 00:29:15 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36332 Message: 36337

At 04:12 PM 1/21/2005, you wrote:

>This is also really convenient for the city because all of the city
>buildings are protected with alarm dialers that go straight into PD
>dispatch so they will still respond to their own buildings but the
>taxpayers will be unprotected even though they alredy have paid for
>alarm permits. To protect and serve?
>Rocdad
>Bob

This should be a huge boon for the private patrol industry, or an add-on of rent-a-cop response or IP video confirmation to your alarm service.

The burglary industry must be salivating at this one...

Guess it's MUCH more important to make those 4000a stops which generate revenue, than
to respond to alarms (where you get fined if you have too many false ones anyway).

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36338. Re: [bayscan] Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To protect and serve?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 00:26:14 UTC
From: troyboym@aol.com
Topic: 36332 Message: 36338

In a message dated 1/21/2005 4:21:53 PM Pacific Standard Time,
rocdad@... writes:
To protect and serve?
But, when you're wasting your time on countless false alarms, what about the citizens really need the police? And burglars know there's a lag time. There's such a delay between the time an alarm is activated, the alarm company makes the call to the police (sometimes after calling the owner to see if they want the police called) and we go 97, the thieves are long gone. I think the biggest mistake was announcing they won't be responding.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36339. Re: [bayscan] SANTA CLARA - 2 ALARM STRUCTURE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-21 23:47:29 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36335 Message: 36339

streaming feed 3
www.mattlogic.com

Craig Rose wrote:

> I don't have time to post all of the details, but Santa Clara has called a
> second alarm for a residential structure fire on Esperanca Avenue in the
> northern part of the city. Mutual aid has been requested to backfill
> stations as the city is wiped out.
>
> San Jose is sending a mutual aid response.
>
> Santa Clara Fire is working this fire on talkgroup 304 and San Jose is
> staging at the Santa Clara Fire Training Center at Scott and Walsh to
> handle
> additional calls.
>
> I'm out of here - already had to switch flights to Seattle...everyone
> have a
> great weekend.
>
> -C-
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36340. Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To protect and serve?FPD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 00:47:51 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36332 Message: 36340

This reminded me of the time when I got called out by FPD to secure
a private business they had discovered an unlocked front door at a
paint store on Fremont blvd and could not find a responsible party
to secure it so now they were stuck with the responsibility and
wanted to get back to work they called me out to chain it up or
something well there is no way to chain up panic hardware from the
outside so I got my pick set out and "Picked" the lock closed I was
the hero of the moment!
Bob
Rocdad

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, troyboym@a... wrote:
> In a message dated 1/21/2005 4:21:53 PM Pacific Standard Time,
> rocdad@b... writes:
> To protect and serve?
> But, when you're wasting your time on countless false alarms, what
about the
> citizens really need the police? And burglars know there's a lag
time. There's
> such a delay between the time an alarm is activated, the alarm
company makes
> the call to the police (sometimes after calling the owner to see
if they want
> the police called) and we go 97, the thieves are long gone. I
think the
> biggest mistake was announcing they won't be responding.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36341. Re: [bayscan] Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To protect and serve?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 01:19:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36332 Message: 36341

I agree regarding the alarm service , if PDs won't go unless they have something confirmed , the subscribers should insist the alarm company maintain personnel respond immediatly to check the premises . In addition NOT with ETAs like PG&E of 45 minutes + either .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36342. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 01:28:09 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36332 Message: 36342

At 01:55 PM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
>hmm,,,,,,,,,,,, I remember the radio we used to have in our company trucks
>had a unique feature.....I could set off an alarm at a pizza joint
>in Sunnyvale if I transmitted while close enough to the building . LOL
>
>was on the 800 band.

I once had a ham radio that tripped off the theft alarms at .. was at that time I believe Tower Records or Warehouse Record store. Don't recall what frequency or what radio. I was outside and transmitted and it tripped the alarm.

36343. Re: [bayscan] SFFD staffing

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 01:31:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36293 Message: 36343

As a follow up to my earlier post , with thanks to Tony & Milt for corrections.

Station 13 also has a medic unit and has an unmanned unit ...CO2 and NOT the pollution control unit......its at Station 20

the present "big house" is probaby 7 . at 19th and Folsom with Division 3 , Engine 7 , Truck 7 , Medic 7 and Rescue 2 . it also has Attack Hosetender 7 which is unmanned. this gives the house 17 personnel per shift. The Division of Training is also here. Those who live in the city , or fairly close by and are interested......this is where the Fire Reserve trains and I am sure they are looking for some more good men (or women) they are there most Thursday nights around 1900 hours.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36344. Re: [bayscan] This could be humorous...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 01:32:48 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36258 Message: 36344

i would just break the window and expalin/pay for it later.. less embarrasment and your not putting the patient in danger by waiting.

----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 6:02 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] This could be humorous...

At 12:40 PM 1/20/2005, you wrote:
>been there.. done that.. not very funny let me tell you.. Ambulance died
>on me in Vallejo one day... Patient was in the back.. Had to wait for
>another ambulance to take the patient for me. Died right as we tried to
>leave the scene.. Family was not impressed.

Yup.. heard med-91 traffic once.. A ambulance requesting another code 3 ambulance to the scene... Conversation went in circles, something like:
"Med-91 <unit>, We need another code-3 rig here to the scene."
"Copy that, <unit>, what's the nature of the request?"
"Ummm.. we need another rig here code 3!"
"We copy, I need to know the nature of the request."
"Uhhh we ... uhh... need assistance. One more code-3 rig please."
(Supervisor comes up on air)"What is the nature of your need? Do you need PD? Are you code 4? Do you have another trauma patient?"
"Umm.. No... We.. uhhh.... locked ourselves out of our rig."

I bet they never heard the last of that one.

I saw in the rig there's like an emergency start button. Is that a second battey or something? Did that not work for you?

Evan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36345. Re: [bayscan] This could be humorous...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 01:37:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36258 Message: 36345

you break ambulances window and you'll put the rig out of service , would depend on condition of victim, distance to ER and ETA of 2nd unit I would guess

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36346. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 01:48:56 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 36332 Message: 36346

I did that once a long time back when I had a Drake TR4C in our car. We parked near a bank which was closed and when I transmitted on 40 Mtr SSB, the alarm went off. Surprised the heck out of me! We didn't stick around to see what happened. I hadn't thought about that until your email here.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 1:55 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

>
> hmm,,,,,,,,,,, I remember the radio we used to have in our company trucks
> had a unique feature.....I could set off an alarm at a pizza joint
> in Sunnyvale if I transmitted while close enough to the building . LOL
>
> was on the 800 band.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36347. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 01:52:11 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36332 Message: 36347

I still set off car alarms with my 2 meter radio.
It's always fun to drive in the hood and see how many I set off in a block
----- Original Message -----
From: jim gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 17:48
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

I did that once a long time back when I had a Drake TR4C in our car. We
parked near a bank which was closed and when I transmitted on 40 Mtr SSB,
the alarm went off. Surprised the heck out of me! We didn't stick around
to see what happened. I hadn't thought about that until your email here.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 1:55 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

>
> hmm,,,,,,,,,,, I remember the radio we used to have in our company
trucks
> had a unique feature.............I could set off an alarm at a pizza
joint
> in Sunnyvale if I transmitted while close enough to the building . LOL
>
> was on the 800 band.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36348. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 01:57:43 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36332 Message: 36348

At 05:48 PM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
>I did that once a long time back when I had a Drake TR4C in our car. We
>parked near a bank which was closed and when I transmitted on 40 Mtr SSB,
>the alarm went off. Surprised the heck out of me! We didn't stick around
>to see what happened. I hadn't thought about that until your email here.

Well, spur of the moment, who knows, but my initial thought would probably
be 'stick around'.

I mean it would probably be easier to explain to the cops (and show them)
that you were simply there and transmitted, and the alarm went off v.s. the
alternative:

Alarm goes off. Someone sees you in your car leave. Police show up, person
gives your description and license to police. Police then track you down,
you have to explain, at gunpoint, why you were seen leaving the bank with
the alarm going off.

Just my .02. :)

Evan

36349. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 02:12:09 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36332 Message: 36349

At 05:52 PM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
>I still set off car alarms with my 2 meter radio.
>It's always fun to drive in the hood and see how many I set off in a block

I for one would appreciate it if you not do that.

Reason being, and sorry to rant here people - When I hear my car alarm going off, I see what it is - i.e. threw a football that hit the car? Did a car with a modified exhaust drive by that rattled the car?

And especially if I'm not within hearing range of my car, I would hope that someone would go and see why my car (or any other) car alarm is going off.

Problem is people are getting desensitized to it. Car alarms go off so often because of two way radios, cars with obscenely loud bass, etc.

So, please, don't go around intentionally setting off car alarms. I don't know if you're setting them off because you're running too much power, or the radio is incorrectly grounded, or what, but I've driven around town using my 2m mobile on low power, and never set off a car alarm.

I'm sure you have an alarm in your car, don't you?

Wouldn't you want someone to take a look if they hear your alarm going off?

36350. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 02:45:12 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 36332 Message: 36350

Well, I didn't stick around, and they didn't find me if they came around.
I think it was in San Louis about 20 or more years back, so the statute of
limitations has run out on my crime.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 5:57 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

>
> At 05:48 PM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
> >I did that once a long time back when I had a Drake TR4C in our car. We
> >parked near a bank which was closed and when I transmitted on 40 Mtr SSB,
> >the alarm went off. Surprised the heck out of me! We didn't stick
around
> >to see what happened. I hadn't thought about that until your email here.
>
> Well, spur of the moment, who knows, but my initial thought would probably
> be 'stick around'.
>
> I mean it would probably be easier to explain to the cops (and show them)
> that you were simply there and transmitted, and the alarm went off v.s.
the
> alternative:
>
> Alarm goes off. Someone sees you in your car leave. Police show up, person
> gives your description and license to police. Police then track you down,
> you have to explain, at gunpoint, why you were seen leaving the bank with
> the alarm going off.
>
> Just my .02. :)
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

36351. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 03:01:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36332 Message: 36351

cars with alarms and ONLY audible alarms are a bit worthless in many places. People look (or actually HEAR) them but have been ignoring them for years and feel they are just an annoyance. the alarms that activate a device you carry on you keychain are the only way to go.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36352. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 03:07:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36332 Message: 36352

At 07:01 PM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
>cars with alarms and ONLY audible alarms are a bit worthless in many places.
>People look (or actually HEAR) them but have been ignoring them for years and
>feel they are just an annoyance. the alarms that activate a device you carry
>on you keychain are the only way to go.

I was tempted, however it was an additional $150 - $200, and I was told the
range was very limited, i.e. if you were in a mall, it would be useless.

Do you or anyone here have one and have a idea of the range of them?

Evan

36353. Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 03:13:45 UTC
From: Mike (Nobag)
Topic: 36332 Message: 36353

If I hear my truck alarm go off, I pity the person who set it off, because
my truck is protected by Smith & Wesson.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 01/21/05 19:01:53
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what?

cars with alarms and ONLY audible alarms are a bit worthless in many places.

People look (or actually HEAR) them but have been ignoring them for years
and
feel they are just an annoyance. the alarms that activate a device you carry

on you keychain are the only way to go.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36354. Re: and speaking of new MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 03:31:56 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36310 Message: 36354

> -----Original Message-----
> From: john Beatty [mailto:jbxx@p...]
> Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 8:38 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] and speaking of new MERA TG's
>
> I heard them also, lots of 5 prefixes.
> I also heard the radio techs talking about working at station 5 if
that helps.
> J.B. AD6WX

They are probably refering to Novato Station 5. Novato Fire is
scheduled to go *full MERA* on Feb 7.

36355. Re: MERA TG's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 03:37:14 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36310 Message: 36355

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> so fd is going to mera now?
>
As I said in another post, Novato Fire goes MERA on (or around) Feb 7.
This means that all other Marin fire agencies will have MERA
portables for mutual aid into Novato. Novato will continue to carry
46 MHz portables for mutual aid responses to the rest of Marin.

36356. Lovely weather this morning.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 05:41:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36356 Message: 36356

http://www.espphotography.com/sunnyvalefog.jpg

Taken ... heck, I can't tell. Fair Oaks and Kifer?

Yeah, sitting at the intersection, I couldn't see the name of the street sign in front of me.

How was it elsewhere?

Evan

36357. Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 06:11:01 UTC
From: Eddie Ragasa
Topic: 36357 Message: 36357

Just found out that the San Francisco/Oakland Bay Tracon is now closed. They have merged with the Northern California Tracon. This may be old news to some of you, but is new news to me. What are the frequencies for the new Northern California Tracon?

Thanks,
Eddie

Don?t just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search!
http://search.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200636ave/direct/01/

36358. Re: [bayscan] Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 06:17:23 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36357 Message: 36358

baymilcom seems to be up on this. It might be a better resource. I know there is a map with frequencies of the Oakland center in the baymilcom file section. It has civilian and mil frequencies.

Eddie Ragasa wrote:
> Just found out that the San Francisco/Oakland Bay Tracon is now closed.
> They have merged with the Northern California Tracon. This may be old news
> to some of you, but is new news to me. What are the frequencies for the new
> Northern California Tracon?
>
> Thanks,
> Eddie
>

36359. Re: [bayscan] Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 06:23:41 UTC
From: Eddie Ragasa
Topic: 36357 Message: 36359

The only problem I found with this is that they want you to be a member to look at the files section and I don't have an interest to become a member of baymilcom at this time.

Eddie

>baymilcom seems to be up on this. It might be a better resource. I know
>there is a map with frequencies of the Oakland center in the baymilcom
>file section. It has civilian and mil frequencies.

_____
Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/

36360. Re: [bayscan] Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 06:42:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36357 Message: 36360

yeah, but you can join the site check it out and then quit ? not hard to do.

what exactly IS tracon ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36361. Re: [bayscan] Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 06:50:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36357 Message: 36361

At 10:11 PM 1/21/2005, you wrote:

>Just found out that the San Francisco/Oakland Bay Tracon is now closed.
>They have merged with the Northern California Tracon. This may be old news
>to some of you, but is new news to me. What are the frequencies for the new
>Northern California Tracon?

http://www.airnav.com would have them.... not quite sure how to search on it.

Pulling up KSFO shows a number of frequencies...

Evan

36362. Re: [bayscan] Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 06:54:32 UTC
From: Eddie Ragasa
Topic: 36357 Message: 36362

TRACON (Terminal Radar Approach Control)
TRACONs are facilities containing radar operations from which air traffic controllers direct aircraft during the departure, descent and approach phases of flight. One TRACON can handle the air traffic for several different airports in its sector. The airspace of a TRACON has various dimensions depending upon its location within the US, and the size and number of airports it serves.

The TRACON controllers direct aircraft that are transitioning from the en route phase through to the approach phase into a destination airport located within the TRACON's airspace. There is more than one controller position in the TRACON. These positions are designated as follows:

high altitude descent controller
low altitude descent controller
approach controller
feeder controller

Typically a series of TRACON controllers direct an aircraft through two stages of descent (high altitude to low altitude). The high altitude controller will hand off the aircraft to the low altitude controller who then hands off the aircraft to the approach controller. The approach controller merges the many descending aircraft flying toward the same destination airport into one line of air traffic maintaining safe separation. When the aircraft reach about 50 miles outside the destination airport the approach controller performs an electronic transfer and hands the aircraft off to the Feeder Controller. Once the arriving aircraft are within the airport's airspace, they are handed off to the Local Controller located in the airport's control tower. The aircraft are then no longer being monitored by the controller's in the TRACON.

TRACONs also control an aircraft that is transitioning after takeoff to the departure phase until the flight is handed off to the Air Route Traffic Control Center (ARTCC) for its en route phase. The Departure Controller in the TRACON routes the ascending aircraft immediately upon takeoff via a preferential departure route (PDR) leading away from the departure airport.

The TRACON controller directs the movement of aircraft in and out of that airspace by monitoring a radar screen and maintaining voice contact with pilots. Although the controller's individual responsibility is only for his or her assigned sector, all controllers within a TRACON have full radar information on all the aircraft that are under control of the entire TRACON facility. In addition, these controllers are able to communicate with one another instantly- something that contributes significantly to assuring the safety of aircraft passengers.

>what exactly IS tracon ?

_____
Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/

36363. Re: [bayscan] OT: If you steal, better let the IRS know!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 06:55:38 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36322 Message: 36363

At 06:24 AM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
><http://www.irs.gov/publications/p17/ch13.html#d0e36729>http://www.irs.gov/publications/p17/ch13.html#d0e36729
>
>"Stolen property. If you steal property, you must report its fair market
>value in your income in the year you steal it unless in the same year, you
>return it to its rightful owner."
>
>Keep that in mind when doing your taxes.

And when you buy your marijuana, make sure you report it, as it's taxable.

http://www.ksrevenue.org/perstaxtypesdrug.htm

"The fact that dealing marijuana and controlled substances is illegal does not exempt it from taxation. Therefore drug dealers are required by law to purchase drug tax stamps.

The drug tax is due as soon as the dealer takes possession of the marijuana or controlled substance. Payment of the drug tax will purchase the drug tax stamps. Attach the stamp to the marijuana and/or controlled substance immediately after receiving the substance. The stamps are valid for 3 months. Drugs seized without stamps or having expired stamps may result in criminal or civil penalties which may include fines, seizure of property or liens against real estate.

A dealer is not required to give his/her name or address when purchasing stamps and the Department is prohibited from sharing any information relating to the purchase of drug tax stamps with law enforcement or anyone else.

Purchasing drug tax stamps does not make possession of drugs legal."

Gosh, are they serious??

I wonder if anyone has ever come in to buy their drug tax stamps...

36364. Re: [bayscan] Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 06:58:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36357 Message: 36364

so this is what bay approach and bay departure is? Like what was/is?
Oakland center in Fremont?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36365. MCI alert San Mateo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:09:09 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36365 Message: 36365

On McClellan street south side of Bay Meadows race track , right
off Pacific ave.

staging at Hillsdale and Pacific

MCI Alert

153.785
156.015 tac

MCI Level 1

EMS TGs 11296 MCI and/or 11344 Dispatch

2309 hours
Ed

36366. Oakland TG 02-087

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:11:58 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36366 Message: 36366

Hey guys,

I was listening to a TG a second ago that I haven't found recorded anywhere yet. The 02-XXX means its most likely police, and it's in the 02-08X range, which also includes: OPD Command 1 and Command 2, Phone 1 (a phone patch?) and Ranger Ops. Can anyone confirm what this TG is?

If not, I'm pretty sure it's OPD NARC (and it's gone silent or I wouldn't post out of respect for the undercover officers). The two guys were talking in very hushed voices the whole time and doing surveillance somewhere on Broadway. Narcotics seemed likely because they had a long conversation about something along the lines of how the whole area they were in liked to smoke dope.

Surveillance is never very riveting to listen to, but as I can't find the TG in any other lists I figured I'd let everyone know. It's pretty cool to stumble on stuff like this, but if I'm breaking some list rule or something by accident by posting it let me know.

-Inigo

36367. Re: [bayscan] Oakland TG 02-087

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:16:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36366 Message: 36367

Kelty shows it simply as " police reserved " so that seems to be a catch-all
so it could be anything

surveliances actually can be fun.....heard OPD watching some guy
they suspect of bringing into parking meters.

watched him go into a fast food joint , comment was " I bet he pays
for his tacos with Quarters " :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36368. Re: [bayscan] MCI alert San Mateo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:18:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36365 Message: 36368

Not exactly sure what this is.....5 patients with
altered mental states.....IC asking for SMPD as a possible crime

E23 - 26 - 14 T28 BC5 BC6 , didn't catch how many AMR rigs

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36369. Re: [bayscan] MCI alert San Mateo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:25:43 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36365 Message: 36369

SMFD asked for Spanish Speakers but none available. Then they Special Called E24 for their crew...mabey spanish speaking crew members?

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36370. Re: [bayscan] MCI alert San Mateo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:29:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36365 Message: 36370

yeah, then they cancelled E 24 and have an S/O unit coming , but your right Paul , it was for a spanish speaking fire fighter

I have to guess this is drugs .

btw , I see SFPD did ANOTHER big pot bust , this one on Market street near 4th . 1067 Market to be exact. Thats 2 in 24 hours.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36371. Re: [bayscan] Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:44:04 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36357 Message: 36371

Personally, I have never seen those maps anywhere except on baymilcom. They have Oakland center high and low altitude. My only regret is I wish they were scanned just a tad higher in resolution. SFO isn't a radio site. The closest site to the bay area is on Mt. Tam. There is no fence around the radio sites if you are so inclined to see them up close and personal. [The radar site is fenced off.]

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 10:11 PM 1/21/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Just found out that the San Francisco/Oakland Bay Tracon is now closed.
>>They have merged with the Northern California Tracon. This may be old news
>>to some of you, but is new news to me. What are the frequencies for the new
>>Northern California Tracon?
>
>
>
> http://www.airnav.com would have them.... not quite sure how to search on it.
>
> Pulling up KSFO shows a number of frequencies...
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36372. Re: Bay Tracon??? Now NorCal TRACON (A little long)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:49:40 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36357 Message: 36372

Hehe Eddie now you're speakin my language man!

NorCal TRACON is in Sacramento near the Mather airport. They basically did the same thing that happened with SoCal combining the LA and San Diego areas into one building (by MCAS Mirimar), and combined Bay TRACON with Stockton, Sacramento, Monterey and a few huge chunks of some ZOA low altitude sectors at and below 15,000 ft.

Each sector has its primary VHF frequency, along with its UHF AM parallel frequency for military aircraft in parenthesis (), and the few in brackets [] are backups for subsectoring due to traffic overflow or facility equipment problems.

Here you go:

NorCal TRACON (NCT):

Area - A: San Jose and Monterey

Hooks - 135.20 - (379.10) - Moffet Field Final
Toga - 121.30 - (275.35) - San Jose Approach/Departure
Licke - 120.10 - (290.25) - San Jose Approach Final
Fremont - 133.00 - (251.15) - Monterey West Approach
Seca - 127.15 - (387.00) - Monterey East Approach

Area - B: SFO arrivals

Boulder - 133.95 - (317.60) - SFO North & West Arrivals Feeder
Foster - 120.35 - (251.05)
Niles - 134.50 - (338.20) - SFO East Arrivals Feeder
Woodside - 135.65 - (310.80) - SFO Approach Final

Area - C: East Bay and Stockton

Grove - 135.40 - (354.10) - OAK Approach Final
Mulford - 124.40 - (351.80)
Valley - 125.10 - (363.20) - Modesto Area
Castle - 120.95 - (269.45) - Merced Area
Tracy - 123.85 - (278.30)
Sunol - 124.80 - (263.15)

Area - D: North Bay

Diablo - 127.00 - (298.95)
Richmond - 120.90 - [128.32] ? (323.20) - SFO/OAK East Departures
Sutro - 135.10 - (307.20) - SFO/OAK West Departures

Area - E: Sacramento Area

Buttes - 125.40 - (259.10)
City - 125.60 - (385.50)
Delta - 125.25 - (257.90)
Elkhorn - 134.80 - (270.25)
Expo - 127.40 - (317.50)
Sierra - 119.10 - [284.00] - (269.00)

I hope you enjoy, if you have specific arrivals in mind email me off list and I'll set you up with the appropriate sectors and frequencies.

-Inigo

P.S. For ED and everyone else who's curious -

The Oakland ARTCC in Fremont is an Enroute air traffic control center for airplanes at or near their cruising altitudes. All the air traffic controllers sit in front of DSRII radar screens and talk to aircraft within their sector (which is a box of airspace that they control) from a variety of remote controlled radio towers called RCAGs scattered around northern california (and all the towers are linked to the ARTCC in Fremont via microwave relays, as are their radar sites). All these sectors border eachother inside the boundaries of the ARTCC (basically northern CA plus western NV), and some are seperated by altitude, for example low alt sectors control up to 23,000 ft and hi alt gets everything past that).

The controller will tag up their blip on the radar with the aircraft's information, and follow it along making sure there are no conflicts with other aircraft until it leaves the boundary of their sector. When it does, they initiate an automatic computerized "handoff" to the next controller (simply a few keystrokes to let them know they have a new airplane), and when the next controller down the line accepts the handoff the first controller will tell the aircraft to switch to the next controller's frequency. Because it's all computerized, an Oakland Center controller covering the Fresno area can hand an airplane off to the Los Angeles Center controller covering the Mojave desert just south of there, and the process is so effortless that it would never occur to the pilot that the first guy was in a dark room in Fremont, and the second was in a dark room in San Diego. :)

The national air traffic control system is just a big ballet act of coordination, and the men and women who work for the FAA as air traffic controllers do a damn good job at it.

36373. Re: Oakland TG 02-087

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:51:14 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36366 Message: 36373

HAHA! Yeah these guys were funny as hell. Btw, where can I get Bob Kelty's book?

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Kelty shows it simply as " police reserved " so that seems to be a catch-all
> so it could be anything
>
>
> survelliances actually can be fun.....heard OPD watching some guy
> they suspect of bringing into parking meters.
>
> watched him go into a fast food joint , comment was " I bet he pays
> for his tacos with Quarters " :-)
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36374. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bay Tracon??? Now NorCal TRACON (A little long)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:55:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36357 Message: 36374

Ok, thanks,,,,,,,,,,I am going to keep those posts ,especially the ones with freqs.

as far as doing a great job.....no much question about that is there , considering its the safest type of travel.

I joke that I don't fly much , not my thing.....I perfer "safe" forms of travel thats why I am a motorcyclist ;-) ROTFLOL

((how many times did I get cut off today ?? LOL))
thanks again, nice post

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36375. Re: [bayscan] Re: Oakland TG 02-087

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:56:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36366 Message: 36375

Scanners Unlimited in San Carlos 650-573- 1624
1199A Laurel ...one block off El Camino Real.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36376. Northern California Tracon (NCT)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 08:03:47 UTC
From: Eddie Ragasa
Topic: 36376 Message: 36376

After endless searching of the web, I finally found the frequencies for Northern California Tracon (NCT) that someone had posted on www.radioreference.com. Here is those frequencies for everyone's listening pleasure.

Eddie

Northern California Tracon (NCT):

Area - A: San Jose and Monterey

Hooks - 135.20 - (379.10) - Moffet Field Final
Toga - 121.30 - (275.35) - San Jose Approach/Departure
Licke - 120.10 - (290.25) - San Jose Approach Final
Fremont - 133.00 - (251.15) - Monterey West Approach
Seca - 127.15 - (387.00) - Monterey East Approach

Area - B: SFO arrivals

Boulder - 133.95 - (317.60) - SFO North & West Arrivals Feeder
Foster - 120.35 - (251.05)
Niles - 134.50 - (338.20) - SFO East Arrivals Feeder
Woodside - 135.65 - (310.80) - SFO Approach Final

Area - C: East Bay and Stockton

Grove - 135.40 - (354.10) - OAK Approach Final
Mulford - 124.40 - (351.80)
Valley - 125.10 - (363.20) - Modesto Area
Castle - 120.95 - (269.45) - Merced Area
Tracy - 123.85 - (278.30)
Sunol - 124.80 - (263.15)

Area - D: North Bay

Diablo - 127.00 - (298.95)
Richmond - 120.90 - [128.32] ? (323.20) - SFO/OAK East Departures
Sutro - 135.10 - (307.20) - SFO/OAK West Departures

Area - E: Sacramento Area

Buttes - 125.40 - (259.10)
City - 125.60 - (385.50)
Delta - 125.25 - (257.90)
Elkhorn - 134.80 - (270.25)
Expo - 127.40 - (317.50)
Sierra - 119.10 - [284.00] - (269.00)

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/

36377. Re: [bayscan] Re: Oakland TG 02-087

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 07:52:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36366 Message: 36377

scanners unlimited.

inigo4688 wrote:

>
> HAHA! Yeah these guys were funny as hell. Btw, where can I get Bob
> Kelty's book?
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > Kelty shows it simply as " police reserved " so that seems to be a
> catch-all
> > so it could be anything
> >
> >
> > survelliances actually can be fun.....heard OPD watching
> some guy
> > they suspect of bringing into parking meters.
> >
> > watched him go into a fast food joint , comment was " I bet
> he pays
> > for his tacos with Quarters " :-)
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>

>

36378. confirmed barricade with a gun SJPD3 0053hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 08:54:53 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36378 Message: 36378

Didn't catch the location. District Mary
460.200

Agitated subject with a confirmed handgun.

Perimeter set, negotiator on scene.

36379. Re: Northern California Tracon (NCT)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 08:53:39 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36376 Message: 36379

Hey Eddie, actually I posted that. See my response to your original thread.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Eddie Ragasa" <medic2442@h...> wrote:
> After endless searching of the web, I finally found the frequencies for
> Northern California Tracon (NCT) that someone had posted on
> www.radioreference.com. Here is those frequencies for everyone's listening
> pleasure.
>
> Eddie
>
> Northern California Tracon (NCT):
>
> Area - A: San Jose and Monterey
>
> Hooks - 135.20 - (379.10) - Moffet Field Final
> Toga - 121.30 - (275.35) - San Jose Approach/Departure
> Licke - 120.10 - (290.25) - San Jose Approach Final
> Fremont - 133.00 - (251.15) - Monterey West Approach
> Seca - 127.15 - (387.00) - Monterey East Approach
>
> Area - B: SFO arrivals
>
> Boulder - 133.95 - (317.60) - SFO North & West Arrivals Feeder
> Foster - 120.35 - (251.05)
> Niles - 134.50 - (338.20) - SFO East Arrivals Feeder
> Woodside - 135.65 - (310.80) - SFO Approach Final
>
> Area - C: East Bay and Stockton
>
> Grove - 135.40 - (354.10) - OAK Approach Final
> Mulford - 124.40 - (351.80)
> Valley - 125.10 - (363.20) - Modesto Area
> Castle - 120.95 - (269.45) - Merced Area
> Tracy - 123.85 - (278.30)
> Sunol - 124.80 - (263.15)
>
> Area - D: North Bay
>
> Diablo - 127.00 - (298.95)
> Richmond - 120.90 - [128.32] ? (323.20) - SFO/OAK East Departures

> Sutro - 135.10 - (307.20) - SFO/OAK West Departures
>
> Area - E: Sacramento Area
>
> Buttes - 125.40 - (259.10)
> City - 125.60 - (385.50)
> Delta - 125.25 - (257.90)
> Elkhorn - 134.80 - (270.25)
> Expo - 127.40 - (317.50)
> Sierra - 119.10 - [284.00] - (269.00)
>
> _____
> Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today -
it's FREE!
> http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/

36380. Shots Fired/ SJPD 3/ 0139hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 09:40:25 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36380 Message: 36380

Shots Fired on San Jose's barricade in district Mary.
Requesting medical to stage.
Roll call of officers.
460.200

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36381. structure fire san rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 09:42:27 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 36381 Message: 36381

Just heard dispatch for a working structure fire in a restaurant
located at 706 third street.

San Rafael Fire-46.200
Marin Fire-46.500

First unit on scene states flames from the rear window.

1:41 am

Robert
BayScan

36382. SJPD Barricade Final Update /// 0153 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 09:55:49 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36382 Message: 36382

Sounds like the suspect self-inflicted a gunshot wound.

Fire/Medics on scene now.

Situation stable. Setting up evidence collection.

No further updates.

460.200
856.4375
857.4375

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36383. 4th Alarm in San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 10:12:23 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 36383 Message: 36383

Fire went from 3 to 4 alarms now..
I knew I should have headed up there with my camera.

Kinda late and too lazy..

2:11 am

Robert
BayScan

36384. 5th alarm in San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 10:49:26 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 36384 Message: 36384

NOW IM REALLY MAD I DIDNT ROLL UP TO SAN RAFAEL..

5th ALARM NOW...

2:38 am

Robert
BayScan

36385. Re: [bayscan] This could be humorous...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 13:26:07 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36258 Message: 36385

its not out of service unless you tell someone =)
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2005 5:37 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] This could be humorous...

you break ambulances window and you'll put the rig out of service , would
depend on condition of victim, distance to ER and ETA of 2nd unit I would guess

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36386. Re: Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 14:18:14 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 36357 Message: 36386

Go to your local airport and visit their flight shop. Look for a
VFR Terminal Area Chart that covers the area you're interested in.
It'll show both UHF & VHF freqs with their respective boundary lines.

- Brian

36387. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 16:39:44 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36357 Message: 36387

> Go to your local airport and visit their flight shop.

But don't act like a terrorist, or they'll report you! :-)

You can also obviously buy maps online from places like
http://sportys.com/

> Look for a VFR Terminal Area Chart that covers the area you're
> interested in. It'll show both UHF & VHF freqs with their
> respective boundary lines.

I don't think the maps have boundary lines, but you can get the general idea of where the sectors are. Note that this will just show you TRACON zones/frequencies, not CENTER frequencies.

/jordan

36388. Re: [bayscan] Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To protect and serve?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 16:51:19 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36332 Message: 36388

> even though they alredy have paid for
> alarm permits. To protect and serve?

If you check the archives, we've covered this before. But in case everyone is too lazy, my solution is to make alarm companies pay for false alarms. My alarm has gone off several times in the past and it was always a problem with the alarm or the way it was installed or whatnot. Don't get me started on alarm installers :-)

/jordan

36389. Re: [bayscan] Bay Tracon???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 16:53:02 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36357 Message: 36389

> I have never seen those maps anywhere except on baymilcom.

They came from here. They are old and in some ways out of date. But
still a pretty good resource:

http://www.faa.gov/ats/oakaifss/Airport%20Charts/arptgraphics.htm

36390. Re: [bayscan] Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To protect and serve?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 16:57:26 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36332 Message: 36390

At 08:51 AM 1/22/2005, you wrote:
>If you check the archives, we've covered this before. But in case
>everyone is too lazy, my solution is to make alarm companies pay for
>false alarms. My alarm has gone off several times in the past and it
>was always a problem with the alarm or the way it was installed or
>whatnot. Don't get me started on alarm installers :-)

Make the resident pay. That's what is usually done.

36391. Re: [bayscan] Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To protect and serve?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 17:10:18 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36391 Message: 36391

> Make the resident pay. That's what is usually done.

That's counter-productive. If it's the alarm company's fault, there's probably no way for the homeowner to get the fine back. But if it's the user's fault, the alarm company can charge them back or ultimately drop them from the system: if you can't maintain your alarm system, the company has no business providing monitoring for you.

Also: this means there's 3-4 places the city can bill rather than hundreds of individuals who may not pay it, so there's a big department for collections and software to track it and ...

My way is simpler and better for the city.

36392. Re: [bayscan] Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 17:23:01 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36391 Message: 36392

At 09:10 AM 1/22/2005, you wrote:
>That's counter-productive. If it's the alarm company's fault, there's
>probably no way for the homeowner to get the fine back. But if it's the
>user's fault, the alarm company can charge them back or ultimately drop
>them from the system: if you can't maintain your alarm system, the
>company has no business providing monitoring for you.
>
>Also: this means there's 3-4 places the city can bill rather than
>hundreds of individuals who may not pay it, so there's a big department
>for collections and software to track it and ...

Most cities require an alarm permit. With so many alarm companies (Joe's Alarm Comapny, etc), it's much easier to bill the resident (i.e. PD responds to 1234 anywhere street, false alarm, bill 1234 anywhere street. With alarm companies a dime a dozen, what is say Sunnyvale PD going to do when they get a call "Hi, reporting a front entry break in alarm at 1234 Anywhere street." "Ok, standby. What is your alarm companies billing address?" What about those auto dialers you buy at Radio Shack that call 911 with your pre-recorded message?

I don't forsee a mass of PD's billing the alarm company. Would put too much liability on the alarm companies, and they wouldn't agree to it. Too many mom & pop alarm companies too.

>My way is simpler and better for the city.

There's an old saying....

Opinions are like ... <grin> :)

Evan

36393. Re: [bayscan] Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? So what? To

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 17:38:32 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36391 Message: 36393

> Most cities require an alarm permit.

They do? Then it's worse than I thought. :-)

FWIW, Oakland and Berkeley don't. That's my experience.

> what is say Sunnyvale PD going to do when they get a
> call "Hi, reporting a front entry break in alarm at 1234
> Anywhere street." "Ok, standby. What is your alarm companies
> billing address?"

I get the feeling from listening to this stuff that there are a small number of alarm companies and that they all have relationships with the dispatch centers (for instance, there's a way to authenticate the incoming call). But if you're saying that it's just random and arbitrary, okay I believe you. I looked in the yellow pages just now for Berkeley/Oakland and there are about 7 of the big monitoring companies -- ADT, Bay Alarm, Sonitrol, Sentry Alert, etc. -- and a LARGE list of installers and people who will sell you do-it-yourself kits, but like I said: I only really hear alarm calls coming in from the big guys. Maybe I don't listen enough ... *shrug*

> What about those auto dialers you buy at Radio Shack that call
> 911 with your pre-recorded message?

Well sure, but I don't get the feeling that this is the problem. All the ones I hear are from the big companies. When a call comes in for OPD or BPD, they say who the alarm company is. I've never heard mom-n-pops or anything like "some small-fry monitoring company" ...

> I don't forsee a mass of PD's billing the alarm company. Would put
> too much liability on the alarm companies, and they wouldn't
> agree to it.

Well, then there's your solution! I think the alarm company should take responsibility for this thing. If they won't, they can't call dispatch. It's the basis for their business, fercryinoloud.

> Too many mom & pop alarm companies too.

I thought there were only a small number. Who would be crazy enough to trust a mom-n-pop for alarm monitoring? Anyway, that just gets me back to it: if you're gong to monitor, you should take responsibility for it. If there are permits to be gotten, the monitors should be getting them.

/jordan

36394. SFFD Brown Outs for 1-22-05

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 17:50:33 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36394 Message: 36394

These are the Brown outs for today and for the next 24 hours.

E-6,E-9,E-15 & E-41

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36395. MERA Fire TG 21616

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 19:23:39 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36395 Message: 36395

This is a confirmation that MERA TG 21616 is a simucast of 46.50 Control 6 District Fire and Medics. Who knows what will happen as county Fire switches to MERA in the near future but this is the way it is for now.

-Roger 11:21

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36396. Re: Burglar alarm in Fremont? Were not making money on alarms

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-22 22:01:39 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36391 Message: 36396

Just the Facts Mamm
The City of Fremont has had a False Alarm Ordinance since March '98
The following is cut from that ordinance....
"The initial permit costs $40.00 and is valid for two years. The
permit must be renewed every two years at a cost of $20.00. The
revenue generated from these permits is used to help defray the
costs of equipment and personnel to administer the ordinance and,
more importantly, to train alarm owners.

The alarm ordinance also encourages accountability and
responsibility by charging alarm owners for false alarms. Two (2)
false alarms in a 12 month period are allowed and will be responded
to without any charge. Citations will, however, be issued after the
second false alarm. An alarm user who has more than three (3) false
alarms in a 120 day time period may also be billed for excessive
police service."

Personally I think this new policy (No response)reflects a lack of
focus on the
responsibility to serve.

This new policy also conflicts with the dept's core mission
statement below.

"The Police Department is responsible for the safeguarding of
citizens' lives and property, the preservation of constitutional
rights, and neighborhood problem solving."

Rocdad
Bob

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
> > Most cities require an alarm permit.
>
> They do? Then it's worse than I thought. :-)
>
> FWIW, Oakland and Berkeley don't. That's my experience.
>
> > what is say Sunnyvale PD going to do when they get a
> > call "Hi, reporting a front entry break in alarm at 1234
> > Anywhere street." "Ok, standby. What is your alarm companies
> > billing address?"
>
> I get the feeling from listening to this stuff that there are a
small
> number of alarm companies and that they all have relationships

with the
> dispatch centers (for instance, there's a way to authenticate the
> incoming call). But if you're saying that it's just random and
> arbitrary, okay I believe you. I looked in the yellow pages just
now
> for Berkeley/Oakland and there are about 7 of the big monitoring
> companies -- ADT, Bay Alarm, Sonitrol, Sentry Alert, etc. -- and a
LARGE
> list of installers and people who will sell you do-it-yourself
kits, but
> like I said: I only really hear alarm calls coming in from the big
guys.
> Maybe I don't listen enough ... *shrug*
>
> > What about those auto dialers you buy at Radio Shack that call
> > 911 with your pre-recorded message?
>
> Well sure, but I don't get the feeling that this is the problem.
All
> the ones I hear are from the big companies. When a call comes in
for
> OPD or BPD, they say who the alarm company is. I've never heard
> mom-n-pops or anything like "some small-fry monitoring company" ...
>
> > I don't forsee a mass of PD's billing the alarm company. Would
put
> > too much liability on the alarm companies, and they wouldn't
> > agree to it.
>
> Well, then there's your solution! I think the alarm company
should take
> responsibility for this thing. If they won't, they can't call
dispatch.
> It's the basis for their business, fercryinoloud.
>
> > Too many mom & pop alarm companies too.
>
> I thought there were only a small number. Who would be crazy
enough to
> trust a mom-n-pop for alarm monitoring? Anyway, that just gets me
back
> to it: if you're gong to monitor, you should take responsibility
for it.
> If there are permits to be gotten, the monitors should be getting
them.
>
> /jordan

36397. Re: [bayscan] 5th alarm in San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 01:08:46 UTC
From: Brian Verhalen
Topic: 36384 Message: 36397

Anything more on this? Since I do not live in the Bay Area anymore I was looking for some follow-up. Don't know if there are still apartments above it anymore, but I would think they are still there. Slightly on the "low rent" type. I presume since it was a 5 alarmer that it was pretty much a goner!!! I have eaten in there several times, nice place. To bad.
Brian

At 02:49 AM 1/22/2005, you wrote:

>NOW IM REALLY MAD I DIDNT ROLL UP TO SAN RAFAEL..
>
>5th ALARM NOW...
>
>2:38 am
>
>Robert
>BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36398. Chase 155.250

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 01:52:16 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 36398 Message: 36398

Chase in coco county now on 155.250

5:51 pm

Robert
BayScan

36399. Re: [bayscan] 5th alarm in San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 02:29:47 UTC
From: troyboym@aol.com
Topic: 36384 Message: 36399

Yeah, sounds like it's a total loss.

http://kcbs.com/pages/kcbs/news/news_story.nsp?story_id=63741107&ID=kcbs&scategory=Computers
Source: kcbs
Publication date: 2005-01-22

(KCBS)--One of San Rafael's oldest and most popular landmark buildings went up in flames in a five-alarm fire early this morning.
City spokeswoman Lydia Romero tells KCBS firefighters received a call around 1:30 am that the Salute restaurant at the corner of Third and Tamalpais, was burning.
"This restaurant has been in San Rafael for a very, very long time. In fact the San Rafael City Council in the sixties used to enjoy dinner there after a city council meeting. The building was built in 1911 so it's one of our older buildings here in San Rafael," she said.
By the time fire crews arrived, flames were shooting out of the windows. The building, which housed the restaurant on the first floor and several businesses on the second, was a total loss.
The fire started in the early morning hours when nobody was inside the building so there were no injuries.
Firefighters must clear debris to determine the cause of the fire
In a message dated 1/22/2005 5:10:23 PM Pacific Standard Time, brianv@... writes:
Anything more on this? Since I do not live in the Bay Area anymore I was looking for some follow-up. Don't know if there are still apartments above it anymore, but I would think they are still there. Slightly on the "low rent" type. I presume since it was a 5 alarmer that it was pretty much a goner!!! I have eaten in there several times, nice place. To bad.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36400. Tilden Park Search for hikers [7:36pm]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 03:34:18 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 36400 Message: 36400

44.760

"Eagle 6" helicopter was called to assist, but was too foggy. Sounds like two hikers have a cell phone, but they are having a hard time finding their way back to the parking lot. Heard them refer to the Nimitz Trail. Ground units looking.

Steve

36401. List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 04:29:46 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36401 Message: 36401

I'm now hearing on Sunnyvale Batallion 2 and 20.

Anyone have the bay area list of the BC Identifiers and 'codes', i.e. Sunnyvale may be SNV?

Thanks.

Evan

36402. Pursuit on southbound I-880 from Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 05:38:52 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36402 Message: 36402

Heard OPD pursuing a suspect at 100 + mph weaving in and out of traffic on southbound I-880. Talk of CHP taking over if they can verify which unit is in pursuit (this is funny normally it's CHP who complains about not being able to talk directly to local PD during a pursuit). Argus 1 overhead but probably letting H30 take over.

Oakland PD Patrol 3 - 02-023

Unsure the CHP freq I'm out of range:
CHP Bronze? - 42.12
CHP Aqua - 42.62 (I think this is it)

-Inigo

36403. marin: vehicle over the edge...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 06:48:18 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36403 Message: 36403

mill valley:

cab off the road, vehicle being held up by a tree, driver still in

46064?

need to block off all traffic at ethel and montford...

2247

nina
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36404. Re: [bayscan] marin: vehicle over the edge...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 06:51:34 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36403 Message: 36404

driver believes foot on brake is keeping car from crashing into house
below,

need to immed. evacuate occuapants of house under / towards cab...

updates if indicated...

On Saturday, Jan 22, 2005, at 22:48 US/Pacific, nina zhito wrote:

>
> mill valley:
>
> cab off the road, vehicle being held up by a tree, driver still in
>
> 46064?
>
> need to block off all traffic at ethel and montford...
>
> 2247
>
> nina
> ==========
> zhito photography
> (415) 892-5443
> (707) 338-3604
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
==========
zhito photography
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36405. Re: marin: vehicle over the edge...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 07:07:00 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36403 Message: 36405

Wow I missed the part about the brake! Control 6 - 46.50 is what MERA
is simulcasting for those of you (like me) without digital, but no
mobiles will be heard unless you have line of site with Mill Valley.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>
> driver believes foot on brake is keeping car from crashing into
house
> below,
>
> need to immed. evacuate occuapants of house under / towards cab...
>
> updates if indicated...
>
>
> On Saturday, Jan 22, 2005, at 22:48 US/Pacific, nina zhito wrote:
>
> >
> > mill valley:
> >
> > cab off the road, vehicle being held up by a tree, driver still in
> >
> > 46064?
> >
> > need to block off all traffic at ethel and montford...
> >
> > 2247
> >
> > nina
> > ==============
> > zhito photography
> > (415) 892-5443
> > (707) 338-3604
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> ==========
> zhito photography
> (415) 892-5443
> (707) 338-3604
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36406. Mtn View PD hot call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 07:28:16 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 36406 Message: 36406

MVPD just put out a call that they received a wireless 9-1-1 from the area of Chiquita/Latham area female screaming "he has a gun to my head" and a male in the background shouting "she's gonna die"

MVPD 482

36407. oops

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 07:28:50 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 31022 Message: 36407

MVPD 482.5125

now advising 1726 W. El Camino is area of where the call came from

36408. SJPD home invasion robbery/ SJ6/ 0058hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 09:00:03 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36408 Message: 36408

3 subjects still in residence.

Off of Monterey Rd.

Sgt. req 8 more units code 3 to the scene.

Poss one subject out the window and in the area.

PD unit with assault rifle dispatched for perimeter.

SJPD 6
460.325

36409. Re: MERA Fire TG 21616

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 09:43:08 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36395 Message: 36409

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
>...Who knows what will happen as county Fire switches
> to MERA in the near future but this is the way it is for now...

Well, the way it stands now, Marin County Fire will be the last fire agency to switch to MERA. The Bolinas site is still being negotiated, and that is an important one for MCFD and the MCo Sheriff. MCFD has to have the Bolinas site operational to be able to switch. If Bolinas is up this summer or fall, MCFD will wait until after fire season to go MERA. It could be this time next year that they go. Until then, it's 46.28/F-4 for MCFD.

36410. Re: [bayscan] MERA Fire TG 21616

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 11:02:46 UTC
From: Ross Mutzelburg
Topic: 36395 Message: 36410

Could someone explain what MERA is?

Thanks.

Ross

Roger Strohmeyer <rstroh@...> wrote:

This is a confirmation that MERA TG 21616 is a simucast of 46.50 Control 6
District Fire and Medics. Who knows what will happen as county Fire switches
to MERA in the near future but this is the way it is for now.

-Roger 11:21

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

Regards,

Ross

Find local movie times and trailers on Yahoo! Movies.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36411. Re: [bayscan] oops

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 15:33:16 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 31022 Message: 36411

At 11:28 PM 1/22/2005, you wrote:
>MVPD 482.5125
>
>now advising 1726 W. El Camino is area of where the call came from

Any more on this?

Evan

36412. Re: MERA Fire TG 21616

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 16:12:46 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36395 Message: 36412

Ross,

Everything you wanted to ever know about the Marin Emeregency Radio Authority can be found at http://www.meraonline.org/ . You can also do a Google seatch and find hundreds of references to MERA's past and present challenges.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ross Mutzelburg <rossmutz@y...> wrote:
> Could someone explain what MERA is?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Ross
>
> Roger Strohmeyer <rstroh@e...> wrote:
>
> This is a confirmation that MERA TG 21616 is a simucast of 46.50 Control 6
> District Fire and Medics. Who knows what will happen as county Fire switches
> to MERA in the near future but this is the way it is for now.
>
> -Roger 11:21
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Ross
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Find local movie times and trailers on Yahoo! Movies.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36413. marin city code 33 1458

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-23 22:58:33 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36413 Message: 36413

reduce to code 2 when entering city

46064
39.24

assist marin s.o....

nina
==========
www.ninazhito.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36414. Re: [bayscan] List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 01:23:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36401 Message: 36414

San Francisco Fire - Divisions 2 / 3 Battalions 1-10 except 5 is deleted ,
Battalion 11 is in the Presidio.

San Mateo County
1- Menlo Park / 2 Woodside / 3 Redwood City / 4 South County
5 San Mateo / 6 Foster City 7/deleted 8/ Burlingame 10/Half Moon Bay
11/CDF 13/CDF 15/CDF 16/San Bruno 17/South San Francisco
18/ North County 19/ Colma 20/ North County

Palo Alto BC 6
Mountain View BC18
Santa Clara BC1
Saratoga BC 30
San Jose BCs 1-2-10-13- 29

Oakland BCs 2512 2513 2514
ALCO BCs 3411 3412
Fremont BC 3811 3812
Union City 3711
Newark 3911

enough for now :-)
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36415. San Ramon Valley Fire frequencies??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 01:27:56 UTC
From: wyncdavies
Topic: 36415 Message: 36415

Does anyone have the frequencies for the San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District? I can't seem to find them anywhere.

Thanks!!

Wyn

36416. Re: [bayscan] San Ramon Valley Fire frequencies??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 01:31:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36415 Message: 36416

www.srvfpd.dst.ca.us

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36417. Re: [bayscan] oops

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 01:41:14 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 31022 Message: 36417

In a message dated 1/23/05 07:35:16, evan@... writes:

<< Any more on this?
Evan >>

they were UTL anything, and they determined the call actually came from
somewhere in San Jose
-boulrog-

36418. Re: San Ramon Valley Fire frequencies??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 01:57:16 UTC
From: wyncdavies
Topic: 36415 Message: 36418

They've got an awesome site, but I don't see any frequency maps anywhere. Am I just missing them?

.wyn

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> www.srvfpd.dst.ca.us
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36419. Re: San Ramon Valley Fire frequencies??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 02:11:32 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36415 Message: 36419

Check
http://www.srvfpd.dst.ca.us/organization/communications_LIVE_radio.htm
for the frequencies that SRV has in their on-line scanner.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "wyncdavies" <motoman67@c...> wrote:
>
> They've got an awesome site, but I don't see any frequency maps
anywhere. Am I just
> missing them?
>
> .wyn
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > www.srvfpd.dst.ca.us
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36420. Re: [bayscan] List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 04:24:32 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36401 Message: 36420

At 05:23 PM 1/23/2005, you wrote:
>San Francisco Fire - Divisions 2 / 3 Battalions 1-10 except 5 is deleted ,
>Battalion 11 is in the Presidio.
>
>San Mateo County
>1- Menlo Park / 2 Woodside / 3 Redwood City / 4 South County
>5 San Mateo / 6 Foster City 7/deleted 8/ Burlingame 10/Half Moon Bay
>11/CDF 13/CDF 15/CDF 16/San Bruno 17/South San Francisco
>18/ North County 19/ Colma 20/ North County
>
>Palo Alto BC 6
>Mountain View BC18
>Santa Clara BC1
>Saratoga BC 30
>San Jose BCs 1-2-10-13- 29
>
>Oakland BCs 2512 2513 2514
>ALCO BCs 3411 3412
>Fremont BC 3811 3812
>Union City 3711
>Newark 3911

Is this a county by county ID then? I know Mountain View is 18 and Palo Alto is 6, so that jives, but I thought it was a statewide ID - i.e. there's no other 18 in California. But if 6 is foster city and palo alto, that wouldn't be statewide. :)

Evan

36421. Re: San Ramon Valley Fire frequencies??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 04:29:23 UTC
From: wyncdavies
Topic: 36415 Message: 36421

Duh! Thanks! I'll look closer next time.

.wyn

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
> Check
> http://www.srvfpd.dst.ca.us/organization/communications_LIVE_radio.htm
> for the frequencies that SRV has in their on-line scanner.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "wyncdavies" <motoman67@c...> wrote:
> >
> > They've got an awesome site, but I don't see any frequency maps
> anywhere. Am I just
> > missing them?
> >
> > .wyn
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > > www.srvfpd.dst.ca.us
> > >
> > > ED
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36422. Confirmation of MERA Fire TG 24080

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 05:44:29 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36422 Message: 36422

TG 24080 is a simulcast of Marin County Fire and Medics 37.10

-Roger 21:44

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36423. Re: [bayscan] List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 05:46:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36401 Message: 36423

No, some think there is a statewide numbering system but I think its obvious by the depts I list there isn't.

If you look closely at San Mateo's' it starts with Battalion 1 in Menlo which is the southern most FD to Battalion 20 in North County FPD which is the northern most. (for new people, North County Fire is the new Fire Protection District composed of Daly City, Pacifica and Brisbane Fire Depts.

You notice Alameda County likes the 4 digits set, Oakland within the county goes along with it and it TOO goes via a direction but this time north to south ([i.e. Berkeley with 22xx units to Newark with 39xx units. Still, Hayward does not go along with it as their BCs are single digits. Interesting Berkeley, while not on the ALCO TRS goes along with the numbering scheme while Hayward does neither.

SFFD and SJFD do their own thing. San Jose' chiefs are the same numbers as the Engines or other units in their quarters while San Francisco's' do NOT match.

For those interested. SFFD lists........

Battalion 1 w/ E2 T2 Battalion 2 w/ E36 Battalion 3 w/E8 T8 OR E48 (somebody)? Battalion 4 w/ E38 Battalion 6 w E11 T11
Battalion 7 w/ E31 Battalion 8 w/ E40 Battalion 9 w/ E15 T15
Battalion 10 w/ E9 T9

The 3 letter system is for strike teams as goes by protocol as designated by the ICS system. San Jose for instance is SJS. that is statewide and I can't find where list is but I KNOW its here on the net' somewhere, full list of all depts with the 3 letter designators.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36424. Napa Fire ////////////////////////CHP webpage

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 06:33:13 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36424 Message: 36424

Napa County Fire w/ American Canyon Fire + others working a
junkyard fire at Tower road off State Route 29 @ the Napa Airport

154.415
154.325 tac Vallejo called to cover stations

Ed

CHP webpage ----- the MAP function is WORKING now !!

36425. Re: [bayscan] List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 05:48:05 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36401 Message: 36425

Hayward used to do the 4 digit but changed a couple years ago. they used
to be 36xx

caladded@... wrote:

> No, some think there is a statewide numbering system but I think its
> obvious
> by the depts I list there isn't.
>
> If you look closely at San Mateo's' it starts with Battalion 1 in Menlo
> which is the southern most FD to Battalion 20 in North County FPD
> which is the
> northern most. (for new people, North County Fire is the new Fire
> Protection
> District composed of Daly City, Pacifica and Brisbane Fire Depts.
>
> You notice Alameda County likes the 4 digits set, Oakland within the
> county
> goes along with it and it TOO goes via a direction but this time north to
> south ([i.e. Berkeley with 22xx units to Newark with 39xx units.
> Still, Hayward
> does not go along with it as their BCs are single digits. Interesting
> Berkeley, while not on the ALCO TRS goes along with the numbering
> scheme while
> Hayward does neither.
>
> SFFD and SJFD do their own thing. San Jose' chiefs are the same
> numbers as
> the Engines or other units in their quarters while San Francisco's' do
> NOT
> match.
>
> For those interested. SFFD lists........
>
> Battalion 1 w/ E2 T2 Battalion 2 w/ E36 Battalion 3 w/E8 T8
> OR E48
> (somebody)? Battalion 4 w/ E38 Battalion 6 w E11 T11
> Battalion 7 w/ E31 Battalion 8 w/ E40 Battalion 9 w/ E15 T15
> Battalion 10 w/ E9 T9
>
> The 3 letter system is for strike teams as goes by protocol as
> designated
> by the ICS system. San Jose for instance is SJS. that is statewide and I
> can't find where list is but I KNOW its here on the net' somewhere,
> full list of

> all depts with the 3 letter designators.
>
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36426. Re: [bayscan] marin city code 33 1458

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 11:18:00 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36413 Message: 36426

what happened?

----- Original Message -----
From: nina zhito
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 2:58 PM
Subject: [bayscan] marin city code 33 1458

reduce to code 2 when entering city

46064
39.24

assist marin s.o....

nina
==========
www.ninazhito.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36427. Re: [bayscan] Re: MERA Fire TG 21616

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 11:49:25 UTC
From: Ross Mutzelburg
Topic: 36395 Message: 36427

Thanks - now it makes sense.

jjcooke@... wrote:

Ross,

Everything you wanted to ever know about the Marin Emeregency Radio
Authority can be found at http://www.meraonline.org/ . You can also
do a Google seatch and find hundreds of references to MERA's past and
present challenges.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ross Mutzelburg wrote:
> Could someone explain what MERA is?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Ross
>
> Roger Strohmeyer wrote:
>
> This is a confirmation that MERA TG 21616 is a simucast of 46.50
Control 6
> District Fire and Medics. Who knows what will happen as county Fire
switches
> to MERA in the near future but this is the way it is for now.
>
> -Roger 11:21
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Regards,
>
> Ross
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Find local movie times and trailers on Yahoo! Movies.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

Regards,

Ross

Find local movie times and trailers on Yahoo! Movies.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36428. Re: [bayscan] List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 13:05:30 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36401 Message: 36428

Evan Platt wrote:

> Is this a county by county ID then? I know Mountain View is 18 and Palo
> Alto is 6, so that jives, but I thought it was a statewide ID - i.e.
> there's no other 18 in California. But if 6 is foster city and palo alto,
> that wouldn't be statewide. :)

In the places where there is an organized numbering system, typically
the county Chief's Association has agreed to a structure.

Many counties have 4-digit numbering methods. Many have countywide
station numbering. The delineator is now the 3 letter agency ID (with
CA- in front of it for interstate incidents).

Don

36429. Re: [bayscan] marin city code 33 1458

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 16:19:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36413 Message: 36429

hmmm.... i lost track but can tell you this much

chp was also called to assist cause of an 1199 involving an mcso sgt
and a handgun... there were several arrests, the code was lifted around
6 pm (i *think*)...

nina

==========
From: "Bayard" <Charger@...>
Date: Mon Jan 24, 2005 03:18:00 US/Pacific
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [bayscan] marin city code 33 1458
Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com

what happened?

----- Original Message -----
From: nina zhito
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, January 23, 2005 2:58 PM
Subject: [bayscan] marin city code 33 1458

reduce to code 2 when entering city

46064
39.24

assist marin s.o....

nina
==========
www.ninazhito.com

36430. Re: [bayscan] List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 20:50:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36401 Message: 36430

huh Don.....I have NEVER seen a CA in front of the 3 letter designator on ANY fire rig.

the rest of your post , I already had answered.

the truth is its a potpourri of numbers. ALCO is doing it as I explained EXCEPT for Hayward. so you can't even say it applys here.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36431. Re: List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 21:19:29 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36401 Message: 36431

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> huh Don.....I have NEVER seen a CA in front of the 3 letter
designator
> on ANY fire rig.
>
Hmmm. Maybe some of the depts near the OR or NV borders use the CA
prefix on their vehicles? For most of the other depts in the state,
it would probably be useless.

36432. Re: [bayscan] List of Bay Area BC's?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 21:34:05 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36401 Message: 36432

caladded@... wrote:

> huh Don.....I have NEVER seen a CA in front of the 3 letter designator
> on ANY fire rig.
>
> the rest of your post , I already had answered.

You won't see it on the rig. It appears in the tracking documentation
at the incident base and at the Comm Centers. It can happen that Nevada
Division of Emergency Management (NV-DEM) resources end up working in
Florida (FL-DEM) on hurricanes.

Don

36433. Re: [bayscan] Re: List of Bay Area BC's? Crash on I - 80

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 21:44:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36433 Message: 36433

they maybe and is a good point , wonder if the depts bordering NV and AZ may also have it.

Engines 73, 74 and BC 7 (CoCo) on scene I- 80 eastbound near Pinole Valley road with 4 vehicle/multi-patient crash with entrapment requesting 3 AMR rigs

159.735
1344 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36434. Palo Alto - Aircraft Emergency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 23:16:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36434 Message: 36434

Just got in and heard something about an aircraft emergency in Palo Alto on 153.770 at 3:15 pm.

AIRPORT IC was just advised that the aircraft will be doing a low approach for a visual inspection of the gear and will come back around for a landing.

-C-

36435. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto - Aircraft Emergency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-24 23:21:31 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36434 Message: 36435

CODE 4 - plane landed without incident.

On 1/24/05 3:16 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> Just got in and heard something about an aircraft emergency in Palo Alto on
> 153.770 at 3:15 pm.
>
> AIRPORT IC was just advised that the aircraft will be doing a low approach
> for a visual inspection of the gear and will come back around for a landing.
>
> -C-
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36436. [SJPD] Morning 10851 Vehicle ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 00:28:22 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 36436 Message: 36436

Anyone catch a car chase this morning around 6:25 am with SJPD chasing a Blue Dodge Ram?

I saw the Ram doing about 80MPH on north 680 and an SJPD unit shadowing him to get his speed and then pull the dodge over. The guy started to pull over in the center divide, to which the officer made him go to the right shoulder. I thought nothing more of it, until I saw the truck zoom past me to get off onto Capitol Expw with the SJPD unit hot on his rear with lights and siren.

CT

36437. Re: Sunnyvale Activity last night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 02:19:35 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 36031 Message: 36437

Sunnyvale always seems to do this. Priority incident traffic stays
on main channel, they move the routine traffic to secondary. Maybe
that way the pri traffic doesn't get "left behind" in the switch??

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "b52hbuff2001" <b52hbuff@s...> wrote:
>
> Did anyone hear what happened in Sunnyval last night? PD was
> calling in a negotiator. The person they were looking for was
> hiding in the crawl space of a house. They ended up cutting the
> floor to get to him.
>
> Another question about the incident. It was handled on Sunnyvale
> PD's main dispatch frequency. All of the other PD units switched
to
> the 'STAR' frequency (special tactics and response).
>
> Anyone have any idea why they don't use the special ops frequency
> for special ops? ;) 'Hiding out' on the main dispatch channel
> doesn't sound very stealthy...

36438. Marin County District Fire Apparatus?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 04:05:07 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36438 Message: 36438

Hey guys,

I've been trying to put together a list of the rigs that are dispatched on Control 6 (46.50 MHz). Below is a list of what I have right now, but it's probably missing some stuff. I was wondering if you guys could help me fill in the blanks (specifically with Ross Valley FD -RVY-, I'm trying to find out where their Battallion Commander is stationed). If not, here's some info for your Marin Fire scanning pleasure:

Southern Marin Fire Protection District:

Station 1: Johnson St., Sausalito

-Engine 1
-Truck 1
-Medic 31
-Inflatable Rescue Boat

Station 4:

-Engine 4
-Engine 3364
-Medic 33

Missing SoMarin stations 2, 6, 7, 9. Missing Tiburon FPD stations 10, 11, 12.

Corte Madera Fire Department:

Station 13: Paradise Dr.

-Battallion 13
-Engine 13
-Utility 13
-Medic 41

Station 14: Tamalpias Dr.

-Battallion 14
-Engine 14
-Engine 14A
-Utility 14
-Medic 412

Larkspur Fire Department:

Station 15: Magnolia Ave.

-Engine 15?

Station 16: Barry Wy.

-Engine 16?

Kentfield Fire Protection District:

Station 17: Sir Francis Drake Blvd.

-Engine 17?
-Truck 17

Ross Fire Department:

Station 18:

-Engine 18
-Medic 40

Ross Valley Fire Protection District:

Station 19: San Anselmo

-Engine 19
-Utility 19

Station 20: Butterfield Rd., San Anselmo (Sleepy Hollow)

-Engine 20
-Battalion 22 ????

Station 21: Fairfax

- Medic-Engine 21

That's all I have. Is there anyone else that listens to Marin that can help me fill in the blanks and add onto this? It'd be greatly appreciated. :)

-Inigo

36439. Re: [bayscan] Marin County District Fire Apparatus?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 04:15:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36438 Message: 36439

Engine 1589 is on Skywalker ranch.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36440. Re: [bayscan] Marin County District Fire Apparatus?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 08:11:46 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36438 Message: 36440

I can help with some of it. Station 2 is closed if I am not mistaken. It is located 101 at Alexander. I have a good friend who works at station 10. I will give him a call and get the equipment list for Tiburon.

Southern Marin Station 9

-Engine 9
-Rescue 9
-BC 9
-Utility 9

Mill Valley Fire Station 6

-Engine 3286
-Type 3 wild land rig (i cant recall the 4 digit designator)

Mill Valley Fire Station 7

-Engine 3287
-Engine 3287A (reserve not in service)
-Truck 7 (need help with this 4 digit designator as well)
-BC 3212,3211,3213 (each BC has their own number)

----- Original Message -----
From: inigo4688
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 8:05 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Marin County District Fire Apparatus?

Hey guys,

I've been trying to put together a list of the rigs that are dispatched on Control 6 (46.50 MHz). Below is a list of what I have right now, but it's probably missing some stuff. I was wondering if you guys could help me fill in the blanks (specifically with Ross Valley FD -RVY-, I'm trying to find out where their Battallion Commander is stationed). If not, here's some info for your Marin Fire scanning pleasure:

Southern Marin Fire Protection District:

Station 1: Johnson St., Sausalito

-Engine 1
-Truck 1
-Medic 31
-Inflatable Rescue Boat

Station 4:

-Engine 4
-Engine 3364
-Medic 33

Missing SoMarin stations 2, 6, 7, 9. Missing Tiburon FPD stations 10, 11, 12.

Corte Madera Fire Department:

Station 13: Paradise Dr.

-Battallion 13
-Engine 13
-Utility 13
-Medic 41

Station 14: Tamalpias Dr.

-Battallion 14
-Engine 14
-Engine 14A
-Utility 14
-Medic 412

Larkspur Fire Department:

Station 15: Magnolia Ave.

-Engine 15?

Station 16: Barry Wy.

-Engine 16?

Kentfield Fire Protection District:

Station 17: Sir Francis Drake Blvd.

-Engine 17?
-Truck 17

Ross Fire Department:

Station 18:

-Engine 18
-Medic 40

Ross Valley Fire Protection District:

Station 19: San Anselmo

-Engine 19
-Utility 19

Station 20: Butterfield Rd., San Anselmo (Sleepy Hollow)

-Engine 20
-Battalion 22 ????

Station 21: Fairfax

- Medic-Engine 21

That's all I have. Is there anyone else that listens to Marin that can help me fill in the blanks and add onto this? It'd be greatly appreciated. :)

-Inigo

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36441. Re: [bayscan] [SJPD] Morning 10851 Vehicle ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 08:13:01 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36436 Message: 36441

damn it craig! take some pictures..! =)
----- Original Message -----
From: Craig
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2005 4:28 PM
Subject: [bayscan] [SJPD] Morning 10851 Vehicle ?

Anyone catch a car chase this morning around 6:25 am with SJPD
chasing a Blue Dodge Ram?

I saw the Ram doing about 80MPH on north 680 and an SJPD unit
shadowing him to get his speed and then pull the dodge over. The guy
started to pull over in the center divide, to which the officer made
him go to the right shoulder. I thought nothing more of it, until I
saw the truck zoom past me to get off onto Capitol Expw with the SJPD
unit hot on his rear with lights and siren.

CT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36442. Re: Marin County District Fire Apparatus?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 09:36:33 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36438 Message: 36442

OK. Your list is basically on the right track.

Here are some additions/corrections to add to your list:

Mill Valley Sta. 6
Engine 3286
Engine 3266 (Type 3)

Mill Valley Sta. 7
Engine 3287
Truck 3257
Engine (reserve)

So. Marin Sta. 4
Engine 4
Engine 604 (type 3)

Tiburon Sta.10
Engine 10
Medic 32

Tiburon Sta. 11
Engine 11
Engine 12 (reserve)
Engine 611 (Type 3)
Batt 5

Corte Madera Sta. 13
Engine 13
Medic 41

Corte Madera Sta. 14
Engine 14
Engine 14A (reserve)
Utility 14 (SUV)
Utility 13 (PU)
Medic 412 (reserve)
[Batt 14 is often on E-14]

Larkspur Sta. 15
Engine 15
Engine 615 (type 3)
Utility 15 (van)
Chief 15
Chief 16 (Deputy Chf)

Larkspur Sta. 16
Engine 16
Engine 16A (reserve)
Water Tender 16
Utility 16 (PU)

Kentfield Sta.17
Engine 17
Engine 17A (reserve)
Truck 17
OES (215?)
Car 17 (Batt 17)

Ross Sta.18
Engine 18
Engine 18A (reserve)
Unit 18 (utility)
Medic 40
Water Tender 18

Ross Valley Fire Protection District:

Station 19: San Anselmo
Engine 19
Engine 19A? (reserve)
Utility 19
OES (216?)
On-duty Batt Chief

Station 20: Butterfield Rd.
Engine 20

Station 21: Fairfax
Engine 21
Engine 621 (Type 3)

Stations 2, 5, 8, 12 are/have been closed
MCFD Marin City Sta. could be considered Sta.3
Add "Medic" to engine designator (i.e. Medic Engine 21) for an ALS Eng.
Note that not all apparatus at (most) stations are fully staffed. Some are cross-staffed and some are back-up rigs that can be staffed by off-duty/volunteer callback.

I'll see if I can find out more about the reserve units and current OES engine numbers.

36443. fatal crash / Santa Rosa

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 14:08:29 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36443 Message: 36443

Crash occured around 2340hours Monday night. Jeep Cherokee estimated at 80-100 mph swerved around some disabled vehicles and peds and clipped an oncoming vehicle , lost control , fishtailed and rolled over several times ejecting a grade-school aged child. All three occupants of the Jeep , 2 adults aged 26 and 27 along with another grade-school aged child died as did the child that was ejected.

CHP is still conducting the investigation at this hour (0608 hours)

Ed

CHP 42.88

36444. Re: Marin County District Fire Apparatus?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 17:41:57 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36438 Message: 36444

Thanks Erik,

That was exactly what I was looking for.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>
> OK. Your list is basically on the right track.
>
> Here are some additions/corrections to add to your list:
>
> Mill Valley Sta. 6
> Engine 3286
> Engine 3266 (Type 3)
>
> Mill Valley Sta. 7
> Engine 3287
> Truck 3257
> Engine (reserve)
>
> So. Marin Sta. 4
> Engine 4
> Engine 604 (type 3)
>
> Tiburon Sta.10
> Engine 10
> Medic 32
>
> Tiburon Sta. 11
> Engine 11
> Engine 12 (reserve)
> Engine 611 (Type 3)
> Batt 5
>
> Corte Madera Sta. 13
> Engine 13
> Medic 41
>
> Corte Madera Sta. 14
> Engine 14
> Engine 14A (reserve)
> Utility 14 (SUV)
> Utility 13 (PU)
> Medic 412 (reserve)
> [Batt 14 is often on E-14]

>
> Larkspur Sta. 15
> Engine 15
> Engine 615 (type 3)
> Utility 15 (van)
> Chief 15
> Chief 16 (Deputy Chf)
>
> Larkspur Sta. 16
> Engine 16
> Engine 16A (reserve)
> Water Tender 16
> Utility 16 (PU)
>
> Kentfield Sta.17
> Engine 17
> Engine 17A (reserve)
> Truck 17
> OES (215?)
> Car 17 (Batt 17)
>
> Ross Sta.18
> Engine 18
> Engine 18A (reserve)
> Unit 18 (utility)
> Medic 40
> Water Tender 18
>
> Ross Valley Fire Protection District:
>
> Station 19: San Anselmo
> Engine 19
> Engine 19A? (reserve)
> Utility 19
> OES (216?)
> On-duty Batt Chief
>
> Station 20: Butterfield Rd.
> Engine 20
>
> Station 21: Fairfax
> Engine 21
> Engine 621 (Type 3)
>
> Stations 2, 5, 8, 12 are/have been closed
> MCFD Marin City Sta. could be considered Sta.3
> Add "Medic" to engine designator (i.e. Medic Engine 21) for an ALS
> Eng.
> Note that not all apparatus at (most) stations are fully staffed.
> Some are cross-staffed and some are back-up rigs that can be

staffed
> by off-duty/volunteer callback.
>
> I'll see if I can find out more about the reserve units and current
> OES engine numbers.

36445. Updated listing of CA fire agency neumonics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 19:49:59 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36445 Message: 36445

http://www.firescope.org/ics-usar/ICS-SF-SAR-020-1.pdf

Prints out nicely to keep by the radio.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36446. Re: [bayscan] Updated listing of CA fire agency neumonics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 20:19:10 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36445 Message: 36446

KG6VXT wrote:
> http://www.firescope.org/ics-usar/ICS-SF-SAR-020-1.pdf
>
> Prints out nicely to keep by the radio.

I don't know why I'd want to keep the Swiftwater/Flood Search & Rescue training and equipment list by my scanner, but...

On the other hand, the Agency Designators list is here:
http://www.firescope.org/ics-big-fog/ICS420-1AppendixB.pdf

36447. Re: [bayscan] Updated listing of CA fire agency neumonics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 20:41:19 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36445 Message: 36447

At 12:19 PM 1/25/2005, you wrote:

>I don't know why I'd want to keep the Swiftwater/Flood Search & Rescue
>training and equipment list by my scanner, but...
>
>On the other hand, the Agency Designators list is here:
>http://www.firescope.org/ics-big-fog/ICS420-1AppendixB.pdf

LOL, guess copy and paste didn't work so well on the copy part.

Thanks for catching that Don.

A quirk in the Firefox browser.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36448. Re: [bayscan] Updated listing of CA fire agency neumonics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-25 23:04:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36445 Message: 36448

that swiftwater stuff would have been handy in So Cal not long ago.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36449. Re: [bayscan] [SJPD] Morning 10851 Vehicle ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 01:16:35 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 36436 Message: 36449

I would take pix, but I don't have a camera to do so. Craig Rose is the one with the snazzy camera! ;-)

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36450. Updated CHP freq and color list

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 04:14:42 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36450 Message: 36450

Who has the best updated list of chp color and freq list. I've seen several. I am updating my chp feed for alpha tags... getting tired of having to look up with that freq is lol..

thanks
ben

36451. CHP lingo question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 04:18:19 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36451 Message: 36451

whats an OIC.... chp enroute to a wreck and someone came up on there air and said "OIC enroute". dispatch repeated back "OIC responding"

36452. Re: [bayscan] CHP lingo question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 04:51:30 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36451 Message: 36452

Ben wrote:

> whats an OIC.... chp enroute to a wreck and someone came up on there air
> and said "OIC enroute". dispatch repeated back "OIC responding"

Officer In Charge (for when there is no supervisor on duty).

36453. Re: [bayscan] CHP lingo question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 04:52:19 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36451 Message: 36453

thank you

Don Root wrote:

> Ben wrote:
>
> > whats an OIC.... chp enroute to a wreck and someone came up on there
> air
> > and said "OIC enroute". dispatch repeated back "OIC responding"
>
> Officer In Charge (for when there is no supervisor on duty).
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36454. Re: [bayscan] CHP lingo question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 04:52:32 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36451 Message: 36454

I'm sure someone will come up with the real answer, but perhaps the "o"
is for owner, as in the owner picked the tow company rather than a
rotation tow.

Ben wrote:
> whats an OIC.... chp enroute to a wreck and someone came up on there air
> and said "OIC enroute". dispatch repeated back "OIC responding"
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36455. Re: [bayscan] CHP lingo question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 04:52:19 UTC
From: troyboym@aol.com
Topic: 36451 Message: 36455

Officer in Charge

In a message dated 1/25/2005 8:51:05 PM Pacific Standard Time,
bkellogg9@... writes:
whats an OIC.... chp enroute to a wreck and someone came up on there air
and said "OIC enroute". dispatch repeated back "OIC responding"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36456. Re: [bayscan] CHP lingo question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 06:59:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36451 Message: 36456

At 08:51 PM 1/25/2005, you wrote:

>Officer In Charge (for when there is no supervisor on duty).

So it has nothing to do with OICU812 or I81OICU812 and ICUR12?

36457. somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 07:19:42 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36457 Message: 36457

For the dispatchers in the group. Any advice before hand for taking this class. I'm signed up and would like some heads up with what i'm in for... I'm also taking EMD also soon..

thanks
Ben

36458. Re: somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 07:56:44 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 36457 Message: 36458

Ben,

I know that you are not going to do much actual dispatching in the dispatcher's academy. It's more about Basic Law, crisis management, and your skills of talking to people on the phone. I talked to a friend of mine who took it in Santa Rosa and she said that it was actually kind of boring and not really what she expected.

Your might check out this website:
http://www.post.ca.gov/training/public_safety.asp

Good luck,

Nick in San Luis Obispo - 23:55 Hours

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
>
>
> For the dispatchers in the group. Any advice before hand for
taking this
> class. I'm signed up and would like some heads up with what i'm in
> for... I'm also taking EMD also soon..
>
> thanks
> Ben

36459. Re: [bayscan] somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 07:57:59 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36457 Message: 36459

If it's the same test I took it should be easy. The only thing I got stumped on and took a guess was the math....weird numbers adding/subtracting figures and "Vodoo Numbers" other than that it was a CW Test (Cake Walk).

Although I didn't get the job Police/Fire dispatching I did get the job as EMD Dispatching.

Just rememeber to keep the caller calm or, remain calm yourself no matter what happens. It's very hard but after a while it becomes 2econd nature.Heck, I was in the 89 quake and had to stay at the desk during all those aftershocks and still take calls! Also if they give you some sort of phone simulation listen for background noises....That helps paint a picture for you as the dispatcher trying to figure out what's going on and relay the info to the field units.

Good Luck!

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36460. Re: [bayscan] somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 08:04:44 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36457 Message: 36460

Do you think they will use the "bambulance" quiz??

I was talking to a former dispatcher who worked in socal. One thing she always did was when someone said "they are coming at me" she would ask from what direction. Amazingly, quite a few of the attackers were coming from the ceiling, i.e. 5150's.

The Baseclub (prior to the president nuking it and the bank account vaporizing) took a tour of the San Jose dispatch center. It was pretty interesting. I doubt boredom will be a problem.

Mobile11@... wrote:
>
>
> If it's the same test I took it should be easy. The only thing I got stumped
> on and took a guess was the math....weird numbers adding/subtracting
> figures and "Vodoo Numbers" other than that it was a CW Test (Cake Walk).
>
> Although I didn't get the job Police/Fire dispatching I did get the job as
> EMD Dispatching.
>
> Just rememeber to keep the caller calm or, remain calm yourself no matter
> what happens. It's very hard but after a while it becomes 2econd nature.Heck, I
> was in the 89 quake and had to stay at the desk during all those aftershocks
> and still take calls! Also if they give you some sort of phone simulation
> listen for background noises....That helps paint a picture for you as the
> dispatcher trying to figure out what's going on and relay the info to the field
> units.
>
>
> Good Luck!
>
>
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
> 442.075 + 100.0
> EchoLink Node # 187959
>
>
> "Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36461. Re: [bayscan] Re: somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 08:14:55 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36457 Message: 36461

At 11:56 PM 1/25/2005, you wrote:

>Ben,
>
>I know that you are not going to do much actual dispatching in the
>dispatcher's academy. It's more about Basic Law, crisis management,
>and your skills of talking to people on the phone.

I'll second the boring part on POST dispatcher class... of course that was many years ago.

You should also take ICS-100 and ICS-200 (basic and advanced Incident Command System), as
well as ICS-800 (new federal National Incident Response Plan) from the FEMA/National Fire site (you can take them online
and test online).

Good background if you plan on working in a center that does mutual aid requests/assignments.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36462. bad crash in Hayward 0037 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 08:38:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36462 Message: 36462

Industrial near Taylor , numerous PD units and Engine 7 ,Truck 7 on scene
fully involved vehicle overturned , with confirmed entrapment

HPD now advising confirmed fatal

HFD 460.600
HPD 483.1125

00038 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36463. Re: [bayscan] somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 08:41:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36457 Message: 36463

Hey Ben , keep calm no matter what , even when WISE guys on Bayscan are bugging you for information on your posts.....like WHAT CITY ?? :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36464. Marine 406MHz EPIRB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 08:45:30 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36464 Message: 36464

If anyone is interested a few months ago there was some posts about a 406 MHz EPIRB and what it was. Well, simply it's a radio device that is carried onboard a boat. When a problem happens or the boat crashes, flips over or so on the radio sends out a signal. The signal is transmitted on 406 MHz. You cannot hear it. It can only be heard on the radio (121.5Mhz). It sounds like a siren. The signal is picked up by a satellite and is relayed to Langley AFB in Virginia. That in turn is relayed to the closest RCC (Rescue Control Center). For this area one would be Coast Guard Group San Francisco on Yerba Buena Island. After that they would send the appropriate rescue response to the scene. I.E.: Helicopter,Boat,Land Mobile, Local Law Enforcement and so on.

The devise ranges in size and colors. It's small and can fit somewhere on the boat. There are different types. I have posted a picture of one in the photos section of Bayscan. It's listed under "406" The one that is posted is the one that came from the fishing vessel that sunk of Ocean Beach last May. The boat had party fishermen on it and they had to abandon ship during the sinking. The pictured 406 is a "freefloater" It comes loose from the boat and starts sending it's signal. This one did not do that. It stayed attached to the boat and about 2 days later it came loose and sent it's signal. It was found in the surf near Daly City by a jogger (it was still sending it's signal) and then turned over to the Coast Guard for their investigation.

It was found that it was attached correctly. What happened was that when the boat sank it turned over and the 406 got trapped. The underwater current moved the boat around enough that the 406 was able to float to the surface and send it's signal.

Also if you know anyone that has a 406 EPIRB on their boat be sure to let them know to UPDATE all their info to be correct and current

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36465. Re: [bayscan] somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 08:49:39 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36457 Message: 36465

Is that what happened to the BASE club?

I was BASE 609 If I remember

Is anyone still around?

They use to have a GMRS repeater somewhere in the Hayward Hills

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36466. Re: bad crash in Hayward UPDATE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 08:51:54 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36462 Message: 36466

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> Industrial near Taylor , numerous PD units and Engine 7 ,Truck 7
on scene
> fully involved vehicle overturned , with confirmed entrapment
>

HPD NOW confirmed TWO fatals in the vehicle

36467. Re: [bayscan] Marine 406MHz EPIRB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 13:18:20 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36464 Message: 36467

Please explain what this means.
J.B. AD6WX

Mobile11@... wrote:
The signal is transmitted on 406 MHz. You
cannot hear it. It can only be heard on the radio (121.5Mhz).

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36468. Re: [bayscan] somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 14:50:07 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36457 Message: 36468

That was San Dunham's (scanstar) GMRS repeater.

The president quit, the task was well beyond the capabilities of the VP
who took over, and it fell a part. Unknown what happened to the money or
tax status. A few members are on this list, but I don't want to finger
them.

Mobile11@... wrote:
>
> Is that what happened to the BASE club?
>
> I was BASE 609 If I remember
>
> Is anyone still around?
>
>
> They use to have a GMRS repeater somewhere in the Hayward Hills
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
> 442.075 + 100.0
> EchoLink Node # 187959
>
>
> "Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36469. Re: [bayscan] Marine 406MHz EPIRB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 14:58:26 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36464 Message: 36469

They have hiker versions as well. The plain 121.5 versions are under $200, but throw in 406 and GPS and the price is like a satphone (though no service cost)

http://store.yahoo.com/satphonestore/fafipe406ep.html

36470. Re: [bayscan] [SJPD] Morning 10851 Vehicle ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 15:23:13 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36436 Message: 36470

you dont own a digi cam? i thought everyone had one of those by now.
----- Original Message -----
From: Craig Tomasello
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 5:16 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] [SJPD] Morning 10851 Vehicle ?

I would take pix, but I don't have a camera to do so. Craig Rose is the one with the snazzy camera! ;-)

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36471. big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 15:35:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36471 Message: 36471

Breaking News from LA / major crash of commuter trains in Glendale ,
request for 50 ambulances / multiple fire units . live on firefeeds.com

check any major news channels for updates. (CNN , MSNBC , FOX etc)

0735

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36472. Re: [bayscan] big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 15:46:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36471 Message: 36472

At 07:35 AM 1/26/2005, you wrote:
> Breaking News from LA / major crash of commuter trains in Glendale ,
>request for 50 ambulances / multiple fire units . live on firefeeds.com
>
>check any major news channels for updates. (CNN , MSNBC , FOX etc)

That kind of scares me if we were to have something like that here.

Between say Santa Clara, San Mateo and Alameda County, do we have 50
ambulances in services at any given time?

Not that that's the major thing that worries me, but wow. 50 ambulances....

Evan

36473. Re: [bayscan] big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 16:45:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36471 Message: 36473

On Evan Ambulance question , I would say between AMR , Fire Dept ambulances like Palo Alto , South S.F. , the STAR cars of SJFD and the other privates , yes .....you do have that many.

In a major incident like this , lots of BLS ambulances would be enough for the minors.

Say you had a Caltrain crash , you would also have SFFD assisting should it occured in central/north San Mateo County

UPDATE . ..... LAFD Chief advising minutes ago ....9 confirmed DOAs and approximately 200 injuried including the walking wounded.

I heard one of the ICs state 59 transported BUT they have two commands set up , one on each side of the tracks.

Intial reports are that one metrolink train hit a vehicle crossing the tracks which caused it to derail and strike an opposing metrolink train AND a freight train locomotive sitting on another track.

0845 hours
Ed

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36474. Re: [bayscan] Re: somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 17:23:53 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36457 Message: 36474

thanks for the info... Guess i'll bring my nodoz =)

Nick in SLO wrote:

>
> Ben,
>
> I know that you are not going to do much actual dispatching in the
> dispatcher's academy. It's more about Basic Law, crisis management,
> and your skills of talking to people on the phone. I talked to a
> friend of mine who took it in Santa Rosa and she said that it was
> actually kind of boring and not really what she expected.
>
> Your might check out this website:
> http://www.post.ca.gov/training/public_safety.asp
>
> Good luck,
>
> Nick in San Luis Obispo - 23:55 Hours
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > For the dispatchers in the group. Any advice before hand for
> taking this
> > class. I'm signed up and would like some heads up with what i'm in
> > for... I'm also taking EMD also soon..
> >
> > thanks
> > Ben
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36475. Re: [bayscan] somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 17:24:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36457 Message: 36475

voodoo #'s? what the heck is that?

Mobile11@... wrote:

>
>
> If it's the same test I took it should be easy. The only thing I got
> stumped
> on and took a guess was the math....weird numbers adding/subtracting
> figures and "Vodoo Numbers" other than that it was a CW Test (Cake
> Walk).
>
> Although I didn't get the job Police/Fire dispatching I did get the
> job as
> EMD Dispatching.
>
> Just rememeber to keep the caller calm or, remain calm yourself no
> matter
> what happens. It's very hard but after a while it becomes 2econd
> nature.Heck, I
> was in the 89 quake and had to stay at the desk during all those
> aftershocks
> and still take calls! Also if they give you some sort of phone
> simulation
> listen for background noises....That helps paint a picture for you as
> the
> dispatcher trying to figure out what's going on and relay the info to
> the field
> units.
>
>
> Good Luck!
>
>
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
> 442.075 + 100.0
> EchoLink Node # 187959
>
>
> "Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"
>
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36476. Re: [bayscan] Re: somwhat OT: advice for taking the POST dispatch course

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 17:28:56 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36457 Message: 36476

got a website for classes?

KG6VXT wrote:

> At 11:56 PM 1/25/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >Ben,
> >
> >I know that you are not going to do much actual dispatching in the
> >dispatcher's academy. It's more about Basic Law, crisis management,
> >and your skills of talking to people on the phone.
>
>
> I'll second the boring part on POST dispatcher class... of course that was
> many years ago.
>
> You should also take ICS-100 and ICS-200 (basic and advanced Incident
> Command System), as
> well as ICS-800 (new federal National Incident Response Plan) from the
> FEMA/National Fire site (you can take them online
> and test online).
>
> Good background if you plan on working in a center that does mutual aid
> requests/assignments.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

>
>

36477. ham radio training

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 16:38:18 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36477 Message: 36477

Ham Basics Radio Training
February 19, 2005
8:45AM-12 noon
St Teresa's Church 19th St/Connecticut
for licensed & unlicensed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36478. NERT Drill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 16:38:52 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36478 Message: 36478

February 26, 2005
9am - noon
Refresher Drill
Golden Gate Park County Fair Bldg.,
9th Avenue/Lincoln

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36479. Re: [bayscan] big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 17:39:21 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36471 Message: 36479

Morning ED -

Just getting word now that this may have been a criminal act. A spokesperson said a Jeep Cherokee was placed on the tracks - checking on this currently.

From KTLA -

"Los Angeles Sheriff Lee Baca said authorities were speaking with the driver of the vehicle and believe it was intentionally parked on the tracks."

> Intial reports are that one metrolink train hit a vehicle crossing
> the tracks which caused it to derail and strike an opposing metrolink train
> AND a freight train locomotive sitting on another track.
>
> 0845 hours
> Ed
>
> ED

36480. Re: [bayscan] big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 17:37:33 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36471 Message: 36480

I agree.. You do have enough resources.. But the logistics of running all these units on the right freq without chaos is almost impossible.. We have done small scale mock MCI drills and they always are a mess. I think this would be a disaster if it happened up here.. Just opinion..

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2005 8:45 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] big crash in Glendale

On Evan Ambulance question , I would say between AMR , Fire Dept ambulances like Palo Alto , South S.F. , the STAR cars of SJFD and the other privates , yes .....you do have that many.

In a major incident like this , lots of BLS ambulances would be enough for the minors.

Say you had a Caltrain crash , you would also have SFFD assisting should it occured in central/north San Mateo County

UPDATE . ..... LAFD Chief advising minutes ago ....9 confirmed DOAs and approximately 200 injuried including the walking wounded.

I heard one of the ICs state 59 transported BUT they have two commands set up , one on each side of the tracks.

Intial reports are that one metrolink train hit a vehicle crossing the tracks which caused it to derail and strike an opposing metrolink train AND a freight train locomotive sitting on another track.

0845 hours
Ed

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36481. Re: big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 19:11:48 UTC
From: Joe Giraudo
Topic: 36471 Message: 36481

Funny you should use the "D" word...I think this would be a disaster if it happened up here.. Just opinion..

By Red Cross definition, that is exactly what it is, and it should be responded to as such.

In another vein, now what? Do we have to endure security check points at all railroad crossings?

36482. Redwood City Fire - 2nd Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 21:05:06 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36482 Message: 36482

629 HAMPSHIRE AVENUE - multiple unit apartment complex with ENGINE 11 declaring knockdown on the fire.

HAMPSHIRE IC

CONTROL 5 - 156.105

Nothing further on this incident unless circumstances warrant.

-C-

36483. Re: [bayscan] a crash here ??? questions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 21:17:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36483 Message: 36483

It would seem EMS needs " white " channels like Fire has. May not be the best answer but at least all the fire units have at least 3 common channels. Would be a start. PD needs the same.

A crash in San Mateo County would cause less problems as ALL units within the county can communicate. LE on the Green , Fire on most any channel and EMS on the TRS system using MCI 1 or MCI 2 . But the outside agencies is where the problem is , not with fire as much as with EMS and LE.

Still not EVERY units has to communicate with the IC .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36484. Re: [bayscan] a crash here ??? questions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 21:32:03 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36483 Message: 36484

caladded@... wrote:

> It would seem EMS needs " white " channels like Fire has. May not be the best
> answer but at least all the fire units have at least 3 common channels. Would
> be a start. PD needs the same.

Remember that the 3 WHITE Channels are not all usable at an incident
site like this, due to adjacent channel interference (bleed over).
Law already has the common channels (CLEMARS).

The EMS Working Group of the Calif. Statewide Interoperability Executive
Committee is addressing the EMS onscene communications issue.

Don

36485. Re: big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 21:48:30 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 36471 Message: 36485

From CNN - 'Police says a man will face a murder charge for each of the 10 people killed in a commuter train crash he allegedly caused during an apparent suicide attempt. The man parked his vehicle on the tracks. "I think he was intent at that time of taking his own life, but changed his mind prior to the train actually striking his vehicle," said the Glendale Police Chief.'

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> Morning ED -
>
> Just getting word now that this may have been a criminal act. A spokesperson
> said a Jeep Cherokee was placed on the tracks - checking on this currently.
>
> From KTLA -
>
> "Los Angeles Sheriff Lee Baca said authorities were speaking with the driver
> of the vehicle and believe it was intentionally parked on the tracks."
>
> > Intial reports are that one metrolink train hit a vehicle crossing
> > the tracks which caused it to derail and strike an opposing metrolink train
> > AND a freight train locomotive sitting on another track.
> >
> > 0845 hours
> > Ed
> >
> > ED

36486. Accident in southern Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 21:53:56 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36486 Message: 36486

H-101 East Strawberry @ Tiburon Blvd.

Engine 9, Medic 31, Medic 3286

Control 6 - 46.50 -moved to-
Control 13 - 46.12

Closer units were unavail due to a seperate incident, unconcious guy
on a bike path somewhere.

This was 10 to 15 ago, nothing further since then.

-Inigo

36487. Gas Leak in West Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 22:01:14 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36487 Message: 36487

Bolinas Ave. at Upper Rd. near Cedar School in Fairfax,

Engine 18, Engine 17, Medic Engine 19, Medic 40.

Control 6 - 46.50
Control 13 - 46.12
Tactical RED - 46.46

They all just wizzed by my house at 14:00.

-Inigo

36488. Re: [bayscan] Gas Leak in West Marin----------Fairfax question O/T

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 22:16:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36488 Message: 36488

Speaking of Fairfax ..... (you younger guys won't remember
this) but does anyone know of what became of the old Marin Town and Country
Club site in Fairfax. My parents , Aunt and Uncle, Cousin and my brother and I
used to go over there at least 2-3 times every summer. We loved the place ,
had 7 swimming pools , my Mom used to have to DRAG us out of the pool to go
home everytime
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36489. Re: [bayscan] Re: big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 22:50:15 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36471 Message: 36489

One of the redeeming things about BART is that it doesn't intersect roadways, preventing such antics. I think the stupid San Jose light rail had an accident with a car in its first week of operation. Caltrain whacks a pedestrian every few months. Other than the Fremont Flyer incident, BART has proven to be very safe.

jwpottberg wrote:
>
>>From CNN - 'Police says a man will face a murder charge for each of
> the 10 people killed in a commuter train crash he allegedly caused
> during an apparent suicide attempt. The man parked his vehicle on
> the tracks. "I think he was intent at that time of taking his own
> life, but changed his mind prior to the train actually striking his
> vehicle," said the Glendale Police Chief.'
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
>
>>Morning ED -
>>
>>Just getting word now that this may have been a criminal act. A
>
> spokesperson
>
>>said a Jeep Cherokee was placed on the tracks - checking on this
>
> currently.
>
>>From KTLA -
>>
>>"Los Angeles Sheriff Lee Baca said authorities were speaking with
>
> the driver
>
>>of the vehicle and believe it was intentionally parked on the
>
> tracks."
>
>>> Intial reports are that one metrolink train hit a
>
> vehicle crossing
>
>>>the tracks which caused it to derail and strike an opposing
>
> metrolink train

>
>>>AND a freight train locomotive sitting on another track.
>>>
>>> 0845 hours
>>>Ed
>>>
>>>ED
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36490. rite aid crash , Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 22:55:57 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36490 Message: 36490

Address is 21000 East Castro Valley Blvd. truck crashed into
rite-aid and took out 6 aisles , 2 patients. Possible heart attack of
driver.

Tac 8 / 17744
CHP / 42.62

Ed
1455

36491. Re: [bayscan] rite aid crash , Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 23:01:51 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36490 Message: 36491

address is 3848 castro valley blvd cross of marshall rd.

Ed wrote:

>
>
> Address is 21000 East Castro Valley Blvd. truck crashed into
> rite-aid and took out 6 aisles , 2 patients. Possible heart attack of
> driver.
>
> Tac 8 / 17744
> CHP / 42.62
>
> Ed
> 1455
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36492. Re: Gas Leak in West Marin----------Fairfax question O/T

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 23:03:12 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36488 Message: 36492

Hey Ed,

I had to look this up because this place closed long before my time, but it turns out I live about half a block from the property. It's under private ownership (broken down and abandoned it seems) and the stone bridge that crosses the creek is covered in no trespassing signs. From the other side of the creek it just looks like a small property so I never gave it any thought. When I saw some of the historical pictures (especially the aerial shots of just how big that place was) I was blown away. If you want to read more there's a whole website on it here: http://www.westhill.com/mtcc/today.html

I sure wish Fairfax still had something like that, pretty much now adays young people like me have to drive to San Rafael or San Francisco for anything interesting. :)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Speaking of Fairfax ..... (you younger guys won't remember
> this) but does anyone know of what became of the old Marin Town and Country
> Club site in Fairfax. My parents , Aunt and Uncle, Cousin and my brother and I
> used to go over there at least 2-3 times every summer. We loved the place ,
> had 7 swimming pools , my Mom used to have to DRAG us out of the pool to go
> home everytime
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36493. Re: [bayscan] Re: big crash in Glendale bit off topic

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 23:05:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36493 Message: 36493

Wonder how many ambulance chasin' low life lawyers showed up (Garys' favorites) These creeps will want to sue the SUV manufacturer (for something) LA County, LA City, city of Glendale, The Gov, the Mayor of Glendale etc. etc. etc etc

personally, maybe we ought to send a " battalion " of lawyers to Iraq to protect the voting booths on Sunday :-)

Sorry, couldn't resist.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36494. Another Vehicle Accident in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-26 23:42:11 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36494 Message: 36494

Northbound 101 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. Offramp (I think).

T17, E16, M40. Responding code 3.

Control 6 - 46.50
CHP Violet - 42.16

H30 was overhead for a bit on the Violet (said FSP was on scene), but they may have left by now.

-Inigo

36495. Re: [bayscan] Re: Gas Leak in West Marin----------Fairfax question O/T

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 00:54:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36488 Message: 36495

oh SUPER , I sure remember that sign LOL and how could I forget the fire truck. thanks , great find.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36496. Re: [bayscan] rite aid crash , Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 01:13:29 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36490 Message: 36496

doh.. his insurance is going to go up!
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2005 3:01 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] rite aid crash , Castro Valley

address is 3848 castro valley blvd cross of marshall rd.

Ed wrote:

>
>
> Address is 21000 East Castro Valley Blvd. truck crashed into
> rite-aid and took out 6 aisles , 2 patients. Possible heart attack of
> driver.
>
> Tac 8 / 17744
> CHP / 42.62
>
> Ed
> 1455
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36497. New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 02:01:09 UTC
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 3879 Message: 36497

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
group.

File : /Frequency Lists/MarinDistrictFireCallsigns.xls
Uploaded by : inigo4688 <inigo.markle@...>
Description : List of misc. fire districts in Marin County, and their apparatus IDs and locations. Special thanks to Bayard and Erik for their help.

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/Frequency%20Lists/MarinDistrictFireCallsigns.xls

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files

Regards,

inigo4688 <inigo.markle@...>

36498. MCI Level 1 on 280 San Mateo Co.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 02:39:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36498 Message: 36498

vehicle down embankment / 4 patients/ going to MCI Level 1 ....
3 ALS AMR rigs requested

154.37 Fire
42.080 CHP
11344 EMS MCI - 1 11296

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36499. Re: MCI Level 1 on 280 San Mateo Co. UPDATE 1843 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 02:43:36 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36498 Message: 36499

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> vehicle down embankment / 4 patients/ going to MCI Level
1 ....
> 3 ALS AMR rigs requested
>
> 154.37 Fire
> 42.080 CHP
> 11344 EMS MCI - 1 11296
>
Originally they had reports of crashes in both directions
and sent dual response. units rolling / on scene -----------

E17 - 18 - 19 - 27 - 217 Training - 11

1843 hours

Ed
>

36500. Re: MCI Level 1 on 280 San Mateo Co. @ Vista 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 02:54:20 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36498 Message: 36500

Location is N/B 280 south of 92 , better location is AT
Vista 3 , this is roughly around Belmont/San Carlos border
>
> Ed
> >

36501. POST dispatching...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 03:21:27 UTC
From: David K. Z. Harris
Topic: 36501 Message: 36501

I took my class in '79, while dispatching for a Private Patrol company. (I later spent time as an ambulance driver, waiting for EMT classes to open up, but that's another story...)

When I started in the class, I was the only person NOT actively dispatching for an FD or PD.

The thing that struck me the hardest, was the instructor stating "If you haven't been on the phone with a caller, and had them assaulted while you listened...You Will! If you haven't been on the radio with officers, and heard "Shots Fired! Officer Down!"...You WILL. If you haven't had an officer, or a victim Killed while you were on the phone, and helpless to help them...prepare yourself, because it WILL happen...it's just a matter of time. It's a part of the job."

They repeated this theme at least once every day. The topics changed some (how do you stay calm when it happens? what can you do? what SHOULD you do? how do you deal with your emotions and your mind afterwards?), but they wanted everyone to realize that the chances of this happening increased with how long you did the job.

I did get a job in a local combined dispatch center, and I only lasted for less than a year. (Tip #1, do NOT dispatch in the city where you, your relatives, or your good friends live.) I didn't have anyone die on me.

I *did* provide CPR instructions to a caller...I think I went to school with her, and her father had a heart attack during the winter holidays...they had CPR cards, but in the crisis, they went blank. While rolling EMS, I asked "does anyone there know CPR?" "Yes, two of us." "OK, lets do it...is he breathing?" We determined that rescue breathing and compressions were needed, and kept that going until EMS arrived. I never did find out if he lived. BUT, after the call, my lead dispatcher then instructed me about the legalities of providing medical advice, and how, in the future, I must *never* do that again. I don't know if I would have hesitated if I didn't think I knew the caller. But it reminded me about what they said in the class. "It WILL Happen!" If it happened again, legally I'd be prohibited from even making a suggestion, and if the idea didn't occur to them, well,...all I could say would be "Help is on the way."

As for class pointers, check out;
http://www.pstc911.com/ and http://911cares.com/

I have now worked in the technology sector for over a decade, and I'm feeling much better, thanks! ;-)

David Harris N6UOW

36502. Re: [bayscan] Re: big crash in Glendale bit off topic

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 03:28:02 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36493 Message: 36502

In Glendale, they should sue Dr. Gene Scott, just on principle.
[1-800-338-3030]

I think the city and county know in advance that any "at grade" system
can be foiled by Joe Citizen, and they factor the lawsuits into the
cost. It is about 2.5 times cheaper to use railroad crossings than to
build something like Barts exclusive right of way.

caladded@... wrote:
> Wonder how many ambulance chasin' low life lawyers showed up (Garys'
> favorites) These creeps will want to sue the SUV manufacturer (for something) LA
> County, LA City, city of Glendale, The Gov, the Mayor of Glendale etc.
> etc. etc etc
>
> personally, maybe we ought to send a " battalion " of lawyers to Iraq to
> protect the voting booths on Sunday :-)
>
> Sorry, couldn't resist.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36503. MERA Fire TG confirmation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 04:04:31 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36503 Message: 36503

MERA TG 26416 is a simulcast of San Rafael Fire 46.20

-Roger 20:04

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36504. Re: [bayscan] Re: big crash in Glendale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 04:04:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36471 Message: 36504

Caltrans has taken steps to reduce the incidents. Howard and Holly streets in San Carlos and Ralston in Belmont to name just 3 where undercrossings or overcrossings were built and there are more.

As far as the peds getting hit , many of these are suicides and they are darn hard to prevent. Some of the others are those who obviously lack any common sense whatsoever when walking around RR tracks.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36505. Re: [bayscan] POST dispatching...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 04:15:12 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36501 Message: 36505

Interesting post, David. Thanks for sharing that.

-C-

On 1/26/05 7:21 PM, "David K. Z. Harris" <yahoomess@...> wrote:

>
>
> I took my class in '79, while dispatching for a Private Patrol
> company. (I later spent time as an ambulance driver, waiting for EMT
> classes to open up, but that's another story...)
>
> When I started in the class, I was the only person NOT actively
> dispatching for an FD or PD.

36506. Re: [bayscan] POST dispatching...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 04:34:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36501 Message: 36506

Its a shame, that someone trying to help another by giving CPR instructions over the phone has to worry about a lawsuit. Now don't go talking about the levels of who and who can NOT do that, I am aware of it. EMD dispatchers CAN do it

The shame is people get sued over trying to save someone's life cause the blood sucking lawyers who I slammed earlier (and will again) cost cites and counties Millions of Dollars and increase insurance prices all because they want to buy a 2nd yacht!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36507. OT:Grade Crossing Accident Prevention

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 05:10:39 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36507 Message: 36507

While today's tragic events show that there's no absolute way to prevent at grade accidents, especially in the case of a deliberate attempt by a driver to cause an accident, there are some steps that can be taken short of complete grade separations, which are usually impossible because of cost. Have a look at http://www.hansonwilson.com/information.asp for some interesting barrier and warning systems that both help keep vehicles off the tracks and and alert trains to vehicles or obstructions on the track.

In terms of on-scene coordination, I'm hoping that some portion of the 700 mHz band gets preserved for just this type of incident. I'm not sure with the radio systems we have now that you'd ever have enough frequencies due to the bleedover problems that Don described. Maybe rebanding will improve this situation since I'm sure that any agency, no matter how well equipped and trained, is going to have comms problems at a major incident like this.

Regards, Jim

36508. OT: Pro-96 cloning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 05:18:12 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36508 Message: 36508

I'm going to clone my Pro-96 to a friend who just got his radio. Is there any way to change my personal information on the startup screen after the clone or is Win96 the only way to change this information?

Thanks, Jim

36509. Shooting in Hayward

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 05:27:50 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36509 Message: 36509

At 550 Marin @ Happyland , possible elderly male shot......5 HMs
left in dark colored Honda Accord.

CHP H30 already overhead

HPD 483.1125
HFD 460.600 (E6)

2126 hours

Ed

36510. Code 33 on CoCoSO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 07:02:20 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36510 Message: 36510

Didnt hear anything.. just got back from t4eh ice cream store and heard CoCoSo on Code 33 .. I heard an officer yelling on the mic but i could not under stand his traffic.

155.0400

36511. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 on CoCoSO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 07:03:19 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36510 Message: 36511

Must have been a foot chase.. Code 33 canceled.. 1 in custody.

----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2005 11:02 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Code 33 on CoCoSO

Didnt hear anything.. just got back from t4eh ice cream store and
heard CoCoSo on Code 33 .. I heard an officer yelling on the mic but
i could not under stand his traffic.

155.0400

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36512. Re: CHP lingo question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 07:53:09 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36451 Message: 36512

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> I'm sure someone will come up with the real answer, but perhaps
the "o"
> is for owner, as in the owner picked the tow company rather than a
> rotation tow.

Gary: why would the *real answer* not be "officer in charge" (which
it is)? I find your post to be slightly off-base. If you (or any
others out here) want to learn some CHP terms, go to their Traffic
Incident Information page at: http://cad.chp.ca.gov/
Look in the upper right area of the page at Resources. Pull-down the
menu and click on Glossary. There you will find most of the common
CHP terms and abbreviations.

36513. Re: [bayscan] OT:Grade Crossing Accident Prevention

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 08:23:00 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36507 Message: 36513

jjcooke@... wrote:

> In terms of on-scene coordination, I'm hoping that some portion of
> the 700 mHz band gets preserved for just this type of incident. I'm
> not sure with the radio systems we have now that you'd ever have
> enough frequencies due to the bleedover problems that Don described.
> Maybe rebanding will improve this situation since I'm sure that any
> agency, no matter how well equipped and trained, is going to have
> comms problems at a major incident like this.
>
> Regards, Jim

Jim -

Is 32 pairs allocated nationally at 700 MHz enough? :)

Also, the congestion at VHF is starting to relieve, as new channels
created through the rebanding process come into play.

Don

36514. SJPD barricade w/ gun du jour 0154 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 09:57:06 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36514 Message: 36514

Setting up CP.

Evacuations in progress of apt. building and neighborhood.

Possible mentally disturbed person with a gun making threats.

Armed w/ handgun

IAO McKee @ White

SJPD3 460.200

This makes what.. 3 in the last 3 days... definitely a full moon.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36515. Re: [bayscan] OT: Pro-96 cloning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 14:11:52 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36508 Message: 36515

At 09:18 PM 1/26/2005, you wrote:

>I'm going to clone my Pro-96 to a friend who just got his radio. Is
>there any way to change my personal information on the startup screen
>after the clone or is Win96 the only way to change this information?

If no one here can help, there's a good Pro-96 yahoo group...

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-96/

I was lurking there for a while.

Evan

36516. STRUCTURE FIRE - Santa Clara County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 20:27:24 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36516 Message: 36516

Santa Clara County Fire

Ridgeway Drive at Cricket Hill Road (Monta Vista)

Black smoke showing from the door of one unit in a two story apartment building.

One burn victim with AMR responding.

ENGINE 7
ENGINE 16
TRUCK 1
HAZMAT 2
BATTALION 7

Being handled on COMMAND 2 - 155.985.

-C-

36517. Re: [bayscan] STRUCTURE FIRE - Santa Clara County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 20:29:15 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36516 Message: 36517

ENGINE 7 crew is pressing interior attack at this time.

On 1/27/05 12:27 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> Santa Clara County Fire
>
> Ridgeway Drive at Cricket Hill Road (Monta Vista)
>
> Black smoke showing from the door of one unit in a two story apartment
> building.
>
> One burn victim with AMR responding.
>
> ENGINE 7
> ENGINE 16
> TRUCK 1
> HAZMAT 2
> BATTALION 7
>
> Being handled on COMMAND 2 - 155.985.
>
> -C-

36518. Re: [bayscan] STRUCTURE FIRE - Santa Clara County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-27 20:33:51 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36516 Message: 36518

FIRE ATTACK advising RIDGEWAY IC that the structure is clear and fire is
under control.

Final update unless conditions warrant.

-C-

On 1/27/05 12:29 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> ENGINE 7 crew is pressing interior attack at this time.
>
> On 1/27/05 12:27 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
>>
>> Santa Clara County Fire
>>
>> Ridgeway Drive at Cricket Hill Road (Monta Vista)
>>
>> Black smoke showing from the door of one unit in a two story apartment
>> building.
>>
>> One burn victim with AMR responding.
>>
>> ENGINE 7
>> ENGINE 16
>> TRUCK 1
>> HAZMAT 2
>> BATTALION 7
>>
>> Being handled on COMMAND 2 - 155.985.
>>
>> -C-

36519. Wow, Caltrans has a lotta mobiles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 01:09:16 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 36450 Message: 36519

I regularly scan Caltrans in San Jose (856.9875 tone 123.0) and did a licensee/freq search on the FCC site to see what other non-trunking channels issued to Caltrans. Various freq returned but here is one of the callsigns and interesting to see it's licensed to nearly 20,000 mobiles!

Callsign: WPFN689
Licensee: CALIFORNIA, STATE OF
Radio Service: Public Safety Ntl Plan, 821-824/866-869 MHz, Conv. [GF]
City, ST, Zip: SACRAMENTO, CA 958140282

Area Op Tx Ant:
Other:SOUTH OF LATITUDE 35-47-30N CA

Frequency: 821.0375
Mobile Units: 19410

Frequency: 822.0375
Mobile Units: 19410

Frequency: 823.0375
Mobile Units: 19410

Frequency: 866.0375
Mobile Units: 19410

36520. NASA ARC/Moffett FAF TRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 00:56:02 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 36520 Message: 36520

I'm having trouble tracking the NASA Ames/Moffett
Federal Air Field 400Mhz trunked system on my BC-796.

I've got the freqs entered in the same order as
they're listed on Radio Reference
<http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=348>

But it' still not trunk tracking the system, despite
being able to hear comms on the channels using
conventional mode. I assume I just don't have the
correct EDACS trunking type programmed in.

Radioreference.com lists the system as being an
EDACS Standard net.

How do I set up the ARC250 software for that? Would
I use EDACS Narrow, EDACS Wide, or EDACS SCT?

Thanks,

Tim

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

36521. Re: [bayscan] Wow, Caltrans has a lotta mobiles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 02:29:00 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 36450 Message: 36521

well, caltrans may have several thousand units, but it's probably not as many as those numbered on the licsense. many licensees overlicense the number of mobile units on their system purposefully to cover future expansion and prevent any discrepancies. pull up the licenses for almost any larger company and agency, or better yet, one where you already know the number of mobile / portable units in their system. a company with 7 trucks will often be licensed for something like 15, 10 units will often be licensed for 20 or more. overestimating the number of units on a license can also help the company protect it's license during a growth spurt by preventing the limitations of the license from being exceeded and violated. not to mention, it avoids the hassle of having to have the license modified before it's expired.

-greg-

>
> I regularly scan Caltrans in San Jose (856.9875 tone 123.0) and did a
> licensee/freq search on the FCC site to see what other non-trunking
> channels
> issued to Caltrans. Various freq returned but here is one of the callsigns
> and interesting to see it's licensed to nearly 20,000 mobiles!
>

+--+
|greg_stramback@...//vector@...|
|Greg Stramback/\/ESDCOMM HR Chief//Dispatch Sup|
|Black Rock City/\/Emergency Services Department|
|cell510-385-4217/\/i'mthevoiceyouhearontheradio|
+--+

36522. 2nd alarm in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 02:53:14 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 22424 Message: 36522

SFFD is working a two alarm fire at 1751 silver Ave

Tac-A-10 15088
Command A-6 14960

36523. 2nd alarm in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 02:54:11 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 22424 Message: 36523

SFFD is working a two alarm fire at 1751 silver Ave

Tac-A-10 15088
Command A-6 14960

36524. 2nd alarm in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 02:56:09 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 22424 Message: 36524

streaming on firefeeds.com and SF is still free.

Command will be on right channel, Tac Channel will be on the left.

This just went 3 alarms 18:56pm

36525. water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 02:57:10 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36525 Message: 36525

hey guys...

today i photographed the novato fire department's training for a plane crash and (think i) saw how the water / dive guys protect their radios... can someone tell us more about this product?

posted to the file section...

novato hosted a great workshop and it was incredibly interesting...

nina
http://www.ninazhito.com

36526. Re: [bayscan] water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 03:03:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36525 Message: 36526

At 06:57 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:
>hey guys...
>
>today i photographed the novato fire department's training for a plane
>crash and (think i) saw how the water / dive guys protect their
>radios... can someone tell us more about this product?
>
>posted to the file section...
>
>novato hosted a great workshop and it was incredibly interesting...

I believe ZipLock makes a similiar product....

:)

36527. SFFD 3rd alarm.....yo George

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 03:55:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36527 Message: 36527

George.....1st , was this residential or ???
2nd - the tac channel is coming in with very low volume :-(

thanks, missed this one ;-(

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36528. Strange incident in Antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 03:59:32 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 36528 Message: 36528

Hello all

I was on my way home from the store today when I passed by the Bradley video in Antioch on Deer valley road. The Bradley video was shut down and there were crews not in chemical suites but suits of some sort that they use to clean up Hazardous materials.The Truck they were in Had Universal Environmental Emergency service written on it. This was about 4:30 PM. I just went back to the same area to get a pizza, Bradley was still shut down, and there were PG&E crews there.

Has anyone, heard anything regarding this matter?
Do you have any idea what the Problem may have been?

Thanks
Kyle In Antioch

36529. Re: [bayscan] water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 03:16:43 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36525 Message: 36529

:>
On Thursday, Jan 27, 2005, at 19:03 US/Pacific, Evan Platt wrote:

>
> At 06:57 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:
>> hey guys...
>>
>> today i photographed the novato fire department's training for a plane
>> crash and (think i) saw how the water / dive guys protect their
>> radios... can someone tell us more about this product?
>>
>> posted to the file section...
>>
>> novato hosted a great workshop and it was incredibly interesting...
>
>
> I believe ZipLock makes a similiar product....
>
> :)
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36530. shots fired/ sf

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 04:46:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36530 Message: 36530

Engine 15 just came on A3 advising shots fired / 1552 Sunnyvale
.....this is visitation valley , probably by the projects in the southern part of SF not far from the Cow Palace.

they advised SFPD was on scene . PD should be A7/ 13040 or A8- 13072

Fire on A9/ 13104 and/or A3/ 14960

so far , no one hit
2046 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36531. Re: shots fired/ sf

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 05:02:26 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36530 Message: 36531

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Engine 15 just came on A3 advising shots fired / 1552 Sunnyvale
> .....this is visitation valley , probably by the
projects in the southern
> part of SF not far from the Cow Palace.
>
>

2100 . Engine 15 , Battalion 9 leaving scene .... no one found
hit by shots. this is Sunnydale and Hahn and is FOR sure the
projects. NOT a good area at ALL

Ed

36532. Re: OT: Pro-96 cloning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 05:05:33 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36508 Message: 36532

Thank you, Evan. It looks like the only way to change the opening screen info is to use Win 96 so I'll have to get him over to the house.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 09:18 PM 1/26/2005, you wrote:
>
> >I'm going to clone my Pro-96 to a friend who just got his radio. Is
> >there any way to change my personal information on the startup screen
> >after the clone or is Win96 the only way to change this information?
>
> If no one here can help, there's a good Pro-96 yahoo group...
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-96/
>
> I was lurking there for a while.
>
> Evan

36533. Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 05:13:54 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36525 Message: 36533

Nina,

We use the ones at http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-radio-cases-aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html for our marine unit and dive team. They work really well although you do have to be careful to get the seal right when you first put the radio in. It has a one way valve that allows you to transmit and the audio to be heard without much degradation. The also float and have a lanyard attached so you won't lose it if you go in the water. It would probably be a good investment for you working press people for a scanner in bad weather.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> hey guys...
>
> today i photographed the novato fire department's training for a plane
> crash and (think i) saw how the water / dive guys protect their
> radios... can someone tell us more about this product?
>
> posted to the file section...
>
> novato hosted a great workshop and it was incredibly interesting...
>
> nina
> http://www.ninazhito.com

36534. RE: [bayscan] Re: shots fired/ sf

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 05:17:46 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36530 Message: 36534

This morning I was monitoring MERA and on the Sheriff feed 45616 I hear the Sheriffs dispatcher "BEEEEEP...report of shots fired on the courts floor" being the Marin County Civic Center and right away I flashed back to the '71 civic center shootout where 4 people were killed including a judge....then I hear "BEEEEEEP, BEEEEEEEP you can 10-22....there's an open mike at the Range".....then a few minutes later.... "will all deputies at the Range please turn off their radios".

Man oh man...that had to cause some adrenalin to flow. :-)

-Roger

-----Original Message-----
From: Ed [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2005 9:02 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: shots fired/ sf

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Engine 15 just came on A3 advising shots fired / 1552 Sunnyvale
> .....this is visitation valley , probably by the projects in the southern
> part of SF not far from the Cow Palace.
>
>

2100 . Engine 15 , Battalion 9 leaving scene .... no one found hit by shots. this is Sunnydale and Hahn and is FOR sure the projects. NOT a good area at ALL

Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36535. Re: Strange incident in Antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 05:18:19 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36528 Message: 36535

Kyle,

I don't know for sure what was happening but, since the UEE and PG&E trucks were there, I'd guess it was a leaking transformer, either overhead or underground. Since January 1, PG&E has to certify that a leak has no PCB's before they are allowed to touch it and UEE has a mobile lab that can test for PCB's alobg with a bunch of other nasty stuff. <g>

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kdawg122786" <redsfannumber01@a...> wrote:
>
> Hello all
>
> I was on my way home from the store today when I passed by the
> Bradley video in Antioch on Deer valley road. The Bradley video was
> shut down and there were crews not in chemical suites but suits of
> some sort that they use to clean up Hazardous materials.The Truck
> they were in Had Universal Environmental Emergency service written on
> it. This was about 4:30 PM. I just went back to the same area to get
> a pizza, Bradley was still shut down, and there were PG&E crews
> there.
>
> Has anyone, heard anything regarding this matter?
> Do you have any idea what the Problem may have been?
>
> Thanks
> Kyle In Antioch

36536. Re: [bayscan] Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 05:22:07 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36525 Message: 36536

At 09:13 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:

>Nina,
>
>We use the ones at
><http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile->http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterpr
oof-mobile-
>radio-cases-aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html
>for our marine unit and dive team. They work really well although you
>do have to be careful to get the seal right when you first put the
>radio in. It has a one way valve that allows you to transmit and the
>audio to be heard without much degradation. The also float and have a
>lanyard attached so you won't lose it if you go in the water. It
>would probably be a good investment for you working press people for
>a scanner in bad weather.

Oh boy, you've done it now.. 300 messages of "The link doesn't work." :)

http://tinyurl.com/bnx9

:)

Evan

36537. Zyante fire incident/ Santa Cruz County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 05:37:33 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36537 Message: 36537

Trying to locate a well involved structure fire in the Zyante area.
BC has a visual, but can't figure out access yet. No water on the
fire yet, no engines on scene.

Calling a 2nd alarm w/ air support, mutual aid from CDF Felton

As of yet, they haven't reached the structure.

Santa Cruz Fire Red 154.325
CDF Felton 151.370

36538. Re: [bayscan] Zyante fire incident/ Santa Cruz County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 05:47:04 UTC
From: Brian Verhalen
Topic: 36537 Message: 36538

FireDispatch has this on

Just heard "very LARGE controlled burn, will be here for a while putting it
out, send sheriff".

At 09:37 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:
>Trying to locate a well involved structure fire in the Zyante area.
>BC has a visual, but can't figure out access yet. No water on the
>fire yet, no engines on scene.
>
>Calling a 2nd alarm w/ air support, mutual aid from CDF Felton
>
>As of yet, they haven't reached the structure.
>
>Santa Cruz Fire Red 154.325
>CDF Felton 151.370
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36539. Possible Tornado-Sonoma County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:10:13 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36539 Message: 36539

REDCOMM is reporting a large windstorm, possibly a small tornado, just touched down at Meyere's Grade Road and Highway One, just north of Jenner. No apparent injuries reported but Highway One blocked by fallen trees and debris and power is out. Monte Rio FD, Jenner VFD, Tinber Cove VFD, Sea Ranch VHF, CHP, State Parks, and Sonoma S.O. rolling now to investigate.

REDCOMM 154.310
REDCOMM Contol 4 154.175
Sonoma S.O. 453.400
CHP 42.880 B 42.20 M
CDF 151.460
State Parks West 858.93750

Regards, Jim

36540. Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:11:45 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36525 Message: 36540

Thank you, Evan. I keep forgetting about Yahoo's habit of wrapping links.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 09:13 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Nina,
> >
> >We use the ones at
> ><http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-
>http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-
> >radio-cases-aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-
cases.html
> >for our marine unit and dive team. They work really well although
you
> >do have to be careful to get the seal right when you first put the
> >radio in. It has a one way valve that allows you to transmit and
the
> >audio to be heard without much degradation. The also float and
have a
> >lanyard attached so you won't lose it if you go in the water. It
> >would probably be a good investment for you working press people
for
> >a scanner in bad weather.
>
> Oh boy, you've done it now.. 300 messages of "The link doesn't
work." :)
>
> http://tinyurl.com/bnx9
>
> :)
>
> Evan

36541. Re: [bayscan] Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:17:02 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36525 Message: 36541

At 10:11 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:

>Thank you, Evan. I keep forgetting about Yahoo's habit of wrapping
>links.

Not so much yahoo, but almost every e-mail program will wrap. If I e-mailed
you off list, it would come out the same with 90% of e-mail clients..

36542. Re: NASA ARC/Moffett FAF TRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:19:51 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 36520 Message: 36542

Use EDACS Wide. My 250 is setup that way and it works fine.

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Tim <sfbayhooligan@y...> wrote:
>
> I'm having trouble tracking the NASA Ames/Moffett
> Federal Air Field 400Mhz trunked system on my BC-796.
>
> I've got the freqs entered in the same order as
> they're listed on Radio Reference
> <http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=348>
>
> But it' still not trunk tracking the system, despite
> being able to hear comms on the channels using
> conventional mode. I assume I just don't have the
> correct EDACS trunking type programmed in.
>
> Radioreference.com lists the system as being an
> EDACS Standard net.
>
> How do I set up the ARC250 software for that? Would
> I use EDACS Narrow, EDACS Wide, or EDACS SCT?
>
>
> Thanks,
>
>
> Tim
>
>
>
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.
> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

36543. Not the kind of news you like hearing...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:19:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36543 Message: 36543

SAN FRANCISCO (Reuters) - Four Sacramento, California firefighters who admitted to having sex while on duty have been suspended pending an investigation, a spokesman for the city's fire department said on Tuesday.

The three men, including a captain, admitted to having sex with a fourth firefighter, a woman, while on duty. Superiors put all four on administrative leave on Monday, marking the second recent sex scandal to hit the sleepy state capital's fire department.

"The four individuals have admitted to having sex in the firehouse," said Captain Niko King, a spokesman for the department. "They even conspired to keep it secret by putting one person on watch so they wouldn't get caught."

The firefighters face disciplinary action ranging from time off without pay to dismissal, said King, noting officials took quick action as rumors of on-duty sex circulated through the department.

The probe follows an investigation after city firefighters attended a local porn-star costume ball last July. The department began that probe, its largest internal investigation ever, after a woman who does not work for the department said she had been sexually assaulted by a firefighter in a department fire truck.

36544. How do I find the Helo traffic?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:24:44 UTC
From: David K. Z. Harris
Topic: 36544 Message: 36544

I've got the 345.0 AM, and the 123.4 AM channels in my BC250D...but I hardly ever hear anything.

What are the other channels where I might hear the helicopters? Life Flight and CalSTAR dispatches/refueling, etc.? The Coast Guard helo when it's heading to Moffet for training?

I've been culling through the old messages, looking for clues about who (on the list) seems to know, so I could ask them off-list, but I didn't turn up much there, for all of the
looking. So, I guess it's time to ask for the collective wisdom of the list. (Since we don't
have a good "helo listeners clues" page in the files section, I volunteer to put one together,
if I can get some clues. :-)

So, there is CDF 106, now back in service at (CDF Morgan Hill?) I have the CDF air-attack freqs for CDF, and Forest Service...

What birds live at Moffet? (One for SJPD, or shared SJPD/Santa Clara SO? One other?) What are their callsigns? Where do you usually hear them? (Freqs, TGID if trunked...)

Is there one, two, or three of the orange Coast Guard birds? What are their callsigns, or tail numbers, etc.? Do they normally live at the base at SFO (Out near the United Air Lines maint base, at the edge of the bay), or do they live at Moffet?

And, only slightly related...does anyone remember the TV show "Chopper One", probably in the late 70's? It only lasted a season, but I really enjoyed that season. :-)

N6UOW http://www.baylug.org/zonker/railfan/

36545. FU: Nasty Weather in Sonoma

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:26:12 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36545 Message: 36545

Somethings's happening, that's for sure. A pretty good thunderstorm in progress right now over Petaluma with 28 mph wind gusts. Let's see, is it a toranado that sounds like a freight train coming...<g>

Regards, Jim

36546. Re: [bayscan] How do I find the Helo traffic?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:33:08 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36544 Message: 36546

At 10:24 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:

> I've got the 345.0 AM, and the 123.4 AM channels in my BC250D...but I
> hardly
>ever hear anything.
>
> What are the other channels where I might hear the helicopters? Life
> Flight and CalSTAR
>dispatches/refueling, etc.? The Coast Guard helo when it's heading to
>Moffet for training?

I can only speak for CALSTAR: Calstar 1 (Concord) and 2 (Gilroy) are dispatched via landline. They'll respond on 867.5375 - Mt. Vacca for CS 1, Loma Prieta for CS 2. You won't hear much other than "You're responding to <Lat / Long> for a <type of call>. Switch to <frequency> and contact the IC."

As for other traffic, they'll come up on the local airport frequency when they're in controlled airspace, and on that 800 mhz frequency for flight following every 10 minutes.

Felton CDF Dispatch is also a good frequency - if the 800 radio isn't working or they're out of range, they'll come up on Felton CDF - 151.370.

As for refueling, you may hear them advising Felton dispatch on the 800 frequency.

I have a list of Lifeflight frequencies somewhere, but not sure what's current. :)

Evan

36547. Re: [bayscan] FU: Nasty Weather in Sonoma

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:43:23 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36545 Message: 36547

glad it's not metrolink....

On Thursday, Jan 27, 2005, at 22:26 US/Pacific, jjcooke@... wrote:

>
>
> Somethings's happening, that's for sure. A pretty good thunderstorm
> in progress right now over Petaluma with 28 mph wind gusts. Let's
> see, is it a toranado that sounds like a freight train coming...<g>
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36548. Re: [bayscan] FU: Nasty Weather in Sonoma

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:45:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36545 Message: 36548

ah Nina ....thats BAD anyone confirm a twister ??
has anyone see Bill Paxton or Helen Hunt in the area ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36549. Re: [bayscan] How do I find the Helo traffic?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:46:49 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36544 Message: 36549

> What are the other channels where I might hear the helicopters?

124.3 is a VFR heads-up-man-ship channel in the bay area that lots of helos hang out on. Traffic advisories, mostly ("GOLDEN GATE TRAFFIC, Helicopter FOUR TWO LIMA, Angel Island for Pier 39, 800 ft, GOLDEN GATE TRAFFIC") but you sometimes get some good chit-chat. I've heard them down in the south bay too. Traffic helos, charters, CHP and Coast Guard usually show up there, ARGUS [Oakland PD], STARR, etc.).

> The Coast Guard helo when it's heading to Moffet for training?

I usually hear them check in with SanFranAir [345.0, like you said] when they do that, although the Coast Guard was one of the first agencies post-9/11 to get a BIG investment in crypto radio gear, so you hear them less and less (and usually when there's just a problem with their secure stuff), but then you'll hear them on 'normal' ATC ...

> Is there one, two, or three of the orange Coast Guard birds?

I think there are four that I know of: 6505, 6527, 6586, 6591 ...

Here are two of them!

http://mapper.acme.com/?lat=37.632412&long=-122.38927&scale=7&theme=ColorImage&width=4&height=3&dot=Yes

36550. Re: FU: Nasty Weather in Sonoma

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 06:52:09 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36545 Message: 36550

LOL. It's still storming up here good with more lightning, thunder
and cloudbursts. We've had .43 inches of rain in the last 15 minutes.
YOu can see the red cells popping up on the NWS radar and it looks
there are more offshore.

Just some general road cleanup and a barn partially down out in
Jenner. I doubt it was a tornado, probably downburst winds, but the
FF's sounded pretty excited about the whole thing for a while.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> glad it's not metrolink....
>
> On Thursday, Jan 27, 2005, at 22:26 US/Pacific, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Somethings's happening, that's for sure. A pretty good
thunderstorm
> > in progress right now over Petaluma with 28 mph wind gusts. Let's
> > see, is it a toranado that sounds like a freight train
coming...<g>
> >
> > Regards, Jim
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

36551. Re: FU: Nasty Weather in Sonoma

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 07:18:55 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36545 Message: 36551

Aircraft have also been great to listen to tonight. All the NorCal Approach frequencies plus Oakland Center sector 41 (125.85 MHz AM), north bay. There's been a good sized thunderstorm cell parked near Sausalito causing everyone to deviate after experiencing SEVERE TURBULENCE 5000 ft and below (this is the highest definition there is). Here's an excerpt from half an hour ago:

Center: "Fedex 1827 I need you to give me a left 180 to hold 20 miles north of sausalito, [norcal's closed oakland int'l because of the weather].

FDX1827: "Okay we'll..... WHOA we're in SEVERE turbulence we'll get back to you."

(A few minutes later)

Center: "Yeah, the bay area's gone to hell in a handbasket tonight! You're holding because all four of the guys in front of you hit that severe turbulence and norcal's had to stop accepting arrivals until they can get them all on the ground."

LOL! If pilots and controllers are calling it "Hell in a handbasket"... it's BAD! Didn't compromise safety but I'm still damn glad I'm not flying tonight. :)

-Inigo
in Marin

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
> LOL. It's still storming up here good with more lightning, thunder
> and cloudbursts. We've had .43 inches of rain in the last 15 minutes.
> YOu can see the red cells popping up on the NWS radar and it looks
> there are more offshore.
>
> Just some general road cleanup and a barn partially down out in
> Jenner. I doubt it was a tornado, probably downburst winds, but the
> FF's sounded pretty excited about the whole thing for a while.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> > glad it's not metrolink....
> >
> > On Thursday, Jan 27, 2005, at 22:26 US/Pacific, jjcooke@y...

wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Somethings's happening, that's for sure. A pretty good
> thunderstorm
> > > in progress right now over Petaluma with 28 mph wind gusts.
Let's
> > > see, is it a toranado that sounds like a freight train
> coming...<g>
> > >
> > > Regards, Jim
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

36552. Re: [bayscan] Possible Tornado-Sonoma County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 07:53:39 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36539 Message: 36552

At 10:10 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:

>REDCOMM 154.310
>REDCOMM Contol 4 154.175
>Sonoma S.O. 453.400
>CHP 42.880 B 42.20 M
>CDF 151.460
>State Parks West 858.93750

Looking at this mis-mash of frequencies, I just HAVE to comment on interoperability again.

Looks like fire is the only ones who can talk to each other.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36553. Re: [bayscan] Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 07:54:30 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36525 Message: 36553

At 10:17 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:

>At 10:11 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Thank you, Evan. I keep forgetting about Yahoo's habit of wrapping
> >links.
>
>Not so much yahoo, but almost every e-mail program will wrap. If I e-mailed
>you off list, it would come out the same with 90% of e-mail clients..

[brackets help with double line url's] to keep them to one clickable link.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36554. Re: [bayscan] Re: shots fired/ sf

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 08:04:28 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 36530 Message: 36554

>
>
>From: "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@...>
>
>This morning I was monitoring MERA and on the Sheriff feed 45616 I hear the
>Sheriffs dispatcher "BEEEEEP...report of shots fired on the courts floor"
>being the Marin County Civic Center and right away I flashed back to the '71
>
Roger,

One doesn't want such things to have been real; let them be a drill, a mistake, a hoax. . I was at work at Tandy R&D in Fort Worth overlooking the Tarrant County courthouse on 1 July '92 when my scanner reported shooting inside a courtroom. It was uinclear from the radio traffic who had been shot and who had done the shooting. As I recall, police were intitially looking for a white-haired Chinese man but the actual shooter, one George Lott, had gone calmly into the Tandy Center (as a Tandy Security office told me later), where he changed his clothing in a mens' room, hiding the discarded items behind a waste basket, and walked away.

For impact, though, hearing the Orange County (CA) FD dispatcher's disbelieving voice as she reported a jet aircraft into a building was worse -- and that was rea, tool. This resulted in calling up our radio club's Reserve Police members, as the PD put into action its mass casualty protocol. Thank God it was a only a small private aircraft and the building empty where it hit.

Cortland

36555. Re: Possible Tornado-Sonoma County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 08:07:50 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36539 Message: 36555

It really not as bad as looks. <g> Simply because you don't see other units not having the radios doesn't mean they don't exist. The coast S.O. units all have fire radios so they are able to talk to the fire units. Most of the FD chiefs also have S.O. UHF radios so they can talk direct to the deputies. State Park units all have our UHF radios and are typically dispatched by our comm center at night. The only ones who really don't much interoperability are the CHP but they also all have scanners. In a conventional, non-trunked, non-digital world like we have on the wilds of the Sonoma Coast, cross talking through scanners has taken us through some pretty hairy situations.

Don't make the mistake of applying "big city" interoperability standards to a rural area and assume they we are in some way deficient in being able to interoperate. I dare say we've handled some larger, more extensive incidents in Sonoma County with our system than many other Bay Area counties with a lot more money spent on "interoperable" systems.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> At 10:10 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >REDCOMM 154.310
> >REDCOMM Contol 4 154.175
> >Sonoma S.O. 453.400
> >CHP 42.880 B 42.20 M
> >CDF 151.460
> >State Parks West 858.93750
>
> Looking at this mis-mash of frequencies, I just HAVE to comment on
> interoperability again.
>
> Looks like fire is the only ones who can talk to each other.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36556. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: Pro-96 cloning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 08:24:46 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36508 Message: 36556

I've never done the radio to radio cloning, but it seems to me you could save your settings with win96 to a file, then change the personal info on your scanner via win96 to be whatever you want this guy to have, do the clone, then reprogram (i.e. restore) your radio with win96.

jjcooke@... wrote:
>
> Thank you, Evan. It looks like the only way to change the opening
> screen info is to use Win 96 so I'll have to get him over to the
> house.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
>
>>At 09:18 PM 1/26/2005, you wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I'm going to clone my Pro-96 to a friend who just got his radio. Is
>>>there any way to change my personal information on the startup
>
> screen
>
>>>after the clone or is Win96 the only way to change this
>
> information?
>
>>If no one here can help, there's a good Pro-96 yahoo group...
>>
>>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PRO-96/
>>
>>I was lurking there for a while.
>>
>>Evan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

36557. Re: [bayscan] Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 08:31:30 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36525 Message: 36557

I thought it had to be <>, not [].

KG6VXT wrote:
> At 10:17 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>At 10:11 PM 1/27/2005, you wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Thank you, Evan. I keep forgetting about Yahoo's habit of wrapping
>>>links.
>>
>>Not so much yahoo, but almost every e-mail program will wrap. If I e-mailed
>>you off list, it would come out the same with 90% of e-mail clients..
>
>
> [brackets help with double line url's] to keep them to one clickable link.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36558. Re: [bayscan] How do I find the Helo traffic?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 08:52:51 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36544 Message: 36558

In a message dated 1/27/2005 22:25:00 Pacific Standard Time,
yahoomess@... writes:

Is there one, two, or three of the orange Coast Guard birds? What are their
callsigns, or
tail numbers, etc.? Do they normally live at the base at SFO (Out near the
United Air Lines
maint base, at the edge of the bay), or do they live at Moffet?

And, only slightly related...does anyone remember the TV show "Chopper One",
probably
in the late 70's? It only lasted a season, but I really enjoyed that season.
:-)

There are 4 HH-65's at Air Station San Francisco. They "Live" at the
Airport. 1 may be out of service having matinenence done to it . Another one may be
deployed on a USCG Cutter doing Law Enforcement. There are definitely 2 that
are ready at all times for SAR Missions.

Their Station Primary is 381.8 or 381.1

When they go on SAR calls they are on 157.050Mhz or 156.800 or 157.100. In
the Monterey area they are on 157.150

Their Tale numbers are 6505 , 6591 I don't know the others off hand but ,
they start with 65_ _ and 65_ _

If you hear 60_ _ then those are the HH-60 Jayhawk's. They aren't normally
around here but sometimes are "In Transit" between Air Station San Diego and
Air Station Cooz Bay in Oregon.

Hope this helps

Oh ya I remember Chopper 1. It was a Bell Jet Ranger for some city PD.
Remember 240-Robert ???

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36559. Re: [bayscan] How do I find the Helo traffic?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 11:40:21 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 36544 Message: 36559

Evan didnt i just give u all of the CURRENT LifeFlight freq's like 2 months ago? lol
ok well here they are:

857.8125 (loma prieta/black mtn/ this one is PRIMARY)
858.3125 (devil's peak)
852.7625 (mt diablo)
130.050 (other helo's flying into Stanford)
-boulrog-

In a message dated 1/27/05 22:35:58, evan@... writes:

<< I have a list of Lifeflight frequencies somewhere, but not sure what's current. :)
Evan
>>

36560. Re: [bayscan] Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 13:48:17 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36525 Message: 36560

At 12:31 AM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
>I thought it had to be <>, not [].

I've seen > at the start generally works. Well, let's give it a try.

[http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-radio-cases-aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html]

or

>http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-radio-cases-aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html

Which seems to work better?

On my screen, both wrap.

36561. Re: [bayscan] How do I find the Helo traffic?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 13:49:40 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36544 Message: 36561

At 03:40 AM 1/28/2005, you wrote:

>Evan didnt i just give u all of the CURRENT LifeFlight freq's like 2 months
>ago? lol
>ok well here they are:

Oh yeah you did. :) I forgot.

Also I won't normally give out frequencies given to me via e-mail under
normal circumstances....

Evan

36562. 3rd alarm last night (firefeeds.com)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 14:55:40 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36562 Message: 36562

The right channel audio is as high as it can go before it starts clipping, on my system they both sound the same audio level, don't know what to tell you Ed. get high speed ISP or something

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36563. Re: [bayscan] How do I find the Helo traffic?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 15:06:51 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 36544 Message: 36563

> What birds live at Moffett? (One for SJPD, or shared SJPD/Santa Clara SO? One other?) What
> are their callsigns? Where do you usually hear them? (Freqs, TGID if trunked...)

SJPD's AIR-2, SCCSO STAR-1 and one of CHP's Helos (not sure which one) share the same hangar at Moffett. Been there and there is room for about another 4 or 5 small helo's in there.

STAR-1 generally stays on Freq-1 (156.20) unless there is a call in the West Valley area (155.7 P25)

AIR-2 will float around on San Jose's freq's and even on the SO's when we call for assistance.

Not sure about CHP. I don't generally listen to them.

Hope this helps.

Mike

36564. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm last night (firefeeds.com)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 15:23:52 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36562 Message: 36564

At 06:55 AM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
>The right channel audio is as high as it can go before it starts clipping,
>on my system they both sound the same audio level, don't know what to tell
>you Ed. get high speed ISP or something

Sounds more like a sound card issue or sound card setup than connectivity.
Your connection speed wouldn't affect the volume of a streaming audio feed.
Granted you would have a lower bitrate, but you could have a VERY LOUD low
bitrate feed.

36565. Re: [bayscan] How do I find the Helo traffic?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 15:25:30 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36544 Message: 36565

Mobile11@... writes:

> Their Station Primary is 381.8 or 381.1

As part of the clearing out of 380Mhz, USCG no longer has their primary
on 381.8 ... as of January 1, they've switched over to the following:

Ops Primary: 345.0
Ops Secondary: 237.9
Air-Ground Working, Primary: 326.15
Air-Ground Working, Secondary: 379.05

I've only heard traffic (other than a few radio checks just before 1/1)
on 345.0 -- it has taken over directy for call "San Fran Air" that was
on 381.8.

For you HF listeners, they changed the calling frequencies too:

Old (kHz)	New (kHz)
4134 ship /4426 shore	4125 Simplex
6200/6501	6215 Simplex
8240/8767	8291 Simplex
12242/13089	12290 Simplex

36566. Re: [bayscan] Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 15:28:04 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36525 Message: 36566

>> I thought it had to be <>, not [].

It all depends on your client. One thing I've noticed with Outlook
Express on a PC is that if your long link is the last line on a message
and you don't put a blank line after it, it won't try to wrap it.

http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-radio-cases-aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html

36567. Re: [bayscan] Re: water safety

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 15:36:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36525 Message: 36567

At 07:28 AM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
> >> I thought it had to be <>, not [].
>
>It all depends on your client. One thing I've noticed with Outlook
>Express on a PC is that if your long link is the last line on a message
>and you don't put a blank line after it, it won't try to wrap it.
>
><http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-radio-cases-aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html>http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-radio-cases-aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html

We're getting way off topic here, but to be precise, it depends on both the sending and receiving client. If the sending client doesn't wrap, it still has to be non-wrapped by the receiving client.

The surefire way is to send a HTML encoded message with a HTML link of The Click "HERE" type, but I for one have HTML disabled, so wouldn't make things any easier for me. :)

Evan

36568. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm last night (firefeeds.com)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 17:30:00 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36562 Message: 36568

I'm on HighSpeed and the audio was very low on the Tac Channel. Everything else that was going on was normal audio.

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36569. Re: [bayscan] hijacked thread water into wrap

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 17:32:39 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36525 Message: 36569

hey guys i appreciate all these details, but why don't we just change
the subject line?

On Friday, Jan 28, 2005, at 07:36 US/Pacific, Evan Platt wrote:

>
> At 07:28 AM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
>>>> I thought it had to be <>, not [].
>>
>> It all depends on your client. One thing I've noticed with Outlook
>> Express on a PC is that if your long link is the last line on a
>> message
>> and you don't put a blank line after it, it won't try to wrap it.
>>
>> <http://www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-radio-cases-
>> aquapac-water-proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html>http://
>> www.waterproofcases.net/waterproof-mobile-radio-cases-aquapac-water-
>> proof-marine-radio-and-vhf-radio-cases.html
>
> We're getting way off topic here, but to be precise, it depends on
> both the
> sending and receiving client. If the sending client doesn't wrap, it
> still
> has to be non-wrapped by the receiving client.
>
> The surefire way is to send a HTML encoded message with a HTML link of
> The
> Click "HERE" type, but I for one have HTML disabled, so wouldn't make
> things any easier for me. :)
>
> Evan
>

nina zhito
www.photozhito.com

36570. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm last night (firefeeds.com)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 21:24:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36562 Message: 36570

the left channel is weak , but is WASN'T that way a few days ago ,the right channel is fine.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36571. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm last night (firefeeds.com)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 21:27:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36562 Message: 36571

thanks Paul , I knew it wasn't me because the Tac channel WAS just fine a few days ago. In addition , I was monitoring LA County Fire and Glendale during the metrolink crash incident the other day and both speakers audio was just fine. Same with other firefeeds.com such as FDNY, Chicago FD , Philadelphia FD to name a few I have listened to recently.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36572. Statewide BOL on 154.710

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 22:59:32 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 36572 Message: 36572

I posted it a little while back but it seems that 154.710 is a good
frequency to have punched in the scanner if you want to hear any major
BOL's that originate out of Contra Costa County.

I just heard this come across on that frequency.
It seems they are patching into the radio system via some other system
as you can even hear the tones as they shut it off.

The audio is on my site as the first link at:

http://www.bayscan.net

Robert
BayScan

36573. Re: [bayscan] Statewide BOL on 154.710 AMBER ALERT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 23:07:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36573 Message: 36573

Amber Alert:

location is Layfayette around 1225 hours

missing is Alexander Redfoot , 5 yrs 3' 6" thin blond hair

suspect is Robert Redfoot , 40 yr old balding male 5' 5"

driving red chevy cavalier , year not given with Nevada plate 390 RFY

stated male(I assume father) can't care for child

race not given either , but it would appear native american

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36574. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm last night (firefeeds.com)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-28 23:37:36 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36562 Message: 36574

found the problem Bad cable from radio to breakout box, should be better now
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, January 28, 2005 13:27
Subject: Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm last night (firefeeds.com)

thanks Paul , I knew it wasn't me because the Tac channel WAS just fine a
few days ago. In addition , I was monitoring LA County Fire and Glendale during
the metrolink crash incident the other day and both speakers audio was just
fine. Same with other firefeeds.com such as FDNY, Chicago FD , Philadelphia FD
to name a few I have listened to recently.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36575. PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 02:36:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36575 Message: 36575

For Santa Clara fire, 153.965, I have it as 131.8, but it's coming in on a different PL - with PL on, I don't receive anything. With PL off, I do.

Thanks. :)

Evan

36576. Re: [bayscan] Statewide BOL on 154.710 AMBER ALERT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 02:48:08 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36573 Message: 36576

At 03:07 PM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
>Amber Alert:
>
>location is Layfayette around 1225 hours
>
>missing is Alexander Redfoot , 5 yrs 3' 6" thin blond hair
>
>suspect is Robert Redfoot , 40 yr old balding male 5' 5"
>
>driving red chevy cavalier , year not given with Nevada plate 390 RFY
>
>stated male(I assume father) can't care for child
>
>race not given either , but it would appear native american

"The Contra Costa Sheriff's Department and the California Highway Patrol
canceled the Amber Alert after the boy and the suspect were located and
detained on Friday evening January 28. No further information is available
at this time."

Evan

36577. RE: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 04:10:17 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 36575 Message: 36577

>For Santa Clara fire, 153.965, I have it as 131.8, but it's coming in on a
>different PL - with PL on, I don't receive anything. With PL off, I do.

I did a tone scan with my Icom2100 and it did not detect any tone so you
have to scan no-tone (yeah, I hate that too because of interference).

Mike

36578. Re: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 04:23:10 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36575 Message: 36578

There is no tone on 153.965 (bridge).

-C-

On 1/28/05 8:10 PM, "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@...> wrote:

> I did a tone scan with my Icom2100 and it did not detect any tone so you
> have to scan no-tone (yeah, I hate that too because of interference).

36579. Re: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 04:28:41 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36575 Message: 36579

At 08:23 PM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
>There is no tone on 153.965 (bridge).

Mike & Craig: Bummer.. :(

Thanks.

Evan

36580. OT: Thoughts on Amber Alerts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 06:14:31 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36580 Message: 36580

I'm interested in your thoughts about how the Amber Alert system is being used. I was on the task force that helped put the Bay Area Amber Alert System together and this is our purpose statement:

"The AMBER Plan is a voluntary, cooperative partnership between law-enforcement agencies and broadcasters to send an emergency alert to the public when a child has been abducted and it is believed that the child's life is in grave danger."

While I don't know all the details of todays's Amber Alert, please indulge me in some speculation. It sounded like a typical parental taking without permission. It's not clear if it even qualified as an abduction. The only apparent danger was that father "may not have understood how to take of the child's needs".

The Amber Alert System was supposed to be activated for a true child abduction and, even then, only when there was a clear and present danger to the child's safety. It was never meant to be an aid in recovering custody in domestic dispute situations.

It seems like these Amber Alerts are occuring more and more frequently and they don't apparently meet the criteria that was originally set for an Amber Alert. My fear is that the public is going to get so jaded to these things that they won't notice when there is an abduction where locating the child is a true emergency.

What say you on this?

Regards, Jim

36581. rohnert park pursuit 2213

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 06:14:06 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36581 Message: 36581

154.100

70 mph, following green jetta w/ 4 bms,

snyder at control club

==========
www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

36582. Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 06:17:54 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36582 Message: 36582

now shots fired by suspect
2217
officers code four
38 americana apartments

Begin forwarded message:

> From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
> Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:14:06 US/Pacific
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>
>
> 154.100
>
> 70 mph, following green jetta w/ 4 bms,
>
> snyder at control club
>
> =================
> www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443
> (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

=================
www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

36583. Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 06:20:05 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36582 Message: 36583

code three ambulance requested 2219

Begin forwarded message:

> From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
> Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:17:54 US/Pacific
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>
>
> now shots fired by suspect
> 2217
> officers code four
> 38 americana apartments
>
> Begin forwarded message:
>
>> From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
>> Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:14:06 US/Pacific
>> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>> Subject: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
>> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>>
>>
>> 154.100
>>
>> 70 mph, following green jetta w/ 4 bms,
>>
>> snyder at control club
>>
>> =================
>> www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443
>> (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>
> ==========
> www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443
> (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

36584. Re: [bayscan] OT: Thoughts on Amber Alerts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 06:24:38 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36580 Message: 36584

My two cents. I wish the signs said "amber alert tune to KGO KCBS ..."
To expect someone to read much beyond that on those overhead signs puts
way too much faith in the skills of the average driver. If there are
complaints about plugging commercial radio stations, maybe there should
be a universal TIS frequency for such announcements.

jjcooke@... wrote:
>
> I'm interested in your thoughts about how the Amber Alert system is
> being used. I was on the task force that helped put the Bay Area
> Amber Alert System together and this is our purpose statement:

36585. windsor structure fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 07:00:42 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36585 Message: 36585

2253
control 4 154.750
windsor road at wall street / 432 duncan? exact location not certain
vehicle fire extending to structure

36586. Re: [bayscan] OT: Thoughts on Amber Alerts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 07:09:01 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36580 Message: 36586

At 10:24 PM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
>My two cents. I wish the signs said "amber alert tune to KGO KCBS ..."
>To expect someone to read much beyond that on those overhead signs puts
>way too much faith in the skills of the average driver.

Agreed. They can barely talk and drive at the same time.

http://www.stickergiant.com/Merchant2/imgs/250/y8697.gif

:)

Evan

36587. Re: [bayscan] OT: Thoughts on Amber Alerts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 07:33:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36580 Message: 36587

Those fears were expressed sometime ago. The point is valid, you can't use it everyday but you really don't want to hesitate too much either. it worked in this case because the father heard it over the radio and turned himself in. Not the usual way it will work, but it achieved the same result

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36588. Re: windsor structure fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 07:58:09 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36585 Message: 36588

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> 2253
> control 4 154.750
> windsor road at wall street / 432 duncan? exact location not certain
> vehicle fire extending to structure

That's 154.1750 and it turned out to be no big deal. One engine to handle, everyone else cancelled.

Regards, Jim

36589. Re: Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:03:04 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36582 Message: 36589

The suspect is the only one down in this officer involved shooting. Apparently not in too bad a shape since they are transporting to Santa Rosa Memorial by ambulance. No officers injured and everything code 4.

Just an aside, Rohnert Park called a Code 20, which means roll everything in the county. Petaluma PD was sending four units code three and you could hear the disappointment in their voices when they got the code 4 and had to shut down thier race up the freeway. <g>

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> code three ambulance requested 2219
>
>
> Begin forwarded message:
>
> > From: nina zhito <photozhito@c...>
> > Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:17:54 US/Pacific
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
> > Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> >
> >
> > now shots fired by suspect
> > 2217
> > officers code four
> > 38 americana apartments
> >
> > Begin forwarded message:
> >
> >> From: nina zhito <photozhito@c...>
> >> Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:14:06 US/Pacific
> >> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> >> Subject: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
> >> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> >>
> >>
> >> 154.100
> >>
> >> 70 mph, following green jetta w/ 4 bms,
> >>
> >> snyder at control club
> >>
> >> =================

> >> www.ninazhito.com
> >> (415) 892-5443
> >> (707) 338-3604

36590. Re: [bayscan] Re: windsor structure fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:04:37 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36585 Message: 36590

thanks for the correction jim... my brain moves faster than my fingers sometimes...

On Friday, Jan 28, 2005, at 23:58 US/Pacific, jjcooke@... wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>> 2253
>> control 4 154.750
>> windsor road at wall street / 432 duncan? exact location not certain
>> vehicle fire extending to structure
>
> That's 154.1750 and it turned out to be no big deal. One engine to
> handle, everyone else cancelled.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

36591. Re: [bayscan] Re: windsor structure fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:11:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36585 Message: 36591

That was still an ok post Nina. we had just a fire in East
Palo Alto several years ago. Vehicle fire extended into structure. It was even
a small house . I had NO scanner that morning and saw the fire from the
University overpass. I was " guessing " as to if it was a car fire or structure and
it was kind of in between. a couple cars and carport burning but extended
into the house. The fire was being knocked down and I was surprized to hear the
Chief (I was standing next to his buggy) call a 2nd alarm.....but then
he also called a Countywide EMS Red Alert.....he had One ambulance on-scene
and wanted FOUR more.

the house had only ONE decent entrance and it was on fire , the
garage had been converted into a bedroom and 2 cars , bumper to bumper were up
agaist the garage door. ALL the window had grating , but illegal grating with
no emergency push-bars inside. 13 members of one family were
inside.....and 9 of them died.....it was horrible

so , what "seems " to be a minor incident can blow up into sometime major.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36592. Re: [bayscan] funny calls

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:15:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36592 Message: 36592

Jim (and others) speaking of officers disappointment in code 4s

I heard a 311 call (indecent exposure) in SF one day out by Sigmund Stern Grove. the " suspect" was described as a white female in her 20s and " very - good looking " totally nude. you couldn't believe how many cops came out of the woodwork to roll on this.....hehehehehehe , until a sgt advises dispatch that only TWO units were to respond ROTFLOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36593. Re: OT: Thoughts on Amber Alerts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:16:41 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36580 Message: 36593

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Those fears were expressed sometime ago. The point is valid, you can't use it
> everyday but you really don't want to hesitate too much either. it worked in
> this case because the father heard it over the radio and turned himself in.
> Not the usual way it will work, but it achieved the same result
>
> ED

I don't have a problem with putting this information over the public airways. He may have turned himself as result of simply hearing a news story regardless if it was an Amber Alert. The problem I have is using the freeway signs. As other posters have said, it's not realistic to read four lines of detail on those signs and concentrate on driving. The freeways signs were only supposed to used when the suspect was assumed to be in the immediate area or the abductee was in clear and present danger to life and limb. In a stranger abduction, there's no doubt that time is critical. It's not clear to me at all that a biological child taken by a parent falls into this category unless there are other, more extreme circumstances.

There were 4 Amber Alets issued in the Bay Area in 2002, 14 in 2003, and 22 in 2004. I don't know how many so far this month but it has been more than few. If we get to the point where there's Amber Alerts being issued weekly, I'm afraid we are going to end up diluting the reason the system was started.

Regards, Jim

36594. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: Thoughts on Amber Alerts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:23:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36580 Message: 36594

22 in a year isn't that bad, a little under 2 @ month. While true reading for lines is can be a little tough, consider the other things drivers do, reading billboards, talking on cellphones, some are worse. The point about limited the Alerts is good. This call was borderline, the 10pm news mentioned that fact. no restraining order on the father, this could and may even end up being a miscommunications bet dad and mom. You do have to be careful. They do have strict guidelines to keep from overloading the public with the calls, as you say, the public will begin to ignore them. We don't want them to become invisible like car alarms.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36595. Subject Search/ San Jose/ 0032hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:34:50 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36595 Message: 36595

IAO Ramstad Ct.
SJPD 3 460.200

San Jose Air 2 was just relieved by CHP Helicopter 32 for refueling.

Searching the neighborhood and open field behind into the foothills.

Must be something fairly major, they just held over swing shift units.

Unk wants, as I just switched channels from a channel 6 barricade with a knife call that
they tased and took into custody.

36596. Re: [bayscan] Subject Search/ San Jose/ 0032hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:38:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36595 Message: 36596

Rats , I knew I should have been monitoring SJPD ,,,,,,,,,,location is by Story and Clayton.....one in custody,,,,,,,,,,CHP guys can ID , may have been a pursuit..... thanks for the post anyway !!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36597. Re: Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:53:34 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36582 Message: 36597

I just so happened to be on 101 southbound in Rohnert Park with my scanner tonight. I missed the initial call but the Rohnert Park units were code 3 to the Roger Wilco Market and some incident involving that area. Suspect was gone but they then all sped to the americana apartments.

I heard the Petaluma units giving each other directions to the apartments, and then next thing I knew each one of those four Petaluma units passed by opposite me all code 3.

What happened? I missed the initial call but caught he was a 273 suspect listening to the Sonoma County Sheriffs afterwards. Child Neglect/Felony Wife Beating? Am I missing something? Did anyone catch this from the beginning and know what happened?

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
> The suspect is the only one down in this officer involved shooting.
> Apparently not in too bad a shape since they are transporting to
> Santa Rosa Memorial by ambulance. No officers injured and
everything
> code 4.
>
> Just an aside, Rohnert Park called a Code 20, which means roll
> everything in the county. Petaluma PD was sending four units code
> three and you could hear the disappointment in their voices when
they
> got the code 4 and had to shut down thier race up the freeway. <g>
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> > code three ambulance requested 2219
> >
> >
> > Begin forwarded message:
> >
> > > From: nina zhito <photozhito@c...>
> > > Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:17:54 US/Pacific
> > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
> > > Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com

> > >
> > >
> > > now shots fired by suspect
> > > 2217
> > > officers code four
> > > 38 americana apartments
> > >
> > > Begin forwarded message:
> > >
> > >> From: nina zhito <photozhito@c...>
> > >> Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:14:06 US/Pacific
> > >> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > >> Subject: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
> > >> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> 154.100
> > >>
> > >> 70 mph, following green jetta w/ 4 bms,
> > >>
> > >> snyder at control club
> > >>
> > >> ==========
> > >> www.ninazhito.com
> > >> (415) 892-5443
> > >> (707) 338-3604

36598. Re: [bayscan] funny calls

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 08:55:37 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 36592 Message: 36598

Ed, why do i have a hard time believing they actually said "suspect is in her 20's and very good looking"??? what relevance would that have to the call? lol

In a message dated 1/29/05 00:16:15, caladded@... writes:

<< " suspect" was described as a white female in her 20s and "
very - good looking " >>

36599. Re: funny calls

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 09:58:25 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36592 Message: 36599

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Boulrog@a... wrote:
> Ed, why do i have a hard time believing they actually said "suspect is in her
> 20's and very good looking"??? what relevance would that have to the call? lol
>

Well, its exactly what they said , it what the call-taker typed into the event. It also may have been done to get the response that it did.

in another funny (well, semi-funny) call ......Just now , SFFD Engine 3 apparently had an " assist a Citizen" call which can be almost anything.

Engine 3 came on the radio , in a disgusted voice , that " the lady just wanted help with her groceries, NO Merit.....Engine 3 In-Service" .

36600. Firefeed audio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 10:18:30 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36600 Message: 36600

firefeeds audio on both speakers is back to 10-2 George thanks

BTW , did they happen to mention to you how long they are leaving it free ? or When Sacramento and Detroit are coming on line ?

Ed

36601. Re: Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 13:58:12 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36582 Message: 36601

Inigo,

I caught it just as it was happening. Domestic dispute at Roger Wilco and the supect fled to the American Apartments in his vehicle. They had him stopped and it sounded routine until an officer got on the radio yelling "Shots fired, suspect down, Code 20, Code20!" I never heard what type of weapon the suspect had or why the officer shot him. Everybody and their brother in the county was rolling to the call but RP called it Code 4 in about 10 minutes and cancelled the Code 20.

Should have the detailsin this morning's Press-Democrat.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> I just so happened to be on 101 southbound in Rohnert Park with my
> scanner tonight. I missed the initial call but the Rohnert Park
units
> were code 3 to the Roger Wilco Market and some incident involving
> that area. Suspect was gone but they then all sped to the americana
> apartments.
>
> I heard the Petaluma units giving each other directions to the
> apartments, and then next thing I knew each one of those four
> Petaluma units passed by opposite me all code 3.
>
> What happened? I missed the initial call but caught he was a 273
> suspect listening to the Sonoma County Sheriffs afterwards. Child
> Neglect/Felony Wife Beating? Am I missing something? Did anyone
catch
> this from the beginning and know what happened?
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
> >
> > The suspect is the only one down in this officer involved
shooting.
> > Apparently not in too bad a shape since they are transporting to
> > Santa Rosa Memorial by ambulance. No officers injured and
> everything
> > code 4.
> >
> > Just an aside, Rohnert Park called a Code 20, which means roll

> > everything in the county. Petaluma PD was sending four units code
> > three and you could hear the disappointment in their voices when
> they
> > got the code 4 and had to shut down thier race up the freeway. <g>
> >
> > Regards, Jim
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...>
wrote:
> > > code three ambulance requested 2219
> > >
> > >
> > > Begin forwarded message:
> > >
> > > > From: nina zhito <photozhito@c...>
> > > > Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:17:54 US/Pacific
> > > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Subject: Fwd: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
> > > > Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > now shots fired by suspect
> > > > 2217
> > > > officers code four
> > > > 38 americana apartments
> > > >
> > > > Begin forwarded message:
> > > >
> > > >> From: nina zhito <photozhito@c...>
> > > >> Date: Fri Jan 28, 2005 22:14:06 US/Pacific
> > > >> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > >> Subject: [bayscan] rohnert park pursuit 2213
> > > >> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> 154.100
> > > >>
> > > >> 70 mph, following green jetta w/ 4 bms,
> > > >>
> > > >> snyder at control club
> > > >>
> > > >> =================
> > > >> www.ninazhito.com
> > > >> (415) 892-5443
> > > >> (707) 338-3604

36602. Update: Rohnert Park Shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 14:06:57 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36602 Message: 36602

It's already on the P-D website at
<http://www1.pressdemocrat.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?
AID=/20050129/NEWS/501290303/1033/NEWS01> . Looks more serious than I
thought. Suspect is dead, apparently had a handgun and turned and
pointed the weapon at the officers after he had already been tasered.
Already have one witness saying the officers are liars and the
suspect never turned, was running the entire time. I guess they shot
him just for the heck of it. I'm sure this witness had a much clearer
view and understanding of the situation than the officers involved.

Regards, Jim

36603. Update: Rohnert Park Shooting URL

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 14:10:35 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36603 Message: 36603

Before Evan gets on me again, here's a useable URL to the Press-Democrat article:

http://tinyurl.com/4opmq

36604. Re: [bayscan] Firefeed audio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 14:56:04 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36600 Message: 36604

No, but I'm planning on bring them Oakland for the line up,
Hope to have it up and running next weekend
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 02:18
Subject: [bayscan] Firefeed audio

firefeeds audio on both speakers is back to 10-2 George thanks

BTW , did they happen to mention to you how long they are leaving
it free ? or When Sacramento and Detroit are coming on line ?

Ed

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36605. another MERA Fire TG confirmation 28976

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 17:57:09 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36605 Message: 36605

Just heard some chatter on this TG and the conversation ended with "Novato Station 5 clear". According to Novato Fire's link http://www.novatofire.org/stations.aspx Station 5 is a new station out at Hamilton Air Force Base....err, I mean the "Hamilton housing project" or whatever they call it. It'll always be HAFB to me. :-)

-Roger 9:56 hours

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36606. Re: [bayscan] Marine 406MHz EPIRB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 19:08:08 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36464 Message: 36606

The signal is sent by 406Mhz. You cannot hear it because it is a data burst of info such as Name,Address,Emergency Phone number, and so on. There is a signal meant for Direction Finding (DF) on 121.5 Mhz. You cannot hear that either by ear. You can only "hear" it on another radio. Such as DF equipment,Scanner or anything that can recieve that frequency. That is what SAR Teams use to DF or home in on to find it.

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36607. Off-List e-mail e-mailed to me....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 19:09:44 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36607 Message: 36607

Just received the below e-mail from ... well, I won't reveal their AOL
e-mail address. Not one currently listed on the group - at least I see no
posts from them.

Interesting that someone tells me I'm making a worthless post to the group
when I see no posts from them.

So.. Do I need to put up a vote in the voting section?

BTW: I know who the person is.

Has contributed a total of 4 posts in the archive I have - none of them of
any use.

At 11:01 AM 1/29/2005, you wrote:
>
> Do you have any idea how much of a retard you are, and how annoying it
> is for hundreds of people to see your stupid posts?
>
> WHO CARES that you won't normally give out freqs sent to you in private
> email?!! The point here is that the guy just gave you the freqs you were
> asking for two months ago! You weren't asked to share them.
>
> PLEASE try to find some intelligence, so you'll stop making so many
> worthless posts to the 500+ members of the group.
>
>
>*************************
>
> Date: Fri, 28 Jan 2005 05:49:40 -0800
> From: Evan Platt
> <<http://us.f144.mail.yahoo.com/ym/Compose?To=evan@...&YY=16165&order=down&sort=date&pos
=0>evan@...>
>Subject: Re: How do I find the Helo traffic?
>
>At 03:40 AM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Evan didnt i just give u all of the CURRENT LifeFlight freq's like 2
>months
> >ago? lol
> >ok well here they are:
>
>Oh yeah you did. :) I forgot.
>
>Also I won't normally give out frequencies given to me via e-mail under
>normal circumstances....

>
>Evan

36608. Re: [bayscan] Firefeed audio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 18:37:23 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36600 Message: 36608

Turns out as far has leaving SF Free that looks like its up to me to change the feed status, So I guess I get around it one of these days,
Right now I have it off line for maint.
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, January 29, 2005 02:18
Subject: [bayscan] Firefeed audio

firefeeds audio on both speakers is back to 10-2 George thanks

BTW , did they happen to mention to you how long they are leaving
it free ? or When Sacramento and Detroit are coming on line ?

Ed

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36609. Re: [bayscan] Off-List e-mail e-mailed to me....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 20:15:43 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36607 Message: 36609

Hi Evan,

Another friendly troll reveals him/herself...it would be laughable if it weren't so rude.

-C-

On 1/29/05 11:09 AM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

> Just received the below e-mail from ... well, I won't reveal their AOL
> e-mail address. Not one currently listed on the group - at least I see no
> posts from them.
>
> Interesting that someone tells me I'm making a worthless post to the group
> when I see no posts from them.
>
> So.. Do I need to put up a vote in the voting section?
>
> BTW: I know who the person is.
>
> Has contributed a total of 4 posts in the archive I have - none of them of
> any use.
>
> At 11:01 AM 1/29/2005, you wrote:
>>
>> Do you have any idea how much of a retard you are, and how annoying it
>> is for hundreds of people to see your stupid posts?
>>
>> WHO CARES that you won't normally give out freqs sent to you in private
>> email?!! The point here is that the guy just gave you the freqs you were
>> asking for two months ago! You weren't asked to share them.
>>
>> PLEASE try to find some intelligence, so you'll stop making so many
>> worthless posts to the 500+ members of the group.

36610. Oven Explosion in Corte Madera

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 22:09:35 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36610 Message: 36610

E13, E14, E15, T17, Medic 40 (cancelled), E16 requested by 14, Medic 41.

Control 6 - 46.50 <- Initial dispatch
Control 13 - 46.12 <- Mutual Aid / On scene coordination.
Tactical Blue - 46.08

And this happened really quick but it's sounding like everyone can cancel now except E14 and M41. Check out the file I uploaded: MarinDistrictFireCallsigns.xls for engine assignments.

-Inigo

36611. Re: OT: Pro-96 cloning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 23:08:10 UTC
From: Wayne
Topic: 36508 Message: 36611

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
> I'm going to clone my Pro-96 to a friend who just got his radio. Is
> there any way to change my personal information on the startup
screen
> after the clone or is Win96 the only way to change this information?
>
> Thanks, Jim

You can only modify, from the keypad, the bottom line. The three
upper lines must be done with WIN96. It's FUNC something. It's in the
manual.

Just copy the configuration to another folder and modify it with
WIN96, then clone.

-Wayne

36612. Re: [bayscan] Marine 406MHz EPIRB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-29 23:34:06 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36464 Message: 36612

Here is the scoop in a little more detail:

Types of EPIRBs

Emergency position indicating radiobeacons (EPIRBs), devices which cost from $200 to about $1500, are designed to save your life if you get into trouble by alerting rescue authorities and indicating your location. EPIRB types are described below:
Class A
121.5/243 MHZ. Float-free, automatically-activating, detectable by aircraft and satellite. Coverage is limited. An alert from this device to a rescue coordination center may be delayed 4 - 6 or more hours. No longer recommended.
Class B
121.5/243 MHZ. Manually activated version of Class A. No longer recommended.
Class C
VHF ch15/16. Manually activated, operates on maritime channels only. Not detectable by satellite. These devices have been phased out by the FCC and are no longer recognized.
Class S
121.5/243 MHZ. Similar to Class B, except it floats, or is an integral part of a survival craft. No longer recommended.
Category I
406/121.5 MHZ. Float-free, automatically activated EPIRB. Detectable by satellite anywhere in the world. Recognized by GMDSS.
Category II
406/121.5 MHZ. Similar to Category I, except is manually activated. Some models are also water activated.
Inmarsat E
1646 MHZ. Float-free, automatically activated EPIRB. Detectable by Inmarsat geostationary satellite. Recognized by GMDSS. Currently not sold in the U.S.; however, the Federal Communications Commission is considering recognizing these devices.
121.5/243 MHz EPIRBs

These are the most common and least expensive type of EPIRB, designed to be detected by overflying commercial or military aircraft. Satellites were designed to detect these EPIRBs, but are limited for the following reasons:

Satellite detection range is limited for these EPIRBs (satellites must be within line of sight of both the EPIRB and a ground terminal for detection to occur),
Frequency congestion in the band used by these devices cause a high satellite false alert rate (99.8%); consequently, confirmation is required before search and rescue forces can be deployed,
EPIRBs manufactured before October 1989 may have design or construction problems (e.g. some models will leak and cease operating when immersed in water), or may not be detectable by satellite. Such EPIRBs may no longer be sold,
Because of location ambiguities and frequency congestion in this band, two or more satellite passes are necessary to determine if the signal is from an EPIRB and to determine the location of the EPIRB, delaying rescue by an average of 4 to 6 hours. In some cases, a

rescue can be delayed as long as 12 hours.
COSPAS-SARSAT is expected to cease detecting alerts on 121.5 MHz, perhaps by 2008.

One November 3, 2000, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) announced that satellite processing 121.5/243 MHz emergency beacons will be terminated on February 1, 2009. Class A and B EPIRBs must be phased out by that date. The U.S. Coast Guard no longer recommends these EPIRBs be purchased. See the U.S. Coast Guard Media Advisory on this subject.

Class C EPIRBs

These are manually activated devices intended for pleasure craft which do not venture far offshore and for vessels on the Great Lakes. They transmit a short burst on VHF-FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz) and a longer homing signal on channel 15 (156.75 MHz). Their usefulness depended upon a coast station or another vessel guarding channel 16 and recognizing the brief, recurring tone as an EPIRB. Class C EPIRBs were not recognized outside of the United States, and were no longer recognized in the U.S. after 1999.

406 MHz EPIRBs

The 406 MHz EPIRB was designed to operate with satellites. The signal frequency (406 MHz) has been designated internationally for use only for distress. Other communications and interference, such as on 121.5 MHz, is not allowed on this frequency. Its signal allows a satellite local user terminal to accurately locate the EPIRB (much more accurately -- 2 to 5 km vice 25 km -- than 121.5/243 MHz devices), and identify the vessel (the signal is encoded with the vessel's identity) anywhere in the world (there is no range limitation). These devices are detectable not only by COSPAS-SARSAT satellites which are polar orbiting, but also by geostationary GOES weather satellites. EPIRBs detected by the GEOSTAR system, consisting of GOES and other geostationary satellites, send rescue authorities an instant alert, but without location information unless the EPIRB is equipped with an integral GPS receiver. EPIRBs detected by COSPAS-SARSAT (e.g. TIROS N) satellites provide rescue authorities
location of distress, but location and sometimes alerting may be delayed as much as an hour or two. These EPIRBs also include a 121.5 MHz homing signal, allowing aircraft and rescue craft to quickly find the vessel in distress. These are the only type of EPIRB which must be certified by Coast Guard approved independent laboratories before they can be sold in the United States.

A new type of 406 MHz EPIRB, having an integral GPS navigation receiver, became available in 1998. This EPIRB will send accurate location as well as identification information to rescue authorities immediately upon activation through both geostationary (GEOSAR) and polar orbiting satellites. These types of EPIRB are the best you can buy.

406 MHz emergency locating transmitters (ELTs) for aircraft are currently available. 406 MHz personnel locating beacons (PLBs) are available in Alaska and Canada, and will soon be available throughout the U.S.

The Coast Guard recommends you purchase a 406 MHz EPIRB, preferably one with an integral GPS navigation receiver. A Cat I EPIRB should be purchased if it can be installed properly.

406 MHz GEOSAR System

The major advantage of the 406 MHz low earth orbit system is the provision of global Earth coverage using a limited number of polar-orbiting satellite. Coverage is not continuous,

however, and it may take up to a couple of hours for an EPIRB alert to be received. To overcome this limitation, COSPAS-SARSAT has 406 MHz EPIRB repeaters aboard three geostationary satellites, plus one spare: GOES-W, at 135 deg W; GOES-E, at 75 deg W; INSAT-2A, at 74 deg E; and INSAT-2B (in-orbit spare), at 93.5 deg E. Ground stations capable of receiving 406 MHz. Except for areas between the United Kingdom and Norway, south of the east coast of Australia, and the area surrounding the Sea of Okhotsk near Russia, as well as polar areas, GEOSAR provides continuous global coverage of distress alerts from 406 MHz EPIRBs.

Note that GEOSAR cannot detect 121.5 MHz alerts, nor can it route unregistered 406 MHz alerts to a rescue authority. GEOSAR cannot calculate the location of any alert it receives, unless the beacon has an integral GPS receiver.

The COSPAS-SARSAT System
COSPAS-SARSAT is an international satellite-based search and rescue system established by the U.S., Russia, Canada and France to locate emergency radio beacons transmitting on the frequencies 121.5, 243 and 406 MHZ.
COSPAS
Space System for Search of Distress Vessels (a Russian acronym)
SARSAT
Search and Rescue Satellite-Aided Tracking
Inmarsat E EPIRB

Inmarsat E EPIRBs transmit a distress signal to Inmarsat geostationary satellites which includes a registered identity similar to that of the 406 MHz EPIRB and a location derived from a GPS navigational satellite receiver inside the EPIRB. Inmarsat EPIRBs may be detected anywhere in the world between 70 degrees North latitude and 70 degrees South latitude. Since geostationary satellites are used, alerts are transmitted nearly instantly to a rescue coordination center associated with the Inmarsat coast earth station receiving the alert. Alerts received over the Inmarsat Atlantic Ocean Regions are routed to the Coast Guard Atlantic Area command center in New York, and alerts received over the Inmarsat Pacific Ocean Region are routed to the Coast Guard Pacific Area command center in San Francisco.

J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36613. Fwd: Sonoma Sheriff News Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 01:35:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36613 Message: 36613

for those of you following the r.p incident

Begin forwarded message:

> From: info@...
> Date: Sat Jan 29, 2005 16:54:06 US/Pacific
> To: sheriff-webmaster@...
> Subject: Sonoma Sheriff News Update
> Reply-To: info@...
>
>
> ---
> Rohnert Park Officer Involved Shooting
> ---
> Posted Saturday, January 29, 2005 at 4:54, PM
> Rohnert Park Department of Public Safety□s Officer Involved Shooting
>
> On January 28, 2005, armed and wanted subject Terry Lee Grinner Jr.,
> age 30, was shot and killed by Rohnert Park Department of Public
> Safety (RPDPS) Officer Jacy Tatum after a high speed pursuit. This is
> the second press release on this incident with the first release
> having been issued by the RPDPS. The RPDPS invoked the Sonoma County
> Law Enforcement Employee Involved Fatal Incident Protocol. The Sonoma
> County Sheriff□s Department is the lead agency in the investigation,
> with participation by the Sonoma County District Attorney□s Office.
> Based upon interviews of the involved officers, witnesses and physical
> evidence, the following is an update on information revealed thus far
> by the investigation:
>
> RPDPS Officer Jacy Tatum was hired as a public safety officer in
> December of 2003. He is a long time resident of Rohnert Park and has
> served as a volunteer firefighter for the city before being hired as a
> public safety officer. While on patrol, at approximately 10:11 P.M.,
> Officer Tatum saw a green, 1999, Volkswagen Passat pulling out of the
> parking lot of the A&L Market on Camino Colegio. As he passed the
> vehicle, he made eye contact with the driver, later identified as
> Terry Grinner. He saw Grinner put on his seatbelt and then rapidly
> accelerate, running a stop sign at Southwest Blvd. There were two male
> adults and two female juveniles in the Passat. Officer Tatum turned on
> his emergency lights and siren and attempted to stop the Passat.
>
> Grinner fled at reported speeds of 60 to 80 MPH through residential
> neighborhoods in his attempt to evade police, running through all stop
> signs. The pursuit traveled north on County Club Dr., through the

> intersection at Rohnert Park Expressway, east on Eleanor Ave., and
> then south on Snyder Lane. The pursuit began at 10:12 PM and ended
> four minutes later at 10:16 PM when Grinner lost control of the
> Passat, leaving the road and crashing into a tree. The pursuit covered
> approximately 4 miles in 4 minutes. No one was injured in the crash.
>
> Other police units responded with a total of 5 officers becoming
> involved, one of which was a Sonoma State University Police unit. When
> Grinner crashed, he climbed out of the car with a large revolver in
> his hand, and fled on foot into the Americana Apartment complex,
> located at 4757 Snyder Lane. Remaining in the car were the three
> passengers, a 20 year old male and two 14 year old girls. The girls
> are not believed to be related to the two men.
>
> Three officers pursued Grinner on foot as he fled towards the
> apartment complex. Two were from the RPDPS and the third was a Sonoma
> State University police officer. The closest officer was Officer
> Tatum. Officer Tatum attempted to stop Grinner by using his Taser, but
> it failed to stop Grinner. Officer Tatum continued the foot chase
> discarding the Taser. The foot chase continued into the apartment
> complex with the officers shouting orders for Grinner to stop. Grinner
> continued running until he stumbled and fell, dropping the gun he was
> running with. As the officers closed in on Grinner, he regained his
> footing. Grinner retrieved his gun (later determined to be a loaded
> Smith and Wesson model 19, .357 Caliber magnum revolver) and started
> turning towards the officers, who were spread out behind him. The
> officers saw Grinner turning his gun in their direction. Officer Tatum
> was with in ten feet of Grinner. Fearing for his own safety and that
> of the other officers, Officer Tatum !
> fired two shots from his department issued .40 caliber Glock 23,
> striking Grinner at least once in the upper torso. Grinner collapsed
> where he was and the officers kicked his revolver away from him.
>
> From dispatch computer records the time line indicates that from the
> initiation of the pursuit at 10:12 PM until the shots were fired at
> 10:17 PM, 4 minutes and 54 seconds elapsed, 4 minutes of vehicle
> pursuit and approximately 54 seconds until the report of shots fired
> was radioed.
>
> Grinner was transported by paramedics to the Trauma Center at Santa
> Rosa Memorial Hospital where he was pronounced dead. The 20 year old
> man and the two 14 year old girls who were in the Passat were
> questioned and released.
>
> Accounts in the media have one witness making statements that are not
> consistent with what the investigation has revealed thus far. The
> evidence and interviews with other witnesses reveal that Grinner did
> not continue running after being shot, but collapsed where he was. The
> location of the shooting, as determined by both physical evidence

> (such as the spent shell casings from Officer Tatum's gun) as well as
> witness statements also does not support that witness□s account as
> reported in the media. Investigators did interview that witness and
> his perspective was taken into consideration during the course of the
> investigation. Two shots were fired by Officer Tatum. No evidence
> could be located indicating where those bullets impacted other than
> the injury to Grinner. An autopsy has been scheduled for Monday,
> January 31, 2005.
>
> In an effort to understand why Grinner chose to flee from police, his
> background is being investigated. Grinner, a resident of Santa Rosa,
> has a long history of contacts with law enforcement. At the time of
> this incident, there was a $10,000 warrant out for his arrest. The
> warrant was issued on December 6, 2004, for failing to appear on a
> case involving numerous felony charges. Those charges included 206
> P.C. (Kidnapping), 273.5 P.C. (Domestic Violence), 422 P.C. (Threats
> of death or great bodily injury), 243(e) P.C. (Domestic Battery),
> 261.5 P.C. (Unlawful Sexual Intercourse with a minor), 203 P.C.
> (Mayhem), 207 (a) P.C. (Kidnapping) 242 P.C. (Battery) and 286(c)(3)
> P.C. (Sodomy). It was also noted on the warrant that Grinner was a
> certified member of a gang.
>
> The investigation is continuing. When more information becomes
> available that can be released, it will be in the form a press
> release. For any further information, contact Sonoma County Sheriff□s
> Lt. Roger V. Rude at 707-565-1125.
>
>

==========
www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

36614. SPS 1530PST

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 03:09:54 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 36614 Message: 36614

A friend stated that around 1500 plus Sunnyvale Public Saftey has
closed off / barricaded Sunnyvale Ave.& Washington Ave for someting
going on in the Apt.Complex in the SE section from the intersection.
Lots of SPS cars in area.
He could not catch any traffic.
Anyone know about this?

36615. Re: [bayscan] Fwd: Sonoma Sheriff News Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 03:31:46 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36613 Message: 36615

You get to do all that and still be out on bail?! To quote Yakov Smirnoff, "What a country!"

nina zhito wrote:
<snip>

At the time of
>>this incident, there was a $10,000 warrant out for his arrest. The
>>warrant was issued on December 6, 2004, for failing to appear on a
>>case involving numerous felony charges. Those charges included 206
>>P.C. (Kidnapping), 273.5 P.C. (Domestic Violence), 422 P.C. (Threats
>>of death or great bodily injury), 243(e) P.C. (Domestic Battery),
>>261.5 P.C. (Unlawful Sexual Intercourse with a minor), 203 P.C.
>>(Mayhem), 207 (a) P.C. (Kidnapping) 242 P.C. (Battery) and 286(c)(3)
>>P.C. (Sodomy). It was also noted on the warrant that Grinner was a
>>certified member of a gang.

36616. questions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 18:06:14 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36616 Message: 36616

I have been hearing lately that some people would like to able to speak with someone about their radios or programming stuff.

So I'll be nice for anyone who is on Nextel you may DC (chirp) me and I'll see what I can do to help.

If you would like to be able to chirp me please send me an e-mail OFF-LIST at scanner4@... or scanner4@... (I would everyone to use the Gmail account (bigger file storage).

Also if you would like a gmail account please contact me at the above gmail address

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36617. Re: [bayscan] Firefeed audio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 17:47:25 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36600 Message: 36617

BTW , did they happen to mention to you how long they are leaving
it free ?

That was up to me, but I got a notice from them yesterday asking me to change it within two weeks, and I can change it as I see fit (like large fires and so on.) but they want the money (and so do I) so TWO WEEKS is the anwser you are looking for.

Scan_Boss (George H.)
Scanner4@... (and loving Gmail)

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36618. Possible Shooting - Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 18:52:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36618 Message: 36618

Santa Clara Fire and Police working a possible shooting at 624 Viader Court and Murguia Avenue.

Suspect has nine years military experience with a .357 registered to him and possibly suffering from mental illness.

Santa Clara PD units on scene (partial)

500
400
401
404
405
410
420

TALK GROUP 16 for PD

-C-

36619. Re: [bayscan] Possible Shooting - Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 18:56:13 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36618 Message: 36619

UPDATE - RP is the wife of the victim and mother of suspect. The victim (father) just called PD and advised he has been shot three times in the stomach and the son's location is unknown, but probably still in the house.

UNITS ON SCENE

500
400
401
404
405
410
420

-C-

On 1/30/05 10:52 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> Santa Clara Fire and Police working a possible shooting at 624 Viader Court
> and Murguia Avenue.
>
> Suspect has nine years military experience with a .357 registered to him and
> possibly suffering from mental illness.
>
>
> Santa Clara PD units on scene (partial)
>
> 500
> 400
> 401
> 404
> 405
> 410
> 420
>
> TALK GROUP 16 for PD
>
> -C-

36620. Re: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 19:20:50 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36575 Message: 36620

At 08:23 PM 1/28/2005, you wrote:
>There is no tone on 153.965 (bridge).

How annoying.... Also, I've noticed there's about a 10 second transmit hang after dispatch unkeys - carrier only, no modulation. Is that because of the bridge?

And I assume it's a one way (800 - VHF) only bridge, not VHF - 800?

Evan

36621. Re: [bayscan] Possible Shooting - Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 19:24:22 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36618 Message: 36621

Santa Clara PD supervisor requesting that dispatch notify Sunnyvale that they may need to help out on priority calls for the duration of this incident.

UPDATE - UNITS ON SCENE

500
PD unit on scene has made phone contact with victim - requesting the victim come out front door, but unable to walk and will try to crawl as far as he can. Suspect last seen going into bedroom - unknown if shot with handgun or rifle at this time.

PD will breech window as victim has crawled into living room - PD to supply cover fire for victim in case suspect attempts to press attack (holding this information until appropriate time just to be safe). Victim has been extricated from home.

SANTA CLARA PD UNITS ON SCENE

500
400
401
403
404
405
406
410
420
448

SANTA CLARA FIRE UNITS

ENGINE 4
MEDIC 1

Santa Clara Fire - 153.965 (bridge)
PD Talk Group - 16 (trunk)

36622. Re: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 19:25:12 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36575 Message: 36622

Yup, that carrier is insanely annoying - not sure why it's so long. And it
is a one-way bridge.

On 1/30/05 11:20 AM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

> How annoying.... Also, I've noticed there's about a 10 second transmit hang
> after dispatch unkeys - carrier only, no modulation. Is that because of the
> bridge?
>
> And I assume it's a one way (800 - VHF) only bridge, not VHF - 800?

36623. Re: [bayscan] Possible Shooting - Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 19:29:51 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36618 Message: 36623

Again, rescue made. SRT gearing up for their part of the operation.

SANTA CLARA PD UNITS ON SCENE (update)

500
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
410
419
420
448

> PD unit on scene has made phone contact with victim - requesting the victim
> come out front door, but unable to walk and will try to crawl as far as he
> can. Suspect last seen going into bedroom - unknown if shot with handgun or
> rifle at this time.
>
> PD will breech window as victim has crawled into living room - PD to supply
> cover fire for victim in case suspect attempts to press attack (holding this
> information until appropriate time just to be safe). Victim has been
> extricated from home.
>
> SANTA CLARA PD UNITS ON SCENE
>
> 500
> 400
> 401
> 402
> 403
> 404
> 405
> 406
> 410
> 419
> 420
> 448
>
> SANTA CLARA FIRE UNITS
>
> ENGINE 4
> MEDIC 1

>
> Santa Clara Fire - 153.965 (bridge)
> PD Talk Group - 16 (trunk)

36624. Re: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 19:34:04 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 36575 Message: 36624

Is Santa Clara city FD 153.965 (R) 131.8, with 155.025 input. And is Santa Clara city Water Dept still using 153.44 (R) 131.8 with and input of 158.145 ???

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36625. Re: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 19:38:53 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36575 Message: 36625

Negative. All Santa Clara municipal departments are on trunked system except for the SCFD bridge to VHF 153.965. And since San Jose Fire Dispatch is 155.025 (output), it's reasonable to assume that you have some pretty old data there.

Cheers!

-C-

On 1/30/05 11:34 AM, "Mike McKenna" <way2twohot@...> wrote:

> Is Santa Clara city FD 153.965 (R) 131.8, with 155.025 input. And is Santa
> Clara city Water Dept still using 153.44 (R) 131.8 with and input of 158.145
> ???

36626. Re: [bayscan] Possible Shooting - Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 19:39:30 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36618 Message: 36626

Surrounding homes are being evacuated at this time.

Suspect called ex-girlfriend and talked to her mother expressing that he had put away the gun and is now ready to come out. According to the ex the subject has a pattern of violence followed by passive behavior (bi-polar).

SANTA CLARA PD UNITS ON SCENE (update)

500
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
410
419
420
448
620

This will be the last update on this incident unless the situation warrants.

-C-

On 1/30/05 11:29 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

> Again, rescue made. SRT gearing up for their part of the operation.
>
> SANTA CLARA PD UNITS ON SCENE (update)
>
> 500
> 400
> 401
> 402
> 403
> 404
> 405
> 406
> 410
> 419
> 420
> 448
>
>> PD unit on scene has made phone contact with victim - requesting the victim
>> come out front door, but unable to walk and will try to crawl as far as he

>> can. Suspect last seen going into bedroom - unknown if shot with handgun or
>> rifle at this time.
>>
>> PD will breech window as victim has crawled into living room - PD to supply
>> cover fire for victim in case suspect attempts to press attack (holding this
>> information until appropriate time just to be safe). Victim has been
>> extricated from home.
>>
>> SANTA CLARA PD UNITS ON SCENE
>>
>> 500
>> 400
>> 401
>> 402
>> 403
>> 404
>> 405
>> 406
>> 410
>> 419
>> 420
>> 448
>>
>> SANTA CLARA FIRE UNITS
>>
>> ENGINE 4
>> MEDIC 1
>>
>> Santa Clara Fire - 153.965 (bridge)
>> PD Talk Group - 16 (trunk)
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36627. Re: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 19:53:11 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 36575 Message: 36627

Thanks Craig.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36628. Re: [bayscan] PL for Santa Clara Fire??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 20:08:29 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36575 Message: 36628

I believe Santa Clara kept the VHF bridge for the volunteers, as they still have VHF pagers.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36629. San Jose Fire Department Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 21:53:04 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36629 Message: 36629

This is for Ed, and others with knowledge of local (South Bay) fire departments...

Can you do me a huge favor and let me know how accurate the data on this site is?

http://www.scancal.org/clara/jose_fire.html

I am trying to clean up an apparatus/asset list for my records.

Thanks for your help and input!

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

36630. REDWOOD CITY - 2nd ALARM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 22:53:21 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36630 Message: 36630

28 Finger Avenue (at El Camino Real), Redwood City for a tree fire that has spread to a structure.

PARTIAL LIST OF RESPONDING UNITS

BC1
BC3
BC4
BE16
BS9
E9
E10
E11
E15

CONTROL 1 - 153.890
TAC IS CONTROL 32 - 153.830

-C-

36631. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Department Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 23:49:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36629 Message: 36631

Craig , that list is REAL good , its been updated since the last time I saw it with the specialities of the USARs. But, there are a few corrections

Station 2 now had Rescue-Medic 2 (STAR Car) and NO light unit
Station 29 NO longer has a Rescue-Medic (STAR Car) but now has a light unit (as you can guess, these above stations switched) the reason was the closing of San Jose hospital closing ((and the loss of the trauma center)) . Instead of tying up an east side Engine which may send their paramedic with AMR to VMC and then having to drive all the way over their to pick him/her up. Then send a STAR car and use THEIR paramedic and send the STAR Car to VMC to pick him/her up therefore not tying up an Engine Co. that long

The Airport (Station 20) has a new rig Engine 20D

those are the only changes I know of.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36632. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Department Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 23:53:53 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 36629 Message: 36632

Lets not forget Station 13 has the Water Tender from Station 22.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36633. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Department Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 23:55:41 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 36629 Message: 36633

Whoops didn't see that one on the list, my bad! WT13 was listed...

Craig Tomasello <iawireman@...> wrote:Lets not forget Station 13 has the Water Tender from Station 22.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36634. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Department Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-30 23:59:59 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36629 Message: 36634

BTW , Regional Hospital is working on becoming a trauma
center.....anyone know much about this hospital.... i.e. do they have
a
place for a helispot ? Craig ? John ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36635. SANTA CLARA - STABBING

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 00:18:22 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36635 Message: 36635

3533 Oxford Drive and Dominican Drive

17 year-old male stabbed in the chest (single wound) experiencing severe bleeding. CPR instructions being delivered via dispatch to the suspect (brother).

Partial List of Responding Units

605
612
614
621

Santa Clara Police Department - Talkgroup 16

36636. Re: [bayscan] SANTA CLARA - STABBING

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 00:29:10 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36635 Message: 36636

Doesn't look good for the victim. CPR still in progress with suspect
(brother) in custody and en route to police station.

All Santa Clara day shift units being held over until further notice.

Responding Units (PD and FIRE):

500
601
604
605
609
612
614
621
649

MEDIC 5
ENGINE 3

Santa Clara Police Department - Talkgroup 16
Santa Clara Fire Department - 153.965 (VHF Bridge)

Last post on this incident unless conditions warrant.

On 1/30/05 4:18 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> 3533 Oxford Drive and Dominican Drive
>
> 17 year-old male stabbed in the chest (single wound) experiencing severe
> bleeding. CPR instructions being delivered via dispatch to the suspect
> (brother).
>
> Partial List of Responding Units

36637. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Department Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 00:26:20 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36629 Message: 36637

Hi guys,

From a Mercury News article:

"Regional wants to add up to 108 beds to the 204 it now operates. Also on the drawing board: new operating rooms for advanced cardiac surgery and neurosurgery, cancer care services, a high-level neonatal intensive care unit, a bigger emergency room with a ROOFTOP HELIPAD, a new trauma center, private rooms in the labor and delivery unit, an expanded nursery and a medical office building housing up to 60 doctors and an outpatient surgery center."

Sounds like nothing in place, yet.

-C-

On 1/30/05 3:59 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
> BTW , Regional Hospital is working on becoming a trauma
> center.....anyone know much about this hospital.... i.e.
> do they have a
> place for a helispot ? Craig ? John ??
>
> ED

36638. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Department Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 00:32:21 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36629 Message: 36638

ED - Thanks-a-million! I appreciate your willingness to take a gander at
that page and provide input.

-C-

On 1/30/05 3:49 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

>
> Craig , that list is REAL good , its been updated since the last time I saw
> it with the specialities of the USARs. But, there are a few corrections
>
>
> Station 2 now had Rescue-Medic 2 (STAR Car) and NO light unit
> Station 29 NO longer has a Rescue-Medic (STAR Car) but now has a light unit
> (as you can guess, these above stations switched) the reason was the
> closing of San Jose hospital closing ((and the loss of the trauma center)) .
> Instead of tying up an east side Engine which may send their paramedic with
> AMR
> to VMC and then having to drive all the way over their to pick him/her up.
> Then
> send a STAR car and use THEIR paramedic and send the STAR Car to VMC to pick
> him/her up therefore not tying up an Engine Co. that long
>
> The Airport (Station 20) has a new rig Engine 20D
>
>
> those are the only changes I know of.
>
> ED

36639. San Rafael Fire Apparatus

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 03:37:12 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36639 Message: 36639

Hey guys, I'm running out of Marin county fire departments to ask about, but I also made up a list doing a little research about their stations. Since we're in the fire apparatus mood tonight, can anyone help me correct it and fill in the blanks?

Thank you very much in advance, and I'll upload it to bayscan files like I did the last one once I know it's correct.

-Inigo

San Rafael Fire Department:

Station 51: 1039 C Street (HQ)

-Engine 51
-Medic 51

Station 52: 210 3rd St.

-Engine 52

Station 54: 46 Castro St.

-Engine 54
-EOC Trailer (according to their website)

Station 55: 955 Pt San Pedro Rd.

-Engine 55
-Truck 55
-USAR (#?)

Station 56: 650 Del Ganado Rd.

-Engine 56
-Rescue 56

Station 57: 3530 Civic Ctr. Dr.

-Engine 57
-Truck 57

P.S. - Obviously there's more (Bat. Chiefs, Utilities, etc.), can anyone help? Also does anyone have info on Novato? Then I'm out of questions. :) San Rafael's website is http://www.srfd.org .

36640. Re: [bayscan] San Rafael Fire Apparatus

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 03:40:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36639 Message: 36640

With budgets the way they are , I wonder if SRFD can man two truck companies
?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36641. Re: San Rafael Fire Apparatus

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 04:04:16 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36639 Message: 36641

I saw T57 today at Northgate Mall, and I think I've heard 55
dispatched on the scanner before, but I know it's pretty new. As far
as whether their both staffed at once, I'm not sure. Maybe the person
who knows can also help me confirm the unit assignments. :)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> With budgets the way they are , I wonder if SRFD can man two truck
companies
> ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36642. Re: San Rafael Fire Apparatus

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 05:50:55 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36639 Message: 36642

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > With budgets the way they are , I wonder if SRFD can man two truck companies?
> >
> > ED

FYI: San Rafael Fire cross-staffs the aerial truck apparatus and engine at the two *truck stations*. There are three personnel at the station, and quite often when a truck response is called, two take the engine and one takes the truck for a tandem response. I also believe that on some responses, all three go on the truck.

As for Truck 55: I heard it has been moved to Station 4 (=Truck 54), but I'm not 100% certain.

As I remember, the reserve apparatus is: Station 1 - E-512, M-512, Station 6 - Medic 562. There used to be another reserve engine at 3 (E-532), but I'm not sure now.
Utility 52 (hazmat) is at Station 2, and the Hose Tender (HT) was probably moved to Station 5 when the Truck was moved from 5 to 4.

36643. Re: another MERA Fire TG confirmation 28976

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 06:02:03 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36605 Message: 36643

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> Just heard some chatter on this TG and the conversation ended
with "Novato
> Station 5 clear". According to Novato Fire's link
> http://www.novatofire.org/stations.aspx Station 5 is a new station
out at
> Hamilton Air Force Base....err, I mean the "Hamilton housing
project" or
> whatever they call it. It'll always be HAFB to me. :-)
>
> -Roger 9:56 hours
>
Novato Fire Station 5 is the *beta* test site for the new Motorola
SCADA-based FIRESCAD station alerting system. All Marin fire
stations will be on this system with the implementation of MERA.

36644. RE: [bayscan] Re: another MERA Fire TG confirmation 28976

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 06:20:07 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36605 Message: 36644

Thanks Erik, I couldn't figure out at first if it was the Radio Shop or what because they were talking about Motorola portables that were going to become "front line" etc. Please fill us in on how the SCADA-based FIRESCAD station alerting system works as you learn more. I'm curious as to how it interacts with MERA....

OT: here's a good link about Hamilton Air Force Base and it's history. :-)
http://www.militarymuseum.org/HamiltonAFB.html

-Roger 22:20
-----Original Message-----
From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@...]
Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 10:02 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: another MERA Fire TG confirmation 28976

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> Just heard some chatter on this TG and the conversation ended
with "Novato
> Station 5 clear". According to Novato Fire's link
> http://www.novatofire.org/stations.aspx Station 5 is a new station
out at
> Hamilton Air Force Base....err, I mean the "Hamilton housing
project" or
> whatever they call it. It'll always be HAFB to me. :-)
>
> -Roger 9:56 hours
>
Novato Fire Station 5 is the *beta* test site for the new Motorola SCADA-based FIRESCAD station alerting system. All Marin fire stations will be on this system with the implementation of MERA.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36645. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Rafael Fire Apparatus

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 07:17:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36639 Message: 36645

Yeah, I thought in these times something like that would occur. Seems only the mid to large cities can afford to man two trucks i.e. Hayward, Fremont , Santa Clara and of course SFFD ,Oak and SJ plus the County depts like ALCO and S.Clara
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36646. FIRESCAD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 07:48:58 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36646 Message: 36646

yikes, what is a SCADA based FIRESCAD alerting system?

<<Novato Fire Station 5 is the *beta* test site for the new Motorola SCADA-based FIRESCAD station alerting system. All Marin fire stations will be on this system with the implementation of MERA.>>

nina

36647. Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 09:17:55 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 3309 Message: 36647

Sunnyvale DPS with a pursuit / no foot bail @ 900 Henderson ,
mobilehome park. suspect may have run into space 37 according to
witness. Wanted for traffic violations so far.

HMA 5-7 200# black clothes

0117 hours

482.9625

unknown if the trailer in question was occupied yet.......

36648. Re: Sunnyvale Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 10:01:18 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 3309 Message: 36648

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
>
>
> Sunnyvale DPS with a pursuit / no foot bail @ 900 Henderson ,
> mobilehome park. suspect may have run into space 37 according to
> witness. Wanted for traffic violations so far.
>

suspect ran into one of the mobilehomes , now in
custody has $50,000.00 worth of warrants from San Jose

0200 hours.....going to bed !!

36649. links and short descriptions of On-line scanners

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 11:07:15 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36649 Message: 36649

I am rebuilding and adding new area's on my website and I Need links and short descriptions of On-line scanners any help would be appreciated.
Rocdad
Bob

36650. Extrication Sunnyvale-Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 14:55:23 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36650 Message: 36650

2 car MVA with extrication. Homestead @ Lawrence Expressway
1 Major Trauma Victim
2 Minor Victims

Sunnyvale E4,SQ4,T2,R2,BC
Santa Clara 2 engines, BC
AMR 510, AMR 440

6:10AM

36651. Re: FIRESCAD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 16:40:35 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36646 Message: 36651

Nina,

I've never heard of FIRESCAD although I assume it's a computer aided dispatch system of some type. SCADA I know about since I did quite a bit of work with SCADA systems over the years. It stands for Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition. It's most often used in industrial applications and particularly in the utility industry. It consists of remote sensors that tell if something like a dam gate is up or down and plots a history of the device movements or mechanical use. The information is transmitted back to a central computer, either by landline or a radio telemetry system.

I've never heard of SCADA being used for things like fire trucks but it's actually an intriguing possibility with the radio technology that's available today. With the right sensors, you could tell when a hose was hooked to a hydrant, when water started to flow, and how much total water was deliverd. SCADA could also monitor all the mechanical systems on an engine and track their hours of use. With this information, it would be much easier and more cost effective to schedule maintenance and replacement of systems.

I have no idea if this is what SCADA means in this case but I'm interested in hearing about it also.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> yikes, what is a SCADA based FIRESCAD alerting system?
>
> <<Novato Fire Station 5 is the *beta* test site for the new Motorola
> SCADA-based FIRESCAD station alerting system. All Marin fire
> stations will be on this system with the implementation of MERA.>>
>
> nina

36652. Re: [bayscan] FIRESCAD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 17:57:23 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36646 Message: 36652

nina zhito wrote:
> yikes, what is a SCADA based FIRESCAD alerting system?
>
> <<Novato Fire Station 5 is the *beta* test site for the new Motorola
> SCADA-based FIRESCAD station alerting system. All Marin fire
> stations will be on this system with the implementation of MERA.>>
>
> nina

I suspect "FIRESCAD" is the Motorola trade name for their new alerting system (like "Motran" and "Micor" were names for series of radios).

With the FCC mandating narrowband, the methods of personnel alerting will be changing to meet the new playing field.

Don

36653. FIRESCAD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 18:30:51 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36646 Message: 36653

don, thanks for your thoughts.

who is being alerted to what here? can you or someone give me a
summary of fcc narrow-band allocation (for dummies)?

On Monday, Jan 31, 2005, at 09:57 US/Pacific, Don Root wrote:

>
> nina zhito wrote:
>> yikes, what is a SCADA based FIRESCAD alerting system?
>>
>> <<Novato Fire Station 5 is the *beta* test site for the new Motorola
>> SCADA-based FIRESCAD station alerting system. All Marin fire
>> stations will be on this system with the implementation of MERA.>>
>>
>> nina
>
> I suspect "FIRESCAD" is the Motorola trade name for their new alerting
> system (like "Motran" and "Micor" were names for series of radios).
>
> With the FCC mandating narrowband, the methods of personnel alerting
> will be changing to meet the new playing field.
>
> Don
>
==========
www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443
(707) 338-3604

36654. Re: [bayscan] links and short descriptions of On-line scanners

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 20:04:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36649 Message: 36654

does that mean your porn sites ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36655. Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 21:11:34 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36655 Message: 36655

Report of an Asian female bleeding from the nose and arm sitting in a car about three miles toward Mt. Madonna on Hecker Pass Road near Pole Line Road. RP said he is unable to rouse the subject and she has been there for at least an hour. I didn't catch the disposition of the victim, but didn't sound positive.

Incident is working on 156.210

Sheriff Units

7G10
71G6
71G1
71G4

BOL to be passed to Santa Cruz County Sheriff to stop any pedestrian walking down Hecker Pass Highway (152) and that the suspect is possibly armed.

7B10 will be bringing a SAT-PHONE from the station to the scene.

Anyone have any additional on this one?

-C-

36656. 2nd alarm Redwood City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 21:23:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36656 Message: 36656

Best Western Motel , fire in Storage room . 25- 5th avenue @ El Camino Real . Sounds like its confined to storage room on 1st floor of 2 story motel

1st alarm) E 3 - 5 - 6 T1 BC 1

2nd alarm) E 11 - 1- 4 T9 BS9 BC2-3

1323 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36657. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 21:51:15 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36655 Message: 36657

At 01:11 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:

>Report of an Asian female bleeding from the nose and arm sitting in a car
>about three miles toward Mt. Madonna on Hecker Pass Road near Pole Line
>Road.

Hopefully they will be checking the campgrounds at Mt. Madonna as well, which is just off Pole Line Rd.

SCCO Parks 151.145

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36658. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 22:48:22 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36655 Message: 36658

Anymore on this call??? was she an 802??? if an 802 was it suicide???anything for the BOLO???
----- Original Message -----
From: KG6VXT
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 13:51
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

At 01:11 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:

>Report of an Asian female bleeding from the nose and arm sitting in a car
>about three miles toward Mt. Madonna on Hecker Pass Road near Pole Line
>Road.

Hopefully they will be checking the campgrounds at Mt. Madonna as well, which is just off Pole Line Rd.

SCCO Parks 151.145

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36659. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 23:10:34 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36655 Message: 36659

Not a single peep heard since my original post. Definitely not suicide as there was the original BOL for anyone walking down Hecker Pass into Santa Cruz County.

Weird!

-C-

1/31/05 2:48 PM, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...> wrote:

> Anymore on this call??? was she an 802??? if an 802 was it suicide???anything
> for the BOLO???

36660. Re: Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 23:26:22 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36655 Message: 36660

any one monitoring med 91 or sccfd? we dont even know if she was 10-
55 or transported do we?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> Not a single peep heard since my original post. Definitely not
suicide as
> there was the original BOL for anyone walking down Hecker Pass into
Santa
> Cruz County.
>
> Weird!
>
> -C-
>
> 1/31/05 2:48 PM, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@g...> wrote:
>
> > Anymore on this call??? was she an 802??? if an 802 was it
suicide???anything
> > for the BOLO???

36661. Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-31 23:40:01 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36655 Message: 36661

Nope - I never heard AMR respond, but did hear CDF send an engine.

On 1/31/05 3:26 PM, "stfucode3" <Charger@...> wrote:

> any one monitoring med 91 or sccfd? we dont even know if she was 10-
> 55 or transported do we?

36662. Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 01:02:53 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36662 Message: 36662

NB 85 1/4 mile south of Fremont for two major injury accidents. One vehicle on its side. Unknown status of patients.

Incident working on the following:

Sunnyvale Fire - 482.7125
Mountain View Fire - 154.025
County Mutual Aid - 153.845

Partial List of Resources:

SUNNYVALE

E1
R2
S3
E3
T1

E4
S6
M7
B20

MV

T1

-C-

36663. Fw: News Article on Blind Man with a Gun

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 02:02:24 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 36663 Message: 36663

This is too weird! Did anyone hear this whenit came down? Jim
>
> >Man fires shots, breaks into senior complex
> >
> >The blind suspect mistakenly thought neighbors were in pursuit, police
> >say.
> >
> >By Mareva Brown -- Bee Staff Writer
> >Published 2:15 am PST Tuesday, January 25, 2005
> >A blind, terrified 29-year-old Pocket man who was mistakenly convinced
> >he was being pursued by angry neighbors, broke into a senior housing
> >community before dawn Saturday, screaming for help and shooting a gun at
> >his imaginary pursuers.
> >
> >"Miraculously, no one was hurt," said Sacramento Police Sgt. Justin
> >Risley. "But there were a lot of scared residents."
> >
> > Michael Savage was found by police crumpled on the ground in front of
> >one resident's door and was arrested on charges of unlawfully possessing
> >a handgun and shooting into an occupied dwelling.
> >
> >He told police he was being chased by his downstairs neighbors from a
> >nearby Greenhaven Drive apartment complex. Savage said the neighbors had
> >become infuriated after he asked them at 6:30 a.m. to turn down their
> >loud music.
> >
> >Convinced they were coming after him with a gun, Savage leaped from the
> >second-floor balcony of his apartment, armed with a handgun and began
> >running, Risley said.
> >
> >Shooting wildly behind him, Savage raced across a field and into The
> >Fountains at Greenhaven, a new upscale apartment complex for seniors at
> >1180 Corporate Way, where he broke in through a tenant's apartment
> >window, cutting himself badly, Risley said.
> >
> >"He's bleeding significantly, and he's running down the halls, banging
> >on doors, asking people to help him," Risley said.
> >
> >"Unfortunately, he's got a gun and he thinks people are chasing him. And
> >he's legally blind. So he fires a couple of shots down the hallway.
> >Fortunately, he doesn't hurt anybody."
> >
> >Resident Carolyn Fall heard Savage's cries for help and imagined one of
> >her frail neighbors in need. But when she stepped into the middle of the
> >hallway in her pink bathrobe, she was stunned to see the man turn and

> >level a gun at her head.
> >
> >She doesn't know how she was able to jump back inside her apartment so
> >quickly. But she won't soon forget the sound of the gun blast or the
> >ricochet of the bullet as it whizzed through a wall at the end of the
> >hallway and into a neighbor's microwave.
> >
> >"I don't see how he could be blind," she said. "He took perfect aim at
> >my head."
> >
> >Another resident said Savage left a trail of blood on the doors of the
> >first-floor apartments.
> >
> >The manager of the 160-unit gated complex refused to discuss the
> >incident.
> >
> >Risley said residents who heard the gunshots and Savage pleading for
> >help called Sacramento police at about 7 a.m. When officers arrived,
> >Savage told them he was shooting in self-defense.
> >
> >But when officers retraced his steps, they could find no evidence that
> >anyone other than Savage had fired a gun.
> >
> >"We could not verify that three people were chasing him and that they
> >were also inside the old folks home," Risley said.
> >
> >They did, however, find marijuana and money at the home of the three
> >residents who live below Savage. The trio - Alejandro Vuittonet, 21;
> >Robert Vuittonet, 23; and Theodore Sanchez, 22 - were arrested on
> >charges of possessing drugs for sale.
> >
> >"I'm thankful it went the way it did," said Fall, the 68-year-old
> >would-be victim. "Because he could have killed several little old
> >people."
> >
> >About the writer:
> >List of 1 items
> >The Bee's Mareva Brown can be reached at (916) 321-1088 or
> >mbrown@....
> >
> >Copyright (c) The Sacramento Bee
>

36664. Foster City PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 02:34:26 UTC
From: Norman Worthington
Topic: 36664 Message: 36664

Greetings all --

Anybody know if Foster City PD changed Frequencies or PL tones? I haven't heard them on 488.9375 for a couple of days (at least).

Thanks,

Norm

36665. Re: [bayscan] Foster City PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 02:40:35 UTC
From: AC6JA@AOL.COM
Topic: 36664 Message: 36665

i think they went on the new digital system in san mateo county but not sure what the new talkgroup is?
any info would be greatly appreciated!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36666. Re: [bayscan] Foster City PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 02:48:48 UTC
From: Mike Hacke
Topic: 36664 Message: 36666

No they did not go to the new trunk system they are still conventional new frequency is 488.6125 pl 162.2 there old channel they shared with Daly City PD it was time ti change

Mike
----- Original Message -----
From: <AC6JA@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 6:40 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Foster City PD

>
> i think they went on the new digital system in san mateo county but not
> sure
> what the new talkgroup is?
> any info would be greatly appreciated!
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36667. Re: [bayscan] Foster City PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 03:03:53 UTC
From: Norman Worthington
Topic: 36664 Message: 36667

Got em on the 6125 channel.

Thanks guys!

Norm

Mike Hacke <hackyman@...> wrote:
No they did not go to the new trunk system they are still conventional new
frequency is 488.6125 pl 162.2 there old channel they shared with Daly
City PD it was time ti change

Mike
----- Original Message -----
From: <AC6JA@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 6:40 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Foster City PD

>
> i think they went on the new digital system in san mateo county but not
> sure
> what the new talkgroup is?
> any info would be greatly appreciated!
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36668. OT: Animal Cops on San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 03:34:23 UTC
From: Just Joe
Topic: 36668 Message: 36668

Hi

Animal Cops, the show on Animal Planet that follows various Animal Control officers from around the country features SF Animal Control at least for this week.

Animal Cops is on daily, 7pm and 10pm on The Dish Network, I don't know if cable has a different airtime or not. Kind of an interesting look at Animal Control and the SFPD.

So far on the first night they have been to Visitacion Valley, the projects adjacent to St Mary's along 280.

FYI
Joe

http://www.joewo.com
http://joewo.textamerica.com

36669. Anyone looking for a whalen Cadet Responder light?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 03:57:15 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36669 Message: 36669

I have a Whalen Cadet responder light - dual speed with a mirror. Smallish rotatting light, dual speed, with a mirror (detachable) to double the flash rate. 2 pieces of the mirror are broken (it's not all one piece - it's like 6 mirrored pieces on each side - so you could get replacements). And it takes lenses, so you have 2 piece lenses, and then if you use the mirror, you can have the front red, the back blue, and then as it hits the mirror it's the second color.

Anyone interested? Anything interesting to trade, let me know.

ESP

36670. Re: [bayscan] FIRESCAD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 04:54:22 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36646 Message: 36670

nina zhito wrote:
> don, thanks for your thoughts.
>
> who is being alerted to what here? can you or someone give me a
> summary of fcc narrow-band allocation (for dummies)?

No problem.

In this case, the comm center with fire dispatch responsibility is signaling individual fire stations to advise them of calls. (You may remember the TV show "EMERGENCY!" and the series of alerting tones that prefaced each dispatch sending our heroes off on another adventure.) In other locations, the technology is used to trigger public warning sirens in Contra Costa County, or along the tsunami run-up zones on the Hawaiian islands.

- - -

In order to provide more spectrum for public safety agencies (and others), the FCC has decided that the amount of spectrum that land mobile signals occupy will be reduced in half (and there is a proposal for half that again when the technology will support it), and the space between channels will be halved. Visualize today's signals as a 2" pipe carrying water. Tomorrow's "narrowband" signals would be a 1.25" pipe (and in the future, a .625" pipe). We can decode the 1.25" pipe's signal in our current receiver designs. If we go digital, we can do more with the 1.25" pipe's signal.

When is all of this happening? Some has started now - all new systems are supposed to be narrowband. Existing systems have until 1/1/2013 to convert.

Don

36671. Re: FIRESCAD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 05:08:19 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36646 Message: 36671

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> don, thanks for your thoughts.
>
> who is being alerted to what here? can you or someone give me a
> summary of fcc narrow-band allocation (for dummies)?
>
FIRESCAD is a SCADA-based fire station alerting system that Motorola has developed from their main SCADA-based system, MOSCAD <http://www.motorola.com/cgiss/moscad.shtml>

The basic function is to *ringdown* fire stations and activate alarms and voice speakers. This allows the personnel at the station to be alerted of a response dispatch. Other ancillary functions that can be performed by FIRESCAD are the turning on of lights in the sleeping quarters, the shutting off of cooking devices, and the acknowledgement of receiving a dispatch.

As for the NTIA/FCC narrowband specs, the MERA system does meet the narrowband (12.5 KHz channel spacing) specs, but the use of FIRESCAD is not necessarily required on narrowband frequencies. It's more of something that Motorola sold the the County of Marin, like the digital modulation capability.

36672. San Mateo freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 05:28:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36672 Message: 36672

For the live of me I don't know why people post information like
Foster City being on the TRS which was, as pointed out, totally false

488.6125 Which I believe WAS Newark Fire, IS in fact their new
frequency, thanks for the correction?

Those monitoring San Mateo County also may want to enter 483.0375 as the
new Belmont Police frequency.....however, its only in Kelty and I have NOT
yet heard them on it, they still are on 488.4875 with San Carlos. The new
FREQ is also listed as a P25, Soooooo you need your digital scanner for this one.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36673. Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 05:35:53 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36662 Message: 36673

bah! you forgot me! I was med 8, medical group sup!!!!!! =(

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> NB 85 1/4 mile south of Fremont for two major injury accidents. One
vehicle
> on its side. Unknown status of patients.
>
> Incident working on the following:
>
> Sunnyvale Fire - 482.7125
> Mountain View Fire - 154.025
> County Mutual Aid - 153.845
>
> Partial List of Resources:
>
> SUNNYVALE
>
> E1
> R2
> S3
> E3
> T1
>
> E4
> S6
> M7
> B20
>
> MV
>
> T1
>
> -C-

36674. Re: [bayscan] San Mateo freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 05:38:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36672 Message: 36674

At 09:28 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:
> For the live of me I don't know why people post information like
>Foster City being on the TRS which was, as pointed out, totally false

Ed: Relax... Reread the message:

"i think they went on the new digital system in san mateo county but not sure what the new talkgroup is? any info would be greatly appreciated!"

This was not an 'authoratative' statement but a question. The OP was simply asking a question.

Evan

36675. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 05:40:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36662 Message: 36675

he listed M7 ? did they call really got all that equipment ?
must have been a pretty bad MVA

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36676. Re: [bayscan] San Mateo freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 05:45:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36672 Message: 36676

Oh, ok , your right Evan ....but..... a lot of BAD information DOES get posted ....why did he " think" they went to the TRS however ?

I want to mention again , that Belmont PD freq is UNCONFIRMED ..... but I put it in postion 24 anyway , once they start using it (if thats right) I'll post it.

Thinking out loud here ....When I first heard about the SM TRS ONLY county was supposed to be on it. No one even mentioned the paramedics who have plenty of TGs now. then Redwood City joined. I am " wondering" about the agencies who just may have more money like Atherton or Hillsborough....., Since Atherton borders RWC it would make sense. Hate to say this , I wish more and more of the PDs would go to it. RWC is clear as a bell as is the whole system and that is with a Uniden.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36677. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 05:47:22 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36662 Message: 36677

At 09:40 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:
>he listed M7 ?

I didn't hear the call, but the Med unit goes on just about all medicals, so that would makes sense

>did they call really got all that equipment ?
>must have been a pretty bad MVA

I heard on the FM radio it was at least two cars, one on its side. So with extrication, it's possible they sent all that apparatus.

ESP

36678. Re: [bayscan] OT: Animal Cops on San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 05:51:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36668 Message: 36678

It is on comcast cable channel 51 at 10 pm (10 minutes)
sorry for the late post WOOF WOOF

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36679. Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 06:16:57 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36662 Message: 36679

What's MVA an acronym for again? Major Vehicle Accident? I'm sure
someone asks this like once a month sorry lol.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 09:40 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:
> >he listed M7 ?
>
> I didn't hear the call, but the Med unit goes on just about all
medicals,
> so that would makes sense
>
>
>
> >did they call really got all that equipment ?
> >must have been a pretty bad MVA
>
> I heard on the FM radio it was at least two cars, one on its side.
So with
> extrication, it's possible they sent all that apparatus.
>
> ESP

36680. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 06:21:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36662 Message: 36680

At 10:16 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:

>What's MVA an acronym for again? Major Vehicle Accident? I'm sure
>someone asks this like once a month sorry lol.

M= Motor.. Motor Vehicle Accident.

:)

36681. Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 06:40:06 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36662 Message: 36681

Haha so simple, thanks Evan. :)
-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 10:16 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:
>
> >What's MVA an acronym for again? Major Vehicle Accident? I'm sure
> >someone asks this like once a month sorry lol.
>
> M= Motor.. Motor Vehicle Accident.
>
> :)

36682. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA Belmont PD freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 07:26:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36682 Message: 36682

I really wonder about Evan . I heard Mt.View dispatch send 2 Engines , 1 Truck and Sunnyvale has at least Engine and Squad 3....that 13 personnel alone and if SYV also sent a truck and rescue 2 thats 4 more which doesn't include a BC or the AMR crew.

OH , and I goofed ....Belmonts new freq is 483.0875 NOT 0375, once again , not confirmed yet.

PS, Animal Cops in SF was pretty good !

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36683. Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 07:38:20 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36683

In a message dated 1/31/2005 11:31:14 PM Pacific Standard Time,
caladded@... writes:

> PS, Animal Cops in SF was pretty good !
>
> ED

They actually did some filming in EPA too, but I don't know if it's footage
they'll use or not.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36684. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 07:43:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36684

Thanks for the tip about EPA.....years ago , some of my co-workers used to keep a few newpapers rolled up real tight and use numbers rubber bands to make a short of club , because the packs of dogs in EPA would chase them down the streets in their trucks. Some were so agressive they would actually bite their tires (now THATS some MEAN dogs) I haven't seen the packs of dogs in sometime ,although I do hear the " bad" dog calls on EPAPD from time to time.

Animal Cops in SF is all week on Comcast channel 51 @ 10PM

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36685. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA Belmont PD freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 12:01:26 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36682 Message: 36685

Ok let me help since i was there =)
Units on scene were

AMR 710,422,510

Sunnyvale BC, Med 8, Med 7, Truck 1, Engine 3, Squad 3, Squad 6, 3 Patrol units

Mountain View 2 Engines, Rescue, BC

5 CHP

Total of 3 patients.. 1 in the rollover SUV was AMA (dont ask me how he didnt die)
Other 2 patients were in a small compact car.. Both wernt to Stanford Code-3 with chest trauma.. Both will survive =)...

The call came across as two accidents one with a truck on fire and people trapped. This was not so .. Both cars werein the same accident.. It was just a large scene so the 9-1-1 callers thought it was two accidents.. Thats why so much equipment was dispatched.

Damn it guys.. i didnt bring my digi cam with me to work.. sorry no pics..

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 11:26 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA Belmont PD freq

I really wonder about Evan . I heard Mt.View dispatch send 2 Engines , 1
Truck and Sunnyvale has at least Engine and Squad 3....that 13 personnel alone
and if SYV also sent a truck and rescue 2 thats 4 more which doesn't include a
BC or the AMR crew.

OH , and I goofed ....Belmonts new freq is 483.0875 NOT 0375, once
again , not confirmed yet.

PS, Animal Cops in SF was pretty good !

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36686. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 12:03:21 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36683 Message: 36686

what kinda animals are they searching for in EPA?
Pedophiles or murders?

Oh sorry wrong type of animals

----- Original Message -----
From: regult@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, January 31, 2005 11:38 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

In a message dated 1/31/2005 11:31:14 PM Pacific Standard Time,
caladded@... writes:

> PS, Animal Cops in SF was pretty good !
>
> ED

They actually did some filming in EPA too, but I don't know if it's footage
they'll use or not.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36687. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 13:55:08 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36662 Message: 36687

LOL! Nothing personal...will pay closer attention to detail next time ;^)

On 1/31/05 9:35 PM, "stfucode3" <Charger@...> wrote:

>
>
> bah! you forgot me! I was med 8, medical group sup!!!!!! =(
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
>> NB 85 1/4 mile south of Fremont for two major injury accidents. One
> vehicle
>> on its side. Unknown status of patients.
>>
>> Incident working on the following:
>>
>> Sunnyvale Fire - 482.7125
>> Mountain View Fire - 154.025
>> County Mutual Aid - 153.845
>>
>> Partial List of Resources:
>>
>> SUNNYVALE
>>
>> E1
>> R2
>> S3
>> E3
>> T1
>>
>> E4
>> S6
>> M7
>> B20
>>
>> MV
>>
>> T1
>>
>> -C-
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36688. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 13:57:54 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36662 Message: 36688

I swear - I didn't make it up! ;^)

This was two separate accidents involving a total of four vehicles.

-C-

On 1/31/05 9:47 PM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

>
> At 09:40 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:
>> he listed M7 ?
>
> I didn't hear the call, but the Med unit goes on just about all medicals,
> so that would makes sense
>
>
>
>> did they call really got all that equipment ?
>> must have been a pretty bad MVA
>
> I heard on the FM radio it was at least two cars, one on its side. So with
> extrication, it's possible they sent all that apparatus.
>
> ESP

36689. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA Belmont PD freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 14:01:55 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36682 Message: 36689

Ahhhhh --- clarity, at last! Thanks for this excellent follow up, but shame on you for no camera! ;^)

On 2/1/05 4:01 AM, "Bayard" <Charger@...> wrote:

> Total of 3 patients.. 1 in the rollover SUV was AMA (dont ask me how he didnt
> die)
> Other 2 patients were in a small compact car.. Both wernt to Stanford Code-3
> with chest trauma.. Both will survive =)...
>
> The call came across as two accidents one with a truck on fire and people
> trapped. This was not so .. Both cars werein the same accident.. It was just a
> large scene so the 9-1-1 callers thought it was two accidents.. Thats why so
> much equipment was dispatched.
>
> Damn it guys.. i didnt bring my digi cam with me to work.. sorry no pics..

36690. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA Belmont PD freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 13:50:35 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36682 Message: 36690

At 11:26 PM 1/31/2005, you wrote:
>I really wonder about Evan .

Umm what are you talking about?

Once again, would help to QUOTE from the message you are replying to.

Evan

36691. Update - Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 14:07:25 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36691 Message: 36691

Just a quick update on this incident from yesterday's posting (see below).

This turned out to be a murder (187) victim, in her car, that was found by a truck driver.

Heard this on KGO radio this morning.

-C-

Report of an Asian female bleeding from the nose and arm sitting in a car about three miles toward Mt. Madonna on Hecker Pass Road near Pole Line Road. RP said he is unable to rouse the subject and she has been there for at least an hour. I didn't catch the disposition of the victim, but didn't sound positive.

Incident is working on 156.210

Sheriff Units

7G10
71G6
71G1
71G4

BOL to be passed to Santa Cruz County Sheriff to stop any pedestrian walking down Hecker Pass Highway (152) and that the suspect is possibly armed.

7B10 will be bringing a SAT-PHONE from the station to the scene.

Anyone have any additional on this one?

-C-

36692. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Sheriff Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 15:30:18 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 36655 Message: 36692

This turned out to be a 187 PC (Murder). The Asian female had been deceased for quite some time and there are no known suspects at this time.

No further info.

Mike

Report of an Asian female bleeding from the nose and arm sitting in a car about three miles toward Mt. Madonna on Hecker Pass Road near Pole Line Road. RP said he is unable to rouse the subject and she has been there for at least an hour. I didn't catch the disposition of the victim, but didn't sound positive.

Incident is working on 156.210

Sheriff Units

7G10
71G6
71G1
71G4

BOL to be passed to Santa Cruz County Sheriff to stop any pedestrian walking
down Hecker Pass Highway (152) and that the suspect is possibly armed.

7B10 will be bringing a SAT-PHONE from the station to the scene.

Anyone have any additional on this one?

-C-

36693. Work finally starts on disputed emergency antenn

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 19:51:42 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 36693 Message: 36693

If I have some time this week when Im off Ill take a drive by the Tiburon location and shoot some pictures.

Work finally starts on disputed emergency antenna
By Carla Bova
IJ reporter

Tuesday, February 01, 2005 - After years of delays and litigation, construction began yesterday on the Southern Marin emergency radio antenna at Mt. Tiburon - second-to-last of the 14 broadcast and receiving sites in Marin's $21.5 million emergency radio system.

The 60-foot tower that will be topped by a 13-foot antenna is expected to be operational toward the end of March.

"It is somewhat anti-climactic," Tiburon resident Barry Lemieux said. "Once the decision was made, we expected it and now it is occurring. We have known for months that it would."

Controversy over the Tiburon antenna site contributed to more than three years of delays.

The emergency system has been in planning since 1998 and was expected to have been finished by December 2001. A West Marin antenna site in Bolinas is the final one needed. Officials are negotiating a location.

Neighbors and the town sued to block construction of the Tiburon antenna, in a residential neighborhood at 99-12 Mt. Tiburon Road, because they felt it was too close to private homes. The legal challenges ended in August 2004, when the California Supreme Court declined to hear an appeal by the neighbors and the town.

The board of the Marin Emergency Radio Authority, or MERA, voted Sept. 30, 2004 to build the tower at Mt. Tiburon, and construction began yesterday after yet a few more days of delays because of heavy rain.

MERA Executive Director Marty Nichols said a crew from Tower Structures of Minden, Nev., began work on the Mt. Tiburon site retaining wall and tower foundation. Tower Structures is a subcontractor for Motorola Corp., the suppliers of Marin's radio system.

In September, the MERA board also gave its blessing for tests of a replacement site on Wolfback Ridge above Sausalito.

If the Wolfback Ridge site proves adequate by itself, the agency would remove the Mt. Tiburon antenna and relocate operations to the Sausalito spot.

Nichols said MERA agreed with the town and neighbors to test various configurations of antennas at Wolfback Ridge to ensure it can operate on its own.

"The criteria for having Wolfback be feasible as a replacement site to Mt. Tiburon is that Motorola be willing to certify to MERA that the site could operate as a single site, providing the same coverage as would have been provided at the Tiburon site and that it could receive a federal broadcast license," Nichols said.

Neighbors hired InCode of San Diego to work with a Motorola engineer on the Wolfback Ridge study and the town of Tiburon is paying up to $25,000 for it. Lemieux said neighbors will pick up the balance if it should cost more than that. The results of the study are not in yet.

"We looked at whole slew of antenna configurations and are hopeful we found one that works. But the report is being completed and then we need to review the results," Lemieux said.

If the study determines the Wolfback Ridge site satisfies MERA's requirements, the next step would be to determine the cost to relocate the tower and to secure financing.

The board had approved a general cost-sharing plan in which MERA would pay one-third the cost of the Wolfback Ridge antenna, while Tiburon would pay two-thirds, with Tiburon neighbors contributing to Tiburon's share.

After that, a lease would need to be negotiated, the proper permits obtained and the necessary environmental reviews and government approvals acquired, Nichols said.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36694. Taxi Cab freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 20:55:35 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 36694 Message: 36694

anyone have any freq's of taxi company's in the south bay/mtn view area???
thanx
-boulrog-

36695. Re: [bayscan] Taxi Cab freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 21:13:34 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36694 Message: 36695

At 12:55 PM 2/1/2005, you wrote:

>anyone have any freq's of taxi company's in the south bay/mtn view area???
>thanx
>-boulrog-

Most of them are on the various LTR and 900 trunking systems. Not much voice traffic these days. Most are dispatched via data terminal.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36696. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 21:22:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36662 Message: 36696

thats why it got the extra stuff like Squad 6 and both Meds 7 and 8 etc ,
reports were 2 crashes.....thanks

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36697. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 21:23:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36697

Why would you make a comment about pedophiles in EPA? What gives you the impression we have more per capita than any other town?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36698. Animal Cop frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 22:06:13 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 36683 Message: 36698

As we've been on this subject, here are two that I have.

Santa Clara County Animal Control,
Freq 15, 453.925 PL107.2

San Jose Animal Services,
453.250 PL107.2

36699. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cop frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 22:17:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36699

couple others

San Mateo is on the TRS 8192 , 8208 , 8224 , 8240 , 8256 ,

SF is on their TRS - 43619
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36700. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cop frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 22:33:22 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36700

what about Palo Alto Animal Services, anyone have their freq's???

In a message dated 2/1/05 14:12:15, mikeyht220@... writes:
<<
As we've been on this subject, here are two that I have.
Santa Clara County Animal Control,
Freq 15, 453.925 PL107.2
San Jose Animal Services,
453.250 PL107.2 >>

36701. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 23:03:36 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36683 Message: 36701

17 Registered sex offenders are located in your Immediate area.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 1:23 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Why would you make a comment about pedophiles in EPA? What gives you the
impression we have more per capita than any other town?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36702. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 23:18:46 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36683 Message: 36702

how did this go from animal cops to pedophilia?

Bayard wrote:

> 17 Registered sex offenders are located in your Immediate area.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 1:23 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)
>
>
> Why would you make a comment about pedophiles in EPA? What gives
> you the
> impression we have more per capita than any other town?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36703. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 23:22:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36703

so what !! my lady friend has over 30 in her zip code , you didn't mention HER city ? your comment is out of line

Your comment about the murders is ALSO out of line , there are clearly areas in the bay area with worse rates .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36704. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 23:31:11 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36683 Message: 36704

bah.. back to cat and dogs.. don't want to get off topic any more.. didn't know you loved your city so much you would get angry over it. I have better things to do then argue about what criminals are in your city.. all I have to say is i would not walk down the street in some places where you live.. I cant say that about any street near my house... just an opinion which I am entitled to.

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 3:22 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

so what !! my lady friend has over 30 in her zip code , you didn't
mention HER city ? your comment is out of line

Your comment about the murders is ALSO out of line , there are clearly areas
in the bay area with worse rates .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36705. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 23:45:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36705

Bayard brought it up !! his number for East Palo Alto was WRONG , I have NO clue where he got 17 .....try again.

but being we are on the subject , it always bothered me why these communties are so up in arms over Cary Verse being placed in their neighborhood where they ALL have other people with similiar offenses .

take San Jose , people were carrying signs and carrying on on Monterey road(which is a garbage neighborhood anyway) and yet the Megans' law website shows 1,502 with similiar crimes in that town
ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED and TWO . yet Cary Verse is the only one getting the attention.....its the MEDIA who caused all of it.

lets get back to scanning , bayyard should NEVER have made the comment .

For the record. East Palo Alto shows 55 NOT 17
Redwood City has 140 Fremont 124 and thats all I am listing.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36706. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 23:51:15 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36683 Message: 36706

the web site I found was specific on serious child related violent crimes.. not all sex offenders.. but no big deal.. I was just making light of the animal thing.. I think its funny you guys want to scan animal people.. didn't know I would spark off a Michael Jackson frenzy.

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 3:45 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Bayard brought it up !! his number for East Palo Alto was WRONG , I have
NO clue where he got 17 ....try again.

but being we are on the subject , it always bothered me why these
communties are so up in arms over Cary Verse being placed in their
neighborhood where they ALL have other people with similiar offenses .

take San Jose , people were carrying signs and carrying on on Monterey
road(which is a garbage neighborhood anyway) and yet the Megans' law website
shows 1,502 with similiar crimes in that town
ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED and TWO . yet Cary Verse is the only one getting
the attention..............its the MEDIA who caused all of it.

lets get back to scanning , bayyard should NEVER have made the comment .

For the record. East Palo Alto shows 55 NOT 17
Redwood City has 140 Fremont 124 and thats all I am listing.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36707. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 23:47:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36707

I am VERY proud of my city, thank you. EVEN your town has NOT seen the economic grains and crime reduction that East Palo Alto has since it incorporated in 1983. Your obviously ignorant of the facts

Back to scanning.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36708. Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-01 23:55:01 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36683 Message: 36708

First of all lets change the subject line which i always hear being spoken. Am I getting my people wrong, but wasn't Verse the first person to "graduate" from the so called recovery program at atascadero? or is that someone else? If so then he isn't the same as everyone else. Second I sure the hell would want to know if a high risk offender was coming into my neighborhood. And its a shame all 1502 of them aren't having the light shined in them by the media. So what if the media is doing it? Its our right to know.... All males who have done these crimes should have their manhood surgically removed and put on drugs. Then you can put them back in the community. But to say the media shouldn't be focusing on these people and crying poor Cary Verse and all the others is ridiculous.

caladded@... wrote:

> Bayard brought it up !! his number for East Palo Alto was WRONG ,
> I have
> NO clue where he got 17 ....try again.
>
>
> but being we are on the subject , it always bothered me
> why these
> communties are so up in arms over Cary Verse being placed in their
> neighborhood where they ALL have other people with similiar offenses .
>
> take San Jose , people were carrying signs and carrying on on Monterey
> road(which is a garbage neighborhood anyway) and yet the Megans' law
> website
> shows 1,502 with similiar crimes in that town
> ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED and TWO . yet Cary Verse is the only one
> getting
> the attention.............its the MEDIA who caused all of it.
>
> lets get back to scanning , bayyard should NEVER have made the comment .
>
> For the record. East Palo Alto shows 55 NOT 17
> Redwood City has 140 Fremont 124 and thats all I am listing.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --

> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36709. Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 00:03:40 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36683 Message: 36709

At 03:55 PM 2/1/2005, you wrote:
>First of all lets change the subject line which i always hear being
>spoken.

We don't need to change the subject line, we need to kill the thread. <Grin>

Evan

36710. Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 00:04:20 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36683 Message: 36710

now that it has been brought up , "All males who have done these crimes
should have their manhood surgically removed and put on drugs. "

And what about the Female high risk offenders????
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 15:55
Subject: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

First of all lets change the subject line which i always hear being
spoken. Am I getting my people wrong, but wasn't Verse the first person
to "graduate" from the so called recovery program at atascadero? or is
that someone else? If so then he isn't the same as everyone else.
Second I sure the hell would want to know if a high risk offender was
coming into my neighborhood. And its a shame all 1502 of them aren't
having the light shined in them by the media. So what if the media is
doing it? Its our right to know.... All males who have done these crimes
should have their manhood surgically removed and put on drugs. Then you
can put them back in the community. But to say the media shouldn't be
focusing on these people and crying poor Cary Verse and all the others
is ridiculous.

caladded@... wrote:

> Bayard brought it up !! his number for East Palo Alto was WRONG ,
> I have
> NO clue where he got 17 ....try again.
>
>
> but being we are on the subject , it always bothered me
> why these
> communties are so up in arms over Cary Verse being placed in their
> neighborhood where they ALL have other people with similiar offenses .
>
> take San Jose , people were carrying signs and carrying on on Monterey
> road(which is a garbage neighborhood anyway) and yet the Megans' law
> website
> shows 1,502 with similiar crimes in that town
> ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED and TWO . yet Cary Verse is the only one
> getting
> the attention.............its the MEDIA who caused all of it.
>
> lets get back to scanning , bayyard should NEVER have made the comment .
>
> For the record. East Palo Alto shows 55 NOT 17

> Redwood City has 140 Fremont 124 and thats all I am listing.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36711. Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 00:06:17 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36683 Message: 36711

send my lab over and naw their happy bud off...

Scanner 4 wrote:

> now that it has been brought up , "All males who have done these crimes
> should have their manhood surgically removed and put on drugs. "
>
> And what about the Female high risk offenders????
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ben
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 15:55
> Subject: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities
>
>
> First of all lets change the subject line which i always hear being
> spoken. Am I getting my people wrong, but wasn't Verse the first person
> to "graduate" from the so called recovery program at atascadero? or is
> that someone else? If so then he isn't the same as everyone else.
> Second I sure the hell would want to know if a high risk offender was
> coming into my neighborhood. And its a shame all 1502 of them aren't
> having the light shined in them by the media. So what if the media is
> doing it? Its our right to know.... All males who have done these
> crimes
> should have their manhood surgically removed and put on drugs. Then
> you
> can put them back in the community. But to say the media shouldn't be
> focusing on these people and crying poor Cary Verse and all the others
> is ridiculous.
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>
> > Bayard brought it up !! his number for East Palo Alto was
> WRONG ,
> > I have
> > NO clue where he got 17 ....try again.
> >
> >
> > but being we are on the subject , it always bothered me
> > why these
> > communties are so up in arms over Cary Verse being placed in their
> > neighborhood where they ALL have other people with similiar offenses .
> >
> > take San Jose , people were carrying signs and carrying on on
> Monterey

> > road(which is a garbage neighborhood anyway) and yet the Megans' law
> > website
> > shows 1,502 with similiar crimes in that town
> > ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED and TWO . yet Cary Verse is the only one
> > getting
> > the attention.....its the MEDIA who caused all of it.
> >
> > lets get back to scanning , bayyard should NEVER have made the
> comment .
> >
> > For the record. East Palo Alto shows 55 NOT 17
> > Redwood City has 140 Fremont 124 and thats all I am
> listing.
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> --
> > *Yahoo! Groups Links*
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36712. Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 00:25:55 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36683 Message: 36712

Evan's got my vote - lets drop this one.

On 2/1/05 4:03 PM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

> At 03:55 PM 2/1/2005, you wrote:
>> First of all lets change the subject line which i always hear being
>> spoken.
>
>
> We don't need to change the subject line, we need to kill the thread. <Grin>
>
> Evan

36713. Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 00:26:43 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36683 Message: 36713

What? That's not even close to being appropriate...sheesh!

On 2/1/05 4:06 PM, "Ben" <bkellogg9@...> wrote:

> send my lab over and naw their happy bud off...

36714. Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 01:12:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36714

the comment about removing the manhood doesn't remove the whole threat.....rape is NOT a sexual crime , its a violent crime , one can still hurt or kill one without " one"

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36715. Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 01:15:18 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36683 Message: 36715

agreed!
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 4:04 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

now that it has been brought up , "All males who have done these crimes should have their manhood surgically removed and put on drugs. "

And what about the Female high risk offenders????
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2005 15:55
Subject: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

First of all lets change the subject line which i always hear being spoken. Am I getting my people wrong, but wasn't Verse the first person to "graduate" from the so called recovery program at atascadero? or is that someone else? If so then he isn't the same as everyone else. Second I sure the hell would want to know if a high risk offender was coming into my neighborhood. And its a shame all 1502 of them aren't having the light shined in them by the media. So what if the media is doing it? Its our right to know.... All males who have done these crimes should have their manhood surgically removed and put on drugs. Then you can put them back in the community. But to say the media shouldn't be focusing on these people and crying poor Cary Verse and all the others is ridiculous.

caladded@... wrote:

> Bayard brought it up !! his number for East Palo Alto was WRONG ,
> I have
> NO clue where he got 17 ....try again.
>
>
> but being we are on the subject , it always bothered me
> why these
> communties are so up in arms over Cary Verse being placed in their
> neighborhood where they ALL have other people with similiar offenses .
>
> take San Jose , people were carrying signs and carrying on on Monterey
> road(which is a garbage neighborhood anyway) and yet the Megans' law
> website
> shows 1,502 with similiar crimes in that town

> ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED and TWO . yet Cary Verse is the only one
> getting
> the attention.....its the MEDIA who caused all of it.
>
> lets get back to scanning , bayyard should NEVER have made the comment .
>
> For the record. East Palo Alto shows 55 NOT 17
> Redwood City has 140 Fremont 124 and thats all I am listing.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36716. N/B HWY 101 San Francisco Name Changes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 02:21:14 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36716 Message: 36716

Noticed a few changes tonight on the way home. These changes are just for NorthBound 101 traffic.

"3 Com Park" is no longer on the freeway sign. Now it's the "Monster Park" off ramp. Saw the crew changing the sign tonight. Not sure when they will cahnage the SouthBound signs.

Probably gonna hear a few funny calls on this one.

The 3rd street off is now the "Paul Street " off. The reason is the MUNII LRV (Light Rail Vehicles) needed a bridge for their new StreetCar line and it closed the off ramp and now you have to get off on Paul Street.

Just a few changes out there on the highways!

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Where the traffic watch never stops!"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36717. Re: [bayscan] Re:Pedophiles in comminities

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 02:35:16 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36683 Message: 36717

At 05:12 PM 2/1/2005, you wrote:
>the comment about removing the manhood doesn't remove the whole
>threat

No, it doesn't, nor does it have anything to do with scanning - like this entire thread.

>.........rape is NOT a sexual crime

I believe if you ask a woman that, she'll disagree. Plus, I believe it's classified as a sexual crime. But then again, we're getting way off topic here. I think we should drop this thread.

36718. Any reviews of the Uniden BC246T - What do you think?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 04:11:34 UTC
From: paulcbg
Topic: 36718 Message: 36718

What has been your experience with the Uniden BC246T? Also, I live in the Oakland area and wonder if there's any inside word or even rumor of the police dept. switching to digital?
Thanks!
Paul KA6GEM

36719. Re: [bayscan] Any reviews of the Uniden BC246T - What do you think?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 04:20:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36718 Message: 36719

I am happy with mine . I would be surprized to see Oakland go digital considering their financial woes. But I have no " inside"information.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36720. Re: Any reviews of the Uniden BC246T - What do you think?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 05:10:25 UTC
From: gariac450
Topic: 36718 Message: 36720

It doesn't cost all that much money to put a few users on digital, especially those you don't want to be monitored. However, EDACS users would probably go Pro-Voice since the digital EDACS radio models I've seen come equipped with both Provoice and P25. [I've only looked at macom models.] Anyway, you wouldn't go P25 if you have Pro-voice since P25 can be monitored and Pro-Voice can't, at least not with a scanner.

BTW, I saw the 396T in Vegas. It sounds like a winner.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:

> I am happy with mine . I would be surprized to see Oakland go digital
> considering their financial woes. But I have no " inside"information.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36721. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 05:49:18 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36721

In a message dated 2/1/2005 3:52:49 PM Pacific Standard Time,
Charger@... writes:

> the web site I found was specific on serious child related violent
> crimes.. not all sex offenders.. but no big deal.. I was just making light of the
> animal thing.. I think its funny you guys want to scan animal people.. didn't
> know I would spark off a Michael Jackson frenzy.

The discussion about animal control frequencies came up after the initial discussion about the show Animal Cops. I don't think anyone said they want to scan "animal people", but maybe some people like listening to that. I don't listen to ambulances, but that doesn't mean I think it's funny that people do, anymore then people who monitor their local Target or McDonalds. It's all about scanning. If you've never listened to the animal control frequencies, maybe you should one day just for variety. Who knows, you might catch a pursuit of a four legged felon...

In case there is any confusion, Animal Cops is a show on Animal Planet where they follow various animal control agencies during the course of their duties, it so happens they happen to be showing the episides filmed in San Francisco starting last night. I was only pointing out that they did some filming in EPA, so it's not all in SF. I was told they filmed in other locations outside of SF too, but I have a feeling that they came down to EPA just to see if they could jump any hot calls while they did their follow-up investigation on an individual. I also heard that they end up in pursuit (unknown if foot or vehicle) of a person and SFPD gets involved. Not sure when the pursuit will air, or what kind of video they got from it, but I heard it will be aired.

If my post sparked any off topic debate or if this post is in and of itself is off topic, I apologize.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36722. Re: Animal Cops

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 06:46:37 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36683 Message: 36722

humane cases make for interesting multi-agency monitoring, e.g.
petaluma cat hoarder marilyn barletta a few years ago involved not only
city and county humane offices but also fire and l.e.. and out in
inverness not too long ago there was a 5150 who, if i recall correctly,
beheaded the family cat.... i recently shot novato fire assisting
marin humane and dept of public works rescuing a horse from a septic
tank...

but i haven't figured out what's worth hearing at target yet... ;>

rOn Tuesday, Feb 1, 2005, at 21:49 US/Pacific, regult@... wrote:

>
> In a message dated 2/1/2005 3:52:49 PM Pacific Standard Time,
> Charger@... writes:
>
>> the web site I found was specific on serious child related violent
>> crimes.. not all sex offenders.. but no big deal.. I was just
>> making light of the
>> animal thing.. I think its funny you guys want to scan animal
>> people.. didn't
>> know I would spark off a Michael Jackson frenzy.
>
> The discussion about animal control frequencies came up after the
> initial
> discussion about the show Animal Cops. I don't think anyone said they
> want to
> scan "animal people", but maybe some people like listening to that. I
> don't
> listen to ambulances, but that doesn't mean I think it's funny that
> people do,
> anymore then people who monitor their local Target or McDonalds. It's
> all about
> scanning. If you've never listened to the animal control frequencies,
> maybe you
> should one day just for variety. Who knows, you might catch a pursuit
> of a
> four legged felon...
>
> In case there is any confusion, Animal Cops is a show on Animal Planet
> where
> they follow various animal control agencies during the course of their
> duties,
> it so happens they happen to be showing the episides filmed in San
> Francisco
> starting last night. I was only pointing out that they did some

> filming in
> EPA, so it's not all in SF. I was told they filmed in other locations
> outside of
> SF too, but I have a feeling that they came down to EPA just to see if
> they
> could jump any hot calls while they did their follow-up investigation
> on an
> individual. I also heard that they end up in pursuit (unknown if foot
> or vehicle)
> of a person and SFPD gets involved. Not sure when the pursuit will
> air, or
> what kind of video they got from it, but I heard it will be aired.
>
> If my post sparked any off topic debate or if this post is in and of
> itself
> is off topic, I apologize.
>
> Jeff
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36723. Re: [bayscan] Re: Animal Cops

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 07:13:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36723

As an update, animal planet, on comcast cable (in my area at least) it is in fact showing the episodes at BOTH 7 and 10 . Worked out good tonight because the 10pm conflicted with NYPD BLUE in which Andy gets his sgts stripes :-)
<<< Only FOUR episodes left ;-(
I noticed last night on Animal Cops the announcer mentioning the units were going "downtown" on two different calls, one actually in visitation valley and the other in Hunters point. He obviously doesn't know the city of San Francisco very well. Neither of these neighborhoods are hardly "downtown," only us natives hear stuff like that. Still, its a good show, Wonder how the neighbors felt after finding out the guy next door had 25 Boas in his garage LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36724. SFFD Fireboat 1 ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 07:15:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36724 Message: 36724

The Fireboat is out and about " searching " about hear whats up with that ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36725. Re: [bayscan] Re: Animal Cops

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 07:33:00 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36725

I think most of the extra footage on Animal Cops is just "B" roll stuff, shot whenever. They even showed the "SSF Industrial City" sign too, which I know isn't in SF. I didn't get the full details when they came down to EPA, but I'm pretty sure the case tonight of the two injured dogs from the same family a few days apart was the one where they went down to EPA to try to find the breeder of the puppy.

I guess you might not see EPA (or me) on there then...

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36726. animal cops --> new hoarding case

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 09:13:16 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36726 Message: 36726

bay city news advises that the 136 cats were rescued tuesday afternoon from a lincoln avenue residence in pacific grove, according to spca officials. pacific grove police sgt. robert carp stated that details of the rescue cannot be released at this time, because the owner of the residence is herself a victim elder abuse. following health and temperment testing, cats suitable for adoption will become available at the spca of monterey county.

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36727. OT: Jet crash into Building in NY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 14:42:35 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36727 Message: 36727

(From the BreakingTVNews Yahoo group - well worth joining...)

TETERBORO NJ (BERGEN) *PLANE CRASH* *3RD ALARM* RT 46 @ INDUSTRIAL AVE. LARGE JET INTO A COMMERCIAL BLDG. (POSSIBLY BANK OF NY)ALSO A 3 CAR MVA @ LOCATION W/HVY ENTRAPMENT. 8 SOULS REPORTED WERE ON BOARD 4 ARE ACCOUNTED FOR. EAN322 O/S STAFF/100 [EAN]

Hasn't hit yahoo news yet.

Evan

36728. Re: [bayscan] OT: Jet crash into Building in NY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 14:56:38 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36727 Message: 36728

it has been allover the Morning News
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2005 06:42
Subject: [bayscan] OT: Jet crash into Building in NY

(From the BreakingTVNews Yahoo group - well worth joining...)

TETERBORO NJ (BERGEN) *PLANE CRASH* *3RD ALARM* RT 46 @ INDUSTRIAL AVE.
LARGE JET INTO A COMMERCIAL BLDG. (POSSIBLY BANK OF NY)ALSO A 3 CAR MVA @
LOCATION W/HVY ENTRAPMENT. 8 SOULS REPORTED WERE ON BOARD 4 ARE ACCOUNTED
FOR. EAN322 O/S STAFF/100 [EAN]

Hasn't hit yahoo news yet.

Evan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36729. Re: [bayscan] OT: Jet crash into Building in NY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 14:53:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36727 Message: 36729

Fox has had some GREAT footage of a SNOZZLE working at this incident. I am not positive, but it may be from the New York Port Authority, it has the word POLICE on it. Great shots of it puncturing the fuselage and POURING in foam. One witness who actually talked to the Pilot who limped out with copilot and some passengers said he was rolling at about 100mph and FOX further reports it may have been a deicing issue. Good Footage by Fox. The Jet hit at least 3 cars on rt. 46 and actually smashed one against the warehouse in front of the right engine. 2 missing , 11 hurt at this hour.

0652

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36730. 280N & Bird

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 18:29:03 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 36730 Message: 36730

Does anyone have any information on the accident that was on 280N under the Bird or Lincoln overpass? I must have saw 15 officers, 2 AMR units. SJPD, SJFD, and CHP were all on the scene. I think the freeway was closed or only down to one lane. This was all about 8:45am when I passed by. Thanks!

~Sagar

36731. FS: 800 mhz spectra, 6 bay stx battery charger, STX 821 radio and convertacom

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-02 23:39:47 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36731 Message: 36731

Make me an offer for any of these. REASONABLE offers will be accepted...

SPectra is in excellent condition, does type I & type II along with
conventional
Convertacom ok condition
STX 821 needs a display refurb kit

thanks
Ben

36732. For those that may want a Stridsberg Multicoupler

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 00:35:50 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36732 Message: 36732

<http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5749134637&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1>

I'll entertain offers off-list as well. Free shipping to Bayscan folks.

I upgraded.

Cheers.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36733. Re: [bayscan] OT: Jet crash into Building in NY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 00:00:20 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 36727 Message: 36733

That is actually no big deal except for the poor guy on the ground that got hit.

When I lived in NYC and did catering for the "real rich" of NYC who owned their own corporate jets I would send the food out to Teterboro via limo :)

Teterboro is a VERY busy airport for private planes.

Robert
BayScan

At 06:56 AM 2/2/2005, you wrote:
>it has been allover the Morning News
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Evan Platt
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2005 06:42
> Subject: [bayscan] OT: Jet crash into Building in NY
>
>
> (From the BreakingTVNews Yahoo group - well worth joining...)
>
>
> TETERBORO NJ (BERGEN) *PLANE CRASH* *3RD ALARM* RT 46 @ INDUSTRIAL AVE.
> LARGE JET INTO A COMMERCIAL BLDG. (POSSIBLY BANK OF NY)ALSO A 3 CAR MVA @
> LOCATION W/HVY ENTRAPMENT. 8 SOULS REPORTED WERE ON BOARD 4 ARE ACCOUNTED
> FOR. EAN322 O/S STAFF/100 [EAN]
>
>
> Hasn't hit yahoo news yet.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>--
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>
><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36734. Re: [bayscan] 280N & Bird

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 01:06:56 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 36730 Message: 36734

If you need any type of info on an accident that you see, try going to cad.chp.ca.gov and click on the BAY AREA option on the left hand drop down menu. This is the CHP's traffic incident page...

CT

blueangel_78 <blueangel_78@...> wrote:

Does anyone have any information on the accident that was on 280N under the Bird or Lincoln overpass? I must have saw 15 officers, 2 AMR units. SJPD, SJFD, and CHP were all on the scene. I think the freeway was closed or only down to one lane. This was all about 8:45am when I passed by. Thanks!

~Sagar

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36735. Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 01:09:23 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36735 Message: 36735

About 30 minutes ago there was TC at Highway 37 and Lakeville highway and Novato Fire rolled code 3 to the accident. As soon as they arrived on the scene the dispather comes on and says "switch to REDCOM Control 4" and I heard nothing further either on MERA or conventional Fire frequecies that I have programmed on my 296.

Anybody know what frequency REDCOM Control 4 is here in Marin?

Thanks,

-Roger

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36736. Re: 280N & Bird

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 01:14:47 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 36730 Message: 36736

Thanks Craig! I acctually tried that this morning and there was
nothing about it on there. And so the mystery continued.

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Tomasello <iawireman@y...>
wrote:
> If you need any type of info on an accident that you see, try going
to cad.chp.ca.gov and click on the BAY AREA option on the left hand
drop down menu. This is the CHP's traffic incident page...
>
> CT
>
> blueangel_78 <blueangel_78@y...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone have any information on the accident that was on 280N
> under the Bird or Lincoln overpass? I must have saw 15 officers, 2
> AMR units. SJPD, SJFD, and CHP were all on the scene. I think the
> freeway was closed or only down to one lane. This was all about
> 8:45am when I passed by. Thanks!
>
> ~Sagar
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36737. Re: SFFD Fireboat 1 ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 02:07:39 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36724 Message: 36737

is the fire boat cross staffed with an engine company? or is this a fulltime assignment?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> The Fireboat is out and about " searching " about hear whats up with
> that ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36738. Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 02:08:19 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36735 Message: 36738

roger, per kelty it is 154.175 --tone is 156.5
control 5 which is mutual aid is 154.280

REDCOMM's comm center (castle rock remote) is 458.2375

does that help at all?

(heard the sirens while atop mount burdell with the dawgs...)

nina
On Wednesday, Feb 2, 2005, at 17:09 US/Pacific, Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

>
> About 30 minutes ago there was TC at Highway 37 and Lakeville highway
> and
> Novato Fire rolled code 3 to the accident. As soon as they arrived on
> the
> scene the dispather comes on and says "switch to REDCOM Control 4" and
> I
> heard nothing further either on MERA or conventional Fire frequecies
> that I
> have programmed on my 296.
>
> Anybody know what frequency REDCOM Control 4 is here in Marin?
>
> Thanks,
>
> -Roger
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36739. RE: [bayscan] Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 02:50:22 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36735 Message: 36739

Perfect! Thanks Nina....and I'm sure it was nice up on Mt. Burdell today not to mention the entire Bay Area.

-Roger

-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@...]
Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2005 6:08 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

roger, per kelty it is 154.175 --tone is 156.5
control 5 which is mutual aid is 154.280

REDCOMM's comm center (castle rock remote) is 458.2375

does that help at all?

(heard the sirens while atop mount burdell with the dawgs...)

nina
On Wednesday, Feb 2, 2005, at 17:09 US/Pacific, Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

> About 30 minutes ago there was TC at Highway 37 and Lakeville highway and
> Novato Fire rolled code 3 to the accident. As soon as they arrived on the
> scene the dispather comes on and says "switch to REDCOM Control 4" and I
> heard nothing further either on MERA or conventional Fire frequecies that I
> have programmed on my 296.
>
> Anybody know what frequency REDCOM Control 4 is here in Marin?
>
> Thanks,
>
> -Roger

36740. 4th alarm in Redwood City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:14:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36740 Message: 36740

619 Vista @ Oak Knoll in Emerald Lake area
Fully involved structure , defensive operations only

153.89 dispatch
154.37 Command/Staging
156.105 Tac (on firedispatch.com)

1st) E 12 19 10 BC3 Truck 9

2nd) E18 7 9 BC2-4 Truck 13 BS9

3rd) E11 16 3 BC5 Truck 1

4th) E20 17 5 BC6 NO Truck (per IC)

M/A includes South County , Woodside , San Mateo County , Menlo Park ,
Foster City and San Mateo

1914 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36741. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFFD Fireboat 1 ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:17:12 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36724 Message: 36741

Yes, Fireboat 1(Phoenix) is stationed with Engine 35 and they staff the FB when it is needed. If E35 is out of service , another Engine is dispatched to the station (Pier 22-1/2) to man the boat.

Depending on the incident , they may also dispatch Rescue 1 and Battalion 3 to ALSO staff the boat.

Fireboat 2 (the Guardian) is Also at the same location and can be staffed with another Engine if needed

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36742. Re: [bayscan] Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:19:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36735 Message: 36742

thats Sonoma Countys freq 154.175 is correct. Redcomm 4

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36743. Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:27:17 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36735 Message: 36743

The way REDCOMM works is inital dispatch goes out over the primary
channel. Each call is assigned a control channel. Control 2,3,4. This is done
so that the primary channel is not taken up with an abundace of traffic. I would
assume that the marin units were switching to REDCOMM C-4 so they could
talk to Sonoma County Units Responding.

REDCOMM 155.2650
Control 2 154.1450
Control 3 154.1750
Control 4 154.2800

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Perfect! Thanks Nina....and I'm sure it was nice up on Mt. Burdell today not
> to mention the entire Bay Area.
>
> -Roger
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@c...]
> Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2005 6:08 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?
>
>
>
> roger, per kelty it is 154.175 --tone is 156.5
> control 5 which is mutual aid is 154.280
>
> REDCOMM's comm center (castle rock remote) is 458.2375
>
> does that help at all?
>
> (heard the sirens while atop mount burdell with the dawgs...)
>
> nina
> On Wednesday, Feb 2, 2005, at 17:09 US/Pacific, Roger Strohmeyer wrote:
>
> >
> > About 30 minutes ago there was TC at Highway 37 and Lakeville highway
> > and
> > Novato Fire rolled code 3 to the accident. As soon as they arrived on
> > the
> > scene the dispather comes on and says "switch to REDCOM Control 4" and
> > I
> > heard nothing further either on MERA or conventional Fire frequecies

> > that I
> > have programmed on my 296.
> >
> > Anybody know what frequency REDCOM Control 4 is here in Marin?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > -Roger

36744. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFFD Fireboat 1 ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 02:42:19 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36724 Message: 36744

I beleive its crossed with engine 35

stfucode3 wrote:

>
> is the fire boat cross staffed with an engine company? or is this a
> fulltime
> assignment?
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> >
> > The Fireboat is out and about " searching " about hear whats up
> with
> > that ?
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36745. Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:45:10 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36735 Message: 36745

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> The way REDCOMM works is inital dispatch goes out over the primary
> channel. Each call is assigned a control channel. Control 2,3,4.
This is done
> so that the primary channel is not taken up with an abundace of
traffic. I would Burdell today not
> > to mention the entire Bay Area.
> >
> >
While the above is correct , the frequencies are WRONG , Nina
was correct REdcomm 4 is 154.175

here are the correct freqs

Redcomm 154.310
Control 2 155.265 (coast/River)
Control 3 154,145 (Santa Rosa)
Control 4 154.175 (Valley)
Control 5 154.280 (White 1) mutual aid

Ed (from Kelty 2004) I have HEARD All of these .

36746. Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:54:37 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36735 Message: 36746

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:

> While the above is correct , the frequencies are WRONG , Nina
> was correct REdcomm 4 is 154.175
>
> here are the correct freqs
>
> Redcomm 154.310
> Control 2 155.265 (coast/River)
> Control 3 154,145 (Santa Rosa)
> Control 4 154.175 (Valley)
> Control 5 154.280 (White 1) mutual aid
>
> Ed (from Kelty 2004) I have HEARD All of these .

However, if Kelty is assigning these frequencies to the areas noted, it's wrong. The control freqencies are assigned based on what's open at the time of the dispatch and have no geographic relevance. Any of these can and are used in any part of the county.

Regards, Jim

36747. Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:54:26 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36735 Message: 36747

http://sonomamtx.tripod.com/REDCOM%20COMPLETE.htm

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> >
> > The way REDCOMM works is inital dispatch goes out over the primary
> > channel. Each call is assigned a control channel. Control 2,3,4.
> This is done
> > so that the primary channel is not taken up with an abundace of
> traffic. I would Burdell today not
> > > to mention the entire Bay Area.
> > >
> > >
> While the above is correct , the frequencies are WRONG , Nina
> was correct REdcomm 4 is 154.175
>
> here are the correct freqs
>
> Redcomm 154.310
> Control 2 155.265 (coast/River)
> Control 3 154,145 (Santa Rosa)
> Control 4 154.175 (Valley)
> Control 5 154.280 (White 1) mutual aid
>
> Ed (from Kelty 2004) I have HEARD All of these .

36748. Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 04:01:00 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36735 Message: 36748

section 5.1 on this link ... this is strait from My county EMS agencys text..

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> http://sonomamtx.tripod.com/REDCOM%20COMPLETE.htm
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > >
> > > The way REDCOMM works is inital dispatch goes out over the primary
> > > channel. Each call is assigned a control channel. Control 2,3,4.
> > This is done
> > > so that the primary channel is not taken up with an abundace of
> > traffic. I would Burdell today not
> > > > to mention the entire Bay Area.
> > > >
> > > >
> > While the above is correct , the frequencies are WRONG , Nina
> > was correct REdcomm 4 is 154.175
> >
> > here are the correct freqs
> >
> > Redcomm 154.310
> > Control 2 155.265 (coast/River)
> > Control 3 154,145 (Santa Rosa)
> > Control 4 154.175 (Valley)
> > Control 5 154.280 (White 1) mutual aid
> >
> > Ed (from Kelty 2004) I have HEARD All of these .

36749. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:38:55 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36735 Message: 36749

hey bayard, thanks for explaining how that works.

naturally i defer to your experience in this realm, but wonder why
would there be a discrepancy? my kelty shows that
redcomm dispatch is 154.310
control 2 (fire-medic coast river) 155.265
control 3 (santa rosa) 154.145
control 4 (valley) 154.17
control 5 (mutual aid) 154.280

have things changed? can

On Wednesday, Feb 2, 2005, at 19:27 US/Pacific, stfucode3 wrote:

>
>
> The way REDCOMM works is inital dispatch goes out over the primary
> channel. Each call is assigned a control channel. Control 2,3,4. This
> is done
> so that the primary channel is not taken up with an abundace of
> traffic. I would
> assume that the marin units were switching to REDCOMM C-4 so they could
> talk to Sonoma County Units Responding.
>
> REDCOMM 155.2650
> Control 2 154.1450
> Control 3 154.1750
> Control 4 154.2800
>
>
> -========================

zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36750. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFFD Fireboat 1 ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 03:52:07 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36724 Message: 36750

cross staffed by E-35 or E-8
E-35 is stationed with the fireboat at Pier 22 1/2 at the foot of Harrison St
----- Original Message -----
From: stfucode3
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2005 18:07
Subject: [bayscan] Re: SFFD Fireboat 1 ??

is the fire boat cross staffed with an engine company? or is this a fulltime
assignment?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> The Fireboat is out and about " searching " about hear whats up with
> that ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36751. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 04:52:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36735 Message: 36751

I don't know Jim , I usually always hear them assign control 3 to calls in Santa Rosa.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36752. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds YO GEORGE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 04:55:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36752 Message: 36752

George , you are getting OFD now ?? did you move ??

when you put Oakland Fire on line, are you setting it up as a different feed or adding it to the SFFD feed ?

(I would bet you get more money for more feeds huh ?? LOL)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36753. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds YO GEORGE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 06:06:05 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36752 Message: 36753

Oakland is a diffrent feed all together being hosted out of Berkeley
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 02, 2005 20:55
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds YO GEORGE

George , you are getting OFD now ?? did you move ??

when you put Oakland Fire on line, are you setting it up as a different
feed or adding it to the SFFD feed ?

(I would bet you get more money for more feeds huh ?? LOL)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36754. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 07:39:23 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36735 Message: 36754

In a message dated 2/2/2005 20:54:06 Pacific Standard Time, caladded@...
writes:

don't know Jim , I usually always hear them assign control 3 to calls in
Santa Rosa.

ED

Control 3....154.145 is Santa Rosa's old primary before the County started
dispatching. 154.145 is also San Mateo County's Pacifica repeater. You hear a
lot of Control on traffic on it. So when your in Santa Rosa you hear a lot of
San Mateo traffic but the reverse dosesn't happen. You don't hear Sonoma's
Control 3 in Pacifica.

If that helps great

BTW the way I don't know where Sonoma's REDCOM 154.310 transmiter is but I
hear Sonoma Fire everywhere! Bay Area, Valley, Delta, Monterey Bay Area. I'm
not sure but it may be on Sonoma Mountain.

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36755. Re: [bayscan] "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 08:01:18 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 36755 Message: 36755

Yeah, the Redcom system kept all the old frequencies but superceded
their previous geographical assignments. Even so, 154, 145 still ends
up a lot of the time being used for Santa Rosa.

Cortland

>
>However, if Kelty is assigning these frequencies to the areas noted,
>it's wrong. The control freqencies are assigned based on what's open
>at the time of the dispatch and have no geographic relevance. Any of
>these can and are used in any part of the county.
>
>Regards, Jim
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36756. Re: [bayscan] Animal Cops in SF (& EPA?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 08:33:18 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 36683 Message: 36756

anyone have the freq's for Palo Alto Animal Control by chance???
thanx
-boulrog-

36757. Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 08:34:48 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36735 Message: 36757

Nina,

The frequencies are correct. It's the idea they only get used in
certain areas that's wrong. They are used in all parts of the county.

Here is the correct line up with PL's:

REDCOM 154.31000 CT 146.2
Control 2 155.26500 CT 123.0
Control 3 154.14500 CT 146.2
Control 4 154.17500 CT 156.7
Ctrl 5/Wht 1 154.28000 CT 0.0

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> hey bayard, thanks for explaining how that works.
>
> naturally i defer to your experience in this realm, but wonder why
> would there be a discrepancy? my kelty shows that
> redcomm dispatch is 154.310
> control 2 (fire-medic coast river) 155.265
> control 3 (santa rosa) 154.145
> control 4 (valley) 154.175
> control 5 (mutual aid) 154.280
>
> have things changed?

36758. Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 08:38:21 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36735 Message: 36758

I do know, Ed. Santa Rosa area calls are usually assigned to Control 3 because it's the old Santa Rosa FD dispatch and has the weakest repeater. However, if Control 3 is already in use, a Santa Rosa area call will be assigned to any of the other available control frequencies.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I don't know Jim , I usually always hear them assign control 3 to calls in
> Santa Rosa.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36759. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Fire "REDCOM Control 4"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 09:11:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36735 Message: 36759

Well , sure . I would bet Kelty is , for the most part correct , but its not etched in concrete.

San Mateo usually uses Control 5 as a tac for structure fires in the southern part of the county and Control 2 for MVAs also in the southern part of the county.

However, tonight Redwood City BC 3 who was the IC for the fire up in the hills on Vista (4th alarm) used Control 2 as a Command/Staging channel. Thereby actually using a total of 3 channels for the incident (Controls 1,2 and 5) this forced Dispatch to use white 2 and 3 for a couple of other incidents in south county. Again , they have basic uses , but the need can cause them to change things.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36760. Re: Any reviews of the Uniden BC246T - What do you think?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 13:17:59 UTC
From: Wayne
Topic: 36718 Message: 36760

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "gariac450" <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
> It doesn't cost all that much money to put a few users on digital,
> especially those you don't want to be monitored.

Gary, you can't simply give subscribers digital radios and have them operate them in a trunked mode. Just as with Motorola you have to upgrade the infrastructure also. Also, if a console needs access you have to have a piece of equipment to handle the a/d conversion.

So, in reality it does cost money.

-Wayne

36761. ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 16:01:28 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 36761

Southwest inbound with electrical fire in cockpit.

More to follow.

36762. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 16:05:15 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 36762

Aircraft is on the ground and has taxied to gate A2.

ENGINE 20B is making contact with the captain of the aircraft and asks to have all units continue their response (2-alarm) at this time.

Second alarm units to stage at Station 20 with first alarm units to remain on the ramp.

Working on 153.980

On 2/3/05 8:01 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

> Southwest inbound with electrical fire in cockpit.
>
> More to follow.
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

36763. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 16:08:49 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 36763

CODE 4 - ALERT 2 has been cancelled and STATION 20 equipment is back in service.

+Phew!+

-C-

On 2/3/05 8:05 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> Aircraft is on the ground and has taxied to gate A2.
>
> ENGINE 20B is making contact with the captain of the aircraft and asks to
> have all units continue their response (2-alarm) at this time.
>
> Second alarm units to stage at Station 20 with first alarm units to remain
> on the ramp.
>
> Working on 153.980
>

36764. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 16:10:50 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36761 Message: 36764

Craig Rose wrote:
> CODE 4 - ALERT 2 has been cancelled and STATION 20 equipment is back in
> service.
>

Thanks, Craig.

36765. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 16:34:28 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 36765

You bet, Don.

On 2/3/05 8:10 AM, "Don Root" <k6cdo@...> wrote:

>
> Craig Rose wrote:
>> CODE 4 - ALERT 2 has been cancelled and STATION 20 equipment is back in
>> service.
>>
>
> Thanks, Craig.

36766. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 16:12:23 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36761 Message: 36766

good job
----- Original Message -----
From: Don Root
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 03, 2005 08:10
Subject: Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Craig Rose wrote:
> CODE 4 - ALERT 2 has been cancelled and STATION 20 equipment is back in
> service.
>

Thanks, Craig.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36767. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 16:13:10 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36761 Message: 36767

Craig, please contact me off-list at scanner4@...
----- Original Message -----
From: Don Root
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 03, 2005 08:10
Subject: Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Craig Rose wrote:
> CODE 4 - ALERT 2 has been cancelled and STATION 20 equipment is back in
> service.
>

Thanks, Craig.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36768. Re: [bayscan] Re: Any reviews of the Uniden BC246T - What do you think?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 17:06:55 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36718 Message: 36768

I was thinking more in line as to what San Jose PD does with P25. That is, for conventional systems. I didn't realize the repeaters had to be P25 "ready", but that makes sense.

So whatever happened with the rumor of OPD joining ALCO?

Wayne wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "gariac450" <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>It doesn't cost all that much money to put a few users on digital,
>>especially those you don't want to be monitored.
>
>
> Gary, you can't simply give subscribers digital radios and have them
> operate them in a trunked mode. Just as with Motorola you have to
> upgrade the infrastructure also. Also, if a console needs access you
> have to have a piece of equipment to handle the a/d conversion.
>
> So, in reality it does cost money.
>
> -Wayne
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36769. Re: [bayscan] Re: Any reviews of the Uniden BC246T - What do you think?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 17:08:58 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36718 Message: 36769

(ears perk up) rumor? opd joining alco? oh do explain lol

gary wrote:

> I was thinking more in line as to what San Jose PD does with P25. That
> is, for conventional systems. I didn't realize the repeaters had to be
> P25 "ready", but that makes sense.
>
> So whatever happened with the rumor of OPD joining ALCO?
>
> Wayne wrote:
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "gariac450" <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> >
> >>It doesn't cost all that much money to put a few users on digital,
> >>especially those you don't want to be monitored.
> >
> >
> > Gary, you can't simply give subscribers digital radios and have them
> > operate them in a trunked mode. Just as with Motorola you have to
> > upgrade the infrastructure also. Also, if a console needs access you
> > have to have a piece of equipment to handle the a/d conversion.
> >
> > So, in reality it does cost money.
> >
> > -Wayne
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> --

> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36770. OT- unintended consequences of Fremont alarm policy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 19:17:17 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36770 Message: 36770

Apparently nobody thought out the exceptions too well....
//////////////////

From the Merc:

FREMONT
32 guns taken in break-in
Store owner blames new police policy brushing off alarms

Henry K. Lee, Chronicle Staff Writer

Thursday, February 3, 2005

As the store's alarm rang, thieves made off with 32 rifles and handguns from a Fremont gun shop early Wednesday, less than two weeks after police announced they will soon ignore burglar alarms unless there was a confirmed crime.

...No arrests have been made. Fremont police Detective Bill Veteran said Wednesday that the department was considering an exception to the alarm policy for businesses such as gun shops but noted that officers rarely catch burglars red-handed.

36771. Re: [bayscan] OT- unintended consequences of Fremont alarm policy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 19:22:05 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36770 Message: 36771

How many times has Eddy's (Eddie's??) in Mountain View been robbed? It is alarmed. They smash and grab before the cops can arrive. Sure the alarm goes off, but all that does is get the board up process started. IIRC, once of the robberies was done by smashing a vehicle into the storefront.

If it were up to me, the store owner would be required to put all the firearms in a safe at night.

KG6VXT wrote:
> Apparently nobody thought out the exceptions too well....
> /////////////////
>
> From the Merc:
>
> FREMONT
> 32 guns taken in break-in
> Store owner blames new police policy brushing off alarms
>
> Henry K. Lee, Chronicle Staff Writer
>
> Thursday, February 3, 2005
>
> As the store's alarm rang, thieves made off with 32 rifles and handguns
> from a Fremont gun shop early Wednesday, less than two weeks after police
> announced they will soon ignore burglar alarms unless there was a confirmed
> crime.
>
> ...No arrests have been made. Fremont police Detective Bill Veteran said
> Wednesday that the department was considering an exception to the alarm
> policy for businesses such as gun shops but noted that officers rarely
> catch burglars red-handed.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

36772. Re: Jumper on 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 19:29:32 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36772 Message: 36772

McCarther and Bascom Ave. (Just west of Bascom)

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 11:28:11 -0800, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> 280 and Bascom Ave.
>
> Jumper atop freeway. SJPD 2 = 460.275, CHP = 42.50, SO = 156.210
>
> More details as they come in.
>
> Brian in Campbell
>

36773. Re: Jumper on 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 19:31:17 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36772 Message: 36773

Now a vehicle rollover just below the jumper on 280/17 and McCarther.
On view by SJPD ---- fire enroute Code 3.

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 11:28:11 -0800, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> 280 and Bascom Ave.
>
> Jumper atop freeway. SJPD 2 = 460.275, CHP = 42.50, SO = 156.210
>
> More details as they come in.
>
> Brian in Campbell
>

36774. Jumper on 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 19:28:11 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36772 Message: 36774

280 and Bascom Ave.

Jumper atop freeway. SJPD 2 = 460.275, CHP = 42.50, SO = 156.210

More details as they come in.

Brian in Campbell

36775. Re: Jumper on 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 19:36:45 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36772 Message: 36775

11:36am

The jumper is on SJPD 2 = 460.275, CHP = 42.50
Rollover is on SJPD 6 = 460.325

Everything around 280 and 17 is a traffic mess right now.

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 11:31:17 -0800, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> Now a vehicle rollover just below the jumper on 280/17 and McCarther.
> On view by SJPD ---- fire enroute Code 3.
>
>
> On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 11:28:11 -0800, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> > 280 and Bascom Ave.
> >
> > Jumper atop freeway. SJPD 2 = 460.275, CHP = 42.50, SO = 156.210
> >
> > More details as they come in.
> >
> > Brian in Campbell
> >
>

36776. Re: [bayscan] OT- unintended consequences of Fremont alarm policy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 19:33:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36770 Message: 36776

The fremont alarm ordinance hasn't even been inplemented yet.. Pd
responded. There's a hearing tonight on this subject

gary wrote:

> How many times has Eddy's (Eddie's??) in Mountain View been robbed? It
> is alarmed. They smash and grab before the cops can arrive. Sure the
> alarm goes off, but all that does is get the board up process started.
> IIRC, once of the robberies was done by smashing a vehicle into the
> storefront.
>
> If it were up to me, the store owner would be required to put all the
> firearms in a safe at night.
>
> KG6VXT wrote:
> > Apparently nobody thought out the exceptions too well....
> > //////////////////
> >
> > From the Merc:
> >
> > FREMONT
> > 32 guns taken in break-in
> > Store owner blames new police policy brushing off alarms
> >
> > Henry K. Lee, Chronicle Staff Writer
> >
> > Thursday, February 3, 2005
> >
> > As the store's alarm rang, thieves made off with 32 rifles and handguns
> > from a Fremont gun shop early Wednesday, less than two weeks after
> police
> > announced they will soon ignore burglar alarms unless there was a
> confirmed
> > crime.
> >
> > ...No arrests have been made. Fremont police Detective Bill Veteran
> said
> > Wednesday that the department was considering an exception to the alarm
> > policy for businesses such as gun shops but noted that officers rarely
> > catch burglars red-handed.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36777. Alco: Commercial HAZ MAT: 3400 lbs of amonia spilled Livermore]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 21:07:31 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36777 Message: 36777

water treatment plant
8750 patterson pass
full county haz mat response

3448
3488
3499
3494
3412
1841

36778. Re: Sonoma County "REDCOM"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 22:07:37 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36735 Message: 36778

The Sonoma County REDCOM Control channels *ARE* assigned primarily by zone. C-2 is generally north and west of Santa Rosa, C-3 is Santa Rosa (because the local antenna site limits its range), and C-4 is South and Sonoma Valley. C-2 and C-4 can be cross-shared if excessive traffic warrants it. C-5 is White Fire 1, a statewide fire mutual aid freq. Units operating at an incident will normally be assigned a REDCOM Tac channel, as the Control channels are intended for response and status traffic. If you don't believe this, I just spoke with a co-worker and his wife about this. His wife is a supervisor at REDCOM. You can't get any better info than that!

36779. Re: Sonoma County "REDCOM"?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 22:44:43 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36735 Message: 36779

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>
> The Sonoma County REDCOM Control channels *ARE* assigned primarily
> by zone. ...
>
> ... C-5 is White Fire 1, a statewide
> fire mutual aid freq.

Despite input in the formation stages, the fact that AMR is knowingly violating every tenant of interoperability by insisting that White 1 be called "Control 5" seems to be lost on them.

I hope this dosen't come back to bite them some day when some firefighter in on mutual aid can't communicate with them because he dosen't have "Control-5" in his radio...

Don

36780. Re: [bayscan] OT- unintended consequences of Fremont alarm policy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 23:09:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36770 Message: 36780

thats a bit overkill Gary ,,,,,,,, how BIG a safe would he
need.....Eddys has moved . I haven't heard of them getting hit for a long time now.
What
are you going to do when someone drives a truck thru your front window ? its
not far to pick on one type of business.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36781. I wish

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 23:12:29 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36781 Message: 36781

I wish just for once that no would take any post make personal or has an attack

just Once:-(

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36782. Re: [bayscan] I wish

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 23:15:48 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36781 Message: 36782

Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:

I wish just for once that no would take any post make personal or has an attack

just Once:-(

I'm sorry, but I can't get any meaning out of the above.

Am I missing something?

J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36783. I wish

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 23:24:08 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36781 Message: 36783

sorry my head is up a dark place along with my Keyboard

It should have read something like this :

I wish just for once that no one would take any post make here personal or has an attack

just Once:-(

over past few weeks it just looks like every post made that someone has a problem with a comment or statement made here.
Some people don't type very good or are a little mixed up at the moment or are trying just to damn hard...
so I guess what I'm trying to say is can't we just post and get along.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36784. Re: [bayscan] I wish

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-03 23:14:37 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36781 Message: 36784

sorry this should read

I wish just for once that no one would take any post make personal or has an attack

just Once:-(

----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 03, 2005 15:12
Subject: [bayscan] I wish

I wish just for once that no would take any post make personal or has an attack

just Once:-(

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36785. Re: [bayscan] I wish

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 00:03:00 UTC
From: E.L. Mud Bogger
Topic: 36781 Message: 36785

Amen.

Scanner 4 wrote:

> sorry my head is up a dark place along with my Keyboard
>
>
> It should have read something like this :
>
>
> I wish just for once that no one would take any post make here
> personal or has an attack
>
> just Once:-(
>
>
> over past few weeks it just looks like every post made that someone
> has a problem with a comment or statement made here.
> Some people don't type very good or are a little mixed up at the
> moment or are trying just to damn hard...
> so I guess what I'm trying to say is can't we just post and get along.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36786. Re: [bayscan] I wish

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 00:05:17 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36781 Message: 36786

did I miss something? I haven't seen any flames lately

E.L. Mud Bogger wrote:

> Amen.
>
> Scanner 4 wrote:
>
> > sorry my head is up a dark place along with my Keyboard
> >
> >
> > It should have read something like this :
> >
> >
> > I wish just for once that no one would take any post make here
> > personal or has an attack
> >
> > just Once:-(
> >
> >
> > over past few weeks it just looks like every post made that someone
> > has a problem with a comment or statement made here.
> > Some people don't type very good or are a little mixed up at the
> > moment or are trying just to damn hard...
> > so I guess what I'm trying to say is can't we just post and get along.
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > --
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> > Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
> >
> >
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36787. Re: [bayscan] Re: 280N & Bird

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 00:37:33 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 36730 Message: 36787

There is a place for archived calls... Only problem is that they keep about 3 hours worth of calls. In the right hand menu of the page, there is an option for FILED INCIDENTS. Choose it and then Choose BAY AREA from the drop down menu. You might have found it there.

CT

blueangel_78 <blueangel_78@...> wrote:

Thanks Craig! I acctually tried that this morning and there was
nothing about it on there. And so the mystery continued.

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Tomasello <iawireman@y...>
wrote:
> If you need any type of info on an accident that you see, try going
to cad.chp.ca.gov and click on the BAY AREA option on the left hand
drop down menu. This is the CHP's traffic incident page...
>
> CT
>
> blueangel_78 <blueangel_78@y...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone have any information on the accident that was on 280N
> under the Bird or Lincoln overpass? I must have saw 15 officers, 2
> AMR units. SJPD, SJFD, and CHP were all on the scene. I think the
> freeway was closed or only down to one lane. This was all about
> 8:45am when I passed by. Thanks!
>
> ~Sagar
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.

>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36788. OT: Re: [bayscan] I wish

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 01:21:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36781 Message: 36788

jaybee, it's pretty easy to interpret this remark despite the static:

personal attacks and off topic rants are a non- infrequent phenomenon
here, alas.

On Thursday, Feb 3, 2005, at 15:15 US/Pacific, john Beatty wrote:

>
>
>
> Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
>
> I wish just for once that no would take any post make personal or has
> an attack
>
> just Once:-(
>
> I'm sorry, but I can't get any meaning out of the above.
>
> Am I missing something?
>
> J.B. AD6WX
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36789. Re: OT: Re: [bayscan] I wish

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 02:28:35 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 36781 Message: 36789

nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:

jaybee, it's pretty easy to interpret this remark despite the static:

personal attacks and off topic rants are a non- infrequent phenomenon
here, alas.

It was not meant with any maliciousness , I have the utmost in respect for the author.

I just wanted to be clear in the meaning.

Please excuse me if any offense was taken.

J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36790. [bayscan] AKA's for Fire White 1

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 02:48:04 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36790 Message: 36790

Ok hate to keep this thread going but,

San Francisco Fire on there old system used to call White 1 Control 7

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36791. Re: [bayscan] AKA's for Fire White 1

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 03:25:14 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 36790 Message: 36791

i know 'redcomm' has another lame name for the channel.

in my opinion, any department that decides to rename a major mutual aide channel such as white 1 or CalCord just to match their naming convention is asking for trouble when the time comes to use the channels.

there are also a few departments in the state of california that still think it's ok to use repeaters or CTCSS on CalCord... a big no-no if you read the user agreement.

-g-

+--+
|greg_stramback@...//vector@...|
|Greg Stramback/\/ESDCOMM HR Chief//Dispatch Sup|
|Black Rock City/\/Emergency Services Department|
+--+

36792. Re: [bayscan] AKA's for Fire White 1

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 03:29:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36790 Message: 36792

I think you guys are over-reacting. Just because a dept uses another name
like SFFD did with control 7 on THEIR channels , whats the harm.
sounds like big brother to me.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36793. Re: [bayscan] AKA's for Fire White 1

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 03:48:50 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36790 Message: 36793

At 07:25 PM 2/3/2005, you wrote:
>i know 'redcomm' has another lame name for the channel.
>
>in my opinion, any department that decides to rename a major mutual aide
>channel such as white 1 or CalCord just to match their naming convention
>is asking for trouble when the time comes to use the channels.

I think the only time they call it something other than white 1 is when it IS something other than white 1, i.e. a patch or something similiar. Most every department I've seen that has a radio with White-1 calls it that. If a department is UHF, and they have a link from say 485.100 to white, they call it something else.

Evan

36794. Re: [bayscan] AKA's for Fire White 1

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 03:54:01 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 36790 Message: 36794

i've had discussions with many dispatchers in the past regarding the
renaming of mutual aide channels from the ones originally given them.
newer dispatchers in departments where a channel has been renamed not
knowing what channel another dispatcher in a neighboring department is
referring to. firefighters in the field not knowing they can talk to the
neighboring department because of a relabeled channel name on their radio.
i've heard dispatchers discussing this with units in the field as well.
why make things more confusing than they already are? to top it off,
radio education with most police, fire and medical responders is already
woefully inadequate. i'm not saying that every responding agency should
be carrying a frequency directory and know how to program a radio, but
some departments provide next to no education on why there's more than the
3 channels they commonly use in their radios, or even what they're for.

-g-

>
> I think you guys are over-reacting. Just because a dept uses another name
> like SFFD did with control 7 on THEIR channels , whats the harm.
> sounds like big brother to me.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

+--+
|greg_stramback@...//vector@...|
|Greg Stramback/\/ESDCOMM HR Chief//Dispatch Sup|
|Black Rock City/\/Emergency Services Department|
|cell510-385-4217/\/i'mthevoiceyouhearontheradio|
+--+

36795. Re: [bayscan] AKA's for Fire White 1

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 04:34:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36790 Message: 36795

I haven't heard any dept. refer to it as anything other than white 1 in a long time. I think the discussion is really a moot point. One or maybe more of the depts on either the peninsula or silicon valley had it as control 14 at one time. I therefore put it in may scanner as channel 14 , made it easy to remember. But that's been some years ago.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36796. Re: AKA's for Fire White 1

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 07:09:18 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36790 Message: 36796

The reference was to Sonoma County's REDCOMM, which has White 1 as Control 5. I have no idea why they decided to make this choice but their radios all have an alphanumeric display that says "Crtl5/White 1" so I think it's unlikely that a firefighter isn't going to be able to figure out where White 1 is on the radio. It's a heck of a lot better than the old days when there was just channel numbers and you had look at a chart pasted on the back of the radio or the dashboard to figure out which channel was which. <g>

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I haven't heard any dept. refer to it as anything other than white 1 in a
> long time. I think the discussion is really a moot point. One or maybe more of
> the depts on either the peninsula or silicon valley had it as control 14 at
> one time. I therefore put it in may scanner as channel 14 , made it easy to
> remember. But that's been some years ago.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36797. Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 16:08:28 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36797 Message: 36797

For some reason I can not connect to the server, keep getting TCP connection can not be made.
so until I find out why this is happening SF Firefeeds will be down.
Sorry

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36798. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 19:03:31 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36797 Message: 36798

At 08:08 AM 2/4/2005, you wrote:

>For some reason I can not connect to the server, keep getting TCP
>connection can not be made.
>so until I find out why this is happening SF Firefeeds will be down.
>Sorry

Are you on a cable modem ?

Usually takes them about a week to figure out the traffic pattern before
they shut down the
port for servers.

One of the reasons I dropped cable.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36799. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 19:06:23 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36797 Message: 36799

was up and running for over a month
----- Original Message -----
From: KG6VXT
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2005 11:03
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

At 08:08 AM 2/4/2005, you wrote:

>For some reason I can not connect to the server, keep getting TCP
>connection can not be made.
>so until I find out why this is happening SF Firefeeds will be down.
>Sorry

Are you on a cable modem ?

Usually takes them about a week to figure out the traffic pattern before
they shut down the
port for servers.

One of the reasons I dropped cable.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36800. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 19:16:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36797 Message: 36800

its not his cable..............its firefeeds.com

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36801. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 19:32:58 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36797 Message: 36801

Port blocking isn't unique to cable, but given that cable is a shared
resource, the cable company is more diligent.

I recently went through what I thought was a port blocking incident with
my DSL provider that has a no port blocking policy. It turned out Norton
was interfering with the ports. I'm still trying to figure out what changed.

Note that Norton let me ping the mail server, but not use the mail ports.

KG6VXT wrote:
> At 08:08 AM 2/4/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>For some reason I can not connect to the server, keep getting TCP
>>connection can not be made.
>>so until I find out why this is happening SF Firefeeds will be down.
>>Sorry
>
>
> Are you on a cable modem ?
>
> Usually takes them about a week to figure out the traffic pattern before
> they shut down the
> port for servers.
>
> One of the reasons I dropped cable.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36802. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 19:38:09 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36797 Message: 36802

At 11:16 AM 2/4/2005, you wrote:

> its not his cable..............its firefeeds.com
>
>ED

Perhaps it's THEIR cable then... LOL

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36803. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 19:36:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36797 Message: 36803

its Firefeeds.com for sure.....I just tried to get FDNY and LA County
and neither feed is up. their own system must be down.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36804. Re: Jumper on 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 20:47:00 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 36772 Message: 36804

Hmmm....2 Jumpers in tow days on 280. I hope this isn't a trend...

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> 280 and Bascom Ave.
>
> Jumper atop freeway. SJPD 2 = 460.275, CHP = 42.50, SO = 156.210
>
> More details as they come in.
>
> Brian in Campbell

36805. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 21:12:27 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36797 Message: 36805

At 11:32 AM 2/4/2005, you wrote:

>Note that Norton let me ping the mail server, but not use the mail ports.

Well, ping and 'use' are two different ports, different beasts, etc. You should have incoming PING (or ICMP) requests blocked. Norton I believe can be configured any of a number of different ways. I prefer a hardware firewall. :)

36806. Livermore Flea Market?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 21:13:33 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 36806 Message: 36806

Any word if there will be a flea market in Livermore Sunday???

Ed

36807. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 21:19:04 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36797 Message: 36807

I use a hardware firewall as well.

You ping the server to see if it is up. Then you telnet to the port to see if it is blocked. I could telnet into the default port, but not 25 or 110.

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 11:32 AM 2/4/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Note that Norton let me ping the mail server, but not use the mail ports.
>
>
> Well, ping and 'use' are two different ports, different beasts, etc. You
> should have incoming PING (or ICMP) requests blocked. Norton I believe can
> be configured any of a number of different ways. I prefer a hardware
> firewall. :)
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36808. Re: [bayscan] Livermore Flea Market?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 21:20:41 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36806 Message: 36808

The location is good until March or April, then it must move. So the answer is yes.

Ed Tobiasz wrote:
>
> Any word if there will be a flea market in Livermore Sunday???
>
> Ed
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36809. Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-04 22:24:24 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36797 Message: 36809

At 01:19 PM 2/4/2005, you wrote:
>I use a hardware firewall as well.
>
>You ping the server to see if it is up. Then you telnet to the port to
>see if it is blocked. I could telnet into the default port, but not 25
>or 110.

Here we go again goin all off topic and stuff.. ;)

Just for you more technical people...

Non response from a ping doesn't mean the server is down. It simply means the server (or machine) does not respond to ICMP requests. If you could get into the default port (21) but no 25 or 110, it could be a firewall or ISP issue. Some ISPS now are blocking outbound connections to SMTP ports other than theirs to prevent spam...

ESP

36810. SF Firefeeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 00:54:43 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36810 Message: 36810

Ok they had the problem & not me which is what I thought at first,
The good news is that SF is now back on line, and is still FREE

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36811. [ot] Re: [bayscan] Firefeeds SF is down (now ISP problems)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 01:40:40 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36797 Message: 36811

I am set up to discard pings to discourage hackers, but anyone who has a
server wouldn't do that IF they expect to be reached by the general
public. People have problems, and step #1 is to ping.

Really, this is not rocket science. Read the terms of your ISP. Example:
"Open Policies?Run a Server"
http://www.speakeasy.net/residential/adsl/

For fun and no profit, here is the big kahuna port list
http://www.iana.org/assignments/port-numbers

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 01:19 PM 2/4/2005, you wrote:
>
>>I use a hardware firewall as well.
>>
>>You ping the server to see if it is up. Then you telnet to the port to
>>see if it is blocked. I could telnet into the default port, but not 25
>>or 110.
>
>
> Here we go again goin all off topic and stuff.. ;)
>
> Just for you more technical people...
>
> Non response from a ping doesn't mean the server is down. It simply means
> the server (or machine) does not respond to ICMP requests. If you could get
> into the default port (21) but no 25 or 110, it could be a firewall or ISP
> issue. Some ISPS now are blocking outbound connections to SMTP ports other
> than theirs to prevent spam...
>
> ESP
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36812. Nasty TC in Solano, 2 choppers on order

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 04:18:23 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 36812 Message: 36812

Nasty head on TC, CALSTAR and REACH are responding.
Active frequency-156.00
CALCORD-156.075

Incident: 2336 Type: Traffic Collision - Major Injuries Location:
MANKAS CORNER RD AT ABERNATHY RD Zoom Map: 472 3A Info as of:
2/4/2005 8:15:41 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
8:13PM - 1039 BONSERS ON PRI W/2 FLTBD
8:10PM - 80 PER SCANNER LIFE FLIGHT ENRT
8:04PM - 1039 FF FIRE TO 1022
8:03PM - 1039 SOL SO FOR 1141 AND FIRE
8:01PM - CHEST PAINS / BLEEDING FRM HEAD
7:58PM - 1039 FF FIRE
7:58PM - HEAD ON TC
7:58PM - 3/4 TON DODG RAM VS UNK
7:58PM - RP ADVD TRAPPED IN VEH

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
8:06PM - CHP Unit Assigned
8:08PM - CHP Unit Assigned
8:09PM - CHP Unit On Scene
8:09PM - CHP Unit On Scene
8:10PM - CHP Unit On Scene
8:11PM - CHP Unit On Scene
8:13PM - CHP Unit Assigned

36813. 3 alarm fire - alco trunk tac 2 ((TGID: 17648)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 10:53:28 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 36813 Message: 36813

Companies: 2791, 2772, 2772 Heard something about Oakland being called in. Thought I heard Buena Vista. Not sure what city this is in. They refer to an industrial building next door that they have to force entry into to check.

Steve

36814. Re: 3 alarm fire - alco trunk tac 2 ((TGID: 17648)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 10:56:28 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 36813 Message: 36814

It's amazing to hear the radio problems they are having. At one
point, IC was concerned that they lost someone.

Steve

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Steve Wilson" <steve_wilson@y...>
wrote:
>
> Companies: 2791, 2772, 2772 Heard something about Oakland being
> called in. Thought I heard Buena Vista. Not sure what city this
is
> in. They refer to an industrial building next door that they have
to
> force entry into to check.
>
> Steve

36815. Re: [bayscan] Re: 3 alarm fire - alco trunk tac 2 ((TGID: 17648)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 12:47:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36813 Message: 36815

its in Alameda. they also (besides Oakland) have ALCO E3456 and Air/light unit 3492. 2 story building , fire thru roof . began around 0230 (I starting hearing it around 4) building is unsafe and units are doing exterior attack now , fire is knocked down.

0446 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36816. Re: [bayscan] Re: 3 alarm fire - alco trunk tac 5 ((TGID: 17648)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 13:00:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36816 Message: 36816

Note , this is on TAC 5 not 2 (this is NO Tac 2) but it is 17648

Everybody is out of the building an they just did a PAR (accountability report) all five AFD Engines ((2741-45)) and both Trucks (2771-72) are they along with medic 2794.

Not sure which OFD units are they but they do have some.

they are calling in 3 off duty Capts and 6 Fire fighters to cover the city which I am sure is being covered by OFD and ALCO at this time.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36817. 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 17:23:55 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36817 Message: 36817

MERA MVPD Chasing a White 4 Door Pathfinder . 1 male driver last seen heading up summit ave. towars lovel.. Tiburon, Marin So Also responding. Units have lost the vehicle now..

I believe the tag is 46064 .. if someone wants to confirm the tag that would be appreciated.

36818. RE: [bayscan] 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 17:29:42 UTC
From: vince48
Topic: 36817 Message: 36818

Mill Valley PD

From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:24 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] 10851 Mill Valley Chase

MERA MVPD Chasing a White 4 Door Pathfinder . 1 male driver last seen heading up summit ave. towars lovel.. Tiburon, Marin So Also responding. Units have lost the vehicle now..

I believe the tag is 46064 .. if someone wants to confirm the tag that would be appreciated.

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

---

avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Inbound message clean.

Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:25:37 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.

---

avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Outbound message clean.

Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:29:42 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36819. Re: 3 alarm fire - alco trunk tac 5 ((TGID: 17648)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 17:38:41 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 36816 Message: 36819

Thanks for the correction. I think I programmed the ALCO talkgroups based on someone's list on the Net before I found out about radioreference.com.

Steve

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Note , this is on TAC 5 not 2 (this is NO Tac 2) but it is 17648
>
> Everybody is out of the building an they just did a PAR (accountability
> report) all five AFD Engines ((2741-45)) and both Trucks (2771-72) are they
> along with medic 2794.
>
>
> Not sure which OFD units are they but they do have some.
>
> they are calling in 3 off duty Capts and 6 Fire fighters to cover the city
> which I am sure is being covered by OFD and ALCO at this time.
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36820. Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 17:41:07 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36817 Message: 36820

A residence in the area on Ralston Ave. is now reporting a white
pathfinder parked in the middle of the road with no driver..
Possibly the suspect vehicle abandoned.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "vince48" <vince48@s...> wrote:
> Mill Valley PD
>
>
>
> _____
>
> From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@W...]
> Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:24 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] 10851 Mill Valley Chase
>
>
>
>
> MERA MVPD Chasing a White 4 Door Pathfinder . 1 male driver last
> seen heading up summit ave. towars lovel.. Tiburon, Marin So Also
> responding. Units have lost the vehicle now..
>
> I believe the tag is 46064 .. if someone wants to confirm the tag
> that would be appreciated.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.
>

>
>
> _____
>
> avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Inbound message clean.
>
>
> Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:25:37 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
>
>
>
>
> _____
>
> avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Outbound message clean.
>
>
> Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:29:42 AM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36821. Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 17:42:49 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36817 Message: 36821

Confirmed 10851 vehicle located.. suspect bailed out.. residence now
reporting the suspect is walking down the hill near 300 Ralston Ave.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> A residence in the area on Ralston Ave. is now reporting a white
> pathfinder parked in the middle of the road with no driver..
> Possibly the suspect vehicle abandoned.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "vince48" <vince48@s...> wrote:
> > Mill Valley PD
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@W...]
> > Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:24 AM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] 10851 Mill Valley Chase
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > MERA MVPD Chasing a White 4 Door Pathfinder . 1 male driver last
> > seen heading up summit ave. towars lovel.. Tiburon, Marin So
Also
> > responding. Units have lost the vehicle now..
> >
> > I believe the tag is 46064 .. if someone wants to confirm the
tag
> > that would be appreciated.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >

> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
> >
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Inbound message clean.
> >
> >
> > Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> > Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:25:37 AM
> > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Outbound message
clean.
> >
> >
> > Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> > Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:29:42 AM
> > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36822. HWY 101 Near Paradise

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 17:53:28 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36822 Message: 36822

I just recieved a phone call from friend saying, CHP car sideways with officer out of his vehicle stopping all traffic Southbound. Anyone know why? Im monitoring MVPD right now.. =)

CHP Marin Violet 42.640

36823. Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 17:55:18 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36817 Message: 36823

Suspect described as a white male 6 foot wearing baseball cap and
blue jeans.. Sound like PD units are just driving around looking for
this guy.. Not sure why they have not set up perimeter?

-- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Confirmed 10851 vehicle located.. suspect bailed out.. residence
now
> reporting the suspect is walking down the hill near 300 Ralston
Ave.
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> >
> > A residence in the area on Ralston Ave. is now reporting a white
> > pathfinder parked in the middle of the road with no driver..
> > Possibly the suspect vehicle abandoned.
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "vince48" <vince48@s...> wrote:
> > > Mill Valley PD
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > >
> > > From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@W...]
> > > Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:24 AM
> > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > Subject: [bayscan] 10851 Mill Valley Chase
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > MERA MVPD Chasing a White 4 Door Pathfinder . 1 male driver
last
> > > seen heading up summit ave. towars lovel.. Tiburon, Marin So
> Also
> > > responding. Units have lost the vehicle now..
> > >
> > > I believe the tag is 46064 .. if someone wants to confirm the
> tag
> > > that would be appreciated.
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>
> > >
> > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > >
> > > avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Inbound message
clean.
> > >
> > >
> > > Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> > > Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:25:37 AM
> > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > >
> > > avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Outbound message
> clean.
> > >
> > >
> > > Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> > > Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:29:42 AM
> > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36824. Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 18:12:01 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36817 Message: 36824

This is getting weird. Not sure if this is related.. MVPD now
reporting a citizen just flagged them down and stated someone just
stole their car from East Blithdale.. Black Volvo.. Lisc. SMKDKA
last seen heading towards 101.. Dumb ass left the keys in car..

MVPD now just saw the NEW 10851 car Back up Ralston towards the area
where he ditched the 1st car.. Suspect description matches the
suspect from the first 10851.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> Suspect described as a white male 6 foot wearing baseball cap and
> blue jeans.. Sound like PD units are just driving around looking
for
> this guy.. Not sure why they have not set up perimeter?
>
> -- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> >
> > Confirmed 10851 vehicle located.. suspect bailed out.. residence
> now
> > reporting the suspect is walking down the hill near 300 Ralston
> Ave.
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > >
> > > A residence in the area on Ralston Ave. is now reporting a
white
> > > pathfinder parked in the middle of the road with no driver..
> > > Possibly the suspect vehicle abandoned.
> > >
> > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "vince48" <vince48@s...> wrote:
> > > > Mill Valley PD
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > _____
> > > >
> > > > From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@W...]
> > > > Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:24 AM
> > > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > > Subject: [bayscan] 10851 Mill Valley Chase
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > MERA MVPD Chasing a White 4 Door Pathfinder . 1 male driver
> last
> > > > seen heading up summit ave. towars lovel.. Tiburon, Marin So
> > Also
> > > > responding. Units have lost the vehicle now..
> > > >
> > > > I believe the tag is 46064 .. if someone wants to confirm
the
> > tag
> > > > that would be appreciated.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > _____
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > > >
> > > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> subject=Unsubscribe>
> > > >
> > > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> > > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > _____
> > > >
> > > > avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Inbound message
> clean.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> > > > Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:25:37 AM
> > > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > _____
> > > >
> > > > avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Outbound message

> > clean.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> > > > Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:29:42 AM
> > > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36825. Earthquake

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 18:51:41 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 5663 Message: 36825

San Jose Fire Department has broadcast the earthquake response message requiring all apparatus to be moved from stations and maintain radio watch for an earthquake that has just occurred.

Preliminary location is approximately 30 miles east of San Jose City Hall with a magnitude of 4.4.

I felt nothing in Santa Clara.

-C-

36826. Re: [bayscan] Earthquake

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 18:53:38 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 5663 Message: 36826

Quick follow up - CDF (SCU) has just advised all equipment be moved from stations and an inspection to be conducted.

151.445

-C-

On 2/5/05 10:51 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

> San Jose Fire Department has broadcast the earthquake response message
> requiring all apparatus to be moved from stations and maintain radio watch
> for an earthquake that has just occurred.
>
> Preliminary location is approximately 30 miles east of San Jose City Hall
> with a magnitude of 4.4.
>
> I felt nothing in Santa Clara.
>
> -C-
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36827. Re: [bayscan] Re: 3 alarm fire - alco trunk tac 5 ((TGID: 17648)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 18:13:33 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36816 Message: 36827

For the new radio lineup just add 3 to the previous tac # and thats the
new #.. tac's start at 5

Steve Wilson wrote:

>
> Thanks for the correction. I think I programmed the ALCO talkgroups
> based on someone's list on the Net before I found out about
> radioreference.com.
>
> Steve
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > Note , this is on TAC 5 not 2 (this is NO Tac 2) but it is 17648
> >
> > Everybody is out of the building an they just did a PAR (
> accountability
> > report) all five AFD Engines ((2741-45)) and both Trucks (2771-
> 72) are they
> > along with medic 2794.
> >
> >
> > Not sure which OFD units are they but they do have some.
> >
> > they are calling in 3 off duty Capts and 6 Fire fighters to cover
> the city
> > which I am sure is being covered by OFD and ALCO at this time.
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>

> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36828. Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 19:28:42 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36817 Message: 36828

Code 33 Lifted... Area has been searched for an hour now with nothing found..How do you lose 2 stolen cars in one Sat. morning? lol... MVPD even saw the guy drve past them..The Volvo is still outstanding.. 777 to be broadcast for all agencies in teh area. This guy has nine lives.. Air One is over head (i forget.. who is air one?)

11:28 AM

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> This is getting weird. Not sure if this is related.. MVPD now
> reporting a citizen just flagged them down and stated someone just
> stole their car from East Blithdale.. Black Volvo.. Lisc. SMKDKA
> last seen heading towards 101.. Dumb ass left the keys in car..
>
> MVPD now just saw the NEW 10851 car Back up Ralston towards the
area
> where he ditched the 1st car.. Suspect description matches the
> suspect from the first 10851.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> >
> > Suspect described as a white male 6 foot wearing baseball cap
and
> > blue jeans.. Sound like PD units are just driving around looking
> for
> > this guy.. Not sure why they have not set up perimeter?
> >
> > -- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Confirmed 10851 vehicle located.. suspect bailed out..
residence
> > now
> > > reporting the suspect is walking down the hill near 300
Ralston
> > Ave.
> > >
> > >
> > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...>
wrote:
> > > >
> > > > A residence in the area on Ralston Ave. is now reporting a
> white
> > > > pathfinder parked in the middle of the road with no driver..

> > > > Possibly the suspect vehicle abandoned.
> > > >
> > > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "vince48" <vince48@s...>
wrote:
> > > > > Mill Valley PD
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > _____
> > > > > >
> > > > > > From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@W...]
> > > > > > Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 9:24 AM
> > > > > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > > > > Subject: [bayscan] 10851 Mill Valley Chase
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > MERA MVPD Chasing a White 4 Door Pathfinder . 1 male
driver
> > last
> > > > > > seen heading up summit ave. towars lovel.. Tiburon, Marin
So
> > > Also
> > > > > > responding. Units have lost the vehicle now..
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I believe the tag is 46064 .. if someone wants to confirm
> the
> > > tag
> > > > > > that would be appreciated.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > _____
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > > > >
> > > > > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > > > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > > > > >
> > > > > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > > > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > > > > > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
> > subject=Unsubscribe>
> > > > > >
> > > > > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
> > > > > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > _____
> > > > >
> > > > > avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Inbound message
> > clean.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> > > > > Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:25:37 AM
> > > > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > _____
> > > > > >
> > > > > avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Outbound message
> > > clean.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Virus Database (VPS): 0505-2, 02/05/2005
> > > > > Tested on: 2/5/2005 9:29:42 AM
> > > > > avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36829. RE: [bayscan] Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 19:32:51 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36817 Message: 36829

Air One is the MC Sheriff fixed wing.

LOL about the 2 stolen cars. I imagine Marin law enforcement in general is feeling a little embarrassed right now. :-)

-Roger 11:32 am
-----Original Message-----
From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 11:29 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Code 33 Lifted... Area has been searched for an hour now with nothing found..How do you lose 2 stolen cars in one Sat. morning? lol... MVPD even saw the guy drve past them..The Volvo is still outstanding.. 777 to be broadcast for all agencies in teh area. This guy has nine lives.. Air One is over head (i forget.. who is air one?)

11:28 AM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36830. Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 19:38:38 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36817 Message: 36830

ahh yes thats right.. i recall a recent post about air one.. just
slipped my mind..

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> Air One is the MC Sheriff fixed wing.
>
> LOL about the 2 stolen cars. I imagine Marin law enforcement in
general is
> feeling a little embarrassed right now. :-)
>
> -Roger 11:32 am
> -----Original Message-----
> From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@W...]
> Sent: Saturday, February 05, 2005 11:29 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase
>
>
>
> Code 33 Lifted... Area has been searched for an hour now with
> nothing found..How do you lose 2 stolen cars in one Sat. morning?
> lol... MVPD even saw the guy drve past them..The Volvo is still
> outstanding.. 777 to be broadcast for all agencies in teh area.
This
> guy has nine lives.. Air One is over head (i forget.. who is air
> one?)
>
> 11:28 AM
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36831. Re: [bayscan] Earthquake

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 20:59:05 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 5663 Message: 36831

Nobody here in my house in Campbell felt it either... Must have been a shorty...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36832. Re: [bayscan] Earthquake

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 21:03:29 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 5663 Message: 36832

4.4M EQ at 10:43am

Centered:
30 km (19 miles) E (84?) from Alum Rock Park, CA
30 km (19 miles) SW (236?) from Westley, CA
32 km (20 miles) WSW (255?) from Patterson, CA
33 km (20 miles) NNE (25?) from Morgan Hill, CA
36 km (23 miles) E (80?) from San Jose City Hall, CA

19 miles east huh? San Antone Valley if you ask me... Calaveras fault and probably why most of us didn't feel it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36833. San Jose 2-Alarm Structure Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 22:20:55 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36833 Message: 36833

Just got back from incident on Silver Hill Drive in the Almaden Valley area of San José. Here's a brief sum-up.

First reported as smoke coming from a two car garage in a three-story condominium, San José Fire dispatched a full first alarm. Dispatch then informed responding units of an explosion in the garage and the first unit on scene quickly called a second alarm.

By the time I arrived the interior attack had knocked down pretty much all active fire on the second and third floors. There was active flame coming from the garage as a ruptured gas tank in one of the two vehicles in the garage continued to leak and ignite.

Concern arose over leaking gas that had entered the storm drain which may have possibly become an explosion hazard.

There were no reports of injuries, but the fire did displace at least five residents.

I'll have images up today some time. If anyone has any further details to add from the dispatches - please feel free to pass them on to me off the list - THANKS!

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

36834. Cliff Rescue at Muir Beach

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 22:44:30 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36834 Message: 36834

This is on the GGNRA trunking system.

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=349

Talkgroups:
16 - Law Enforcement 1 (Moved to ICS)
240 - ICS (Incident Command System?)

Also on Marin Co. Fire "Control 4" - 37.10 FM PL82.5
Tactical BLUE - 46.08 FM PL82.5

ICS appears to be a simulcast with a fire mutual aid freq, so this may be ANOTHER case of the White freqs getting renamed. Responding county fire was told to "switch to ICS... channel 15." I think Marin Fire calls White 1 "Control 14." Does this make channel 15 White 2 - 154.265? Haha oh man, I guess whatever works for them...

Units responding:

US Park PD Car 503
US Park PD Car 508 (Staged to direct them in)
Marin Co FD Engine 1585 (From Throckmorton)
Marin Co FD Utility 1535 (From Throckmorton)

Probably more now...

Just an update Marin County Fire units requested their own Tactical (and were assigned TAC Blue) so maybe that whole "channel 15" thing didn't work out.

14:44

-Inigo

36835. Re: 10851 Mill Valley Chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 22:54:28 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36817 Message: 36835

Yeah Air 1 is Marin County Sheriff's fixed wing aircraft based out of Gnoss field in Novato. I've flown out of there a lot but I haven't actually seen it yet so they must have it hangared somewhere out of the way (maybe on the east field).

It's a Cessna T-41B, which is a fancy government designation for a fixed gear Cessna 172 skyhawk with a bigger beefier engine on it. (A 210 hp Continental IO-360.) Their site says they aquired it from the US Customs in 1998.

http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/SO/main/air_patrol.cfm

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> ahh yes thats right.. i recall a recent post about air one.. just
> slipped my mind..

36836. Re: [bayscan] Earthquake

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 23:36:25 UTC
From: Scott Brenner
Topic: 5663 Message: 36836

I felt it at my house... South SJ near 101 & Blossom Hill. I felt a sharp jolt, but that's it.

Craig Tomasello wrote:
> 4.4M EQ at 10:43am
>
> Centered:
> 30 km (19 miles) E (84?) from Alum Rock Park, CA
> 30 km (19 miles) SW (236?) from Westley, CA
> 32 km (20 miles) WSW (255?) from Patterson, CA
> 33 km (20 miles) NNE (25?) from Morgan Hill, CA
> 36 km (23 miles) E (80?) from San Jose City Hall, CA
>
> 19 miles east huh? San Antone Valley if you ask me... Calaveras fault and probably why most of us didn't feel it.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36837. Re: Earthquake

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-05 23:49:13 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 5663 Message: 36837

Earthquake map

http://quake.usgs.gov/recenteqs/Maps/122-38.htm

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> San Jose Fire Department has broadcast the earthquake response message
> requiring all apparatus to be moved from stations and maintain radio
watch
> for an earthquake that has just occurred.
>
> Preliminary location is approximately 30 miles east of San Jose City
Hall
> with a magnitude of 4.4.
>
> I felt nothing in Santa Clara.
>
> -C-

36838. Concord CTCSS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 02:53:34 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 36838 Message: 36838

Hey folks, just wondering if anyone knew the correct ctcss for Concord PD? I put in the one on scancal.org and it didnt like it (127.3)

Also, has anyone programmed a KYD radio? This is a toy I bought off of Ebay to play around with and see what it can do, but the instructions that come with it are vague at best.

Thanks in advance! :)

36839. Re: [bayscan] Concord CTCSS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 03:07:39 UTC
From: C. David Nielson
Topic: 36838 Message: 36839

127.3 is the correct ctcss for all 4 Concord channels.

David

Dennis <nicholsdennis@...> wrote:

Hey folks, just wondering if anyone knew the correct ctcss for
Concord PD? I put in the one on scancal.org and it didnt like it
(127.3)

Also, has anyone programmed a KYD radio? This is a toy I bought off
of Ebay to play around with and see what it can do, but the
instructions that come with it are vague at best.

Thanks in advance! :)

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36840. Re: Concord CTCSS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 03:10:46 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 36838 Message: 36840

You are right, I had it programmed wrong....part of the problem when dealing with no instructions...lol...thanks :)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "C. David Nielson" <davidnielson@y...> wrote:
> 127.3 is the correct ctcss for all 4 Concord channels.
>
> David
>
> Dennis <nicholsdennis@y...> wrote:
>
>
> Hey folks, just wondering if anyone knew the correct ctcss for
> Concord PD? I put in the one on scancal.org and it didnt like it
> (127.3)
>
> Also, has anyone programmed a KYD radio? This is a toy I bought off
> of Ebay to play around with and see what it can do, but the
> instructions that come with it are vague at best.
>
> Thanks in advance! :)
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36841. SFFD building types?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 04:46:46 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 36841 Message: 36841

what are the building types?
ie; 3story type 3.
thanks

36842. Pursuit in Oakland Eastbound 580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 04:51:32 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36842 Message: 36842

Oakland TRS:

00-001 - PD Patch

CHP Bronze (I think unable to get them from here) - 42.12

OPD just got permission to PIT, and ARGUS 1 is getting started up at
OAK and on the way.

Subject just got on northbound 105th towards San Leandro.

-Inigo

36843. Re: Pursuit in Oakland Eastbound 580

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 04:54:53 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36842 Message: 36843

Northbound 105th is dead-ended and they foot bailed. No perimeter,
taking the subject into custody and Code 4. Code 33 lifted.

Nothing further... that was a pretty intense pursuit.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> Oakland TRS:
>
> 00-001 - PD Patch
>
> CHP Bronze (I think unable to get them from here) - 42.12
>
> OPD just got permission to PIT, and ARGUS 1 is getting started up
at
> OAK and on the way.
>
> Subject just got on northbound 105th towards San Leandro.
>
> -Inigo

36844. Re: [bayscan] SFFD building types?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 05:22:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36841 Message: 36844

Type 1s are reinforced concrete , typically 3-4 hour firewalls . quite common
, think of high-rises.

Type 2s are also reinforced concrete but 1-2 hour firewalls , less common.

Type 3 is brick/mortar ....very common .

Type 4 is metal like a gas station

Type 5 is the most common. woodframe construction...houses etc.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36845. CHASE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 05:59:04 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 2587 Message: 36845

Chase Oakland area:
OPD and SO still involved.

42.120

Robert
BayScan

9:59 pm

36846. Another 580 Pursuit!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 06:07:01 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36846 Message: 36846

Lots of pursuits in Oakland tonight! This one's somewhere on 580 (beyond that I didn't catch a location, heard macarthur a long time ago). ALCO Sheriff was originally in pursuit, they just called it and gave it to CHP. There were a few Sheriffs still shadowing the pursuit.

CHP Bronze - 42.12

I think this one's 10-15 Code 4.

Different pursuit on Market St. and 27th in Oakland on Oakland TRS Talkgroup 02-011 (PD Patch 3). They're trying to pit him.

Jesus crazy night!

36847. Re: [bayscan] Another 580 Pursuit!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 06:11:46 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36846 Message: 36847

its the same pursuit actually... play my play was on my board. started wb 580 @ estudillo h30 in the air, had alameda pd, acso, chp and odp then guy took it and got off freeway in oakland. footbailed and code 4 near fruitvale and adelaine

inigo4688 wrote:

> Lots of pursuits in Oakland tonight! This one's somewhere on 580
> (beyond that I didn't catch a location, heard macarthur a long time
> ago). ALCO Sheriff was originally in pursuit, they just called it and
> gave it to CHP. There were a few Sheriffs still shadowing the pursuit.
>
> CHP Bronze - 42.12
>
> I think this one's 10-15 Code 4.
>
> Different pursuit on Market St. and 27th in Oakland on Oakland TRS
> Talkgroup 02-011 (PD Patch 3). They're trying to pit him.
>
> Jesus crazy night!
>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36848. Something you don't want to hear...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 06:46:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36848 Message: 36848

http://wavcentral.com/cgi-bin/log/log.cgi?id=2619&sound=http://65.24.81.11/sounds/FX/9_1_1.mp3

or

http://tinyurl.com/4d4jd

:)

Evan

36849. Re: Something you don't want to hear...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 15:00:09 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 36848 Message: 36849

Hah! I love it. That reminds me of "What is the number for 911?"
or "911? Where is the eleven button?"

- Brian

36850. Re: [bayscan] Re: Something you don't want to hear...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 15:17:52 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36848 Message: 36850

I once saw an Old Lady who though me & my friends (yes that right I have friends) were the bad guys and she started to yell 911, 911, 911 and I couldn't help myself and yell back "you better call 411 and get the number"

I know how bad !!!! but it funny at the time

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36851. 2-Alarm Structure Fire Images - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 16:38:47 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36851 Message: 36851

Whoops! Would have had these posted yesterday, but while I was working on them another fire broke nearby and by the time I got home - I was so tired that I fell asleep - ARGH!

At any rate - here are the shots:

http://tinyurl.com/43yfu

Or

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/silverhill/silverhill.htm

By the way, this is not perfectly finished as one of the images will be swapped out - update to come this afternoon.

Cheers!

Craig

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...
emergencyphoto@...

travel // aviation // railroad // emergency // nature

36852. MVA - RESCUE - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 19:10:13 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36852 Message: 36852

San Jose Fire responding to NB 280 south of Bird for a rescue response. This is an MVA requiring a single extrication.

280 IC

ENGINE 3
BATTALION 1
TRUCK COMPANY 3
MED 30
RESCUE MEDIC 4

Being handled on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
CHP - 42.500

-C-

36853. OT: Jail Cam

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 19:15:00 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36853 Message: 36853

Watch people getting booked live! :-)
http://www.tnacso.net/cont/jailcam.shtml

-Roger 11:15 am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36854. Daly City - 2nd alarm @ 1407hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 22:11:51 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 36854 Message: 36854

Daly City has a 2nd alarm fire at 6065 Mission Street near the SF border, reported to be a 2 story commercial/residential. PD had requested a code 3 ambulance for a victim of the fire.

Control 21 - 154.100
Control 23 - 154.040 (fireground)

First alarm - BC 20, E 91, 92, 93 & T95
Second alarm - BC 17 (SSF) & 19 (Colma), E 94, 86 (Colma), 81 (Brisbane), Q 62 (SSF) as a truck, & breathing support 61 (SSF)

Jeff

36855. Re: SFFD building types?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-06 22:22:50 UTC
From: gydds
Topic: 36841 Message: 36855

The building types are not just used by the SFFD, they are a national standard as described in the IFSTA guides. San Francisco just chooses to have the reporting comany give a size up with the building type. Not many other departments do that. They are:

Type 1 - Fire Resistive - structural members are of non-combustible materials and are of such quality and so protected that they will resist the maximum severity of the fire expeced within the strucutre without collase. ie. most big skyscrapers

Type 2 - Non-combustible - materials of construction do not contribute to the development or spread of fire. Cannot withstand a burnout of its contents. ie. Costco warehouse

Type 3 - Ordinary - Exterior bearing walls are of non combustible materials; and foors, roofs, and interior framing are wholly or partly of wood of a smaller dimension than required for Heavy Timber construction. ie. H style apartment building

Type 4 - Heavy Timber - bearing walls are non combustible, columns are not less than 8 x 8, beams and girders no less than 10' in depth, framing members no less than 4 x 6.

Type 5 - Wood Frame - exterior walls, bearing walls, roof, floors and their supports are wholly or principally of wood or other combustible materials. ie. most of our residential homes

Hope this helps.

Greg
-- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Merlin Willis, N6OFY" <n6ofy@a...>
wrote:
>
> what are the building types?
> ie; 3story type 3.
> thanks

36856. Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 00:55:36 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 36856 Message: 36856

I was driving the other day and had my scanner on. I passed a police car and thought to myself, "Wouldn't it be weird to hear an officer running your plate while you were scanning?" Has it happened to anyone here? Just curious.

Steve (memorizing my personal plates...phonetically <g>)

36857. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 00:59:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36856 Message: 36857

YEP ,.....I was 10-31A :-) the female officer liked my pro
2006 too LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36858. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 01:09:55 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36856 Message: 36858

At 04:55 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:

>I was driving the other day and had my scanner on. I passed a police
>car and thought to myself, "Wouldn't it be weird to hear an officer
>running your plate while you were scanning?" Has it happened to
>anyone here? Just curious.

Yes. Unfortunately.. :)

A few times.. I think two of them were then followed by "11-95" (traffic stop).

Once was because (at that time) I had a rear deck light that I accidentally switched on. More of a 'courtesy' stop - i.e. are you OK?' than a cite or ticket.

Another time, back when I had a 250 cc Moped, I was enroute to photograph a fire in Palo Alto, I made a California-ish stop at a right hand turn, and about a block behind me see a PD flip a ... ahem U-Turn and turn his lights on.. I pull over thinking he's going to the fire, he tells me to make a right hand turn at the intersection. I hear him asking dispatch what <PP> on my license plate means, they tell him (Press Photographer). I start to take my helmet off, he tells me not to bother, and sarcastically asks me where the fire is. I tell him. He looks at me, and realizing I'm not being an Adam Henry radios dispatch and asks if there is a fire at that location, they advise there is, he cautions me to be more careful, and lets me go.

Back when I did news photography, the PP plates seemed like a brilliant idea - "Cops will know you're a photographer!" people would tell me.

I have had off duty cops ask me what the plates meant.

I'm debating going back to regular plates, or the Patriot plates.

Evan

36859. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 01:31:29 UTC
From: nobag (Mike)
Topic: 36856 Message: 36859

Hi Steve,

It happens to me at least once or twice a month. I live in a small town and the Police have nothing better to do than run license plates in between high speed car chases through residential neighborhoods, and jumping over fences on leg bails.

Of course the fact that my Bronco looks like a porcupine because of all the antenna's on it has nothing to do with how often my plates get run. (LOL)

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 02/06/05 16:55:45
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

I was driving the other day and had my scanner on. I passed a police car and thought to myself, "Wouldn't it be weird to hear an officer running your plate while you were scanning?" Has it happened to anyone here? Just curious.

Steve (memorizing my personal plates...phonetically <g>)

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36860. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 01:56:52 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36856 Message: 36860

I guess it depends on the agency, but I though the cops like to light 'em up or give you the solid red, get you to stop, then call dispatch and give them your plate. So to hear your plates while driving would be odd.

I'm trying to recall the agency, but I believe it was Richmond where the cop would in one transmission indicate he stopped a car, give the plate, and say "we are code 4". This made little sense to me since if they are just calling the plate, how do you know you are code 4? Your problems could just be starting.

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 04:55 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>I was driving the other day and had my scanner on. I passed a police
>>car and thought to myself, "Wouldn't it be weird to hear an officer
>>running your plate while you were scanning?" Has it happened to
>>anyone here? Just curious.
>
>
> Yes. Unfortunately.. :)
>
> A few times.. I think two of them were then followed by "11-95" (traffic
> stop).

36861. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 02:05:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36856 Message: 36861

Oh........Evan,,,,,,,,that reminds me of one I forgot. No scanner but I was pulled over on my...... think it was the 76' CB500, and the cop asks me "where the fire was." I show him my Fire Reserve badge and tell him "Taylor and Eddy." He too checked, and was told there was in fact a 3 alarm fire working. He told me to " slow down" and let me go :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36862. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 02:23:22 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 36856 Message: 36862

> the cop would in one transmission indicate he stopped a car,
> give the plate, and say "we are code 4". This made little
> sense to me since if they are just calling the plate, how
> do you know you are code 4? Your problems could just be starting.

I've always presumed that, unless you call code 4, dispatch was sending someone. So it was a preemptive strike against having your pals driving all over to back you up when you're just writing a ticket. If you pull over someone for running a red light, they pull over right away, and look scared -- why not? Berkeley does this a lot: code 4 long before they make contact. Sometimes they'll say "I'll advise" meaning "check back with me if you don't hear from me in a few minutes" -- but I think cops are good enough at sussing out a situation without making contact.

I'm not a cop, but I would guess that experience and confidence would get you pretty far.

36863. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 02:27:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36856 Message: 36863

Disagree Gary, I have actually heard my plate twice. The time the female Menlo Park officer ran me I was parked near the dumbarton bridge, wasn't actually moving, but she called it in before hitting me with her brights and the red. I have heard SFPD do Plenty of rolling 10-29s and other agencies as well. Makes sense to know who your dealing with before stopping you.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36864. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 02:17:24 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36856 Message: 36864

yup, happened to me, deservedly -- i plowed right through a red light
in a confusing intersection of san rafael. saw the red lights,
pulled over, and kept listening.

and then there was that 415 verbal i got into with a couple who had
left their dog locked in their mercedes van at high noon on a hot day
(windows rolled up, naturall) while they were shopping at nordstrom's.
but that's another story, the details of which are best left for off
list inquiries.

nina

On Sunday, Feb 6, 2005, at 16:55 US/Pacific, Steve Wilson wrote:

>
>
> I was driving the other day and had my scanner on. I passed a police
> car and thought to myself, "Wouldn't it be weird to hear an officer
> running your plate while you were scanning?" Has it happened to
> anyone here? Just curious.
>
> Steve (memorizing my personal plates...phonetically <g>)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36865. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 02:56:22 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36856 Message: 36865

I think it depends on the agency. I know someone who did a ride along, and the procedure I describe was used. I suspect the cop doesn't want to call the plate, say they are going to pull them over, then lose the person in traffic.

I had a ticket where I made it through a left turn and kept driving on the road at a normal speed. The cop sat through the light, turned, and finally caught up with me a few minutes later. BTW, it was a ticket I beat in court.

caladded@... wrote:
> Disagree Gary, I have actually heard my plate twice. The time the female
> Menlo Park officer ran me I was parked near the dumbarton bridge, wasn't actually
> moving, but she called it in before hitting me with her brights and the red.
> I have heard SFPD do Plenty of rolling 10-29s and other agencies as well.
> Makes sense to know who your dealing with before stopping you.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36866. Re: C4 on stop..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 03:08:50 UTC
From: sh9730
Topic: 36856 Message: 36866

Actually, after mmaaany (too many) years in this business this is still one of those little things that bugs me.. If you look at (most agencies anyways) what code 4 means it makes sense:

Code 4 = no further assistance required

Pretty self explanatory...hence the example on your traffic stop....Im making a stop but I dont need any assistance. That doesnt mean in a minute I WONT need someone if the situation changes, but for now Im ok.

Code 4 gets "slanged" so much it drives me crazy. I.E - heard often:

"Im code 4 but continue another unit.." Huh?

"Im code 4 FOR units".. I ve gathered through the years that this means that things are kind of still out of hand, but more cops wont make it better...but again...straight code 4 should be sufficient here..

"I ll be code 4 once the next unit arrives..." This one isnt soooo bad, but still..."Continue 1 unit only please" seems to do the job, and THEN put out the code 4 after the unit gets there.

There are many other examples...and its really no big deal....but since it came up.. Fire has this stuff somewhat easier to understand with the Fire Contained vs. Fire Controlled terms but I understand on LE freqs air time is a little more precious...

36867. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 03:41:41 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36856 Message: 36867

I've had my plate run a few times. The PP Plates do help but not all cops know what they mean. I had a CHP pull me over after whizzing by him S/B 280 @ Trousdale. I was on the way to a HAZMAT at HWY 92 @ HWY 35 at the vista point. Told him what was going on and he let me go. I saw him in the rear view mirror looking at his license plate book and scratching his head.

Another time I didn't have my plate run but my house was run! We had a burglar alarm. I was near my house and heard my address come over my scanner. Back then I had a Bearcat 220RC (The old rolling zero's...I miss those) anyway. My friend was with me and we flew to my house. I came around the corner and the were 5 SFPD cars by my house. I slowly approached an officer and said I lived there. He asked for ID and said the alarm was going off. Well, they completely checked the house. It turned out to be a faulty connection on the bathroom window.

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36868. Re: [bayscan] alarms //////////////////// attn: Paul

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 03:45:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36868 Message: 36868

five police cars at your house for an alarm Paul.....pretty obvious you were not in Fremont huh ? LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36869. Re: SFFD building types?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 03:53:28 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36841 Message: 36869

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Type 4 is metal like a gas station

Hmmm. That's a new one on me. Maybe it's an SFFD thing. In all the years of being a firefighter (and lots of fire science classes), the Type IV construction has always been referred to as a *heavy timber* construction. That means substantially larger/thicker wood members than a common Type V construction.

36870. Re: Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 04:06:57 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36856 Message: 36870

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> A few times.. I think two of them were then followed by "11-95"
(traffic stop).

You must mean "11-86" (traffic stop).

In my earlier years, I would be out late quite often. Vehicles
driving around late at night in suburban areas frequently get their
plates *tripped* (10-29/10-28) by bored cops. It happened a couple
times, with no 10-7T afterwards.

36871. Re: [bayscan] Re: Ever heard your own plate run? 1195

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 04:15:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36871 Message: 36871

11-95 is routine traffic stop 11-96 is suspious vehicle stop , can't remember which jurisdictions , its listed in Kelty

its not SFPD , traffic stop is 585.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36872. out of area plane crash

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 04:44:50 UTC
From: Brian Verhalen
Topic: 36872 Message: 36872

Was leaving Truckee, heading home (North from Truckee) & heard a page at about 7:30 PM on 155.16 (listed on my 96 as S&R) for a plane crash on Devil's Peak. They said to meet at the Royal Gorge cross country ski parking lot. (Royal Gorge is about 5-10 min drive west on 80 from Donner Summit). Not sure which county that is, should be either Nevada or Placer.

36873. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 04:47:55 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 36856 Message: 36873

Yep happened to me last Feb... I was just coming back from chasing SJFD Station 14 crew to a false call, and I was heading back and Campbell PD was running a speed trap on Hamilton. All of a sudden I see a cop behind me with his lights on, so I turned right to go to my house from hamilton and he turned to follow me, so I turned left onto my street and he req'd to dispatch "I don't think this guy is gonna sto......Wait, Campbell 11-95 (cross streets)". He then ran my plates which of course came up clear and current to the house I had just pulled over in front of... My own house. He advised Code 4 and walked up just as I shut the scanner off. Now mind you, my street is about 500 feet from Hamilton, so I really wasn't trying to run from him.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36874. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 04:49:35 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 36856 Message: 36874

Speaking of Rollin' 10-27 10-29's SJPD did that when they had that chase I mentioned a few weeks ago. I wondered why he kept braking to stay in the truck's blind spot. Turns out it was because he was running his plates and pacing him to get his speed.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36875. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 04:49:48 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 36856 Message: 36875

Well alot of times an ofcr will make a stop but not call it in until he's already had the vehicle stopped and has been out with the driver for several minutes.... that may have been what u were hearing :)

In a message dated 2/6/05 18:22:41, yahoogroups@... writes:
<< I'm trying to recall the agency, but I believe it was Richmond where

the cop would in one transmission indicate he stopped a car, give the

plate, and say "we are code 4". This made little sense to me since if

they are just calling the plate, how do you know you are code 4? Your

problems could just be starting.

>>

36876. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 04:54:33 UTC
From: Terry Taylor
Topic: 36856 Message: 36876

Not me, but my plate.... my wife took my the 2 year old (1981 year model) ex-CHP Dodge
Diplomat (I REALLY miss that car at times.) It had SEVERAL (2 Ham, 1 CAP, 1
American Red Cross, 1 cell phone and 1 scanner antenna on it.), but was nicely painted, had
real wheel covers and looked like a normal civilian Diplomat sedan. The car had my Ham plates
on it and in1983, Ham Plates, Exempt Plates, PP plates and several others were NOT
in the computer.

So , this ASO deputy runs a routine 10-29 on my plate (we still have NO idea why) and
when disptach came back with a "Not on File", he went appoplectic on the radio,
demanding to know why it was not on file. All the while, I am talking to my wife on the cell
phone while he is following her and losing it. Finally, one of the detectives (who was
also a friend of mine) has him 10-6 to the alternate channel and explains to him about
not on file plates.

He followed my wife all the way to the store, waited and followed her all the way home.
Never had another problem with him again, but he always gave me a dirty look whenever
I passed him in that car (or even subsequent cars with the Ham plate on them).
Steve Wilson wrote:

>I was driving the other day and had my scanner on. I passed a police
>car and thought to myself, "Wouldn't it be weird to hear an officer
>running your plate while you were scanning?" Has it happened to
>anyone here? Just curious.
>
>

36877. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 05:00:09 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36856 Message: 36877

At 06:23 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:
>I've always presumed that, unless you call code 4, dispatch was sending
>someone. So it was a preemptive strike against having your pals driving
>all over to back you up when you're just writing a ticket.

There's typicall two codes for a traffic stop - 11-95 & 11-96. 11-96 is a traffic stop with (request) for a fill unit. Most agencies I hear a nightime stop is a automatic 11-96.

Evan

36878. Re: [bayscan] Re: Ever heard your own plate run? 1195

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 05:15:54 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36871 Message: 36878

At 08:15 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:

> 11-95 is routine traffic stop 11-96 is suspious vehicle stop ,
> can't
>remember which jurisdictions , its listed in Kelty
>
> its not SFPD , traffic stop is 585.

Except in Milpitas, which uses 11-96 for routine stops... which is their
standard
SOP for two officers for contact/cover on a stop until Code 4.. LOL

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36879. barricaded suspect ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 05:16:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36879 Message: 36879

Just heard one of the SJPD dispatcher mention a barricaded suspect , not sure if she meant SJPD or an adjoining agency , anybody catch this ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36880. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 05:25:43 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36856 Message: 36880

At 08:54 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:

> The
>car had my Ham plates
>on it and in1983, Ham Plates, Exempt Plates, PP plates and several
>others were NOT
>in the computer.

As a former public safety dispatcher during that time period, I can assure you that
they WERE in the DMV computer. Even press plates.

You just had to know how to run them, and what category to run them under.

BTW that's how we stayed awake on midnights in the winter.. going through the procedure manuals, and
looking for weird stuff to stump the other dispatchers.

I heard my plate run a lot back then... as I had a rather unique personalized plate that came back with
confidentiality, and that DMV never caught the meaning of.

Out of state cops in small towns are the worst for randomly running plates. I was mountain biking in Oregon one time, and took the scanner... I think
the Local po-dunk department ran almost every car in the motel parking lot for wants/registration that night.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36881. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 05:25:32 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36856 Message: 36881

Now that you mention it, the rolling checks are common in San Jose, but I don't recall other departments doing this. So yes, checking the plate before the stop is common in some department. I suppose if they had a two man unit, the other guy would be punching up license plates via data link so you would never hear the call.

A bit OT, but California now has a law similar the to federal Freedom of Information Act. It may be possible to find out who is running your plates (unknown to you) via a request to the CADOJ or perhaps DMV. In a tour of the Milpitas PD dispatch, I was informed that there is a charge for each plate ran thus records are kept. There are privacy laws concerning license data, so you need some reason to run the plate other than "she looks hot." Some Sunnyvale cop was running plates on the side for a civilian organization and got caught.

Craig Tomasello wrote:
>
> Speaking of Rollin' 10-27 10-29's SJPD did that when they had that chase I mentioned a few weeks ago. I wondered why he kept braking to stay in the truck's blind spot. Turns out it was because he was running his plates and pacing him to get his speed.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36882. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 05:38:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36856 Message: 36882

At 09:25 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:
>As a former public safety dispatcher during that time period, I can assure
>you that
>they WERE in the DMV computer. Even press plates.
>
>You just had to know how to run them, and what category to run them under.

I recall that.. even somewhat recently. I forgot the 'code' for Press Plates. But when I went to the DMV for something, I remember talking to the person, she asked for my license plate. I told her PP<XXXX>. She ran it, and told me it's not valid. I said yes it is, it's a press plate. She said Nope, that's not right. I told her she had to run it as a trailer. She said Is it a trailer? And I said no, but you need to run it as a trailer. She gave me this "I know what I'm doing, you don't" attitude, and told me I was giving her a incorrect plate. I told her it IS PP<XXXX>, and it's run as a trailer. She typed in again just PP<XXXX>, and nothing AGAIN. I told her she needs to run it as a trailer (3rd time's a charm?) She looks at me again "Is it a trailer?" Finally giving up, I said "Yes, it's a trailer." She punches it in, then says "Huh.. yeah that comes up, but it says it's a Ford Taurus. That's not a trailer!" I felt like saying something quite rude, but settled on "Yes, that's correct but that's how you have to run it."

This was pretty 'recent' - last 5 or so years.

Evan

36883. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 05:44:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36856 Message: 36883

Sounds like DMV , run a Press Plate like a trailer , hmmm

I remember the last time I took the M1 written license
.....I failed by one question the first time. the lady <signed> and said she
"knew" the problem and said just go by the what the book says and you'll pass .
so I did and passed. it was obvious the idiot who wrote the test and book had
NEVER ridden a motorcycle.....goverment for ya !!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36884. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 05:58:58 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36856 Message: 36884

gosh, where can i get press plates?

On Sunday, Feb 6, 2005, at 21:38 US/Pacific, Evan Platt wrote:

>
> At 09:25 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:
>> As a former public safety dispatcher during that time period, I can
>> assure
>> you that
>> they WERE in the DMV computer. Even press plates.
>>
>> You just had to know how to run them, and what category to run them
>> under.
>
> I recall that.. even somewhat recently. I forgot the 'code' for Press
> Plates. But when I went to the DMV for something, I remember talking
> to the
> person, she asked for my license plate. I told her PP<XXXX>. She ran
> it,
> and told me it's not valid. I said yes it is, it's a press plate. She
> said
> Nope, that's not right. I told her she had to run it as a trailer. She
> said
> Is it a trailer? And I said no, but you need to run it as a trailer.
> She
> gave me this "I know what I'm doing, you don't" attitude, and told me
> I was
> giving her a incorrect plate. I told her it IS PP<XXXX>, and it's run
> as a
> trailer. She typed in again just PP<XXXX>, and nothing AGAIN. I told
> her
> she needs to run it as a trailer (3rd time's a charm?) She looks at me
> again "Is it a trailer?" Finally giving up, I said "Yes, it's a
> trailer."
> She punches it in, then says "Huh.. yeah that comes up, but it says
> it's a
> Ford Taurus. That's not a trailer!" I felt like saying something quite
> rude, but settled on "Yes, that's correct but that's how you have to
> run it."
>
> This was pretty 'recent' - last 5 or so years.
>
> Evan
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36885. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 06:18:16 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36856 Message: 36885

At 09:58 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:

>gosh, where can i get press plates?

The DMV. :)

Take your press credentials to the DMV and apply.

California Vehicle Code Section 5008:

5008. (a) Any person who is regularly employed or engaged as a bona fide newspaper, newsreel, or television photographer or cameraman, may, after the requirements for the registration of the motor vehicle have been complied with, also apply for special license plates, and the department shall issue special license plates in lieu of the regular license plates. The special license plates shall be affixed to the vehicle for which registration is sought and shall display the letters "PP" enclosed by a shield.

The applicant shall, by satisfactory proof, show that he or she is a bonafide newspaper, newsreel, or television photographer or cameraman.

(b) The department shall not issue more than one set of the special plates to any person.

(c) In addition to the regular fees for an original registration or renewal of registration, the following special fees shall be paid:

(1) Twenty dollars ($20) for the initial issuance of the special plate.

(2) Twelve dollars ($12) for the transfer of the special plate to another motor vehicle.
Amended Ch. 1273, Stats. 1982. Effective January 1, 1983.

36886. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 06:34:55 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 36856 Message: 36886

gary wrote:

>I guess it depends on the agency, but I though the cops like to light
>'em up or give you the solid red, get you to stop, then call dispatch
>and give them your plate. So to hear your plates while driving would be odd.
>
>

<<<snip>>>

My deputies tell us the plate, description of your vehicle and location
of stop before they make the actual stop. That way we can tell them if
the tags are expired or if the car is stolen as they get out of their
patrol car. If it is a stolen vehicle it saves them having to retreat
back to the patrol car to do a felony stop.

That is how most agencies in So Cal do it, with the exception of CHP who
never call in a traffic stop till after it has been made and they want
to run someone.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

36887. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 06:41:38 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36856 Message: 36887

difference btween felony and regular stop?

>>
>
> <<<snip>>>
>
> My deputies tell us the plate, description of your vehicle and location
> of stop before they make the actual stop. That way we can tell them if
> the tags are expired or if the car is stolen as they get out of their
> patrol car. If it is a stolen vehicle it saves them having to retreat
> back to the patrol car to do a felony stop.
>
> That is how most agencies in So Cal do it, with the exception of CHP
> who
> never call in a traffic stop till after it has been made and they want
> to run someone.
>
> Mike
>
> --
>
> miked911@...
> Mike Derryberry
>
> Dispatcher II
> Kern County Sheriff's Department
> Bakersfield, CA
>
> Listen to my department:
> http://war.str3am.com:7300/
>
> Listen to Kern County Scanning:
> http://war.str3am.com:7460/
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36888. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 06:55:57 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36856 Message: 36888

nina zhito wrote:
> difference btween felony and regular stop?

Attitude.

Felony stops are at gunpoint, involving more than one unit, lots of shouting, and frequently shutting down traffic until the subject of the stop has been handcuffed and placed into custody.

Then the questions start.

Don

36889. Felony or regular vehicle stop

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 06:53:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36889 Message: 36889

Nina, if your ever in a vehicle that they pull a felony stop, you WON'T be happy. You'll be looking down the barrel of a . 40 . 357 12 gauge or some other weapon(s).

Felony, also known as High-Risk stops, are for the officers protection because they have reason to believe the vehicle is either stolen or wanted for some violent offense or they believe you have a weapon etc.

Watch COPS much? They show felony stops all the time. Those in the car are brought out one by one and are told to keep their hands up and brought towards the rear of the vehicle and told to prone out on the ground. you will more than likely see a minimum of 3 officers, usually more for their protection. Everyone then gets cuffed until they can confirm something I mentioned above or they find out you OK in which you get released.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36890. Re: Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 06:59:58 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36856 Message: 36890

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> difference btween felony and regular stop?
>
> >>
> >
> A Felony , or high-rise stop , is done for vehicles that
are stolen or wanted in some violent crime or they believe you have a
weapon etc. Officers , usually several , pull you over with guns
drawn and the occupants are brought out of the vehicle one by one
with hands raised . they are made to move to the rear of the vehicle
and " proned" out on the ground so officers can search and cuff those
inside.

Perhaps you've seen this on COPS , they do it all the time on that
show.

If they DON'T have any of the above reasons, you'll usually
approched with guns holstered , although you may see his/her hand on
the weapon per training.

Vehicle stops are one of the highest type incidents in which
officers are killed.
> >
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604

36891. Re: [bayscan] Re: Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 07:02:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36856 Message: 36891

Sorry about answering the felony question twice guys ;-(I thought
I deleted the first one by accident.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36892. OT: plates /Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 06:27:48 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36856 Message: 36892

interesting, thanks. none of the newspaper folks i know (the sandbox i play in) use them.

since CHP is getting out of the business of issuing media credentials, i wonder what the dmv will accept as "satisfactory proof" of bona fide employment/engagement. clips? hmmm.

is there any advantage with regard to scene parking or access in the group's opinion?

nina
On Sunday, Feb 6, 2005, at 22:18 US/Pacific, Evan Platt wrote:

>
> At 09:58 PM 2/6/2005, you wrote:
>
>> gosh, where can i get press plates?
>
> The DMV. :)
>
> Take your press credentials to the DMV and apply.
>
> California Vehicle Code Section 5008:
>
> 5008. (a) Any person who is regularly employed or engaged as a bona
> fide
> newspaper, newsreel, or television photographer or cameraman, may,
> after
> the requirements for the registration of the motor vehicle have been
> complied with, also apply for special license plates, and the
> department
> shall issue special license plates in lieu of the regular license
> plates.
> The special license plates shall be affixed to the vehicle for which
> registration is sought and shall display the letters "PP" enclosed by a
> shield.
>
> The applicant shall, by satisfactory proof, show that he or she is a
> bonafide newspaper, newsreel, or television photographer or cameraman.
>
> (b) The department shall not issue more than one set of the special
> plates
> to any person.
>
> (c) In addition to the regular fees for an original registration or
> renewal

> of registration, the following special fees shall be paid:
>
> (1) Twenty dollars ($20) for the initial issuance of the special plate.
>
> (2) Twelve dollars ($12) for the transfer of the special plate to
> another
> motor vehicle.
> Amended Ch. 1273, Stats. 1982. Effective January 1, 1983.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

36893. Media Credential

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 08:19:09 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 36893 Message: 36893

Hey Nina and the gang,

snip<since CHP is getting out of the business of issuing media credentials, i wonder what the dmv will accept as "satisfactory proof" of bona fide employment/engagement. clips? hmmm.

is there any advantage with regard to scene parking or access in the group's opinion?>snip

As you know I have press plates and it has helped on a few occasions. One at the scene of a fire where I was going to park and walk up the hill to the fire but when I pulled in they pulled their cars back and let me through, that was surprising to me.

Another time was when there was a fire in the Mairn Headlands near the GG Bridge and an Marin IJ photographer asked if I could get him in closer to the fire since he didn't have any id on him. He got in the car with me and we went through 3 CHP roadblocks and right near the fire location.

Im sure Brian can answer this one better then me but the most important thing I have found is just staying in the background and out of the way of emergency personnel even though I know many of them on a first name basis and show them the pictures or video afterwards.

Robert
BayScan

36894. Re: OT: plates /Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 14:40:03 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36856 Message: 36894

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> interesting, thanks. none of the newspaper folks i know (the
sandbox i
> play in) use them.
>
> since CHP is getting out of the business of issuing media
credentials,
> i wonder what the dmv will accept as "satisfactory proof" of bona
fide
> employment/engagement. clips? hmmm.
>
> is there any advantage with regard to scene parking or access in
the
> group's opinion?
>
> nina

Nina,

I have no idea about what's being accepted for PP plates now but it does help to have them if you are going to a major incident. If nothing else, a press area is usually set up closer ot the incident than we let the general public and a PP plate will get you to the press area. Most cops tend to be a little more tolerant of speed violations for PP plates as well as long as it's not too over the line if they realize you are headed for a major incident. The down side is there are a few cops who don't like the press at all and will look for reasons to stop and ticket PP plates.

I've had my plates run many times. Even though it's my POV, I'm one of the SAR Coordinators up here so it is code 3 (CHP permit) equipped but unmarked and looks like something "official". When they run the plate, it comes back as confidential. Most officers know that means the vehicle is registered to someone in law enforcement. I have been stopped on a few occasions and asked to show ID, sometimes when I've been a little over the speed limit <g> but I've never been cited. Most of the time they just want to look at all the junk I have in the back of the Explorer.

Just as matter of interest, all FBI vehicles with California POV plates will come back "No Record". We had one way up in the crime scene area during the Polly Klaas search and it came back as "No Record". We had about 10 deputies trying to find the occupant or occupants of the vehicle until two FBI agents finally came strolling out of the woods a half hour later. They had forgotten to notify the command post where they were going and told us that all FBI vehicles

never get in the DMV system except for getting plates.

Regards, Jim

36895. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: plates /Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 15:14:13 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 36856 Message: 36895

Terms like "No recond on file" , "Confidential" or even "Exempt" make it easyer for both Dispatchers and Officers in the field to understand "Who" the vehicle is. But one County in Washington State started a new trend. All unmaked cars come back to "Kustom Concrete Products". If someone in the same County ran the plates --maybe they might know. But else where -- the driver could most likely get pulled over. The DMV allows Drivers to use a P. O. Box -- for Drivers Licenses -- but NOT for vehicle registrations. So someone can still find you.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36896. Trade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 16:36:41 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36896 Message: 36896

I need a QUITE router, I the 100mbs that I'm using now is putting out an S-8 noise on Two Meter Band, and the Par filter I have will not work for this, so I need a new quite 100 megabit router and I'll trade that nice PAR triple Notch Filter (VHF) I spend all my money on .

VHF Notch Filter Part# VHFTN152-158
Par Elec.
Glenville NC
Ph# 828-743-1338

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36897. Re: [bayscan] Trade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 16:44:43 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36896 Message: 36897

At 08:36 AM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
>I need a QUITE router,

You need one that's quite quiet? ;)

I can't recall the ones we used at the NOC I worked at, they were somewhat quiet. Linksys or Netgear (didn't one of them buy the other out)?

Evan

36898. Re: My plate run wrong [was Ever heard your own plate run?]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 17:16:47 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 36898 Message: 36898

1 March 2002, Travis AFB, parking lot of the Base Exchange. I was operating mobile and apparantly that scared someone. When they ran my Amateur plates as personalized and got a "no listing," I was questioned by people with M16's at ready -- magazine inserted -- while they tred to figure out if I was a terrorist.

More recently, in Kirkland WA, someone was scared by my antennas at a shopping center. "No listing" again... the (fairly young) policeman got me out of CompUSA to ask questions. Did NOT like my saying that if others were scared that was their problem, not mine. His Corporal showed up and said "Ham operator, huh?"

Cortland
KA5S

>You just had to know how to run them, and what category to run them under.
>

36899. Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 18:03:20 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36871 Message: 36899

It just goes to show you, these agency-defined codes are confusing.
In the north Bay, most agencies use 11-86, 10-7T, or "traffic stop"
when they are pulling a vehicle over. 11-96 is reserved for
investigating a parked vehicle.

36900. Re: router noise

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 18:46:05 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36896 Message: 36900

At 08:36 AM 2/7/2005, you wrote:

>I need a QUITE router, I the 100mbs that I'm using now is putting out an
>S-8 noise on Two Meter Band, and the Par filter I have will not work for
>this, so I need a new quite 100 megabit router and I'll trade that nice
>PAR triple Notch Filter (VHF) I spend all my money on .
>
>VHF Notch Filter Part# VHFTN152-158
>Par Elec.
>Glenville NC
>Ph# 828-743-1338

Before you go spending big bucks again. Try some simple ferrite torroid
clip-on chokes on both ends of your ethernet cables, and the
wall wart that feeds it. Also your computer power cables, keyboard, etc.

For about $20 you will solve most of your noise problems. It did mine.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36901. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 18:50:03 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 36871 Message: 36901

11-95 (vehicle stop) and 11-96 (high-risk vehicle stop) are very common around the bay area and south bay. at least for the overall bay area region, the ones you've stated i've never heard of and are not the most common.

on this thread in general though, agencies need to just do away with most of the codes and go to plaintext. the verbalization of most codes takes almost as long as simply saying them in plaintext.

-g-

>
>
> It just goes to show you, these agency-defined codes are confusing.
> In the north Bay, most agencies use 11-86, 10-7T, or "traffic stop"
> when they are pulling a vehicle over. 11-96 is reserved for
> investigating a parked vehicle.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

+--+
|greg_stramback@...//vector@...|
|Greg Stramback/\/ESDCOMM HR Chief//Dispatch Sup|
|Black Rock City/\/Emergency Services Department|
|cell510-385-4217/\/i'mthevoiceyouhearontheradio|
+--+

36902. Re: [bayscan] Re: router noise

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 18:52:32 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36896 Message: 36902

"Before you go spending big bucks again. Try some simple ferrite torroid
clip-on chokes on both ends of your ethernet cables, and the
wall wart that feeds it. Also your computer power cables, keyboard, etc."

For about $20 you will solve most of your noise problems. It did mine.

You are right but it's the router. I already put torroids on all of the cables, power is coming from a 12 volt source and not a wall wart,
(everything that runs 12-13.8 V is run from a Astron M35 (35 amp power supply) running eveythning but H/F) through a MFJ power strip with banana plugs.
and the simple test was remove power from the router and noise is GONE (did not remove PS just took power from router)
So I still need to do this trade if anyone can help....

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36903. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 18:53:16 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36871 Message: 36903

or just use a standard code statewide
----- Original Message -----
From: vector@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 10:50
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

11-95 (vehicle stop) and 11-96 (high-risk vehicle stop) are very common around the bay area and south bay. at least for the overall bay area region, the ones you've stated i've never heard of and are not the most common.

on this thread in general though, agencies need to just do away with most of the codes and go to plaintext. the verbalization of most codes takes almost as long as simply saying them in plaintext.

-g-

>
>
> It just goes to show you, these agency-defined codes are confusing.
> In the north Bay, most agencies use 11-86, 10-7T, or "traffic stop"
> when they are pulling a vehicle over. 11-96 is reserved for
> investigating a parked vehicle.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

+--+
|greg_stramback@...//vector@...|
|Greg Stramback/\/ESDCOMM HR Chief//Dispatch Sup|
|Black Rock City/\/Emergency Services Department|
|cell510-385-4217/\/i'mthevoiceyouhearontheradio|

+--+

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36904. Re: OT: plates /Related Questions private code 3 vehicle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 20:25:11 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36856 Message: 36904

Hey Jim,
Could you please answer a few related questions for me?
How much trouble did DMV give you to get the emergency vehicle permit? What did you have to show them? and Is your vehicle marked in any way so as to let PD know you can run code legally? From what I read of the law the private vehicle has to be dedicated to the SAR or Rescue use?

IE:"Authorized Emergency Vehicle Permit
2416.
(3) Firefighting or rescue equipment designed and operated exclusively as such."

I ask not only out of curiousity but need we have a CDF certified water tender and want to add a light bar and strobes we were going to go amber but maybe we can go with code 3 reds like North tree fire?
Also we are currently training two SAR dogs and wonder about possible emergency lighting etc for our transport truck which has radios, GPS etc I also have numerous rescue certs would this be qualifiying. Once we are set up we are going to start a private SAR service.

one of our many websites--> http://Calhydro.us (Just loaded it up I know about the broken links text problems etc.working on the HTML everyday)
Thanks Bob
Rocdad

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> > interesting, thanks. none of the newspaper folks i know (the
> sandbox i
> > play in) use them.
> >
> > since CHP is getting out of the business of issuing media
> credentials,
> > i wonder what the dmv will accept as "satisfactory proof" of
bona
> fide
> > employment/engagement. clips? hmmm.
> >
> > is there any advantage with regard to scene parking or access in
> the
> > group's opinion?

> >
> > nina
>
> Nina,
>
> I have no idea about what's being accepted for PP plates now but
it
> does help to have them if you are going to a major incident. If
> nothing else, a press area is usually set up closer ot the
incident
> than we let the general public and a PP plate will get you to the
> press area. Most cops tend to be a little more tolerant of speed
> violations for PP plates as well as long as it's not too over the
> line if they realize you are headed for a major incident. The down
> side is there are a few cops who don't like the press at all and
will
> look for reasons to stop and ticket PP plates.
>
> I've had my plates run many times. Even though it's my POV, I'm
one
> of the SAR Coordinators up here so it is code 3 (CHP permit)
equipped
> but unmarked and looks like something "official". When they run
the
> plate, it comes back as confidential. Most officers know that
means
> the vehicle is registered to someone in law enforcement. I have
been
> stopped on a few occasions and asked to show ID, sometimes when
I've
> been a little over the speed limit <g> but I've never been cited.
> Most of the time they just want to look at all the junk I have in
the
> back of the Explorer.
>
> Just as matter of interest, all FBI vehicles with California POV
> plates will come back "No Record". We had one way up in the crime
> scene area during the Polly Klaas search and it came back as "No
> Record". We had about 10 deputies trying to find the occupant or
> occupants of the vehicle until two FBI agents finally came
strolling
> out of the woods a half hour later. They had forgotten to notify
the
> command post where they were going and told us that all FBI
vehicles
> never get in the DMV system except for getting plates.
>
> Regards, Jim

36905. Re: My plate run wrong [was Ever heard your own plate run?]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 20:35:30 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 36898 Message: 36905

I also know from experience that the Fed PD at Yosemite Park runs every plate in the parking lots at the hotels and probably campers too I had a expired parking ticket out of Oakland and they knocked on my hotel room door(Yosemite lodge)but let me go with a promise to take care of it(girlfriend got it and never told me).
Also the Sheriff out here runs plates at the local bar (Banta Inn) they came in one night and hauled some lady out of the bar for having the wrong plates on her car they did not match description of vehicle.
Bob
Rocad
http://bobonit.com

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Cortland Richmond <cortlandka5s@n...> wrote:
> 1 March 2002, Travis AFB, parking lot of the Base Exchange. I was operating mobile and apparantly that scared someone. When they ran my Amateur plates as personalized and got a "no listing," I was questioned by people with M16's at ready -- magazine inserted -- while they tred to figure out if I was a terrorist.
>
> More recently, in Kirkland WA, someone was scared by my antennas at a
> shopping center. "No listing" again... the (fairly young) policeman got
> me out of CompUSA to ask questions. Did NOT like my saying that if
> others were scared that was their problem, not mine. His Corporal showed
> up and said "Ham operator, huh?"
>
> Cortland
> KA5S
>
> >You just had to know how to run them, and what category to run them under.
> >

36906. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: plates /Related Questions private code 3 vehicle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 20:38:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36856 Message: 36906

At 12:25 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
>Hey Jim,
>Could you please answer a few related questions for me?
>How much trouble did DMV give you to get the emergency vehicle
>permit? What did you have to show them? and Is your vehicle marked
>in any way so as to let PD know you can run code legally? From what
>I read of the law the private vehicle has to be dedicated to the SAR
>or Rescue use?

Jim can probably give you a better answer, but it's not up to the DMV - it's up to CHP and the agency you're with. The DMV isn't involved. Your agency needs to first approve it, then CHP needs to inspect / photograph it. There's a few requirements that have to be met - at least one steady red light, a siren with certain tones / db rating, etc. But say firefighter Bob with even Big City FD couldn't go to CHP and get a permit to run red lights and a siren in his family Tahoe.

>IE:"Authorized Emergency Vehicle Permit
>2416.
>(3) Firefighting or rescue equipment designed and operated
>exclusively as such."

Well, yes, bur there's exceptions and such.

>I ask not only out of curiousity but need we have a CDF certified
>water tender and want to add a light bar and strobes we were going
>to go amber but maybe we can go with code 3 reds like North tree
>fire?

Who is "we"? Cal Hydro?

If your agency is one that should be running red lights and siren, you shouldn't need to do anything more than go to CHP and get the proper approval. Maybe there's more I'm missing, but I believe that's it.

But your insurance will likely go way up. And chances are CHP would want to know that your drivers have taken a code 3 driving course. Remember - if you run a red light, and hit me (when I had a green), I failed to yield to an emergency vehicle however you are at fault.

36907. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 21:03:38 UTC
From: nobag (Mike)
Topic: 36871 Message: 36907

Just to throw another code into the jumble of communications. In the small
town I live in near Stockton, the Police use 813 (Traffic Stop) and there is
an automatic fill unless they give a Code 4.
Just one more reason for plaintext.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 02/07/05 10:46:54
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

11-95 (vehicle stop) and 11-96 (high-risk vehicle stop) are very common
around the bay area and south bay. at least for the overall bay area
region, the ones you've stated i've never heard of and are not the most
common.

on this thread in general though, agencies need to just do away with most
of the codes and go to plaintext. the verbalization of most codes takes
almost as long as simply saying them in plaintext.

-g-

>
>
> It just goes to show you, these agency-defined codes are confusing.
> In the north Bay, most agencies use 11-86, 10-7T, or "traffic stop"
> when they are pulling a vehicle over. 11-96 is reserved for
> investigating a parked vehicle.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

+--+
|greg_stramback@...//vector@...|
|Greg Stramback/\/ESDCOMM HR Chief//Dispatch Sup|
|Black Rock City/\/Emergency Services Department|
|cell510-385-4217/\/i'mthevoiceyouhearontheradio|
+--+

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36908. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 22:08:44 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 36871 Message: 36908

Here in the San Luis Obispo Area they use "Traffic", "Code 9", and "11-96" for all their routine traffic stops, depending on the agency. LAPD uses "Code 6" for their traffic stops. I know in know Fresno PD uses "Traffic" and I have also heard other agencies in the Valley use "11-96" for routine traffic stops. I've also heard units in Monterey County say "Traffic" and "T-Stop" when they are making a stop...

Nick in San Luis Obispo - 14:08 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: "nobag (Mike)" <NOBAG@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 13:03
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

>
> Just to throw another code into the jumble of communications. In the small
> town I live in near Stockton, the Police use 813 (Traffic Stop) and there
> is
> an automatic fill unless they give a Code 4.
> Just one more reason for plaintext.
>
> nobag@...
> Mike (BikerMike)
> CA.
> U.S.A.
> Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
> Owner: U.P.I.P.
>
> "Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
> happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
> -------Original Message-------
>
> From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Date: 02/07/05 10:46:54
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...
>
> 11-95 (vehicle stop) and 11-96 (high-risk vehicle stop) are very common
> around the bay area and south bay. at least for the overall bay area
> region, the ones you've stated i've never heard of and are not the most
> common.
>
> on this thread in general though, agencies need to just do away with most
> of the codes and go to plaintext. the verbalization of most codes takes
> almost as long as simply saying them in plaintext.

>
> -g-
>
>>
>>
>> It just goes to show you, these agency-defined codes are confusing.
>> In the north Bay, most agencies use 11-86, 10-7T, or "traffic stop"
>> when they are pulling a vehicle over. 11-96 is reserved for
>> investigating a parked vehicle.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> -----
>
>
> +--+
> |greg_stramback@...//vector@...|
> |Greg Stramback/\/ESDCOMM HR Chief//Dispatch Sup|
> |Black Rock City/\/Emergency Services Department|
> |cell510-385-4217/\/i'mthevoiceyouhearontheradio|
> +--+
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36909. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: plates /Related Questions private code 3 vehicle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 22:35:24 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 36909 Message: 36909

Thanks for the quick response Evan,
Well no "Agency" involved much like northtree fire (http://northtreefire.com) and other private fire businesses we provide fire ready (per CDF description i.e.: CDF/NIFC licensed radios, wildland PPE, fire hose, drafting and dumping capabilities etc)and support Water tenders for CDF, USFS, and BLM contract fire fighting and may someday expand into providing private fire engine companies. Northtree has code three equipped tactical water tenders (http://www.northtreefire.com/fire_equipment/tenders.php) and they are not connected to any "agency" so I am confused by your response about your "agency" approval comment and I was trying to find out how Jim can have a private code 3 vehicle under the existing law which I attached below. But apparently you are right about CHP vs. DMV issuance.
Apparently under the statue we (CalHydro) would have to dedicate our fire ready tenders to just fire fighting which we may choose to do since the support tenders could still accept our construction contracts.
This is all research right now and because of problems with safely accessing fire hydrants last year at fire scenes during the night on public roads we will probably just go with amber lights and strobes at the very least for now.
Thanks Bob
Rocdad
http://calhydro.us
----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 12:38 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: plates /Related Questions private code 3 vehicle

>
> At 12:25 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
>>Hey Jim,
>>Could you please answer a few related questions for me?
>>How much trouble did DMV give you to get the emergency vehicle
>>permit? What did you have to show them? and Is your vehicle marked
>>in any way so as to let PD know you can run code legally? From what
>>I read of the law the private vehicle has to be dedicated to the SAR
>>or Rescue use?
>
> Jim can probably give you a better answer, but it's not up to the DMV -
> it's up to CHP and the agency you're with. The DMV isn't involved. Your
> agency needs to first approve it, then CHP needs to inspect / photograph
> it. There's a few requirements that have to be met - at least one steady
> red light, a siren with certain tones / db rating, etc. But say

> firefighter
> Bob with even Big City FD couldn't go to CHP and get a permit to run red
> lights and a siren in his family Tahoe.
>
>>IE:"Authorized Emergency Vehicle Permit
>>2416.
>>(3) Firefighting or rescue equipment designed and operated
>>exclusively as such."
>
> Well, yes, bur there's exceptions and such.
>
>>I ask not only out of curiousity but need we have a CDF certified
>>water tender and want to add a light bar and strobes we were going
>>to go amber but maybe we can go with code 3 reds like North tree
>>fire?
>
> Who is "we"? Cal Hydro?
>
> If your agency is one that should be running red lights and siren, you
> shouldn't need to do anything more than go to CHP and get the proper
> approval. Maybe there's more I'm missing, but I believe that's it.
>
> But your insurance will likely go way up. And chances are CHP would want
> to
> know that your drivers have taken a code 3 driving course. Remember - if
> you run a red light, and hit me (when I had a green), I failed to yield to
> an emergency vehicle however you are at fault.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
Authorized Emergency Vehicle Permit

2416. (a) The Commissioner of the California Highway Patrol may issue authorized emergency vehicle permits only for the following vehicles, and then only upon a finding in each case that the vehicle is used in responding to emergency calls for fire or law enforcement or for the immediate preservation of life or property or for the apprehension of law violators:

(1) Any vehicle maintained in whole or in part by the state, a county or a city and privately owned and operated by a marshal, deputy marshal, or

person who is a member of, and who receives salary from, and is regularly employed by, a police department or sheriff's department, provided the state, county or city does not furnish to that person a publicly owned authorized emergency vehicle.

(2) Any vehicle owned and operated by a public utility, used primarily to accomplish emergency repairs to utility facilities or used primarily by railroad police officers, who are commissioned by the Governor, in the performance of their duties.

(3) Firefighting or rescue equipment designed and operated exclusively as such.

(4) Any vehicle operated by the chief, assistant chief, or one other uniformed person designated by the chief of a fire department organized as provided in the Health and Safety Code or the Government Code or pursuant to special act of the Legislature.

(5) Any vehicle of an air pollution control district used to enforce provisions of law relating to air pollution from motor vehicles.

(6) Any vehicle operated by the chief of any fire department established on any base of the armed forces of the United States.

(7) Any vehicle owned and operated by any fire company organized pursuant to Part 4 (commencing with Section 14825) of the Health and Safety Code.

(8) Privately owned ambulances licensed pursuant to Chapter 2.5 (commencing with Section 2500).

(9) Vehicles other than privately owned ambulances used by privately owned ambulance operators exclusively to transport medical supplies, lifesaving equipment, or personnel to the scene of an emergency when a request for medical supplies, lifesaving equipment, or personnel has been made by any person or public agency responsible for providing emergency medical transportation. These vehicles shall display a sign or lettering not less than two and one-half inches in height, in a color providing a sharp contrast to its background, on each side showing the name of the ambulance operator.

(10) Any vehicle owned and operated by an office or department of a city, county, or district which is designated by an ordinance adopted by the governing body of that local agency as a hazardous materials response team vehicle for response to hazardous materials emergencies.

(b) The commissioner may adopt and enforce regulations to implement this section.

(c) Violation of any regulation adopted by the commissioner pursuant to this section is a misdemeanor.

Amended Ch. 824, Stats. 1990. Effective January 1, 1991.
Amended Sec. 177, Ch. 872, Stats. 1996. Effective January 1, 1997.

36910. HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 22:38:53 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 36910 Message: 36910

I love you guys and the discussion that you bring to board but you crack me up sometimes when you talk about all these people that can run Code 3. I remember that last string talking about the water company guy in SF and freelance photographers running Code 3 to get to certain calls. I also remember talking to a mortuary guy that wanted to run Code 3 equipment on his Hearst, so he could get to body pick-ups faster.
I understand from reading this last post that now private Search and Rescue wants to have Code 3 clearance and capability. The thing you really have to look at is liability and the nessicity for you to be running Code 3 in the first place. I'm sure most people think their job is a official enough that they should be running code 3, but realistically that's just not true. Just because you are an official government vehicle or work for or with some government agency, does mean that you should be able to run Code 3 to get to your call faster. Most Department Policies read something like "Code 3 driving should only be used when life is in immediate danger, a violent crime an supervisor authorizes Code 3, you are pursuing a vehicle, responding to an officer down (11-99 or Code 30), or responding to immediate life threatening medical aid calls.
I just don't see the San Francisco Water guy, Freelance photographers and search and rescue, falling under those guidelines. Don't get me wrong, I'm sure all three play an important rolls but we will all be better off leaving the Code 3 driving to Law Enforcement, Fire, and Medics. I hope I didn't sound too much like a Jerk or put anybody down in this post but when you have had real Code 3 training and you know the responsibility that comes with you would know why I think the idea of giving out Code 3 privileges to anyone but Law Enforcement, Fire, & Medics is just not realistic nor a good idea.

Nick in San Luis Obispo - 14:30 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bob" <rocdad@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 12:25
Subject: [bayscan] Re: OT: plates /Related Questions private code 3 vehicle

>
>
>
> Hey Jim,
> Could you please answer a few related questions for me?
> How much trouble did DMV give you to get the emergency vehicle
> permit? What did you have to show them? and Is your vehicle marked
> in any way so as to let PD know you can run code legally? From what
> I read of the law the private vehicle has to be dedicated to the SAR
> or Rescue use?

>
> IE:"Authorized Emergency Vehicle Permit
> 2416.
> (3) Firefighting or rescue equipment designed and operated
> exclusively as such."
>
> I ask not only out of curiousity but need we have a CDF certified
> water tender and want to add a light bar and strobes we were going
> to go amber but maybe we can go with code 3 reds like North tree
> fire?
> Also we are currently training two SAR dogs and wonder about
> possible emergency lighting etc for our transport truck which has
> radios, GPS etc I also have numerous rescue certs would this be
> qualifiying. Once we are set up we are going to start a private SAR
> service.
>
> one of our many websites--> http://Calhydro.us (Just loaded it up I
> know about the broken links text problems etc.working on the HTML
> everyday)
> Thanks Bob
> Rocdad
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>> > interesting, thanks. none of the newspaper folks i know (the
>> sandbox i
>> > play in) use them.
>> >
>> > since CHP is getting out of the business of issuing media
>> credentials,
>> > i wonder what the dmv will accept as "satisfactory proof" of
> bona
>> fide
>> > employment/engagement. clips? hmmm.
>> >
>> > is there any advantage with regard to scene parking or access in
>> the
>> > group's opinion?
>> >
>> > nina
>>
>> Nina,
>>
>> I have no idea about what's being accepted for PP plates now but
> it
>> does help to have them if you are going to a major incident. If
>> nothing else, a press area is usually set up closer ot the

> incident
>> than we let the general public and a PP plate will get you to the
>> press area. Most cops tend to be a little more tolerant of speed
>> violations for PP plates as well as long as it's not too over the
>> line if they realize you are headed for a major incident. The down
>> side is there are a few cops who don't like the press at all and
> will
>> look for reasons to stop and ticket PP plates.
>>
>> I've had my plates run many times. Even though it's my POV, I'm
> one
>> of the SAR Coordinators up here so it is code 3 (CHP permit)
> equipped
>> but unmarked and looks like something "official". When they run
> the
>> plate, it comes back as confidential. Most officers know that
> means
>> the vehicle is registered to someone in law enforcement. I have
> been
>> stopped on a few occasions and asked to show ID, sometimes when
> I've
>> been a little over the speed limit <g> but I've never been cited.
>> Most of the time they just want to look at all the junk I have in
> the
>> back of the Explorer.
>>
>> Just as matter of interest, all FBI vehicles with California POV
>> plates will come back "No Record". We had one way up in the crime
>> scene area during the Polly Klaas search and it came back as "No
>> Record". We had about 10 deputies trying to find the occupant or
>> occupants of the vehicle until two FBI agents finally came
> strolling
>> out of the woods a half hour later. They had forgotten to notify
> the
>> command post where they were going and told us that all FBI
> vehicles
>> never get in the DMV system except for getting plates.
>>
>> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

36911. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 23:05:22 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36910 Message: 36911

At 02:38 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
> I just don't see the San Francisco Water guy, Freelance photographers
>and search and rescue, falling under those guidelines. Don't get me wrong,
>I'm sure all three play an important rolls but we will all be better off
>leaving the Code 3 driving to Law Enforcement, Fire, and Medics. I hope I
>didn't sound too much like a Jerk or put anybody down in this post but when
>you have had real Code 3 training and you know the responsibility that comes
>with you would know why I think the idea of giving out Code 3 privileges to
>anyone but Law Enforcement, Fire, & Medics is just not realistic nor a good
>idea.

I agree on most of that, except Search and Rescue. Imagine it's your son / daughter / relative out in a boat, who called the Coast Guard because they were having a diabetic attack. The closest boat is a Search and Rescue boat. They're 5 minutes away code 3, 15 or more with no lights / siren.

I think your attitude would change to "Give them those lights and sirens!" when the firefighter says "Sorry your <relative> died. Our search and rescue team took 15 minutes to get here because they didn't have lights and sirens. Had they been here 5 minutes sooner, they would have lived."

I agree however that media has no reason to have lights / siren.

36912. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 22:58:18 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36910 Message: 36912

To expand on Nick's point about necessity to run Code 3. i just got back from taking my EMD (emergency medical dispatch course). Our instructor was one of the people who wrote the EMD standards. He also is a captain/paramedic for Salt Lake City who is now white shirt in dispatch for Salt Lake City dispatch Center who is one of several test bed departments for EMD standards along with LAFD and Dallas. Many studies have been done on whether and how much does running code 3 actually speed up response times. A latest study was done where response times was only increased by 32 seconds over no code responses.. And that was when in cases of a piece of equipement was not in their first due area. Salt Lake City has very strict rules about running code 3. They only run code 3 on a non structure fire response when.....

1. Its a vehicle accident with extrication
or
2. Its a cardiac in nature medical response.

Other than that. Its no code response.

You have to think about it.. On a call that isn't a fire or something life threatening in nature, does 32 seconds really make a difference in the long run? Risking the lives of yourself and others around you running 55mph in a very heavy piece of equipment for a vehicle fire, fall victim or alarm sounding. The phrase "seconds Count" is being reevaluated widely in the Public Safety arena as of late.

It is stupidity in my opinion for non public safety equipement like tow trucks, photgraphers, private sar vehicles, water or PG&E guys to be given rights to run code 3...

Ben

Nick in SLO wrote:

> I love you guys and the discussion that you bring to board but you
> crack
> me up sometimes when you talk about all these people that can run Code
> 3. I
> remember that last string talking about the water company guy in SF and
> freelance photographers running Code 3 to get to certain calls. I also
> remember talking to a mortuary guy that wanted to run Code 3 equipment on
> his Hearst, so he could get to body pick-ups faster.
> I understand from reading this last post that now private Search and
> Rescue wants to have Code 3 clearance and capability. The thing you
> really
> have to look at is liability and the nessicity for you to be running
> Code 3

> in the first place. I'm sure most people think their job is a official
> enough that they should be running code 3, but realistically that's
> just not
> true. Just because you are an official government vehicle or work for or
> with some government agency, does mean that you should be able to run
> Code 3
> to get to your call faster. Most Department Policies read something like
> "Code 3 driving should only be used when life is in immediate danger, a
> violent crime an supervisor authorizes Code 3, you are pursuing a
> vehicle,
> responding to an officer down (11-99 or Code 30), or responding to
> immediate
> life threatening medical aid calls.
> I just don't see the San Francisco Water guy, Freelance photographers
> and search and rescue, falling under those guidelines. Don't get me
> wrong,
> I'm sure all three play an important rolls but we will all be better off
> leaving the Code 3 driving to Law Enforcement, Fire, and Medics. I hope I
> didn't sound too much like a Jerk or put anybody down in this post but
> when
> you have had real Code 3 training and you know the responsibility that
> comes
> with you would know why I think the idea of giving out Code 3
> privileges to
> anyone but Law Enforcement, Fire, & Medics is just not realistic nor a
> good
> idea.
>
> Nick in San Luis Obispo - 14:30 Hours
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Bob" <rocdad@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 12:25
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: OT: plates /Related Questions private code 3
> vehicle
>
>
> >
> >
> >
> > Hey Jim,
> > Could you please answer a few related questions for me?
> > How much trouble did DMV give you to get the emergency vehicle
> > permit? What did you have to show them? and Is your vehicle marked
> > in any way so as to let PD know you can run code legally? From what
> > I read of the law the private vehicle has to be dedicated to the SAR
> > or Rescue use?
> >

> > IE:"Authorized Emergency Vehicle Permit
> > 2416.
> > (3) Firefighting or rescue equipment designed and operated
> > exclusively as such."
> >
> > I ask not only out of curiousity but need we have a CDF certified
> > water tender and want to add a light bar and strobes we were going
> > to go amber but maybe we can go with code 3 reds like North tree
> > fire?
> > Also we are currently training two SAR dogs and wonder about
> > possible emergency lighting etc for our transport truck which has
> > radios, GPS etc I also have numerous rescue certs would this be
> > qualifiying. Once we are set up we are going to start a private SAR
> > service.
> >
> > one of our many websites--> http://Calhydro.us (Just loaded it up I
> > know about the broken links text problems etc.working on the HTML
> > everyday)
> > Thanks Bob
> > Rocdad
> >
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
> >>
> >> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> >> > interesting, thanks. none of the newspaper folks i know (the
> >> sandbox i
> >> > play in) use them.
> >> >
> >> > since CHP is getting out of the business of issuing media
> >> credentials,
> >> > i wonder what the dmv will accept as "satisfactory proof" of
> > bona
> >> fide
> >> > employment/engagement. clips? hmmm.
> >> >
> >> > is there any advantage with regard to scene parking or access in
> >> the
> >> > group's opinion?
> >> >
> >> > nina
> >>
> >> Nina,
> >>
> >> I have no idea about what's being accepted for PP plates now but
> > it
> >> does help to have them if you are going to a major incident. If
> >> nothing else, a press area is usually set up closer ot the
> > incident

> >> than we let the general public and a PP plate will get you to the
> >> press area. Most cops tend to be a little more tolerant of speed
> >> violations for PP plates as well as long as it's not too over the
> >> line if they realize you are headed for a major incident. The down
> >> side is there are a few cops who don't like the press at all and
> > will
> >> look for reasons to stop and ticket PP plates.
> >>
> >> I've had my plates run many times. Even though it's my POV, I'm
> > one
> >> of the SAR Coordinators up here so it is code 3 (CHP permit)
> > equipped
> >> but unmarked and looks like something "official". When they run
> > the
> >> plate, it comes back as confidential. Most officers know that
> > means
> >> the vehicle is registered to someone in law enforcement. I have
> > been
> >> stopped on a few occasions and asked to show ID, sometimes when
> > I've
> >> been a little over the speed limit <g> but I've never been cited.
> >> Most of the time they just want to look at all the junk I have in
> > the
> >> back of the Explorer.
> >>
> >> Just as matter of interest, all FBI vehicles with California POV
> >> plates will come back "No Record". We had one way up in the crime
> >> scene area during the Polly Klaas search and it came back as "No
> >> Record". We had about 10 deputies trying to find the occupant or
> >> occupants of the vehicle until two FBI agents finally came
> > strolling
> >> out of the woods a half hour later. They had forgotten to notify
> > the
> >> command post where they were going and told us that all FBI
> > vehicles
> >> never get in the DMV system except for getting plates.
> >>
> >> Regards, Jim
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36913. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 23:10:47 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 36910 Message: 36913

I totally agree.

In a message dated 2/7/2005 2:48:57 P.M. Pacific Standard Time,
cop4christ@... writes:

I love you guys and the discussion that you bring to board but you crack me up sometimes when you talk about all these people that can run Code 3. I remember that last string talking about the water company guy in SF and freelance photographers running Code 3 to get to certain calls. I also remember talking to a mortuary guy that wanted to run Code 3 equipment on his Hearst, so he could get to body pick-ups faster.
I understand from reading this last post that now private Search and Rescue wants to have Code 3 clearance and capability. The thing you really have to look at is liability and the nessicity for you to be running Code 3 in the first place. I'm sure most people think their job is a official enough that they should be running code 3, but realistically that's just not true. Just because you are an official government vehicle or work for or with some government agency, does mean that you should be able to run Code 3 to get to your call faster. Most Department Policies read something like "Code 3 driving should only be used when life is in immediate danger, a violent crime an supervisor authorizes Code 3, you are pursuing a vehicle, responding to an officer down (11-99 or Code 30), or responding to immediate life threatening medical aid calls.
I just don't see the San Francisco Water guy, Freelance photographers and search and rescue, falling under those guidelines. Don't get me wrong, I'm sure all three play an important rolls but we will all be better off leaving the Code 3 driving to Law Enforcement, Fire, and Medics. I hope I didn't sound too much like a Jerk or put anybody down in this post but when you have had real Code 3 training and you know the responsibility that comes with you would know why I think the idea of giving out Code 3 privileges to anyone but Law Enforcement, Fire, & Medics is just not realistic nor a good idea.

Nick in San Luis Obispo - 14:30 Hours

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36914. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-07 23:10:53 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 36910 Message: 36914

Nick,
No offense taken actually I am interested in the code 3 equipment for use actually on the fire scene which can be very large and include public roads during a wildland fire and for getting thru roadblocks without yelling at the officer that I am part of the fire plan! I was assigned to a task force last year that was protecting a remote private structure and they were completely out of water as the fire approached and I had to get out of the tender and explain this to the CHP guys at a road block which wasted important time besides a water tender can not go much over 55 mph code three or not. Another example of need was last year when accessing a hydrant at lick observatory during a fire I had to completely block a unlighted state road and explain to a few angered blocked motorists that I was acting as a official part of the fire plan and they would have to wait, red lights would of probably soothed them somewhat? As far as initial response we are given adequate time to respond to a wildfire without the need to go code but it wouldn't hurt i.e.: my north tree fire example.
On a personal opinion note I can see the need for many private utilities and SAR (swiftwater,confined space emergencies?) teams needing to go code as much as anybody else they save lives and property too. SF water dept runs many large dams in norcal is the local cop going to stick his finger in the dike? I would want the utility guy there faster than the cop it could be thousands of lives in the balance and without code 3 equipment could find himself behind hundreds of motorist at a road block during a large emergency (think earthquake) instead of letting water out of the broken dam etc. Also would help with the rookie cop told to not let anybody through etc. I think it comes down to a proper Code 3 SOP for the responder.
----- Original Message -----
From: "Nick in SLO" <cop4christ@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 2:38 PM
Subject: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

>
> I love you guys and the discussion that you bring to board but you
> crack
> me up sometimes when you talk about all these people that can run Code 3.
> I
> remember that last string talking about the water company guy in SF and
> freelance photographers running Code 3 to get to certain calls. I also
> remember talking to a mortuary guy that wanted to run Code 3 equipment on
> his Hearst, so he could get to body pick-ups faster.
> I understand from reading this last post that now private Search and
> Rescue wants to have Code 3 clearance and capability. The thing you really
> have to look at is liability and the nessicity for you to be running Code
> 3

> in the first place. I'm sure most people think their job is a official
> enough that they should be running code 3, but realistically that's just
> not
> true. Just because you are an official government vehicle or work for or
> with some government agency, does mean that you should be able to run Code
> 3
> to get to your call faster. Most Department Policies read something like
> "Code 3 driving should only be used when life is in immediate danger, a
> violent crime an supervisor authorizes Code 3, you are pursuing a vehicle,
> responding to an officer down (11-99 or Code 30), or responding to
> immediate
> life threatening medical aid calls.
> I just don't see the San Francisco Water guy, Freelance photographers
> and search and rescue, falling under those guidelines. Don't get me wrong,
> I'm sure all three play an important rolls but we will all be better off
> leaving the Code 3 driving to Law Enforcement, Fire, and Medics. I hope I
> didn't sound too much like a Jerk or put anybody down in this post but
> when
> you have had real Code 3 training and you know the responsibility that
> comes
> with you would know why I think the idea of giving out Code 3 privileges
> to
> anyone but Law Enforcement, Fire, & Medics is just not realistic nor a
> good
> idea.
>
> Nick in San Luis Obispo - 14:30 Hours
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Bob" <rocdad@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 12:25
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: OT: plates /Related Questions private code 3
> vehicle
>
>
>>
>>
>>
>> Hey Jim,
>> Could you please answer a few related questions for me?
>> How much trouble did DMV give you to get the emergency vehicle
>> permit? What did you have to show them? and Is your vehicle marked
>> in any way so as to let PD know you can run code legally? From what
>> I read of the law the private vehicle has to be dedicated to the SAR
>> or Rescue use?
>>
>> IE:"Authorized Emergency Vehicle Permit
>> 2416.

>> (3) Firefighting or rescue equipment designed and operated
>> exclusively as such."
>>
>> I ask not only out of curiousity but need we have a CDF certified
>> water tender and want to add a light bar and strobes we were going
>> to go amber but maybe we can go with code 3 reds like North tree
>> fire?
>> Also we are currently training two SAR dogs and wonder about
>> possible emergency lighting etc for our transport truck which has
>> radios, GPS etc I also have numerous rescue certs would this be
>> qualifiying. Once we are set up we are going to start a private SAR
>> service.
>>
>> one of our many websites--> http://Calhydro.us (Just loaded it up I
>> know about the broken links text problems etc.working on the HTML
>> everyday)
>> Thanks Bob
>> Rocdad
>>
>>
>>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, jjcooke@y... wrote:
>>>
>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>>> > interesting, thanks. none of the newspaper folks i know (the
>>> sandbox i
>>> > play in) use them.
>>> >
>>> > since CHP is getting out of the business of issuing media
>>> credentials,
>>> > i wonder what the dmv will accept as "satisfactory proof" of
>> bona
>>> fide
>>> > employment/engagement. clips? hmmm.
>>> >
>>> > is there any advantage with regard to scene parking or access in
>>> the
>>> > group's opinion?
>>> >
>>> > nina
>>>
>>> Nina,
>>>
>>> I have no idea about what's being accepted for PP plates now but
>> it
>>> does help to have them if you are going to a major incident. If
>>> nothing else, a press area is usually set up closer ot the
>> incident
>>> than we let the general public and a PP plate will get you to the
>>> press area. Most cops tend to be a little more tolerant of speed

>>> violations for PP plates as well as long as it's not too over the
>>> line if they realize you are headed for a major incident. The down
>>> side is there are a few cops who don't like the press at all and
>> will
>>> look for reasons to stop and ticket PP plates.
>>>
>>> I've had my plates run many times. Even though it's my POV, I'm
>> one
>>> of the SAR Coordinators up here so it is code 3 (CHP permit)
>> equipped
>>> but unmarked and looks like something "official". When they run
>> the
>>> plate, it comes back as confidential. Most officers know that
>> means
>>> the vehicle is registered to someone in law enforcement. I have
>> been
>>> stopped on a few occasions and asked to show ID, sometimes when
>> I've
>>> been a little over the speed limit <g> but I've never been cited.
>>> Most of the time they just want to look at all the junk I have in
>> the
>>> back of the Explorer.
>>>
>>> Just as matter of interest, all FBI vehicles with California POV
>>> plates will come back "No Record". We had one way up in the crime
>>> scene area during the Polly Klaas search and it came back as "No
>>> Record". We had about 10 deputies trying to find the occupant or
>>> occupants of the vehicle until two FBI agents finally came
>> strolling
>>> out of the woods a half hour later. They had forgotten to notify
>> the
>>> command post where they were going and told us that all FBI
>> vehicles
>>> never get in the DMV system except for getting plates.
>>>
>>> Regards, Jim
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36915. ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 00:11:04 UTC
From: knavarrejr
Topic: 36915 Message: 36915

Monday about 15:45 hrs I heard county comm announce over the north & central county frequencies that "an ETS activation signal" was heard in the vicinity of the San Mateo Bridge. Dispatcher asked for units to go to the green and advise their location and signal strength. I thought it was a Lo-Jac signal since I was daydreamin at the time of the original call.

24P20 notified that he was getting a hit on his ETS at Brewster and Winslow in RWC.

I show Lo-jac at 173.075 Mhz. Anyone have a frequency for the ETS stolen vehicle beacons?

Ken

36916. Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 00:25:51 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36910 Message: 36916

Boats Code3? I know they have lights but I don't think that's to move traffic out of the way And in diabetic patients, generally their blood sugar is not going to change that drasticly in 10 min that would effect their outcome. Maybe in less then 1 percent of diabetic calls does a short period of time really change any thing.. I know you just used diabetic as an example..

Just a side note.. I don't mean to sound cold or jaded.. but I don't think you should be on a boat in the ocean if you have serious chronic medical problems.. and if you are and something happens to you. No one to blame but your self..

I agree with nick 100% about the code 3 stuff..

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 02:38 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
> > I just don't see the San Francisco Water guy, Freelance photographers
> >and search and rescue, falling under those guidelines. Don't get me wrong,
> >I'm sure all three play an important rolls but we will all be better off
> >leaving the Code 3 driving to Law Enforcement, Fire, and Medics. I hope I
> >didn't sound too much like a Jerk or put anybody down in this post but when
> >you have had real Code 3 training and you know the responsibility that comes
> >with you would know why I think the idea of giving out Code 3 privileges to
> >anyone but Law Enforcement, Fire, & Medics is just not realistic nor a good
> >idea.
>
> I agree on most of that, except Search and Rescue. Imagine it's your son /
> daughter / relative out in a boat, who called the Coast Guard because they
> were having a diabetic attack. The closest boat is a Search and Rescue
> boat. They're 5 minutes away code 3, 15 or more with no lights / siren.
>
> I think your attitude would change to "Give them those lights and sirens!"

> when the firefighter says "Sorry your <relative> died. Our search
and
> rescue team took 15 minutes to get here because they didn't have
lights and
> sirens. Had they been here 5 minutes sooner, they would have lived."
>
> I agree however that media has no reason to have lights / siren.A

36917. Re: [bayscan] ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 00:26:11 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 36915 Message: 36917

Maybe another name for a Personal Locator Beacon
which would use 406 MHz distress beacon and a 121.5 MHz only distress beacon
freq's?
Bob
----- Original Message -----
From: "knavarrejr" <ken.navarre@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 4:11 PM
Subject: [bayscan] ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

>
>
> Monday about 15:45 hrs I heard county comm announce over the north &
> central county frequencies that "an ETS activation signal" was heard
> in the vicinity of the San Mateo Bridge. Dispatcher asked for units
> to go to the green and advise their location and signal strength. I
> thought it was a Lo-Jac signal since I was daydreamin at the time of
> the original call.
>
> 24P20 notified that he was getting a hit on his ETS at Brewster and
> Winslow in RWC.
>
> I show Lo-jac at 173.075 Mhz. Anyone have a frequency for the ETS
> stolen vehicle beacons?
>
> Ken
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36918. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 00:36:40 UTC
From: RyanHBrewart@aol.com
Topic: 36910 Message: 36918

What do you guys think about explorers having code 3 capabilities?
Ryan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36919. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 00:40:22 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36910 Message: 36919

oh hell no.....

RyanHBrewart@... wrote:

> What do you guys think about explorers having code 3 capabilities?
> Ryan
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36920. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 01:10:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36871 Message: 36920

I'll bet the ONLY people confused are scanner owners ;-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36921. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 01:13:53 UTC
From: nobag (Mike)
Topic: 36871 Message: 36921

Thanks Ed I needed that (LOL)

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger

-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 02/07/05 17:11:40
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-86/11-95/11-96...

I'll bet the ONLY people confused are scanner owners ;-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36922. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 01:30:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36910 Message: 36922

you guys know me, got to put in my 2 cents too

Ben's comment about the difference between code 3 and non is
interesting. although 32 seconds DOES make a difference in a heart attack. consider this

Several times I have seen AMR rigs running code 3 in heavy traffic and
when then approach an intersection with lots of traffic in front of them they
SHUT DOWN the light bar and siren because they know the traffic in front of them
has NO where to go until their light turns green.....food for thought

PG&E could use code 3 equipment for some calls (IMHO) btw, did anyone
catch the recent incident in SF where PG&E as usual takes its sweet time getting
there BUT this time the news media talking about the fact that the SFFD was
there right away and PG&Es slow response. one citizen complained about the $$$$
the executives got (as I have mentioned) yet they have to call crews from home
for incidents. FINALLY the problem go some publicity :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36923. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 01:34:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36910 Message: 36923

Explorers.....NO WAY.....we had a couple yahoos' in the Fire Reserve who thought they were being cute because they purchased solid red lights (kind you hang in the window) they were immediatly informed if they were caught using them (my ANYONE , LE or SFFD) they would be thrown out of the Reserve. that put an end to that nonsense.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36924. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 01:38:18 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36910 Message: 36924

Like I said Salt Lake only has the policy for NON cardiac calls which
would be included in a medical for a heart attack victim.

Many studies have concluded that the use of lights and sirens in an
urban environment only increases the time it takes for emergency
vehicles to get to a call.

caladded@... wrote:

> you guys know me, got to put in my 2 cents too
>
> Ben's comment about the difference between code 3 and non is
> interesting. although 32 seconds DOES make a difference in a heart
> attack. consider this
>
> Several times I have seen AMR rigs running code 3 in heavy traffic and
> when then approach an intersection with lots of traffic in front of
> them they
> SHUT DOWN the light bar and siren because they know the traffic in
> front of them
> has NO where to go until their light turns green.....food for
> thought
>
> PG&E could use code 3 equipment for some calls (IMHO) btw, did anyone
> catch the recent incident in SF where PG&E as usual takes its sweet
> time getting
> there BUT this time the news media talking about the fact that the
> SFFD was
> there right away and PG&Es slow response. one citizen complained about
> the $$$$
> the executives got (as I have mentioned) yet they have to call crews
> from home
> for incidents. FINALLY the problem go some publicity :-)
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*

>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36925. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 01:51:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36910 Message: 36925

you know Ben , I bet most people who disagree with you , but I would NOT (disagree) getting around SF , I few its more important to know the short - cuts and traffic patterns than using a red light and siren. Besides in SF , SFFD vehicles are only allowed to go 35 mph. Trust me , in our private vehicles we went .....uh, slightly over 35 :-) the red lights/ siren draw attention to you and everyone knows a lot of the public become IDIOTS and do NOT pull to the right so your better off without them.

I have YET to read comments from anyone on this list who should have them.
I know some will disagree , but for the most part , it will just get you into trouble.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36926. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 02:53:38 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36910 Message: 36926

I believe you still have to be 18 yrs of age for an ambulance endorsement ?

Perhaps that should be the minimum.

Sorry, can't see the maturity of an explorer age teenager
being able to handle a vehicle with code 3 equipment.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36927. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 02:56:12 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36910 Message: 36927

At 05:34 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:

>Explorers.....NO WAY.....we had a couple yahoos' in the
>Fire Reserve who thought they were being cute because they purchased solid
>red
>lights (kind you hang in the window)

Wow, not like that would move anybody out of the way these days anyway.

People don't move to the right and stop for 20,000 Lb ladder trucks lit up
like xmas trees anymore.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36928. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 02:57:05 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 36910 Message: 36928

If your not a cop, fireman, ems worker or other authorized public safety professional in the course of employment, you have no legitimate reason to be driving with lights and siren. Many volunteers think they should be able to run code and often outfit their vehicles at their own expense, but I just think it's wrong. If your a red hot who runs code 3 without authorization and get caught, you can kiss all your prized volunteer position, light, siren, and drivers licence good bye.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36929. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 03:02:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36910 Message: 36929

Lots of yahoos out there who have no clue what all them pretty lights and siren mean.

CHP just had a pursuit early the other morning on 280 ,ending up around Bunker Hill in the Hillsborough/Burlingame area.

I thought it was funny because they were only doing 45mph

until then ran the Reg. owner and he was born in 22' . Poor old guy, they used the spikes and he had 2 flat tires. Never knew what hit him :-)

raises the question about checking driving capabilities of seniors. Another hot topic.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36930. HERE WE GO AGAIN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 03:13:25 UTC
From: mrred1
Topic: 36930 Message: 36930

HERE WE GO AGAIN another topic beaten in to the ground,

I think it's time to move on

36931. picking up CHP on 153.875

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 03:17:05 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36931 Message: 36931

I'm picking up CHP on 153.875 at the same time I'm picking it up on 42.620? Is this a new freq?

36932. Re: [bayscan] Vehicle Registrations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 03:18:31 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36932 Message: 36932

In a message dated 2/7/2005 7:23:19 Pacific Standard Time,
way2twohot@... writes:

The DMV allows Drivers to use a P. O. Box -- for Drivers Licenses -- but NOT
for vehicle registrations. So someone can still find you.

That's strange. Is that in California? Cause my vehicle is registered to my
P.O Box in SF. Never have had a problem.

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36933. Re: [bayscan] Medical problems on boats

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 03:40:22 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36933 Message: 36933

In a message dated 2/7/2005 16:34:03 Pacific Standard Time,
Charger@... writes:

Just a side note.. I don't mean to sound cold or jaded.. but I don't
think you should be on a boat in the ocean if you have serious
chronic medical problems.. and if you are and something happens to
you. No one to blame but your self..

That is true but, Maybey you don't know you have a problem till your out
there on the water. Things happen. Conditions change. Why do people drive their
car if they have a medical problem? Why do people take a airplane somewhere
if they have a medical problem? Why do people go on cruise ships with medical
problems? The list is endless.

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36934. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 03:53:40 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 36910 Message: 36934

comments:
1.) No boy scouts with sirens and lights
2.) Volunteers and private company firefighters are the VAST MAJORITY of professional fire fighters in this country just because you work on the public payroll with a nice retirement package does not make you a pro. Why would you limit it to just public agencies?
Bob
----- Original Message -----
From: <qualoptics@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 6:57 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

> If your not a cop, fireman, ems worker or other authorized public safety
> professional in the course of employment, you have no legitimate reason
> to be
> driving with lights and siren. Many volunteers think they should be able
> to run
> code and often outfit their vehicles at their own expense, but I just
> think
> it's wrong. If your a red hot who runs code 3 without authorization and
> get
> caught, you can kiss all your prized volunteer position, light, siren,
> and
> drivers licence good bye.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36935. Re: [bayscan] Medical problems on boats

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 03:55:09 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 36933 Message: 36935

this is way off topic. MOVE ON !!!

In a message dated 2/7/05 19:46:14, Mobile11@... writes:

<<
That is true but, Maybey you don't know you have a problem till your out
there on the water. Things happen. Conditions change. Why do people drive
their
car if they have a medical problem? Why do people take a airplane somewhere
if they have a medical problem? Why do people go on cruise ships with
medical
problems? The list is endless. >>

36936. Re: [bayscan] HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 04:13:21 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 36910 Message: 36936

Volunteers can drive their personal vehicles in lawful manor to the firehouse or other meeting or staging place. If they want to run code 3, they can then get in the agencies authorized emergency vehicle and proceed the scene of the incident. I don't know enough about private fire fighting businesses to address that portion of the question.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36937. Re: [bayscan] ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 04:41:06 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 36915 Message: 36937

ELTs are emergency transmitters that are carried aboard most general
aviation aircraft in the U.S. In the event of an aircraft accident, these
devices are designed to transmit a distress signal on 121.5, 243.0-megahertz
frequencies (and for newer ELTs, on 406 MHz).
----- Original Message -----
From: "R O'Connor" <rocdad@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 4:26 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

>
> Maybe another name for a Personal Locator Beacon
> which would use 406 MHz distress beacon and a 121.5 MHz only distress
> beacon
> freq's?
> Bob
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "knavarrejr" <ken.navarre@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 4:11 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] ETS Activation Tracking Frequency
>
>
>>
>>
>> Monday about 15:45 hrs I heard county comm announce over the north &
>> central county frequencies that "an ETS activation signal" was heard
>> in the vicinity of the San Mateo Bridge. Dispatcher asked for units
>> to go to the green and advise their location and signal strength. I
>> thought it was a Lo-Jac signal since I was daydreamin at the time of
>> the original call.
>>
>> 24P20 notified that he was getting a hit on his ETS at Brewster and
>> Winslow in RWC.
>>
>> I show Lo-jac at 173.075 Mhz. Anyone have a frequency for the ETS
>> stolen vehicle beacons?
>>
>> Ken
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36938. Re: [bayscan] Vehicle Registrations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 04:42:48 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 36932 Message: 36938

Mobile11@... wrote:
>
> In a message dated 2/7/2005 7:23:19 Pacific Standard Time,
> way2twohot@... writes:
>
>> The DMV allows Drivers to use a P. O. Box -- for Drivers Licenses -- but NOT
>> for vehicle registrations. So someone can still find you.
>
> That's strange. Is that in California? Cause my vehicle is registered to my
> P.O Box in SF. Never have had a problem.

Same here in Sacramento.
Don

36939. Re: ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 04:50:54 UTC
From: sh9730
Topic: 36915 Message: 36939

ETS is correct (dont ask me what the letters stand for exactly). Im hesitant to get into too many details on this forum, but its not a huge secret that these are the transmitters that are often used when bait money is taken in a bank robbery. I have no idea what freqs etc.

ELTs are different...

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "R O'Connor" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
> ELTs are emergency transmitters that are carried aboard most general
> aviation aircraft in the U.S. In the event of an aircraft accident, these
> devices are designed to transmit a distress signal on 121.5, 243.0-megahertz
> frequencies (and for newer ELTs, on 406 MHz).

36940. police terminology

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 05:07:56 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 36940 Message: 36940

Hello all
I have just a quick question regarding police terminology.
What does Paz mean?
What does audx mean?

Thanks a bunch
Kyle in Antioch

36941. Ahem! Hopefully Final message on Code 3 POV's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 05:35:24 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36941 Message: 36941

Since I seem to have started this mess, please let me explain my situation and why it has nothing to do with boats, fire trucks, PG&E or any other organiziation.

I am one of three SAR coordinators in this county. I happen to be the only volunteer. Sonoma County is not an urban area, in general. I comonnly have to respond to the helicopter hanger, which is 45 minutes from my home on a good day. The primary reason for code 3 equipment is on-scene warning rather than running code three 45 minutes to the hanger. There are times when running code three for two minutes can help clear some traffic that signifcantly would reduce my reponse time. This probably happens about once a year.

The sheriff first had to authorize the request. I then had to take (and have retaken) EVOC driving courses. I then had to present the letter to the CHP along with my EVOC records to be issued a permit for a RED light and siren. No blue or other funny color, just a RED light. As I said, I probably turn on the siren about once a year and it's almost never in an urban area. It's more likely that I will use it to get a slow-moving truck out of my way on a rural road.

My situation is probably unique. I don't advocate that anyone else have code three equipment. Please don't take my situation and start generalizing it to things have nothing to do with me.

Regards, Jim

36942. Re: picking up CHP on 153.875

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 05:50:57 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 36931 Message: 36942

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> I'm picking up CHP on 153.875 at the same time I'm picking it up on
> 42.620? Is this a new freq?

What area are you in when you receive this on the 153? Could it be bleedover from a close extender (154.905)? Tell us some more details.

This is not a CHP freq, AFAIK. I've seen it listed as a Candlestick Park maint freq (City & Co of S.F.). San Anselmo PD used the freq for portable extenders at one time for a few vehicles, but since they've gone to MERA, they probably wouldn't use it anymore.

36943. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 05:51:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 36856 Message: 36943

caladded@... wrote:

>Sounds like DMV , run a Press Plate like a trailer , hmmm
>
>

Press plates are not run as trailer plates, they are run as special plates. Code "S" for dmv 28's .. I know as I have run them before.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

36944. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 05:56:56 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 36856 Message: 36944

On Mon, Feb 07, 2005 at 09:51:00PM -0800, Mike Derryberry wrote:
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>
> >Sounds like DMV , run a Press Plate like a trailer , hmmm
> >
> >
>
> Press plates are not run as trailer plates, they are run as special
> plates. Code "S" for dmv 28's .. I know as I have run them before.

The DMV database is horribly disjoint. They (at least the window clerks)
rarely know how to look up my ham plate; I sometimes have to remind them
that it's an "H" plate.

36945. Re: [bayscan] Medical problems on boats.....Final

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 06:02:12 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36945 Message: 36945

That's why the Coast Guard is there and if you have a boat be sure to have a float plan and let someone know when you will be home or back at the harbor. Make sure also that you have a VHF Marine radio on board and monitor Channel 16 (156.800 Mhz) . If you can't monitor 16 have someone on your boat monitor it. Be sure all your meds are up to date. A day on the bay or being at that great fishing spot can turn into a day from hell.

Paul
United States Coast Guard Auxiliary
Radio Call : Oyster Point Mobile 2

"Have you checked your 121.5 lately?"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36946. RE: [bayscan] police terminology

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 06:10:17 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 36940 Message: 36946

A "PAAS" device is a portable alchol analyzer system, used for doing breath tests in the field.

Matthew

36947. Re: [bayscan] Re: ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 06:16:37 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36915 Message: 36947

Also known as "Rat Packs" The PD cars have 3 antennas on their roof to DF(Direction Find) the packs. The Transmitters are usually in the bags of money. I don't know how they are activated. The PD cars have a little box in it with LED lights on it. They go where the LEDs light up the most. They get brighter they're close if they have low lights or no lights they aren't close.

The ELT's , EPIRB's , and PLB's are different and meant for Boats , Airplanes , and now people (Mainly Hikers,Hunters)

This might help explain it better:

http://www.scwg.cap.gov/Operations/sarsat.htm
(http://www.scwg.cap.gov/Operations/sarsat.htm)

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36948. Re: [bayscan] police terminology

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 06:28:05 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36940 Message: 36948

http://www.intox.com/products/portablesys.asp

I knew what it was from context, but never knew the meaning behind the word. Doing a search on PAAS on the galls.com website didn't turn up anything, though they sell similar equipment.

You might have heard the ads from a local DUI law firm telling you to refuse to take the "paas" (they call it the field test) and insists on going to the jail to get a real blood alcohol test. I think they are depending on your blood alcohol level falling on the trip to the pokey, plus once you are at the jail house you will need a lawyer for sure.

Matthew Kaufman wrote:
> A "PAAS" device is a portable alchol analyzer system, used for doing breath
> tests in the field.
>
> Matthew

36949. Re: [bayscan] police terminology

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 06:32:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36940 Message: 36949

a DUI law firm suggest you refuse huh ? hmmm , if you PASS the test , then maybe then let you go.....and will NOT need a lawyer . I can see their true interest in that.....$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36950. Re: [bayscan] Vehicle Registrations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 06:54:41 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 36932 Message: 36950

Yes, They now require an address -- but will continue to list BOTH.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36951. Re: [bayscan] Re: ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 07:27:43 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36915 Message: 36951

RAT is Robbery Apprehension Team. I think the FBI supplies the hardware.
The frequency is in the 200Mhz range, so most scanners can't pick it up.

Mobile11@... wrote:
>
>
> Also known as "Rat Packs" The PD cars have 3 antennas on their roof to
> DF(Direction Find) the packs. The Transmitters are usually in the bags of money.
> I don't know how they are activated. The PD cars have a little box in it with
> LED lights on it. They go where the LEDs light up the most. They get
> brighter they're close if they have low lights or no lights they aren't close.
>
>
> The ELT's , EPIRB's , and PLB's are different and meant for Boats ,
> Airplanes , and now people (Mainly Hikers,Hunters)
>
> This might help explain it better:
>
> _http://www.scwg.cap.gov/Operations/sarsat.htm_
> (http://www.scwg.cap.gov/Operations/sarsat.htm)
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
> 442.075 + 100.0
> EchoLink Node # 187959
>
>
> "Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

36952. Re: [bayscan] Digest Number 2666

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 08:11:19 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 36952 Message: 36952

>
>
>Poor old guy, they used the spikes and he had 2 flat tires. Never knew what hit him :-)
>
>raises the question about checking driving capabilities of seniors. Another
>hot topic.
>

Ed, have you noticed how from some of our small, modern, efficient,
little vehicles, we can see neither the light bar nor the headlights
of a unit right behind us? Add a driver who is also deaf... He probably
just pulled over to change a tire!

Cortland

36953. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 08:33:06 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36910 Message: 36953

At 04:25 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:

>Boats Code3?

No, but generally aboat is towed by a truck / jeep / SUV from some location somewhere. Often further than 2 minutes from where it needs to be.

>And in diabetic patients, generally their blood sugar is not going to
>change that drasticly in 10 min that would effect their outcome.

Ok, so any other emergency that could occur with someone in a boat - heart attack, shark bite, the list goes on.

>I don't think you should be on a boat in the ocean if you have serious
>chronic medical problems.. and if you are and something happens to
>you. No one to blame but your self..

Ok, again - heart attack, shark attack, etc.

>I agree with nick 100% about the code 3 stuff..

I too agree with Search and rescue as the exception. Any vehicle transporting people that would be providing life saving people or equipment.

36954. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 08:33:34 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36910 Message: 36954

At 04:36 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
>What do you guys think about explorers having code 3 capabilities?

Why?

An explorer has no police authority, correct?

36955. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 08:38:10 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36856 Message: 36955

At 09:51 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
>Press plates are not run as trailer plates, they are run as special
>plates. Code "S" for dmv 28's .. I know as I have run them before.

Well, when I told the DMV person to run it as a trailer (and this happened more than once), it worked. Maybe the DMV computer is different then the computer a PD dispatcher uses?

Evan

36956. Re: [bayscan] Ever heard your own plate run?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 09:13:19 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 36856 Message: 36956

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 09:51 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Press plates are not run as trailer plates, they are run as special
>>plates. Code "S" for dmv 28's .. I know as I have run them before.
>>
>>
>
>Well, when I told the DMV person to run it as a trailer (and this happened
>more than once), it worked. Maybe the DMV computer is different then the
>computer a PD dispatcher uses?
>
>Evan
>
Should be the same thing, we all acess the same database. But, with the
DMV, ya just never know. Their returns are a nightmare sometimes.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36957. San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 15:35:27 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36957 Message: 36957

2/8/05, 0736 hours

Hi all,

KCBS radio reporting there will be a lot of officers working the San Jose downtown Mardi Gras celebration tonight. In past years there have been rowdy crowds, drunks and gang activity leading to many arrests and property damage done to local businesses.

Active freqs should be:
* SJPD 5 (downtown) = 460.400
* SJPD 9 = 460.100
* SJPD 11 = 460.050
* SJPD 17 = 453.650 (DIGITAL)
* UHF mutual aid = 460.025

Brian in Campbell

36958. 904 detail in Sunnyvale??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 16:19:02 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36958 Message: 36958

0815 hours

Anyone know what fire is working on Mary Ave. in Sunnyvale? I've heard
County Fire being asked to backfill Sunnyvale stations.

Engine 1 out of Cupertino now responding on the "blue".....153.845.

Thanks,
Brian in Campbell

36959. SFFD brownouts Sunnyvale 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 16:21:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36959 Message: 36959

brownouts for Tuesday

24 hours

Engines 12 (haight ashbury)

13 (financial dist)

15 (city college)

32 (Holly Park)

12 hours - Engine 35(Pier 22 1/2 Fireboat station)

Sunnyvale working a 2nd alarm in a condo at 1611 Tenaka near Le Conner (
near Homestead and Hollenbeck)
482,7125

2 storys , at least 1 unit fully involved

Engines 3,4,1,2 Squad 3,4 Trucks 1,2 Rescue 2

0815 hours

Mountain View with 1 Engine to SYV station 1

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36960. Re: ETS Activation Tracking Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 16:58:41 UTC
From: knavarrejr
Topic: 36915 Message: 36960

> Maybe another name for a Personal Locator Beacon

No, County Comm was pretty clear about this. They also stated that the beacon was NOT related to the 211 bank which was going on about the same time.

I found the following website: http://www.pegtech.com/vrecovery.htm Apparently Pegasus Technologies has several different systems in place. Unlike Lo-jac where the victim reports his vehicle stolen and Lo-jac dispatchers activate their beacon remotely the ETS (Electronic tracking System) is a "smart" system that activates itself if an access code is not entered everytime the vehicle is started/moved.

Looks like Pegasus has units for "RAT Packs", bicycles, and vehicles. They talk about how they can set up a system on whatever freq. is utilized when they set up systems outside the US. Wonder what they have in place in San Mateo Co.? I've heard lo-jac units get activated on 173.075 but this is a new one for me...

Ken

36961. marin 0934 code 33

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 17:34:53 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 36961 Message: 36961

46064

10851 vehicle mill valley pd

code 33 awaiting k9 unit...

nina
0934

36962. Thanks, Ed!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 18:04:20 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36959 Message: 36962

Seems my email address was bouncing posts to the group and I had to reactivate it. Missed the details on the Sunnyvale incident unitl I corrected things. Appreciate the informative post.

Thanks!

Craig

p.s. Firehouse.com is running my story on last Saturday's structure fire in San José. You can see it here:

http://cms.firehouse.com/content/article/article.jsp?sectionId=45&id=39116

> --
>
> Sunnyvale working a 2nd alarm in a condo at 1611 Tenaka near Le Conner (
> near Homestead and Hollenbeck)
> 482,7125
>
> 2 storys , at least 1 unit fully involved
>
> Engines 3,4,1,2 Squad 3,4 Trucks 1,2 Rescue 2
>
> 0815 hours
>
> Mountain View with 1 Engine to SYV station 1
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36963. Re: [bayscan] Re: picking up CHP on 153.875

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 20:17:06 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36931 Message: 36963

I was listening to CHP low band and on 42.620 and the same traffic was
being simulcasted on that freq.

Erik wrote:

>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <bkellogg9@c...> wrote:
> > I'm picking up CHP on 153.875 at the same time I'm picking it up on
> > 42.620? Is this a new freq?
>
> What area are you in when you receive this on the 153? Could it be
> bleedover from a close extender (154.905)? Tell us some more
> details.
>
> This is not a CHP freq, AFAIK. I've seen it listed as a Candlestick
> Park maint freq (City & Co of S.F.). San Anselmo PD used the freq
> for portable extenders at one time for a few vehicles, but since
> they've gone to MERA, they probably wouldn't use it anymore.
>
>
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

36964. Re: [bayscan] Re: Ever heard your own plate run? 1195

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 20:26:37 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36871 Message: 36964

Along with 11-96 meaning "suspicious vehicle stop" it is an automatic
that the unit wants a fill car. At least here in the South Bay Area
where "10 and 11 codes" are used.

Brian

On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 12:04:15 -0800 (PST), caladded@...
<caladded@...> wrote:
>
> 11-95 is routine traffic stop 11-96 is suspious vehicle stop , can't
> remember which jurisdictions , its listed in Kelty
>
> its not SFPD , traffic stop is 585.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

36965. Re: Thanks, Ed!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 21:30:56 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 36959 Message: 36965

Nice story Craig! And great pics as usual.

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@e...> wrote:
>
> Seems my email address was bouncing posts to the group and I had to
reactivate it.
> Missed the details on the Sunnyvale incident unitl I corrected
things. Appreciate the
> informative post.
>
> Thanks!
>
> Craig
>
> p.s. Firehouse.com is running my story on last Saturday's structure
fire in San José. You
> can see it here:
>
> http://cms.firehouse.com/content/article/article.jsp?
sectionId=45&id=39116
>
> > --

> >
> > Sunnyvale working a 2nd alarm in a condo at 1611 Tenaka near Le
Conner (
> > near Homestead and Hollenbeck)
> > 482,7125
> >
> > 2 storys , at least 1 unit fully involved
> >
> > Engines 3,4,1,2 Squad 3,4 Trucks 1,2 Rescue 2
> >
> > 0815 hours
> >
> > Mountain View with 1 Engine to SYV station 1
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36966. Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 22:04:23 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36910 Message: 36966

negitive.. much to slow.no code 3 needed.. It like some of the CCT ambulances we have.. or even the Tiller trucks going code 3 on the freeway up a grade.. They have all there lights and sirens on .. and they are topped out at 45mph.. as cars are passing you code 3.. its embarrasing.. trust me ive been there.. only use might be for heavy traffic.. but this would be highly unlikley.. I would assume the smart choice would be to keep boats near loading docks or harbors for easy deployment.. Not in the middle of 880 rush hour traffic. Never thought i would be talking about boat code3.. good times =).. love bayscan. there is always somthing new and unexpected on here.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 04:25 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Boats Code3?
>
> No, but generally aboat is towed by a truck / jeep / SUV from some location
> somewhere. Often further than 2 minutes from where it needs to be.
>
>
> >And in diabetic patients, generally their blood sugar is not going to
> >change that drasticly in 10 min that would effect their outcome.
>
> Ok, so any other emergency that could occur with someone in a boat - heart
> attack, shark bite, the list goes on.
>
>
> >I don't think you should be on a boat in the ocean if you have serious
> >chronic medical problems.. and if you are and something happens to
> >you. No one to blame but your self..
>
> Ok, again - heart attack, shark attack, etc.
>
> >I agree with nick 100% about the code 3 stuff..
>
> I too agree with Search and rescue as the exception. Any vehicle
> transporting people that would be providing life saving people or equipment.

36967. Re: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 22:15:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36910 Message: 36967

At 02:04 PM 2/8/2005, you wrote:

>Never thought i would be talking about boat code3.. good times =)..
>love bayscan. there is always somthing new and unexpected on here.

Hey, you brought up the boats going code 3..

Now granted, technically I said "The closest boat is 5 minutes away code 3,
15 with no lights or siren", so I think it should have been obvious I meant
a boat being towed by a truck....

Evan

36968. Re: [bayscan] marin 0934 code 33

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 22:04:52 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 36961 Message: 36968

Another one? This the same guy as the other day lol... did he escape again?
----- Original Message -----
From: nina zhito
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 9:34 AM
Subject: [bayscan] marin 0934 code 33

46064

10851 vehicle mill valley pd

code 33 awaiting k9 unit...

nina
0934

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36969. Re: police terminology

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-08 19:20:30 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 36940 Message: 36969

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kdawg122786" <redsfannumber01@a...>
wrote:
>
> Hello all
> I have just a quick question regarding police terminology.
> What does Paz mean?

"Paz" is usually "PAS" (not PAAS, as others have claimed), and it
stands for Preliminary Alcohol Screener. In other areas they're known
as PBTs -- Prliminary Breathalyzer Test.

On some occasionas, I suppose the Passive Alcohol Screener device,
which is held near a person's mouth and basically sniffs for alcohol
on their breath, could be referred to as a "PAS" as well.

36970. RE: [bayscan] Re: HERE WE GO AGAIN: Questions private code 3 vehicles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 00:36:22 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 36910 Message: 36970

>Never thought i would be talking about boat code3.. good times =)..
>love bayscan. there is always somthing new and unexpected on here.

what about a code response to the local scanner store to get the good deals.
yeah, yeah, off topic, typical bayscan.... I just had to contribute to the
fray.

Mike

36971. OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 00:59:19 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36971 Message: 36971

FREMONT SWITCH TO REGIONAL DISPATCH RESULTS IN SLOWER RESPONSES

One year ago, the City of Fremont, California disbanded its fire dispatch operation and aligned itself with the Alameda County Regional Emergency Communications Center, a move intended to save about $700,000 annually. Since then, fire response times have escalated from 5 1/2-minutes to about 7 minutes.

http://www.insidebayarea.com/trivalleyherald/localnews/ci_2557992

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36972. Re: [bayscan] Vehicle Registrations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 01:26:05 UTC
From: Scott Baldwin
Topic: 36932 Message: 36972

My car had both PO and home address listed in its registration. Because
the post office would not deliver any mail in the boonies where I lived at
the time.

At 07:18 PM 2/7/2005, you wrote:

>In a message dated 2/7/2005 7:23:19 Pacific Standard Time,
>way2twohot@... writes:
>
>The DMV allows Drivers to use a P. O. Box -- for Drivers Licenses -- but NOT
>for vehicle registrations. So someone can still find you.
>
>That's strange. Is that in California? Cause my vehicle is registered to my
>P.O Box in SF. Never have had a problem.

36973. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 01:31:45 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36957 Message: 36973

At 07:35 AM 2/8/2005, you wrote:

>Active freqs should be:
>* SJPD 5 (downtown) = 460.400
>* SJPD 9 = 460.100
>* SJPD 11 = 460.050
>* SJPD 17 = 453.650 (DIGITAL)
>* UHF mutual aid = 460.025

I'll be feeding this on scannerbuff.net's teamspeak server on the "special" channel tonight courtesy of Bob Ricci and his generous bandwidth for re-broadcast.

Streaming the above channels plus adding both San Jose State University PD channels, SJPD tac channels, and SCCSO 1 for the transit mall downtown and CalTrain Amtrak PD as needed.

SJ 5 doesn't come in super well because I'm on the side lobe of the antenna, it's a little scratchy, but most of the action last year was on 9 and 11 anyway.

Last year was very good listening. Lots of 211's, 245's and traffic problems.

www.goteamspeak.com for the free application.

radio.scannerbuff.net:8767 for the feed... just be sure to register with a user name other than guest, and you will be able to join the channel.

Enjoy. It will be up all night starting now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36974. Re: [bayscan] OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 02:04:27 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 36971 Message: 36974

hardly a surprise. but we knew this already.

-g-

>
> FREMONT SWITCH TO REGIONAL DISPATCH RESULTS IN SLOWER RESPONSES
>
> One year ago, the City of Fremont, California disbanded its fire dispatch
> operation and aligned itself with the Alameda County Regional Emergency
> Communications Center, a move intended to save about $700,000
> annually. Since then, fire response times have escalated from 5
> 1/2-minutes to about 7 minutes.
>
> http://www.insidebayarea.com/trivalleyherald/localnews/ci_2557992
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

+--+
|greg_stramback@...//vector@...|
|Greg Stramback/\/ESDCOMM HR Chief//Dispatch Sup|
|Black Rock City/\/Emergency Services Department|
|cell510-385-4217/\/i'mthevoiceyouhearontheradio|
+--+

36975. New MERA TG Novato Fire 28944

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 02:25:49 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36975 Message: 36975

This MERA TG is confirmed Novato Fire Control 35 Tac 6.

-Roger 18:25

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36976. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 02:38:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36957 Message: 36976

just a suggestion.....SCCSO will tie up a lot of the radio traffic , you might be better served leaving it off the feed, it a busy channel.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36977. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 02:48:32 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36957 Message: 36977

At 06:38 PM 2/8/2005, you wrote:

>just a suggestion.....SCCSO will tie up a lot of the radio traffic , you
>might be better served leaving it off the feed, it a busy channel.
>
>ED

Original message indicated "as needed/necessary".

If there is a gang fight/stabbing at the light rail station like last year,
then I'll patch it in, otherwise
I fully agree... way too busy for the stream, so it stays "in the wings".

8000 command post trailer is now in service, so sounds like they are
ramping up.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36978. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 02:51:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36957 Message: 36978

In the past most of the action takes place after about 2200 hours and it can run well past midnight.

for those who have yet to monitor this " event" its been a pretty decent scanner evening. Good for us , not so good for business owners in the area........good for glass companies

But , who knows , SJPD is really loading up with a lot of officers for tonight. I think some of the trouble makes take this as a challenge.............btw , hearing STAR 1 on the S/O 1 right now. so at least one bird will be up.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36979. Re: San Jose Mardis Gras Tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:09:08 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 36979 Message: 36979

Hearing on Santa clara co. S.O. now (8:05 PM 3 at Gunpoint, one BMJ outstanding. STAR- overhead searching.. 156.210

36980. East Side San Jose/ Fill 3 request 2011hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:14:02 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36980 Message: 36980

State Parole requesting code 3 fill.

One barricaded in residence, one fled scene.

IAO "Price Busters"
McKee @ White

BMA ran from parole agent, setting up perimeter.
SCCSO Helo overhead.

On SCCSO 1
156.210

36981. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:10:23 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 36957 Message: 36981

I've been on this for about 10 minutes now and haven't heard a peep, how do I know if it's working right or not?

----- Original Message -----
From: KG6VXT
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 5:31 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras <tonight>

At 07:35 AM 2/8/2005, you wrote:

>Active freqs should be:
>* SJPD 5 (downtown) = 460.400
>* SJPD 9 = 460.100
>* SJPD 11 = 460.050
>* SJPD 17 = 453.650 (DIGITAL)
>* UHF mutual aid = 460.025

I'll be feeding this on scannerbuff.net's teamspeak server on the "special" channel tonight courtesy of Bob Ricci and his generous bandwidth for re-broadcast.

Streaming the above channels plus adding both San Jose State University PD channels, SJPD tac channels, and SCCSO 1 for the transit mall downtown and CalTrain Amtrak PD as needed.

SJ 5 doesn't come in super well because I'm on the side lobe of the antenna, it's a little scratchy, but most of the action last year was on 9 and 11 anyway.

Last year was very good listening. Lots of 211's, 245's and traffic problems.

www.goteamspeak.com for the free application.

radio.scannerbuff.net:8767 for the feed... just be sure to register with a user name other than guest, and you will be able to join the channel.

Enjoy. It will be up all night starting now.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.8.6 - Release Date: 2/7/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.8.6 - Release Date: 2/7/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36982. Re: Mardi Gras Tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:13:10 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 36982 Message: 36982

I have scanned this event in the past few years. It NEVER fails to provide a night of really great listening!!!!

36983. At work scanning..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:18:21 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 36983 Message: 36983

I am really Stoked, Just switched jobs this past week(got a great new job) and now am able to bring my scanner to work!! I am going to be working in San leandro down near the water. So I now get to scan Oakland and S.F. on a daily basis as well as Alco... Today was the forst full day I scanned at work. I never usually get a chance to scan Oak or S.F. unless I am passing thru or have a reason to go to either city. Was curious as to how many others out there scan daily from or at work?? I feel privilaged to be able to at all..:)

36984. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:22:53 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 36957 Message: 36984

At 08:10 PM 2/8/2005, you wrote:

>I've been on this for about 10 minutes now and haven't heard a peep, how
>do I know if it's working right or not?

Did you register with a user name and switch to the mardi gras channel ??

Otherwise you won't hear anything in the welcome room.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36985. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:21:12 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 36957 Message: 36985

That's what I didn't do, I'm in now.....Thanks

----- Original Message -----
From: KG6VXT
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 8:22 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras <tonight>

At 08:10 PM 2/8/2005, you wrote:

>I've been on this for about 10 minutes now and haven't heard a peep, how
>do I know if it's working right or not?

Did you register with a user name and switch to the mardi gras channel ??

Otherwise you won't hear anything in the welcome room.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.8.6 - Release Date: 2/7/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.8.6 - Release Date: 2/7/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36986. Re: OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:24:22 UTC
From: jcbaker
Topic: 36971 Message: 36986

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:

> Fremont ... aligned itself with the Alameda County Regional
> Emergency Communications Center ... Since then, fire response
> times have escalated from 5 1/2-minutes to about 7 minutes

How did the dispatch switch increase response times? It adds maybe 5 seconds for a police dispatcher to hit the transfer button ... at least I haven't seen any similar complaints here in San Mateo County.

36987. West Marin MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:29:05 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36987 Message: 36987

M94 ,M96 MCFD 1584, Reach 1.. responding Sir Francis Drake 3 pts..
Car over cliff.
37.100 Fire
46.080 Blue

36988. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:31:14 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36971 Message: 36988

At 08:24 PM 2/8/2005, you wrote:

>How did the dispatch switch increase response times? It adds maybe 5
>seconds for a police dispatcher to hit the transfer button ... at
>least I haven't seen any similar complaints here in San Mateo County.

Competence could be one possibility. I'm not saying that's the reason, but let me give you an example. Now, I'm as much of a scanner nut as anyone here. But throw me into a seat in dispatch, and tell me to get fire and medics to 1234 Anywhere street, and I'll probably be sitting there for a while figuring out the cross street, which engines to dispatch, etc. So it may take me 5 minutes. However someone who's been there for 30 years could do it blind.

That's one difference, and just a possibility. Skill. :)

36989. Re: West Marin MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:32:51 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 36987 Message: 36989

Cheif 300 just canceled reach 1.. 2 minor pts.. 1 moderate.. Will go by ground ambulance to MGH code3.

Updated Location .. Sir Francis Drake @ Alima road.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> M94 ,M96 MCFD 1584, Reach 1.. responding Sir Francis Drake 3 pts..
> Car over cliff.
> 37.100 Fire
> 46.080 Blue

36990. Re: OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 04:59:10 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 36971 Message: 36990

ALCO has one dispatcher working Fremont, Union City and Alameda. Prior to this, Fremont did Fremont and only Fremont. Add to this the sending of fire rigs to an address in one city when the fire is actually at a similiar sounding street in another city (have heard this at least twice). Yes, the delay is not from ALCO receiving the call, but what they do with it.

dave

>
> How did the dispatch switch increase response times? It adds maybe 5
> seconds for a police dispatcher to hit the transfer button ... at
> least I haven't seen any similar complaints here in San Mateo County.

36991. PRO 95 on Sale at Radio Shack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 05:04:23 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 36991 Message: 36991

According to their web page they are now going for $130, the lowest I have seen. I've had mine for over 2 years and highly recommend it for UHF trunking ops.

Dave

36992. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 05:21:57 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36971 Message: 36992

I don't "do fire", but I thought Livermore Labs did fire dispatch for
Fremont. Now it is on the ALCO system.

bluebag wrote:
>
> ALCO has one dispatcher working Fremont, Union City and Alameda. Prior to this,
> Fremont did Fremont and only Fremont. Add to this the sending of fire rigs to an
> address in one city when the fire is actually at a similiar sounding street in another
> city (have heard this at least twice). Yes, the delay is not from ALCO receiving the
> call, but what they do with it.
>
> dave
>
>
>
>
>
>>How did the dispatch switch increase response times? It adds maybe 5
>>seconds for a police dispatcher to hit the transfer button ... at
>>least I haven't seen any similar complaints here in San Mateo County.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

36993. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Mardi Gras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 05:45:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36957 Message: 36993

2141 hours and they are already getting pretty busy. Channel 9/
460.100 is where most traffic is. the CP (8000) is up and working with Air 2
getting images downloaded of different intersections . doing FLIR shots right now.
My GF said she heard SJPD was going to double the number of Officers this
year.....sounds like it, hearing lots of Motor teams , RD teams (guessing
this is Rapid Deployment) MERGE and units from all districts. They are already
making arrests , so far 647F arrests (Drunk in public) sounds like they are
really going to have a Major show of force with little tolerance this year.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36994. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 05:53:59 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 36971 Message: 36994

At 09:21 PM 2/8/2005, you wrote:
>I don't "do fire", but I thought Livermore Labs did fire dispatch for
>Fremont. Now it is on the ALCO system.

Yup, they are dispatched by LLNL on the AlCo system...

Evan

36995. RS Pro-96 VS BC 296

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 06:10:00 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 36995 Message: 36995

Am beginning to look inot these 2 radios to see which one might be the better radio. I own both RS and BC scanners and enjoy both. Infact I tend to lean towards the Pro-96 due to the fact that I have really liked my Pro 95 and its usability alot. Iuse this one more than others. But would not be against the Newer BC. What are any thoughts out there..??? Thanks

36996. RE: [bayscan] RS Pro-96 VS BC 296

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 06:14:41 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36995 Message: 36996

Here we go again.... :-)
-----Original Message-----
From: John Gotti [mailto:bayareascanman@...]
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 10:10 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] RS Pro-96 VS BC 296

Am beginning to look inot these 2 radios to see which one might be the better radio. I own both RS and BC scanners and enjoy both. Infact I tend to lean towards the Pro-96 due to the fact that I have really liked my Pro 95 and its usability alot. Iuse this one more than others. But would not be against the Newer BC. What are any thoughts out there..??? Thanks

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

36997. Mardi Gras San Jose Heating up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 06:15:41 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 36997 Message: 36997

Here we go.. this is starting to get good now. an officer requesting Code 3 units to parking garage. Bottles now being hurled. This is on SJPD 9 460.100 PL 13.5 Lots of bottles being hurled at officers all around the festival area.. My question is WHY in the world do they continue to allow this celebration every year when all it does is turn violent and ugly and cost the city money in man hours and business owners money in damages??? It makes no sense..

36998. Re: Pro-96 Vs BC 296

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 06:17:15 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 36998 Message: 36998

what do you mean "here we go again"????? Is this not a legit
scanning question? I thought it was? :)

36999. RE: [bayscan] Re: Pro-96 Vs BC 296

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 06:20:38 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36998 Message: 36999

Check the Bayscan archives...the 296 VS the Pro-96 has been beaten to death here on Bayscan. :-)

And I thought John Gotti was dead anyway? :-) lol
-----Original Message-----
From: John Gotti [mailto:bayareascanman@...]
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 10:17 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Pro-96 Vs BC 296

what do you mean "here we go again"????? Is this not a legit scanning question? I thought it was? :)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37000. Re: [bayscan] RS Pro-96 VS BC 296

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 06:27:00 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36995 Message: 37000

If you can wait until fall, the Uniden 396 will be out.
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/1396.html
I'm guessing it will be priced a bit above what the Pro-96. You should
be able to google around to get the press release.

37001. Re: [bayscan] RS Pro-96 VS BC 296

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 07:37:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36995 Message: 37001

A short answer to compare the too is One is Chocolate and One is Vanilla .....each has drawbacks and each has pluses.....the suggestion to suggest the archives is a good suggestion

I like the 296

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37002. San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 07:39:38 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 7650 Message: 37002

I have been monitoring the KGO Chopper on 450.4625 and it does not seem there is anything too newsworthy going on there now (11:38pm) seems they just want to head home :)

Robert
BayScan

37003. Re: [bayscan] Mardi Gras San Jose Heating up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 07:41:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36997 Message: 37003

You can't stop it . there is NO designated party , just more of an impromptu type party. You can bet some come down JUST for the trouble.

Sounds like the SO , SJPD and CHP all have helos up !!

KGO mentioned SJPD has an extra 265 Officers on duty.....one window smashed at 7/ S.Clara possible rape in progress in a car on 3rd near S.Clara(dispatched at 1139 hours)

Most of trouble on Santa Clara from Market up to about 7th.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37004. Re: [bayscan] San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 07:44:12 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 7650 Message: 37004

they don't know where to look, there is PLENTY to report, doesn't KGO monitor the scanners ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37005. Another person screwing with rescues gets busted!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 08:16:42 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37005 Message: 37005

Press Release

Date: Feb 9, 2005

Contact: Aux. Wayne Spivak
Division Chief - External Communications
Public Affairs Department
United States Coast Guard Auxiliary
http://www.auxpa.org
516-353-9155
Media@...

California Man Fined by FCC for Intefering with CG Auxiliary Rescue Communications

LOS ANGELES _ A California man is now facing a total of $52,000 in fines afert allegedly interfering with radio communications of the U.S. Coast Guard Auxiliary.

The Federal Communications Communication accuses Jack Gerritsen of Bell, California of violating Section 333 of the Communications Act by interfering with a Coast Guard rescue effort last October 29 on amateur radio requencies.

According to the FCC, the incident involved efforts by an Auxiliarist to contact the sailing vessel Elke-Marie after a storm rendered the boat's VHF marine radio non-operational. The vessel had working Amateur Radio gear, however. When the Auxiliary member attempted to contact the S/V Elke-Marie on behalf of the Coast Guard, using a VHF amateur radio repeater on Catalina Island, "Gerritsen began speaking and transmitting a prerecorded message," according to the FCC.

Although the Auxiliarist radio operator asked Gerritsen to cease operating, he continue to transmit on the frequency for about 40 minutes, accusing the Auxiliarist of declaring a sham emergency in an effort to jam Gerritsen's transmissions.

Within 90 minutes of the incident, FCC agents tracked a signal on the repeater's input frequency to Gerritsen's residence. "Gerritsen's apparent willful and malicious interference with the radio communications of the Coast Guard Auxiliary officer who was attempting to communicate with a ship in distress is egregious," said FCC Los Angeles District Office District Director Catherine Deaton. "According to the evidence, Gerritsen knowingly operates, without a license, radio transmission equipment." In its notice to Gerritsen, the FCC alleged that he continued to transmit "despite repeated warnings and requests to vacate the frequency."

FCC records show that Gerritsen has been fined several times for interfering with government-licensed radio communications. In the latest incident, Geritsen was fined $21,000, bringing the total fines imposed against him to $52,000. Gerritsen has previously briefly spent time in jail on a state conviction for interfering with public safety radio communications.

Gerritsen has claimed that the FCC improperly rescinded his amateur radio license without holding a hearing.

The American Radio Relay League, the national association of radio amateurs, has called upon the FCC Enforcement Division to intervene with the U.S. Attorney's office in the case, saying that malicious interference atttributed to Gerritsen has continued. The ARRL asks that "procedures other than monetary forfeitures be brought to bear."

The Communications Act requires that all radio stations give "absolute priority" to radio communications or signals relating to ships in distress.

Hundreds of amateur radio operators in southern California have repeatedly complained to authorities about Gerritsen's alleged "jamming" activities during the past several years.

The United States Coast Guard Auxiliary is composed of uniformed, non-military volunteer civilians who assist the Coast Guard in all of its varied missions, except for military and direct law enforcement. These men and women can be found on the nation's waterways, in the air, in classrooms and on the dock, performing Maritime Domain Awareness patrols, safety patrols, vessel safety checks and public education.

The United States Coast Guard Auxiliary was founded in 1939 by an Act of Congress as the U.S. Coast Guard Reserve and re-designated as the Auxiliary in 1941. Its 35,000 members donate millions of hours annually in support of Coast Guard missions.

####

The release is available at: http://www.auxpa.org/releases/auxaction/020905.html

37006. Re: [bayscan] Mardi Gras San Jose Heating up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 07:53:12 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 36997 Message: 37006

I dont think I can get San Jose from here let me try again..

robert

At 11:41 PM 2/8/2005, you wrote:
>You can't stop it . there is NO designated party , just more of an
>impromptu type party. You can bet some come down JUST for the trouble.
>
>Sounds like the SO , SJPD and CHP all have helos up !!
>
>KGO mentioned SJPD has an extra 265 Officers on duty.....one window
>smashed at 7/ S.Clara possible rape in progress in a car on 3rd near
>S.Clara(dispatched at 1139 hours)
>
>Most of trouble on Santa Clara from Market up to about 7th.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129bin3is/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1108021312/A=2532114/R=2/SIG=12k0pp7se/*http://clk.atdmt.com/NFX/go/yhxxxnfx0020000014nfx/direct/01/&time=1107934912268183>
>[]
>
>67af36.jpg
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *

> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37007. Re: [bayscan] Ahem! Hopefully Final message on Code 3 POV's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 08:42:23 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 36941 Message: 37007

Sounds like Dianne Feinstein she does not advocate anyone having a concealed
weapon permit but she has hers.
----- Original Message -----
From: <jjcooke@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2005 9:35 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Ahem! Hopefully Final message on Code 3 POV's

>
>
> Since I seem to have started this mess, please let me explain my
> situation and why it has nothing to do with boats, fire trucks, PG&E
> or any other organiziation.
>
> I am one of three SAR coordinators in this county. I happen to be the
> only volunteer. Sonoma County is not an urban area, in general. I
> comonnly have to respond to the helicopter hanger, which is 45
> minutes from my home on a good day. The primary reason for code 3
> equipment is on-scene warning rather than running code three 45
> minutes to the hanger. There are times when running code three for
> two minutes can help clear some traffic that signifcantly would
> reduce my reponse time. This probably happens about once a year.
>
> The sheriff first had to authorize the request. I then had to take (
> and have retaken) EVOC driving courses. I then had to present the
> letter to the CHP along with my EVOC records to be issued a permit
> for a RED light and siren. No blue or other funny color, just a RED
> light. As I said, I probably turn on the siren about once a year and
> it's almost never in an urban area. It's more likely that I will use
> it to get a slow-moving truck out of my way on a rural road.
>
> My situation is probably unique. I don't advocate that anyone else
> have code three equipment. Please don't take my situation and start
> generalizing it to things have nothing to do with me.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37008. BART Trunking System

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 09:23:09 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37008 Message: 37008

Hey guys, I've never monitored trains before and I normally can't recieve the BART TRS from my location in oakland, but either through skip or a new transmitter it's been booming in the last few nights and I've been able to listen for the first time. I was just wondering what the following TGs were used for:

-Trains (Road Channels) - Traffic control while they're running on the tracks?

- Towers (Concord Tower, Hayward Tower, Richmond Tower, etc.)

- Turnbacks (Dublin Turnback, Colma Turnback, Baypoint Turnback, etc.)

- Power and Way - (02-141 & 02-142) - The operators always sound impressive (remind me of air traffic control), just wondering what the significance of what they're saying to the BART system is.

- Power & Support/Central Maintenance (02-037)

BPD, Fire and ICS are all fairly obvious, as are a lot of the maintenance TGs and Tango 1 (ride along mechanics).

I'm just curious about the responsibilites of the operators and users of those TGs and the methods they use to move the trains and keep them safely seperated. Sure it might be boring to a lot of people, but it's something I've never monitored before and I find it intriguing at the moment.

Thanks in advance to anyone who can help,

-Inigo

37009. Re: BART Trunking System

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 09:24:12 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37008 Message: 37009

Oh and I'm not located in Oakland, I'm in Marin... it's late... LOL.

-Inigo

37010. Re: [bayscan] At work scanning..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 09:32:17 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 36983 Message: 37010

I scan a lot from work. Although I am mobile all day and everday in a different part of the bay area and Northern California. I have my HAM H/T and sometimes my mobile scanner.

I like listening to Fire the most CDF,County Fire and such. PD talks to much and blocks other calls from coming in.

The counties I am in . San Mateo, Santa Clara,Tahema,Shasta,Sonoma,Napa,Marin,Alameda,Contra Costa,Monterey,San Benito, San Francisco,
Yolo,Solano,Sutter,Yuba and others.

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37011. Re: [bayscan] BS: OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 10:02:57 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 37011 Message: 37011

Dave, I do not think you can blame ALCO dispatch. It's a PSAP (911 puter) going into a CAD (puter aided dispatch) then a kind of SCADA system which then rings the bells and turns on the lights, opens the doors, turns off the stove, etc. all of this on dedicated data lines. So the dispatcher could be in Denver doesn't need to know anything about the area and cross streets are automatic it all comes up on the screen.
My guess if the longer response time is true that its politics -- probably upset about the change so they stretch out the time till they claim to be on scene the reverse used to be true you could watch them go out the door around the corner and claim to be on scene blocks from the incident when they were trying to show real fast responses for political reason's i.e. : taking over AMR med response.(never happened). the FFD union is real strong politically and not stupid and probably pissed that they lost some members(dispatch) it is all a numbers game
FPD also tried for years to take them over to justify their new big wallet dispatch center.
Also for a few years FFD was combined with Union City and the response times in Union City went up! CAN YOU SAY "MANIPULATION" response times are very easy to manipulate but in a few years they will have an automatic GPS enabled vehicle location system and then you will see real numbers and my guess is they will go up at least 33%
Rocdad
----- Original Message -----
From: "bluebag" <bluebag@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 8:59 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: OT- Fremont Fire has longer response times after dispatch move

>
>
> ALCO has one dispatcher working Fremont, Union City and Alameda. Prior to
> this,
> Fremont did Fremont and only Fremont. Add to this the sending of fire
> rigs to an
> address in one city when the fire is actually at a similiar sounding
> street in another
> city (have heard this at least twice). Yes, the delay is not from ALCO
> receiving the
> call, but what they do with it.
>
> dave
>
>

>
>
>>
>> How did the dispatch switch increase response times? It adds maybe 5
>> seconds for a police dispatcher to hit the transfer button ... at
>> least I haven't seen any similar complaints here in San Mateo County.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37012. Re: [bayscan] Re: Thanks, Ed!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 14:55:51 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36959 Message: 37012

Thanks much!

On 2/8/05 1:30 PM, "blueangel_78" <blueangel_78@...> wrote:

> Nice story Craig! And great pics as usual.

37013. firefeeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 15:38:02 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 37013 Message: 37013

FYI: SF is back up for now, www.firefeeds.com

37014. RE: [bayscan] RS Pro-96 VS BC 296

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 16:44:58 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 36995 Message: 37014

Hopefully, this means that bru-ha-ha over P25 capable digital scanners is on the downturn and prices will come down.

I'm also curious how Radio Shack will respond competition wise to the new Uniden 396.

-Roger 8:44 am
-----Original Message-----
From: gary [mailto:yahoogroups@...]
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 10:27 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] RS Pro-96 VS BC 296

If you can wait until fall, the Uniden 396 will be out.
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/scanners/1396.html
I'm guessing it will be priced a bit above what the Pro-96. You should be able to google around to get the press release.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37015. Is it possible

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 17:00:39 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37015 Message: 37015

Is it possible to have ducting come through on a lowband freq that is pl'd. I'm hearing what I think are L.A. county CHP units on a bay area chp freq.

37016. Re: [bayscan] Is it possible

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 17:21:26 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37015 Message: 37016

At 09:00 AM 2/9/2005, you wrote:
>Is it possible to have ducting come through on a lowband freq that is
>pl'd.

Yes... If they use the same PL. :)

>I'm hearing what I think are L.A. county CHP units on a bay area
>chp freq.

What makes you think they're LA County CHP? What frequency?

Evan

37017. once again it down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 14:26:20 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37017 Message: 37017

For some reason I have been off line from last night and I can't connect to Texas.
so until it gets fixed SF Firefeeds will be off line.

I post when it's back up

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37018. Re: [bayscan] Is it possible

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 17:27:14 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37015 Message: 37018

they don't use the same pl

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 09:00 AM 2/9/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Is it possible to have ducting come through on a lowband freq that is
>>pl'd.
>>
>>
>
>Yes... If they use the same PL. :)
>
>
>
>>I'm hearing what I think are L.A. county CHP units on a bay area
>>chp freq.
>>
>>
>
>What makes you think they're LA County CHP? What frequency?
>
>Evan
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37019. Re: [bayscan] Is it possible

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 17:28:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37015 Message: 37019

Dispatcher said " confirming you're doing a traffic stop sb 5 south of
newhall". chp unit. : "afirm"

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 09:00 AM 2/9/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Is it possible to have ducting come through on a lowband freq that is
>>pl'd.
>>
>>
>
>Yes... If they use the same PL. :)
>
>
>
>>I'm hearing what I think are L.A. county CHP units on a bay area
>>chp freq.
>>
>>
>
>What makes you think they're LA County CHP? What frequency?
>
>Evan
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37020. Re: [bayscan] once again it down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 17:34:44 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37017 Message: 37020

SFFD& SFPD is up at

www.mattlogic.com :-)

Scanner 4 wrote:

>For some reason I have been off line from last night and I can't connect to Texas.
>so until it gets fixed SF Firefeeds will be off line.
>
>I post when it's back up
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37021. Re: Mardi Gras San Jose Heating up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 17:45:54 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 36997 Message: 37021

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...>
wrote:
> I dont think I can get San Jose from here let me try again..
>
> robert

Just information from Petaluma but 460.100 was coming in like
gangbusters up here in Petaluma. I must have a great look down the
Bay since monitoring Santa Clara County is often better than trying
to get stations up here in Sonoma County.

Regards, Jim

37022. Re: [bayscan] Is it possible

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 18:06:54 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37015 Message: 37022

Ben, what CHP freq is it that you are listening too?

----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 9:28 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Is it possible

Dispatcher said " confirming you're doing a traffic stop sb 5 south of
newhall". chp unit. : "afirm"

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 09:00 AM 2/9/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Is it possible to have ducting come through on a lowband freq that is
>>pl'd.
>>
>>
>
>Yes... If they use the same PL. :)
>
>
>
>>I'm hearing what I think are L.A. county CHP units on a bay area
>>chp freq.
>>
>>
>
>What makes you think they're LA County CHP? What frequency?
>
>Evan
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.8.6 - Release Date: 2/7/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.8.6 - Release Date: 2/7/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37023. Re: [bayscan] Is it possible

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 18:23:43 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37015 Message: 37023

i didn't catch it...

Danny Monge wrote:

>Ben, what CHP freq is it that you are listening too?
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ben
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 9:28 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Is it possible
>
>
> Dispatcher said " confirming you're doing a traffic stop sb 5 south of
> newhall". chp unit. : "afirm"
>
> Evan Platt wrote:
>
> >At 09:00 AM 2/9/2005, you wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >>Is it possible to have ducting come through on a lowband freq that is
> > >>pl'd.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >Yes... If they use the same PL. :)
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >>I'm hearing what I think are L.A. county CHP units on a bay area
> > >>chp freq.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >What makes you think they're LA County CHP? What frequency?
> > >
> > >Evan
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>--
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
>--
>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.8.6 - Release Date: 2/7/2005
>
> ----------
>
>No virus found in this outgoing message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.0.300 / Virus Database: 265.8.6 - Release Date: 2/7/2005
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37024. San Jose - Gas Main Break

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 19:05:19 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37024 Message: 37024

San Jose Fire working a gas main rupture at a construction site with PG&E on the scene.

2003 East San Antonio at Machado

SAN ANTONIO IC working on San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115

ENGINE 2
ENGINE 8
TRUCK COMPANY 2
BATTALION 2

Requesting the balance of a full first alarm to stage at San Antonio and Old Oakland Road.

ENGINE 16
USAR COMPANY 16
BATTALION 1
MED 30
HIT TEAM

-C-

37025. Re: [bayscan] At work scanning..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 19:08:13 UTC
From: Nobag (Mike)
Topic: 36983 Message: 37025

Hi Paul,

I am at home all day, and feel just the opposite. I like to listen to the PD stuff, and all those AMR, and Fire calls are always interrupting those important license plate checks.
(LOL)

Just goes to show ya, different strokes for different folks.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 02/09/05 01:33:43
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] At work scanning..

I scan a lot from work. Although I am mobile all day and everday in a different part of the bay area and Northern California. I have my HAM H/T and
sometimes my mobile scanner.

I like listening to Fire the most CDF,County Fire and such. PD talks to much
and blocks other calls from coming in.

The counties I am in . San Mateo, Santa Clara,Tahema,Shasta,Sonoma,Napa,Marin,Alameda,Contra Costa,Monterey,San Benito, San Francisco,
Yolo,Solano,Sutter,Yuba and others.

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37026. Re: [bayscan] San Jose - Gas Main Break

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 19:12:45 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37024 Message: 37026

CORRECTION -

STAGING is located at Oakland Avenue and East San Antonio Road.

-C-

On 2/9/05 11:05 AM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> San Jose Fire working a gas main rupture at a construction site with PG&E on
> the scene.
>
> 2003 East San Antonio at Machado
>
> SAN ANTONIO IC working on San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115
>
> ENGINE 2
> ENGINE 8
> TRUCK COMPANY 2
> BATTALION 2
>
> Requesting the balance of a full first alarm to stage at San Antonio and Old
> Oakland Road.
>
> ENGINE 16
> USAR COMPANY 16
> BATTALION 1
> MED 30
> HIT TEAM
>
> -C-
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37027. Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:03:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37027 Message: 37027

900 E Evelyn @ Wolf. NFI on the details, but it sounds like we have 2 J's involved. Med 7 & 8 on scene, and IC requesting another ambulance.

Sunnyvale FD 482.7125.

Evelyn shut down between Wolf & Aster.

Now requesting a 3rd ambulance.

1303 hrs

37028. Sunnyvale Hit and Run

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:05:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37028 Message: 37028

Sunnyvale Fire/DPS working a hit and run involving multiple patients on East Evelyn at Wolf.

Police on 482.9625
Fire on 482.7125

More to follow.

-C-

37029. Re: [bayscan] Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:06:57 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37027 Message: 37029

Sorry about that Evan. Didn't mean to dupe efforts.

On 2/9/05 1:03 PM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

>
> 900 E Evelyn @ Wolf. NFI on the details, but it sounds like we have 2 J's
> involved. Med 7 & 8 on scene, and IC requesting another ambulance.
>
> Sunnyvale FD 482.7125.
>
> Evelyn shut down between Wolf & Aster.
>
> Now requesting a 3rd ambulance.
>
> 1303 hrs
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37030. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Hit and Run

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:07:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37028 Message: 37030

At 01:05 PM 2/9/2005, you wrote:
>Sunnyvale Fire/DPS working a hit and run involving multiple patients on East
>Evelyn at Wolf.
>
>Police on 482.9625
>Fire on 482.7125
>
>More to follow.

I'll let you take over, I'll be away from the scanners, and it sounds like
you know more than me (All I caught was 'attempted 187').

Evan

37031. Re: [bayscan] Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:13:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37027 Message: 37031

At 01:06 PM 2/9/2005, you wrote:
>Sorry about that Evan. Didn't mean to dupe efforts.

No sweat, you caught more than me.. And you know Yahoo Groups, I'm still waiting to hear if Apollo 13 successfully landed on the moon. That message should come through sometime soon.

37032. Re: [bayscan] Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:20:37 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37027 Message: 37032

LOL! I know, it can be insanely frustrating. Oh, and the Apollo mission - sorry to tell ya this, but they scrubbed the landing ;^)

On 2/9/05 1:13 PM, "Evan Platt" <evan@...> wrote:

> No sweat, you caught more than me.. And you know Yahoo Groups, I'm still
> waiting to hear if Apollo 13 successfully landed on the moon. That message
> should come through sometime soon.

37033. Re: [bayscan] Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:29:36 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37027 Message: 37033

Okay, this one is confusing for me, but it sounds like this was indeed an attempted 187 with multiple victims. Just heard another AMR unit dispatched to 999 Evelyn Terrace for an assault victim. I believe this is related.

Another victim is en route to VMC code-3. Sounds like there are two different scenes for this event. Unsure the method - may not have been vehicular at all. Anyone have clarification?

-C-

37034. Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:39:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37027 Message: 37034

I am guessing that what happened here is there was an attempted 187 in an apartment at Evelyn Terrace. The suspect fled and was involved in a major injury auto accident near Evelyn and Mary. This is just conjecture at this time.

Three AMR rigs have arrived and departed with patients.

A fourth AMR rig is responding to a separate location for another victim that was assaulted.

Fifth AMR rig has been requested by fire to the site of the 11-80.

Most traffic related to this incident can be heard on the following:

Sunnyvale PD - 482.9625
Sunnyvale FD - 482.7125
AMR - 856.4375

37035. Re: [bayscan] Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:45:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37027 Message: 37035

they (Patrol side) sent a PIO.....so ... hopefully it will be cleared up on evening news. perp has keys of "victim" from Evelyn terrace.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37036. Re: [bayscan] Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 21:58:45 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37027 Message: 37036

I also heard the PIO go on scene - no more speculation from this end. It's clearly a major crime scene and will undoubtedly be reported on KNTV this evening (hopefully accurately)!

-C-

On 2/9/05 1:45 PM, "caladded@..." <caladded@...> wrote:

> they (Patrol side) sent a PIO.....so ... hopefully it will be cleared up
> on evening news. perp has keys of "victim" from Evelyn terrace.

37037. Re: [bayscan] Attempted 187, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-09 22:29:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37027 Message: 37037

accurate ? Craig , your expected TOO much LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37038. Beware of Kenwoods at Ham flea markets...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 00:47:39 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37038 Message: 37038

34 radios is a HECK of a lot.

STATE, FEDS JOIN PROBE INTO STRING OF SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY RADIO THEFTS

Thieves have been helping themselves to newer Kenwood two-way radios installed in school buses up and down the San Joaquin Valley, prompting the California Department of Justice and FBI to join the investigation. The Turlock Unified School District became the latest victim, losing 34 radios while buses were stored for the night in the transportation yard. (Modesto Bee)

http://www.modbee.com/local/story/9920995p-10763786c.html

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37039. Re: [bayscan] Is it possible

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 02:04:46 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 37015 Message: 37039

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 09:00 AM 2/9/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Is it possible to have ducting come through on a lowband freq that is
>>pl'd.
>>
>>
>
>Yes... If they use the same PL. :)
>
>
>
>>I'm hearing what I think are L.A. county CHP units on a bay area
>>chp freq.
>>
>>
>
>What makes you think they're LA County CHP? What frequency?
>
>Evan
>
>

LA County (Southern Division) uses a PL of 192.8

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37040. petaluma 2nd alarm 1948

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 03:49:15 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37040 Message: 37040

154.1750, 154.3100
fully involved structure fire in petaluma

701 thompson lane

nina

37041. plane crash at Petaluma??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 05:31:39 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37041 Message: 37041

Did anyone hear anything about this? May have been an ultralight. I heard one report on KCBS around five o'clock today but no report on the pilot or passengers if any.

37042. RE: [bayscan] plane crash at Petaluma??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 05:43:11 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37041 Message: 37042

Plane crashes into golf course
An unidentified man was killed Wednesday afternoon when his plane crash landed into a stretch of green at the Rooster Run Golf Course near the Petaluma Airport shortly after takeoff.

According to witnesses, the single-engine Grummann Tiger was flying at about 100 feet when the engine started making sputtering sounds.

George Phillips, who was just finishing a round of golf at the 18th hole, looked up in time to see the plane flying low towards him, wobbling from side to side.

"There was a backfire and then the engine quit," Phillips said. The plane was traveling at a very slow speed when it suddenly just dropped to the right side, hit the ground and spun around, he said.

Rich McConnell, who was working on a roof across the road from the golf course, said he saw the plane coming in low and head a "big bang," followed by a lot of ducks that flew off quacking.

The pilot was apparently killed on impact and his German Shepherd dog was ejected from the aircraft and landed on the golfing green. The dog died a few minutes later, Phillips said.

As of Wednesday afternoon, officials had not determined the identity of the pilot.

The National Transportation Safety Board is due to arrive Thursday to conduct and investigation into the cause of the crash, said Petaluma Fire Marshall Michael Ginn.

-Roger 21:43

-----Original Message-----
From: jim gammon [mailto:jgammon1@...]
Sent: Wednesday, February 09, 2005 9:32 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] plane crash at Petaluma??

Did anyone hear anything about this? May have been an ultralight. I heard
one report on KCBS around five o'clock today but no report on the pilot or passengers if any.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37043. Sunnyvale Attempted 187 Follow-up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 05:46:46 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37043 Message: 37043

Not sure if anyone has supplied the details from today's incident in Sunnyvale, but here's what was available on the KNTV-11 website:

Man Drives Vehicle Into Group Of People

Two Adults, Two Children Injured

POSTED: 7:37 pm PST February 9, 2005

SUNNYVALE, Calif. -- A 37-year-old Sunnyvale man is in custody Wednesday after he allegedly drove his vehicle deliberately into a group of people walking on a sidewalk, according to Capt. Craig Farley.

Officers were responding to reports of a domestic violence incident at approximately 12:45 p.m. when they received a report of a serious accident in the area.

Farley said officers discovered two adults and two children injured in the accident, which occurred near the intersection of Evelyn Avenue and Red Oak Drive.

Witness reports claim that Ngai Fung Fong was behind the wheel of a compact car when he deliberately drove the vehicle into the victims before striking them a second time.

According to Farley, Fong fled the scene and was arrested a short distance away.

A preliminary investigation revealed that Fong was allegedly involved in the domestic violence incident, but Farley could not comment further.

He was unsure of the relationship between Fong and the victims, who were all taken to an area hospital.

Fong was uninjured in the accident.

Farley said the youngest victim, a 6-year-old boy, suffered serious injuries.

A Good Samaritan who tried to chase down Fong's vehicle was also taken to a hospital after complaining of chest pains.

37044. Oakland Airport freqs/traffic

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 06:10:02 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37044 Message: 37044

I am now working right near Oak airport and can now scan at my new job :) I was hoping to get some interesting freqs. I have the general Tower,ground, approach freqs. I was hoping to get Helo talkaround? air to air type stuff.. Does anyone hve any Oak or SFO freqs they would not mind sharing.. I would especially like to monitor any and all Helo traffic, (News, CHP, USCG, PD) anything that calls Oak airport home. Thanks for the help..

37045. Santa Cruz S/O with major incident @ 0142hrs ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 09:50:13 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37045 Message: 37045

I got in on the tail end of it, but sounded like EVERY s/o unit in Santa Cruz and all but
one paramedic unit in the entire county was assigned.

Never caught the location, just caught radio traffic as fire and medic units were being released.

Sheriff 155.565
Fire Red 154.325

Time to check that website again that records the dispatches and tones, but I forgot the URL

37046. RE: [bayscan] Santa Cruz S/O with major incident @ 0142hrs ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 14:02:50 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 37045 Message: 37046

The fire and medic dispatch is here at my website:

http://www.firescan.net/cgi-bin/playback.cgi?f=1108026000-1&sb=1394&t=90&rat
e=56

Matthew

> -----Original Message-----
> From: KG6VXT [mailto:kg6vxt@...]
> Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2005 1:50 AM
> To: bayscan@...m
> Subject: [bayscan] Santa Cruz S/O with major incident @ 0142hrs ??
> Importance: High
>
>
>
> I got in on the tail end of it, but sounded like EVERY s/o
> unit in Santa
> Cruz and all but
> one paramedic unit in the entire county was assigned.
>
> Never caught the location, just caught radio traffic as fire
> and medic
> units were being released.
>
> Sheriff 155.565
> Fire Red 154.325
>
> Time to check that website again that records the dispatches
> and tones, but
> I forgot the URL
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37047. Re: Oakland Airport freqs/traffic

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 17:28:16 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 37044 Message: 37047

All of the news media helicopters and planes are now based at Hayward (twr 120.2). Air to air for media/traffic watch in the Bay Area is 124.3 and is quite active in the morning/afternoon hrs. Port of Oakland Airport Ops is 453.90 and might be worth listening to. The airport fire stn is #12 and they will be on Tower and Ground freqs during an actual emergency. Also, some road and parking lot security can be heard on ALCO Tag 16144. As you probably know, the airline traffic landing on rwy 29 by the marina are on 127.2. OPD Helios ID as "Argus" and park near the south terminal. Hope this helps.
##########################

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "John Gotti" <bayareascanman@y...> wrote:
>
> I am now working right near Oak airport and can now scan at my new
> job :) I was hoping to get some interesting freqs. I have the general
> Tower,ground, approach freqs. I was hoping to get Helo talkaround?
> air to air type stuff.. Does anyone hve any Oak or SFO freqs they
> would not mind sharing.. I would especially like to monitor any and
> all Helo traffic, (News, CHP, USCG, PD) anything that calls Oak
> airport home. Thanks for the help..

37048. Structure Fire - CDF (Santa Clara County)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 21:37:22 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37048 Message: 37048

Structure fire at 18240 Oak Glen Avenue (just west of Morgan Hill)

BATTALION 1611 reporting large column of black smoke

B1611
E1
E2
E3
SANTA CLARA COUNTY E12
T1608
SOUTH COUNTY PCF

Monitor CDF - 151.445
CDF TAC 9 - 151.385

-C-

37049. Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire - CDF (Santa Clara County)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 21:45:13 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37048 Message: 37049

BATTALION 1611 advising one out building and vehicle burning and endangering power lines. Setting up structure protection for adjacent building.

Water Tender 1 en route.

Final update on this incident unless conditions warrant.

-C-

On 2/10/05 1:37 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> Structure fire at 18240 Oak Glen Avenue (just west of Morgan Hill)
>
> BATTALION 1611 reporting large column of black smoke
>
> B1611
> E1
> E2
> E3
> SANTA CLARA COUNTY E12
> T1608
> SOUTH COUNTY PCF
>
> Monitor CDF - 151.445
> CDF TAC 9 - 151.385

37050. Re: [bayscan] Structure Fire - CDF (Santa Clara County)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-10 23:10:35 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 37048 Message: 37050

E2 and E3 were cancelled. County E12 used for structure protection. A request for a prevention officer was made; Water Tender 1 was staffed by today's prevention officer, so he double dipped. Special call to activate Engine 1662 was made as this was up a driveway that was very very narrow and a Type 3 engine was needed.

EOM.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37051. Woodside .....body ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 00:46:47 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37051 Message: 37051

Woodside Engine 7 , Medic 107 , Battalion 2 and Redwood City Eng 12
all looking for an RP on a cellphone he found, along with a body
somewhere up off Woodside road , RP is unsure of his exact location

on Control 2 / 154.37

S/O probably also looking TG 13840

1645 hours

Ed

37052. ELT Search by CAP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 01:58:18 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37052 Message: 37052

Some sort of ELT search going on by the Civil Air Patrol in the Calastoga Area. Listening to 148.150 Mhz

17:57 Hrs

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37053. Re: plane crash at Petaluma??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 03:25:29 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 37041 Message: 37053

http://www.faa.gov/avr/aai/evlst20050210.htm

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Plane crashes into golf course
> An unidentified man was killed Wednesday afternoon when his plane crash

37054. Solano County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 03:53:04 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 37054 Message: 37054

Took my Pro-2096 out for a christening tody.

Had Travis programmed per RadioReference.com, nothing...

I seem to remember that Travis has three Base and offsets,
RadioReference shows only two.

Got a Control channel OK and had some transmissions while listening to
individual frequencies, no trunking action though.

Does anyone have a win96 file or the correct settings?

Thanks

Ed in Cupertino.

BTW nothing heard on the California Medical Facility in Vacaville. No
control channel heard on the frequencies listed in RadioReference. Any
help?

37055. San Jose Fire Call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 04:29:25 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37055 Message: 37055

This just in - San José Fire Department response to 1309 Ebano Court for a smoke investigation.

First unit on scene locates source of smoke, and it turns out to be a family roasting PEANUTS in their backyard!

Go figure...

Here's the list of resources that responded:

B2
E26
USAR COMPANY 16
E16

I wonder if they got some of the goodies? ;^)

-C-

37056. Re: [bayscan] Re: plane crash at Petaluma??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 03:29:18 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37041 Message: 37056

a witness also reported that the pilot's dog was flung from the
aircraft and after impact....

On Thursday, Feb 10, 2005, at 19:25 US/Pacific, Ed Tobiasz wrote:

>
> http://www.faa.gov/avr/aai/evlst20050210.htm
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37057. High Risk Felony Car stop Hayward

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 04:40:50 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37057 Message: 37057

Hayward PD making a felony car stop southbound 880 @ 92/jackson st.
Had all 4 lanes blocked. I Originally heard a CHP unit on 42.620 say
he was stopped doing some paperwork and noticed this occuring near
him.. Dispatch said they would call around to see who it was. So i
scanned around the dial and found Hayward PD on 482.6625 making the
stop...

37058. Chase Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 07:00:46 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37058 Message: 37058

Just caught some nice foot chase on Vallejo PD 155.910 and they are
requesting STAR 1

10:59 pm

Robert
BayScan

37059. Fairfield City Fire Freq. Help!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 07:29:38 UTC
From: davecnews
Topic: 37059 Message: 37059

Wondering if anyone knows why I can't seem to pickup Fairfield fire (482.7875) anymore? All I hear is some digital signal come on every 30-secs or so. Almost sounds like loud open sqelch mixed in with fax noises. I used to be able to pick it up fairly well until now. This problem happpens at home and in my car with different radios and antenna setups; even miles away from any one point. By the way, I live about 10 miles from Fairfield (wasn't a problem before).

Is there any setting you think I could switch on my scanner so that I don't hear this sound (data?). I have the 780xlt, btw.

Thanks in advance!
dave

37060. OT: Gmail

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 15:44:43 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37060 Message: 37060

This is OFF TOPIC:

If you want a GMAIL Account please send an E-mail to:]
sffirefeeds@...
Do not send your request from a YAHOO or HOTMAIL account..

NO SPAM please

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37061. OT - Phoenix, AZ scanning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 17:28:56 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 37061 Message: 37061

I will be visiting Phoenix, AZ next week with my 296D and laptop in
tow--I'd like some pointers on effectively scanning their TOPAZ trunked
system. I've got the frequencies from radioreference.com; I'm looking
more for usage patterns, system quirks, etc.

Please reply *privately* as this is not really on topic for this list.

Thanks,

-Johnathan
Johnathan.Corgan@...

37062. Re: Fairfield City Fire Freq. Help!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-11 19:47:51 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 37059 Message: 37062

Since 482.7875 is one of the Marin County trunk channels, and they use digital voice, you are probably hearing that. This freq is a secondary for Mtn View PD down here and I hear Marin Co if I don't use a PL tone. So to solve this, use Fairfield's PL tone of 173.8 on this freq. That should block out the digital signal from Marin.

As to why you don't pick up Fairfield FD anymore, here are some possibilities:

- If the FD is still on that freq and you had indadvertently set the PL tone for 482.7875 to _lockout_ PL tone 173.8 (instead of accepting it), it would match your symptoms exactly. You would never hear the FD and would get everything else on that freq (the strongest being Marin Co). For the 780XLT, the PL tone would be flashing instead of steady in this case.

- Fairfield fire could have moved to a different freq, because of the interference with Marin (if you hear it, it could interfere with them), or for other reasons.

- Fairfield could be moving to talk groups on the Vacaville trunk system. Can you still hear the PD on 483.0875?

- Maybe they moved to one of their existing tac or PW frequencies. Try 482.3625, 460.1375, 483.1625, 453.0125, or 453.0375.

- There is always the direct route - if you have or can borrow an Opto freq counter or any of the newer scanners with Close Call features (like the BC246T or SC230), get close to a station or a rig when they transmit and capture it.

Good Luck
Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "davecnews" <davecnews@y...> wrote:
>
> Wondering if anyone knows why I can't seem to pickup Fairfield
fire
> (482.7875) anymore? All I hear is some digital signal come on
every
> 30-secs or so. Almost sounds like loud open sqelch mixed in with
fax
> noises. I used to be able to pick it up fairly well until now.
This
> problem happpens at home and in my car with different radios and
> antenna setups; even miles away from any one point. By the way, I
> live about 10 miles from Fairfield (wasn't a problem before).

>
> Is there any setting you think I could switch on my scanner so
that I
> don't hear this sound (data?). I have the 780xlt, btw.
>
> Thanks in advance!
> dave

37063. CDF - Santa Clara - 2nd Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 00:40:41 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37063 Message: 37063

CDF (Santa Clara) is working a 2nd-Alarm structure fire at 1711 Rosetta Drive off of Hill Road in Morgan Hill.

San Jose Engine Company 27 is en route

Monitor CDF - 151.445
CDF TAC 9 - 151.385

More details to follow.

-C-

37064. Re: [bayscan] CDF - Santa Clara - 2nd Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 00:44:10 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37063 Message: 37064

ROSETTA IC just advised that they were able to contain the fire between the ceiling and attic and cancel all apparatus not on scene.

Units committed to the detail:

E1
E2
TRAINING 1606 (SAFETY)
IC

Final update unless conditions warrant.

-C-

On 2/11/05 4:40 PM, "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...> wrote:

>
> CDF (Santa Clara) is working a 2nd-Alarm structure fire at 1711 Rosetta
> Drive off of Hill Road in Morgan Hill.
>
> San Jose Engine Company 27 is en route
>
> Monitor CDF - 151.445
> CDF TAC 9 - 151.385
>
> More details to follow.
>
> -C-

37065. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 05:18:37 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 37055 Message: 37065

Just another example of some retard trying to be the neighborhood hero and calling 9-1-1.

Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:This just in - San Jos? Fire Department response to 1309 Ebano Court for a
smoke investigation.

First unit on scene locates source of smoke, and it turns out to be a family
roasting PEANUTS in their backyard!

Go figure...

Here's the list of resources that responded:

B2
E26
USAR COMPANY 16
E16

I wonder if they got some of the goodies? ;^)

-C-

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
document.write('');

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37066. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 05:21:35 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37055 Message: 37066

At 09:18 PM 2/11/2005, you wrote:

>Just another example of some retard trying to be the neighborhood hero and
>calling 9-1-1.

Hey, if it's my apartment / house, I think I'd rather have the fire
department show up to see me cooking v.s. the alternative - someone sees
smoke, does nothing, and a house burns to the ground.

37067. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 06:18:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37055 Message: 37067

Hey Evan..............the RETARDS who those idiots who see smoke and DON'T call the fire dept. You got it way backwards

Many years ago , this idiot grabbed his video camera and filmed a structure fire in SF that ultimately went to 3 alarms and KILLED 3 people including a 13 year old and 1 year old girl. the idiot , who was a writer for the San Francisco Chronicle , then had the balls to accusing the fire dept of having a slow reponse. The fool was exposed when asked if HE called the fire dept to report the fire to which he replyed YES

The tapes showed 2 calls (it was late at night) were received as well as a street box pulled reporting the fire......both calls were FEMALE

Now THAT is retard.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37068. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire Call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 06:38:19 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37055 Message: 37068

At 10:18 PM 2/11/2005, you wrote:
>Hey Evan.....the RETARDS who those idiots who see smoke and DON'T
>call the fire dept. You got it way backwards

Ed - please reread my message. You are putting words in my mouth I didn't say.Quoting what message you are replying to would help.

Here is what I said:

"Hey, if it's my apartment / house, I think I'd rather have the fire department show up to see me cooking v.s. the alternative - someone sees smoke, does nothing, and a house burns to the ground."

i.e. If you see smoke, call the fire department.

> Many years ago , this idiot grabbed his video camera and filmed a
> structure
>fire in SF that ultimately went to 3 alarms and KILLED 3 people including a
>13 year old and 1 year old girl. the idiot , who was a writer for the San
>Francisco Chronicle , then had the balls to accusing the fire dept of
>having a
>slow reponse. The fool was exposed when asked if HE called the fire dept to
>report the fire to which he replyed YES
>
> The tapes showed 2 calls (it was late at night) were received as
>well as a street box pulled reporting the fire......both calls were FEMALE
>
>Now THAT is retard.

I agree 100%.

37069. Enough with off-topic stuff

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 06:47:34 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37055 Message: 37069

Now we're getting into whether or not the "developmentally disabled" population should be allowed to call the fire department. This group is for scanning the results of calls, not disecting every nuance of a call, especially since we weren't there.

I can understand that threads can sometimes drift but it has been particularly bad lately. It would be nice to see a mod put a cap on some of these really off-topic threads.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 10:18 PM 2/11/2005, you wrote:
> >Hey Evan..............the RETARDS who those idiots who see smoke and DON'T
> >call the fire dept. You got it way backwards
>
> Ed - please reread my message. You are putting words in my mouth I didn't
> say.Quoting what message you are replying to would help.
>
> Here is what I said:
>
> "Hey, if it's my apartment / house, I think I'd rather have the fire
> department show up to see me cooking v.s. the alternative - someone sees
> smoke, does nothing, and a house burns to the ground."
>
> i.e. If you see smoke, call the fire department.
>
> > Many years ago , this idiot grabbed his video camera and filmed a
> > structure
> >fire in SF that ultimately went to 3 alarms and KILLED 3 people including a
> >13 year old and 1 year old girl. the idiot , who was a writer for the San
> >Francisco Chronicle , then had the balls to accusing the fire dept of
> >having a
> >slow reponse. The fool was exposed when asked if HE called the fire dept to
> >report the fire to which he replyed YES
> >
> > The tapes showed 2 calls (it was late at night) were received as

> >well as a street box pulled reporting the fire......both calls
were FEMALE
> >
> >Now THAT is retard.
>
> I agree 100%.

37070. follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 09:17:50 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37070 Message: 37070

First ....to Jim.. one of the reasons bayscan remains so popular is the owner is NOT one of those who likes to censor people and takes more of a live let live attitude and allows for the discussion to drift. So what if it goes a bit off topic now and then and I certainly disagree with your statement that its been especially bad lately. I have seen it WAY worse than this

Secondly for EVERYONE, Moderators only can do certainly things , it is the owner that handles most aspects of the site. George and I are the moderators and can not do some of things people ask.

to Evan , sorry, it WASN'T you ....it was CRAIG T. and I am surprized at YOU Craig LOL LOL , that is NOT your style :-)

Ed
moderator

37071. New File: Marin County Fire Departments.xls

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 10:09:41 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37071 Message: 37071

Hey guys,

I just finished this file. It's a scanners guide to monitoring fire departments within Marin County. It includes all the conventional low band frequencies and who uses them, almost every department in the county (minus a few volunteer stations and I'm working on those), locations of all their stations, and almost every apparatus assigned to each station! It's all in one countywide file and I'm sure with a little tweaking you'd be able to print it out too ;-) (I'll fix that eventually lol).I left the MERA Talkgroups out because it's all being simulcasted from lowband anyway and they're freely available from other sources.

Even you south bay guys might use this, it should supplement Ben's feed at mattlogic.com quite nicely. I know one of them has all the Marin Fire lowband freqs on it, and you'll be able to see what station and address each unit you hear is responding from.

I'm missing smaller stuff like utilities and reserve apparatus in San Rafael and Novato (since I don't live there), and the various volunteer departments out in the boonies of west marin where I used to live. If anyone has any knowledge of these, please email me and let me know and I'll add them. I'd be fun to have the entire county in this file eventually.

Enjoy!

-Inigo

37072. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 12:55:23 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37070 Message: 37072

HEY now don't bring my name into this
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2005 01:17
Subject: [bayscan] follow up on retard

First ....to Jim.. one of the reasons bayscan remains so popular
is the owner is NOT one of those who likes to censor people and takes
more of a live let live attitude and allows for the discussion to
drift. So what if it goes a bit off topic now and then and I
certainly disagree with your statement that its been especially bad
lately. I have seen it WAY worse than this

Secondly for EVERYONE, Moderators only can do certainly things , it
is the owner that handles most aspects of the site. George and I are
the moderators and can not do some of things people ask.

to Evan , sorry, it WASN'T you ....it was CRAIG T. and I am
surprized at YOU Craig LOL LOL , that is NOT your style :-)

Ed
moderator

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37073. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 15:20:44 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 37070 Message: 37073

Sorry about the retard comment.

OK OK OK You're right ED, that wasn't like me. It's just that I don't see the point of a "hero" automatically calling 9-1-1 thus emptying out a fire house without a little investigation into it. There is a big difference between a house fire and a BBQ. Wouldn't everyone be a little pissed if their house burned down because the local fire house was empty on a false call, and all you got for fire protection was 2nd, 3rd, 4th perhaps all the way up to 7th due or mutual aid engines?

I'm done, if we don't want this to continue then dont' reply.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37074. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 15:31:14 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37070 Message: 37074

At 07:20 AM 2/12/2005, you wrote:

>Sorry about the retard comment.
>
>OK OK OK You're right ED, that wasn't like me. It's just that I don't see
>the point of a "hero" automatically calling 9-1-1 thus emptying out a fire
>house without a little investigation into it. There is a big difference
>between a house fire and a BBQ. Wouldn't everyone be a little pissed if
>their house burned down because the local fire house was empty on a false
>call, and all you got for fire protection was 2nd, 3rd, 4th perhaps all
>the way up to 7th due or mutual aid engines?
>
>I'm done, if we don't want this to continue then dont' reply.

Seconds count in a house fire. If I see smoke from across the street, I'll grab my cordless and run outside to check and see - IF I can verify within 30 seconds - 1 minute. If it will take me 3 minutes to run up the street, and other conditions apply (thick smoke, doesn't appear to be from the backyard, etc) I'm calling 911 first. If then I verify it's NOT a house fire, I call back and tell them (chances are they'd continue one unit). Around my area (sunnyvale, Palo Alto, Mtn View, etc), there's one engine per station. That means even with a medical call, there could be a second due arriving for a call, but their response time is generally fairly decent.

37075. A moderator weights in

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 15:51:06 UTC
From: mrred1
Topic: 37075 Message: 37075

Now time for me to rear my ugly head and give my .02 cents worth.

If you want a ON TOPIC ALWAYS LIST, than Please start one (I've tried and gave up because everyone like it here) and while I find a lot of off topic and off colored remarks made here to be way off base and are more ego than fact. (and wishes the Alpha Hotel's would go away, & you know who you are)

and while everyone has an opinion it does not mean that you need to share it all of the time or posted it to Bayscan. (like I really care)

The way the list owner has it set-up is the moderators can ONLY approve or reject messages of the people who are moderated.
and if this list goes back to total moderation (which it has in the past) you'll think the YAHOO DELAY is really fast and being that only the Three (3) moderators and the list owner (that's a total of four (4) people) to go through the all of the messages, which will take awhile.....
(gee so much for breaking news).
So being that this is the good old US of A and not the old USSR, we must put up with the challenged (like Me) and learn the words of my mother
" life a Bi^&h and than you marry one" (thanks Ma) and we will just have to deal with the OFF TOPIC and Colored remarks and go on with our lives

Good scanning and may you not get any intermod today....

Mr Red1
Bayscan Moderator

(can I get my change now)

37076. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 15:47:12 UTC
From: Mrred1
Topic: 37070 Message: 37076

Now time for me to rear my ugly head and give my .02 cents worth.

If you want a ON TOPIC ALWAYS LIST, than Please start one (I've tried and gave up because everyone like it here) and while I find a lot of off topic and off colored remarks made here to be way off base and are more ego than fact. (and wishes the Alpha Hotel's would go away, & you know who you are)

and while everyone has an opinion it does not mean that you need to share it all of the time or posted it to Bayscan. (like I really care)

The way the list owner has it set-up is the moderators can ONLY approve or reject messages of the people who are moderated.
and if this list goes back to total moderation (which it has in the past) you'll think the YAHOO DELAY is really fast and being that only the Three (3) moderators and the list owner (that's a total of four (4) people) to go through the all of the messages, which will take awhile.....
(gee so much for breaking news).
So being that this is the good old US of A and not the old USSR, we must put up with the challenged (like Me) and learn the words of my mother
" life a Bi^&h and than you marry one" (thanks Ma) and we will just have to deal with the OFF TOPIC and Colored remarks and go on with our lives

Good scanning and may you not get any intermod today....

Mr Red1
Bayscan Moderator

(can I get my change now)
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2005 04:55
Subject: Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

HEY now don't bring my name into this
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2005 01:17
Subject: [bayscan] follow up on retard

First ....to Jim.. one of the reasons bayscan remains so popular
is the owner is NOT one of those who likes to censor people and takes
more of a live let live attitude and allows for the discussion to
drift. So what if it goes a bit off topic now and then and I
certainly disagree with your statement that its been especially bad
lately. I have seen it WAY worse than this

Secondly for EVERYONE, Moderators only can do certainly things , it
is the owner that handles most aspects of the site. George and I are
the moderators and can not do some of things people ask.

to Evan , sorry, it WASN'T you ....it was CRAIG T. and I am
surprized at YOU Craig LOL LOL , that is NOT your style :-)

Ed
moderator

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37077. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 15:52:31 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37070 Message: 37077

if you don't know when to call 911, please stay out of my area.
thank you
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2005 07:31
Subject: Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

At 07:20 AM 2/12/2005, you wrote:

>Sorry about the retard comment.
>
>OK OK OK You're right ED, that wasn't like me. It's just that I don't see
>the point of a "hero" automatically calling 9-1-1 thus emptying out a fire
>house without a little investigation into it. There is a big difference
>between a house fire and a BBQ. Wouldn't everyone be a little pissed if
>their house burned down because the local fire house was empty on a false
>call, and all you got for fire protection was 2nd, 3rd, 4th perhaps all
>the way up to 7th due or mutual aid engines?
>
>I'm done, if we don't want this to continue then dont' reply.

Seconds count in a house fire. If I see smoke from across the street, I'll
grab my cordless and run outside to check and see - IF I can verify within
30 seconds - 1 minute. If it will take me 3 minutes to run up the street,
and other conditions apply (thick smoke, doesn't appear to be from the
backyard, etc) I'm calling 911 first. If then I verify it's NOT a house
fire, I call back and tell them (chances are they'd continue one unit).
Around my area (sunnyvale, Palo Alto, Mtn View, etc), there's one engine
per station. That means even with a medical call, there could be a second
due arriving for a call, but their response time is generally fairly decent.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37078. Re: [bayscan] A moderator weighs in

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 16:59:19 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37075 Message: 37078

How about an all fire all the time list....then ban me! ;-)

37079. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 17:28:12 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37070 Message: 37079

Did my original post spark this - uh - discussion?

LOL!!!

If it hadn't been for those goshdang peanut roasters none of this would have happened.

Craig T. - I hear your point LIMA CHARLIE on the unfounded and bogus calls. Hence the Fremont PD's new policy on 459 alarms.

At any rate, I'll be a little more circumspect on my incident postings in the future.

Cheers!

On 2/12/05 7:52 AM, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...> wrote:

>
> if you don't know when to call 911, please stay out of my area.
> thank you

37080. Re: [bayscan] New File: Marin County Fire Departments.xls

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 17:43:09 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37071 Message: 37080

was this posted? i couldn't find it.

thanks for the effort in its creation...

On Saturday, Feb 12, 2005, at 02:09 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

>
>
> Hey guys,
>
> I just finished this file. It's a scanners guide to monitoring fire
> departments within Marin County. It includes all the conventional low
> band frequencies and who uses them, almost every department in the
> county (minus a few volunteer stations and I'm working on those),
> locations of all their stations, and almost every apparatus assigned
> to each station! It's all in one countywide file and I'm sure with a
> little tweaking you'd be able to print it out too ;-) (I'll fix that
> eventually lol).I left the MERA Talkgroups out because it's all being
> simulcasted from lowband anyway and they're freely available from
> other sources.
>
> Even you south bay guys might use this, it should supplement Ben's
> feed at mattlogic.com quite nicely. I know one of them has all the
> Marin Fire lowband freqs on it, and you'll be able to see what
> station and address each unit you hear is responding from.
>
> I'm missing smaller stuff like utilities and reserve apparatus in San
> Rafael and Novato (since I don't live there), and the various
> volunteer departments out in the boonies of west marin where I used
> to live. If anyone has any knowledge of these, please email me and
> let me know and I'll add them. I'd be fun to have the entire county
> in this file eventually.
>
> Enjoy!
>
> -Inigo
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37081. Re: New File: Marin County Fire Departments.xls

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 20:52:52 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37071 Message: 37081

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> was this posted? i couldn't find it.
>
> thanks for the effort in its creation...
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604

Files -> Frequency Lists

Probably not the best place but I saw a similar thing for ALCO
there. Hey and if you have corrections email me and I'll keep it
current. :)

-Inigo

37082. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 22:45:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37070 Message: 37082

Hey Craig, don't feel bad. If everyone contributed as much as you do to this list, it would be super.

I was working in Portland, Oregon as a Security Officer when "dispatch" called me to inform me of a fire in one of the buildings. The whole setup was stupid. They had a letter to the tenants to call "security" in case of ANYTHING including a fire.....dumb. I did NOT patrol the area with a big red fire truck so why call me. They should have had them, of course. call Portland Fire Bureau. Not only that, they give me the WRONG building... I banged on the door to the apt in question only to find the tenant as his girlfriend were,,,,,,,,,,uh,,,,,,,,kind of busy in what some call a "NOONER" (that's as explicit as I am going to get) ...poor guy, he must have hated me. only then does dispatch call me back to find out its across the street (the buildings , 3 of them, were all high rises and I couldn't see the smoke from the first building.

at any rate, I find the correct building and then ask if PFB is on their was (I thought they called them) and find out they had NOT (oh great) , finally they get dispatched and luckily the fire was confined to ONE apt.

point being. NEVER assume someone else has called.. And from a scanner-nuts view, don't you get a little excited when you hear dispatch telling units rolling they have "multiple calls" ? (OK, OK, Gary doesn't) it tells you that they really have something working (usually) !!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37083. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 23:21:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37070 Message: 37083

At 07:52 AM 2/12/2005, you wrote:
>if you don't know when to call 911, please stay out of my area.
>thank you

Your attitude isn't appreciated or needed. I think you'll find most people in public safety would rather see people err on the side of being too cautious (and calling 911 when perhaps they don't need to) than error on the side of being not cautious. I for one would rather they show up when I'm having a BBQ, than NOT show up when I'm away and my house burned to the ground.

I'll stay out of your area if you stay out of myne? But then again, what is your area?

37084. Re: [bayscan] follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-12 23:24:38 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37070 Message: 37084

gee since we are way off topic on this subject and are flaming each
other how bout ending the topic now before this board goes deeper into
the pit of despair

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 07:52 AM 2/12/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>if you don't know when to call 911, please stay out of my area.
>>thank you
>>
>>
>
>Your attitude isn't appreciated or needed. I think you'll find most people
>in public safety would rather see people err on the side of being too
>cautious (and calling 911 when perhaps they don't need to) than error on
>the side of being not cautious. I for one would rather they show up when
>I'm having a BBQ, than NOT show up when I'm away and my house burned to the
>ground.
>
>I'll stay out of your area if you stay out of myne? But then again, what is
>your area?
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37085. 11 Feb 101 S. White Van speeding, weaving, man thrown out?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 00:22:22 UTC
From: Cortland
Topic: 37085 Message: 37085

Did anyone hear this yesterday evening? I was driving with the scanner searching so I can't say for sure what agencies were involved; sounded like CHP, Sonoma County SD and maybr Petaluma PD. Van was I think Southbound, near san Antonio road, so it might have gone into Marin County.

Cortland

37086. Re: [bayscan] 11 Feb 101 S. White Van speeding, weaving, man thrown out?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 00:24:18 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37085 Message: 37086

there was a wreck last night where a woman was hit on the freeway. pd thought at first was a homeless woman but later found out she was thrown from an airport van...

Cortland wrote:

>Did anyone hear this yesterday evening? I was driving with the
>scanner searching so I can't say for sure what agencies were
>involved; sounded like CHP, Sonoma County SD and maybr Petaluma PD.
>Van was I think Southbound, near san Antonio road, so it might have
>gone into Marin County.
>
>Cortland
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37087. Re: [bayscan] 11 Feb 101 S. White Van speeding, weaving, man thrown out?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 00:26:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37085 Message: 37087

no thats was on 280 northbound near mariposa in San Francisco

(airporter van)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37088. Re:follow up on retard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 00:45:57 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37070 Message: 37088

How about the next person that mentions this thread gets "removed" from the list?

Robert
BayScan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37089. Major TC - Morgan Hill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 03:50:38 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37089 Message: 37089

Two vehicle head-on accident with one extrication and one victim complaining of severe chest pain at Middle Raod and Monterey Highway (south of Morgan Hill).

IC requests two air ambulances for two patients.

MIDDLE IC

BATTALION 1611
TRAINING 1607
TRUCK 12 (County)
ENGINE 1
ENGINE 2

CALSTAR 2

CDF (SCU) - 151.445
CDF TAC 9 - 151.385
CALCORD - 156.075

37090. Re: New File: Marin County Fire Departments.xls

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 07:14:14 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37071 Message: 37090

Inigo:
Good initial effort. When I compile the majority of additional info, I'll e-mail you it. As I posted before, Novato Fire went full-MERA as of 0700 Mon Feb 7. They still get an initial *ringdown* dispatch on F-35 (46.36), but operate on the "D" zone of talkgroups. Control D-2 is the main TG, with the TAC D-4/6/8/9, Command D-5, and Novato Command TGs being the larger incident ones. F-35 was officially *disconnected* last Thurs, but you'll still hear the initial disp simulcasted on it.

San Rafael Fire is the next agency scheduled to go over to MERA. This should take place in the next two months.

37091. Solano Sheriff 155.490

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 09:13:17 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37091 Message: 37091

Something going on in Solano County now on the Sheiff's frequency
(155.490) involving a man with a gun.
Lots of units rolling code 3..

1:12 am

Robert
BayScan

37092. Fire Fighter down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 12:29:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37092 Message: 37092

Santa Clara County Fire working a large structure fire at 15700 Blossom Hill in Los Gatos. This is a 4th alarm at this time. One fire fighter was hit by down power lines and has gone into cardiac arrest with severe 3rd degree burns. AMR medics have transported him to Good Samaritian hospital (nearby) the paramedics on last call had CPR in progress enroute and had shocked him once with no effect. This does NOT sound good at all.

S.Clara County Fire 154.25
S.Clara Command 1 153.905
AMR Med 91 856.4375
AMR Med 92 857.4375
S.Clara S.O. 156.210
CHP 42.50
Los Gatos/Monte Sereno PD 482.9125

Partial list of units

E6 E1 E8 T1 BC7

E11 E9 E30 Rescue 3 Truck 5 Hazmat 2 BC3

3rd alarm) E2 San Jose units> E17 T9

4th alarm) San Jose Engines 14 - 10 Truck 14 BC10 (ALL SJFD)

I am doing some praying right now .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37093. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 12:34:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37093 Message: 37093

I should note that Santa Clara county was also involved with a 2nd alarm a bit earlier in Morgan Hill. so the normal first alarm was a bit off. I have to believe Truck 5 and Engine 3 were out of position as they should have been 1st alarm units. Engine 3 didn't go at all and Truck 5 didn't go until after the 2nd alarm .

While I assume this is residential, I don't know that for sure

they were moving some rigs , after the 4th alarm was called so SJFD Truck 14 could set up their ladder pipe. Strickly defensive operations now.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37094. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 12:53:32 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37093 Message: 37094

I'm bring up SJ on Firefeed.com now
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 04:34
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

I should note that Santa Clara county was also involved with a 2nd alarm a bit earlier in Morgan Hill. so the normal first alarm was a bit off. I have to believe Truck 5 and Engine 3 were out of position as they should have been 1st alarm units. Engine 3 didn't go at all and Truck 5 didn't go until after the 2nd alarm .

While I assume this is residential, I don't know that for sure

they were moving some rigs , after the 4th alarm was called so SJFD Truck 14 could set up their ladder pipe. Strickly defensive operations now.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37095. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 12:58:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37093 Message: 37095

Just noticed on the firefeeds.com San Jose , they don't list command 1 on it and that is the correct tac channel .....oops

it IS on Bens' feed however.

They have released Engines 10, 11 and Truck 5 , all meeting at Sunnyoaks station but NOT available.....again, I do NOT like the sound of this at all

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37096. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 12:52:01 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37093 Message: 37096

any more on the down FF
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 04:34
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

I should note that Santa Clara county was also involved with a 2nd alarm a bit earlier in Morgan Hill. so the normal first alarm was a bit off. I have to believe Truck 5 and Engine 3 were out of position as they should have been 1st alarm units. Engine 3 didn't go at all and Truck 5 didn't go until after the 2nd alarm .

While I assume this is residential, I don't know that for sure

they were moving some rigs , after the 4th alarm was called so SJFD Truck 14 could set up their ladder pipe. Strickly defensive operations now.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37097. S.F. Firefeeds.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 14:55:26 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37097 Message: 37097

Sorry to inform everyone but as of 02/12/05 @ 09:45 hrs San Francisco feed to www.firefeeds.com has gone secure and you'll need membership to listen to S.F.
I have been told by the site manager that the free feeds will be rotated every few weeks.
I'll let Bayscaners know when SF is back to on the free part of the site.

Scanner4
S.F. Firefeeds

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37098. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 15:07:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37093 Message: 37098

Still no update. But ALL Santa Clara County Fire Fighters
have been released from the incident and are meeting for a debrief at
Sunnyoaks Station. Some off-duty SCC fire fighters are manning some of the equipment
at the fire as well as the mutual-aid companies are still there. In addition
, a call was made for more overhead and Chiefs from San Jose, Santa Clara
City, Mountain View and Gilroy are at the fire and assuming Incident Commander ,
Operations, Safety and Plans.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37099. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 15:10:43 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 37093 Message: 37099

The firefighter didn't survive, hit by a 12500volt pge line.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 7:07 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Still no update. But ALL Santa Clara County Fire Fighters
have been released from the incident and are meeting for a debrief at
Sunnyoaks Station. Some off-duty SCC fire fighters are manning some of the equipment
at the fire as well as the mutual-aid companies are still there. In addition
, a call was made for more overhead and Chiefs from San Jose, Santa Clara
City, Mountain View and Gilroy are at the fire and assuming Incident Commander ,
Operations, Safety and Plans.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37100. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 15:14:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37093 Message: 37100

I was afraid of that .....what stations
have footage Brian ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37101. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 15:33:02 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37093 Message: 37101

Bryan, where did you get that he died???
----- Original Message -----
From: Bryan Carmody
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 07:10
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

The firefighter didn't survive, hit by a 12500volt pge line.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 7:07 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Still no update. But ALL Santa Clara County Fire Fighters
have been released from the incident and are meeting for a debrief at
Sunnyoaks Station. Some off-duty SCC fire fighters are manning some of the equipment
at the fire as well as the mutual-aid companies are still there. In addition
, a call was made for more overhead and Chiefs from San Jose, Santa Clara
City, Mountain View and Gilroy are at the fire and assuming Incident Commander ,
Operations, Safety and Plans.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37102. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 15:49:06 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 37093 Message: 37102

I was there. Talked to the arson investigator. The news is holding back because the family hadnt been notified.
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 7:33 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Bryan, where did you get that he died???
----- Original Message -----
From: Bryan Carmody
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 07:10
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

The firefighter didn't survive, hit by a 12500volt pge line.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 7:07 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Still no update. But ALL Santa Clara County Fire Fighters
have been released from the incident and are meeting for a debrief at
Sunnyoaks Station. Some off-duty SCC fire fighters are manning some of the equipment
at the fire as well as the mutual-aid companies are still there. In addition
, a call was made for more overhead and Chiefs from San Jose, Santa Clara
City, Mountain View and Gilroy are at the fire and assuming Incident Commander ,
Operations, Safety and Plans.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37103. Fire Fighter Down - note

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 16:20:51 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37103 Message: 37103

Santa Clara County Fire Investigation Task Force is assembling with one member from Santa Clara Fire en route Los Gatos at this time.

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation, travel and urban themes -

37104. Madrid, Spain Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 16:29:36 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37104 Message: 37104

Thought some of you might be interested in this news item. Just came
across this on CNN's website.

http://tinyurl.com/6hczo

or

http://www.cnn.com/2005/WORLD/europe/02/13/spain.block.fire/index.html

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37105. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 16:02:01 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37093 Message: 37105

Thank you and my prayers to his loved ones
----- Original Message -----
From: Bryan Carmody
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 07:49
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

I was there. Talked to the arson investigator. The news is holding back because the family hadnt been notified.
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 7:33 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Bryan, where did you get that he died???
----- Original Message -----
From: Bryan Carmody
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 07:10
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

The firefighter didn't survive, hit by a 12500volt pge line.
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 7:07 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Still no update. But ALL Santa Clara County Fire Fighters
have been released from the incident and are meeting for a debrief at
Sunnyoaks Station. Some off-duty SCC fire fighters are manning some of the equipment
at the fire as well as the mutual-aid companies are still there. In addition
, a call was made for more overhead and Chiefs from San Jose, Santa Clara
City, Mountain View and Gilroy are at the fire and assuming Incident Commander ,
Operations, Safety and Plans.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37106. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 16:59:23 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37093 Message: 37106

Tough morning - my thoughts and prayers are with his/her family as well. Might be a nice time for those of you that have never had a chance to stop by your local firehouse and say thanks for the service these brave and dedicated folks offer all of us.

On Feb 13, 2005, at 8:02 AM, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> Thank you and my prayers to his loved ones

37107. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 17:11:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37093 Message: 37107

As Brian said, they were attempting to notify family

KRON was holding the fact he died back for that reason I am sure, BUT they goofed and had the words " Fatal Fire" in the background ,,,,,,,,,,,no one thought to "pull " that from the clip

KGO DID , just now (9am news) say the fire fighter was on the dept for 6 years and recently was promoted to Captain but they were not releasing his name bccause they were trying to find his parents who live in Orange county.....But they did say he died.

One would think KGO should have held back THAT information because there will be some who will be able to figure out who it is with that limited information. For instance those connected in some way with Santa Clara County Fire.

At any rate , sad , sad morning.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37108. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 17:24:39 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37093 Message: 37108

I might be out of line a bit but I am concerned on how the media got the
information that a firefighter had died.. I sure would not want to hear
that a family member had died in the line of duty from the media before
I was contacted if i was kin. I would hope that ANYONE on this list
would not be running to the media to scoop this story when they know
that there is a distinct probability that family had not been contacted....

Ben.

caladded@... wrote:

>As Brian said, they were attempting to notify family
>
> KRON was holding the fact he died back for that reason I am sure, BUT they
>goofed and had the words " Fatal Fire" in the background ,,,,,,,,,,,no one
>thought to "pull " that from the clip
>
>KGO DID , just now (9am news) say the fire fighter was on the dept for 6
>years and recently was promoted to Captain but they were not releasing his name
>bccause they were trying to find his parents who live in Orange
>county.....But they did say he died.
>
> One would think KGO should have held back THAT information because there
>will be some who will be able to figure out who it is with that limited
>information. For instance those connected in some way with Santa Clara County Fire.
>
> At any rate , sad , sad morning.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

37109. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 17:33:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37093 Message: 37109

From what it sounds like to me Ben, is the media was TOLD that he had been killed and asked to NOT release the information.

Like I mentioned KRON goofed, as you watched the story, over the shoulder of the anchor are the words "Fatal Fire" but then they DON'T mention he was killed.

Then, KGO DOES mention he was killed but not releasing the name. YET KGO mentions his parents live in Orange County, information I thought they should NOT have mentioned, in fact if County Fire had in fact asked them to HOLD the fact he died, then KGO completely blew it by NOT holding it back.

I'll bet they are more tight lipped next time. As you say, the parents should be notified by a SCC Fire official, NOT through some reporter.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37110. Re: [bayscan] Madrid, Spain Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 17:39:06 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37104 Message: 37110

> Just came across this on CNN's website.

What's the PL?

37111. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 17:39:38 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37093 Message: 37111

Kron just stated that there are "inconfirmed reports" that the
firefighter has died.. thats such BS.. thats just BS.. any way to sell a
story

caladded@... wrote:

>>From what it sounds like to me Ben, is the media was TOLD that he had been
>killed and asked to NOT release the information.
>
> Like I mentioned KRON goofed, as you watched the story, over the shoulder
>of the anchor are the words "Fatal Fire" but then they DON'T mention he was
>killed.
>
> Then, KGO DOES mention he was killed but not releasing the name. YET KGO
>mentions his parents live in Orange County, information I thought they should
>NOT have mentioned, in fact if County Fire had in fact asked them to HOLD the
>fact he died, then KGO completely blew it by NOT holding it back.
>
> I'll bet they are more tight lipped next time. As you say, the parents
>should be notified by a SCC Fire official, NOT through some reporter.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37112. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 17:41:18 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 37093 Message: 37112

Yes you are out of line. That's why nobody was reporting that he had died. We all knew but were waiting for the family to be told. Did you just wake up? Read the other messages about the media not reporting that he had died.
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 9:24 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

I might be out of line a bit but I am concerned on how the media got the
information that a firefighter had died.. I sure would not want to hear
that a family member had died in the line of duty from the media before
I was contacted if i was kin. I would hope that ANYONE on this list
would not be running to the media to scoop this story when they know
that there is a distinct probability that family had not been contacted....

Ben.

caladded@... wrote:

>As Brian said, they were attempting to notify family
>
> KRON was holding the fact he died back for that reason I am sure, BUT they
>goofed and had the words " Fatal Fire" in the background ,,,,,,,,,,,no one
>thought to "pull " that from the clip
>
>KGO DID , just now (9am news) say the fire fighter was on the dept for 6
>years and recently was promoted to Captain but they were not releasing his name
>bccause they were trying to find his parents who live in Orange
>county.....But they did say he died.
>
> One would think KGO should have held back THAT information because there
>will be some who will be able to figure out who it is with that limited
>information. For instance those connected in some way with Santa Clara County Fire.
>
> At any rate , sad , sad morning.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37113. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 17:41:23 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37093 Message: 37113

At 09:33 AM 2/13/2005, you wrote:
>in fact if County Fire had in fact asked them to HOLD the
>fact he died, then KGO completely blew it by NOT holding it back.

I've learned from people whos job it is to talk to the media:

If you want something 'leaked' in the press, you give them the information,
but tell them not to release it.

If you want something withheld from the press, you withhold it.

For the most part, telling something to someone in the media and telling
them "Oh, this isn't to be released yet" is the equivalent of renting out a
billboard, and you may as well put it on the amber alert boards too.

Then again, some members of the media are smarter than others. :)

37114. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 17:47:31 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37093 Message: 37114

ok lets not get our media panties in a ruffle Bryan. come on... did you
read the other posts? whether its saying there's "unconfirmed reports"
or saying a ff is injured then putting Fatal fire in the background..
its just the media getting around saying it so they can get the story out

Bryan Carmody wrote:

>Yes you are out of line. That's why nobody was reporting that he had died. We all knew but were waiting for the family to be told. Did you just wake up? Read the other messages about the media not reporting that he had died.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Ben
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 9:24 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes
>
>
> I might be out of line a bit but I am concerned on how the media got the
> information that a firefighter had died.. I sure would not want to hear
> that a family member had died in the line of duty from the media before
> I was contacted if i was kin. I would hope that ANYONE on this list
> would not be running to the media to scoop this story when they know
> that there is a distinct probability that family had not been contacted....
>
> Ben.
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>
> >As Brian said, they were attempting to notify family
> >
> > KRON was holding the fact he died back for that reason I am sure, BUT they
> >goofed and had the words " Fatal Fire" in the background ,,,,,,,,,,,no one
> >thought to "pull " that from the clip
> >
> >KGO DID , just now (9am news) say the fire fighter was on the dept for 6
> >years and recently was promoted to Captain but they were not releasing his name
> >bccause they were trying to find his parents who live in Orange
> >county.....But they did say he died.
> >
> > One would think KGO should have held back THAT information because there
> >will be some who will be able to figure out who it is with that limited
> >information. For instance those connected in some way with Santa Clara County Fire.
> >
> > At any rate , sad , sad morning.
> >

> >ED
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37115. Re: [bayscan] Fire fighter down .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 18:15:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37093 Message: 37115

Ben and the group -

With all due respect, I think some of us may be giving the local TV media a wee bit too much credit. Some of us assume that news outlets are totally without a moral compass. While there certainly are some members of the media that are less than circumspect, there are also the vast majority that are respectful and responsible.

The way that I read the coverage of this horrible story - so far - is that a couple of news outlets, operating with Sunday a.m. studio crews, may have "screwed the pooch" through sheer sloppiness. To always assume that the media is the bad guy is simply unfair. Yes, there are countless cases of bad media types, but there are far more cases of media folks doing real good for the communities they reach (consider Rigo Chacon).

Again, I am not trying to exonerate KRON or KGO for any perceived mistakes, but it's quite difficult for anyone that wasn't intimately involved in the ENG process to anything more than make broad assumptions.

This is strictly my opinion and I certainly hope that my views do not offend anyone in the group.

-C-

On Feb 13, 2005, at 9:24 AM, Ben wrote:

> I would hope that ANYONE on this list
> would not be running to the media to scoop this story when they know
> that there is a distinct probability that family had not been
> contacted....

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37116. Re:Fire fighter down .

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 18:43:08 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37093 Message: 37116

Lets kill this thread now and if any of you want to discuss the topic do so "off list".

Im heading off to work now and don't want to come home to a box full of debates on this subject...

Robert
BayScan

10:41 am

37117. Quick response to structural fires...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 20:14:08 UTC
From: David K. Z. Harris
Topic: 37117 Message: 37117

My response is based on historical events, from the early-to-mid 80's, but I believe that there are similar situations in our communities today, and there are probably similar circumstances ready to occur in our Bay Area fire departments.

I grew up in an "Eichler" home. One-story, tongue-and-groove wood exterior, and similar construction for the roof, with a tar-and-gravel rooftop. This was a tract of such homes, built in the early 60's. Concrete floor with radiant heat, topped with lots of redwood, and a
tar cap on top. Brick chimeny fireplaces, and mainly gas water heaters and dryers.

While still living there, I was a volunteer for the local FD. After the initial 'stand-by' duties
had been done at the main station (because it was the busiest, so we could meet our basic requirements sooner), I then started splitting my time between the main station, and the one closest to my home.

While the station was less than a quarter-mile from my home as the crow flies, there was a large, long city park in between us. This meant that the shortest route would be about a mile on the road, with three 90-degree corners to get to my street. (And if the preferred way was blocked, the next-best had an additional narrow S-curve on the way. :-( )

One night, I was discussing the offset response time criteria with the shift captain (the got an extra 90 seconds to be on the air for code 3 after certain hours). This coupled into a conversation where I told him where I lived. "Hmmm..." he said, sitting back in his chair.
"That could be a problem someday..."

He proceeded to tell me about the tract, and Eichler homes...how the aged wood burns fast and hot...how the tar roof becomes fuel...and then the bottom line: The department already had a policy for our neighborhood, that if the first-in engine was not putting water
on that house fire within 3 minutes of the initial flame, that they would not even attempt to put water on the structure. Instead, they would initially protect the adjacent exposures!

I wa shocked! But, he explained that the home would be too-far gone at the 3-minute mark. Even if they threw everything at the fire, whatever was left would be smoke- and water-damaged, and unrecoverable anyway, and by diverting resources to protect our home, there would be substantially more damage to the neighbors home. By letting my home burn, they would reduce the damage to the neighbors homes. He hoped that ny folks had some good homeowners insurance. :-|

My guess is that such plans, whether 'official' or not, still exist. Sure, it's a judgement call
on the part of the I.C., made during the initial assesment.

If you think about such a scenario, you can see where I consider that quick, initial call to
9-1-1 a "Good Call". I also support running around the block to check, but I sincerely believe that those first minutes count in a fire, as well as in a major medical call.

As an individual, you can decide when/if to call. I've simply offered some information, and you can choose to believe it or not. Whether this affects your fuuture decisions to call
is also up to you. :-)

Regards,

-Z-

37118. San Jose - Full First Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 22:52:08 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37118 Message: 37118

San Jose Fire responding to 2723 Pine Meadow Court off of Scenic Meadow Lane for a roof fire on a single family dwelling.

PINE MEADOW IC

ENGINE 11
ENGINE 31
USAR COMPANY 16
BATTALION 2

Units dispatched to complete the full first alarm.

MED 30
ENGINE 16
TRUCK COMPANY 2
BATTALION 13

Working on San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37119. Re: [bayscan] San Jose - Full First Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-13 22:56:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37118 Message: 37119

PINE MEADOW IC advising the attic is clear and using the TIC to check
for any heat around the chimney.

No further updates unless conditions warrant.

-C-

On Feb 13, 2005, at 2:52 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> San Jose Fire responding to 2723 Pine Meadow Court off of Scenic Meadow
> Lane for a roof fire on a single family dwelling.
>
> PINE MEADOW IC
>
> ENGINE 11
> ENGINE 31
> USAR COMPANY 16
> BATTALION 2
>
> Units dispatched to complete the full first alarm.
>
> MED 30
> ENGINE 16
> TRUCK COMPANY 2
> BATTALION 13
>
> Working on San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115
>
> ---
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> ---
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

---

craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37120. An eye watching in the sky

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 00:07:37 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37120 Message: 37120

An interesting article from the Gilroy Dispatch Newspaper about the CHP.

http://www.gilroydispatch.com/news/contentview.asp?c=144136
(http://www.gilroydispatch.com/news/contentview.asp?c=144136)

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37121. Re: [bayscan] An eye watching in the sky

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 01:09:39 UTC
From: Brian Verhalen
Topic: 37120 Message: 37121

Could not get anything from that link but:

[]

<http://www.gilroydispatch.com/news//news/>NEWS
[]

Microsoft OLE DB Provider for ODBC Drivers error '80040e14'

[Microsoft][ODBC SQL Server Driver][SQL Server]Line 1: Incorrect syntax
near ')'.

/news/contentview.asp, line 35

At 04:07 PM 2/13/2005, you wrote:

>An interesting article from the Gilroy Dispatch Newspaper about the CHP.
>
>_<http://www.gilroydispatch.com/news/contentview.asp?c=144136_>http://www.gilroydispatch.
com/news/contentview.asp?c=144136_
>
>(http://www.gilroydispatch.com/news/contentview.asp?c=144136)
>
>
>
>
>Paul - KF6YYI
>442.075 + 100.0
>EchoLink Node # 187959
>
>
>"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129q1qg41/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1108426063/A=2532114/R=2/SIG=12kj2hof4/*http://clk.atdmt.com/NFX/go/yhxxxnfx00
20000014nfx/direct/01/&time=1108339663213779>
>[]
>
>1b30701.jpg
>

>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37122. Re: [bayscan] An eye watching in the sky....This might help

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 01:17:19 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37122 Message: 37122

In a message dated 2/13/2005 17:11:17 Pacific Standard Time, brianv@psln.com writes:

http://www.gilroydispatch.com/news/contentview.asp?c=144136 (http://www.gilroydispatch.com/news/contentview.asp?c=144136)

An eye watching in the sky
Friday, February 11, 2005
By Lori Stuenkel
Morgan Hill - Speeders can't see Joe Kingsman soaring 1,500 feet above southbound U.S. 101, but the California Highway Patrol officer and pilot can see them.
"I've got a champagne sedan a half-mile out at 82 (miles per hour)," Kingsman broadcast to two CHP patrol cars waiting on the side of the Cochrane Road on-ramp Wednesday morning. "He's in the No. 1 lane. You can start down the ramp."
Officer Matt Ramirez, first in line, moved from the grassy shoulder onto the ramp, looking over his left shoulder for the sedan.
"He's on your bumper," was the broadcast from Kingsman as the sedan in question sped past the on-ramp and Ramirez flipped on the patrol car's lights and sirens. "You're door-to-door."
Ramirez moved left into the fast lane, now directly behind the Nissan Sentra, to pull the car over to the right-hand shoulder.
It was the third of 13 tickets five officers, plus Kingsman overhead, would issue to speeders in 45 minutes during the morning commute. The CHP is using Kingsman and his Cessna Stationair Turbo 206 more frequently during "maximum enforcement" to catch drivers who speed, drive drunk and otherwise violate the rules of the road. Last week, on another stretch of 101, Kingsman said he caught 15 cars in less than an hour, each going between 83 and 94 mph.
"A lot of times, the officers that are issuing the tickets never even see the speed violation," said Kingsman, a 15-year veteran of the CHP.
He became a pilot 16 years ago and started flying the CHP plane, kept in Paso Robles, eight years ago. Issuing speeding tickets by air, is easier, more efficient and safer for ground units, he said.
"And I go to court less, especially considering the number of tickets I issue," Kingsman said. "If you stop someone at 80 or 90 (mph) - they know."
That's not to say the CHP won't ticket drivers going slower than that, and above the 65 mph speed limit. But when Kingsman took to the air just after 7am Wednesday, the slowest speeder he ticketed was traveling at 81 mph.
The driver of the Nissan Sentra stopped by Officer Ramirez told him she didn't know what her speed was when Kingsman paced her at 82 mph. She was talking to her passenger and simply wasn't paying attention - one of the more common speeding excuses Ramirez said he hears.
Kingsman caught that speeder by pacing her in the air. He flew directly above her, matching her speed with his plane that travels between 50 and 150 mph.

He stayed with her as she passed a marker on the side of the highway, painted by Caltrans for this purpose. One mile down the road was a second marker line, giving Kingsman a second method with which to calculate their speed.
After Ramirez finished writing the woman’s ticket - Kingsman is recorded as the issuing officer - he exited the highway at San Martin Avenue to drive back north to Cochrane, where other officers waited for Kingsman to identify speeders. Some drivers noticed the small cluster of CHP cars that gathered at one point and visibly slowed down.
“There’s not that many of us (officers) to work such a large area,” Ramirez said, referencing a recently-released report by the Legislative Analyst’s Office that says officers are spending more time working fender-benders and crashes and less time enforcing speed and other laws. “The mentality is, people think they’re not going to get caught, so we want to be highly visible.”
The officers were highly visible Wednesday, at some points pulling over two or three cars within sight of one another.
Cars exiting at Cochrane were not exempt, either. At least twice, officers sitting on the on-ramp, drove up the grass embankment to the off-ramp just north of them, to snag speeders. One of those speeders, in a Jeep Cherokee stopped just before 8am, turned out to be a suspected drunken driver.
A few minutes later, Kingsman paced a white sedan and pick-up behind it, both traveling 85 mph.
Ramirez pulled over Phani Kalagara, in the sedan, who said he commutes from San Jose to Monterey for work every day and said he had never been caught speeding by the CHP plane.
“I was just flowing with traffic, actually,” he said.
“That’s why we stopped traffic behind him, too,” Ramirez said.

Lori Stuenkel covers crime and public safety for The Dispatch. You can reach her at _loris@..._ (mailto:loris@...)

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37123. San Jose Fire - Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 01:40:10 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37123 Message: 37123

San Jose Fire working a fully involved structure fire at 1592
Ballantree Way

Evacuating D side with an exposure problem.

E13
USAR COMPANY 13
B13
B10
E9
E17
TC9
MED 30

Working on San Jose Fore Command 3 - 154.115

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37124. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 01:43:56 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37123 Message: 37124

basic question? what does the "d" side mean? how do they orient with
regard to alpha bravo charlie delta sides etc?

On Sunday, Feb 13, 2005, at 17:40 US/Pacific, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> San Jose Fire working a fully involved structure fire at 1592
> Ballantree Way
>
> Evacuating D side with an exposure problem.
>
> E13
> USAR COMPANY 13
> B13
> B10
> E9
> E17
> TC9
> MED 30
>
> Working on San Jose Fore Command 3 - 154.115
>
> ----------
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> ----------
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37125. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Working Structure ABCD sides

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 01:46:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37125 Message: 37125

Nina.....(and others) A-Alpha side is the front of the
building
B side is on the left , C is the rear and D is to the right.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37126. LODD / Santa Clara County Fire Dept

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 02:05:44 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37126 Message: 37126

The firefighter killed this morning was Captain Mark Mccormack ,
36 years old. He had been with the dept since 1999 and was promoted
to Captain just 2 months ago. He previously had been with CDF in
Southern California for an unknown length of time. This information
is from the Mercury website. Its does NOT say if he was married or if
he had any children. It also states this was the first fire-related
death in the department since 1947.

I sent condolences to the SCCOFD website and asked if they
were going to set up a specific email address for others to do so.
Should they do that I will post it ASAP

Ed

37127. Another SJFD Full 1st 18:10

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 02:20:44 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 37127 Message: 37127

E18 T18 E12 E27 B1 B2 T3

484 Blossom Hill Road x Snell Ave.

Command 4 153.980

This is in a strip mall, but it sounds like this is a fire in the air conditioner unit.

End of updates unless conditions warrant.

37128. Re: [bayscan] LODD / Santa Clara County Fire Dept

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 02:35:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37126 Message: 37128

That's much appreciated, Ed.

On Feb 13, 2005, at 6:05 PM, Ed wrote:

> I sent condolences to the SCCOFD website and asked if they
> were going to set up a specific email address for others to do so.
> Should they do that I will post it ASAP

37129. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Working Structure ABCD sides

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 01:51:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37125 Message: 37129

front with regard to street address, i guess? is this terminology only
used in referencing structure fires? haven't been around / heard
enough to have noticed...

On Sunday, Feb 13, 2005, at 17:46 US/Pacific, caladded@aol.com wrote:

>
> Nina.....(and others) A-Alpha side is the front of the
> building
> B side is on the left , C is the rear and D is to the right.
>
> ED
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37130. OT: dsl filters for alarm systems

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 03:26:26 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37130 Message: 37130

Anyone know a good place local to get one. or will the regular wall filters work?

thanks

Ben

37131. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Working Structure ABCD sides

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 03:29:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37125 Message: 37131

Correct , as you face the building. Pretty much for structure fires, but I have heard Police use it for incidents also.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37132. Re:An eye watching in the sky...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 03:42:24 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37122 Message: 37132

I have the video from the other night on my TIVO, if I get a chance Ill make it into a Windows Media file and upload it to my site.

It was a very interesting story to see how they can even see into the container ships.

Robert
BayScan

37133. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Working Structure ABCD sides

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 05:03:20 UTC
From: Nick Caldwell
Topic: 37125 Message: 37133

I have heard SWAT teams use a similar method, from memory it is XYZ,
where X is the side, Y is the floor, and Z is the opening
(door/window). It counts clockwise if I recall, so someone could say
"Making entry on 113' and know exactly what they are talking about
without getting into long-winded details.

Nick

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 19:30:55 -0800 (PST), caladded@...
<caladded@...> wrote:
>
> Correct , as you face the building. Pretty much for structure fires, but I
> have heard Police use it for incidents also.
>
> ED

37134. Re: [bayscan] Re:An eye watching in the sky...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 05:15:31 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37122 Message: 37134

Most of those police planes have dark wing tips, though I assume there
is nothing exclusive about such a paint job.

BTW, I guess I deleted one too many posts as I saw nothing about
container ships in the article or posts. I know KPIX has been running
spy in the sky stuff during the week. FLIR on UAVs, that kind of stuff.
I think they were showing the Army "Hunter" UAV Thursday at the
US-Mexico border.

Robert W. Sanford wrote:
> I have the video from the other night on my TIVO, if I get a chance Ill
> make it into a Windows Media file and upload it to my site.
>
> It was a very interesting story to see how they can even see into the
> container ships.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37135. Re: LODD / Santa Clara County Fire Dept

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 05:56:51 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 37126 Message: 37135

That's a great idea. Please do post it once you get it. I had the honor of meeting Capt. McCormack last month in San Jose and took a couple of photos of him and a close family friend at this press event. The 3 shots took me less then a minute to snap, but he was really greatful of the pics.

If you can drop by your local fire station and thank them for being there. If you see a fire truck next to you, please wave...

~Sagar
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
>
>
> The firefighter killed this morning was Captain Mark
Mccormack ,
> 36 years old. He had been with the dept since 1999 and was
promoted
> to Captain just 2 months ago. He previously had been with CDF in
> Southern California for an unknown length of time. This
information
> is from the Mercury website. Its does NOT say if he was married or
if
> he had any children. It also states this was the first fire-
related
> death in the department since 1947.
>
>
> I sent condolences to the SCCOFD website and asked if
they
> were going to set up a specific email address for others to do so.
> Should they do that I will post it ASAP
>
> Ed

37136. eyes in the sky program

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 06:22:58 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37136 Message: 37136

I made a Windows Media file of that piece about the technology that
the CHP are now using in the choppers.

Its a 12 meg file but if your on broad band is like a 45 second
dowload here on Comcast.

The URL is:

http://www.bayscan.net/chp.wmv

Hopefully my servers wont crash :)

Robert
BayScan

37137. Re: [bayscan] eyes in the sky program

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 06:45:03 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37136 Message: 37137

thanks Robert
----- Original Message -----
From: bayscan@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 22:22
Subject: [bayscan] eyes in the sky program

I made a Windows Media file of that piece about the technology that
the CHP are now using in the choppers.

Its a 12 meg file but if your on broad band is like a 45 second
dowload here on Comcast.

The URL is:

http://www.bayscan.net/chp.wmv

Hopefully my servers wont crash :)

Robert
BayScan

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37138. Re: [bayscan] eyes in the sky program

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 06:52:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37136 Message: 37138

At 10:22 PM 2/13/2005, you wrote:

>I made a Windows Media file of that piece about the technology that
>the CHP are now using in the choppers.
>
>Its a 12 meg file but if your on broad band is like a 45 second
>dowload here on Comcast.
>
>The URL is:
>
><http://www.bayscan.net/chp.wmv>http://www.bayscan.net/chp.wmv
>
>Hopefully my servers wont crash :)

I've 'mirrored' it on my site.. http://www.espphotography.com/chp.wmv in case anyone encounters any problems (however my server ain't all that stable. ;))

I am the eye in the sky
Looking at you
I can read your mind
I am the maker of rules
Dealing with fools
I can cheat you blind
And I don't need to see any more....

37139. Re: [bayscan] eyes in the sky program

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 07:07:36 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37136 Message: 37139

It worked like a champ. The UAV tease at the end was the show to which I referred.

The trend today is to cover all spectrum in the same box. That is, high resolution video, night vision, and thermal imaging. BTW, most night vision will work down to about 2 microns in wavelength. This is good enough to see a soldering iron glow. FLIR reaches about 10 microns in wavelength. [I'd have to look it up, but the limit of human vision is maybe .65 micron, just for reference.] Technically, 10 microns is not low enough to see people give off heat, but if you remember the black body radiation equations or shape from high school physics (OK, that is asking a lot), the radiation has a long tail to it, allowing enough energy to show up in the range of the FLIR.

Most CCDs have response to about 1 micron, but the manufacturer puts a filter in the camera to prevent near infrared light from hitting the CCD.

I guess to finish this off topic geek fest, the film in the traffic cameras reaches about 0.82 microns. This is low enough enough to photograph your face through a tinted windshield. Its not infrared, but what they call extended red.

bayscan@... wrote:
>
> I made a Windows Media file of that piece about the technology that
> the CHP are now using in the choppers.
>
> Its a 12 meg file but if your on broad band is like a 45 second
> dowload here on Comcast.
>
> The URL is:
>
> http://www.bayscan.net/chp.wmv
>
> Hopefully my servers wont crash :)
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37140. early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 07:30:29 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37140 Message: 37140

Did any other bayscanners hear anything on this chase?
Early Sunday morning, 02/13/05 around 02:45, there was a great chase on chp bronze with H30 tracking a guy who evidently had stollen a car. I wasn't listening to the scanner, but when H30 came over, I turned it on and that's when I got in on it. .
They were going south in to Berkeley so Albany then Berkeley were involved. At one point the guy bailed out of the car he was in and actually stole another car with H30 looking on telling other agencies about it. The guy went back
up the Arlington. back down in to El Cerrito. Started coming our direction but got on 580 going towards San Rafael Bridge. He got off, then back on coming back toward Berkeley again but when getting on he messed up his front and back tires on a gard rail so stopped shortly after that near the PG&E yard in Richmond. They thought he had ran in there but the cops nailed him either near or in his car. H30 was really on it. I wish I had recorded the chase with H30 on one channel and other jurisdictions on the other as waranted. I have no idea other then the guy may have stolen several cars as to why
they were after him. The chase ended about 03:08. I'd like to know if anyone sees something in the paper or has any information about it. Jim

37141. Re: [bayscan] early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 07:46:30 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 37140 Message: 37141

Yea good times... the guy actually parked one stolen car and got into ANOTHER stolen car which he had previously taken and aparently stashed... for just such an emergency.
----- Original Message -----
From: jim gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 13, 2005 11:30 PM
Subject: [bayscan] early morning chase

Did any other bayscanners hear anything on this chase?
Early Sunday morning, 02/13/05 around 02:45, there was a great chase on chp bronze with H30 tracking a guy who evidently had stollen a car. I wasn't listening to the scanner, but when H30 came over, I turned it on and that's when I got in on it. .
They were going south in to Berkeley so Albany then Berkeley were involved. At one point the guy bailed out of the car he was in and actually stole another car with H30 looking on telling other agencies about it. The guy went back
up the Arlington. back down in to El Cerrito. Started coming our direction but got on 580 going towards San Rafael Bridge. He got off, then back on coming back toward Berkeley again but when getting on he messed up his front and back tires on a gard rail so stopped shortly after that near the PG&E yard in Richmond. They thought he had ran in there but the cops nailed him either near or in his car. H30 was really on it. I wish I had recorded the chase with H30 on one channel and other jurisdictions on the other as waranted. I have no idea other then the guy may have stolen several cars as to why
they were after him. The chase ended about 03:08. I'd like to know if anyone sees something in the paper or has any information about it. Jim

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37142. Re: early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 17:46:55 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 37140 Message: 37142

What is H30?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> Did any other bayscanners hear anything on this chase?
> Early Sunday morning, 02/13/05 around 02:45, there was a great
chase on chp
> bronze with H30 tracking a guy who evidently had stollen a car. I
wasn't
> listening to the scanner, but when H30 came over, I turned it on
and that's
> when I got in on it. .
> They were going south in to Berkeley so Albany then Berkeley were
involved.
> At one point the guy bailed out of the car he was in and actually
stole
> another car with H30 looking on telling other agencies about it.
The guy
> went back
> up the Arlington. back down in to El Cerrito. Started coming our
direction
> but got on 580 going towards San Rafael Bridge. He got off, then
back on
> coming back toward Berkeley again but when getting on he messed up
his front
> and back tires on a gard rail so stopped shortly after that near
the PG&E
> yard in Richmond. They thought he had ran in there but the cops
nailed him
> either near or in his car. H30 was really on it. I wish I had
recorded the
> chase with H30 on one channel and other jurisdictions on the other
as
> waranted. I have no idea other then the guy may have stolen
several cars as
> to why
> they were after him. The chase ended about 03:08. I'd like to
know if
> anyone sees something in the paper or has any information about
it. Jim

37143. Re: [bayscan] Re: early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 17:52:45 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37140 Message: 37143

chp air resource

Steve Wilson wrote:

>What is H30?
>
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
>
>
>>Did any other bayscanners hear anything on this chase?
>>Early Sunday morning, 02/13/05 around 02:45, there was a great
>>
>>
>chase on chp
>
>
>>bronze with H30 tracking a guy who evidently had stollen a car. I
>>
>>
>wasn't
>
>
>>listening to the scanner, but when H30 came over, I turned it on
>>
>>
>and that's
>
>
>>when I got in on it. .
>>They were going south in to Berkeley so Albany then Berkeley were
>>
>>
>involved.
>
>
>>At one point the guy bailed out of the car he was in and actually
>>
>>
>stole
>
>
>>another car with H30 looking on telling other agencies about it.
>>
>>
>The guy

>
>
>>went back
>>up the Arlington. back down in to El Cerrito. Started coming our
>>
>>
>direction
>
>
>>but got on 580 going towards San Rafael Bridge. He got off, then
>>
>>
>back on
>
>
>>coming back toward Berkeley again but when getting on he messed up
>>
>>
>his front
>
>
>>and back tires on a gard rail so stopped shortly after that near
>>
>>
>the PG&E
>
>
>>yard in Richmond. They thought he had ran in there but the cops
>>
>>
>nailed him
>
>
>>either near or in his car. H30 was really on it. I wish I had
>>
>>
>recorded the
>
>
>>chase with H30 on one channel and other jurisdictions on the other
>>
>>
>as
>
>
>>waranted. I have no idea other then the guy may have stolen
>>
>>
>several cars as
>

>
>>to why
>>they were after him. The chase ended about 03:08. I'd like to
>>
>>
>know if
>
>
>>anyone sees something in the paper or has any information about
>>
>>
>it. Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37144. Re: [bayscan] Re: early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 17:54:29 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37140 Message: 37144

At 09:46 AM 2/14/2005, you wrote:

>What is H30?

CHP's helo. :)

http://www.mcgirt.net/RC/funfly/Circumgyration/Circumgyration_2001/page1/html/618%20CHP-callsign-%20H-30-%20Bell%20206L3%20Longranger%20and%20crew.htm

Not sure if that's still the same bird...

Evan

37145. New MERA TG's San Rafael Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 18:07:29 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37145 Message: 37145

Hearing San Rafael Fire running a drill on 2 new MERA TG's this morning:

TG 26448 Channel E3
TG 26480 Channel E4

-Roger 10:07 am

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37146. Re: [bayscan] Re: early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 17:53:13 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37140 Message: 37146

CHP aircraft
----- Original Message -----
From: Steve Wilson
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 09:46
Subject: [bayscan] Re: early morning chase

What is H30?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> Did any other bayscanners hear anything on this chase?
> Early Sunday morning, 02/13/05 around 02:45, there was a great
chase on chp
> bronze with H30 tracking a guy who evidently had stollen a car. I
wasn't
> listening to the scanner, but when H30 came over, I turned it on
and that's
> when I got in on it. .
> They were going south in to Berkeley so Albany then Berkeley were
involved.
> At one point the guy bailed out of the car he was in and actually
stole
> another car with H30 looking on telling other agencies about it.
The guy
> went back
> up the Arlington. back down in to El Cerrito. Started coming our
direction
> but got on 580 going towards San Rafael Bridge. He got off, then
back on
> coming back toward Berkeley again but when getting on he messed up
his front
> and back tires on a gard rail so stopped shortly after that near
the PG&E
> yard in Richmond. They thought he had ran in there but the cops
nailed him
> either near or in his car. H30 was really on it. I wish I had
recorded the
> chase with H30 on one channel and other jurisdictions on the other
as
> waranted. I have no idea other then the guy may have stolen
several cars as
> to why
> they were after him. The chase ended about 03:08. I'd like to
know if
> anyone sees something in the paper or has any information about

it. Jim

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37147. Re: New MERA TG's San Rafael Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 19:25:44 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37145 Message: 37147

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Hearing San Rafael Fire running a drill on 2 new MERA TG's this morning:
>
> TG 26448 Channel E3
> TG 26480 Channel E4

Yes, those would be the "Control E3" and "Tac E4" talkgroups in the county-wide fire radio configuration. San Rafael Fire is on Zone "E".

Is someone logging all of these MERA TGs to a central file? I would like to cross-reference the official MERA TG designators to the five digit numbers.

37148. Re: [bayscan] early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 19:41:23 UTC
From: Steve Collier
Topic: 37140 Message: 37148

I caught the chase when I turned on the scanner just before going to
bed. Switching back between CHP and the local PDs involved, it surprised
me how territorial they seemed to be... like they were dropping in and out
of the chase as the jurisdictions changed only to have the perp circle back
into their city. I agree the CHP helo did a great job reporting on the
movements when there were no ground units in sight.

At 11:30 PM 2/13/2005, you wrote:
>Did any other bayscanners hear anything on this chase?
>Early Sunday morning, 02/13/05 around 02:45, there was a great chase on chp
>bronze with H30 tracking a guy who evidently had stollen a car. I wasn't
>listening to the scanner, but when H30 came over, I turned it on and that's
>when I got in on it. .
>They were going south in to Berkeley so Albany then Berkeley were involved.
>At one point the guy bailed out of the car he was in and actually stole
>another car with H30 looking on telling other agencies about it. The guy
>went back
>up the Arlington. back down in to El Cerrito. Started coming our direction
>but got on 580 going towards San Rafael Bridge. He got off, then back on
>coming back toward Berkeley again but when getting on he messed up his front
>and back tires on a gard rail so stopped shortly after that near the PG&E
>yard in Richmond. They thought he had ran in there but the cops nailed him
>either near or in his car. H30 was really on it. I wish I had recorded the
>chase with H30 on one channel and other jurisdictions on the other as
>waranted. I have no idea other then the guy may have stolen several cars as
>to why
>they were after him. The chase ended about 03:08. I'd like to know if
>anyone sees something in the paper or has any information about it. Jim
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1292uud6g/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=170506
3108:HM/EXP=1108452734/A=2532114/R=2/SIG=12kat068h/*http://clk.atdmt.com/NFX/go/yhxxxnfx00
20000014nfx/direct/01/&time=1108366334595586>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37149. RE: [bayscan] Re: New MERA TG's San Rafael Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 19:43:19 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37145 Message: 37149

Hey Erik,

Yes, I'm logging\monitoring\guessing MERA TG's the best I can with my 296 here in San Rafael\Terra Linda. I have a MERA .doc Word TG list I maintain and I've also started to build a master MERA .xls Excel TG list.

Thanks much for the clarification of the upcoming San Rafael Fire Control and Tac TG's\Channels. Any info as far as official designators would be much appreciated.

-Roger 11:38am

PS please email me off list and I'll send a copy of whomever wants an updated MERA list.
-----Original Message-----
From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@...]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 11:26 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: New MERA TG's San Rafael Fire

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Hearing San Rafael Fire running a drill on 2 new MERA TG's this morning:
>
> TG 26448 Channel E3
> TG 26480 Channel E4

Yes, those would be the "Control E3" and "Tac E4" talkgroups in the county-wide fire radio configuration. San Rafael Fire is on Zone "E".

Is someone logging all of these MERA TGs to a central file? I would like to cross-reference the official MERA TG designators to the five digit numbers.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37150. RE: [bayscan] Re: New MERA TG's San Rafael Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 19:59:03 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37145 Message: 37150

Reading your question again and I think we may already covered this on the group earlier but with the MERA info I have\maintain one would not be able to: "cross-reference the official MERA TG designators to the five digit numbers."

-Roger 11:58am

-----Original Message-----
From: Erik [mailto:esvanr@...]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 11:26 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: New MERA TG's San Rafael Fire

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Hearing San Rafael Fire running a drill on 2 new MERA TG's this morning:
>
> TG 26448 Channel E3
> TG 26480 Channel E4

Yes, those would be the "Control E3" and "Tac E4" talkgroups in the county-wide fire radio configuration. San Rafael Fire is on Zone "E".

Is someone logging all of these MERA TGs to a central file? I would like to cross-reference the official MERA TG designators to the five digit numbers.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37151. Re: H30

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 20:18:39 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37140 Message: 37151

lol there were a lot of responses for H30 but none were totally correct. The H in that callsign stands for helicopter, but not the one in the previous photo (it's been replaced).

http://www.helispot.com/photos/03835.html

H30 is a Aerospatiale/Eurocopter AS350B2 painted just like the one in that photo, except that's CHP's chopper for the fresno area. H30 is based out of the Napa County Airport (KAPC).

Hope that helps, that's a fun site. They have pictures of the calstar and REACH choppers along with Coco's STARR1, Sonoma's Henry 1 and the San Jose stuff plus Eagle 1 in tilden park. :)

-Inigo

37152. Re: [bayscan] Re: H30

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 20:28:13 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37140 Message: 37152

At 12:18 PM 2/14/2005, you wrote:

>lol there were a lot of responses for H30 but none were totally
>correct.

Huh? "H30 is CHP's Helicopter" was the most common response. How is that
not totally correct?

>The H in that callsign stands for helicopter, but not the
>one in the previous photo (it's been replaced).
>
><http://www.helispot.com/photos/03835.html>http://www.helispot.com/photos/03835.html

I somewhat figured, hence my comment that I wasn't sure that photo was the
current bird.

Hope that helps, that's a fun site. They have pictures of the calstar
>and REACH choppers along with Coco's STARR1, Sonoma's Henry 1 and the
>San Jose stuff plus Eagle 1 in tilden park. :)

CALSTAR should be capitalized. :)

Evan

37153. Full 1st alarm assignment/ San Jose 1300 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 21:00:31 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37153 Message: 37153

5053 Doyle @ Happy Valley
Kitchen Fire

154.115 San Jose Command 3

County Fire engine 1
SJ E15
SJ E14
Trk company 14

37154. Re: H30

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 20:58:15 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 37140 Message: 37154

I think that message #37146 in which the reply was "H30 is a CHP
Aircraft" is not only right but is Totally right
while it did not specife what type of aircraft it is still a CHP
aircraft.
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...>
wrote:
>
> lol there were a lot of responses for H30 but none were totally
> correct. The H in that callsign stands for helicopter, but not the
> one in the previous photo (it's been replaced).
>
> http://www.helispot.com/photos/03835.html
>
> H30 is a Aerospatiale/Eurocopter AS350B2 painted just like the one
in
> that photo, except that's CHP's chopper for the fresno area. H30
is
> based out of the Napa County Airport (KAPC).
>
> Hope that helps, that's a fun site. They have pictures of the
calstar
> and REACH choppers along with Coco's STARR1, Sonoma's Henry 1 and
the
> San Jose stuff plus Eagle 1 in tilden park. :)
>
> -Inigo

37155. Re: [bayscan] Full 1st alarm assignment/ San Jose 1300 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 21:05:00 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37153 Message: 37155

At 01:00 PM 2/14/2005, you wrote:

>5053 Doyle @ Happy Valley
>Kitchen Fire

Fire extinguished, smoke evac only.

Canceling the balance.

EOM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37156. Storm Watch...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 21:06:23 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37156 Message: 37156

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
1220 PM PST MON FEB 14 2005

SONOMA AND MARIN COUNTIES-SAN FRANCISCO-
ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES-SANTA CLARA COUNTY-
COASTAL MONTEREY COUNTY-
SALINAS VALLEY/INTERIOR MONTEREY COUNTY/SAN BENITO COUNTY-
NAPA COUNTY-SANTA CRUZ COUNTY-SAN MATEO COUNTY-
1220 PM PST MON FEB 14 2005

...LOCALLY HEAVY RAIN TO AFFECT THE SAN FRANCISCO AND MONTEREY BAY
AREAS THIS AFTERNOON AND TONIGHT...
...MORE RAIN EXPECTED INTO THE PRESIDENTS DAY HOLIDAY WEEKEND...

A STRONG FRONT IS LOCATED OFF THE CALIFORNIA COAST AS OF NOON.
SATELLITE IMAGERY AND RADAR INDICATE THAT THE LEADING BAND OF THE
HEAVIEST RAIN IS 150 MILES WEST OF SANTA CRUZ. THE FRONT IS EXPECTED
TO MOVE OVER THE SAN FRANCISCO AND MONTEREY BAY AREAS LATER THIS
AFTERNOON...AND CONTINUE INTO TONIGHT. THE HEAVIEST RAIN SHOULD FALL
FROM SAN FRANCISCO SOUTHWARD. LOCALIZED STREET FLOODING IN NORMALLY
POOR DRAINAGE AREAS IS POSSIBLE.

LOW PRESSURE IS FORECAST TO REMAIN NEARLY STATIONARY INTO THE
HOLIDAY WEEKEND...RESULTING IN OCCASIONAL RAIN.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37157. Swift Water Rescue/ San Jose 1314hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 21:16:28 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37157 Message: 37157

San Fernando @ SR 87

Homeless male down in the creek, trapped.

"San Fernando IC"

154.115 Command 3

Special call for an extra truck and engine company.
USAR on scene.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37158. Capt McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 21:58:30 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37158 Message: 37158

On the Firehouse.com website (front page) is the story about fallen firefighter Capt. Mark McCormack of Santa Clara County Fire and a link where one can post condolences.

Ed

37159. Anybody have BCT-8?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 22:26:04 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 37159 Message: 37159

I'm trying to dashmount a scanner into the car and was wondering if anybody owned the BCT-8, if they could measure the exact height of the thickest point of the scanner (minus footpads and desktop stand). Trying to fit this in with a stereo in the dash and sweating bullets about the amount of space available.

Specs say the BCT8 is 2.44inches but I have seen specs include the desktop stand as part of the height. I want to make sure before ordering one.

Thanks!
Jake

37160. MERA ABC's...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 22:50:05 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37145 Message: 37160

i was happy to see this post because i've wondered about these new designations --- erik, can you --or anyone-- explain more? i gather that novato is "d' and understand from this post that when san rafael is completely up it will be "e"....

how does the rest of the county figure into the alphabet soup?

anybody know the whole list?

thanks in advance and my apologies if it has been summarized elsewhere already.

nina

On Monday, Feb 14, 2005, at 11:25 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

>> G 26480 Channel E4
>
> Yes, those would be the "Control E3" and "Tac E4" talkgroups in the
> county-wide fire radio configuration. San Rafael Fire is on Zone "E".
>
> Is someone logging all of these MERA TGs to a central file? I would
> like to cross-reference the official MERA TG designators to the five
> digit numbers.
>
>
>
>
==========
www.ninazhito.com

37161. RE: [bayscan] MERA ABC's...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 23:21:26 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37145 Message: 37161

Hey Nina, this .pdf is a little old but it really lays out MERA pretty well
in a nutshell including some of the "zones" etc.
http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/BS/main/sups/sdistr4/docs/MERA.pdf

-Roger 15:21
-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@...]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 2:50 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Cc: esvanr@...
Subject: [bayscan] MERA ABC's...

i was happy to see this post because i've wondered about these new
designations --- erik, can you --or anyone-- explain more? i gather
that novato is "d' and understand from this post that when san rafael
is completely up it will be "e"....

how does the rest of the county figure into the alphabet soup?

anybody know the whole list?

thanks in advance and my apologies if it has been summarized elsewhere
already.

nina

On Monday, Feb 14, 2005, at 11:25 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

>> G 26480 Channel E4
>
> Yes, those would be the "Control E3" and "Tac E4" talkgroups in the
> county-wide fire radio configuration. San Rafael Fire is on Zone "E".
>
> Is someone logging all of these MERA TGs to a central file? I would
> like to cross-reference the official MERA TG designators to the five
> digit numbers.
>
>
============
www.ninazhito.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37162. Re: early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-14 23:30:18 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 37140 Message: 37162

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 09:46 AM 2/14/2005, you wrote:
>
> >What is H30?
>
> CHP's helo. :)
>
>
http://www.mcgirt.net/RC/funfly/Circumgyration/Circumgyration_2001/pag
e1/html/618%20CHP-callsign-%20H-30-%20Bell%20206L3%20Longranger%20and%
20crew.htm
>
> Not sure if that's still the same bird...
>
> Evan

I thought that might be the case. Years ago...around 1984, I worked
for a radio station in Sacramento. I was able to go for a ride on
the then CHP Bell JetRanger III that was stationed at McClellan AFB
(I think). What a fun ride. We went to Lake Berryessa and back.
Got some great audio of the think starting up.

Steve

37163. Re: H30

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 00:44:50 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37140 Message: 37163

You know what looking back on it I'm out of line saying that. Everyone's answer was sufficient, I just wanted to clarify that they no longer use the Bell Longranger and upgraded to the A-Star. It is A LOT easier to come off sounding insulting on a message board than in person, and I assure you all I meant no offense to anyone. I was kinda in a hurry and typed the wrong thing (and also didn't correctly capitalize the acronym for California Shock Trauma Air Rescue!). Enough blabbering, I never meant to offend anyone but for those who were I'm sorry.

-Inigo

37164. Re: MERA ABC's - Zones/Modes...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 01:56:19 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37145 Message: 37164

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> Hey Nina, this .pdf is a little old but it really lays out MERA
pretty well
> in a nutshell including some of the "zones" etc.
> http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/BS/main/sups/sdistr4/docs/MERA.pdf
>
Roger: that *zone* info you linked to is the Smartzone system's geographical zones. The Zones I refer to are the talkgroup *banks* (or groups) in the XTS and Spectra radios. The fire XTS portables have 10 Zones programmed in them (A-I,and M). A Zone on a radio has 16 talkgroups in it (hence the 16 *channel* knob on the top of the XTS portables). Those talkgroups are known as *Modes* (1-16). Most people understand Modes as *channels*. Zones are alphabetic, Modes are numeric. Thus, Novato Fire's tactical TG in Mode 4 is known as "Tac D-4". I will try to scan and post a fire talkgroup spreadsheet, then it will make more sense to those who haven't seen it. *All* MERA fire radios have the same Zone/Mode scheme in them. The law enforcement radios have a slightly different program for each individual agency.

37165. Re: [bayscan] MERA ABC's...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 01:19:33 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37145 Message: 37165

hmm, rereading that document i am not finding the county-wide configs
listed.
maybe this correspondence will inspire some discussion.

list?

On Monday, Feb 14, 2005, at 15:21 US/Pacific, Roger Strohmeyer wrote:

>
> Hey Nina, this .pdf is a little old but it really lays out MERA pretty
> well
> in a nutshell including some of the "zones" etc.
> http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/BS/main/sups/sdistr4/docs/MERA.pdf
>
> -Roger 15:21
> -----Original Message-----
> From: nina zhito [mailto:photozhito@...]
> Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 2:50 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: esvanr@...
> Subject: [bayscan] MERA ABC's...
>
>
> i was happy to see this post because i've wondered about these new
> designations --- erik, can you --or anyone-- explain more? i gather
> that novato is "d' and understand from this post that when san rafael
> is completely up it will be "e"....
>
> how does the rest of the county figure into the alphabet soup?
>
> anybody know the whole list?
>
> thanks in advance and my apologies if it has been summarized
> elsewhere
> already.
>
> nina
>
> On Monday, Feb 14, 2005, at 11:25 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:
>
>>> G 26480 Channel E4
>>
>> Yes, those would be the "Control E3" and "Tac E4" talkgroups in the
>> county-wide fire radio configuration. San Rafael Fire is on Zone "E".
>>
>> Is someone logging all of these MERA TGs to a central file? I would

>> like to cross-reference the official MERA TG designators to the five
>> digit numbers.
>>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37166. Re: [bayscan] early morning chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 02:32:08 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37140 Message: 37166

I had two scanners going so I could continuously monitor H30 and switch jurisdictions with the other scanner. I think the agencies did what they could do and what they had to do. Worked out fine as long as those hounds in H30 kept on top of the guy which they sure did.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Steve Collier" <scan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 11:41 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] early morning chase

>
> I caught the chase when I turned on the scanner just before going to
> bed. Switching back between CHP and the local PDs involved, it surprised
> me how territorial they seemed to be... like they were dropping in and out
> of the chase as the jurisdictions changed only to have the perp circle
back
> into their city. I agree the CHP helo did a great job reporting on the
> movements when there were no ground units in sight.
>
>
>
> At 11:30 PM 2/13/2005, you wrote:
> >Did any other bayscanners hear anything on this chase?
> >Early Sunday morning, 02/13/05 around 02:45, there was a great chase on
chp
> >bronze with H30 tracking a guy who evidently had stollen a car. I wasn't
> >listening to the scanner, but when H30 came over, I turned it on and
that's
> >when I got in on it. .
> >They were going south in to Berkeley so Albany then Berkeley were
involved.
> >At one point the guy bailed out of the car he was in and actually stole
> >another car with H30 looking on telling other agencies about it. The guy
> >went back
> >up the Arlington. back down in to El Cerrito. Started coming our
direction
> >but got on 580 going towards San Rafael Bridge. He got off, then back on
> >coming back toward Berkeley again but when getting on he messed up his
front
> >and back tires on a gard rail so stopped shortly after that near the PG&E
> >yard in Richmond. They thought he had ran in there but the cops nailed
him
> >either near or in his car. H30 was really on it. I wish I had recorded
the

> >chase with H30 on one channel and other jurisdictions on the other as
> >waranted. I have no idea other then the guy may have stolen several cars
as
> >to why
> >they were after him. The chase ended about 03:08. I'd like to know if
> >anyone sees something in the paper or has any information about it. Jim
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
>
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1292uud6g/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=g
roups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1108452734/A=2532114/R=2/SIG=12kat068h/*http://clk
.atdmt.com/NFX/go/yhxxxnfx0020000014nfx/direct/01/&time=1108366334595586>
> >[]
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > *
> >
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baysca
n/
> > *
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > *
> >
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsu
bscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > *
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37167. Re: [bayscan] Re: MERA ABC's - Zones/Modes...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 03:18:14 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37145 Message: 37167

On Monday, Feb 14, 2005, at 17:56 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
>> Hey Nina, this .pdf is a little old but it really lays out MERA
> pretty well
>> in a nutshell including some of the "zones" etc.
>> http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/BS/main/sups/sdistr4/docs/MERA.pdf
>>
> Roger: that *zone* info you linked to is the Smartzone system's
> geographical zones. The Zones I refer to are the talkgroup *banks*
> (or groups) in the XTS and Spectra radios. The fire XTS portables
> have 10 Zones programmed in them (A-I,and M). A Zone on a radio has
> 16 talkgroups in it (hence the 16 *channel* knob on the top of the XTS
> portables). Those talkgroups are known as *Modes* (1-16). Most
> people understand Modes as *channels*. Zones are alphabetic, Modes
> are numeric. Thus, Novato Fire's tactical TG in Mode 4 is known as
> "Tac D-4". I will try to scan and post a fire talkgroup spreadsheet,
> then it will make more sense to those who haven't seen it. *All* MERA
> fire radios have the same Zone/Mode scheme in them. The law
> enforcement radios have a slightly different program for each
> individual agency.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37168. OT: Re: MERA ABC's...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 03:58:57 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37145 Message: 37168

Hey Erik, off topic, but that reminds me of how the analog City of San Diego Public Safety TRS dispatches fire. They just reversed the letter and number places. For instance at my dad's house in mid city east of I-805, all initial fire dispatches would go out on Ch. 7A - Dispatch, and the units for the district I was in would respond on Ch. 8A - Command 3, and their fireground tactical was 8B with a backup tactical on 8C. So the TACs for that same area were just one letter up from the command TG. I really liked the logical structure of the whole thing and how easy it was to scan, because if you caught an incident you wanted to follow on one TG all you had to do was move up one letter to find the same guys. It's exciting to watch MERA develop into something complex like that, not to mention how much it'll help Marin firefighters.

-Inigo

37169. Re: [bayscan] Re: MERA ABC's - Zones/Modes...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 03:19:50 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37145 Message: 37169

no wonder i was confused.

i need to be thinking in terms of modes, not zones.

appreciate whatever light you can shed, eric!

nina
On Monday, Feb 14, 2005, at 17:56 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
>> Hey Nina, this .pdf is a little old but it really lays out MERA
> pretty well
>> in a nutshell including some of the "zones" etc.
>> http://www.co.marin.ca.us/depts/BS/main/sups/sdistr4/docs/MERA.pdf
>>
> Roger: that *zone* info you linked to is the Smartzone system's
> geographical zones. The Zones I refer to are the talkgroup *banks*
> (or groups) in the XTS and Spectra radios. The fire XTS portables
> have 10 Zones programmed in them (A-I,and M). A Zone on a radio has
> 16 talkgroups in it (hence the 16 *channel* knob on the top of the XTS
> portables). Those talkgroups are known as *Modes* (1-16). Most
> people understand Modes as *channels*. Zones are alphabetic, Modes
> are numeric. Thus, Novato Fire's tactical TG in Mode 4 is known as
> "Tac D-4". I will try to scan and post a fire talkgroup spreadsheet,
> then it will make more sense to those who haven't seen it. *All* MERA
> fire radios have the same Zone/Mode scheme in them. The law
> enforcement radios have a slightly different program for each
> individual agency.
>
>

37170. Multi car acident 101 @ Smith Ranch Road

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 05:45:45 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37170 Message: 37170

Just hearing 3 unconsions people and requesting choppers to take patients out. Motor home invloved with other vehicles.

CHP 42.16
SRPD TG 52048
Marin Sheriff 39.24
SRFD 26416 and 46.20

-Roger 21:45

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37171. FW: [bayscan] Multi car accident Northbound 101 @ Smith Ranch Road Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 05:54:28 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37171 Message: 37171

1 person is a confirmed coroners case 2 others are going to Marin General...still awaiting whether the helicopter will be coming. This is RIGHT down the freeway from me on 101and blocking 2,3, and 4 lanes.

-Roger 21:53

-----Original Message-----
From: Roger Strohmeyer [mailto:rstroh@...]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 9:46 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Multi car acident 101 @ Smith Ranch Road

Just hearing 3 unconsions people and requesting choppers to take patients out. Motor home invloved with other vehicles.

CHP 42.16
SRPD TG 52048
Marin Sheriff 39.24
SRFD 26416 and 46.20

-Roger 21:45

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37172. Re: [bayscan] Multi car acident 101 @ Smith Ranch Road

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 06:16:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37170 Message: 37172

CHP shows it a Manuel T. Frietas parkway (same thing ?? _

also shows 1 confirmed fatality

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37173. RE: [bayscan] Multi car acident 101 @ Smith Ranch Road

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 06:21:44 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37170 Message: 37173

Yeah Ed, it happened at the CHP scales right past Freitas Parkway.....Smith Ranch Road is the next exit past the scales.

-Roger 22:21
-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@... [mailto:caladded@...]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 10:17 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Multi car acident 101 @ Smith Ranch Road

CHP shows it a Manuel T. Frietas parkway (same thing ?? _

also shows 1 confirmed fatality

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37174. Re: [bayscan] Multi car acident 101 @ Smith Ranch Road

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 06:27:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37170 Message: 37174

Ok, I see it on the map now (never noticed it when I ride up there , need to pay more attention I guess)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37175. 101 Accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 06:05:52 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37175 Message: 37175

My roomie just called me to tell about this one, said it looked really bad:

Incident: 2168 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding Location:
NB US101 JNO MANUEL T FREITAS PKWY Zoom Map:
<http://cad.chp.ca.gov/javascript:void(0)>566 3E Info as of: 2/14/2005
10:02:28 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
10:01PM - PER MSO 1039 CORONER RESP FRM SAN ANSELMO NB SIDE // WILL BE EXT
9:56PM - FOR TMC PER S2 LNS WILL BE CLOSED FOR APPROX 1 HR WILL ADV WHEN
THEY ARE TO OPEN
9:54PM - 34-M1 & SO DEPUTY RUNNING ROUND ROBINS JNO VIADUCT UP TO FREITAS
9:53PM - 1039 CORTE MADERA TOW
9:47PM - 3/4/5 LNS BLOCKED NB JSO SCALES
9:46PM - 1039 MARIN CO CORONER
9:44PM - 1039 DOTCC
9:42PM - JNO IRWIN ONR // BRING IN BREAK // JNO FREITAS
9:40PM - 1039 3A C# 5516 / EX 3628 / DOWNTOWN IGN 30 OR LESS
9:35PM - 80 BRINGING IN BREAK / 50 ALSO
9:33PM - 1039 REDHILL / EXP
9:32PM - #4-5 BLOCKED // CHEV TAHOE IN CD UNK ON THAT - 115 CPYS
9:28PM - FIRE ENRT CAR IS ON FIRE
9:28PM - 1039 FIRE
9:28PM - 3-4 BLOCKED MH VS COMPACT / SIDEWAYS
9:27PM - PER LOG 2169 // VEH IN FIRE
9:26PM - POSS 3 VEHS INVLD/1 MINVAN IN CD/2 OTHERS ON RHS

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
9:28PM - CHP Unit Assigned
9:29PM - CHP Unit On Scene
9:36PM - CHP Unit On Scene
9:42PM - CHP Unit On Scene
9:46PM - CHP Unit On Scene
9:57PM - CHP Unit Enroute
9:59PM - CHP Unit Enroute

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37176. Re: [bayscan] 101 Accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 06:48:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37175 Message: 37176

CHP show another at Sir Francis Drake , anybody hearing this one ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37177. long time for post

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 07:08:12 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37177 Message: 37177

As has happened in the past when you post from your e-mail address as oppossed to from the site on Yahoo it seems to take a MUCH longer time to post.
I sent that message about the 101 accident at 10:00 pm and it posted at like 11:05 pm
Im sending this on Yahoo now from the site and I bet it posts in seconds.
Just a bit of info if you have something really time sensative to post like a chase.

Robert
BayScan

37178. Re: 101 Accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 08:30:47 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 37175 Message: 37178

yikes .. bad night on the roads.. there was a fatal on 101 @ Alma In San Jose tonight also.. =\

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...> wrote:
> My roomie just called me to tell about this one, said it looked really bad:
>
>
>
>
>
> Incident: 2168 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding Location:
> NB US101 JNO MANUEL T FREITAS PKWY Zoom Map:
> <http://cad.chp.ca.gov/javascript:void(0)>566 3E Info as of: 2/14/2005
> 10:02:28 PM
>
> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
> 10:01PM - PER MSO 1039 CORONER RESP FRM SAN ANSELMO NB SIDE // WILL BE EXT
> 9:56PM - FOR TMC PER S2 LNS WILL BE CLOSED FOR APPROX 1 HR WILL ADV WHEN
> THEY ARE TO OPEN
> 9:54PM - 34-M1 & SO DEPUTY RUNNING ROUND ROBINS JNO VIADUCT UP TO FREITAS
> 9:53PM - 1039 CORTE MADERA TOW
> 9:47PM - 3/4/5 LNS BLOCKED NB JSO SCALES
> 9:46PM - 1039 MARIN CO CORONER
> 9:44PM - 1039 DOTCC
> 9:42PM - JNO IRWIN ONR // BRING IN BREAK // JNO FREITAS
> 9:40PM - 1039 3A C# 5516 / EX 3628 / DOWNTOWN IGN 30 OR LESS
> 9:35PM - 80 BRINGING IN BREAK / 50 ALSO
> 9:33PM - 1039 REDHILL / EXP
> 9:32PM - #4-5 BLOCKED // CHEV TAHOE IN CD UNK ON THAT - 115 CPYS
> 9:28PM - FIRE ENRT CAR IS ON FIRE
> 9:28PM - 1039 FIRE
> 9:28PM - 3-4 BLOCKED MH VS COMPACT / SIDEWAYS
> 9:27PM - PER LOG 2169 // VEH IN FIRE
> 9:26PM - POSS 3 VEHS INVLD/1 MINVAN IN CD/2 OTHERS ON RHS
>
> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
> 9:28PM - CHP Unit Assigned
> 9:29PM - CHP Unit On Scene

> 9:36PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 9:42PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 9:46PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 9:57PM - CHP Unit Enroute
> 9:59PM - CHP Unit Enroute
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37179. OT: computer virus results in 911 calls, guilty charges for LA man.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 08:02:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37179 Message: 37179

bay city news advises that in federal court in san jose today a louisiana man pleaded guilty to sending an e-mail virus that reprogrammed WebTV users' computers to dial 9-1-1 emergency service without their knowledge. the case was prosecuted in federal court in san jose because WebTV used servers in santa clara.

in july 2002 to about 20 subscribers of the WebTV service received a virus in an e-mail attachment, causing at least ten unnecessary 9-1-1 calls to police, according the us attorney's office. sentencing will be in us district judge ronald white's court on march 14.

nina

37180. Re: [bayscan] 101 Accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 10:17:15 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37175 Message: 37180

I thought that they were supposed to block those calls on the online CAD
when there are 11-44's or 10-55's involved?

Nick in San Luis Obispo - 2:16 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 22:05
Subject: [bayscan] 101 Accident

>
> My roomie just called me to tell about this one, said it looked really
> bad:
>
>
>
>
>
> Incident: 2168 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding Location:
> NB US101 JNO MANUEL T FREITAS PKWY Zoom Map:
> <http://cad.chp.ca.gov/javascript:void(0)>566 3E Info as of: 2/14/2005
> 10:02:28 PM
>
> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
> 10:01PM - PER MSO 1039 CORONER RESP FRM SAN ANSELMO NB SIDE // WILL BE EXT
> 9:56PM - FOR TMC PER S2 LNS WILL BE CLOSED FOR APPROX 1 HR WILL ADV WHEN
> THEY ARE TO OPEN
> 9:54PM - 34-M1 & SO DEPUTY RUNNING ROUND ROBINS JNO VIADUCT UP TO FREITAS
> 9:53PM - 1039 CORTE MADERA TOW
> 9:47PM - 3/4/5 LNS BLOCKED NB JSO SCALES
> 9:46PM - 1039 MARIN CO CORONER
> 9:44PM - 1039 DOTCC
> 9:42PM - JNO IRWIN ONR // BRING IN BREAK // JNO FREITAS
> 9:40PM - 1039 3A C# 5516 / EX 3628 / DOWNTOWN IGN 30 OR LESS
> 9:35PM - 80 BRINGING IN BREAK / 50 ALSO
> 9:33PM - 1039 REDHILL / EXP
> 9:32PM - #4-5 BLOCKED // CHEV TAHOE IN CD UNK ON THAT - 115 CPYS
> 9:28PM - FIRE ENRT CAR IS ON FIRE
> 9:28PM - 1039 FIRE
> 9:28PM - 3-4 BLOCKED MH VS COMPACT / SIDEWAYS
> 9:27PM - PER LOG 2169 // VEH IN FIRE
> 9:26PM - POSS 3 VEHS INVLD/1 MINVAN IN CD/2 OTHERS ON RHS
>
> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
> 9:28PM - CHP Unit Assigned

> 9:29PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 9:36PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 9:42PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 9:46PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 9:57PM - CHP Unit Enroute
> 9:59PM - CHP Unit Enroute
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37181. Re: [bayscan] long time for post

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 10:20:51 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37177 Message: 37181

Robert,

Have you recorded any good audio from pursuits lately? I haven't seen much as of late from you and I was wondering if your are getting away from it, or just don't have the time anymore.

Nick in San Luis Obispo - 2:20 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2005 23:08
Subject: [bayscan] long time for post

>
>
> As has happened in the past when you post from your e-mail address as
> oppossed to from the site on Yahoo it seems to take a MUCH longer
> time to post.
> I sent that message about the 101 accident at 10:00 pm and it posted
> at like 11:05 pm
> Im sending this on Yahoo now from the site and I bet it posts in
> seconds.
> Just a bit of info if you have something really time sensative to
> post like a chase.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37182. Re: [bayscan] 101 Accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 11:38:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37175 Message: 37182

Nick, you mean on the CHP website for 11-44s ?? I see them on it almost everyday.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37183. 2nd alarm in the city

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 12:15:17 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37183 Message: 37183

Box 1334 Stockton and Union (near Washington Square)

1st) E28 - 2 - 13- 41 Trucks 2 - 13 Div 2 BC1-4 Medic ?

2nd) E35 - 3- 38- 16 Truck 1 BC 3 AR 1 / Mob.Air 1 / BOE /JT
CD2
extensive fire in walls , brick building at least 2 stories

A5/ 14928
A11/ 15120 (tac)

Ed
0415 hours

note AR= Arson 1 mb1- mobile air unit 1 BOE- Bureau of
Equipment JT- Jones st.tank (water pressure increase)
CD2- Deputy Chief

37184. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm in the city

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 12:24:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37183 Message: 37184

3 story brick building ......fire in walls going up from basement to 2nd floor , checking 3rd floor

Division 3 instead of 2 Truck 16 is also on scene.

special calling ANOTHER Engine . (makes it 9)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37185. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm in the city

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 12:45:57 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 37183 Message: 37185

It's the historic Fior-d-Italia resturant circa 1886. Claims to be the oldest italian food resturant in the USA
----- Original Message -----
From: Ed
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2005 4:15 AM
Subject: [bayscan] 2nd alarm in the city

Box 1334 Stockton and Union (near Washington Square)

1st) E28 - 2 - 13- 41 Trucks 2 - 13 Div 2 BC1-4 Medic ?

2nd) E35 - 3- 38- 16 Truck 1 BC 3 AR 1 / Mob.Air 1 / BOE /JT
CD2
extensive fire in walls , brick building at least 2 stories

A5/ 14928
A11/ 15120 (tac)

Ed
0415 hours

note AR= Arson 1 mb1- mobile air unit 1 BOE- Bureau of
Equipment JT- Jones st.tank (water pressure increase)
CD2- Deputy Chief

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37186. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm in the city

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 13:39:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37183 Message: 37186

Who had your footage Brian ? anyone , 2,4 and 5 all have crews
there now. one of them interviewed a resident who smelled smoke and did
NOTHING (fool) for 1/2 hour then had someone beating on his door yelling
fire.......talk about brain-dead.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37187. Re: [bayscan) other news

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 13:51:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37187 Message: 37187

Funeral for Capt. McCormack of S. Clara County Fire is scheduled for Saturday. For those who have never seen an LODD funeral, they are quite impressive. I would expect every bay area fire dept to be represented plus from many depts well outside bay area including Southern California as well.

The fatal crash on 280 near Mariposa in SF a few days ago.....driver turned out to be the brother of O. J. Simpson.....

Bad Crash last night in Marin near Frietas Parkway that killed one and seriously injured 2.....vehicle was reported doing 100 mph just before crash.

I am going to bed!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37188. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm in the city

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 16:45:16 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37183 Message: 37188

thanks for appending those helpful notes ed... useful for those of us
who are still learning.
On Tuesday, Feb 15, 2005, at 04:15 US/Pacific, Ed wrote:

>
>
>
> Box 1334 Stockton and Union (near Washington Square)
>
> 1st) E28 - 2 - 13- 41 Trucks 2 - 13 Div 2 BC1-4 Medic ?
>
> 2nd) E35 - 3- 38- 16 Truck 1 BC 3 AR 1 / Mob.Air 1 / BOE /JT
> CD2
> extensive fire in walls , brick building at least 2 stories
>
>
> A5/ 14928
> A11/ 15120 (tac)
>
> Ed
> 0415 hours
>
> note AR= Arson 1 mb1- mobile air unit 1 BOE- Bureau of
> Equipment JT- Jones st.tank (water pressure increase)
> CD2- Deputy Chief
>
==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37189. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm in the city

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 17:11:41 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37183 Message: 37189

At 04:15 AM 2/15/2005, you wrote:

>Box 1334 Stockton and Union (near Washington Square)
>
>1st) E28 - 2 - 13- 41 Trucks 2 - 13 Div 2 BC1-4 Medic ?
>
>2nd) E35 - 3- 38- 16 Truck 1 BC 3 AR 1 / Mob.Air 1 / BOE /JT
> CD2
> extensive fire in walls , brick building at least 2 stories
>
>
>A5/ 14928
>A11/ 15120 (tac)
>
>Ed
>0415 hours
>
>note AR= Arson 1 mb1- mobile air unit 1 BOE- Bureau of
>Equipment JT- Jones st.tank (water pressure increase)
>CD2- Deputy Chief

One can only assume "The City" is .. San Francisco?

37190. Somewhat O/T: Looking to trade a few things..

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 02:03:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37190 Message: 37190

I'm looking for a decent GPS with street mapping detail.

I have a APC SmartUPS 1000 with 2 batteries - one may be bad, Still works, just limited run time. Batteries are available for $27 at Haltek in Santa Clara.

I also have a Vertex VX-10 UHF HT, 40 channels, 440 - 488 or so. Receieves up to 488, stops transmitting somewhere at 486.

I'll swap both for the right unit. Would prefer to meet up somewhere in the local (I'm in Sunnyvale) area as the UPS is HEAVY. It's a rackmount unit, and has a serial connection for monitoring / shutdown / etc.

I can also throw in a Garmin GPS 40, so if you maybe have a decent GPS, but you never use half the features, you'll get a basic GPS without street detail.

Contact me offlist.

Thanks.

Evan

37191. Fed Correctional Inst. Dublin.???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 02:05:31 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37191 Message: 37191

Has anyone ever tracked this system? Radio Reference says its a mix of Analog and APCO 25 and if so how is it entered in say a BC 780 or Pro 95

Site Description Freqs
001 Primary 407.0500* 408.3000* 410.4000* 410.7000* 410.9000

System Talkgroups

All Talkgroups

DEC HEX Mode Description
16 001 D Operations ATG
48 003 D Side 1 Emergency Revert
80 005 D Side 1 Primary (Chan 1?)
112 007 D Channel 4
272 011 D Gate Control
464 01d D Chan 2's Emergency Channel
496 01f D Channel 2 / Operations
816 033 D Maintenance

37192. Re: long time for post

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 04:58:33 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 37177 Message: 37192

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, bayscan@c... wrote:
>
> As has happened in the past when you post from your e-mail address
as
> oppossed to from the site on Yahoo it seems to take a MUCH longer
> time to post.
> I sent that message about the 101 accident at 10:00 pm and it posted
> at like 11:05 pm
> Im sending this on Yahoo now from the site and I bet it posts in
> seconds.
> Just a bit of info if you have something really time sensative to
> post like a chase.

I e-mailed a reply almost 2 hours ago. Yet to show. replying here via
webpage @ 2059 hrs.

37193. Caltrans freq. they are busy tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 05:25:41 UTC
From: Michael Wright
Topic: 37193 Message: 37193

856.9875 tone 123.0

Dispatcher is in Oakland but a lot of calls on potholes, etc. here in San Jose area (I didn't get specifics but one on 880 and Coleman around 7:30 pm). One of their repeaters is on Loma and it comes in clear here in SJ (I'm not using a 800MHz antenna).

There is not much traffic on this freq most of the time except when the weather is bad. Whether this is considering interesting or not (there's no high speed chases, barricaded suspects) but I see their trucks on the road so I'm wondering what they are doing.

I did some searching and came up with these (some data from the FCC site, others from other sites). I haven't listened to these other freq yet:

CALTRANS
Public Safety/Spec Emerg, 806-821/851-866 MHz, Conv. [GP]
856.9875 tone 110.9 DIST 4, 10 BAY AREA
856.9875 tone 123 District 4 SAN JOSE
859.7375 tone 123 District 4 LOS GATOS

PACHECO PEAK
858.7375 tone 110.9 District4

District 4 /MOUNT MADONNA
860.7375 tone 136.5 GILROY

866.7375 CALTRANS BAY BRG

859.7375 tone 110.9 CONSTRUCTION
other tones 123, 131.8, 136.5, 146.2

151.01 tone 123 MAINTENANCE PORTABLES
47.24 SIGALERTS

37194. Daly City 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 04:23:49 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37194 Message: 37194

North County Fire calling a 2nd alarm for a residential fire at 68
Penhurst @ Wembley (Near Skyline - Hickey)

1) E92 94 95 T95 BC20 (IC)

2) E71 E86 E91 BC18 T51 BS61

m/a includes San Bruno , Colma and South SF

154.10
154.04 (firedispatch.com)

2023 hours

Ed

37195. Re: [bayscan] 101 Accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-15 21:32:02 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37175 Message: 37195

Ed,

That's interesting because I was pretty sure that CHP didn't put them on
there, but I guess I was wrong.

Thanks

Nick in San Luis Obispo - 13:31 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2005 3:38
Subject: Re: [bayscan] 101 Accident

>
> Nick, you mean on the CHP website for 11-44s ?? I see them on it
> almost
> everyday.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37196. Re: [bayscan] 101 Accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 06:40:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37175 Message: 37196

yeah chp puts 1144's on all the time...

Nick in SLO wrote:

>Ed,
>
> That's interesting because I was pretty sure that CHP didn't put them on
>there, but I guess I was wrong.
>
>Thanks
>
>Nick in San Luis Obispo - 13:31 Hours
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: <caladded@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Tuesday, February 15, 2005 3:38
>Subject: Re: [bayscan] 101 Accident
>
>
>
>
>>Nick, you mean on the CHP website for 11-44s ?? I see them on it
>>almost
>>everyday.
>>
>>ED
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37197. Re: [bayscan] Fed Correctional Inst. Dublin.???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 03:21:13 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37191 Message: 37197

At 06:05 PM 2/15/2005, you wrote:

>Has anyone ever tracked this system? Radio Reference says its a mix
>of Analog and APCO 25 and if so how is it entered in say a BC 780 or
>Pro 95

John Gotti asking about Federal correctional frequencies?

Who'da thought....

;)

37198. Re: [bayscan] Fed Correctional Inst. Dublin.???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 07:59:31 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37191 Message: 37198

Note that you need to program base (406.3), spacing (12.5) and offset (380).

The next time I'm going by there, I'll give it a shot. I've scanned San Quentin a few times, mostly from Berkeley. You need to scan prisons around feeding time to get much traffic. San Quentin does a body count on the hour.

I think the 780 has a data skip. Maybe that will get you past the Apco.

If you are in the tri-valley area, you should program Livermore Labs as well. It fell of the Radio Reference list, but you should find it in the bayscan archives. The Livermore system doesn't have much traffic, though I was in area on the 6th and it seems some alarm or fire crew was working on sensors. They were using a "blue" callsign.

John Gotti wrote:
>
> Has anyone ever tracked this system? Radio Reference says its a mix
> of Analog and APCO 25 and if so how is it entered in say a BC 780 or
> Pro 95
>
> Site Description Freqs
> 001 Primary 407.0500* 408.3000* 410.4000* 410.7000* 410.9000
>
>
> System Talkgroups
>
> All Talkgroups
>
> DEC HEX Mode Description
> 16 001 D Operations ATG
> 48 003 D Side 1 Emergency Revert
> 80 005 D Side 1 Primary (Chan 1?)
> 112 007 D Channel 4
> 272 011 D Gate Control
> 464 01d D Chan 2's Emergency Channel
> 496 01f D Channel 2 / Operations
> 816 033 D Maintenance
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37199. Re: [bayscan] Re: long time for post

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 06:13:03 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37177 Message: 37199

At 08:58 PM 2/15/2005, you wrote:

>I e-mailed a reply almost 2 hours ago. Yet to show. replying here via
>webpage @ 2059 hrs.

Wow. took over an hour to show on the groups page or my inbox.

37200. Let's see if yahoo is still molasses

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 20:04:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37200 Message: 37200

E-Mailing this at 1204 hrs...

37201. Re: [bayscan] Let's see if yahoo is still molasses

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 20:05:56 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37200 Message: 37201

At 12:04 PM 2/16/2005, you wrote:
>E-Mailing this at 1204 hrs...

IT'S A MIRACLE!

37202. Re: [bayscan] Let's see if yahoo is still molasses

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 20:07:14 UTC
From: Robert Griffith
Topic: 37200 Message: 37202

Received at 12:04 hours. :)

Thanks,

Robert

==========
Robert Griffith
Coordinator, Statewide Initiatives
Mendocino County Office of Education
2240 Old River Road
Ukiah, California 95482
Voice: (707) 467-5087
Fax: (707) 468-5781
Email: griff@...

On Feb 16, 2005, at 12:04 PM, Evan Platt wrote:

>
> E-Mailing this at 1204 hrs...
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37203. San Rafael Fire on 26416 Talk Group

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 22:20:25 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37203 Message: 37203

I dont know if anyone posted anything about this yet but they are testing out the San Rafael Fire talk group on 26416 now.
The radio techs are also talking about tweeking the system on talk group 8208

2:20 pm

Robert
BayScan

37204. programming help

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-16 22:30:42 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37204 Message: 37204

Ok so I find this new talk group that is not in my scanner, The
Uniden (digital) hand held. Since I HATE reading manuals what is the
easy way to store a new talk group that you have found in this puppy??

I know someone knows the answer here.

Robert
BayScan

37205. Re: Fed Correctional Inst. Dublin.???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 00:47:55 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 37191 Message: 37205

I've monitoried it before, all I heard was digital traffic.

-Bill

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "John Gotti" <bayareascanman@y...>
wrote:
>
> Has anyone ever tracked this system? Radio Reference says its a
mix
> of Analog and APCO 25 and if so how is it entered in say a BC 780
or
> Pro 95
>
> Site Description Freqs
> 001 Primary 407.0500* 408.3000* 410.4000* 410.7000* 410.9000
>
>
> System Talkgroups
>
> All Talkgroups
>
> DEC HEX Mode Description
> 16 001 D Operations ATG
> 48 003 D Side 1 Emergency Revert
> 80 005 D Side 1 Primary (Chan 1?)
> 112 007 D Channel 4
> 272 011 D Gate Control
> 464 01d D Chan 2's Emergency Channel
> 496 01f D Channel 2 / Operations
> 816 033 D Maintenance

37206. All feeds are back up and working.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 02:23:29 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37206 Message: 37206

Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to take effect..

thanks
Ben
www.mattlogic.com.

37207. How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 02:25:29 UTC
From: paulcbg
Topic: 37207 Message: 37207

Is there a website or book available that will show what company or agency is on any given frequency? For example I hear a fair amount of chatter on 453.825 but I have no idea who's there. I live in the Oakland area and have a simple non-trunking Yaesu VHF/UHF receiver.
Thanks!
Paul B-G
KA6GEM

37208. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 02:39:50 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37207 Message: 37208

Isn't that the old California State Police frequency, now used by the CHP?

FCC search here
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

paulcbg wrote:
>
> Is there a website or book available that will show what company or
> agency is on any given frequency? For example I hear a fair amount
> of chatter on 453.825 but I have no idea who's there. I live in the
> Oakland area and have a simple non-trunking Yaesu VHF/UHF receiver.
> Thanks!
> Paul B-G
> KA6GEM
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37209. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 02:49:08 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37207 Message: 37209

At 06:25 PM 2/16/2005, you wrote:

>Is there a website or book available that will show what company or
>agency is on any given frequency? For example I hear a fair amount
>of chatter on 453.825 but I have no idea who's there. I live in the
>Oakland area and have a simple non-trunking Yaesu VHF/UHF receiver.
>Thanks!
>Paul B-G
>KA6GEM

Yes and no. You want to know who's USING a frequency. General reference books will tell you who's LICENSED on the frequency.

For example, let's say I owned a radio shop. I get a frequency assigned to me, and sell airtime on my repeater. Say on PL 100 is Joe's Taxi, on 114.8 is Bob's Plymbing, and 127.3 is Dave's Roofing. All the FCC database would show is my license, say Evan's Radio shop.

A good start, regardless is
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

There's also a good book by Bob Kelty called Industry Radio Systems which lists a lot of business frequencies.

Evan

37210. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 02:49:13 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37207 Message: 37210

Visit your local Radio Shack and ask for a "Policecall" frequency guide. Its about $ 20, but well worth it. It lists all of the Police and Fire frequencies on the West Coast.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37211. Sunnyvale OFFICER DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 02:50:10 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37211 Message: 37211

Sunnyvale has an officer down with head injury , on Evelyn possibly
near Murphy. E1 rolling with medics.....maybe ONLY a fall

Ed
1849 hours

482.9625
482.7125

37212. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale OFFICER DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 02:55:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37211 Message: 37212

this is a K unit (I believe thats Detective) and its at the large condos between Evelyn and the tracks off Sunnyvale avenue. 295 Evelyn

E1/T1/Med 8 and AMR

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37213. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 02:43:04 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37207 Message: 37213

Its the CHP High Band as they refer to it. it was the old State Police
frequency also a long time ago before the CHP.

Normally they are re-broadcasting the Pink 42.440 but sometimes its the
Bronze as it is now and even the Amber,
its nice to have a UHF frequency that you can listen to the CHP on.

Robert
BayScan

At 06:39 PM 2/16/2005, gary wrote:
>Isn't that the old California State Police frequency, now used by the CHP?
>
>FCC search here
><http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts>http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bi
n/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>
>paulcbg wrote:
> >
> > Is there a website or book available that will show what company or
> > agency is on any given frequency? For example I hear a fair amount
> > of chatter on 453.825 but I have no idea who's there. I live in the
> > Oakland area and have a simple non-trunking Yaesu VHF/UHF receiver.
> > Thanks!
> > Paul B-G
> > KA6GEM
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT

><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=1295rkaqt/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1108694343/A=2532114/R=2/SIG=12k6nbqic/*http://clk.atdmt.com/NFX/go/yhxxxnfx0020000014nfx/direct/01/&time=1108607943731096>
>[]
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37214. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 03:10:49 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37207 Message: 37214

I have a link on my website for the FCC..

www.mattlogic.com

paulcbg wrote:

>Is there a website or book available that will show what company or
>agency is on any given frequency? For example I hear a fair amount
>of chatter on 453.825 but I have no idea who's there. I live in the
>Oakland area and have a simple non-trunking Yaesu VHF/UHF receiver.
>Thanks!
>Paul B-G
>KA6GEM
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37215. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale OFFICER DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 03:12:04 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37211 Message: 37215

Any updates? Just got in and am totally in the dark. Hoping for the
best...

-C-

On Feb 16, 2005, at 6:55 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> this is a K unit (I believe thats Detective) and its at the large
> condos
> between Evelyn and the tracks off Sunnyvale avenue. 295 Evelyn
>
> E1/T1/Med 8 and AMR
>
> ED

37216. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale OFFICER DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 03:18:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37211 Message: 37216

At 07:12 PM 2/16/2005, you wrote:
>Any updates? Just got in and am totally in the dark. Hoping for the
>best...

About all I've heard is searching for someone.. and 2 AMR units requested
(initially they requested AMR code 2 then upgraded them).

37217. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 03:41:38 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 37207 Message: 37217

Why not just give the FCC link instead of the advertisement for your
website?

My advertisement --> http://bobonit.com

and another http://calhydro.us

Reeks of sneaky advertising use another e-mail address or friend and you
could advertise everyday here by asking faked simple questions and then
directing them to your site!
To me what would be more acceptable would be to give the FCC relevant link
at the top and include your website in your signature such as.
Bob
rocdad@...
webmaster @ http://bobonit.com

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 7:10 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

>
> I have a link on my website for the FCC..
>
> www.mattlogic.com
>
> paulcbg wrote:
>
>>Is there a website or book available that will show what company or
>>agency is on any given frequency? For example I hear a fair amount
>>of chatter on 453.825 but I have no idea who's there. I live in the
>>Oakland area and have a simple non-trunking Yaesu VHF/UHF receiver.
>>Thanks!
>>Paul B-G
>>KA6GEM
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37218. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 03:45:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37207 Message: 37218

it was a long url. , i didn't feel like typing it all out when i have a link. don't see you griping when people advertise firefeeds every other day. if you're gonna gripe. gripe equally.

R O'Connor wrote:

>Why not just give the FCC link instead of the advertisement for your
>website?
>
>My advertisement --> http://bobonit.com
>
>and another http://calhydro.us
>
>Reeks of sneaky advertising use another e-mail address or friend and you
>could advertise everyday here by asking faked simple questions and then
>directing them to your site!
>To me what would be more acceptable would be to give the FCC relevant link
>at the top and include your website in your signature such as.
>Bob
>rocdad@...
>webmaster @ http://bobonit.com
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 7:10 PM
>Subject: Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?
>
>
>
>
>>I have a link on my website for the FCC..
>>
>>www.mattlogic.com
>>
>>paulcbg wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Is there a website or book available that will show what company or
>>>agency is on any given frequency? For example I hear a fair amount
>>>of chatter on 453.825 but I have no idea who's there. I live in the
>>>Oakland area and have a simple non-trunking Yaesu VHF/UHF receiver.
>>>Thanks!
>>>Paul B-G

>>>KA6GEM
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

37219. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 03:54:56 UTC
From: Robert Griffith
Topic: 37207 Message: 37219

Something you might check out is tinyurl.com. You go there, put in a
url (even a REALLY huge URL) and it becomes something like:

http://tinyurl.com/52k8q

On Wednesday, February 16, 2005, at 07:45 PM, Ben wrote:

> it was a long url. , i didn't feel like typing it all out when i have a
> link. don't see you griping when people advertise firefeeds every other
> day. if you're gonna gripe. gripe equally.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37220. Re: [bayscan] How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 03:55:41 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37207 Message: 37220

At 07:41 PM 2/16/2005, you wrote:
>Why not just give the FCC link instead of the advertisement for your
>website?
>
>My advertisement --> <http://bobonit.com>http://bobonit.com
>
>and another <http://calhydro.us>http://calhydro.us
>
>Reeks of sneaky advertising use another e-mail address or friend and you
>could advertise everyday here by asking faked simple questions and then
>directing them to your site!

The problem is Ben isn't really selling anything. He simply said "There's a link on my site". Granted yes, he does list on his website that he does computer consulting, and sells some items that aren't really of general interest to the general bayscan community (SCBA Inventory? Not something the average bayscanner buys). Also, I think just about everyone here KNOWS Ben's web site, so Ben could have just sadi "CHeck out my webpage" and people would know.

Sneaky advertising? No. Lazy? Closer.

>To me what would be more acceptable would be to give the FCC relevant link
>at the top and include your website in your signature such as.
>Bob
>rocdad@...
>webmaster @ <http://bobonit.com>http://bobonit.com

I'm usually the first to scream "SPAMMER!" however Ben wasn't spamming in ANY way. He's a regular, he posts here very often, he runs a half dozen feeds free of charge, etc.

He also could much more easily 'spam' his site, which if you want to be picky, he does - "My feeds at www.mattlogic.com are going down for the night."

To call Ben a spammer is pretty funny.

If anything, I think you just called him a spammer so you could spam YOUR website. :-)

Evan

37221. Re: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 04:02:40 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37206 Message: 37221

I went to the SF fire and pd scanner site but my screen said play disabled.
Do I have to download some plug in or other software to make it play or will
it use media player, winamp, or real player? Thanks, Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
<SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 6:23 PM
Subject: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.

>
> Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to take
> effect..
>
> thanks
> Ben
> www.mattlogic.com.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37222. Re: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 04:08:20 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37206 Message: 37222

i just switched to static ip's.. So maybe thats the problem. have you
ever been on my site before... If so we have a problem. if not then
there's a media player plugin for downmload on my site that does the
trick.. Lemme know if have any problems...

ben
(non spammer)

jim gammon wrote:

>I went to the SF fire and pd scanner site but my screen said play disabled.
>Do I have to download some plug in or other software to make it play or will
>it use media player, winamp, or real player? Thanks, Jim
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
>To: <norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
><SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 6:23 PM
>Subject: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
>
>
>
>
>>Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to take
>>effect..
>>
>>thanks
>>Ben
>>www.mattlogic.com.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37223. plug-in's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 04:22:50 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37223 Message: 37223

Hi Ben. If you are running the live scanner feed, I have accessed that
before but not from the same link that is in your email from earlier today.
I last accessed it probably two weeks ago. I think it's one and the same,
will go try that site now. Thanks, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 8:08 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.

>
> i just switched to static ip's.. So maybe thats the problem. have you
> ever been on my site before... If so we have a problem. if not then
> there's a media player plugin for downmload on my site that does the
> trick.. Lemme know if have any problems...
>
> ben
> (non spammer)
>
> jim gammon wrote:
>
> >I went to the SF fire and pd scanner site but my screen said play
disabled.
> >Do I have to download some plug in or other software to make it play or
will
> >it use media player, winamp, or real player? Thanks, Jim
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
> >To: <norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
> ><SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 6:23 PM
> >Subject: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >>Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to take
> >>effect..
> >>
> >>thanks
> >>Ben
> >>www.mattlogic.com.
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37224. live scanner feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 04:31:37 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37224 Message: 37224

Hi Ben. I just checked out the live feeds site that if from the freakofnature site and your stuff is gone so you must have switched over to the metlogic site. I downloaded the media plug-in but I don't know where it downloaded to. In any case, it didn't play when I tried the link again. On the other live feed site, I tried Gklenn's feed from Monrovia and it came right up, even before I installed the plug-in from your site. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 8:08 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.

>
> i just switched to static ip's.. So maybe thats the problem. have you
> ever been on my site before... If so we have a problem. if not then
> there's a media player plugin for downmload on my site that does the
> trick.. Lemme know if have any problems...
>
> ben
> (non spammer)
>
> jim gammon wrote:
>
> >I went to the SF fire and pd scanner site but my screen said play
disabled.
> >Do I have to download some plug in or other software to make it play or
will
> >it use media player, winamp, or real player? Thanks, Jim
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
> >To: <norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
> ><SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 6:23 PM
> >Subject: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >>Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to take
> >>effect..
> >>
> >>thanks
> >>Ben
> >>www.mattlogic.com.

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37225. Re: live scanner feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 04:48:11 UTC
From: aaaarchie_94520
Topic: 37224 Message: 37225

```
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> Ben Iam not getting anything on your site either and have
before. Bruce
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Ben" <ben96cal@d...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 8:08 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
>
>
> > 
> > i just switched to static ip's.. So maybe thats the problem.
have you
> > ever been on my site before... If so we have a problem. if not
then
> > there's a media player plugin for downmload on my site that does
the
> > trick.. Lemme know if have any problems...
> > 
> > ben
> > (non spammer)
> > 
> > jim gammon wrote:
> > 
> > >I went to the SF fire and pd scanner site but my screen said
play
> disabled.
> > >Do I have to download some plug in or other software to make it
play or
> will
> > >it use media player, winamp, or real player? Thanks, Jim
> > >----- Original Message -----
> > >From: "Ben" <ben96cal@d...>
> > >To: <norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
> > ><SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > >Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 6:23 PM
> > >Subject: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
> > > 
> > > 
> > > 
> > > 
> > >Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new
stuff to take
> > >effect..
```

> > >>
> > >>thanks
> > >>Ben
> > >>www.mattlogic.com.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

37227. Re: live scanner feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 05:07:39 UTC
From: aaaarchie_94520
Topic: 37224 Message: 37227

>Ben it's working now. Thanks Bruce
>
> jim gammon wrote:
>
> >Hi Ben. I just checked out the live feeds site that if from the
> >freakofnature site and your stuff is gone so you must have
switched over to
> >the metlogic site. I downloaded the media plug-in but I don't
know where it
> >downloaded to. In any case, it didn't play when I tried the link
again. On
> >the other live feed site, I tried Gklenn's feed from Monrovia and
it came
> >right up, even before I installed the plug-in from your site. Jim
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "Ben" <ben96cal@d...>
> >To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 8:08 PM
> >Subject: Re: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >>i just switched to static ip's.. So maybe thats the problem.
have you
> >>ever been on my site before... If so we have a problem. if not
then
> >>there's a media player plugin for downmload on my site that does
the
> >>trick.. Lemme know if have any problems...
> >>
> >>ben
> >>(non spammer)
> >>
> >>jim gammon wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>I went to the SF fire and pd scanner site but my screen said
play
> >>>
> >>>
> >>disabled.
> >

> >
> >>>Do I have to download some plug in or other software to make it
play or
> >>>
> >>>
> >will
> >
> >
> >>>it use media player, winamp, or real player? Thanks, Jim
> >>>----- Original Message -----
> >>>From: "Ben" <ben96cal@d...>
> >>>To: <norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
> >>><SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >>>Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 6:23 PM
> >>>Subject: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new
stuff to take
> >>>>effect..
> >>>>
> >>>>thanks
> >>>>Ben
> >>>>www.mattlogic.com.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>

> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

37228. Ben's feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 04:54:50 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37228 Message: 37228

I just went to his web site and I'm hearing SF just fine(a little white noise on the feed)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37229. Re: All feeds are back up and working.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 05:21:13 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37206 Message: 37229

ok NOW we're back up lol .. thanks for everyone's patience.... enjoy
listening and of course if you have any problems email me offlist...

ben

Ben wrote:

> Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to
> take effect..
>
> thanks
> Ben
> www.mattlogic.com.
>

37230. Re: [bayscan] live scanner feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 05:23:02 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37224 Message: 37230

Still not hearing anything. I found the wmpplugin.exe file and installed it. I'm assuming it "knew" where to install itself. I just get something that sounds like, metadata connecting to media but it never connects, at least not for a minute or so that I left it on there. Suggestions? Ben, email me off list if you want to not bother anyone else with this stuff. Thanks, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 7:51 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] live scanner feeds

>
> ok try it now, had an ip address conflict....
>
> thanks
> ben
>
> jim gammon wrote:
>
> >Hi Ben. I just checked out the live feeds site that if from the
> >freakofnature site and your stuff is gone so you must have switched over
to
> >the metlogic site. I downloaded the media plug-in but I don't know where
it
> >downloaded to. In any case, it didn't play when I tried the link again.
On
> >the other live feed site, I tried Gklenn's feed from Monrovia and it came
> >right up, even before I installed the plug-in from your site. Jim
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
> >To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 8:08 PM
> >Subject: Re: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>i just switched to static ip's.. So maybe thats the problem. have you
> >>ever been on my site before... If so we have a problem. if not then
> >>there's a media player plugin for downmload on my site that does the
> >>trick.. Lemme know if have any problems...
> >>

> >>ben
> >>(non spammer)
> >>
> >>jim gammon wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>I went to the SF fire and pd scanner site but my screen said play
> >>>
> >>>
> >disabled.
> >
> >
> >>>Do I have to download some plug in or other software to make it play or
> >>>
> >>>
> >will
> >
> >
> >>>it use media player, winamp, or real player? Thanks, Jim
> >>>----- Original Message -----
> >>>From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
> >>>To: <norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
> >>>><SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >>>Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 6:23 PM
> >>>Subject: [bayscan] All feeds are back up and working.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to
take
> >>>>effect..
> >>>>
> >>>>thanks
> >>>>Ben
> >>>>www.mattlogic.com.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>

> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37231. Re: [bayscan] Re: All feeds are back up and working.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 05:26:47 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37206 Message: 37231

OK Ben, for some reason it's working here now as well. Thanks, Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: "ScanNorCal" <SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com>;
<norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
<SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 9:21 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: All feeds are back up and working.

>
> ok NOW we're back up lol .. thanks for everyone's patience.... enjoy
> listening and of course if you have any problems email me offlist...
>
> ben
>
> Ben wrote:
>
> > Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to
> > take effect..
> >
> > thanks
> > Ben
> > www.mattlogic.com.
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37232. When did you make the switch?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 05:28:03 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37232 Message: 37232

Ben, when did you change over from the other live scanner feed from the
freak of nature deal? Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: "ScanNorCal" <SCANnorCAL@yahoogroups.com>;
<norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com>; "ScanningCenCal"
<SCANNINGCENCAL@yahoogroups.com>; <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 9:21 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: All feeds are back up and working.

>
> ok NOW we're back up lol .. thanks for everyone's patience.... enjoy
> listening and of course if you have any problems email me offlist...
>
> ben
>
> Ben wrote:
>
> > Please refresh your browers when listening to feed for new stuff to
> > take effect..
> >
> > thanks
> > Ben
> > www.mattlogic.com.
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37233. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale OFFICER DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 05:51:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37211 Message: 37233

All requests for Fire/EMS for the officer were code 3 I
don't know about any later requests for additional AMR units , or anything code 2
was about. I tried to catch an update including monitoring Med 92 but
either missed it or they used a cell.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37234. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale OFFICER DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 06:05:16 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37211 Message: 37234

At 09:51 PM 2/16/2005, you wrote:
> All requests for Fire/EMS for the officer were code 3

Dunno.. I thought I heard an inital AMR unit requested code 2, but wasn't sure. I then know for a fact I heard "Upgrade AMR to code 3" - this was on PD.

>.......I don't know about any later requests for additional AMR units ,
>or anything code 2
>was about.

I was monitoring both PD & FD - this was on PD. a S unit, IIRC, requested an additional ambulance code 3. This was fairly early on. Had to take a conference call, but I don't recall it being cancelled.

> I tried to catch an update including monitoring Med 92 but
>either missed it or they used a cell.

For the most part, they're using cell phones. :(

Evan

37235. RE: [bayscan] San Rafael Fire on 26416 Talk Group

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 06:28:35 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37203 Message: 37235

Hey Robert,

TG 26416 is a MERA simulcast of San Rafael Fire Department 46.20 and TG 8208 is the Radio Shop.

-Roger 22:28
-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@... [mailto:bayscan@...]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 2:20 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Rafael Fire on 26416 Talk Group

I dont know if anyone posted anything about this yet but they are testing out the San Rafael Fire talk group on 26416 now.
The radio techs are also talking about tweeking the system on talk group 8208

2:20 pm

Robert
BayScan

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37236. [bayscan] San Rafael Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 06:45:24 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37236 Message: 37236

Why is SRFD E57 seem to always be at the NorthGate 1 Malll? Are they at a Standby point? Everytime I'm there they are either in the parking lot or parked on the street.

And no, they aren't shopping or hanging out at Starbucks...LOL

There's usually a Rescue unit with them but cann't think of the number

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37237. RE: [bayscan] San Rafael Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 07:33:40 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37236 Message: 37237

I grew up here in Terra Linda on Las Colindas and when I was a little kid every time we heard a TL Fire Department siren my Dad would say "must be lunch time". lol :-) (it was dead here back then) Heck, we had a TL Fire Chief who used to pull people over for traffic violations. Turned out he wanted to be a cop but couldn't hack the academy or story similar to that. Somebody challenged one of his citations on grounds he wasn't a law enforcement officer and the courts threw his and every one else's citations out. :-)

Usually most of the time you see them (Fire) at Northgate1 is food shopping at Safeway. E57 could be doing coverage for other stations either north or south. Fire has been doing a lot more of that here in Marin as of late.

-Roger 23:33
-----Original Message-----
From: Mobile11@... [mailto:Mobile11@...]
Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 10:45 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Rafael Fire

Why is SRFD E57 seem to always be at the NorthGate 1 Malll? Are they at
a
Standby point? Everytime I'm there they are either in the parking lot or parked on the street.

And no, they aren't shopping or hanging out at Starbucks...LOL

There's usually a Rescue unit with them but cann't think of the number

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37238. Re: [bayscan] Re: All feeds are back up and working.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 07:46:48 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37206 Message: 37238

http://www.quinnware.com/downloads.php
I prefer this player over winamp.

With a bit of work, you can stream from the qcd player too.

37239. Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 08:18:25 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37207 Message: 37239

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "R O'Connor" <rocdad@b...> wrote:
> Why not just give the FCC link instead of the advertisement for
> your website?

<SNIP>

Ya know, you've been here for less than a month and almost every one of your posts have had some negative tone. Your profile is one long advertisment for yourself and your "glamour photography" hobby, or business, or whatever. Why don't you just read some posts for a while and learn who are the regulars that contribute to this group and keep your ill-advised views to yourself until you understand things a little better.

BTW, a link to a website in a sig is an advertisement, especially in your case.

Regards, Jim

37240. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 08:56:29 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37207 Message: 37240

(1) the FCC web site is full of errors, (2) down or jammed with "users" who do not know how to use the site, (3) because the person requesting assistance is a "Newbie" -- the new guy is not going to be able to understand what or how to look or search -- using just the FCC web page (4) it just jams up the site for use by others that do know how to use the site. The FCC no longer uses "Catagories" such as Police, Fire, Special Emergency. Let the "Newbie" get the basics down first -- by using a "Policecall" or other directory -- first. Anyone can try to sell a book. Big deal. So what. If it helps someone get into this hobby -- and that person understands more --- he or she will be less likely to submit junk to this site. You just don't push the person off of the ship in the middle of the Pacific Ocean and yell "It's only 100 miles to shore". The FCC site has no errors ??? Check stations: KD20665, WPTB710 and WPYW295 and give me the nearest town or STATE.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37241. Re: San Rafael Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 09:31:05 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37236 Message: 37241

> Why is SRFD E57 seem to always be at the NorthGate 1 Malll? Are they at a Standby point? Everytime I'm there they are either in the parking lot or parked on the street.>
>And no, they aren't shopping or hanging out at Starbucks...LOL
> there's usually a Rescue unit with them but cann't think of the number

The Northgate 1 mall is in 56's district. I would imagine 57 would be there for the daily food shopping (lunch/dinner fixings), but they may be involved in *company inspections*. These are annual fire code inspections of businesses conducted by the engine companies. BTW, as of Jan 1, all *Rescue* ambulances in Marin are now called *Medic*.

37242. update: sunnyvale officer down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 11:03:55 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37242 Message: 37242

per bcn, medics responded to the scene near west evelyn and south murphy avenues when a police officer reportedly "slipped and fell", according to a disapatcher. the fall occured around 6:50 pm and the dispatcher did not know how badly the officer was injured.
CONTACT: Sunnyvale Police Department (408) 730-7110

nina
=========
Any updates? Just got in and am totally in the dark. Hoping for the best...

-C-

On Feb 16, 2005, at 6:55 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> this is a K unit (I believe thats Detective) and its at the large
> condos
> between Evelyn and the tracks off Sunnyvale avenue. 295 Evelyn
>
> E1/T1/Med 8 and AMR
>
> ED

37243. Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 16:24:36 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37243 Message: 37243

Santa Clara County Fire Dept website is www.sccfd.org

The funeral is at 1330 hours on Saturday. I would expect at least 100 fire apparatus , probably more, will attend. the location is HP Pavillion in downtown San Jose (better known as the Shark Tank)

Each Fire Department attending is being allowed ONE fire unit for the procession. However , I expect many depts will have more and the additional units will be parking at HP Pavillion as well.The official frequency for the procession is White Fire One .. 154.280

Expect also plenty of Law Enforcement vehicles , especially S.Clara County Sheriffs, CHP , San Jose Police and others. How many Motorcycles may depend on weather as it is predicted to rain.

I would expect those Fire Depts coming from a long distance to arrive Friday night. White 1 and the travel channel , 169.125 maybe a couple to monitor.

In addtion , expect Law enforcement may use LMA , 154.92 for co-ordination .

I can't find the route of the procession , but expect some streets and freeways may get closed. SFPD/CHP closed a large part of Interstate 280 for the funeral of Fire Fighter Mindy Ohler of the SFFD a few years ago, so it wouldn't surprize me to see that happen at this event also. I would monitor CHP on 42.50 , 42.34(blue) and San Jose PD on 9,10 or 11 . Santa Clara S/O will certainly have many units involved , unknown freq BUT Baymacs may be used. 482.3375 (same as 154.92)

If I get any updated information , I will post it.

Ed

37244. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 16:32:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37243 Message: 37244

At 08:24 AM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
>units involved , unknown freq BUT Baymacs may be used. 482.3375
>(same as 154.92)

I have 155.475 PL 156.7 - This is the new repeated Baymacs as of September 2003?
(I don't have the input - Don?)

Evan

37245. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 16:47:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37243 Message: 37245

that is one of four they use

Baymacs ....

482.3375
155.475
460.025
867.5125

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37246. Re: [bayscan] BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 16:53:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37243 Message: 37246

At 08:47 AM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
>that is one of four they use
>
>
>Baymacs ....
>
>482.3375
>155.475
>460.025
>867.5125

Ok, is 154.92 separate then?

ESP

37247. Re: [bayscan] BAYMACS // Funeral

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 17:21:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37247 Message: 37247

From Kelty 2005 . and I have heard them on all four of these

155.475 NO P/L
460.025 179.9
482.3375 114.8
867.5125 156.7

154.92 NO P/L still be be used but is NOT Baymacs , I am posting it for informational reasons

CHP 42.50 San Jose- Ruby
CHP 42.34 Blue

San Jose Police 9 460.100 136.5
San Jose Police 10 460.025 179.9 (BAYMACS)
San Jose Police 11 460.050 110.9

It wouldn't surprize me they use more than one , considering the sheer number of vehicles that will be involved

Fire White 1 - 154.280
Ca. Travel Net 169.125

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37248. Re: [bayscan] BAYMACS // Funeral

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 17:39:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37247 Message: 37248

At 09:21 AM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
> >From Kelty 2005 . and I have heard them on all four of these
>
>155.475 NO P/L
>460.025 179.9
>482.3375 114.8
>867.5125 156.7

The information I have is that 155.475 is PL 156.7 and repeated. I don't have the input to it, but this has been confirmed by numerous sources.

>154.92 NO P/L still be be used but is NOT Baymacs , I am posting it for
>informational reasons

Oh ok, you said it was the same as Baymacs, so it had me a bit confused.

Evan

37249. Re: update: sunnyvale officer down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 17:51:33 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 37242 Message: 37249

Officer is ok... she was chasing a suspect down some stairs. Both her
and the suspect struck their heads on an overhand (guess it was low).
Officer is at Stanford and should be released today.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> per bcn, medics responded to the scene near west evelyn and south
> murphy avenues when a police officer reportedly "slipped and fell",
> according to a disapatcher. the fall occured around 6:50 pm and
the
> dispatcher did not know how badly the officer was injured.
> CONTACT: Sunnyvale Police Department (408) 730-7110
>
> nina
> =========
> Any updates? Just got in and am totally in the dark. Hoping for the
> best...
>
> -C-
>
> On Feb 16, 2005, at 6:55 PM, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> >
> > this is a K unit (I believe thats Detective) and its at the
large
> > condos
> > between Evelyn and the tracks off Sunnyvale avenue. 295 Evelyn
> >
> > E1/T1/Med 8 and AMR
> >
> > ED

37250. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-17 22:05:07 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37243 Message: 37250

Here is how all of our radios are configured (SCCSO) for BAYMACS.

Repeater input: 150.79 PL 156.7
Repeater output: 155.475 PL 156.7

Mike

At 08:24 AM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
>units involved , unknown freq BUT Baymacs may be used. 482.3375
>(same as 154.92)

I have 155.475 PL 156.7 - This is the new repeated Baymacs as of September
2003?
(I don't have the input - Don?)

Evan

37251. Condolences

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 00:19:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37251 Message: 37251

Condolences can be sent to Julie.thomas@...

for Capt. McCormack

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37252. Working Fire - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 03:05:56 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37252 Message: 37252

San Jose Fire Department with a full 1st alarm response to 1184 North 4th at Commercial for unknown fire with SJPD attempting to evacuate the building. USAR 5 advising smoke showing.

ENGINE 5
ENGINE 1
TRUCK COMPANY 1
USAR COMPANY 5
BATTALION 29
BATTALION 1
ENGINE 7
MED 30

Working on COMMAND 3 - 154.115

---

craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation, travel and urban themes -

37253. Re: [bayscan] Working Fire - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 03:11:48 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37252 Message: 37253

4TH STREET IC advising extinguished fire.

Final report.

On Feb 17, 2005, at 7:05 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> San Jose Fire Department with a full 1st alarm response to 1184 North
> 4th at Commercial for unknown fire with SJPD attempting to evacuate the
> building. USAR 5 advising smoke showing.
>
> ENGINE 5
> ENGINE 1
> TRUCK COMPANY 1
> USAR COMPANY 5
> BATTALION 29
> BATTALION 1
> ENGINE 7
> MED 30
>
> Working on COMMAND 3 - 154.115

37254. San Francisco PD today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 03:47:55 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37254 Message: 37254

Did anyone catch the activity on TG A-8 earlier today? I was listening and there was some incident on the great Highway involving PD and they had fire on stand-by. Great highway was shutdown in both directions for a time. Not sure what was up but sounded as if it may have been some sort of suspicious device?? Also ealier on that same TG I hear PD doing a "sweep" of the sunnydale projects. one officer found a box of .223 ammo hidden in an electrical panel and another officer also found some ammo. anyone catch this? was this a routine type deal or something special?Was interesting listening in any event.

37255. San francisco PD today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 03:42:26 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37255 Message: 37255

Was listening to S.F.P.D. today and was hearing some incident on TG A-8. Out on the Great highway. Not sure what was up but they had it shut down in both directions for a few minutes. Also had Fire on stand-by. Sounded like possibly a suspicious device.. Did anyone catch any of this? Also heard them on The same TG earlier in the day talking about "sweeping" the sunnydale project. One officer found some .223 ammo in a powerbox panel. and another officer also found some ammo somewhere else. Did anyone else catch that as well and if so what might that have been? routine? or some special "sweep"??? Thanks.. and by the way its really coming down good out there. Just got in and was pouring all the way from San leandro to Dublin/pleasanton area..

37256. RE: San francisco PD today.. oops

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 03:50:26 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37256 Message: 37256

Sorry for the double posting people. did not look like first one
went thru. My apologies :)

37257. Re: [bayscan] Working Fire - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 03:53:42 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37252 Message: 37257

What is San Jose Fire's Dispatch freq? I haven't heard them on 154.010 in along time. Did they move or drop power down?

South San Francisco Fire was on 154.010 - Control 25 but they have moved to 154.100 - Control 21 and dispatched by San Mateo County.

--
Paul - KF6YYI

37258. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 04:13:03 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37207 Message: 37258

KD20665 WPTB710 WPYW295 show up near Gabbs, Nevada. So you found a few wrong entries. That doesn't make the whole database invalid. I assume you will give us the real locations. In any event, Target Stores and hot dog shops aren't really that interesting.

I haven't seen police call in years, but it wasn't 1% as good as a Kelty Guide the last time I did see a police call.

Mike McKenna wrote:
> (1) the FCC web site is full of errors, (2) down or jammed with "users" who do not know how to use the site, (3) because the person requesting assistance is a "Newbie" -- the new guy is not going to be able to understand what or how to look or search -- using just the FCC web page (4) it just jams up the site for use by others that do know how to use the site. The FCC no longer uses "Catagories" such as Police, Fire, Special Emergency. Let the "Newbie" get the basics down first -- by using a "Policecall" or other directory -- first. Anyone can try to sell a book. Big deal. So what. If it helps someone get into this hobby -- and that person understands more --- he or she will be less likely to submit junk to this site. You just don't push the person off of the ship in the middle of the Pacific Ocean and yell "It's only 100 miles to shore". The FCC site has no errors ??? Check stations: KD20665, WPTB710 and WPYW295 and give me the nearest town or STATE.

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37259. Re: [bayscan] San francisco PD today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 04:01:36 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37255 Message: 37259

GGNRA Police found a flare from the US Army and needed to have EOD remove it
----- Original Message -----
From: John Gotti
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2005 19:42
Subject: [bayscan] San francisco PD today

Was listening to S.F.P.D. today and was hearing some incident on TG
A-8. Out on the Great highway. Not sure what was up but they had it
shut down in both directions for a few minutes. Also had Fire on
stand-by. Sounded like possibly a suspicious device.. Did anyone
catch any of this? Also heard them on The same TG earlier in the day
talking about "sweeping" the sunnydale project. One officer found
some .223 ammo in a powerbox panel. and another officer also found
some ammo somewhere else. Did anyone else catch that as well and if
so what might that have been? routine? or some special "sweep"???
Thanks.. and by the way its really coming down good out there. Just
got in and was pouring all the way from San leandro to
Dublin/pleasanton area..

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37260. Re: [bayscan] Working Fire - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 04:30:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37252 Message: 37260

Paul , I am surprized at you..........they switched to 155.025 well over a year ago, maybe 2.

ED
here is the lineup now for SJFD
155.025 dispatch
154.115 Command 3
153.98 Command 4 (repeated) used as backup for Command 3 and for airport and highrise incidents

155.88 Tac 5
154.355 Tac 6
154.01 Tac 7
154.310 Tac 8
153.83 Tac 9- yellow 153.845 Tac
10 - Blue
154.43 Command 2 (data)

tones for all above is 173.8

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37261. Re: [bayscan] Working Fire - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 04:43:40 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37252 Message: 37261

Geez, it's gotta be well over a year if not two. ;^)

On Feb 17, 2005, at 8:30 PM, caladded@... wrote:

> Paul , I am surprized at you..........they switched to 155.025 well
> over a
> year ago, maybe 2.

37262. Re: [bayscan] Dispatch freqs for - San Jose Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 05:11:57 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37262 Message: 37262

Thanks.

Well, I guess you can see how much I listen to SJFD....LOL. I got tired of all the "pre-alerts". Just Dispatch the call and get on with it.

--
Paul - KF6YYI

37263. Re: [bayscan] Dispatch freqs for - San Jose Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 05:48:42 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37262 Message: 37263

Well, time to come on back and give San Jose's Bravest a listen!!!

On Feb 17, 2005, at 9:11 PM, Mobile11@... wrote:

> Well, I guess you can see how much I listen to SJFD....LOL. I got
> tired of all the "pre-alerts". Just Dispatch the call and get on with
> it.

37264. BC245 charger needed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 07:25:07 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37264 Message: 37264

Very strange, I've had a BC245 for years. Suddenly for no reason I can detect, it just up and died. Anyone know where to get them other then Uniden? Does Rat Shack have an equivelent, voltage, polarity, plug? Guess I could get one at HRO or SU. Thanks for any suggestions, Jim

37265. Re: [bayscan] BC245 charger needed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 07:34:36 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37264 Message: 37265

At 11:25 PM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
>Very strange, I've had a BC245 for years. Suddenly for no reason I can
>detect, it just up and died. Anyone know where to get them other then
>Uniden? Does Rat Shack have an equivelent, voltage, polarity, plug? Guess
>I could get one at HRO or SU. Thanks for any suggestions, Jim

Jim:

I have one here in my box of adapters. I'll dig through it in the morning.
If I can dig it up, it's yours.

Evan

37266. Re: [bayscan] BC245 charger needed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 07:35:50 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37264 Message: 37266

If possible, see if you can get someone to check the wall wart with a volt meter. If the radio is getting hotter than usual, it may be your battery pack has a shorted cell and the wall wart is fine. Fry's sells universal wall warts.

I don't let my scanners be powered by the wall wart continuously. I have a few sets of rechargeable and alkalines when needed. However, the 245 has that battery pack, so you are kind of forced to fry the battery.

jim gammon wrote:
> Very strange, I've had a BC245 for years. Suddenly for no reason I can
> detect, it just up and died. Anyone know where to get them other then
> Uniden? Does Rat Shack have an equivelent, voltage, polarity, plug? Guess
> I could get one at HRO or SU. Thanks for any suggestions, Jim
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37267. Re: [bayscan] BC245 charger needed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 07:50:29 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37264 Message: 37267

At 11:35 PM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
>I don't let my scanners be powered by the wall wart continuously. I have
>a few sets of rechargeable and alkalines when needed. However, the 245
>has that battery pack, so you are kind of forced to fry the battery.

If you use the 245 (or any Handheld scanner) as a 'base' and have it on all the time, you could always buy the 'cup' adapter to charge the battery, and keep the scanner simply plugged in with no battery....

IIRC, on the 245, there was a 'cup' that would charge the battery and then plug into the radio to charge the radio too, but you could obviously use it to just charge a battery AND keep the radio 'powered'. Don't know if that would harm the radio or not...

Evan

37268. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 08:00:25 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37207 Message: 37268

The best place for a "newbie" is in book form. Any book. It doesn't matter if its Policecall or any other book. There are Radio Shacks in every town. They sell the Policecall. I would love to buy a New Government Radio System book by Bob Keity. However I live in range County. Who sells the book ??? The idea of the post was to help someone get some needed information. The local Radio Shack and buying a Policecall book seem to be the best, fastest and cheapest route. It has maps, codes and information about scanning that the FCC site does NOT have. PS: I would love to buy a new Keity book. If anyone sells them -- please email me.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37269. Re: [bayscan] BC245 charger needed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 08:03:04 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37264 Message: 37269

I have one of those "cup" chargers.[Well, somewhere. I really don't use it. It came with the scanner.] That does let you charge the battery outside the charger.

Wall warts have poor load regulation. That is, the output voltage is very dependent on the load. [This is one of the reasons that using a higher current wall wart than what came with the "load" is a bad idea since the voltage will be higher than the designer expected.] If you buy a regulated supply, then you could run the radio without a battery. Note that the battery pack itself is a voltage regulator. [And when the pack fails, it is a voltage deregulator. ;-)]

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 11:35 PM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
>
>>I don't let my scanners be powered by the wall wart continuously. I have
>>a few sets of rechargeable and alkalines when needed. However, the 245
>>has that battery pack, so you are kind of forced to fry the battery.
>
>
>
> If you use the 245 (or any Handheld scanner) as a 'base' and have it on all
> the time, you could always buy the 'cup' adapter to charge the battery, and
> keep the scanner simply plugged in with no battery....
>
> IIRC, on the 245, there was a 'cup' that would charge the battery and then
> plug into the radio to charge the radio too, but you could obviously use it
> to just charge a battery AND keep the radio 'powered'. Don't know if that
> would harm the radio or not...
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37270. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 08:40:37 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37207 Message: 37270

Was that Orange County? I don't believe there is a Kelty guide for
socal. I just buy them from Bob directly. He sells at whatever they call
the Foothill Flea Market nowadays. You can also buy them directly from
Bob at Livermore.

And where were those 3 callsigns you put in the other post located?

Mike McKenna wrote:
> The best place for a "newbie" is in book form. Any book. It doesn't matter if its Policecall or any other book. There are Radio Shacks in every town. They sell the Policecall. I would love to buy a New Government Radio System book by Bob Keity. However I live in range County. Who sells the book ??? The idea of the post was to help someone get some needed information. The local Radio Shack and buying a Policecall book seem to be the best, fastest and cheapest route. It has maps, codes and information about scanning that the FCC site does NOT have. PS: I would love to buy a new Keity book. If anyone sells them -- please email me.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

37271. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 08:57:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37207 Message: 37271

Scanners unlimited will ship them to you. Give Glenn a call
650-573-1624

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37272. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 09:01:25 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37207 Message: 37272

Evan, Gary and the group, Thanks for your posts. I'm in Orange County. I live near a HRO but they do NOT sell or are they willing to order any out of the area books. They said I should just call the Bay Area HRO store and have them ship it to me direct. But since there are several different Kelty Books -- I have no idea of the costs nor which ones I want to order. Does Bob have an email or phone ??? As for the 3 stations that show up as being in Nevada -- The Target Store and private business are both in Orange County and --- Platt Security is in Signal Hill / Long Beach area, even if they list the tower as being on a + 4,000' mountain. Evan, are you related to any on the owners??? Former Long Beach PD officers that started their own company. Good people. Later, Mike

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37273. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 09:02:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37207 Message: 37273

Oh.....if your in Orange county, the book for you is Southern California Edition from scanner stuff. It is EASILY the best scanner book I have ever seen . Has more freqs, codes, maps than police call , Kelty and anything else combined. I had the Southwest version for my trips to Arizona . I wish they would do one for the bay area, but they told me they have NO plans to do one ;-(It would put Kelty to shame and consider this.....I LIKE Kelty had have several of his.

www.scannerstuff.com

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37274. 2nd alarm Russian hill in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 09:11:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37274 Message: 37274

1070 Green, smoke on 17th floor , E41 calling for 2nd alarm Elevators are NOT running

E41-2-28-16-3-13-38-1
T2-16-3
Division 2 Battalions 1-3-4 Rescue 1 RC1 Mobile Air 1
Safety 1 Medics 41 - 28 Deputy Chief

A5/ 14928
A11/ 15120
0110hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37275. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 09:17:27 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37207 Message: 37275

The guide looks pretty good. I snagged the LA air traffic page.

I noticed their guide has precinct maps. If someone has a good list of
precinct maps on the net, I'd burn Bob a CD. Maybe he will put them in
the next generation of the guides.

caladded@... wrote:
> Oh.................if your in Orange county, the book for you is Southern
> California Edition from scanner stuff. It is EASILY the best scanner book I have
> ever seen . Has more freqs, codes, maps than police call , Kelty and
> anything else combined. I had the Southwest version for my trips to Arizona . I wish
> they would do one for the bay area, but they told me they have NO plans to do
> one ;-(It would put Kelty to shame and consider this.........I
> LIKE Kelty had have several of his.
>
> www.scannerstuff.com
>
> ED

37276. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm Russian hill in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 09:19:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37276 Message: 37276

3rd alarm now , E41 (now called Division 17) confirms working fire on 17th floor building is 19 story type 1

3rd alarm/ E35 - 5-8-36 Trucks 13- 1 RC3 Battalion 2
0118 hours

14928
15120

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37277. Re: 3rd alarm Russian hill in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 09:40:00 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37276 Message: 37277

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> fire confined to apartment 1701 , now under
control ..... found woman in 1801 who was soundly
sleeping when fire fighters where clearing 18th floor LOL , had NO
idea the apt below her was on fire.

cutting lose multiple companies now.
>
> 14928
> 15120
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37278. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 14:54:50 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 37207 Message: 37278

>Oh.................if your in Orange county, the book for you is Southern
>California Edition from scanner stuff. It is EASILY the best scanner book I
>have
>ever seen . Has more freqs, codes, maps than police call , Kelty and

I heard all police and fire in Orange County is encrpyted, so what is there
to listen to?

Mike

37279. Re: [bayscan] Re Orange county

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 15:02:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37279 Message: 37279

While I don't monitor them much :-) I did a few years ago when visiting. Fire is not all encrypted, Law Enforcement is except a few FREQs, but your, for the most part right. Got to be the pits to be a scanner-nut in Orange County.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37280. Re: [bayscan] Re Orange county

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 15:13:51 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37279 Message: 37280

While most of the Sheriff and Police TG's are digital -encrypted - -not all TG's are. All fire in Orange County are still analog - and all LGS - Public works are digital - but not encrypted. There are about 30 common Police - Sheriif - Fire - Public works TG's , both analog and digital -- that are not encrypted - used for inter-ops. ----

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37281. kelty source

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 17:30:32 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37207 Message: 37281

scanners unlimited
11991 laurel street san carlos, ca 94070
9650) 573-1624

glenn cohen is owner

On Friday, Feb 18, 2005, at 00:00 US/Pacific, Mike McKenna wrote:

>
> The best place for a "newbie" is in book form. Any book. It doesn't
> matter if its Policecall or any other book. There are Radio Shacks
> in every town. They sell the Policecall. I would love to buy a New
> Government Radio System book by Bob Keity. However I live in range
> County. Who sells the book ??? The idea of the post was to help
> someone get some needed information. The local Radio Shack and
> buying a Policecall book seem to be the best, fastest and cheapest
> route. It has maps, codes and information about scanning that the
> FCC site does NOT have. PS: I would love to buy a new Keity book.
> If anyone sells them -- please email me.
>
>

nina

37282. Re: [bayscan] kelty source / address erratum

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 17:33:38 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37207 Message: 37282

it is 1199 A laurel street. sorry. not awake.

On Friday, Feb 18, 2005, at 09:30 US/Pacific, nina zhito wrote:

>
> scanners unlimited
> 11991 laurel street san carlos, ca 94070
> 9650) 573-1624
>
> glenn cohen is owner
>
> On Friday, Feb 18, 2005, at 00:00 US/Pacific, Mike McKenna wrote:
>
>>
>> The best place for a "newbie" is in book form. Any book. It doesn't
>> matter if its Policecall or any other book. There are Radio Shacks
>> in every town. They sell the Policecall. I would love to buy a New
>> Government Radio System book by Bob Keity. However I live in range
>> County. Who sells the book ??? The idea of the post was to help
>> someone get some needed information. The local Radio Shack and
>> buying a Policecall book seem to be the best, fastest and cheapest
>> route. It has maps, codes and information about scanning that the
>> FCC site does NOT have. PS: I would love to buy a new Keity book.
>> If anyone sells them -- please email me.
>>
>>
>
> nina
>
========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37283. Re: [bayscan] kelty source / address erratum

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 18:17:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37207 Message: 37283

the phone number is 650-573-1624 , 1-650-573-1624 from outside the
area code for SU

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37284. Re: [bayscan] kelty source / address erratum

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 18:59:45 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37207 Message: 37284

Thanks all.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37285. OT: PL 106-521 and City Ordinances on CB'ers

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-18 23:05:21 UTC
From: Michael Wright
Topic: 37285 Message: 37285

hi all,

This is from another yahoogroups pertaining to mobile radios (Part 90). My first thoughts are FCC getting out of enforcement business and another is why do Part 90 LMR types concerned as they mostly are 150 and up. Amateur radio operators have been fuming for years the actions by such outlaws. I wonder who sponsored this bill.

Here's the article:

PL 106-521 and City Ordinances on CB'ers

My city is proposing an ordinance under Public Law 106-521 (47 USC 302a), in which Congress gave State and local authorities the power to take action against CB'ers using high-powered equipment and/or operating out of band (between 24 and 35 mHz).

Has anyone ever dealt with this in their localities? Anyone have a sample ordinance, or could send me a copy of a local ordinance? Any "war stories" would also be appreciated, and, if desired, will be held in confidence.

I'm going to speak to the City Council about this next Tuesday. The people who wrote our proposed ordinance are going to need a lot of help to make it usable and compliant with the Federal law.

37286. Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 00:13:40 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37243 Message: 37286

Forgive me if this is considered off-topic by some -

First, a big thanks to Ed for passing along the information on Captain McCormack's memorial service to be held tomorrow, Saturday, at 1:30 p.m. at the HP Pavillion in San José.

For those interested in paying their respects to the family of Captain McCormack there is a comprehensive page of information available at http://www.sccfd.org/mccormack.html. In addition, for those interested in witnessing the procession, you will find a map that shows the route, which is mostly in Santa Clara.

If you should have any questions, please feel free to contact me off list and I will do my best to provide further information, or refer you to someone that can help you.

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37287. Re: [bayscan] BC245 charger needed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 00:17:21 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37264 Message: 37287

Yah you are right but the charger is truly dead. I unplug the bat pack internally when continuously plugged in as I use that radio like it was a base rig. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2005 11:35 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] BC245 charger needed

>
> If possible, see if you can get someone to check the wall wart with a
> volt meter. If the radio is getting hotter than usual, it may be your
> battery pack has a shorted cell and the wall wart is fine. Fry's sells
> universal wall warts.
>
> I don't let my scanners be powered by the wall wart continuously. I have
> a few sets of rechargeable and alkalines when needed. However, the 245
> has that battery pack, so you are kind of forced to fry the battery.
>
>
> jim gammon wrote:
> > Very strange, I've had a BC245 for years. Suddenly for no reason I can
> > detect, it just up and died. Anyone know where to get them other then
> > Uniden? Does Rat Shack have an equivelent, voltage, polarity, plug?
Guess
> > I could get one at HRO or SU. Thanks for any suggestions, Jim
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37288. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 00:58:35 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37243 Message: 37288

At 04:13 PM 2/18/2005, you wrote:

>Forgive me if this is considered off-topic by some -

Craig, hope you will be on the route to get some pictures for those of us
who can't be there.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37289. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:03:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37243 Message: 37289

At 04:58 PM 2/18/2005, you wrote:
>Craig, hope you will be on the route to get some pictures for those of us
>who can't be there.

I should be there tomorrow too. Busy weekend with other things too, so not
sure when I'll get around to putting up the photos.

Evan

37290. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:36:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37243 Message: 37290

Somewhere along the procession figure they will set up two aerial ladders draping an American Flag between them.....for those going , you might think about scouting the route , or simply asking where they will set it up . Makes a good photo shot.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37291. SJFD fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:38:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 32516 Message: 37291

on Brening (sic) in 13s area , working fire , flames showing
2413 brening ?

on Comm 3/ 154.113

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37292. Re: [bayscan] SJFD fire update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:45:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37292 Message: 37292

2413 Brenning , 2 story house , bedroom fire near Tully and Senter

E26 - 16 - 3 Truck co 3 USAR16 Battalions 13- 1 Med 30

1745 hours

Command 3/ 154.115

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37293. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:46:59 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37243 Message: 37293

Craig,

Where did you see the route of the funeral procession within the website?

Brian in Campbell

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:03:05 -0800, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
>
> At 04:58 PM 2/18/2005, you wrote:
> >Craig, hope you will be on the route to get some pictures for those of us
> >who can't be there.
>
> I should be there tomorrow too. Busy weekend with other things too, so not
> sure when I'll get around to putting up the photos.
>
> Evan
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

37294. Re: [bayscan] BC245 charger needed

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:47:21 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37264 Message: 37294

Hi Evan, I tried to email you off list, did you get the message?? Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 17, 2005 11:34 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] BC245 charger needed

>
> At 11:25 PM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
> >Very strange, I've had a BC245 for years. Suddenly for no reason I can
> >detect, it just up and died. Anyone know where to get them other then
> >Uniden? Does Rat Shack have an equivelent, voltage, polarity, plug?
Guess
> >I could get one at HRO or SU. Thanks for any suggestions, Jim
>
> Jim:
>
> I have one here in my box of adapters. I'll dig through it in the morning.
> If I can dig it up, it's yours.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37295. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:49:36 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37243 Message: 37295

Ed, et al.

I spoke to one of the honor guards today ------ they are going to have
two trucks inside the "Tank" with fully extended laders and the
American flag hung from the top between the two. He said it's one of
the large flags like we see at some car dealers.

Brian in Campbell

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:46:59 -0800, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> Craig,
>
> Where did you see the route of the funeral procession within the website?
>
> Brian in Campbell
>
>
> On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:03:05 -0800, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
> >
> > At 04:58 PM 2/18/2005, you wrote:
> > >Craig, hope you will be on the route to get some pictures for those of us
> > >who can't be there.
> >
> > I should be there tomorrow too. Busy weekend with other things too, so not
> > sure when I'll get around to putting up the photos.
> >
> > Evan
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

37296. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:52:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37243 Message: 37296

Oh, ok, thanks Brian.....well , considering the weather, that is probably a good idea.

Craig and anyone going, don't forget , you CAN go into the tank for the services.. should you want to.

anybody else hear the thunder just now ??
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37297. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 01:56:42 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37243 Message: 37297

At 05:52 PM 2/18/2005, you wrote:

>anybody else hear the thunder just now ??

Ed - This is Yahoo Groups. When was just now? ;)

I thought I heard some around 1630 ish...

37298. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 02:06:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37243 Message: 37298

oh yeah,,,,,,,,,, LOL. forgot about the " delay" about 1730 I heard some a boom.

1806 now

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37299. AMR busy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 02:12:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37299 Message: 37299

Alco AMR trying to get a rig to " clear" for a code 3 run in Hayward.....nice weather , people won't slow down and the CHP was super busy this morning, sounds like they are getting busy again.

How much ya wanna bet the idiots are doing 75 mph going over 17 towards Santa Cruz right now ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37300. Re: [bayscan] AMR busy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 02:14:27 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37299 Message: 37300

AMR in alco seems to be having a staffing problem lately. lots of units
not available and so forth.. Alameda had to wait 60 min for a 5150
transport the other day

caladded@... wrote:

> Alco AMR trying to get a rig to " clear" for a code 3 run in
>Hayward.....nice weather , people won't slow down and the CHP was super
>busy this morning, sounds like they are getting busy again.
>
>
> How much ya wanna bet the idiots are doing 75 mph going over 17 towards
>Santa Cruz right now ?
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37301. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 02:57:40 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37243 Message: 37301

Hi all.

I will be at the "Tank" at 10:30 for the press briefing and walk through. I will do my best to do this solemn event justice via camera and lens. If you see someone that's around 6' 7" tall, camera draped around his neck and a black camera bag on his back, please stop me and say hello. It would be a pleasure to meet you.

-C-

On Feb 18, 2005, at 5:03 PM, Evan Platt wrote:

>
> At 04:58 PM 2/18/2005, you wrote:
>> Craig, hope you will be on the route to get some pictures for those
>> of us
>> who can't be there.
>
> I should be there tomorrow too. Busy weekend with other things too, so
> not
> sure when I'll get around to putting up the photos.
>
> Evan

37302. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 03:02:02 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37243 Message: 37302

Here is the link - scroll down and you'll see directions to the staging area.

http://www.sccfd.org/mccormack_rsvp.html

-C-

On Feb 18, 2005, at 5:46 PM, Brian C. wrote:

>
> Craig,
>
> Where did you see the route of the funeral procession within the
> website?
>
> Brian in Campbell

37303. Something was up in Sonoma County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 03:34:08 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37303 Message: 37303

I was heading to Santa Rosa around 1:30 N/B 101. At the Kastania Road between Petaluma and Novato. There was the Sonoma Sheriff alongside the road. There were a few marked cars and undercover cars and the CSI van. There is a Farm House at the intersection. The front was taped off in yellow tape and some CSI photographers walking around taking PICS. Had my scanner on but no traffic about it on it. They were probably on Cells or Nextel. It had the traffic slowed down to a snail scrawl. All the Lookie-Looks including myself

Anyone know what happened?

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 100.0
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Where the traffic watch never stops!"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37304. Re: [bayscan] Something was up in Sonoma County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 04:03:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37303 Message: 37304

I recall seeing something about a fatal MVA in that area Paul.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37305. Re: Something was up in Sonoma County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 04:59:24 UTC
From: Dave H.
Topic: 37303 Message: 37305

I saw something in today's IJ about a pedestrian getting
killed there yesterday.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mobile11@a... wrote:
>
>
> I was heading to Santa Rosa around 1:30 N/B 101. At the Kastania
Road
> between Petaluma and Novato. There was the Sonoma Sheriff
alongside the road. There
> were a few marked cars and undercover cars and the CSI van. There
is a Farm
> House at the intersection. The front was taped off in yellow tape
and some
> CSI photographers walking around taking PICS. Had my scanner on
but no traffic
> about it on it. They were probably on Cells or Nextel. It had the
traffic
> slowed down to a snail scrawl. All the Lookie-Looks including
myself
>
> Anyone know what happened?
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
> 442.075 + 100.0
> EchoLink Node # 187959
>
>
> "Where the traffic watch never stops!"
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37306. Fwd: petaluma 101 death / Sonoma Sheriff News Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 06:18:05 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37306 Message: 37306

Begin forwarded message:

> From: info@...
> Date: Fri Feb 18, 2005 17:37:14 US/Pacific
> To: sheriff-webmaster@...
> Subject: Sonoma Sheriff News Update
> Reply-To: info@...
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Criminal investigation into events leading up to Highway 101
> pedestrian death
> ---------------------------------
> Posted Friday, February 18, 2005 at 5:37, PM
> On February 17, 2005 at approximately 6:12 PM, the California Highway
> Patrol responded to a report of a motor vehicle striking a pedestrian
> on northbound Highway 101 at Kastania Road, in Petaluma. CHP officers
> responded and learned that the pedestrian was killed, apparently as a
> result of the collision.
>
> The CHP learned that the death could have occurred as a result of the
> victim being chased on foot by someone nearby the scene. Because this
> sounded suspicious, the CHP contacted the Sonoma County Sheriff’s
> Department to seek assistance in the investigation. Sheriff’s
> Deputies arrived and initiated a criminal investigation into the
> events that occurred prior to the collision. The California Highway
> Patrol conducted the motor vehicle-pedestrian collision investigation.
>
> The deceased has been identified as 44-year-old Douglas Pack of
> Petaluma. Mr. Pack was most recently residing at 455 Redwood lane,
> Petaluma, which is adjacent to the location of the collision. The
> cause of death has not yet been determined, and an autopsy is
> scheduled for Saturday, February 19, 2005.
>
> Hoping to learn more information about what lead up to his death,
> Sheriff’s detectives served a search warrant at Pack’s residence
> today. We have not yet identified the individual who was allegedly
> chasing pack prior to the collision, nor have we clarified what was
> occurring during the moments leading up to Pack’s death.
>
> Anyone who witnessed the collision or the events leading up to the
> collision is asked to call the Sonoma County Sheriff’s Department at
> (707) 565-2650.
>
> Inquiries concerning the status of the collision investigation should

> be referred to the California Highway patrol. For further questions,
> contact Lt. Dave Edmonds at (707) 565-2185.
>
> Prepared by Lt. Dave Edmonds, Investigations Bureau Manager
> Reference CR# 050217-042
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37307. Re: BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 08:21:15 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37243 Message: 37307

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 08:47 AM 2/17/2005, you wrote:
> >that is one of four they use
> >
> >
> >Baymacs ....
> >
> >482.3375
> >155.475
> >460.025
> >867.5125
>
> Ok, is 154.92 separate then?
>
> ESP

Isn't 460.025 the UHF CLEMARS channel as well? Interesting they would include it in BAYMACS but not 154.92.

-Inigo

37308. Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 08:29:41 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 37207 Message: 37308

Try the .pdf files in this index I found when searching the net. These are old Industry Kelty guides for No and So Cal. I did identify some of the So Cal freqs in Orange county with it. Even though some of them might be dated, it might be a good place to start. (There are some Target stores listed.)

<http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/>

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike McKenna <way2twohot@s...> wrote:
> Evan, Gary and the group, Thanks for your posts. I'm in Orange County. I live near a HRO but they do NOT sell or are they willing to order any out of the area books. They said I should just call the Bay Area HRO store and have them ship it to me direct. But since there are several different Kelty Books -- I have no idea of the costs nor which ones I want to order. Does Bob have an email or phone ??? As for the 3 stations that show up as being in Nevada -- The Target Store and private business are both in Orange County and --- Platt Security is in Signal Hill / Long Beach area, even if they list the tower as being on a + 4,000' mountain. Evan, are you related to any on the owners??? Former Long Beach PD officers that started their own company. Good people. Later, Mike
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37309. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 08:40:01 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37207 Message: 37309

Bob Kelty gave up on the Industrial Book. It wasn't a big seller.
However, Bob Eisner was into industrial monitoring, so Bob took it over
and Ed T. (sorry, I don't want to mangle your name) handled the on-line
distribution. Last I heard, Bob E. is in Pasadena, so the socal
industrial frequencies should be pretty much up to date.

jwpottberg wrote:
>
> Try the .pdf files in this index I found when searching the net.
> These are old Industry Kelty guides for No and So Cal. I did
> identify some of the So Cal freqs in Orange county with it. Even
> though some of them might be dated, it might be a good place to
> start. (There are some Target stores listed.)
>
> <http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/>
>
> Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike McKenna <way2twohot@s...> wrote:
>
>>Evan, Gary and the group, Thanks for your posts. I'm in Orange
>
> County. I live near a HRO but they do NOT sell or are they willing
> to order any out of the area books. They said I should just call
> the Bay Area HRO store and have them ship it to me direct. But
> since there are several different Kelty Books -- I have no idea of
> the costs nor which ones I want to order. Does Bob have an email
> or phone ??? As for the 3 stations that show up as being in
> Nevada -- The Target Store and private business are both in Orange
> County and --- Platt Security is in Signal Hill / Long Beach area,
> even if they list the tower as being on a + 4,000' mountain. Evan,
> are you related to any on the owners??? Former Long Beach PD
> officers that started their own company. Good people. Later, Mike
>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37310. Santa Clara County Fire coverage

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 15:42:17 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37310 Message: 37310

Santa Clara County Fire coverage is being provided via mutual
aid from cities throughout the county today so SCCFD personnel can
attend the services for Capt. McCormack.

Milpitas has just sent 2 reserve Engines and a BC. Others
will follow shortly I am sure.

monitor 33.96 and White 1 / 154.28 for more information

ED

37311. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Fire coverage

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 15:47:47 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37310 Message: 37311

Ed,

Have you heard any traffic on any of the BAYMACS freqs yet?

Brian

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 15:42:17 -0000, Ed <caladded@...> wrote:
>
>
> Santa Clara County Fire coverage is being provided via mutual
> aid from cities throughout the county today so SCCFD personnel can
> attend the services for Capt. McCormack.
>
> Milpitas has just sent 2 reserve Engines and a BC. Others
> will follow shortly I am sure.
>
> monitor 33.96 and White 1 / 154.28 for more information
>
> ED
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

37312. Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 16:52:32 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37312 Message: 37312

Just a quick update - someone may have already posted, but coordination being handled on 153.845 for the service.

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation, travel and urban themes -

37313. FA: UNIDEN BC780XLT - NO RESERVE!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 17:46:23 UTC
From: ac6ja
Topic: 37313 Message: 37313

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?
ViewItem&item=5753233861&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

37314. What are the best (your 5 favorite) frequencies in the Oakland area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 17:56:29 UTC
From: paulcbg
Topic: 37314 Message: 37314

I live in the Oakland area and while I'm waiting for the BC396 (will Oakland or San Francisco PD/FD go digital?) I'm using my Yaesu FT-897D and VX-7R to listen in on active simplex frequencies such as the OAK and SFO airports, Emeryville and Berkeley PD and others. I'd like to expand my short list... what are some of the more interesting (some of your favorite?) VHF and UHF frequencies in the Oakland and San Francisco area?
Thanks,
Paul
KA6GEM

37315. Re: [bayscan] What are the best (your 5 favorite) frequencies in the Oakland area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 18:27:47 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37314 Message: 37315

Hi Paul, what repeaters do you monitor? Jim WA6EKS

----- Original Message -----
From: "paulcbg" <paulbg@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2005 9:56 AM
Subject: [bayscan] What are the best (your 5 favorite) frequencies in the Oakland area?

>
>
> I live in the Oakland area and while I'm waiting for the BC396 (will
> Oakland or San Francisco PD/FD go digital?) I'm using my Yaesu FT-
> 897D and VX-7R to listen in on active simplex frequencies such as
> the OAK and SFO airports, Emeryville and Berkeley PD and others. I'd
> like to expand my short list... what are some of the more
> interesting (some of your favorite?) VHF and UHF frequencies in the
> Oakland and San Francisco area?
> Thanks,
> Paul
> KA6GEM
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37316. Re: [bayscan] What are the best (your 5 favorite) frequencies in the Oakland area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 18:57:07 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37314 Message: 37316

Repeaters:
145.150-pl114.8 (w6pw) 146.790- no tone (w6tp/r) 147.240+(w6rgg) 443.1000+ pl 114.8 (w6tp/r) 440.450 + Pl 107.2 w6zx? I call it woody
simplex:
146.550, 147.555, 446.000,
Non Ham:
450.4125 (work)
SF Public safety system, NOAA alerts, EDIS alerts, State OES alerts system (must have a dish)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37317. Re: Mark McCormack Services

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 19:14:02 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 37312 Message: 37317

As well as Fire Blue, White 1 and 2 ("Memorial Communications"),
police comms heard for memorial procession routing and coord:

SCPD Police 3 (TG 80)
SJPD Citywide 2 (460.050 PL 110.9)

Sounds like they will be moving out from staging area soon...

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> Just a quick update - someone may have already posted, but
coordination
> being handled on 153.845 for the service.
>
>
> --
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@c...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> --

37318. MERA Fire TGs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 21:50:47 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37318 Message: 37318

Hi guys,

I just got a Pro-96 last night and got MERA all set up with win96. In the last day I've heard a lot of fire activity and I wanted to compare notes on the TGs with all the other MERA listeners on this group. Here's what I can make of their active channel scheme from listening within the last day:

24080 - Marin County FD Patch (to Control 4 - 37.1 MHz)
21616 - "Southern Area Fire"/Marin Misc. District FD Patch (to Control 6 - 46.5 MHz)

San Rafael FD:

26416 - E1 Dispatch Patch (to Control 16 - 46.2 MHz, transmission quality is better on this freq then the TG)
????? - E2 ???
26448 - E3 Control 3
26480 - E4 Tactical 4

Novato FPD:

26816 - D1 Dispatch
28816 - D2 Control 2 ("6181, IC on Control D2")
????? - D3 ??? (Kelty calls TG 28848 D2, is it actually D3? What is it used for? I haven't actually heard it active yet.)
28800 - D4 Tactical 4
????? - D5 Tactical 5 (Haven't heard this one active yet)
28944 - D6 Tactical 6 (Heard Battalion 6111 set up an IC here this morning)

Inactive stuff that might not end up in the channel scheme:

TG 8240 & 8272 are listed (in the bayscan/kelty MERA list) as Marin County Fire Dispatch Common 1 & 2, and the same list said TG 21616 was County Fire Dispatch. Since County is actually on 24080 and its the SAF district that's on 21616, I'm curious if 8240 and 8272 were thrown out.

Also, in the bayscan list, TG 21648 is paired with SAF Dispatch Patch 21616. I haven't heard this active yet, but if they are using it I'd guess they're patching it either to Control 13 or one of the 3 countywide VHF TACs? There's also the possibility they've thrown TG 21648 out of the lineup all together for now.

Some of this is speculation, but I just wanted to get the ball rolling again on MERA fire discussion as it develops, because it

seems like new things are popping up all the time. I'm very open to corrections and additional information at this point. :)

Thanks guys,

-Inigo

37319. Frequencies for Sonoma County i have a Pro 95 Scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-19 23:16:27 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 37319 Message: 37319

just wanted to know if anyone knew more about the Pro 95 went to SF couldnt hear anything what am i doing wrong?? also i live in Santa Rosa wanted to know if anyone know good home roof and vehicle Antenna for this radio i work at a hospital in santa rosa as security in there ER trying to find the radio 10 codes for SRPD & SO both depts are called alot by security at the hospital please Email me back thanks so much

Anthony

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37320. Re: MERA Fire TGs - UPDATE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 00:18:27 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37318 Message: 37320

Just two things to correct from my last post,

The Novato FPD Dispatch TG 26816 from the bayscan list is inactive as far as I can tell. Novato FPD sends their dispatch tone on 46.36 Control 35, then reads off the initial dispatch on TG 62800 "Fire APB". Units then call that they're responding on "Control D2" TG 28816. Tactical D4 and D6 are correct from my last post, and I heard a brief transmission by a 61xx novato fire unit on TG 28876 just now, and that might be "Tactical D5," because the TG ID is numerically between those for D4 and D6. On the other hand, with MERA that probably means nothings. ;)

Unrelated and OT from MERA, but I also found American Medical Response's EMS dispatch frequency for their BLS Ambulances in San Rafael. It's 155.16 MHz with a CTCSS PL Tone of 127.3. I heard checked it out long ago but didn't have a CTCSS capable scanner so I heard lots of stuff in San Mateo, Solano and Sacramento counties and assumed it was wrong. AMR's Marin ambulances are 81 through 88 (I saw a bunch parked in front of a building in the warehouse district of south san rafael, probably their office). Marin also has a county EMS Dispatch called "Marin 17" on 155.10 PL Tone 114.8, with ambulance IDs like "813." I had never really checked these out until today with the PL capability of my new scanner and was unaware of this info, so hopefully others on the group might find it useful.

-Inigo

37321. Re: [bayscan] What are the best (your 5 favorite) frequencies in the Oakland ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 00:35:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37321 Message: 37321

SFPD already has some ENCRYPTED (not digital) talkgroups . I show the following
16 48 80 112 144 176 208 240 272 304

these are supervisory, narcotics and vice mainly

SFFD/EMS talkgroups that are ENCRYPTED are 944 and 976 (used for secure EMS talk, no fire)

A BC396 won't get you the above nor will any other scanner , ever.

Most of SFPD and SFFD can be monitored my the present trunktracker scanners and the bc396 will , of course get them

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37322. Broadmoor PD Freq Verification...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 00:52:09 UTC
From: Mary Mikulin
Topic: 37322 Message: 37322

I am thrown by the comms I am currently hearing on the freq I am using for Broadmoor (Unincorporated Daly City)---can anyone verify the freq (s) and P/L tone?
I am using 482.5625---

Thx much

37323. Re: [bayscan] Broadmoor PD Freq Verification...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 00:57:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37322 Message: 37323

I am too far away to confirm this but Kelty 2005 shows them as....

482.5625 P/L 114.8 Primary Dispatch
488.4875 P/L 114.8 (alternate)
488.8625 P/L 114.8 (TAC 1 - this I can confirm)
488.8875 P/L 114.8(GREEN - also confirmed mutual aid)

(try the altenate channel if you get nothing on chan 1)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37324. Verification of Broadmoor...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 01:02:09 UTC
From: Mary Mikulin
Topic: 37324 Message: 37324

Thanks very much for the info

37325. OFD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 02:43:58 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37325 Message: 37325

Picking up Oakland Fire on conventional 800 tonight ?

867.0125 State TAC 2 not sure why

Ed

37326. PRO-83 Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 03:11:17 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 37326 Message: 37326

Hi Guys,
Any PRO-83 users that use non-rechargeable batteries or would be willing to try using just regular batteries in their PRO-83 to answer my puzzle question?
I have a new PRO-38 that I have used with only normal non-rechargable batteries.

Every time I use them after about 15 minutes the PRO-83 starts beeping and flashing low battery even with new batteries.
I have taken the batteries out of the radio and checked them on a battery tester and they show in the green.

Then I have new batteries out of the wrapper and put them in the PRO-83 and again the same battery low beeping and flashing after 15+ minutes. I have done this a number of times to checkout the new batteries and all with the same results.

I got fed-up and took my new PRO-83 to Radio Shack and told the MGR. the problem and he checked the manual and did the trouble shooting by the manual. He ended up giving me a new PRO-83 out of the box. Great, wow nice.

NO, I got home and checked the battery only operations for the next couple days and the new one did the same thing as other PRO-83 did.

I do not understand.
Would any of you please help me with this puzzle mystery?

Thanks for any help. Buddy

37327. Re: [bayscan] PRO-83 Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 03:54:59 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37326 Message: 37327

cheap batteries???? if you are switching from NiCad's to Alkaline batteries, you'll find that Rechargeable run at 1.2 volts and not a true 1.5 volt, Also you should charge your batteries overnight for the first time, and no more than 10 hours after
----- Original Message -----
From: aviationplusphoto
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2005 19:11
Subject: [bayscan] PRO-83 Question

Hi Guys,
Any PRO-83 users that use non-rechargeable batteries or would be willing to try using just regular batteries in their PRO-83 to answer my puzzle question?
I have a new PRO-38 that I have used with only normal non-rechargable batteries.

Every time I use them after about 15 minutes the PRO-83 starts beeping and flashing low battery even with new batteries.
I have taken the batteries out of the radio and checked them on a battery tester and they show in the green.

Then I have new batteries out of the wrapper and put them in the PRO-83 and again the same battery low beeping and flashing after 15+ minutes. I have done this a number of times to checkout the new batteries and all with the same results.

I got fed-up and took my new PRO-83 to Radio Shack and told the MGR. the problem and he checked the manual and did the trouble shooting by the manual. He ended up giving me a new PRO-83 out of the box. Great, wow nice.

NO, I got home and checked the battery only operations for the next couple days and the new one did the same thing as other PRO-83 did.

I do not understand.
Would any of you please help me with this puzzle mystery?

Thanks for any help. Buddy

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

Get unlimited calls to

U.S./Canada

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37328. Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 04:12:21 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37328 Message: 37328

Good evening all...

A quick note to let you know that I have finally uploaded images from today's memorial service and procession honoring the sacrifice of Captain Mark F. 'Mac' McCormack of the Santa Clara County Fire Department. For those interested, please direct your browsers to:

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/index.htm

and click on the "In Memory" link for a selection of photographs from earlier today.

I hope that this will in some way allow those of you that could not attend this event to at least get a sense of the scale and power that hundreds of pieces of fire apparatus and thousands of firefighters can bring to bare when enduring the loss of a member of their family. I know that I will never be quite the same after today.

Regards,

Craig

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37329. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 04:47:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37328 Message: 37329

As I figured, Craig got some great photos ..... many clearly show the "brotherhood" of fire fighters. Thanks Craig

Couple questions Craig? rough estimate of how many rigs were involved? and what jurisdiction traveled the furthest (in your estimation)

News mentioned Los Angeles which doesn't surprise me, any from further away, like San Diego? Any from out of state?

Thanks again my friend.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37330. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 04:52:16 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37328 Message: 37330

Ed,

KNTV reported there were firefighters from as far away as New York.

Brian

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 23:47:45 EST, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
> As I figured, Craig got some great photos ..... many clearly show the
> "brotherhood" of fire fighters. Thanks Craig
>
> Couple questions Craig? rough estimate of how many rigs were
> involved? and what jurisdiction traveled the furthest (in your estimation)
>
> News mentioned Los Angeles which doesn't surprise me, any from further
> away, like San Diego? Any from out of state?
>
> Thanks again my friend.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

37331. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 05:16:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37328 Message: 37331

At 08:52 PM 2/19/2005, you wrote:
>Ed,
>
>KNTV reported there were firefighters from as far away as New York.
>
>Brian

I didn't see any FDNY engines, but did see LAFD and a Rancho Adobe. Don't know where that is.

Good show of fellow firefighters on the Merc's site, and a nice blurb about Spring Valley. :)

Evan

37332. Re: [bayscan] PRO-83 Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 05:31:43 UTC
From: Tom Smith
Topic: 37326 Message: 37332

I have had a PRO-83 since the Thanksgiving sale. Battery life is acceptable, not great, but a lot better than 15 minutes. I assume the switch under the batteries is set correctly. (I'm not sure what the effect of an incorrect setting would be.) Others have complained about the battery life using the Signal Stalker feature. I do not use that feature, so can not comment.
KD6SOJ
----- Original Message -----
From: aviationplusphoto
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 19, 2005 7:11 PM
Subject: [bayscan] PRO-83 Question

Hi Guys,
Any PRO-83 users that use non-rechargeable batteries or would be willing to try using just regular batteries in their PRO-83 to answer my puzzle question?
I have a new PRO-38 that I have used with only normal non-rechargable batteries.

Every time I use them after about 15 minutes the PRO-83 starts beeping and flashing low battery even with new batteries.
I have taken the batteries out of the radio and checked them on a battery tester and they show in the green.

Then I have new batteries out of the wrapper and put them in the PRO-83 and again the same battery low beeping and flashing after 15+ minutes. I have done this a number of times to checkout the new batteries and all with the same results.

I got fed-up and took my new PRO-83 to Radio Shack and told the MGR. the problem and he checked the manual and did the trouble shooting by the manual. He ended up giving me a new PRO-83 out of the box. Great, wow nice.

NO, I got home and checked the battery only operations for the next couple days and the new one did the same thing as other PRO-83 did.

I do not understand.
Would any of you please help me with this puzzle mystery?

Thanks for any help. Buddy

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

Get unlimited calls to

U.S./Canada

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37333. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 05:41:47 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37328 Message: 37333

At 09:16 PM 2/19/2005, you wrote:

>I didn't see any FDNY engines, but did see LAFD and a Rancho Adobe. Don't
>know where that is.

There were 4 representatives from FDNY in the back row on the main floor.

I believe they flew in to pay their respects and did not bring apparatus.

The radio traffic would have been something to tape with "Memorial
Communications"
keeping tally of the apparatus as they came and went on White Fire
1. 154.280 no PL
Hopefully somebody taped it.

I believe there were near 100 engines in the processional, with more
apparatus at the
HP Pavillion.

There were two news helos hovering over the processional taping as it
arrived at HP pavillion, which would
have been interesting to listen to as they jockyed for position, and to
avoid incoming SJC air traffic.

The news media was respectful and kept in the background for most of the
ceremony.

At least Craig caught my tiny head on camera in one of the distance shots
outside. ;-)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37334. Re: Frequencies for Sonoma County i have a Pro 95 Scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 05:42:47 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37319 Message: 37334

I'm having a hard time understanding exactly what you need. Are you trying to hear the SF trunk system? If so, you only need to have the correct control channels. You won't be able to hear them from Santa Rosa no matter what type of antenna you have - just too far. You should be able to hear them without a problem if you're in San Franciso and have the corret control channels and have the bank set to MOT.

A good source for frequencies is the Sonoma County list in the files section here or at www.radioreference.com . You have to register at RR but it's free and has a wealth of information.

If you haven't yet done so, you should get a programming cable from Radio Shack and then get Win95 from www.starrsoft.com . The program is free and it's much easier programming your scanner through a PC than by hand. You can also use P95 files that have already been created. I have a North Bay file I could send you that already has all the frequecies and trunk systems you need if you use Win95.

Antenna's tend to start religious wars as far as what's best. <g> Since it sounds like you're just starting out, you might try the Radio Shack #20-176 for a roof antenna and the Radio Shack magnet mount mobile antenna #20-032.

You can find a good list of California 10 codes at http://www.bearcat1.com/radioca.htm . They are not specific to Sonoma County but many of the codes are used by Sonoma County. A lot of the codes you'll hear are from the Penal or Vehicle codes. A disturbance, for example, will be a 415, which is the Penal Code section that covers disturbances. Most of these codes are covered at the web site I posted. Most other codes are standard APCO 10 codes with local modifications. You'll be able to figure most of them out by just listening to your scanner long enough.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, AMP6352@A... wrote:
> just wanted to know if anyone knew more about the Pro 95 went to SF couldnt
> hear anything what am i doing wrong?? also i live in Santa Rosa wanted to
> know if anyone know good home roof and vehicle Antenna for this radio i work at
> a hospital in santa rosa as security in there ER trying to find the radio 10
> codes for SRPD & SO both depts are called alot by security at the

hospital
> please Email me back thanks so much
>
> Anthony
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37335. Re: Broadmoor PD Freq Verification...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 05:47:49 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37322 Message: 37335

The information I have on Broodmoor is :

BROADMOOR POLICE PROTECTION DIST:F1 POLICE PRIMARY:488.4875
BROADMOOR POLICE PROTECTION DIST:F2 POLICE TACTICAL 1:488.8625
BROADMOOR POLICE PROTECTION DIST:F3 POLICE COUNTYWIDE MUTUAL
AID:488.8875

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mary Mikulin" <mmik312@s...> wrote:
>
> I am thrown by the comms I am currently hearing on the freq I am
> using for Broadmoor (Unincorporated Daly City)---can anyone verify
> the freq (s) and P/L tone?
> I am using 482.5625---
>
> Thx much

37336. Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 05:54:42 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37328 Message: 37336

Rancho Adobe is the old Penngrove Fire District up here in Sonoma county. Very nice pictures, Craig. As you wrote, they give a good feeling of the depth and scope of participation that occurs during a funeral of a firefighter killed on duty. God rest his soul and comfort his family.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 08:52 PM 2/19/2005, you wrote:
> >Ed,
> >
> >KNTV reported there were firefighters from as far away as New York.
> >
> >Brian
>
> I didn't see any FDNY engines, but did see LAFD and a Rancho Adobe. Don't
> know where that is.
>
> Good show of fellow firefighters on the Merc's site, and a nice blurb about
> Spring Valley. :)
>
> Evan

37337. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 07:17:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37328 Message: 37337

yeah, I kind of thought FDNY might be there , there were in SF for the last one too. thanks again Craig

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37338. Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 07:16:57 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37338 Message: 37338

Hey guys,

I was riding Golden Gate transit the other day in Marin and happened to notice that their radio communications were crystal clear, and sounded like they were on a digital system. At the time I thought "Great, they must be on the various Marin County Transit district TGs on MERA." Having set up MERA, I noticed that the only transit district TG I've heard so far is #1, and it seems to be Whistlestop wheels which drives around and picks up elderly people.

I did some scouting around on the FCC ULS Database and came up with KYF631 - 482.3875 and 485.3875 licensed to Golden Gate Highway & Transportation District which I thought was pretty close. So I plugged them in, and got a digital signal immediately. Horray! Only then I realized... It wasn't an APCO-25 IMBE signal. For one thing it didn't sound like one, and for another I was hearing it through an APCO25 capable scanner. "Sh*t!"

The signal sounds exactly like TDMA (one of the digital modulation methods used by cell phone companies like Verizon, and I think Nextel might use it too), and no one makes a scanner for it. I was just reading that Motorola iDEN systems use TDMA, could GGT be using an iDEN setup, or maybe just TDMA modulated conventional frequencies?

Anyone with the new Pro-83 scanner wannt to test the 'signal stalking' feature on one of Golden Gate Transit's busses?

Thanks,

-Inigo

37339. Fremont working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 07:27:10 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37339 Message: 37339

2325 hours

37000 block Paseo Padre , fire in 2 story apt complex , one apt fully involved, possible children trapped

E3846 3844 3841 Truck 3871 BC 3812 on scene 3811 rolling

Tac 5 / 17648

Ed

37340. Re: [bayscan] Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 07:43:26 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37338 Message: 37340

inigo, sorry -- i don't understand. what would that tell you?

On Saturday, Feb 19, 2005, at 23:16 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

> The signal sounds exactly like TDMA (one of the digital modulation
> methods used by cell phone companies like Verizon, and I think Nextel
> might use it too), and no one makes a scanner for it. I was just
> reading that Motorola iDEN systems use TDMA, could GGT be using an
> iDEN setup, or maybe just TDMA modulated conventional frequencies?
Anyone with the new Pro-83 scanner wannt to test the 'signal
stalking' feature on one of Golden Gate Transit's busses?
>

nina

37341. RIOT!!! @ The Holiday Bowl in Hayward.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 08:28:39 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37341 Message: 37341

There is a riot in progress now (12:30) at the Holiday Bowl in Hayward. Requesting units from U.C. PD One hayward PD car on fire!!! all subjects leaving in different directions on mission.. Hayward PD 493.1125 and also UC PD TG 40016 on alco TRS

37342. Re: Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 08:33:24 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37338 Message: 37342

Basically, I'm not sure whether I was even looking at the right
license or not. The only sure way to know would be to use a frequency
counter like the one built into the pro-83... or actually ask the
driver lol. They might not know though.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> inigo, sorry -- i don't understand. what would that tell you?

37343. Re: [bayscan] RIOT!!! @ The Holiday Bowl in Hayward.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 08:37:47 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37341 Message: 37343

why the dickens are they sending univ of ca? you mean cal state
hayward?
On Sunday, Feb 20, 2005, at 00:28 US/Pacific, John Gotti wrote:

>
>
> There is a riot in progress now (12:30) at the Holiday Bowl in
> Hayward. Requesting units from U.C. PD One hayward PD car on fire!!!
> all subjects leaving in different directions on mission.. Hayward PD
> 493.1125 and also UC PD TG 40016 on alco TRS
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37344. Re: [bayscan] RIOT!!! @ The Holiday Bowl in Hayward.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 09:06:44 UTC
From: troyboym@aol.com
Topic: 37341 Message: 37344

Union City

In a message dated 2/20/2005 12:48:25 A.M. Pacific Standard Time,
photozhito@... writes:

why the dickens are they sending univ of ca? you mean cal state
hayward?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37345. Re: [bayscan] RIOT!!! @ The Holiday Bowl in Hayward.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 09:54:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37341 Message: 37345

they had a stabbing there last night , suspects were wearing blue rags.....obviously gang related

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37346. Re: Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 14:30:44 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37338 Message: 37346

Inigo,

I'm not sure how you're arriving at some of your conclusions about radios from how they sound but Golden Gate Transit operates on a conventional UHF-T band system. The lineup is:

Freq A - Busses 482.3875
Freq B - Busses 482.5375
Freq C - Busses 482.6875
Freq D - Bridge Patrol - 482.73.75
Freq E - Ferries 482.5875
Freq E - Supv/Admin - 482.8375

They don't broadcast in digital on any of these frequencies so I'm baffled by your comments about picking up a digital signal. I'm assuming you're using a Pro-96 but GGT's signals would sound the same from any scanner that can receive the UHF-T band. They have a lot of repeaters up here so their signals always sound good but it has nothing to do with TDMA or iDEN.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> Hey guys,
>
> I was riding Golden Gate transit the other day in Marin and happened
> to notice that their radio communications were crystal clear, and
> sounded like they were on a digital system. At the time I
> thought "Great, they must be on the various Marin County Transit
> district TGs on MERA." Having set up MERA, I noticed that the only
> transit district TG I've heard so far is #1, and it seems to be
> Whistlestop wheels which drives around and picks up elderly people.
>
> I did some scouting around on the FCC ULS Database and came up with
> KYF631 - 482.3875 and 485.3875 licensed to Golden Gate Highway &
> Transportation District which I thought was pretty close. So I
> plugged them in, and got a digital signal immediately. Horray! Only
> then I realized... It wasn't an APCO-25 IMBE signal. For one thing it
> didn't sound like one, and for another I was hearing it through an
> APCO25 capable scanner. "Sh*t!"
>
> The signal sounds exactly like TDMA (one of the digital modulation
> methods used by cell phone companies like Verizon, and I think

Nextel
> might use it too), and no one makes a scanner for it. I was just
> reading that Motorola iDEN systems use TDMA, could GGT be using an
> iDEN setup, or maybe just TDMA modulated conventional frequencies?
>
> Anyone with the new Pro-83 scanner wannt to test the 'signal
> stalking' feature on one of Golden Gate Transit's busses?
>
> Thanks,
>
> -Inigo

37347. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 13:59:21 UTC
From: Tom Wirth
Topic: 37328 Message: 37347

caladded@... wrote:

> yeah, I kind of thought FDNY might be there , there were in SF for
> the last
> one too. thanks again Craig
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129h3d6lp/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1108970232/A=2343726/R=0/SIG=12idupph8/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon0
1900091von/direct/01/&time=1108883832179840>
>
>
> Get unlimited calls to
>
> U.S./Canada
>
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129h3d6lp/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1108970232/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12idupph8/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon0
1900091von/direct/01/&time=1108883832179840>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
My son and I counted 110 apparatus, with @ 80 in the procession. We saw
Redding, LA City, LA County, Riverside County, Orange County as well as
the Bay Area & Northern California apparatus.

37348. Re: [bayscan] Re: Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 14:52:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37338 Message: 37348

the reason he is getting a digital signal is that 482.7375 is one of San Mateos ' freqs on their TRS

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37349. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 15:27:48 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37328 Message: 37349

Hi Ed,

Rough estimate on the number of rigs would have to be about 120. It was stunning to witness. Off the top of my head, I know for sure Los Angeles sent an engine and this may have been the most distant apparatus participant yesterday. Here is a partial list of apparatus:

ATF - Explosives/Fire Investigation
Alameda - Engine 1 or 2741
Berkeley Fire Department - Engine 2243
Boulder Creek Fire District - 2136
CDF San Mateo County - Engine 1762
Colma Fire Department - Engine 86
Davis Fire Department - Engine 31
Defense Logistics Agency San Jaoquin - Engine 262
Daly City Fire Department - Engine 92
Ebbetts Pass Fire Department - Engine 431
Emeryville Fire Department - Truck 2442
Fremont Fire Department - Engine 3850
Gilroy Fire Department - Engine 71
Kings Mountain Fire Department - Engine 356
Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department - Engine 1248
Lodi Fire Department - Engine 1
Los Angeles Fire Department - Engine ?
Marin County Fire Department - Engine 1563
Marinwood Fire Department - Engine 58
Milpitas Fire Department - Engine 4
Mountain View Fire Department - Engine 6
Murphys Fire District - Engine 242
Napa Fire Department - Engine 1
Napa County Fire Department - Engine 210
Napa County Fire Department - Engine 318
NASA Ames Fire Department - Engine 5
Newark Fire Department - Engine 3944
North County Fire District - Truck 5271
Novato Fire Department - Battalion 6105
Novato Fire Department - Engine 4
Oakland Fire Department - Engine 20/2560
Orange County Fire Department - Engine 29
Palo Alto Fire Department - Engine 2
Presidio Fire Department - Truck 51
Rancho Adobe Fire District - Engine 9165
Redding Fire Department -- Engine 45
Riverside County Fire Department - Engine 83
Roseville Fire Department - Engine 7

Rohnert Park Fire Department - Engine 9985
Salida Fire Protection District - Engine 23
San Benito County Fire Department - Engine 9331
San Mateo County Fire - Engine 217
San Rafael Fire Department - Engine 57
Santa Clara Fire Department - Engine 10
Sonora Fire Department - Engine 751
South County Fire Department - Engine 13
Spring Valley VFD - Engine 22
Stevens Creek VFD - 33
Stockton Fire Department - Engine 7
Sunnyvale Fire Department - Engine 4
Tracy Fire Department - Engine 97
Union City Fire Department - Engine 3472
Valero Refinery Fire Department - Engine 2
Woodside Fire - Rescue 7

There were many, many more, but these are the ones I caught on camera.

-C-

On Feb 19, 2005, at 8:47 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> As I figured, Craig got some great photos ..... many clearly show
> the
> "brotherhood" of fire fighters. Thanks Craig
>
>
> Couple questions Craig? rough estimate of how many rigs were
> involved? and what jurisdiction traveled the furthest (in your
> estimation)
>
> News mentioned Los Angeles which doesn't surprise me, any from
> further
> away, like San Diego? Any from out of state?
>
>
> Thanks again my friend.
>
> ED

37350. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 15:25:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37328 Message: 37350

Ed, I think the Southern California participants were the long distance champs.

Here's a short list of some of the apparatus participating in the procession - I'm not 100% positive on some of the IDs, but here goes...

ATF - Explosives/Fire Investigation
Alameda - Engine 1 or 2741
Berkeley Fire Department - Engine 2243
Boulder Creek Fire District - 2136
CDF San Mateo County - Engine 1762
Colma Fire Department - Engine 86
Davis Fire Department - Engine 31
Defense Logistics Agency San Jaoquin - Engine 262
Daly City Fire Department - Engine 92
Ebbetts Pass Fire Department - Engine 431
Emeryville Fire Department - Truck 2442
Fremont Fire Department - Engine 3850
Gilroy Fire Department - Engine 71
Kings Mountain Fire Department - Engine 356
Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department - Engine 1248
Lodi Fire Department - Engine 1
Los Angeles Fire Department - Engine ?
Marin County Fire Department - Engine 1563
Marinwood Fire Department - Engine 58
Milpitas Fire Department - Engine 4
Mountain View Fire Department - Engine 6
Murphys Fire District - Engine 242
Napa Fire Department - Engine 1
Napa County Fire Department - Engine 210
Napa County Fire Department - Engine 318
NASA Ames Fire Department - Engine 5
Newark Fire Department - Engine 3944
North County Fire District - Truck 5271
Novato Fire Department - Battalion 6105
Novato Fire Department - Engine 4
Oakland Fire Department - Engine 20/2560
Orange County Fire Department - Engine 29
Palo Alto Fire Department - Engine 2
Presidio Fire Department - Truck 51
Rancho Adobe Fire District - Engine 9165
Redding Fire Department -- Engine 45
Riverside County Fire Department - Engine 83
Roseville Fire Department - Engine 7
Rohnert Park Fire Department - Engine 9985

Salida Fire Protection District - Engine 23
San Benito County Fire Department - Engine 9331
San Mateo County Fire - Engine 217
San Rafael Fire Department - Engine 57
Santa Clara Fire Department - Engine 10
Sonora Fire Department - Engine 751
South County Fire Department - Engine 13
Spring Valley VFD - Engine 22
Stevens Creek VFD - 33
Stockton Fire Department - Engine 7
Sunnyvale Fire Department - Engine 4
Tracy Fire Department - Engine 97
Union City Fire Department - Engine 3472
Valero Refinery Fire Department - Engine 2
Woodside Fire - Rescue 7

There were many, many more, but these are the ones I caught on camera.

37351. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 15:29:05 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37328 Message: 37351

Whoops, sorry for the duplication on the emails...must have still been half asleep this morning!

37352. Re: [bayscan] Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 15:31:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37328 Message: 37352

Good morning Craig ,,,,,,,,,,thanks for the list . Interesting

Kind of Ironic,, Backdraft was on last night , you'll remember two fire fighters died in the movie at the end.

Seemed like I had a hard time watching that part , especially the funeral procession knowing one just happened for real here at home

thanks again for the pics and information

godspeed Capt McCormack

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37353. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 15:42:19 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37328 Message: 37353

news stated fdny was there also

Craig Rose wrote:

>Ed, I think the Southern California participants were the long distance
>champs.
>
>Here's a short list of some of the apparatus participating in the
>procession - I'm not 100% positive on some of the IDs, but here goes...
>
>ATF - Explosives/Fire Investigation
>Alameda - Engine 1 or 2741
>Berkeley Fire Department - Engine 2243
>Boulder Creek Fire District - 2136
>CDF San Mateo County - Engine 1762
>Colma Fire Department - Engine 86
>Davis Fire Department - Engine 31
>Defense Logistics Agency San Jaoquin - Engine 262
>Daly City Fire Department - Engine 92
>Ebbetts Pass Fire Department - Engine 431
>Emeryville Fire Department - Truck 2442
>Fremont Fire Department - Engine 3850
>Gilroy Fire Department - Engine 71
>Kings Mountain Fire Department - Engine 356
>Livermore-Pleasanton Fire Department - Engine 1248
>Lodi Fire Department - Engine 1
>Los Angeles Fire Department - Engine ?
>Marin County Fire Department - Engine 1563
>Marinwood Fire Department - Engine 58
>Milpitas Fire Department - Engine 4
>Mountain View Fire Department - Engine 6
>Murphys Fire District - Engine 242
>Napa Fire Department - Engine 1
>Napa County Fire Department - Engine 210
>Napa County Fire Department - Engine 318
>NASA Ames Fire Department - Engine 5
>Newark Fire Department - Engine 3944
>North County Fire District - Truck 5271
>Novato Fire Department - Battalion 6105
>Novato Fire Department - Engine 4
>Oakland Fire Department - Engine 20/2560
>Orange County Fire Department - Engine 29
>Palo Alto Fire Department - Engine 2
>Presidio Fire Department - Truck 51
>Rancho Adobe Fire District - Engine 9165

>Redding Fire Department -- Engine 45
>Riverside County Fire Department - Engine 83
>Roseville Fire Department - Engine 7
>Rohnert Park Fire Department - Engine 9985
>Salida Fire Protection District - Engine 23
>San Benito County Fire Department - Engine 9331
>San Mateo County Fire - Engine 217
>San Rafael Fire Department - Engine 57
>Santa Clara Fire Department - Engine 10
>Sonora Fire Department - Engine 751
>South County Fire Department - Engine 13
>Spring Valley VFD - Engine 22
>Stevens Creek VFD - 33
>Stockton Fire Department - Engine 7
>Sunnyvale Fire Department - Engine 4
>Tracy Fire Department - Engine 97
>Union City Fire Department - Engine 3472
>Valero Refinery Fire Department - Engine 2
>Woodside Fire - Rescue 7
>
>There were many, many more, but these are the ones I caught on camera.
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37354. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 15:44:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37328 Message: 37354

At 07:42 AM 2/20/2005, you wrote:
>news stated fdny was there also

Yes, however as someone else stated they didn't have any apparatus there.

Evan

37355. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 15:49:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37328 Message: 37355

Yeah , but FDNY didn't have a rig (you can't expect them to travel
THAT far)

As Craig points out , his list is the ones he got pics of. another
poster said they counted a total of 110 , with 80 of them in the procession.
Remember SCCFD asked for ONLY one rig per dept for the procession. Had they
not , you can bet the local depts like SJFD and other would have sent even
more. SFFD isn't on the list but you know they were there as were many others not
listed.

thanks to Craig for taking the time to type out the list.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37356. Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 16:49:43 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 37207 Message: 37356

Jim,

Give credit where credit is due.

These files were NOT composed by Kelty. Bob couldn't generate a pdf
file if his life depended on it.

Bob Eisner and myself were doing these for about a year and a half. We
stopped because of the lack of contributions.

Ed Tobiasz

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
>
> Try the .pdf files in this index I found when searching the net.
> These are old Industry Kelty guides for No and So Cal. I did
> identify some of the So Cal freqs in Orange county with it. Even
> though some of them might be dated, it might be a good place to
> start. (There are some Target stores listed.)
>
> <http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/>
>
> Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike McKenna <way2twohot@s...> wrote:
> > Evan, Gary and the group, Thanks for your posts. I'm in Orange
> County. I live near a HRO but they do NOT sell or are they willing
> to order any out of the area books. They said I should just call
> the Bay Area HRO store and have them ship it to me direct. But
> since there are several different Kelty Books -- I have no idea of
> the costs nor which ones I want to order. Does Bob have an email
> or phone ??? As for the 3 stations that show up as being in
> Nevada -- The Target Store and private business are both in Orange
> County and --- Platt Security is in Signal Hill / Long Beach area,
> even if they list the tower as being on a + 4,000' mountain. Evan,
> are you related to any on the owners??? Former Long Beach PD
> officers that started their own company. Good people. Later, Mike
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37357. Re: RIOT!!! @ The Holiday Bowl in Hayward.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 16:56:46 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 37341 Message: 37357

Don't you mean Cal State East Bay? :)

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> why the dickens are they sending univ of ca? you mean cal state
> hayward?
> On Sunday, Feb 20, 2005, at 00:28 US/Pacific, John Gotti wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > There is a riot in progress now (12:30) at the Holiday Bowl in
> > > Hayward. Requesting units from U.C. PD One hayward PD car on fire!!!
> > > all subjects leaving in different directions on mission.. Hayward PD
> > > 493.1125 and also UC PD TG 40016 on alco TRS
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > ========================
> > zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> > (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604

37358. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 17:00:41 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37328 Message: 37358

Morning Ed, and all...

Glad I could pass on the information.

-C-

On Feb 20, 2005, at 7:49 AM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> Yeah , but FDNY didn't have a rig (you can't expect them to
> travel
> THAT far)
>
> As Craig points out , his list is the ones he got pics of.
> another
> poster said they counted a total of 110 , with 80 of them in the
> procession.
> Remember SCCFD asked for ONLY one rig per dept for the procession. Had
> they
> not , you can bet the local depts like SJFD and other would have sent
> even
> more. SFFD isn't on the list but you know they were there as were many
> others not
> listed.
>
> thanks to Craig for taking the time to type out the list.
>
> ED

37359. RE: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 17:32:38 UTC
From: vince48
Topic: 37207 Message: 37359

Ed,

I want to thank you and Bob for those contributions you both have provided the scanner community for all these years. I wish you would continue the updates to the IRS and start up the LTR systems again.

Vince

_____

From: Ed Tobiasz [mailto:sr_radio@...]
Sent: Sunday, February 20, 2005 8:50 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Jim,

Give credit where credit is due.

These files were NOT composed by Kelty. Bob couldn't generate a pdf file if his life depended on it.

Bob Eisner and myself were doing these for about a year and a half. We stopped because of the lack of contributions.

Ed Tobiasz

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
>
> Try the .pdf files in this index I found when searching the net.
> These are old Industry Kelty guides for No and So Cal. I did
> identify some of the So Cal freqs in Orange county with it. Even
> though some of them might be dated, it might be a good place to
> start. (There are some Target stores listed.)
>
> <http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/>
>
> Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike McKenna <way2twohot@s...> wrote:
> > Evan, Gary and the group, Thanks for your posts. I'm in Orange
> County. I live near a HRO but they do NOT sell or are they willing
> to order any out of the area books. They said I should just call
> the Bay Area HRO store and have them ship it to me direct. But
> since there are several different Kelty Books -- I have no idea of
> the costs nor which ones I want to order. Does Bob have an email
> or phone ??? As for the 3 stations that show up as being in

> Nevada -- The Target Store and private business are both in Orange
> County and --- Platt Security is in Signal Hill / Long Beach area,
> even if they list the tower as being on a + 4,000' mountain. Evan,
> are you related to any on the owners??? Former Long Beach PD
> officers that started their own company. Good people. Later, Mike
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129urc36r/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109004586/A=2343726/R=0/SIG=12igiaqfg/*http:/clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1108918186838880>
<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129urc36r/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109004586/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12igiaqfg/*http:/clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1108918186838880>

Get unlimited calls to
<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129urc36r/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109004586/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12igiaqfg/*http:/clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1108918186838880>

U.S./Canada
<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129urc36r/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109004586/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12igiaqfg/*http:/clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1108918186838880>

<http://view.atdmt.com/VON/view/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1108918186838880>

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2343726/rand=487349155>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo!
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Terms of Service.

-----

avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Inbound message clean.

Virus Database (VPS): 0507-4, 02/18/2005
Tested on: 2/20/2005 8:52:31 AM

avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.

---

avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com> : Outbound message clean.

Virus Database (VPS): 0507-4, 02/18/2005
Tested on: 2/20/2005 9:32:37 AM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37360. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 17:49:46 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37328 Message: 37360

E-53 and T-51 went on behalf of SF , hear them sign out with dispatch from before they went
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, February 20, 2005 07:49
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yeah , but FDNY didn't have a rig (you can't expect them to travel
THAT far)

As Craig points out , his list is the ones he got pics of. another
poster said they counted a total of 110 , with 80 of them in the procession.
Remember SCCFD asked for ONLY one rig per dept for the procession. Had they
not , you can bet the local depts like SJFD and other would have sent even
more. SFFD isn't on the list but you know they were there as were many others not
listed.

thanks to Craig for taking the time to type out the list.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

Get unlimited calls to

U.S./Canada

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37361. Re: Marin ambulances

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 18:20:34 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37318 Message: 37361

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:

> Unrelated and OT from MERA, but I also found American Medical
> Response's EMS dispatch frequency for their BLS Ambulances in San
> Rafael. It's 155.16 MHz with a CTCSS PL Tone of 127.3. I heard
> checked it out long ago but didn't have a CTCSS capable scanner so I
> heard lots of stuff in San Mateo, Solano and Sacramento counties and
> assumed it was wrong. AMR's Marin ambulances are 81 through 88 (I saw
> a bunch parked in front of a building in the warehouse district of
> south san rafael, probably their office). Marin also has a county EMS
> Dispatch called "Marin 17" on 155.10 PL Tone 114.8, with ambulance
> IDs like "813." I had never really checked these out until today with
> the PL capability of my new scanner and was unaware of this info, so
> hopefully others on the group might find it useful...

The 155.1600 freq is decades old. It has been passed down from the
old United Ambulance that used to service Marin up until about the mid
'90s. AMR bought their Marin assets. United Amb was a sub-company,
that also included San Francisco Amb Service and Acme-Western (east bay).

Marin 17 is the *ambulance control* for the county. Not only do
private providers use it to communicate to Comm Center, but all fire
ambulances have it, too.
That ID *813* is actually A-13, as in Ambulance 13. That is a St
Joseph's Ambulance BLS (non-paramedic) car. The *P* units, as in
P-12, are the one-medic ALS paramedic cars. A=BLS amb, P=private
medic amb, M=fire medic amb or engine (ME=Medic engine).

When MERA is in full operation, it is my understanding that the Marin
17 function will be transferred to the *EMS* (A2) talkgroup.

37362. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 19:51:47 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37207 Message: 37362

To both Ed T. and Bob E. -- Well done. Very good list and I hope that you continue. Mike

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37363. Re: [bayscan] Re: RIOT!!! @ The Holiday Bowl in Hayward.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 20:43:01 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37341 Message: 37363

dang, i just can't keep up! you are right of course.

On Sunday, Feb 20, 2005, at 08:56 US/Pacific, Ed Tobiasz wrote:

>
>
> Don't you mean Cal State East Bay? :)
>
> Ed
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>> why the dickens are they sending univ of ca? you mean cal state
>> hayward?
>> On Sunday, Feb 20, 2005, at 00:28 US/Pacific, John Gotti wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>
>>> There is a riot in progress now (12:30) at the Holiday Bowl in
>>> Hayward. Requesting units from U.C. PD One hayward PD car on fire!!!
>>> all subjects leaving in different directions on mission.. Hayward PD
>>> 493.1125 and also UC PD TG 40016 on alco TRS
>>>

nina

37364. Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 22:53:27 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37328 Message: 37364

Craig listed T51 but they and E53 are NOT SFFD they are Federal
Fire units from the Presidio / Fort Crockett.

NO big deal , I am sure SFFD was there .

Ed
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37365. Re: Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs? - Not San Mateo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-20 23:46:36 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37338 Message: 37365

Hey guys, what Ed suggested would make the most sense, but I'm actually hearing this only on channel A. However Jim, Ch B and C and the supervisors all had lots of activity this morning because of an accident involving a bus on 101 this morning near Vista Point. Thank you very much for the additional frequencies. However, I see nothing 'baffling' about me initially suspecting they used digital radios -- Channel A is still bursting alive with this low tone "buzzsaw-like" digital signal every few seconds, so I'm guessing it's telemetry of some kind (I certainly haven't seen MDTs on their busses, but there are plenty of other applications they could use it for IF they had the money). Yahoo cut off the end of your email address so I couldn't send it yet, but if for some reason you still don't believe me I'd be happy to attach you a recording of it in a private email (just can't do file attachments through yahoo groups). It sounds nothing like APCO-25 IMBE digital (which has a distinctive change in signal at the end of each transmission), but sounds exactly like the sound you hear when you make a cell phone call while holding your cell phone right next to your scanner. (I was promted to make the connection to TDMA when my friend answered his older AT&T wireless cell phone last night and it made a strikingly similar noise to what I've been hearing on 482.3875.)

-Inigo

37366. Re: [bayscan] Re: Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs? - Not San Mateo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 00:36:17 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37338 Message: 37366

What you hear is most likely "Data" transmitted from buses back to the Dispatch Center and back. Lots of transit systems have added vehicle status sensors to warn of Engine or vehicle problems and status. Even the air pressure in the tires can be monitored. Sometimes you can avoid hearing data transmissions by using the "PL" or "DPL" -- .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37367. Re: Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs? - Not San Mateo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 01:18:49 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37338 Message: 37367

Thank you! That's it. If you use a PL of 173.8 you'll get speech and no data (even though I still haven't heard speech on Ch A). Thank you. And sorry Jim I was way off, thanks for settin me straight on the freqs.

-Inigo

37368. Re: Golden Gate Transit Bus Freqs? - Not San Mateo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 02:34:15 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37338 Message: 37368

Inigo,

No problem. If you had described the signals as tone bursts I would have understood what you were hearing. Each bus is equipped with a rather primitive GPS locater system. It sends a data burst every 30 seconds to bus operations and the location of each bus is shown on a map. The system also compares time now to the scheduled time and alerts dispatchers if a bus is late (as if GGT ever cared if a bus was late <g>). Channel A is also used for the emergency alert system which sends a data burst every 5 seconds if the driver hits their panic button. The drivers have a small bone mike they are supposed to wear so the dispatcher can contact them to find out what the problem is. That's about the only time you'll hear voice traffic on Channel A. 173.8 is the correct PL for voice. The bridge patrol channel can also be interesting when the weather's bad or they have a jumper.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> Thank you! That's it. If you use a PL of 173.8 you'll get speech
and
> no data (even though I still haven't heard speech on Ch A). Thank
> you. And sorry Jim I was way off, thanks for settin me straight on
> the freqs.
>
> -Inigo

37369. Re: RIOT!! Hoiliday Bowl Hayward

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 04:52:02 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37369 Message: 37369

Actually it was Union city PD I was referring to, not "Cal State Hayward or East Bay" Apparently they had a shooting there on fri night with a teen shot in the arm leg and stomach sustaining superficial wounds according to the Mercury news report. Fri nights is usually live local band nights and Sat nights is a DJ and Karaoke according to the website and the news article.

37370. Re: [bayscan] Re: RIOT!! Hoiliday Bowl Hayward

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 05:30:36 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37369 Message: 37370

well that makes perfect sense and just shows how little i know your
part of the world. sorry john.

sounds like a wild night. yikes!

nina
On Sunday, Feb 20, 2005, at 20:52 US/Pacific, John Gotti wrote:

>
>
> Actually it was Union city PD I was referring to, not "Cal State
> Hayward or East Bay" Apparently they had a shooting there on fri
> night with a teen shot in the arm leg and stomach sustaining
> superficial wounds according to the Mercury news report. Fri nights
> is usually live local band nights and Sat nights is a DJ and Karaoke
> according to the website and the news article.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37371. Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 19:24:53 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 37328 Message: 37371

Great shots as usual Craig. You captured the even with the dignity
and class that it conveyed beautifully. Great to meet you too!

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> Good evening all...
>
> A quick note to let you know that I have finally uploaded images
from
> today's memorial service and procession honoring the sacrifice of
> Captain Mark F. 'Mac' McCormack of the Santa Clara County Fire
> Department. For those interested, please direct your browsers to:
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/index.htm
>
> and click on the "In Memory" link for a selection of photographs
from
> earlier today.
>
> I hope that this will in some way allow those of you that could not
> attend this event to at least get a sense of the scale and power
that
> hundreds of pieces of fire apparatus and thousands of firefighters
can
> bring to bare when enduring the loss of a member of their family. I
> know that I will never be quite the same after today.
>
> Regards,
>
> Craig
>
> --
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@c...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> --
>
>

> --
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@c...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> --
>
>
> --
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@c...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> --

37372. Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 20:38:04 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 37207 Message: 37372

I most humbly appologize to both you and Bob E. In my defense, one of the files did have Bob K. as editor (along with yours for artwork) on the standard inner flyleaf. But I didn't think Kelty had any publications for So Cal like that so this clears things up.

BTW, when I was looking for business freqs on my last trip to the southland, these files were the most accurate I could find, so I really understand the work that went into this. I heard you recommend the directory from scannerstuff.com. Does it have this kind of detail?

Thanks
Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed Tobiasz" <sr_radio@y...> wrote:
>
> Jim,
>
> Give credit where credit is due.
>
> These files were NOT composed by Kelty. Bob couldn't generate a pdf
> file if his life depended on it.
>
> Bob Eisner and myself were doing these for about a year and a half. We
> stopped because of the lack of contributions.
>
> Ed Tobiasz
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
> >
> > Try the .pdf files in this index I found when searching the net.
> > These are old Industry Kelty guides for No and So Cal. I did
> > identify some of the So Cal freqs in Orange county with it. Even
> > though some of them might be dated, it might be a good place to
> > start. (There are some Target stores listed.)
> >
> > <http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/>
> >
> > Jim
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike McKenna <way2twohot@s...> wrote:
> > > Evan, Gary and the group, Thanks for your posts. I'm in Orange

> > County. I live near a HRO but they do NOT sell or are they
willing
> > to order any out of the area books. They said I should just
call
> > the Bay Area HRO store and have them ship it to me direct. But
> > since there are several different Kelty Books -- I have no idea
of
> > the costs nor which ones I want to order. Does Bob have an
email
> > or phone ??? As for the 3 stations that show up as being in
> > Nevada -- The Target Store and private business are both in
Orange
> > County and --- Platt Security is in Signal Hill / Long Beach
area,
> > even if they list the tower as being on a + 4,000' mountain.
Evan,
> > are you related to any on the owners??? Former Long Beach PD
> > officers that started their own company. Good people. Later,
Mike
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37373. Re: [bayscan] Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 20:46:14 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37207 Message: 37373

Actually, the other Ed suggested the scannerstuff.com manuals.

jwpottberg wrote:
>
> I most humbly appologize to both you and Bob E. In my defense, one
> of the files did have Bob K. as editor (along with yours for
> artwork) on the standard inner flyleaf. But I didn't think Kelty had
> any publications for So Cal like that so this clears things up.
>
> BTW, when I was looking for business freqs on my last trip to the
> southland, these files were the most accurate I could find, so I
> really understand the work that went into this. I heard you
> recommend the directory from scannerstuff.com. Does it have this
> kind of detail?
>
> Thanks
> Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed Tobiasz" <sr_radio@y...> wrote:
>
>>Jim,
>>
>>Give credit where credit is due.
>>
>>These files were NOT composed by Kelty. Bob couldn't generate a pdf
>>file if his life depended on it.
>>
>>Bob Eisner and myself were doing these for about a year and a
>
> half. We
>
>>stopped because of the lack of contributions.
>>
>>Ed Tobiasz
>>
>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...>
>
> wrote:
>
>>>Try the .pdf files in this index I found when searching the net.
>>>These are old Industry Kelty guides for No and So Cal. I did
>>>identify some of the So Cal freqs in Orange county with it. Even
>>>though some of them might be dated, it might be a good place to
>>>start. (There are some Target stores listed.)
>>>

>>><http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/>
>>>
>>>Jim
>>>
>>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike McKenna <way2twohot@s...>
>
> wrote:
>
>>>>Evan, Gary and the group, Thanks for your posts. I'm in
>
> Orange
>
>>>County. I live near a HRO but they do NOT sell or are they
>
> willing
>
>>>to order any out of the area books. They said I should just
>
> call
>
>>>the Bay Area HRO store and have them ship it to me direct. But
>>>since there are several different Kelty Books -- I have no idea
>
> of
>
>>>the costs nor which ones I want to order. Does Bob have an
>
> email
>
>>>or phone ??? As for the 3 stations that show up as being in
>>>Nevada -- The Target Store and private business are both in
>
> Orange
>
>>>County and --- Platt Security is in Signal Hill / Long Beach
>
> area,
>
>>>even if they list the tower as being on a + 4,000' mountain.
>
> Evan,
>
>>>are you related to any on the owners??? Former Long Beach PD
>>>officers that started their own company. Good people. Later,
>
> Mike
>
>>>>
>>>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37374. Marin County SAR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 21:25:49 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37374 Message: 37374

Hey guys, California Dept. of Parks & Recreation Park Rangers have been active in a search for an eight year old boy who ran away up near the Dipsea/TCC trails (I think these are up Mt. Tam a little ways near Mill Valley?). This has been going on for about an hour and they just advised they're notifying the Marin County Search and Rescue team.

857.9375 DCS 125 - DPR Park Rangers GOLD
48.38 PL 82.5 - Marin Municipal Water District Watershed Rangers

Haven't heard the SAR team yet. Last I heard (isn't one of their members also a member of this group? Maybe he could confirm later) they used CLEMARS VHF 2: 154.935 MHz.

-Inigo

P.S. I've updated the Marin County Fire Departments excel file to include Inverness Volunteer FD, they were dispatched this morning on a false alarm.

37375. Re: How do I identify who's on a given frequency?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 21:36:13 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 37207 Message: 37375

Ack! Sorry again. Shutting up now...

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> Actually, the other Ed suggested the scannerstuff.com manuals.
>
> jwpottberg wrote:
> >
> > I most humbly appologize to both you and Bob E. In my defense,
one
> > of the files did have Bob K. as editor (along with yours for
> > artwork) on the standard inner flyleaf. But I didn't think Kelty
had
> > any publications for So Cal like that so this clears things up.
> >
> > BTW, when I was looking for business freqs on my last trip to
the
> > southland, these files were the most accurate I could find, so I
> > really understand the work that went into this. I heard you
> > recommend the directory from scannerstuff.com. Does it have this
> > kind of detail?
> >
> > Thanks
> > Jim
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed Tobiasz" <sr_radio@y...>
wrote:
> >
> >>Jim,
> >>
> >>Give credit where credit is due.
> >>
> >>These files were NOT composed by Kelty. Bob couldn't generate a
pdf
> >>file if his life depended on it.
> >>
> >>Bob Eisner and myself were doing these for about a year and a
> >
> > half. We
> >
> >>stopped because of the lack of contributions.
> >>
> >>Ed Tobiasz
> >>
> >>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...>
> >

> > wrote:
> >
> >>>Try the .pdf files in this index I found when searching the
net.
> >>>These are old Industry Kelty guides for No and So Cal. I did
> >>>identify some of the So Cal freqs in Orange county with it.
Even
> >>>though some of them might be dated, it might be a good place to
> >>>start. (There are some Target stores listed.)
> >>>
> >>><http://www.saltydogradio.com/irs/>
> >>>
> >>>Jim
> >>>
> >>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike McKenna <way2twohot@s...>
> >
> > wrote:
> >
> >>>>Evan, Gary and the group, Thanks for your posts. I'm in
> >
> > Orange
> >
> >>>County. I live near a HRO but they do NOT sell or are they
> >
> > willing
> >
> >>>to order any out of the area books. They said I should just
> >
> > call
> >
> >>>the Bay Area HRO store and have them ship it to me direct. But
> >>>since there are several different Kelty Books -- I have no
idea
> >
> > of
> >
> >>>the costs nor which ones I want to order. Does Bob have an
> >
> > email
> >
> >>>or phone ??? As for the 3 stations that show up as being in
> >>>Nevada -- The Target Store and private business are both in
> >
> > Orange
> >
> >>>County and --- Platt Security is in Signal Hill / Long Beach
> >
> > area,
> >
> >>>even if they list the tower as being on a + 4,000' mountain.

> >
> > Evan,
> >
> >>>are you related to any on the owners??? Former Long Beach PD
> >>>officers that started their own company. Good people. Later,
> >
> > Mike
> >
> >>>>
> >>>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

37376. Re: [bayscan] Marin County SAR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 21:39:51 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37374 Message: 37376

IIRC, the Dipsea faces the ocean, so it wouldn't be near Mill Valley.
They run a race on the trail, so it should be documented on the net
someplace.

I was on Mt. Tam when they did search training and I think your
frequency is correct. (At least the 154.9 part of it.) They set up a
portable repeater.

inigo4688 wrote:
>
> Hey guys, California Dept. of Parks & Recreation Park Rangers have
> been active in a search for an eight year old boy who ran away up
> near the Dipsea/TCC trails (I think these are up Mt. Tam a little
> ways near Mill Valley?). This has been going on for about an hour and
> they just advised they're notifying the Marin County Search and
> Rescue team.
>
> 857.9375 DCS 125 - DPR Park Rangers GOLD
> 48.38 PL 82.5 - Marin Municipal Water District Watershed Rangers
>
> Haven't heard the SAR team yet. Last I heard (isn't one of their
> members also a member of this group? Maybe he could confirm later)
> they used CLEMARS VHF 2: 154.935 MHz.
>
> -Inigo
>
> P.S. I've updated the Marin County Fire Departments excel file to
> include Inverness Volunteer FD, they were dispatched this morning on
> a false alarm.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37377. Re: [bayscan] Re: Frequencies for Sonoma County i have a Pro 95 Scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 22:32:38 UTC
From: Nobag (Mike)
Topic: 37319 Message: 37377

Hi Jim,

I don't know about the rest of the group, but I sure would be interested in the North Bay P95 file that you have created. I know that I have made a few of my own, but chances are that I may have missed a few interesting freq's. Perhaps you could post your P95 file in the group's files section, that way we all could take advantage of your hard work. I thank you in advance.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 02/19/05 21:43:55
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Frequencies for Sonoma County i have a Pro 95 Scanner

I'm having a hard time understanding exactly what you need. Are you trying to hear the SF trunk system? If so, you only need to have the correct control channels. You won't be able to hear them from Santa Rosa no matter what type of antenna you have - just too far. You should be able to hear them without a problem if you're in San Franciso and have the corret control channels and have the bank set to MOT.

A good source for frequencies is the Sonoma County list in the files section here or at www.radioreference.com . You have to register at RR but it's free and has a wealth of information.

If you haven't yet done so, you should get a programming cable from Radio Shack and then get Win95 from www.starrsoft.com . The program is free and it's much easier programming your scanner through a PC than by hand. You can also use P95 files that have already been created. I have a North Bay file I could send you that already has all the frequecies and trunk systems you need if you use Win95.

Antenna's tend to start religious wars as far as what's best. <g>
Since it sounds like you're just starting out, you might try the

Radio Shack #20-176 for a roof antenna and the Radio Shack magnet mount mobile antenna #20-032.

You can find a good list of California 10 codes at http://www.bearcat1.com/radioca.htm . They are not specific to Sonoma County but many of the codes are used by Sonoma County. A lot of the codes you'll hear are from the Penal or Vehicle codes. A disturbance, for example, will be a 415, which is the Penal Code section that covers disturbances. Most of these codes are covered at the web site I posted. Most other codes are standard APCO 10 codes with local modifications. You'll be able to figure most of them out by just listening to your scanner long enough.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, AMP6352@A... wrote:
> just wanted to know if anyone knew more about the Pro 95 went to SF couldnt
> hear anything what am i doing wrong?? also i live in Santa Rosa wanted to
> know if anyone know good home roof and vehicle Antenna for this radio i work at
> a hospital in santa rosa as security in there ER trying to find the radio 10
> codes for SRPD & SO both depts are called alot by security at the hospital
> please Email me back thanks so much
>
> Anthony
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

Get unlimited calls to
U.S./Canada

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37378. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 22:52:17 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37328 Message: 37378

At 11:24 AM 2/21/2005, you wrote:

>Great shots as usual Craig. You captured the even with the dignity
>and class that it conveyed beautifully. Great to meet you too!

An interesting 'listen' is audio from County Fire starting at about 7:55 AM Saturday. Hearing engines from all around staff basically unfamiliar stations in unfamiliar neighborhoods shows the sense of comradery and brotherhood firefighters have for each other.

I too would be interested in hearing traffic from White-1, although it appears no one recorded it, perhaps someone can get a copy from one of the agencies perhaps?

Evan

37379. Re: [bayscan] Re: Captain Mark F. McCormack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-21 22:57:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37328 Message: 37379

Thanks much Sagar, It was a pleasure to meet you as well. Oh, and my wife says hello! ;^)

-C-

> Great shots as usual Craig. You captured the even with the dignity
> and class that it conveyed beautifully. Great to meet you too!
>
> ~Sagar

37380. LA County / stuff

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 00:23:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37380 Message: 37380

For any who have firefeeds.com montor LA County ....right now they have a bunch of rescues from mud/landslides , flooding etc . I am hearing people trapped in cars , in houses and more.......hard to keep track of how many or where......but VERY interesting

As follow up on scannerstuff.com while this has some business freqs , it tends to lean more to Fire/Police/EMS/Avaiation .....in any case , its well worth the 24.95 price.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37381. water rescue Redwood Shores

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 00:39:59 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37381 Message: 37381

Redwood E20 BC3 and Menlo BC1 and Airboat 1 (E77) working on rescuing a woman out in the bay . Now asking for a helo, either from USCG or CHP

Bay Harbour and Seal Pointe

control 2/ 154.37

1639 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37382. weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 00:53:08 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 20400 Message: 37382

this is strange.....I am getting all kinds of warnings from NWS
about an approaching T-Storm producing nickel sized hail , heavy rain
and 40+mile winds for the coast from Monterey up to Sonoma County and
inland like Napa County YET dopplar radar I am looking at is clear

figure that one out !!

ED
1652

37383. How would i program (CLEMARS VHF 2: 154.935 MHz.) Into my pro 96 scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 01:01:48 UTC
From: lrose013
Topic: 37383 Message: 37383

Trying to figure out how to program CLEMARS VHF 2: 154.935 MHz into my pro 96 scanner, its not working when i try to do it? Thanks, Laura

37384. Re: [bayscan] weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 01:11:58 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 20400 Message: 37384

I don't know what you're looking at, but we had a pretty good
squall line through Hayward a couple of hours ago, and I see red
cells around Napa right now:

http://radar.wrh.noaa.gov/radar/loop/DS.p37cr/si.kmux.shtml

Our hail was BB-sized and lasted only about 10 minutes. Six
or eight thunderclaps.

On Tue, Feb 22, 2005 at 12:53:08AM -0000, Ed wrote:
>
>
>
> this is strange.......I am getting all kinds of warnings from NWS
> about an approaching T-Storm producing nickel sized hail , heavy rain
> and 40+mile winds for the coast from Monterey up to Sonoma County and
> inland like Napa County YET dopplar radar I am looking at is clear
>
> figure that one out !!
>
> ED
> 1652
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37385. Re: [bayscan] weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 01:25:02 UTC
From: wayne johnston
Topic: 20400 Message: 37385

Dave wrote:

>
> I don't know what you're looking at, but we had a pretty good
> squall line through Hayward a couple of hours ago, and I see red
> cells around Napa right now:
>
> http://radar.wrh.noaa.gov/radar/loop/DS.p37cr/si.kmux.shtml
>
> Our hail was BB-sized and lasted only about 10 minutes. Six
> or eight thunderclaps.
>
>
> On Tue, Feb 22, 2005 at 12:53:08AM -0000, Ed wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > this is strange.......I am getting all kinds of warnings from NWS
> > about an approaching T-Storm producing nickel sized hail , heavy rain
> > and 40+mile winds for the coast from Monterey up to Sonoma County and
> > inland like Napa County YET dopplar radar I am looking at is clear
> >
> > figure that one out !!
> >
> > ED
> > 1652
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129vgvk7h/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109121121/A=2343726/R=0/SIG=12i4snbut/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon01900091von/direct/01/&time=1109034721820858>
>

>
> Get unlimited calls to
>
> U.S./Canada
>
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129vgvk7h/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1109121121/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12i4snbut/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon0
1900091von/direct/01/&time=1109034721820858>
>
>
>
> ~~~~~~
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
EDIS says there is a storm from San Mateo to the Mexico border!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37386. Santa Clara County Fire - Gas Station Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 01:58:25 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37386 Message: 37386

Gas station fire at Shell on Winchester at Sunnyoaks.

Sunnyoaks IC advising one bay fully involved and requests Engine 11
drop line for water supply. Possible extension to structure above the
gas island.

E10
B3
E11
T5

Working on County Command 1 - 153.905

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37387. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara County Fire - Gas Station Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 02:03:02 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37386 Message: 37387

SUNNYOAKS IC advising the fire has been knocked down. Add R3 to the list of responding units. Request made for Campbell PD for traffic control.

COMMAND 1 - 153.905
Campbell PD - 482.4625

No further updates on this incident unless situation warrants.

On Feb 21, 2005, at 5:58 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Gas station fire at Shell on Winchester at Sunnyoaks.
>
> Sunnyoaks IC advising one bay fully involved and requests Engine 11
> drop line for water suplly. Possible extension to structure above the
> gas island.
>
> E10
> B3
> E11
> T5
>
> Working on County Command 1 - 153.905

37388. Re: [bayscan] weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 02:34:27 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 20400 Message: 37388

I was in Fairfield during the hail storm around 16:30 today and it really
came down hard!
BTW, how come there were no email regarding the tornados ihn the Sacramento
area? It was really something up there today. Fortunately, we just missed
the heavy stuff coming down on west bound 80 around 13:30 earlier today.
Glad to be home!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dave" <dlc-bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2005 5:11 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] weather

>
>
> I don't know what you're looking at, but we had a pretty good
> squall line through Hayward a couple of hours ago, and I see red
> cells around Napa right now:
>
> http://radar.wrh.noaa.gov/radar/loop/DS.p37cr/si.kmux.shtml
>
> Our hail was BB-sized and lasted only about 10 minutes. Six
> or eight thunderclaps.
>
>
> On Tue, Feb 22, 2005 at 12:53:08AM -0000, Ed wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> > this is strange.......I am getting all kinds of warnings from NWS
> > about an approaching T-Storm producing nickel sized hail , heavy rain
> > and 40+mile winds for the coast from Monterey up to Sonoma County and
> > inland like Napa County YET dopplar radar I am looking at is clear
> >
> > figure that one out !!
> >
> > ED
> > 1652
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37389. Re: [bayscan] How would i program (CLEMARS VHF 2: 154.935 MHz.) Into my pro 96 scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 02:56:49 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37383 Message: 37389

PGM 1 5 4 . 9 3 5 ENTER
Works for me. I don't hear it active at the moment, if that is what you mean.

lrose013 wrote:
> Trying to figure out how to program CLEMARS VHF 2: 154.935 MHz into
> my pro 96 scanner, its not working when i try to do it? Thanks,
> Laura
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

37390. Re: [bayscan] weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 02:59:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 20400 Message: 37390

Were there confirmed tornados on the ground?

On Feb 21, 2005, at 6:34 PM, jim gammon wrote:

> BTW, how come there were no email regarding the tornados ihn the
> Sacramento
> area? It was really something up there today.

37391. Re: [bayscan] weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 03:06:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 20400 Message: 37391

yeah, one hit in the natomas area , some damage , tossed a grocery cart thru some ladys car glass

the dopplar radar I refered to earlier was posted about 15 minutes prior to the time I sent the post .....real strange , I expected to see yellow even red cells.....only some green in the central valley.....some sort of glinch I guess

I got so many warnings from NWS it was hard to keep up.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37392. Re: [bayscan] weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 03:22:00 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 20400 Message: 37392

According to the media there was some damage in the Sac. Area and
surroundings. Didn't hear of any injuries. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 21, 2005 6:59 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] weather

>
> Were there confirmed tornados on the ground?
>
> On Feb 21, 2005, at 6:34 PM, jim gammon wrote:
>
> > BTW, how come there were no email regarding the tornados ihn the
> > Sacramento
> > area? It was really something up there today.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37393. Re: How would i program (CLEMARS VHF 2: 154.935 MHz.) Into my pro 96 scanner?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 04:20:40 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37383 Message: 37393

Also if you're just interested in listening to the frequency temporarily (and without scanning others) you can use the tune feature. TUNE, 154.935, ENTER (should be paused by default).

They must have found the boy before SAR got too involved because I had to run to San Rafael and when I came back it was all normal radio traffic. No setting up portable repeaters or anything.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> PGM 1 5 4 . 9 3 5 ENTER
> Works for me. I don't hear it active at the moment, if that is what you
> mean.
>
> lrose013 wrote:
> >
> > Trying to figure out how to program CLEMARS VHF 2: 154.935 MHz into
> > my pro 96 scanner, its not working when i try to do it? Thanks,
> > Laura
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links

37394. Re: weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 04:25:20 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 20400 Message: 37394

Marin was hit really hard with the thunderstorm. It was real on again off again weather. One cell would fly through with pounding rain and lightening, then it would get sunny, then ten minutes later there would be another thunderstorm. Really ridiculous weather, especially with tornados in Sac!!! Provided for interesting aviation listening too, since all the pilots arriving over the north bay were trying to find holes in the squall line to slip through with their weather radar, and coordinating with center so as not to hit other traffic doing the same thing.

-Inigo

37395. inputs for Nevada county frequencies?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 04:37:40 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37395 Message: 37395

Hi, I was just up in Grass Valley this past weekend. Am wondering if anyone has the input to Grass Valley PD primary output is on 156.00, same as Solano County Fire, and the input for the sheriff frequency, output on 155.565? Thanks, Jim

37396. Re: [bayscan] inputs for Nevada county frequencies?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 04:14:21 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37395 Message: 37396

looking at kelty it appears grass valley pd is simplex...
jim gammon wrote:

>Hi, I was just up in Grass Valley this past weekend. Am wondering if anyone
>has the input to Grass Valley PD primary output is on 156.00, same as Solano
>County Fire, and the input for the sheriff frequency, output on 155.565?
>Thanks, Jim
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37397. Re: Re: weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 05:16:01 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 20400 Message: 37397

>
>
> From: "jim gammon" <jgammon1@...>
>
>I was in Fairfield during the hail storm around 16:30 today and it really
>came down hard!
>

I am in Sonoma County, on a mountainside at 1500 feet; we got about half
an inch of hail here. No NWS activation and only heard one mention of a
tornado watch on LE/PS frequencies. Lightning got as close as 3300 feet
(by flash-to-bang) from us as the storm passed over.

Cortland

37398. Re: [bayscan] inputs for Nevada county frequencies?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 05:29:26 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37395 Message: 37398

Hi Ben, Grass Valley PD went high band maybe a couple years back, and is a repeater using 156.00 as their output.
Nevada County sheriff uses 155.565 as their output, curious about the input also.
Grass Valley PD may not be on at night, leaving it up to County Sheriff to patrol. I didn't hear any activity on their frequency at night this past Saturday and Sunday when I stayed in that town. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2005 8:14 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] inputs for Nevada county frequencies?

>
> looking at kelty it appears grass valley pd is simplex...
> jim gammon wrote:
>
> >Hi, I was just up in Grass Valley this past weekend. Am wondering if anyone
> >has the input to Grass Valley PD primary output is on 156.00, same as Solano
> >County Fire, and the input for the sheriff frequency, output on 155.565?
> >Thanks, Jim
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37399. Re: [bayscan] inputs for Nevada county frequencies?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 04:39:27 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37395 Message: 37399

Simplex I meant as no repeater on 156.000 as per kelty

jim gammon wrote:

>Hi Ben, Grass Valley PD went high band maybe a couple years back, and is a
>repeater using 156.00 as their output.
>Nevada County sheriff uses 155.565 as their output, curious about the input
>also.
>Grass Valley PD may not be on at night, leaving it up to County Sheriff to
>patrol. I didn't hear any activity on their frequency at night this past
>Saturday and Sunday when I stayed in that town. Jim
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2005 8:14 PM
>Subject: Re: [bayscan] inputs for Nevada county frequencies?
>
>
>
>
>>looking at kelty it appears grass valley pd is simplex...
>>jim gammon wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Hi, I was just up in Grass Valley this past weekend. Am wondering if
>>>
>>>
>anyone
>
>
>>>has the input to Grass Valley PD primary output is on 156.00, same as
>>>
>>>
>Solano
>
>
>>>County Fire, and the input for the sheriff frequency, output on 155.565?
>>>Thanks, Jim
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37400. Re: [bayscan] inputs for Nevada county frequencies?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 05:41:40 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37395 Message: 37400

Right, they all used to be on low band VHF around 45. something. Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2005 8:39 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] inputs for Nevada county frequencies?

>
> Simplex I meant as no repeater on 156.000 as per kelty
>
> jim gammon wrote:
>
> >Hi Ben, Grass Valley PD went high band maybe a couple years back, and is
a
> >repeater using 156.00 as their output.
> >Nevada County sheriff uses 155.565 as their output, curious about the
input
> >also.
> >Grass Valley PD may not be on at night, leaving it up to County Sheriff
to
> >patrol. I didn't hear any activity on their frequency at night this past
> >Saturday and Sunday when I stayed in that town. Jim
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
> >To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2005 8:14 PM
> >Subject: Re: [bayscan] inputs for Nevada county frequencies?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >>looking at kelty it appears grass valley pd is simplex...
> >>jim gammon wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>Hi, I was just up in Grass Valley this past weekend. Am wondering if
> >>
> >>
> >anyone
> >
> >
> >has the input to Grass Valley PD primary output is on 156.00, same as
> >>>

> >>>
> >Solano
> >
> >
> >>>County Fire, and the input for the sheriff frequency, output on
155.565?
> >>>Thanks, Jim
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37401. Re: [bayscan] Re: weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 06:29:04 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 20400 Message: 37401

Actually there was a lot of damage in SAC. One guy had his car lifted a foot then dropped it back down. All his windows were smashed out. A few Semi Trucks tipped over too. A few houses had their shingles ripped off their roofs. I was out in the valley visiting a friend and KCRA 3 was on most of the afternoon with weather reports and video of the Tornado's (2) in the SAC and SOL and YOL area's

Paul - KF6YYI
442.075 + 173.8
EchoLink Node # 187959

"Climb and Maintain or Crash and Burn"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37402. Looking for 187 Suspect in Mill Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 06:56:51 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37402 Message: 37402

I heard a BOL earlier today for some 187 suspect out of San Rafael
was seen in the area of Mill Valley.
They have a perimeter set up and Mill Valley, Sausalito and Tiburon
are assisting in addition to the Marin SO. Novato is sending a canine
unit also.
10:55 pm

Frequencies
Mill Valley, Sausalito PD-39.880
Marin Sheriff-39.240
Control 2-39.520

Location-Redwood and Hamilton

Robert
BayScan

37403. MARIN 46064 TIME 2237

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 06:38:54 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37403 Message: 37403

MVPD CODE 33

SUSPECT HAS WEAPON MAY BE INVOLVED IN FELONY CRIME,

PERMETER BEING ESTABLISHED, SHELTER BAY, HAMILTON NEAR PD, AND (???)

MOVE TO TAC 2, PAGE SUPERVISOR AND K UNIT

==========

zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37404. Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 18:33:41 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37404 Message: 37404

UPDATE - Fire Attack advises they have made access to active fire and are knocking it down at this time. Requests ventilation for the 4th floor and backup batteries.

Mountain View Fire working some type of fire in or near room 405 at El Camino Hospital on the fourth floor.

GRANT IC advising light smoke on the 4th floor that appears to be coming from some kind of vault adjacent to patient rooms.

ENGINE 4 (water supply - standpipe - RIC)
ENGINE 2 (fire attack)
ENGINE 3 (investigation on 5th floor)
TRUCK 1 (meeting up with fire attack)
COUNTY ENGINE 16 (investigation on 3rd floor)
BATTALION 18 (IC)

Working on Mountain View Fire Primary - 154.025

37405. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 18:41:31 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37404 Message: 37405

GRANT IC calling a second alarm at this time (manpower).

Fire Attack (Div. 4) request to go negative pressure on 4th floor to contain smoke and need to check for extension on the 5th floor and orders two additional dry-chem extinguishers to be delivered. Also advises they have broken out a window and are using a blower to evac smoke.

ENGINE 3 (Div. 5) crew reports light to moderate smoke on the 5th floor via open laundry chute, but no fire and no apparent extension, with all patients evacuated.

Fire Attack (Div. 4) advising fire knocked down and heading to 4th floor for ventilation and to deliver a dry chem extinguisher.

On Feb 22, 2005, at 10:33 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
>
> UPDATE - Fire Attack advises they have made access to active fire and
> are knocking it
> down at this time. Requests ventilation for the 4th floor and backup
> batteries.
>
> ----------------
>
> Mountain View Fire working some type of fire in or near room 405 at El
> Camino
> Hospital on the fourth floor.
>
> GRANT IC advising light smoke on the 4th floor that appears to be
> coming from some
> kind of vault adjacent to patient rooms.
>
> ENGINE 4 (water supply - standpipe - RIC)
> ENGINE 2 (fire attack)
> ENGINE 3 (investigation on 5th floor)
> TRUCK 1 (meeting up with fire attack)
> COUNTY ENGINE 16 (investigation on 3rd floor)
> BATTALION 18 (IC)
>
> Working on Mountain View Fire Primary - 154.025

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37406. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 18:48:08 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37404 Message: 37406

Report of moderate smoke on 6th floor with approximately 25 patients
needing evacuation. Request for additional manpower to assist with
evac.

RESPONDING UNITS - SECOND ALARM (partial)

18A6 - 2nd Alarm BC
ENGINE 5

Staging on South Drive with IC on loading dock.

On Feb 22, 2005, at 10:41 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> GRANT IC calling a second alarm at this time (manpower).
>
> Fire Attack (Div. 4) request to go negative pressure on 4th floor to
> contain smoke and need to check for extension on the 5th floor and
> orders two additional dry-chem extinguishers to be delivered. Also
> advises they have broken out a window and are using a blower to evac
> smoke.
>
> ENGINE 3 (Div. 5) crew reports light to moderate smoke on the 5th floor
> via open laundry chute, but no fire and no apparent extension, with all
> patients evacuated.
>
> Fire Attack (Div. 4) advising fire knocked down and heading to 4th
> floor for ventilation and to deliver a dry chem extinguisher.
>
> On Feb 22, 2005, at 10:33 AM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> UPDATE - Fire Attack advises they have made access to active fire and
>> are knocking it
>> down at this time. Requests ventilation for the 4th floor and backup
>> batteries.
>>
>> ----------------
>>
>> Mountain View Fire working some type of fire in or near room 405 at El
>> Camino
>> Hospital on the fourth floor.
>>
>> GRANT IC advising light smoke on the 4th floor that appears to be
>> coming from some

>> kind of vault adjacent to patient rooms.
>>
>> ENGINE 4 (water supply - standpipe - RIC)
>> ENGINE 2 (fire attack)
>> ENGINE 3 (investigation on 5th floor)
>> TRUCK 1 (meeting up with fire attack)
>> COUNTY ENGINE 16 (investigation on 3rd floor)
>> BATTALION 18 (IC)
>>
>> Working on Mountain View Fire Primary - 154.025

37407. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 18:52:31 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37404 Message: 37407

UPDATE - SECOND ALARM EQUIPMENT

COUNTY ENGINE 7
COUNTY ENGINE 15
COUNTY TRUCK 14
COUNTY BATTALION 7
MOUNTAIN VIEW 18K1
MOUNTAIN VIEW 18A6
MOUNTAIN VIEW ENGINE 5

FIRE ASSOCIATES responding as well.

> Report of moderate smoke on 6th floor with approximately 25 patients
> needing evacuation. Request for additional manpower to assist with
> evac.
>
> RESPONDING UNITS - SECOND ALARM (partial)
>
> 18A6 - 2nd Alarm BC
> ENGINE 5
>
> Staging on South Drive with IC on loading dock.
>
>
> On Feb 22, 2005, at 10:41 AM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>>
>> GRANT IC calling a second alarm at this time (manpower).
>>
>> Fire Attack (Div. 4) request to go negative pressure on 4th floor to
>> contain smoke and need to check for extension on the 5th floor and
>> orders two additional dry-chem extinguishers to be delivered. Also
>> advises they have broken out a window and are using a blower to evac
>> smoke.
>>
>> ENGINE 3 (Div. 5) crew reports light to moderate smoke on the 5th
>> floor
>> via open laundry chute, but no fire and no apparent extension, with
>> all
>> patients evacuated.
>>
>> Fire Attack (Div. 4) advising fire knocked down and heading to 4th
>> floor for ventilation and to deliver a dry chem extinguisher.
>>
>> On Feb 22, 2005, at 10:33 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>>
>>>
>>>
>>> UPDATE - Fire Attack advises they have made access to active fire and
>>> are knocking it
>>> down at this time. Requests ventilation for the 4th floor and backup
>>> batteries.
>>>
>>> ----------------
>>>
>>> Mountain View Fire working some type of fire in or near room 405 at
>>> El
>>> Camino
>>> Hospital on the fourth floor.
>>>
>>> GRANT IC advising light smoke on the 4th floor that appears to be
>>> coming from some
>>> kind of vault adjacent to patient rooms.
>>>
>>> ENGINE 4 (water supply - standpipe - RIC)
>>> ENGINE 2 (fire attack)
>>> ENGINE 3 (investigation on 5th floor)
>>> TRUCK 1 (meeting up with fire attack)
>>> COUNTY ENGINE 16 (investigation on 3rd floor)
>>> BATTALION 18 (IC)
>>>
>>> Working on Mountain View Fire Primary - 154.025
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37408. Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 18:27:05 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37404 Message: 37408

Mountain View Fire working some type of fire in or near room 405 at El Camino Hospital on the fourth floor.

GRANT IC advising light smoke on the 4th floor that appears to be coming from area/room adjacent to patient rooms.

ENGINE 4 (water supply - standpipe)
ENGINE 2 (fire attack)
ENGINE 3 (investigation on 5th floor)
TRUCK 1 (meeting up with fire attack)
COUNTY ENGINE 16 (investigation on 3rd floor)
BATTALION 18 (IC)

Working on Mountain View Fire Primary - 154.025

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37409. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 19:03:37 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37404 Message: 37409

Division 4 (4th floor) update advising MV E2 crew, and MV TRUCK 1 crew on 4th floor, COUNTY ENGINE 16 back to staging for new bottles and rehab, smoke condition moderate, fire ready for overhaul phase and secondary search in progress.

Division 5 (5th floor) calling all clear on secondary search with light smoke remaining.

COUNTY ENGINE 7 - bottling up and bringing hi-rise hose strip
COUNTY ENGINE 15 - bottling up and bringing hi-rise hose strip

This will be the last update unless conditions warrant.

On Feb 22, 2005, at 10:52 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

> UPDATE - SECOND ALARM EQUIPMENT
>
> COUNTY ENGINE 7
> COUNTY ENGINE 15
> COUNTY TRUCK 14
> COUNTY BATTALION 7
> MOUNTAIN VIEW 18K1
> MOUNTAIN VIEW 18A6
> MOUNTAIN VIEW ENGINE 5
>
> FIRE ASSOCIATES responding as well.
>
>> Report of moderate smoke on 6th floor with approximately 25 patients
>> needing evacuation. Request for additional manpower to assist with
>> evac.
>>
>> RESPONDING UNITS - SECOND ALARM (partial)
>>
>> 18A6 - 2nd Alarm BC
>> ENGINE 5
>>
>> Staging on South Drive with IC on loading dock.
>>
>>
>> On Feb 22, 2005, at 10:41 AM, Craig Rose wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> GRANT IC calling a second alarm at this time (manpower).
>>>

>>> Fire Attack (Div. 4) request to go negative pressure on 4th floor to
>>> contain smoke and need to check for extension on the 5th floor and
>>> orders two additional dry-chem extinguishers to be delivered. Also
>>> advises they have broken out a window and are using a blower to evac
>>> smoke.
>>>
>>> ENGINE 3 (Div. 5) crew reports light to moderate smoke on the 5th
>>> floor
>>> via open laundry chute, but no fire and no apparent extension, with
>>> all
>>> patients evacuated.
>>>
>>> Fire Attack (Div. 4) advising fire knocked down and heading to 4th
>>> floor for ventilation and to deliver a dry chem extinguisher.

37410. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital /

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 20:14:24 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37404 Message: 37410

would that be back-up radio batteries? ;>

>
>>
>>
>> UPDATE - Fire Attack advises they have made access to active fire and
>> are knocking it
>> down at this time. Requests ventilation for the 4th floor and backup
>> batteries.
>>
>> ----------------
>>
>> Mountain View Fire working some type of fire in or near room 405 at El
>> Camino
>> Hospital on the fourth floor.
>>
>> GRANT IC advising light smoke on the 4th floor that appears to be
>> coming from some
>> kind of vault adjacent to patient rooms.
>>
>> ENGINE 4 (water supply - standpipe - RIC)
>> ENGINE 2 (fire attack)
>> ENGINE 3 (investigation on 5th floor)
>> TRUCK 1 (meeting up with fire attack)
>> COUNTY ENGINE 16 (investigation on 3rd floor)
>> BATTALION 18 (IC)
>>
>> Working on Mountain View Fire Primary - 154.025
>
>
> --
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> --
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37411. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital /

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 20:44:00 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37404 Message: 37411

Hi Nina -

Ya know, I'm in the dark as to what batteries they were referring to.

Oh, and by the way, I didn't forget about you...it's been absolutely
insane lately. ;^)

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

On Feb 22, 2005, at 12:14 PM, nina zhito wrote:

> would that be back-up radio batteries? ;>

37412. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital /

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 20:52:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37404 Message: 37412

Nina , I sure it would.....btw , El Camino hospital is NOT on
El Camino LOL (in case some thought)

it on Grant road , about 8 blocks west of El Camino.

thanks Craig ....missed it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37413. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital /

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 20:59:16 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37404 Message: 37413

No problem, Ed.

On Feb 22, 2005, at 12:52 PM, caladded@... wrote:

> thanks Craig ....missed it.

37414. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital /

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 20:58:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37404 Message: 37414

At 12:44 PM 2/22/2005, you wrote:
>Hi Nina -
>
>Ya know, I'm in the dark as to what batteries they were referring to.

I would hope they are back up batteries for life support and mission
critical things.. :)

Evan

37415. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Fire - El Camino Hospital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 21:35:08 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37404 Message: 37415

At 10:27 AM 2/22/2005, you wrote:

>Mountain View Fire working some type of fire in or near room 405 at El
>Camino Hospital on the fourth floor.

Yuck.. nasty, nasty stuff.

4th floor is all surgical recovery. Not exactly easy to move everybody.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37416. San Rafael Garage Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:18:31 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37416 Message: 37416

JUST called 2nd Alarm: E56 E58 T57 M56 E50

1st Alarm: T54 E51 E52 M51 E55

182 Mission, San Rafael

This'll be on MERA...

26448 - Control E3

Working fire on:

26480 - Tactical E4

14:19 hours

-Inigo

37417. Re: San Rafael Garage Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:28:47 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37416 Message: 37417

They have it put out now, MISSION IC is releasing units.

Where are E50 and E58 quartered? Station 1?

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> JUST called 2nd Alarm: E56 E58 T57 M56 E50
>
> 1st Alarm: T54 E51 E52 M51 E55
>
> 182 Mission, San Rafael
>
> This'll be on MERA...
>
> 26448 - Control E3
>
> Working fire on:
>
> 26480 - Tactical E4
>
> 14:19 hours
>
> -Inigo

37418. Gilroy 2nd Alarm Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:32:13 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37418 Message: 37418

City of Gilroy working a 2nd alarm structure fire at 6900 Chestnut.

I don't have any details on this fire other than CDF is assisting with
at least one engine at this time.

CDF - 151.445

-C-

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37419. 2nd alarm Gilroy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:31:33 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37419 Message: 37419

Commercial building fire ....

2900 Chestnut

1431 hours

154.34
151.445 M/A South S.Clara M/A Morgan Hill

37420. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Rafael Garage Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:32:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37416 Message: 37420

58 is Marinwood 50 ???

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37421. Re: [bayscan] Gilroy 2nd Alarm Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:39:13 UTC
From: F350 CC LB PSD Mud Bogger
Topic: 37418 Message: 37421

Looks like it's a stripmall, listed are House of Toys, Impact Garage &
Car Audio, D Mart and Crystal Fashion a few others listed at that
address too.

Craig Rose wrote:

> City of Gilroy working a 2nd alarm structure fire at 6900 Chestnut.
>
> I don't have any details on this fire other than CDF is assisting with
> at least one engine at this time.
>
> CDF - 151.445
>
> -C-
>
> ---
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>
> craig@...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> ---
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129a8afik/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1109197943/A=2343726/R=0/SIG=12ijn8mrv/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon0
1900091von/direct/01/&time=1109111543075402>
>
>
> Get unlimited calls to
>
> U.S./Canada
>
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129a8afik/M=324658.6070095.7083352.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1109197943/A=2343726/R=1/SIG=12ijn8mrv/*http://clk.atdmt.com/VON/go/yhxxxvon0
1900091von/direct/01/&time=1109111543075402>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

--
If you can't stop-Smile as you go under.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37422. Re: [bayscan] Gilroy 2nd Alarm Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:43:03 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37418 Message: 37422

Thanks for that info!

On Feb 22, 2005, at 2:39 PM, F350 CC LB PSD Mud Bogger wrote:

> Looks like it's a stripmall, listed are House of Toys, Impact Garage &
> Car Audio, D Mart and Crystal Fashion a few others listed at that
> address too.

37423. RE: [bayscan] San Rafael Garage Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:51:12 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37416 Message: 37423

Hey Inigo,

You're hearing San Rafael Fire running drills on future San Rafael Fire MERA TG's 26448 and 26480.

Normal emergency and non-emergency San Rafael Fire radio traffic can still be heard on 46.20 and simulcast on TG 26416.....at least until San Rafael Fire switches completely to MERA which I hear will be soon.

-Roger 14:49
-----Original Message-----
From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@...]
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2005 2:19 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] San Rafael Garage Fire

JUST called 2nd Alarm: E56 E58 T57 M56 E50

1st Alarm: T54 E51 E52 M51 E55

182 Mission, San Rafael

This'll be on MERA...

26448 - Control E3

Working fire on:

26480 - Tactical E4

14:19 hours

-Inigo

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37424. DIFFERENT San Rafael 2nd Alarm Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:51:56 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37424 Message: 37424

2nd Alarm: E58 T57 E56 M56
1st Alarm: M51 E55 E51 E52

Poor San Rafael Fire Department is getting a workout today. Incident Commander is Marina IC. Don't even know the address. Just started a 3rd alarm!.

Same TGs, Tac E4 working the fire.

-Inigo

37425. Re: San Rafael Garage Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:54:33 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37416 Message: 37425

No kiddin? Darn it certainly fooled me! Where are they running the
drills?

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> Hey Inigo,
>
> You're hearing San Rafael Fire running drills on future San Rafael
Fire MERA
> TG's 26448 and 26480.
>
> Normal emergency and non-emergency San Rafael Fire radio traffic
can still
> be heard on 46.20 and simulcast on TG 26416.....at least until San
Rafael
> Fire switches completely to MERA which I hear will be soon.
>
> -Roger 14:49
> -----Original Message-----
> From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@m...]
> Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2005 2:19 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] San Rafael Garage Fire
>
>
>
> JUST called 2nd Alarm: E56 E58 T57 M56 E50
>
> 1st Alarm: T54 E51 E52 M51 E55
>
> 182 Mission, San Rafael
>
> This'll be on MERA...
>
> 26448 - Control E3
>
> Working fire on:
>
> 26480 - Tactical E4
>
> 14:19 hours
>
> -Inigo

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37426. Re: DIFFERENT San Rafael 2nd Alarm Fire --- DRILL

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 22:55:39 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37424 Message: 37426

DISREGARD it's a drill. Sorry guys it sure fooled me... would explain why San Rafael had two 3 alarm fires within ten minutes of each other. LOL

-Inigo
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> 2nd Alarm: E58 T57 E56 M56
> 1st Alarm: M51 E55 E51 E52
>
> Poor San Rafael Fire Department is getting a workout today.
Incident
> Commander is Marina IC. Don't even know the address. Just started a
> 3rd alarm!.
>
> Same TGs, Tac E4 working the fire.
>
> -Inigo

37427. RE: [bayscan] DIFFERENT San Rafael 2nd Alarm Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 23:01:08 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37424 Message: 37427

The way I catch the drills is I hear multiple engines supposedly responding CODE 3 but I hear no sirens in the background of the Fire trucks radio transmissions. :-) Not to say that's the way it is all the time and every department out there running drills but that's the pattern I've seen here in San Rafael so far... ;-)

-Roger
-----Original Message-----
From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@...]
Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2005 2:52 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] DIFFERENT San Rafael 2nd Alarm Fire

2nd Alarm: E58 T57 E56 M56
1st Alarm: M51 E55 E51 E52

Poor San Rafael Fire Department is getting a workout today. Incident Commander is Marina IC. Don't even know the address. Just started a 3rd alarm!.

Same TGs, Tac E4 working the fire.

-Inigo

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37428. Re: DIFFERENT San Rafael 2nd Alarm Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 23:06:25 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37424 Message: 37428

Yeah, I was thinking they sounded WAY too calm, and all the same
units in east San Rafael by Mission then appeared in Marina 5 minutes
later. Happened way too fast. It was really interesting to listen to
their radio procedures though.

-Inigo

P.S.- Found a new MERA TG this morning I'll email you offlist about.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> The way I catch the drills is I hear multiple engines supposedly
responding
> CODE 3 but I hear no sirens in the background of the Fire trucks
radio
> transmissions. :-) Not to say that's the way it is all the time and
every
> department out there running drills but that's the pattern I've
seen here in
> San Rafael so far... ;-)
>
> -Roger
> -----Original Message-----
> From: inigo4688 [mailto:inigo.markle@m...]
> Sent: Tuesday, February 22, 2005 2:52 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] DIFFERENT San Rafael 2nd Alarm Fire
>
>
>
> 2nd Alarm: E58 T57 E56 M56
> 1st Alarm: M51 E55 E51 E52
>
> Poor San Rafael Fire Department is getting a workout today.
Incident
> Commander is Marina IC. Don't even know the address. Just started
a
> 3rd alarm!.
>
> Same TGs, Tac E4 working the fire.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37429. Weather

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-22 23:38:39 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37429 Message: 37429

For those in the South Bay - if you haven't looked outside, you should. Thunderstorm passing over San Jose/Santa Clara as I type this. Fun to listen to aircraft...

121.300 Norcal Departure
120.100 Norcal Approach
124.000 SJC Tower

-C-

37430. Hazmat - Belmont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 02:05:46 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37430 Message: 37430

South County Fire working a full hazmat at International House of Pancakes (IHOP) 510 El Camino Real @ Davey Glenn way.

unknown type leak

Control 1 - 153.89 Command
Control 5 - 156.105 Tac
Control 32 - 153.83 Hazmat Entry team

Belmont Police 488.4875

Engines 14 - 15 Truck 13 Battalion 4 (IC) Battalion 3
Hazmat 13 Hazmat 30 AMR(BLS) OES 21 OES 22

1804 hours

Ed

37431. Scanners Unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 03:14:44 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 5038 Message: 37431

Anyone know where Glen is moving to?

37432. Re: [bayscan] Scanners Unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 03:44:06 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 5038 Message: 37432

huh?

Ed Tobiasz wrote:

>Anyone know where Glen is moving to?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37433. Re: [bayscan] Scanners Unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 03:48:03 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 5038 Message: 37433

At 07:44 PM 2/22/2005, you wrote:
>huh?

Based on the subject, my guess is Scanners Unlimited is moving.

All news to me...

Evan

37434. Re: [bayscan] Scanners Unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 03:56:45 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 5038 Message: 37434

I got the impression that he depended more on Internet sales than walk in sales. If that is the case, he might be closing the shop and just selling on line. I'm sure half the bay area customers were buying at the store but getting the Internet price. At least, that is what I did.

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 07:44 PM 2/22/2005, you wrote:
>
>>huh?
>
>
> Based on the subject, my guess is Scanners Unlimited is moving.
>
> All news to me...
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37435. Re: [bayscan] Scanners Unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 04:02:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 5038 Message: 37435

At 07:56 PM 2/22/2005, you wrote:
>I got the impression that he depended more on Internet sales than walk
>in sales. If that is the case, he might be closing the shop and just
>selling on line. I'm sure half the bay area customers were buying at the
>store but getting the Internet price. At least, that is what I did.

Wouldn't surprise me. His regular prices were (are?) way overpriced. Hard
to compete with HRO or any other mail order shop.

37436. Re: Fed Correctional Inst. Dublin.???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 06:47:08 UTC
From: Wayne
Topic: 37191 Message: 37436

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "John Gotti" <bayareascanman@y...> wrote:
>
> Has anyone ever tracked this system? Radio Reference says its a mix
> of Analog and APCO 25 and if so how is it entered in say a BC 780 or
> Pro 95

This system is digital only. Somehow the system was changed on Radio Reference but I've changed it back.

I used to listen to it but it's pretty boring in my opinion. Open this gate, close gate, open that gate, close, etc etc.

-Wayne

37437. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fed Correctional Inst. Dublin.???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 08:29:47 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37191 Message: 37437

One of the problems with a website like radioreference is someone
submits information that they think is correct, but actually it replaces
the information in the database that WAS correct. I'm not sure how you
solve that problem.

Wayne wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "John Gotti" <bayareascanman@y...> wrote:
>
>> Has anyone ever tracked this system? Radio Reference says its a mix
>>of Analog and APCO 25 and if so how is it entered in say a BC 780 or
>>Pro 95
>
>
> This system is digital only. Somehow the system was changed on Radio
> Reference but I've changed it back.
>
> I used to listen to it but it's pretty boring in my opinion. Open this
> gate, close gate, open that gate, close, etc etc.
>
> -Wayne
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37438. Scanners Unlimited Is Moving

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 14:15:03 UTC
From: scannerunl2002
Topic: 37438 Message: 37438

Hi,

In order to better serve our retail customers, we are moving to a newer and larger space. The address and directions to the new store will be posted on our web site in a few days. We depend upon our loyal and repeat retail customers, as much as our mail order customers, and will continue to serve all of them with personal service, both in the store and on the phone.

Please stop by the new store if you are in the Bay Area, after we open (hopefully on March 1st).

Glenn Cohen, Scanners Unlimited

37439. SJFD station 18 info?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 19:31:46 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 37439 Message: 37439

Hey Guys,

I was wondering if anyone could point me to a website or give me some more info on Station 18? My friend is doing her EMT ride along with them and wanted to get a little for her. Anything would be greatly appreciated.

~Sagar

37440. Re: [bayscan] Scanners Unlimited Is Moving

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 19:45:06 UTC
From: Nobag (Mike)
Topic: 37438 Message: 37440

Hi Glenn,

Thanks for giving out that information, as a replete customer I sure would like to thank you for the years of great service that you have provided me and others.

I look forward to seeing your new shop.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 02/23/05 06:16:39
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Scanners Unlimited Is Moving

Hi,

In order to better serve our retail customers, we are moving to a newer and larger space. The address and directions to the new store will be posted on our web site in a few days. We depend upon our loyal and repeat retail customers, as much as our mail order customers, and will continue to serve all of them with personal service, both in the store and on the phone.

Please stop by the new store if you are in the Bay Area, after we open (hopefully on March 1st).

Glenn Cohen, Scanners Unlimited

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37441. Re: [bayscan] SJFD station 18 info?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 20:09:35 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37439 Message: 37441

At 11:31 AM 2/23/2005, you wrote:

>Hey Guys,
>
>I was wondering if anyone could point me to a website or give me some
>more info on Station 18? My friend is doing her EMT ride along with
>them and wanted to get a little for her. Anything would be greatly
>appreciated.

Not much information I can provide, but I did a google search and came up
with a darn good resource page:

http://www.scvmed.org/channel/0,4770,chid%253D58863%2526sid%253D10710,00.html

:)

Evan

37442. Re: SJFD station 18 info?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 20:32:13 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 37439 Message: 37442

Yeah, I saw that too. The map is helpful. Any clue as to what is based there, ie engines, trucks, etc? I'm planning on trying to listen to some Freqs and see if I can tell what she is responding to. I found this page http://www.sccfd.org/frequencies.html and i will plug in some of them. Do you guys think this is possible? I think if I figure out what equimpent #'s they have and then listen to the main dispatch (is there one?) and try to google a list of what the codes mean...that would be interesting...

~sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 11:31 AM 2/23/2005, you wrote:
>
> >Hey Guys,
> >
> >I was wondering if anyone could point me to a website or give me some
> >more info on Station 18? My friend is doing her EMT ride along with
> >them and wanted to get a little for her. Anything would be greatly
> >appreciated.
>
> Not much information I can provide, but I did a google search and came up
> with a darn good resource page:
>
> http://www.scvmed.org/channel/0,4770,chid%253D58863%2526sid%253D10710,00.html
>
> :)
>
> Evan

37443. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJFD station 18 info?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 20:39:11 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37439 Message: 37443

Hi Sagar -

Should be as follows:

ENGINE 18
TRUCK 18 / RESCUE-MEDIC 18 (STAR Unit)
WATER TENDER 18

On Feb 23, 2005, at 12:32 PM, blueangel_78 wrote:

>
>
> Yeah, I saw that too. The map is helpful. Any clue as to what is
> based there, ie engines, trucks, etc? I'm planning on trying to
> listen to some Freqs and see if I can tell what she is responding
> to. I found this page http://www.sccfd.org/frequencies.html and i
> will plug in some of them. Do you guys think this is possible? I
> think if I figure out what equimpent #'s they have and then listen to
> the main dispatch (is there one?) and try to google a list of what
> the codes mean...that would be interesting...
>
> ~sagar

37444. Re: SJFD station 18 info?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 21:29:19 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 37439 Message: 37444

Thanks Craig! Any freqs I should focus on?

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> Hi Sagar -
>
> Should be as follows:
>
> ENGINE 18
> TRUCK 18 / RESCUE-MEDIC 18 (STAR Unit)
> WATER TENDER 18
>
> On Feb 23, 2005, at 12:32 PM, blueangel_78 wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Yeah, I saw that too. The map is helpful. Any clue as to what is
> > based there, ie engines, trucks, etc? I'm planning on trying to
> > listen to some Freqs and see if I can tell what she is responding
> > to. I found this page http://www.sccfd.org/frequencies.html and i
> > will plug in some of them. Do you guys think this is possible? I
> > think if I figure out what equimpent #'s they have and then
listen to
> > the main dispatch (is there one?) and try to google a list of what
> > the codes mean...that would be interesting...
> >
> > ~sagar

37445. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJFD station 18 info?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 21:50:17 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37439 Message: 37445

Definitely -

Make sure you have the following front and center:

SJFD DISPATCH - 155.025
SJFD COMMAND 3 - 154.115
SJFD COMMAND 4 - 153.980
SAN JOSE TAC 5 - 155.880

-C-

On Feb 23, 2005, at 1:29 PM, blueangel_78 wrote:

>
>
> Thanks Craig! Any freqs I should focus on?
>
> ~Sagar
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
>> Hi Sagar -
>>
>> Should be as follows:
>>
>> ENGINE 18
>> TRUCK 18 / RESCUE-MEDIC 18 (STAR Unit)
>> WATER TENDER 18
>>
>> On Feb 23, 2005, at 12:32 PM, blueangel_78 wrote:

37446. New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 21:53:47 UTC
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 3879 Message: 37446

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
group.

File : /Marin County Fire Departments.xls
Uploaded by : inigo4688 <inigo.markle@...>
Description : A scanner's guide to the fire departments in Marin County. Updated edition features frequencies, apparatus and station assignments and detailed vehicle info for the county fire department. NEW: Added the Stinson Beach FPD, Muir Beach VFD, Inverness VFD and Lucasfilm's Skywalker Fire Brigade. Also added all the newest talkgroups for the MERA Trunked Radio System. Updated: 2/23/05.

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/Marin%20County%20Fire%20Departments.xls

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files

Regards,

inigo4688 <inigo.markle@...>

37447. Police humor...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-23 22:04:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37447 Message: 37447

http://www.espphotography.com/copperclappers.wmv

In memory of Johnny Carson and Jack Webb.

This is from 1968, but could just as well have been 1998.

37448. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJFD station 18 info?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 00:03:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37439 Message: 37448

just in case 18 gets involved in mutual aid.....put in Santa Clara County Fire freqs

154.25 (main dispatch)
154.145 (tac for south county - like Morgan Hill)

as a side note , Station 18 will lose its Truck company in a couple years , when Station 35 opens over by IBM , it will become Truck 35 with Rescue-Medic 35

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37449. Re: [bayscan] Re: RIOT!!! @ The Holiday Bowl in Hayward.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 00:28:33 UTC
From: Leo G. Divinagracia III
Topic: 37341 Message: 37449

Ed Tobiasz wrote:

>
> Don't you mean Cal State East Bay? :)
>

hey... i resemble that remark... ;)

--
Leo G. Divinagracia III
ldivinag@...

zzzzz

37450. [bayscan] SJFD station rebuilding and new construction

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 00:29:16 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 37439 Message: 37450

Just in case anyone wants to know... I looked all this up for Ed, and for any other SJFD fans here's what's happening in the building and remodelings of fire stations:

Station 33, the privately funded constructed station, is rumored to be at Curtner and Almaden Expressway. I thought it was supposed to be near Hilsdale and Pearl. I can't find anything official on it.

New Fire Station Projects

Fire Station No. 24/36 (Silver Creek/Yerba Buena) - Staff are coordinating final assessments of a City-owned site for Fire Station No. 24/36 near Silver Creek and Yerba Buena Roads.

Fire Station No. 34 (Berryessa) A site on Las Plumas Ave was acquired to construct new Fire Station No. 34 and a north satellite training center. Design of this fire station is underway.

Fire Station No. 35 (Cottle/Poughkeepsie) The same consultant for Fire Station No. 34 is providing design services for the new Fire Station No. 35 which will be located adjacent to the Southside Community Center. Design of this fire station is in progress.

Fire Station No. 37 (Willow Glen) Staff is identifying search areas for siting this new station.

Fire Station Relocation Projects

Fire Station No. 12 (Calero) The City is under negotiations with a property owner for the relocation of Fire Station No.12 (Calero).

Fire Station No. 17 (Cambrian) The City purchased a site on Blossom Hill Road for the relocation of the station. Design is underway.

Fire Station No. 21 (White Road) Staff are assessing various site options near the vicinity of White Road and Cunningham Avenue for the relocation of Fire Station No. 21.

Fire Station No. 23 (North East SJ) The Fire Department is currently evaluating sites for this station relocation project.

Fire Station No. 25 (Alviso) Preliminary design and environmental clearance work are underway.

Construction has begun to rehabilitate Fire Station No. 7 (Emory St).

Fire station emergency generators will be installed in batches to achieve economy-of-scale with installation of multiple units. The first batch of generators will be installed in Fire Station numbers:

4 (Leigh Ave), 13 (Pearl Ave), and 26 (Tully Rd). Design and permitting are underway with construction scheduled to begin Spring 2004. In addition, the project teams have begun

design work on fire station upgrade projects at various stations including Fire Station Numbers 5 (N 10th St), 10 (S Monroe St), and 14 (San Tomas Aquino Rd).

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37451. Some er um interesting talk on 3840lsb

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 02:03:43 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37451 Message: 37451

Warning: This message is not approved by Michael Powell. I haven't heard such language since that time the FBI forgot to use their encryption.
www.lazygranch.com/sound/3840alphahotel.wav

37452. For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 02:34:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37452 Message: 37452

Do I have any legal right to my background investigation paperwork? The department refuses to tell you why you didn't pas background...So I am totally in the dark as to what hung me up. Can I sue for my paperwork under the freedom of information act?

Ben

37453. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 02:58:06 UTC
From: Nobag (Mike)
Topic: 37452 Message: 37453

Hi Ben,

Believe it or not, most Public Safety agencies can and do reject candidates for any number of reasons. It could be something as minor as a family member or X-Wife that may have made a statement that they did not like, or something in your medical background that they feel would make you a candidate for early retirement.

You do have a right to find out what it was, but generally it will take the assistance of a lawyer.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 02/23/05 18:35:31
To: ScanningCenCal; bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Do I have any legal right to my background investigation paperwork? The department refuses to tell you why you didn't pas background...So I am totally in the dark as to what hung me up. Can I sue for my paperwork under the freedom of information act?

Ben

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37454. Re: [SCANNINGCENCAL] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 03:11:08 UTC
From: Harry Marnell
Topic: 37454 Message: 37454

Ben wrote:
> Do I have any legal right to my background investigation paperwork?

Probably not.

> The department refuses to tell you why you didn't pas background...So
> I am
> totally in the dark as to what hung me up. Can I sue for my paperwork
> under the freedom of information act?

Not unless it's a federal agency, if even then.

State and local agencies in California are not covered by the FOIA, but rather by the "California Public Records Act", which is in the California Government Code, ?? 6250 - 6276.

Under section 6254 (f) exempt from disclosure are "Records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by...any state or local police agency, or any investigatory or security files compiled by any other state or local police agency..(blah blah blah)... [and], nothing in this division shall require the disclosure of that portion of those investigative files that reflect the analysis or conclusions of the investigating officer."

That's heavily edited. At any rate, "suing" is several steps down the road. You have to request the specific documents/information you want, and they have to either provide you access or tell you they won't. THEN you could take your chances with a court.

My guess is that even if an agency DID release the information, it would probably be very heavily redacted to protect the privacy of anyone who provided them with any information about you.

hm

37455. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 03:15:17 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37452 Message: 37455

FOIA is a federal act, but we just approved something similar in a proposition in the last election.

Ben wrote:
>
>
> Do I have any legal right to my background investigation paperwork? The
> department refuses to tell you why you didn't pas background...So I am
> totally in the dark as to what hung me up. Can I sue for my paperwork
> under the freedom of information act?
>
>
> Ben
>

37456. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 03:22:07 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37452 Message: 37456

I could care less who said it. All i want is to know what specific
categories I failed on. I have no criminal recored WHATSOEVER... so i'm lost

Nobag (Mike) wrote:

>Hi Ben,
>
>Believe it or not, most Public Safety agencies can and do reject candidates
>for any number of reasons. It could be something as minor as a family member
>or X-Wife that may have made a statement that they did not like, or
>something in your medical background that they feel would make you a
>candidate for early retirement.
>
>You do have a right to find out what it was, but generally it will take the
>assistance of a lawyer.
>
>nobag@...
>Mike (BikerMike)
>CA.
>U.S.A.
>Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
>Owner: U.P.I.P.
>
>"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
>happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
>-------Original Message-------
>
>From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>Date: 02/23/05 18:35:31
>To: ScanningCenCal; bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most
>part: Public Safety Background check question
>
>
>
>Do I have any legal right to my background investigation paperwork? The
>department refuses to tell you why you didn't pas background...So I am
>totally in the dark as to what hung me up. Can I sue for my paperwork
>under the freedom of information act?
>
>
>Ben
>
>

>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>To visit your group on the web, go to:
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
>To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37457. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 03:25:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37452 Message: 37457

Would it be worth it to hire someone to conduct my own background check
to see what comes up...Or do people even do that sort of thing?

gary wrote:

>FOIA is a federal act, but we just approved something similar in a
>proposition in the last election.
>
>
>
>Ben wrote:
>
>
>>Do I have any legal right to my background investigation paperwork? The
>>department refuses to tell you why you didn't pas background...So I am
>>totally in the dark as to what hung me up. Can I sue for my paperwork
>>under the freedom of information act?
>>
>>
>>Ben
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37458. Re: [SCANNINGCENCAL] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 03:54:43 UTC
From: Harry Marnell
Topic: 37454 Message: 37458

Ben wrote:
> I talked to the BGI at the start and he stated his department doesn't
> reveal why a candidate fails a background check. Its so frustrating.
> I
> have no criminal record and i get failed.. totally discouraging.

Yes, it sure CAN be discouraging. I haven't seen any recent numbers, but my guess is that probably way less than 10% of applicants manage to land a job with a PD/FD, whether for a sworn or non-sworn position. And I doubt that a criminal record is very often the reason.

As stiff as the competition for these jobs is, the agency has the luxury of selecting from the (apparent "best of the best' by the time they get to the hiring decision.. and only one person can be chosen for each opening.

Over my checkered career I applied to probably 20 LEAs, several of them repeatedly. Only two of them ever told me why I didn't make the cut... one said "immaturity", which was undoubetly true, as I WAS an immature 21-year old, and the other was an old "minor" health problem. So I kept plugging away, applying *everywhere.* Finally got the dream job. And a couple others years later.

If my 10% guess is accurate, I'd suggest you go apply at at least nine more agencies to even up your odds. And each application, each exam, each interview helps prepare you even more for the NEXT one.

hm

37459. Re: Some er um interesting talk on 3840lsb

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 04:10:30 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 37451 Message: 37459

that's the freq Art Bell hangs out on and the discourse has been civil the times i have listened in.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> Warning: This message is not approved by Michael Powell. I haven't heard
> such language since that time the FBI forgot to use their encryption.
> www.lazygranch.com/sound/3840alphahotel.wav

37460. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 04:35:22 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 37452 Message: 37460

I went through a background check awhile back and I was told that in California they have to give results by state law
So If I was you I would do some research on Google.
If you have no criminal problems how's your credit? they use that too as stupid and irrelevant it could be.
Bob
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2005 7:25 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Would it be worth it to hire someone to conduct my own background check
to see what comes up...Or do people even do that sort of thing?

gary wrote:

>FOIA is a federal act, but we just approved something similar in a
>proposition in the last election.
>
>
>
>Ben wrote:
>
>
>>Do I have any legal right to my background investigation paperwork? The
>>department refuses to tell you why you didn't pas background...So I am
>>totally in the dark as to what hung me up. Can I sue for my paperwork
>>under the freedom of information act?
>>
>>
>>Ben
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37461. Re: [bayscan] Re: Some er um interesting talk on 3840lsb

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 04:46:08 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37451 Message: 37461

Yes, the drunken alpha hotel is the exception to the rule. Usually it's
geek talk, antenna talk, etc.

bluebag wrote:
>
>
> that's the freq Art Bell hangs out on and the discourse has been civil the times i have
> listened in.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>Warning: This message is not approved by Michael Powell. I haven't heard
>>such language since that time the FBI forgot to use their encryption.
>>www.lazygranch.com/sound/3840alphahotel.wav
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37462. Re: [bayscan] Scanners Unlimited Is Moving

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 05:01:29 UTC
From: Hubert Stamps
Topic: 37438 Message: 37462

Ok and congratulations!

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

37463. Re: [bayscan] Re: [SCANNINGCENCAL] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Background check question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 05:23:59 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37454 Message: 37463

what good advice harry, for all of us.

thanks for the reminder.

nina

On Wednesday, Feb 23, 2005, at 19:54 US/Pacific, Harry Marnell wrote:

>
> Ben wrote:
>> I talked to the BGI at the start and he stated his department doesn't
>> reveal why a candidate fails a background check. Its so frustrating.
>> I
>> have no criminal record and i get failed.. totally discouraging.
>
> Yes, it sure CAN be discouraging. I haven't seen any recent numbers,
> but my
> guess is that probably way less than 10% of applicants manage to land
> a job
> with a PD/FD, whether for a sworn or non-sworn position. And I doubt
> that a
> criminal record is very often the reason.
>
> As stiff as the competition for these jobs is, the agency has the
> luxury of
> selecting from the (apparent "best of the best' by the time they get
> to the
> hiring decision.. and only one person can be chosen for each opening.
>
> Over my checkered career I applied to probably 20 LEAs, several of them
> repeatedly. Only two of them ever told me why I didn't make the
> cut... one
> said "immaturity", which was undoubetly true, as I WAS an immature
> 21-year
> old, and the other was an old "minor" health problem. So I kept
> plugging
> away, applying *everywhere.* Finally got the dream job. And a couple
> others years later.
>
> If my 10% guess is accurate, I'd suggest you go apply at at least nine
> more
> agencies to even up your odds. And each application, each exam, each
> interview helps prepare you even more for the NEXT one.
>
> hm

>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37464. OT: Web sight full of car crash photos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 06:34:34 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 37464 Message: 37464

I stumbled upon this web sight with great photos of vehicle accidents from around the world. I think I will be driving a bit slower now.

http://www.wreckedexotics.com (http://www.wreckedexotics.com)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37465. Re: [bayscan] OT: Web sight full of car crash photos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 06:45:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37464 Message: 37465

At 10:34 PM 2/23/2005, you wrote:
>I stumbled upon this web sight with great photos of vehicle accidents from
>around the world. I think I will be driving a bit slower now.
>
>_<http://www.wreckedexotics.com_>http://www.wreckedexotics.com_
>(http://www.wreckedexotics.com)

Before everyone starts saying the links you sent don't work.....
http://www.wreckedexotics.com/

will work (the above you sent somehow had an embedded space which most
browsers won't like. :))

Evan

37466. Re: [bayscan] OT: Web sight full of car crash photos

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 06:56:37 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 37464 Message: 37466

----- Original Message -----
From: <qualoptics@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2005 22:34
Subject: [bayscan] OT: Web sight full of car crash photos

>
> I stumbled upon this web sight with great photos of vehicle accidents from
> around the world. I think I will be driving a bit slower now.
>
> _http://www.wreckedexotics.com_ (http://www.wreckedexotics.com)

Try this one if you're considering flying as an alternative:
http://www.micom.net/oops/

--Chris

37467. For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Backg

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 07:21:13 UTC
From: collin
Topic: 37467 Message: 37467

From my experiences its as Harry put it, It could be for many reasons. I would not take it personally and move on. Just curious what was it for? Fire? PD? Dispatch? EMT? The more testing you do the better you get. and I as far as suing goes I would steer clear of that. That seems like a lot to tackle. and most definately not worth it. You think you have a hard time getting in now? See what happens if you were to sue an agency or dept. It would definately put a real damper on things I am bettin..

37468. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Pu...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 10:06:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37468 Message: 37468

Another thing, don't OVERREACT. Especially in an oral exam. Testers will throw stuff at you which has NOTHING to do with the job your are trying to get just to get your reaction. Weren't you trying for dispatcher? You would get ALL kinds of people to deal with and you can't take what they say personally.

If it has to do with financial records, they may feel people who can't handle their personal finances may not be the "best" person for the job. I say that having NO idea what your situation is.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37469. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Backg

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 16:15:02 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37467 Message: 37469

It was for a dispatch position. yeah thats true on suing.... errr.. i'm calmer now.. thanks.

collin wrote:

>>From my experiences its as Harry put it, It could be for many
>reasons. I would not take it personally and move on. Just curious
>what was it for? Fire? PD? Dispatch? EMT? The more testing you do the
>better you get. and I as far as suing goes I would steer clear of
>that. That seems like a lot to tackle. and most definately not worth
>it. You think you have a hard time getting in now? See what happens
>if you were to sue an agency or dept. It would definately put a real
>damper on things I am bettin..
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37470. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Backg

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 17:21:49 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37467 Message: 37470

Well, since nobody brought it up....do you think the PD takes a dim view
of somebody streaming their radio traffic?

Ben wrote:
> It was for a dispatch position. yeah thats true on suing.... errr.. i'm
> calmer now.. thanks.
>
> collin wrote:
>
>
>>>From my experiences its as Harry put it, It could be for many
>>reasons. I would not take it personally and move on. Just curious
>>what was it for? Fire? PD? Dispatch? EMT? The more testing you do the
>>better you get. and I as far as suing goes I would steer clear of
>>that. That seems like a lot to tackle. and most definately not worth
>>it. You think you have a hard time getting in now? See what happens
>>if you were to sue an agency or dept. It would definately put a real
>>damper on things I am bettin..
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37471. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Backg

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 19:00:26 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37467 Message: 37471

Gary.

Most of our deputies still think that because our channel 2 (155.7) is
digital that no one else can hear what we say. Even a couple of Sgts
didn't realize the availability of scanners with P25 capabilities.

As far as any agency taking a "dim view" of streaming it, who really cares?
Not to sound too brash, but if they want NOT to be heard they should all
encrypt their traffic.

Just my $.02.

Mike

gary
<yahoogroups@lazy To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
granch.com> cc:
Subject: Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part:
02/24/2005 09:21 Public Safety Backg
AM
Please respond to
bayscan

Well, since nobody brought it up....do you think the PD takes a dim view
of somebody streaming their radio traffic?

Ben wrote:
> It was for a dispatch position. yeah thats true on suing.... errr.. i'm
> calmer now.. thanks.
>
> collin wrote:
>
>
>>>From my experiences its as Harry put it, It could be for many
>>reasons. I would not take it personally and move on. Just curious
>>what was it for? Fire? PD? Dispatch? EMT? The more testing you do the
>>better you get. and I as far as suing goes I would steer clear of
>>that. That seems like a lot to tackle. and most definately not worth
>>it. You think you have a hard time getting in now? See what happens
>>if you were to sue an agency or dept. It would definately put a real
>>damper on things I am bettin..
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

37472. Re: For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Pu...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 19:06:14 UTC
From: n6uru
Topic: 37468 Message: 37472

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Another thing, don't OVERREACT. Especially in an oral exam.
Testers will
> throw stuff at you which has NOTHING to do with the job your are
trying to get
> just to get your reaction. Weren't you trying for dispatcher? You
would get
> ALL kinds of people to deal with and you can't take what they say
personally.

Also, being "discouraged", upset, angry, "not understanding why"
something happens... those emotions/feelings are very much part-and-
parcel of public safety dispatching, and more often than not you'll
just have to accept them and keep moving, in both the immediate time
that an incident is occurring, and also in the long-run about
department policies, procedures, etc. Many things happen that you
simply can't "fix" or that you'll never know the reason for.

Also, though it's unsolicited and likely something you already know,
on applications, during interviews, and especially in preparing your
BGI with info, be absolutely positively CERTAIN that you include
EVERYTHING from your past that could, might, maybe, possibly, even
remotely come up as a "negative": An unpaid movie-rental at
Blockbuster, a pissed-off girlfriend/boyfriend from 10 years ago, an
old buddy that's now in the slammer, an argument with a former
boss/co-worker, the next-door neighbor whose tree you attacked when
it hung into your yard... EVERYTHING. It may seem insignificant and
even silly both to you AND to the investigator (which is what you
probably want), but woe to the applicant who forgets something even
moderately negative and the BGI finds it. It can, even if totally
incorrectly, give the impression of less-that-total honesty/openness
on the applicant's part... and THAT is what could get you the axe
even when the incident itself is all-but-meaningless.

Dispatchers, often more than POs themselves, have instant access to
incredibly huge databases of incredibly personal, private and
confidential information about *everyone*. The slightest hint of
less-than-total honesty can be an instant disqualifier, even for the
applicant who's otherwise the leader of the pack.

And the above isn't directed an anyone in particular, especially you
Ben, but to anybody considering a career in public safety.

Harry
</soapbox>

37473. Re: For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Pu...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 19:06:15 UTC
From: n6uru
Topic: 37468 Message: 37473

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Another thing, don't OVERREACT. Especially in an oral exam.
Testers will
> throw stuff at you which has NOTHING to do with the job your are
trying to get
> just to get your reaction. Weren't you trying for dispatcher? You
would get
> ALL kinds of people to deal with and you can't take what they say
personally.

Also, being "discouraged", upset, angry, "not understanding why"
something happens... those emotions/feelings are very much part-and-
parcel of public safety dispatching, and more often than not you'll
just have to accept them and keep moving, in both the immediate time
that an incident is occurring, and also in the long-run about
department policies, procedures, etc. Many things happen that you
simply can't "fix" or that you'll never know the reason for.

Also, though it's unsolicited and likely something you already know,
on applications, during interviews, and especially in preparing your
BGI with info, be absolutely positively CERTAIN that you include
EVERYTHING from your past that could, might, maybe, possibly, even
remotely come up as a "negative": An unpaid movie-rental at
Blockbuster, a pissed-off girlfriend/boyfriend from 10 years ago, an
old buddy that's now in the slammer, an argument with a former
boss/co-worker, the next-door neighbor whose tree you attacked when
it hung into your yard... EVERYTHING. It may seem insignificant and
even silly both to you AND to the investigator (which is what you
probably want), but woe to the applicant who forgets something even
moderately negative and the BGI finds it. It can, even if totally
incorrectly, give the impression of less-that-total honesty/openness
on the applicant's part... and THAT is what could get you the axe
even when the incident itself is all-but-meaningless.

Dispatchers, often more than POs themselves, have instant access to
incredibly huge databases of incredibly personal, private and
confidential information about *everyone*. The slightest hint of
less-than-total honesty can be an instant disqualifier, even for the
applicant who's otherwise the leader of the pack.

And the above isn't directed an anyone in particular, especially you
Ben, but to anybody considering a career in public safety.

Harry
</soapbox>

37474. Novato Fire... Drill?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 19:31:35 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37474 Message: 37474

It sounds like they're reconing some smoke coming from the center of an old abandoned hospital complex. They've been really cautious so far (deciding whether they want to cut the locks, etc.) and Division A and Division B are now slowly making their way into the center of the building. Truck 6151's company is on the roof. One of the guy's in the building definitely has his mask on, and I can hear a loud audible alarm in the background, so I'm thinking this might be real. Really interesting listening even if it's not. Division A might have just found the fire.

28880 - Novato Fire Tac D4

28816 - Novato Fire CTRL D2

-Inigo

37475. Re: Novato Fire... Drill?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 19:38:44 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37474 Message: 37475

I'm thinking this isn't a drill. Hill IC just called for a second alarm and I heard his conversation with the safety officer patched onto the MAIN COUNTYWIDE dispatch TG. By the way, this conversation was on Novato Fire Command D5, a NEW MERA TALKGROUP.

-Inigo

P.S. If this is a drill I'm gonna feel really stupid lol

37476. Re: Novato Fire... Drill?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 19:51:47 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37474 Message: 37476

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> I'm thinking this isn't a drill. Hill IC just called for a second
> alarm and I heard his conversation with the safety officer patched
> onto the MAIN COUNTYWIDE dispatch TG. By the way, this conversation
> was on Novato Fire Command D5, a NEW MERA TALKGROUP.
>
> -Inigo
>
> P.S. If this is a drill I'm gonna feel really stupid lol

They put it out... cardboard debris in a closet in the middle of this abandoned hospital complex. Weird... Anyone know where that might be?

-Inigo

37477. Re: [bayscan] Re: Novato Fire... Drill?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 20:05:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37474 Message: 37477

At 11:51 AM 2/24/2005, you wrote:

>They put it out... cardboard debris in a closet in the middle of this
>abandoned hospital complex. Weird... Anyone know where that might be?

http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24407~2712361,00.html

1625 Hill Road. :)

Evan

37478. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Public Safety Backg

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 20:39:36 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37467 Message: 37478

Interesting stuff. P25 certainly limits the number of listeners.

I meant my comment in relation to Ben's problem, since he streams police
radio.

michael.mcroberts@...-clara.ca.us wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
> Gary.
>
> Most of our deputies still think that because our channel 2 (155.7) is
> digital that no one else can hear what we say. Even a couple of Sgts
> didn't realize the availability of scanners with P25 capabilities.
>
> As far as any agency taking a "dim view" of streaming it, who really cares?
> Not to sound too brash, but if they want NOT to be heard they should all
> encrypt their traffic.
>
> Just my $.02.
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>
>
> gary
> <yahoogroups@lazy To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> granch.com> cc:
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group for the most part:
> 02/24/2005 09:21 Public Safety Backg
> AM
> Please respond to
> bayscan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Well, since nobody brought it up....do you think the PD takes a dim view
> of somebody streaming their radio traffic?
>
> Ben wrote:
>
>>It was for a dispatch position. yeah thats true on suing.... errr.. i'm
>>calmer now.. thanks.
>>
>>collin wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>>From my experiences its as Harry put it, It could be for many
>>>reasons. I would not take it personally and move on. Just curious
>>>what was it for? Fire? PD? Dispatch? EMT? The more testing you do the
>>>better you get. and I as far as suing goes I would steer clear of
>>>that. That seems like a lot to tackle. and most definately not worth
>>>it. You think you have a hard time getting in now? See what happens
>>>if you were to sue an agency or dept. It would definately put a real
>>>damper on things I am bettin..
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37479. RE: [bayscan] Re: For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Pu...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 21:24:10 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 37468 Message: 37479

>confidential information about *everyone*. The slightest hint of
>less-than-total honesty can be an instant disqualifier, even for the
>applicant who's otherwise the leader of the pack.

well, that just about disqualifies 99.999999% of the population.

37480. Re: [bayscan] For the Public safety people of the group/////DRILL ON TAC 2.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 22:47:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37480 Message: 37480

Still , Many Police Officers I have met do NOT care for people with scanners.

NOTE.... North County SWAT team (San Mateo) is running a DRILL on Tac 2 / 488.7125 DRILL ONLY

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37481. Re: For the Public safety people of the group for the most part: Pu...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 22:59:46 UTC
From: n6uru
Topic: 37468 Message: 37481

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@h...> wrote:
> >confidential information about *everyone*. The slightest hint of
> >less-than-total honesty can be an instant disqualifier, even for
the
> >applicant who's otherwise the leader of the pack.
>
> well, that just about disqualifies 99.999999% of the population.

Don't know about that percentage, I'm probably not that cynical about
people. OTOH, I wouldn't want my local PD to be hiring dispatchers
(or cops) whom they feel are just "fairly honest most of the time."

37482. Re: [bayscan] Re: Novato Fire... Drill?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-24 22:26:19 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37474 Message: 37482

yah... hillside area on the south and west side of town. between
rowland and delong exits.
On Thursday, Feb 24, 2005, at 11:51 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>>
>> I'm thinking this isn't a drill. Hill IC just called for a second
>> alarm and I heard his conversation with the safety officer patched
>> onto the MAIN COUNTYWIDE dispatch TG. By the way, this conversation
>> was on Novato Fire Command D5, a NEW MERA TALKGROUP.
>>
>> -Inigo
>>
>> P.S. If this is a drill I'm gonna feel really stupid lol
>
> They put it out... cardboard debris in a closet in the middle of this
> abandoned hospital complex. Weird... Anyone know where that might be?
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37483. Re: Novato Fire... Drill?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 00:25:48 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37474 Message: 37483

These guys are too much! It was a DRILL! Hill IC is BACK up there, and they're going through the exact same steps as they did this morning. Grrr they fooled me... again. Tac D4.

Bangs head on desk

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> yah... hillside area on the south and west side of town. between
> rowland and delong exits.
> On Thursday, Feb 24, 2005, at 11:51 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

37484. Re: [bayscan] Re: Novato Fire... Drill?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 01:15:45 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37474 Message: 37484

drills are good. that's how we learn.

that's why they do it, right?

what did you learn?

On Thursday, Feb 24, 2005, at 16:25 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

>
>
> These guys are too much! It was a DRILL! Hill IC is BACK up there,
> and they're going through the exact same steps as they did this
> morning. Grrr they fooled me... again. Tac D4.
>
> *Bangs head on desk*
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>> yah... hillside area on the south and west side of town. between
>> rowland and delong exits.
>> On Thursday, Feb 24, 2005, at 11:51 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37485. San Jose Fire - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 04:00:37 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37485 Message: 37485

Tully Road at Vista Verde Drive - Rescue Response for a confirmed extrication at two vehicle traffic accident.

ENGINE 21
USAR COMPANY 16
MED 30
BATTALION 2

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

37486. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 04:06:39 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37485 Message: 37486

One patient confirmed critical and requesting second AMR rig.

On Feb 24, 2005, at 8:00 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Tully Road at Vista Verde Drive - Rescue Response for a confirmed
> extrication at two vehicle traffic accident.
>
> ENGINE 21
> USAR COMPANY 16
> MED 30
> BATTALION 2
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>
> -C-

37487. Injury T/C with extrication, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 04:46:36 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37487 Message: 37487

Lawrence Expressway & Lockenbar. Major accident with rescue.

Sunnyvale FD: 482.7125

2045 hrs

37488. Re: Injury T/C with extrication, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 04:51:54 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 37487 Message: 37488

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> Lawrence Expressway & Lockenbar. Major accident with rescue.
>
> Sunnyvale FD: 482.7125
>
> 2045 hrs

Sunnyvale Med 7 advises he hears County dispatching 2 AMR rigs.

At Lockenbar at the east side.

FD now on scene and there are 3 rigs on scene. Cancelling the truck and rescue. Sounds like there may be santa clara FD on scene.

Ok, confusing.. Santa Clara told Sunnyvale to cancel the truck and rescue. About a minute later, Santa Clara wants the truck and rescue to continue.

Confused? Me too. Sounds like it may be a hit and run too.

37489. Re: Injury T/C with extrication, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 04:53:30 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 37487 Message: 37489

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
> Sunnyvale Med 7 advises he hears County dispatching 2 AMR rigs.
>
> At Lockenbar at the east side.
>
> FD now on scene and there are 3 rigs on scene. Cancelling the truck
> and rescue. Sounds like there may be santa clara FD on scene.
>
> Ok, confusing.. Santa Clara told Sunnyvale to cancel the truck and
> rescue. About a minute later, Santa Clara wants the truck and rescue
> to continue.
>
> Confused? Me too. Sounds like it may be a hit and run too.

And we have confirmed extrication required on one vehicle.

No more updates unless warranted.

37490. Re: [bayscan] Re: Injury T/C with extrication, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 04:58:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37487 Message: 37490

Its Lockinvar .....just up the street from Homestead

Sunnyvale has Engine 4 Squad 4 Truck 2 Rescue 2 Battalion 1

Santa Clara Engine 7 and 3 Medic 5 (guessing on these)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37491. Re: Injury T/C with extrication, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 05:11:34 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37487 Message: 37491

Sometimes you have to be able to read " between the
lines" . some can do this / some can't

Without hearing S.Clara Fire units calls, I assume they
wanted THEIR Jaws via THEIR Truck 2 and Rescue 2 . However ,
Sunnyvales units were already enroute and Closer , as soon as they
became aware of it , they went ahead and had Sunnyvale continue their
Truck and Rescue 2. Intially they had these dispatched and that is
why ,at first , they cancelled Sunnyvales. Not really confusing, if
you think about it for a minute or two

Sunnyvale Fire 482.7125
Santa Clara , probably on Fire 2 TG 304

Sunnyvale Police 482.9625
Santa Clara PD TG16
CHP (this should be theirs) 42.50
Ed

2111 hours IC just extracation complete.

37492. crash on 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 06:21:49 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37492 Message: 37492

At Trousdale Hillsborough/Burlingame area , E33 calling an MCI
Alert - E 17 already enroute , Truck 34 and BC8 also going

Control 2/ 154.37

EMS / 11344 and 11296

CHP 42.080

2221 hours

Ed

37493. Re: crash on 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 06:33:13 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37492 Message: 37493

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
>
>
> N/B 280 @ Trousdale , correction on units

Engines 33 - 17 - 38 Truck 21 BCs 5 and 9 , 2 AMR + Supevisor
>
> Control 2/ 154.37
>
> EMS / 11344
>
> CHP 42.080
>
>
> Ed

37494. Chase 42.620

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 06:58:59 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 17995 Message: 37494

Chase now CHP Aqua 42.620 CHP H-30 on the way.
Advise Freemont PD.

10:58 PM

Robert
BayScan

37495. code 4 chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 07:03:26 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37495 Message: 37495

Chase ended looked like its a 23152 drunk driver.

11:02

Robert
BayScan

37496. Nasty TC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 07:06:02 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37496 Message: 37496

Check out the CAD for this accident!!!

Incident: 2003 Type: Possible Fatality Location: NB I280 AT ALEMANY BLVD Zoom Map: 687 2D Info as of: 2/24/2005 11:03:44 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
10:42PM - 1039 DOTCC
10:41PM - 1039 DOT WILL TURN ON CMS SIGN 1-2-3 CLOSED
10:40PM - CORECTION ***NB 280 1-2-3 CLOSED HAVE #4 OPEN AND RHS VEH CAN PASS
10:36PM - TRUCK ADVING TRFC CLOSURE OR LANE CLOSED AHEAD EITHER
10:34PM - 1039 RP#3 TO 1021 SF PD // PD HANDLE
10:30PM - LN 46 CONFIRM NB 280 OPEN WITH #4 AND RHS? MEDIA INQ THX
10:25PM - REQ DOT SIGN TRUCK IF AVAIL
10:18PM - 21 ADV VIS VEHS NB JNO MONTEREY 2 VEHS TURNING AROUND
10:18PM - RP #3 AT 1390 MARKET STREET IN SF AT HIS BUSINESS// WILL BE ENRT TO SFRL IF NOT NEEDED FOR FURTHER
10:16PM - 32-21 B SHFIT NB 280 ----OPEN NOW PART #4 AND RHS FOR VEHS
10:15PM - 20 SF PD -- REQ 1184 NB 280 JSO ALMENY- OFR///AREA 14M WILL CK
10:10PM - 14 ADV SB 280 AT ALEMANY #1LN OPEN
10:09PM - 32-S4, 10-1 BREAKING
10:05PM - 21 ANY UNIT ON RHS -- RELOCATE VEH -- TO BEGINNING CLOSRE ON THE SOUTH END --- GBC ;D
10:03PM - 21/NB 101 PART #4LN AND RHS WILL BE OPEN FOR TRFC
9:57PM - 14M UNIT TO REMOVE THIER VEHS ON SB SIDE SO WE CANT OPEN UP THE #1 BC D
9:55PM - 1039 CSWC FOR INFO AT 2143
9:54PM - 1039 DOTCC
9:53PM - WE HAVE CONE PATTERN SET CLOSED DOWN THE 1-2-3 W/ THE #4LN OPEN
9:51PM - S4 - NB 101 1-2-3 CLOSED --- OPEN #4
9:49PM - LINE 19 SORRY #1LN SB 101 CLOSED -- 2-3-4 OPEN
9:48PM - SB 101 CLOSED STILL 2-3-4 OPEN
9:48PM - 21 FOR S4 -- DIVERT TRFC W/ SF PD AN CHP CAR 1-2-3 CLOSED
9:48PM - 1039 DOTCC
9:46PM - 14M SB SIDE #1LN 2- 3-4 CLOSE
9:44PM - C21 W/ WINTESS TALKING WILL OPEN IT UP WHN CLR
9:44PM - SB CLR OPENING UP
9:43PM - 1039 DOTCC
9:43PM - PD 1097 ALMENAY OC WHERE TC OCCRD HAVE IT SHUT DOWN
9:42PM - CAME FRM ALMENAY OC /// BLOCKING 1-2 LNE
9:38PM - RP GAVE AT BOTH OVERPASS AND OFR
9:37PM - 1039 SF PD

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
9:43PM - CHP Unit On Scene
9:44PM - CHP Unit On Scene
9:47PM - CHP Unit On Scene
9:48PM - CHP Unit On Scene
9:56PM - CHP Unit On Scene
10:01PM - CHP Unit On Scene
10:01PM - CHP Unit On Scene
10:01PM - CHP Unit On Scene
10:27PM - CHP Unit Enroute
10:34PM - CHP Unit Enroute
11:03PM - CHP Unit On Scene

37497. [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:01:43 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37497 Message: 37497

Anyone have a trojan for this guy... Hopefully this is "ED" ed......I thought we had more mature users than this

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Employment rejection
Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2005 01:53:50 EST
From: MidnightBelcher@...
To: ben96cal@...

THE REASON YOUR APPLICATION WAS REJECTED IS BECAUSE THEY REALIZED SOMETHING ABOUT YOU THAT YOU CHOOSE TO IGNORE -- YOU ARE A MORON, BEN!

TRY APPLYING AT TACO BELL, BURGER KING, KFC, OR ANY OTHER PLACE WHERE THEY HIRE A LOT OF BLACKS & MEXICANS. YOU WILL HAVE BETTER LUCK GETTING A JOB THAT YOU ARE SUITABLE FOR.

ED

37498. Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:02:20 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37498 Message: 37498

1345 Kingfisher Way for a fully involved garage fire

Responding units include:

E4
SQ4
E3
T2
R2
MEDIC 7
B3

Working on 482.7125

-C-

37499. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:09:03 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37498 Message: 37499

IC advising this appears to be a detached garage fully involved with residents evacuated and requests animal control respond.

Updated list of resources:

ENGINE 4
SQUAD 4
ENGINE 3
ENGINE 2
TRUCK 2
RESCUE 2
MEDIC 7
BATTALION 3 (IC)

>
> 1345 Kingfisher Way for a fully involved garage fire
>
> Responding units include:
>
> E4
> SQ4
> E3
> T2
> R2
> MEDIC 7
> B3
>
> Working on 482.7125
>
> -C-

37500. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:09:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37500

Good Morning Craig ,,,,,,,,,,think they got E2 also..........only got 3 patrol as they have numerous unit at Peterson Jr. High looking for a BMJ with a backpack.......they were looking for a K9 , may have got one from Santa Clara, perimeter is set and one already in custody.... may have been a burglary call

482.7125 Fire
482.9625 Patrol

Kingfisher fire cross is Cascade ,,,,,,,,,kinda of near the Wendys' on Wolfe near Fremont.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37501. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:16:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37498 Message: 37501

oh no, animal control.

On Friday, Feb 25, 2005, at 08:09 US/Pacific, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> IC advising this appears to be a detached garage fully involved with
> residents evacuated and requests animal control respond.
>
> Updated list of resources:
>
> ENGINE 4
> SQUAD 4
> ENGINE 3
> ENGINE 2
> TRUCK 2
> RESCUE 2
> MEDIC 7
> BATTALION 3 (IC)
>
>
>>
>> 1345 Kingfisher Way for a fully involved garage fire
>>
>> Responding units include:
>>
>> E4
>> SQ4
>> E3
>> T2
>> R2
>> MEDIC 7
>> B3
>>
>> Working on 482.7125
>>
>> -C-
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37502. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:20:12 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37502

oops, I mean Carlisle is cross for the kingfisher st fire , got some Eichler type homes in that area

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37503. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:24:15 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37497 Message: 37503

Ahh. That's the same guy who e-mailed me the lovely letter asking me if I knew how much of a retard I was.

I think I sent the moderator the evidence I have of who it is....

At 08:01 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Anyone have a trojan for this guy... Hopefully this is "ED" ed......I
>thought we had more mature users than this

37504. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:26:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37498 Message: 37504

Good morning, Ed!

Busy morning in S'vale. Should have mounted up to shoot this one, but I am in the middle of lesson planning and just couldn't pull myself away from all of the fun. By the way, a question, what is the exact purpose of broadcasting elapsed time on the incident? Is this simply a reminder for rehab rotation?

Thanks!

> Good Morning Craig ,,,,,,,,,,think they got E2 also.....only got 3
> patrol as they have numerous unit at Peterson Jr. High looking for a BMJ with a
> backpack.....they were looking for a K9 , may have got one from Santa Clara,
> perimeter is set and one already in custody.... may have been a burglary call
>
> 482.7125 Fire
> 482.9625 Patrol
>
> Kingfisher fire cross is Cascade ,,,,,,,,,kinda of near the Wendys' on Wolfe
> near Fremont.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37505. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:29:31 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37497 Message: 37505

Clearly someone with far too much time on their hands.

-C-

On Feb 25, 2005, at 8:24 AM, Evan Platt wrote:

> Ahh. That's the same guy who e-mailed me the lovely letter asking me
> if I
> knew how much of a retard I was.
>
>
> I think I sent the moderator the evidence I have of who it is....
>
> At 08:01 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>> Anyone have a trojan for this guy... Hopefully this is "ED" ed......I
>> thought we had more mature users than this

37506. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:35:14 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37498 Message: 37506

At 08:26 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Good morning, Ed!
>
>Busy morning in S'vale. Should have mounted up to shoot this one, but I
>am in the middle of lesson planning and just couldn't pull myself away
>from all of the fun. By the way, a question, what is the exact purpose
>of broadcasting elapsed time on the incident? Is this simply a reminder
>for rehab rotation?

Rehab rotation, SCBA time on masks, and for exterior operations, numerous things - the time of how long a roof may last if they have crews on the roof ventilating.

It can also give them a reality check of "Wow, we've been here 30 minutes? I need a second alarm."

37507. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 16:49:00 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37498 Message: 37507

Thanks Evan...that about covers it for me.

On Feb 25, 2005, at 8:35 AM, Evan Platt wrote:

> Rehab rotation, SCBA time on masks, and for exterior operations,
> numerous
> things - the time of how long a roof may last if they have crews on the
> roof ventilating.
>
> It can also give them a reality check of "Wow, we've been here 30
> minutes?
> I need a second alarm."

37508. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:06:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37508

Yeah, lets the IC know just how long his crews have been working , you might have caught him relieve the two guys with the 1-3/4 inch line in front of the garage. once things are under control , you notice they cancell the " timer"

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37509. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:10:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37509

Naw sorry Evan . you KNOW how much time you have on an SCBA because 1) you have a gauge telling you how much air you have and 2) you have an alarm that goes off when you have only 5 minutes left..... I ideal situation is for fire fighters to get out of the building BEFORE the alarm goes off. At least when I trained with Scott SCBAs they were set for 5 minutes AND with 2 minutes left the alarm shuts off.....now its REALLY time to get the heck out.

It has little to do with addtional alarms either.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37510. OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:13:28 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37510 Message: 37510

guys: the book "working fire" by oakland ff/pm zac unger is amazing and wonderful, loaded with insights about who fights serves in this role and how...

particulary fun to read given the local references...

isbn 0-14-303495-2

nina
==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37511. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:19:15 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37498 Message: 37511

At 09:10 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Naw sorry Evan . you KNOW how much time you have on an SCBA because 1)
>you have a gauge telling you how much air you have and 2) you have an
>alarm that
>goes off when you have only 5 minutes left..... I ideal situation is for
>fire fighters to get out of the building BEFORE the alarm goes off. At least
>when I trained with Scott SCBAs they were set for 5 minutes AND with 2
>minutes
>left the alarm shuts off........now its REALLY time to get the heck out.

In a sense, it does have to do with SCBA. A lot of times, the guys in a fire don't realize how quickly time goes by, so knowing they've been in and hearing "10 minutes elapsed", the IC can then call them out if need be.

I know numerous times dispatch has said "30 minutes elapsed" and the IC stated "You can discontinue the time check, I don't have anyone on air anymore."

> It has little to do with addtional alarms either.

Well, I meant as in "Wow, we've been here 30 minutes? I'm not making any headway on this fire. I need a second alarm."

37512. Re: thpought no one could hear (was For the Public safety people ...)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:26:00 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 37512 Message: 37512

>
>
> From: michael.mcroberts@...-clara.ca.us
>Most of our deputies still think that because our channel 2 (155.7) is
>digital that no one else can hear what we say. Even a couple of Sgts
>didn't realize the availability of scanners with P25 capabilities.
>

When Fort Worth got trunking, back in the 1990's, some cops thought pressing "private" meant no one could overhear what htey said. My BC200, however; did a FINE job of hearing them say things they'd never want the public -- or their sergeants to hear. Heh!

Cortland
KA5S

37513. Re: [bayscan] OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:27:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37510 Message: 37513

I agree Nina........read it a few months ago. Did you read Caroline Pauls' book about the SFFD. First Female on a Rescue Squad in SF. Book is called Fighting fire and she has a website www.carolinepaul.com

Well written , non - political.......gripping and raw

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37514. Re: [bayscan] Digest Number 2696

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:32:47 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 37514 Message: 37514

From: gary <yahoogroups@...>

> <>I meant my comment in relation to Ben's problem, since he streams
> police radio.

Some questions, it is better not to ask; it is easier to get forgiveness
than permission. And ALL we need is a headline like "Hobbyists give
Homeland Security Information to Terrorist Groups!"

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37515. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:34:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37515

EVAN! no, IT DOESN'T, the IC does NOT know when a fire fighter turns his SCBA ON, if he enters the building, say from the C side, the IC cannot see him, so how does 10 minutes mean ANYTHING. It again doesn't have to do with alarm level. The time doesn't have to do with anything EXCEPT possibly an alarm for relief purposes which doesn't happen than often. Take it from experience my years with the SFFD Reserve were BUSY years and I don't care about those who may have taken some fire class in some college, there is nothing like actual experience. In my first year, I rolled on Six - 4 alarm fires and FORTY-EIGHT 3 alarm fires. that doesn't include drilling every Thursday night with SFFD instructors.

I hate to get on your case, and your not the only one, but there are too many on this list with little or NO experience answering these questions.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37516. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:48:29 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37498 Message: 37516

At 09:34 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>EVAN! no, IT DOESN'T, the IC does NOT know when a fire fighter turns his
>SCBA ON, if he enters the building, say from the C side, the IC cannot see
>him, so how does 10 minutes mean ANYTHING.

Well, everyone has an opinion and is entitled to one. And I've heard from numerous people that it gives them at least a reminder. Whether it's 'official' or 'documented' somewhere, that may not be the case, but I know for a fact that it is used as a reminder for time on SCBA by a number of people in the fire service.

>It again doesn't have to do with alarm level. The time doesn't have to do
>with anything EXCEPT possibly an alarm for relief purposes which doesn't
>happen than often.

Once again, please re-read what I said. You are telling me essentially what I just said:

Well, I meant as in "Wow, we've been here 30 minutes? I'm not making any headway on this fire. I need a second alarm."

Which would mean they need more apparatus or relief. If a IC is reminded how much time they've been on a fire, he would know he needs an additional alarm, relief, or needs to change something if he isn't making any headway on a fire.

Maybe, once again, it would help to quote who you are talking to / what you are talking about. I've given you the instructions on how to do it as you asked, but you have done it maybe twice since then. There've been numerous times you've misquoted people, or put the wrong words in peoples mouths, or even said the wrong person said something.

>Take it from experience my years with the SFFD Reserve were BUSY years and
>I don't care about those who may have taken some fire class in some
>college, there is nothing like actual
>experience. In my first year, I rolled on Six - 4 alarm fires and FORTY-EIGHT
>3 alarm fires. that doesn't include drilling every Thursday night with SFFD
>instructors.
>
> I hate to get on your case, and your not the only one, but there are too
>many on this list with little or NO experience answering these questions.

As usual, Ed's right, everyone else is wrong.

BTW: I am currently taking fire training classes for a volunteer fire department. But I don't claim to know everything, nor do I claim I am always right and everyone else is always wrong.

37517. Re: [bayscan] OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 18:16:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37510 Message: 37517

Ed, glad you responded to Nina regarding books of interest. My wife is a writer, and I think Caroline's experience will serve as a good reference for what is possible.

-C-

On Feb 25, 2005, at 9:27 AM, caladded@... wrote:

> I agree Nina........read it a few months ago. Did you read Caroline
> Pauls'
> book about the SFFD. First Female on a Rescue Squad in SF. Book is
> called
> Fighting fire and she has a website www.carolinepaul.com
>
> Well written , non - political.......gripping and raw

37518. Re: [bayscan] OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 18:22:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37510 Message: 37518

At 09:27 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>I agree Nina.....read it a few months ago. Did you read Caroline Pauls'
>book about the SFFD. First Female on a Rescue Squad in SF. Book is called
>Fighting fire and she has a website www.carolinepaul.com

And for bonus points, she's Alexandria Paul's sister (I think sister?).

Another good book is Trauma Junkie: Memoirs of an Emergency Flight Nurse by Janice Hudson. Written by a former CALSTAR flight nurse. Good stories and pictures.

37519. Re: [bayscan] OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 18:30:46 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37510 Message: 37519

Thanks for that suggestion, Evan. I'll add that to my Amazon.com wish list.

On Feb 25, 2005, at 10:22 AM, Evan Platt wrote:

> Another good book is Trauma Junkie: Memoirs of an Emergency Flight
> Nurse by
> Janice Hudson. Written by a former CALSTAR flight nurse. Good stories
> and
> pictures.

37520. Re: [bayscan] OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 17:36:28 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37510 Message: 37520

cool, thanks!

On Friday, Feb 25, 2005, at 09:27 US/Pacific, caladded@aol.com wrote:

>
> I agree Nina.....read it a few months ago. Did you read Caroline
> Pauls'
> book about the SFFD. First Female on a Rescue Squad in SF. Book is
> called
> Fighting fire and she has a website www.carolinepaul.com
>
> Well written , non - political.....gripping and raw
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37521. Re: [bayscan] OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 18:59:24 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37510 Message: 37521

twin sister, isn't it?
On Friday, Feb 25, 2005, at 10:22 US/Pacific, Evan Platt wrote:

>
> At 09:27 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>> I agree Nina.....read it a few months ago. Did you read Caroline
>> Pauls'
>> book about the SFFD. First Female on a Rescue Squad in SF. Book is
>> called
>> Fighting fire and she has a website www.carolinepaul.com
>
> And for bonus points, she's Alexandria Paul's sister (I think sister?).
>
> Another good book is Trauma Junkie: Memoirs of an Emergency Flight
> Nurse by
> Janice Hudson. Written by a former CALSTAR flight nurse. Good stories
> and
> pictures.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37522. MERA talk group 26480

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 19:19:57 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37522 Message: 37522

Sounds like they are running a drill on the MERA system on talk group 26480 which I have listed as a fire TAC channel 4 but I could be wrong.
I can tell its a drill since they are so calm on the radio.

Robert
BayScan

37523. Re: [bayscan] OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 19:38:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37510 Message: 37523

At 10:59 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>*twin* sister, isn't it?

You're right.

http://www.imdb.com/name/nm0000575/bio

Has a identical twin sister, Caroline, author and San Francisco
firefighter. Excerpts from new book recently published in Reader's Digest
and Curve magazines.

37524. Re: MERA talk group 26480

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 21:07:27 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37522 Message: 37524

That's San Rafael FD's "Tactical E4." They've been running a lot of drills on it prior to fully switching from their conventional low band freqs (Control 16 and Tac Green).

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, bayscan@c... wrote:
>
> Sounds like they are running a drill on the MERA system on talk group
> 26480 which I have listed as a fire TAC channel 4 but I could be
> wrong.
> I can tell its a drill since they are so calm on the radio.
>
> Robert
> BayScan

37525. Re: OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 21:15:29 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37510 Message: 37525

They had an episode of , I forget what that fire show where they went on rides with different dept. She was on a rescue that day.. was really errie... she's dead on twin...

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 10:59 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
> >*twin* sister, isn't it?
>
> You're right.
>
> http://www.imdb.com/name/nm0000575/bio
>
> Has a identical twin sister, Caroline, author and San Francisco
> firefighter. Excerpts from new book recently published in Reader's Digest
> and Curve magazines.

37526. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 23:37:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37510 Message: 37526

At 01:15 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:

>They had an episode of , I forget what that fire show where they went
>on rides with different dept. She was on a rescue that day.. was
>really errie... she's dead on twin...

Who was it, Van Halen or someone who's taking paramedic classes in New York? Could you imagine a ambulance pulls up, and Van Halen jumps out?

37527. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 23:49:08 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 37510 Message: 37527

http://www.music-news.com/ShowNews.asp?nItemID=1876

David Lee Roth
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2005 3:37 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: working fire

At 01:15 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:

>They had an episode of , I forget what that fire show where they went
>on rides with different dept. She was on a rescue that day.. was
>really errie... she's dead on twin...

Who was it, Van Halen or someone who's taking paramedic classes in New
York? Could you imagine a ambulance pulls up, and Van Halen jumps out?

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37528. Symantec Email Proxy Deleted Message

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:07:49 UTC
From: scanner4@comcast.net
Topic: 37528 Message: 37528

Symantec Email Proxy deleted the following email message:

From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: working fire

37529. Re: [bayscan] Symantec Email Proxy Deleted Message

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:09:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37528 Message: 37529

At 04:07 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Symantec Email Proxy deleted the following email message:
>
>From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: working fire

Huh?

37530. "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-25 23:09:23 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 37530 Message: 37530

http://www.metroactive.com/papers/metro/02.23.05/copwatch-0508.html

I'm interested in what radio freqs these
self-appointed do-gooders use. I'm guessing it's
nothing more than FRS/GMRS or MURS.

Do you Yahoo!?
Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

37531. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:13:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37531

Evan the point is , as usual you show NO respect for those who have been there. I know your approximate age and I am TWICE that. these are NOT my opinions they are from experiance. Show more respect

You'll NEVER get as much experiance as I have simply because the fire service doesn't have the fire load it did in earlier years. Equipment is better, faster and especially building and fire codes are more strict. Sprinklers are in lot more buildings as are smoke detectors.

I wouldn't even want to guess how long it would take a member of any fire dept , Reserve or not .. to roll on as many greater alarms (54) as I did between Sept 1970 and Sept 1971. Probably at least 5 - 6 years.

there is nothing you can do about it , just consider those before you have the experiance and you don't.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37532. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:16:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37510 Message: 37532

Caroline talks about Alexandra (baywatch) and how the two went up in the pacific northwest (either Oregon or Washington) and Alexander used her " star" power to get her brother out of jail , they considered him a " political prisoner" Interesting thing is.....it worked.

I may have to get her other book, she is a terrific writer.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37533. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:18:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37497 Message: 37533

OH, that is what you guys are talking about !! EVAN , do you think
this is the same guy who flamed you ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37534. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:34:32 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37498 Message: 37534

At 04:13 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Evan the point is , as usual you show NO respect for those who have been
>there.

The point is, as usual, there is one way - Ed's way, and no other way.

I have talked to MANY people in the fire service who have told me the minute counter from dispatch, among other things, helps them manage time on SCBA. Either for themselves when inside, or outside, as an IC for accountability.

This has nothing to do with RESPECT, but your attitude. You have to take the stance of "WRONG, NO - YOU ARE INCORRECT". This point is a matter of OPINION. Granted, it may not be written in any service book, operating procedure, handbook, guideline, etc - that the timer from dispatch CAN or SHOULD be used for SCBA management, but it IS. And I say it IS because I've talked to more than one person who has. So AGAIN, it may not be official, it may not be documented, it may not be policy, but it IS used, somewhere, by more than one person. I have, also, again, heard a number of agencies when given say a "30 minutes elapsed" from dispatch say "You can disregard the time count, I don't have anyone inside on air" which to me indicates the main reason to them for the count was for people on SCBA's. Again, yes, it's used for other things, but this to me indicated it at least helped with SCBA time management.

Respect: I respect everyone's right to an opinion, which is something you obviously don't. I make a statement that I know of a number of firefighters who use the timers for SCBA management, and you go and say "NO! WRONG!"?? If you expect others to respect you, respect them also. The time management for SCBA comes from people who have been there.

>I know your approximate age and I am TWICE that. these are NOT my opinions
>they are from experiance. Show more respect

Ed: I am 28. Respect is something you earn, not just get due to age. It's not just "Congratulations, on your 40th birthday, you get respect from everyone under the age of 25." And when I state what I have been told by a number of people, and you say "WRONG!" you get one more step away from earning my respect.

> You'll NEVER get as much experiance as I have simply because the fire
>service doesn't have the fire load it did in earlier years.

That's a pretty long shot comment. Granted, I'm not going to (at least in my current mindset) become a full time paid firefighter, but I could go to say New York's busiest fire company and get the same amount of experience you have. Not around here, you're right. Not as much fire load. And, as I'm

almost 30, and the fire service isn't a job you see many 50 year olds on the front lines, you're probably right. If I were 18, and went out to FDNY or Chicago fire, yes, I'd be able to get as much experience as you.

>Equipment is better, faster and especially building and fire codes are
>more strict. Sprinklers are in lot more buildings as are smoke detectors.

And hopefully it will save lives, and I hate to say, put a lot of firefighters out of a job, or at least give them a lighter work load. There's still old buildings everywhere which don't meet todays code, but meet the code for when they were built that are disasters waiting to happen.

> I wouldn't even want to guess how long it would take a member of any
>fire dept , Reserve or not .. to roll on as many greater alarms (54) as I
>did
>between Sept 1970 and Sept 1971. Probably at least 5 - 6 years.

FDNY or Chicago, maybe. Out here? Probably not.

>there is nothing you can do about it , just consider those before you have
>the experiance and you don't.

Ed: You have a lot of good experience to share, however your attitude and ego often show WAYYY too much.

Everyone is entitled to an opinion, everyone is entitled to have a say in something, and just because others do something that's not standard or not written, doesn't make it WRONG.

AFAIK, there's no policy or guideline that says you CANNOT use the elapsed time from dispatch for SCBA management. No one (I hope!) would ever RELY on it, but it can help. As just today at this fire, dispatch came back and said something to the effect of "Sorry, I'm a few minutes late, for your 30 minutes elapsed." The IC then advised dispatch they could terminate the time count.

Evan

37535. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:36:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37497 Message: 37535

At 04:18 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>OH, that is what you guys are talking about !! EVAN , do you think
>this is the same guy who flamed you ??

It is the same guy, same e-mail address.

37536. ALCO "Reserve 12"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:37:59 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37536 Message: 37536

No, it's not a single-barrel bourbon, it's a fire truck that I passed this afternoon. I didn't see a number, just a tag that said "Reserve 12" -- earlier I heard 3459 (LBNL) tell Berkeley that they were going out of service for a drill in San Leandro, I wonder if these guys covered the LBNL station while they were gone?

37537. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:48:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37537

Evan , think about the timer issue.....fire fighters arrive at DIFFERENT times at a fire , mostly within a few minutes . But what about greater alarms.....a delay, 5 minutes , 10 maybe even more

the other thing is the timer is given over ONE frequency , while it would have worked in Sunnyvale since they are all on the same freq, it won't work in others like San Mateo County or SFFD and others .

The best way to judge ones' time inside a fire is working with your " buddy" and the devices the SCBA has built in.

Just because some friend you know in the fire service says its so is NOT the best answer.

You should read the reports from the SFFD guys in the Oakland Firestorm. an Engine company was Paired with others like a Castro Valley Engine and a Hayward Battalion Chief..... The Chief asked the SFFD Engine to go into a cul-de-sac and open up their Multi-versal (like a stang gun) on the 6-7 fully involved houses. the Lt. asked his if there was a hydrant down there to which the BC said " I don't Know" The Lieutenant REFUSED and who can blame him. There were other examples but I am only going to use the one.

this is an example where a Battalion Chief had much less experiance than a Lieutenant simply because the Lt was from a big city and worked many more fires. My point being , you can read all the manuals you want , but in real life experiance , there is nothing like actually DOING it.

this is Why the big city guys have much more on the ball than the little city guys. Not that it makes the little city guys BAD ,its just the way life is.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37538. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:48:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37530 Message: 37538

At 03:09 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:

><http://www.metroactive.com/papers/metro/02.23.05/copwatch-0508.html>http://www.metroacti
ve.com/papers/metro/02.23.05/copwatch-0508.html
>
> I'm interested in what radio freqs these
>self-appointed do-gooders use. I'm guessing it's
>nothing more than FRS/GMRS or MURS.

My guess is a repeated system of some sort - to be able to talk over any
distance.

Just what I'm sure every cop wants - some guy walking around filming the
police. It's not bad enough for an officer to have to watch 2, sometimes 3
or 4 people in a car on a traffic stop, they now have to watch out for some
guy sitting away from them with a video camera.

I believe if these people are going to do this, they should be required to
have an ID of some sort.
Unfortunately, I know this will never happen, but make an example out of
them. If they're close enough, tell them they need to get much further
back. If they refuse, finish up the traffic stop, then charge the Do Gooder
with interfering with a police officer or disobeying the order of a police
officer.

Again, this will never happen, but I think that would put an end to CopWatch.

The last thing a police officer needs to deal with is a guy with a video
camera standing 20 feet away from them while on a traffic stop and wonder
"Is this guy carrying a gun?"

37539. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:49:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37497 Message: 37539

Ok, (pisses me off his name is Ed) I will tell the list owner

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37540. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:54:56 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37497 Message: 37540

At 04:49 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Ok, (pisses me off his name is Ed) I will tell the list owner

His name isn't Ed. At least I don't believe so.

I'll contact you and the list owner off-list with the details of what I have.

Evan

37541. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:59:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37530 Message: 37541

well Evan LOL , I actually agree with you on this subject

How many times did EVERYONE see the Rodney King beating tape ??
they played the thing to death , yet ONLY CNN showed the WHOLE tape which clearly shows King taking a swing at one of the offices. the others CUT that out. Not that I agree with what LAPD did that night , but if your going to cover an incident SHOW THE WHOLE TAPE

Problem with these clowns is by the time they arrive they TOO won't see the perps fighting with the cops etc , only the aftermath . If they TRULY want to see both sides, they should do RIDE ALONGS and see the criminal element its worst and THEN decide who is right and what is and is NOT police brutality.

Most probably saw them carrrying signs for SJPD to stop killing women after the Vietnamese woman was killed. I have NO idea of how many women have been killed by SJPD officers overall , but I BET its no more than 3 or 4 .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37542. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 01:00:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37497 Message: 37542

oh, your probably right.....since you and I " spar" he is probably trying to throw gasoline on the fire LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37543. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 01:12:02 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37498 Message: 37543

At 04:48 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Evan , think about the timer issue.....fire fighters arrive at
>DIFFERENT times at a fire , mostly within a few minutes . But what about
>greater
>alarms.....a delay, 5 minutes , 10 maybe even more

Agreed. And I understand this. You are preaching to the choir. I never said it's perfect, I never said it's used all the time nor used 100% at any fire, but it CAN be used to assist - if you know you went in shortly after "10 minutes elapsed", when you hear "20 minutes elapsed", it's time to start heading out. No one that I know of would ever rely on it, but it's a gentle reminder.

> the other thing is the timer is given over ONE frequency , while it
>would have worked in Sunnyvale since they are all on the same freq, it
>won't work
>in others like San Mateo County or SFFD and others .

Well, it's generally used on the command frequency, so if the IC is monitoring that frequency (which he should be!) it might help him.

> The best way to judge ones' time inside a fire is working with your "
>buddy" and the devices the SCBA has built in.

Agreed.

> Just because some friend you know in the fire service says its so is NOT
>the best answer.

Ed: I never at NO POINT said it's the best answer, nor did I say it's the ONLY answer, just that some use it to HELP them with SCBA time management. And I didn't say friend - I have a number of people (note: more than one) who have told me this).

> You should read the reports from the SFFD guys in the Oakland
> Firestorm.
>an Engine company was Paired with others like a Castro Valley Engine and a
>Hayward Battalion Chief..... The Chief asked the SFFD Engine to go into a
>cul-de-sac and open up their Multi-versal (like a stang gun) on the 6-7
>fully
>involved houses. the Lt. asked his if there was a hydrant down there to
>which
>the BC said " I don't Know" The Lieutenant REFUSED and who can blame him.
>There were other examples but I am only going to use the one.

I'm curious what that has to do with SCBA time management and the "minutes elapsed"?

> this is an example where a Battalion Chief had much less experiance
> than
>a Lieutenant simply because the Lt was from a big city and worked many more
>fires. My point being , you can read all the manuals you want , but in real
>life experiance , there is nothing like actually DOING it.

Ahh. Ok, I see now. Often the little city guy or the guy with not as much experience has a way to do things that's different that the norm. And once again, no one that told me of this uses it to rely on, but just as a aid.

Hey if it helps them, it helps them.

> this is Why the big city guys have much more on the ball than the little
>city guys. Not that it makes the little city guys BAD ,its just the way
>life is.

Hey, there's more than one way to do most things. That doesn't make the other way wrong.

37544. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT: working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 01:36:51 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37510 Message: 37544

readers digest and curve.

talk about divergent demographics!

hah!
On Friday, Feb 25, 2005, at 13:15 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:

>
>
> They had an episode of , I forget what that fire show where they went
> on rides with different dept. She was on a rescue that day.. was
> really errie... she's dead on twin...
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
>> At 10:59 AM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>>> *twin* sister, isn't it?
>>
>> You're right.
>>
>> http://www.imdb.com/name/nm0000575/bio
>>
>> Has a identical twin sister, Caroline, author and San Francisco
>> firefighter. Excerpts from new book recently published in Reader's
> Digest
>> and Curve magazines.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37545. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 01:55:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37545

my point about the Oakland Hills example is this. I relay more on those who have lots of experiance than someone from a dept (in this case Hayward) who do not.

While the smaller depts slowly are getting better , the big depts have the experiance. What if the HFD BC gave that order to another Hayward Engine and NOT an experianced SFFD Lt. ? could have been a fatal mistake , as if the Oakland Fire didn't have enough tragedy without a dumb order like that.

The more experianced one is , the better they are at ANY job , not just fire fighting. The differance is in fire fighting , you screw up and someone dies (line from BACKDRAFT) but its true.

Think about Dennis Smith in " Report from Engine Co . 82" His company in ONE year rolled on 9,111 calls ....incredible numbers , but you can bet those guys KNEW there stuff.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37546. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 00:59:46 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37497 Message: 37546

like he hasn't been reading all this crap.
let get a grip on it folks.
time for Third Watch c-ya
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2005 16:49
Subject: Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Ok, (pisses me off his name is Ed) I will tell the list owner

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37547. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 01:14:48 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37498 Message: 37547

I have never heard it use here in SF, but that does not mean it not used somewhere
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2005 17:12
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

At 04:48 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Evan , think about the timer issue.....fire fighters arrive at
>DIFFERENT times at a fire , mostly within a few minutes . But what about
>greater
>alarms.....a delay, 5 minutes , 10 maybe even more

Agreed. And I understand this. You are preaching to the choir. I never said it's perfect, I never said it's used all the time nor used 100% at any fire, but it CAN be used to assist - if you know you went in shortly after "10 minutes elapsed", when you hear "20 minutes elapsed", it's time to start heading out. No one that I know of would ever rely on it, but it's a gentle reminder.

> the other thing is the timer is given over ONE frequency , while it
>would have worked in Sunnyvale since they are all on the same freq, it
>won't work
>in others like San Mateo County or SFFD and others .

Well, it's generally used on the command frequency, so if the IC is monitoring that frequency (which he should be!) it might help him.

> The best way to judge ones' time inside a fire is working with your "
>buddy" and the devices the SCBA has built in.

Agreed.

> Just because some friend you know in the fire service says its so is NOT
>the best answer.

Ed: I never at NO POINT said it's the best answer, nor did I say it's the ONLY answer, just that some use it to HELP them with SCBA time management. And I didn't say friend - I have a number of people (note: more than one) who have told me this).

> You should read the reports from the SFFD guys in the Oakland
> Firestorm.
>an Engine company was Paired with others like a Castro Valley Engine and a
>Hayward Battalion Chief..... The Chief asked the SFFD Engine to go into a
>cul-de-sac and open up their Multi-versal (like a stang gun) on the 6-7
>fully

>involved houses. the Lt. asked his if there was a hydrant down there to
>which
>the BC said " I don't Know" The Lieutenant REFUSED and who can blame him.
>There were other examples but I am only going to use the one.

I'm curious what that has to do with SCBA time management and the "minutes elapsed"?

> this is an example where a Battalion Chief had much less experiance
> than
>a Lieutenant simply because the Lt was from a big city and worked many more
>fires. My point being , you can read all the manuals you want , but in real
>life experiance , there is nothing like actually DOING it.

Ahh. Ok, I see now. Often the little city guy or the guy with not as much experience has a way to do things that's different that the norm. And once again, no one that told me of this uses it to rely on, but just as a aid.

Hey if it helps them, it helps them.

> this is Why the big city guys have much more on the ball than the little
>city guys. Not that it makes the little city guys BAD ,its just the way
>life is.

Hey, there's more than one way to do most things. That doesn't make the other way wrong.

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37548. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:00:44 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37530 Message: 37548

> ONLY CNN showed the WHOLE tape which clearly shows
> King taking a swing at one of the officers.

I don't know, I'm not sure I read the part where it's not okay for police officers to abuse citizens UNLESS the citizen "takes a swing" at an officer. I think that if you can't enforce laws without breaking a few yourself, you don't deserve to wear a badge and a gun. The whole point of LE is to be ABOVE the criminal; one swing and it's okay to go native?

"He hit me first!"

Bleah, save it for kindergarden.

Not to talk specifically about Rodney King, but I'm absolutely okay with even a single frame of film -- completely "out of context" -- where an officer is behaving illegally being used against that officer.

> Not that I agree with what LAPD did that night, but if you're going
> to cover an incident SHOW THE WHOLE TAPE

Maybe you're talking about something else -- journalism? I thought the goal of the project was to capture on tape and be a witness to illegal behavior.

I have the same feeling about encryption: if you can't say your normal everyday work-related stuff in the clear, you're probably in the wrong line of work. Certainly there are exceptions, and I'm okay with limited, serious cases of needing to keep some information confidential (which we've talked about before: tactical teams, undercover work, etc.). But wholesale encryption of LE activities can only mean a decline in liberty for all of us who they purport to Protect & Serve.

I like the old call boxes: if you need to make it confidential, you have to go out of your way to do it. That keeps the routine stuff in the clear. I guess cellphones are the modern way to do this, but I wish they'd outlaw the use of them in routine police work.

/jordan

37549. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:01:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37497 Message: 37549

But George,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,Third Watch (5pm) is a rerun LOL

New one tonight at 9pm :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37550. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:05:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37530 Message: 37550

Jordan, you didn't get my point. this is the whole problem with trials "on TV" you only get PART of the incident, not the whole thing. if you read my post I said I didn't agree with what the LAPD guys did

BUT, the news media did what they always do, ONLY show the inflammatory stuff, NOT the whole story. They do this with LOTS of stories.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37551. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:05:10 UTC
From: Nobag (Mike)
Topic: 37498 Message: 37551

Hi Even,

Please take a bit of advise from another old timer, who was not in the fire service, but did serve and protect.

When ever you talk to an old timer, there will never be a time that you will be as good as they were, and they can tell better "War Stories", and your just to young and inexperienced to know anything.

Oh, and by the way. You will never be able to win a fight with Ed, lord knows I've tried.

And when it comes to respect, give it out to those who give it to you, something that others (Ed) should have figured out by now.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 02/25/05 16:34:36
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

At 04:13 PM 2/25/2005, you wrote:
>Evan the point is , as usual you show NO respect for those who have been
>there.

The point is, as usual, there is one way - Ed's way, and no other way.

I have talked to MANY people in the fire service who have told me the minute counter from dispatch, among other things, helps them manage time on SCBA. Either for themselves when inside, or outside, as an IC for accountability.

This has nothing to do with RESPECT, but your attitude. You have to take the stance of "WRONG, NO - YOU ARE INCORRECT". This point is a matter of OPINION. Granted, it may not be written in any service book, operating procedure, handbook, guideline, etc - that the timer from dispatch CAN or SHOULD be used for SCBA management, but it IS. And I say it IS because I've talked to more than one person who has. So AGAIN, it may not be official,

it may not be documented, it may not be policy, but it IS used, somewhere, by more than one person. I have, also, again, heard a number of agencies when given say a "30 minutes elapsed" from dispatch say "You can disregard the time count, I don't have anyone inside on air" which to me indicates the main reason to them for the count was for people on SCBA's. Again, yes, it's used for other things, but this to me indicated it at least helped with SCBA time management.

Respect: I respect everyone's right to an opinion, which is something you obviously don't. I make a statement that I know of a number of firefighters who use the timers for SCBA management, and you go and say "NO! WRONG!"?? If you expect others to respect you, respect them also. The time management for SCBA comes from people who have been there.

>I know your approximate age and I am TWICE that. these are NOT my opinions
>they are from experiance. Show more respect

Ed: I am 28. Respect is something you earn, not just get due to age. It's not just "Congratulations, on your 40th birthday, you get respect from everyone under the age of 25." And when I state what I have been told by a number of people, and you say "WRONG!" you get one more step away from earning my respect.

> You'll NEVER get as much experiance as I have simply because the fire
>service doesn't have the fire load it did in earlier years.

That's a pretty long shot comment. Granted, I'm not going to (at least in my current mindset) become a full time paid firefighter, but I could go to say New York's busiest fire company and get the same amount of experience you have. Not around here, you're right. Not as much fire load. And, as I'm almost 30, and the fire service isn't a job you see many 50 year olds on the front lines, you're probably right. If I were 18, and went out to FDNY or Chicago fire, yes, I'd be able to get as much experience as you.

>Equipment is better, faster and especially building and fire codes are
>more strict. Sprinklers are in lot more buildings as are smoke detectors.

And hopefully it will save lives, and I hate to say, put a lot of firefighters out of a job, or at least give them a lighter work load. There's still old buildings everywhere which don't meet todays code, but meet the code for when they were built that are disasters waiting to happen.

> I wouldn't even want to guess how long it would take a member of
any
>fire dept , Reserve or not .. to roll on as many greater alarms (54) as I
>did
>between Sept 1970 and Sept 1971. Probably at least 5 - 6 years.

FDNY or Chicago, maybe. Out here? Probably not.

>there is nothing you can do about it , just consider those before you have
>the experiance and you don't.

Ed: You have a lot of good experience to share, however your attitude and ego often show WAYYY too much.

Everyone is entitled to an opinion, everyone is entitled to have a say in something, and just because others do something that's not standard or not written, doesn't make it WRONG.

AFAIK, there's no policy or guideline that says you CANNOT use the elapsed time from dispatch for SCBA management. No one (I hope!) would ever RELY on it, but it can help. As just today at this fire, dispatch came back and said something to the effect of "Sorry, I'm a few minutes late, for your 30 minutes elapsed." The IC then advised dispatch they could terminate the time count.

Evan

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37552. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:07:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37498 Message: 37552

Yeah, I never hear it in SF either George, but I hear it in many other depts
, San Mateo and Santa Clara county depts use it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37553. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:08:45 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37530 Message: 37553

> you didn't get my point.

I guess not :-)

> this is the whole problem with trials "on TV"

Throw out your TV, there's good stuff on your scanner!

:-)

/jordan

37554. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:10:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37530 Message: 37554

Throw out my TV ? maybe after the final episode of NYPD BLUE

naw , waiting for more new 3rd watch episodes and the 2nd season of
Rescue Me !

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37555. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:36:31 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37530 Message: 37555

lets not forget about The Shield in 6th season on FX
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2005 18:10
Subject: Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Throw out my TV ? maybe after the final episode of NYPD BLUE

naw , waiting for more new 3rd watch episodes and the 2nd season of
Rescue Me !

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37556. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:54:28 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37530 Message: 37556

Perhaps a bayscanner can shadow the cop watch clowns with a Pro83 in "signal stalker" mode.

.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37557. Re: "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:53:52 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37530 Message: 37557

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> Perhaps a bayscanner can shadow the cop watch clowns with a Pro83 in
> "signal stalker" mode.
>
> .
>
> and a video camera.............film the copwatch guys as they
film the cops........bet that would tick em' off LOL

37558. Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 02:34:02 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37497 Message: 37558

I'll be watching then also
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2005 18:01
Subject: Re: [bayscan] [Fwd: Employment rejection]

But George,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,Third Watch (5pm) is a rerun LOL

New one tonight at 9pm :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37559. Re: "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 03:23:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 37530 Message: 37559

"Cops" (Fox Ntwork) in Oakland would be a hoot.

Only NCal was Sacramento a couple of years ago.

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> > Perhaps a bayscanner can shadow the cop watch clowns with a Pro83 in
> > "signal stalker" mode.
> >
> > .
> >
> > and a video camera.............film the copwatch guys as they
> film the cops........bet that would tick em' off LOL

37560. Re: [bayscan] Re: "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 04:30:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37530 Message: 37560

Ed - that isn't what this COPWATCH is.....read the first post and the article.....it about these yahoos running around with video cameras trying to catch SJPD officers doing something they should not be doing.

I wish the show COPS (which by the way is ON as I type this ((and hearing the BAD BOYS THEME LOL)) would do something local like SF, SJ or Oakland.

they did several episodes in Sac which was cool.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37561. Re: [bayscan] ALCO "Reserve 12"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 04:52:37 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37536 Message: 37561

no when county 3459 going to a drill, a cover in engine usually 3452
goes for cover in

Jordan Hayes wrote:

>No, it's not a single-barrel bourbon, it's a fire truck that I passed
>this afternoon. I didn't see a number, just a tag that said "Reserve
>12" -- earlier I heard 3459 (LBNL) tell Berkeley that they were going
>out of service for a drill in San Leandro, I wonder if these guys
>covered the LBNL station while they were gone?
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37562. 160 meter contesting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 08:25:34 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37562 Message: 37562

Just a FYI, there is contesting on the 160 meter band until 4PM Sunday. I'll upload some audio later, but if you have shortwave gear, you might want to check it out now. I heard hams from a few states in the northeast (PA, NJ).

http://www.cq-amateur-radio.com/160%20Contest%20Rules%20200510272004.pdf

37563. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 08:58:06 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 37530 Message: 37563

I think it is a great idea I have witnessed police abuse and I have been affected by their lies in open court I truly believe that Cop's think it is Ok for them to lie as if they are above the average citizen. I carry a camera and a handi-cam at all times a good Cop should not be afraid of a camera and with the erosion of are personnel rights and liberty's since 9-11 we need all the protection We can get,.as far as editing tape not one of these severe beating's was justified even if the suspect had swung first. Force necessary to control the suspect is the rule not administration of back alley justice.
I think a lot of the do-goobers are on this list running around with a scanner and a cell phone playing Dudley do right reminds me of the smoke in the back yard thread, Look over the fence dummy....

" They that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety " - Benjamin Franklin
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, February 25, 2005 6:08 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

> you didn't get my point.

I guess not :-)

> this is the whole problem with trials "on TV"

Throw out your TV, there's good stuff on your scanner!

:-)

/jordan

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37564. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 09:02:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37530 Message: 37564

geez , I thought you ran around with your camcorder to add to your porn sites.....creep

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37565. Salinas PD Suspect Dies After Multiple Taser Shots

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 09:14:59 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37565 Message: 37565

Feb 21, 2005

SALINAS, CA - A 40-year-old Salinas man died Sunday, one day after struggling with police officers who fired several Taser rounds to subdue him, Salinas police said today. Initially hospitalized in serious condition after the 1:30 p.m. incident on Saturday, Robert Clark Heston was pronounced dead around 7:30 p.m. the following day, according to Salinas police Lt. Kelly McMillin.

Authorities initially were called to a home in the 100 block of Rodeo Avenue after reports of a fight between Heston and his 66-year-old father.

Officers left less than an hour later without making an arrest, only to be called back to the residence minutes later after Heston allegedly started assaulting his father.

Police fired several Tasers to bring Heston under control but the man allegedly continued to struggle with officers on the ground, according to police.

Nick in San Luis Obispo - 1: 13 Hours

37566. Pursuit Hwy 101 @ Tiburon Blvd

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 13:23:32 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 37566 Message: 37566

I just saw 5 CHP 4 SO chasing a silver acura or honda at aprox 100+ mph south bound 101.. Suspect exited at Rodeo and Headed back Nothbound.. Now near Central San Rafael.

37567. Re: Pursuit Hwy 101 @ Tiburon Blvd

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 13:59:25 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 37566 Message: 37567

very odd.. the last i heard was the suspect got off at central san rafael and headed back southbound 101 possibly towards 580. Now i hear nothing at all for the last 5 min. No code 33 beep.. No traffic at all.. Nothing on SO, CHP or any local PD.. sigh.. oh well i dono what happened. time for bed. I will read about it in the paper i guess.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> I just saw 5 CHP 4 SO chasing a silver acura or honda at aprox 100+
> mph south bound 101.. Suspect exited at Rodeo and Headed back
> Nothbound.. Now near Central San Rafael.

37568. Re: [bayscan] Salinas PD Suspect Dies After Multiple Taser Shots

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 16:08:51 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37565 Message: 37568

nick, there is more complete story, and here are some details.

that story includes heston's "lengthy history with local law enforcement", known drug addiction and a penchant for violence when confronted.

and includes a history of 14 arrests since 1993, most for being under the influence of cocaine or methamphetamine, for assaulting police officers, punching his own mother in the face, being in a drug-induced "excited delirium,'' and beating parked cars with chains and a hammer.

heston was released from salinas valley state prison 1/23/05 after serving less than one year of a three-year sentence for a probation violation.

and according to police chief ortega cited in bay city news, here is what led up to the taser fire saturday:

at 1:34 pm officers were called by heston's 66-year-old father, who reported that his son was tearing up the residence. six officers including two sergeants responded to the scene, and spoke with heston's father on the front porch of the home. heston's father did not want to press charges, but requested that his son leave the home. officers asked heston to leave, but but he refused. no crime was being reported, the officers never entered the home, and were unable to evaluate heston for signs of drug influence.

after leaving the home, officers were called back within three minutes. the front yard was now littered with items from inside the home.

heston could be seen dragging his father across the floor of the living room by his arms. once heston saw the officers he began throwing items at them.

the first taser round was shot by sgt. michael dominici, after he was struck in the chest by a wooden pole by heston, who then threw a large brass weight from a grandfather clock at another officer, and the series of taser deployments appeared to have minimal effect.

after about the ninth deployment of an electric shock heston fell to the ground, but would not allow himself to be handcuffed.

he was shocked again before officers were able to apprehend him, but he became unresponsive shortly therafter. officers immediately administered CPR and were able to regain heston's pulse before he was

rushed to Natividad Medical Center.

he died the next day at approximately 7 p.m. an autopsy and toxicology reports are still required to determine cause of death.

nina

On Saturday, Feb 26, 2005, at 01:14 US/Pacific, Nick in SLO wrote:

> Feb 21, 2005
>
> SALINAS, CA - A 40-year-old Salinas man died Sunday, one day after struggling with police officers who fired several Taser rounds to subdue him, Salinas police said today. Initially hospitalized in serious condition after the 1:30 p.m. incident on Saturday, Robert Clark Heston was pronounced dead around 7:30 p.m. the following day, according to Salinas police Lt. Kelly McMillin.
>
> Authorities initially were called to a home in the 100 block of Rodeo Avenue after reports of a fight between Heston and his 66-year-old father.
>
> Officers left less than an hour later without making an arrest, only to be called back to the residence minutes later after Heston allegedly started assaulting his father.
>
> Police fired several Tasers to bring Heston under control but the man allegedly continued to struggle with officers on the ground, according to police.
>
> Nick in San Luis Obispo - 1: 13 Hours
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

37569. Feds Stop Oakland's Plan for Going Digital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 16:16:49 UTC
From: paulcbg
Topic: 37569 Message: 37569

A news story in this morning's Oakland Tribune (Saturday, February 26, 2005) suggests that the Feds Dept. of Homeland Security has advised Oakland not to buy a new "digital" radio system. The Feds want Oakland to demonstrate that its communication plan will work with the adjacent counties. This coordination of plans makes evident what scanning listeners have suspected for a while that the local police and fire departments are soon going to move away from the standard "trunking" systems that have been in use for the past ten years!

For the full story, click onto:

http://www.insidebayarea.com/oaklandtribune/localnews/ci_2587782

Paul
KA6GEM

37570. Re: [bayscan] Feds Stop Oakland's Plan for Going Digital

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 17:06:52 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37569 Message: 37570

At 08:16 AM 2/26/2005, you wrote:

>A news story in this morning's Oakland Tribune (Saturday, February
>26, 2005) suggests that the Feds Dept. of Homeland Security has
>advised Oakland not to buy a new "digital" radio system. The Feds
>want Oakland to demonstrate that its communication plan will work
>with the adjacent counties.

This is a new grant requirement for this year (I was reading the packet just last night) if you want federal money
for radio systems. (how most new systems are now funded since everybody locally is broke these days.

All grants have to be approved by the state telecommunications rep. for regional/national interoperability under the National Incident Management System.

Should be interesting to follow the outcome.

Actually I think it will got the other way. MORE agencies will go P25 or ProVoice
digital/trunked/narrowband.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37571. 160 meters constesting heard 2/25

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 17:25:41 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37571 Message: 37571

www.lazygranch.com/sound/1815.wav
This is in real time, i.e. no editing, so you can hear how busy the band was last night.

37572. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 17:28:02 UTC
From: troyboym@aol.com
Topic: 37530 Message: 37572

In a message dated 2/26/2005 12:58:55 A.M. Pacific Standard Time,
rocdad@... writes:

I think it is a great idea I have witnessed police abuse and I have been affected by their lies in open court I truly believe that Cop's think it is Ok for them to lie as if they are above the average citizen. I carry a camera and a handi-cam at all times a good Cop should not be afraid of a camera and with the erosion of are personnel rights and liberty's since 9-11 we need all the protection We can get,.as far as editing tape not one of these severe beating's was justified even if the suspect had swung first. Force necessary to control the suspect is the rule not administration of back alley justice.

Such broad generalizations are no different than saying that all (pick you favorite race/creed/religion/whatever) are a bunch of thieves/liars/whatever. But I guess racism/prejudice is permissible for you if the police are the target of the day, right? If you've had so many dealings with the police, you might want to take a look at your own actions and the company you keep.

To keep this on-topic, this is yet another unnecessary distraction and generally a stupid idea.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37573. Re: [bayscan] East Bay Interoperability (was: Feds Stop Oakland's Plan for Going Digital)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 17:32:33 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 37569 Message: 37573

paulcbg wrote:
>
> A news story in this morning's Oakland Tribune (Saturday, February
> 26, 2005) suggests that the Feds Dept. of Homeland Security has
> advised Oakland not to buy a new "digital" radio system. The Feds
> want Oakland to demonstrate that its communication plan will work
> with the adjacent counties. This coordination of plans makes
> evident what scanning listeners have suspected for a while that the
> local police and fire departments are soon going to move away from
> the standard "trunking" systems that have been in use for the past
> ten years!

That is an interesting interpretation of what the story says. In my opinion it is not an accurate interpretation, however.

Some history:
From the late 1970s through about 2002, public safety trunked systems were built to the "Project 16" (P16) standard for public safety. All three system architectures in use (SMARTNET, EDACS, LTR) meet the P16 standard - but do not provide trunked interoperability between platforms.

Oakland, Richmond, and BART operate EDACS networks. San Rafael operated a LTR network for some time. Santa Clara City, San Francisco, Alameda County, Pleasanton-Livermore, and CALTRANS are Smartnet systems.

An Alameda County system user can switch to any of the other SMARTNET systems (if programmed into their radio), and operate as a user of that network.

LTR trunked system users cannot communicate with either SMARTNET or EDACS users.

A BART police user can switch to the Oakland or Richmond systems (if programmed) and operate there.

But a BART police user cannot switch to the San Francisco or Alameda County systems and operate there, as the technology between the trunking networks is not compatible. (This is why monitoring EDACS on scanners was an issue for some time - it is a different trunking technology.)

For a number of years, a committee made up of public safety professionals at all levels (fed, state, and local) and of the manufacturing industry have been developing a new open-archetecture standard for public safety digital communications, which meets the operational requirements of public safety in the United States. This

effort is called "Project 25" (or P25).

The Phase 1 P25 standard (ANSI/TIA 102 Series) was first published in 1995, and has been adopted by the federal government for federal agency use, by the FCC for the new 700 MHz band, and by the public safety community at large as the emphasis continues on interoperability.

With funding and technical advice provided by the US Dept. of Homeland Security, public safety officials in Alameda and Contra Costa counties (including Oakland) have decided to lay the groundwork for a multi-county consolidated communications system that will meet the P25 standard.

Moving forward:
The story indicates Oakland is looking to replace their handhelds with a product not yet proven to be forward migrateable to the P25 standard. The DHS technical experts are concerned that -if- the product that Oakland is wishing to procure from M/A-COM does not have full P25 trunking compatibility, then the purchase would be a setback in the efforts to getting everybody onto the same operating platform.

The story states Oakland will be requiring proof of compatibility as a condition of the procurement, as verified on the Mesa, AZ P25 network. (The City of Mesa's network is one of the established 'proving grounds' for P25 manufacturers.)

More interoperability and P25 info:
http://www.safecomprogram.gov/SAFECOM/
http://www.project25.org/

Don

37574. Re: [bayscan] Police humor...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 17:33:49 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37447 Message: 37574

At 02:04 PM 2/23/2005, you wrote:
><http://www.espphotography.com/copperclappers.wmv>http://www.espphotography.com/copperclappers.wmv
>
>In memory of Johnny Carson and Jack Webb.
>
>This is from 1968, but could just as well have been 1998.

Let me just follow up, and yes, this is off topic, but if you get a chance, check out the Carson Collection on DVD. I just yesterday got part 1 on DVD from Netflix, and I can't wait to get part 2. 99% of the jokes are still hysterical today. Granted, there's the 1 percent where you need to rewind to see the year to then 'get' the joke, but for the most part, his humor is timeless. Now the 1% I didn't get was probably because I'm too young or I failed history (i.e. Spiro Agnew WHO?) but for the most part, all of his jokes are still hysterical. There's a young Letterman, Leno, and even Albert Brooks doing a few hysterical impressions.

And the animals that he has on the show - WOW.

Check it out. Great for a load of laughs.

Evan

37575. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 20:20:03 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 37530 Message: 37575

"Troyboy" maybe you should look at your own life or get one. If you have never dealt with the police ever than you must be very young or have your own strange little life. I did not and will not ask for you advice The only thing I want to reply too is your generalization and assumption that I have had "so many dealing's with the police" I have had one time in court and that was enough and it dealt with scanner law and the police lied about evidence and the judge dealt with it I have no record. And my daughter had one time in court and the police lied in court and I am sure if you took a poll the majority of defendant's would agree with me that the police will and have enhanced reality in court to make their case and in fact the judge's tend to back up the police use of enhanced reality and giving the officer's testimony more weight which is crap. And just because your a defendant does not make you less of a person or guilty of anything. Much like the current Tazer thread now it is predictably beginning to show the police will drudge up every nasty thing about the deceased they can and enhance reality like the wooden pole could of been a toothpick but we will never hear about it and what the hell is being in a drug-induced "excited delirium,'' If that is not somebody's enhanced reality statement I do not know what is, was this a qualified Doctor's opinion? And once again it was in the past not relevant to the situation now but throw it in anyhow I wish the dead guy could tell us all about that officers background, psychology, employment discipline problems etc.. In My humble opinion if six police officer's can not subdue a unharmed suspect without lethal force being applied they have a training problem and to apply a Tazer nine times is lethal force everyday more people die because of those things but instead of blaming the torture device they covet so much or their lack of training let's cover up the true problems with a fog of insults, irrelevant past history and innuendo and smear the dead man. A large amount of my family including myself have been involved in public safety Including Fire, Police, and FBI I have the utmost respect for police generally but it seems the general police mindset is going in the wrong direction towards a police versus the public mindset and a mucho black uniform riot gear take no prisoner's attitude instead of realizing they are supposed to be serving us not harming us.I also believe that part of the problem is the so called war on drug's which as allowed them so much leeway in violating our civil right's. This will be my last comment on this thread because I know I am not going to change anyone that wants to make kissey face with the Police Bob Webmaster http://bobonit.com (http://www.bobonit.com/html/bob_blog.html)----- Original Message ----- From: troyboym@... To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2005 9:28 AM Subject: Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose In a message dated 2/26/2005 12:58:55 A.M. Pacific Standard Time, rocdad@... writes: I think it is a great idea I have witnessed police abuse and I have been affected by their lies in open court I truly believe that Cop's think it is Ok for them to lie as if they are above the average citizen. I carry a camera and a handi-cam at all times a good Cop should not be afraid of a camera and with the erosion of are personnel rights and liberty's since 9-11 we need all the protection We can get,.as far as editing tape not one of these severe beating's was justified even if the suspect had swung first. Force necessary to control the suspect is the rule not administration of back alley justice. Such broad generalizations are no different than saying that all (pick you favorite race/creed/religion/whatever) are a bunch of thieves/liars/whatever. But I guess racism/prejudice is permissible for you if the police are the target of the day, right? If you've had so many dealings with the police, you might want to take a look at your own

actions and the company you keep. To keep this on-topic, this is yet another unnecessary distraction and generally a stupid idea. [Non-text portions of this message have been removed] Yahoo! Groups Links [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37576. Re: [bayscan] ALCO "Reserve 12"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 20:24:03 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37536 Message: 37576

> when county 3459 going to a drill, a cover in engine
> usually 3452 goes for cover in

Ok, so does anyone know what ALCO "Reserve 12" is?

37577. Re: [bayscan] ALCO "Reserve 12"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-26 21:08:26 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37536 Message: 37577

alco doesn't have a reserve 12.... that wasn't an alco rig

Jordan Hayes wrote:

>>when county 3459 going to a drill, a cover in engine
>>usually 3452 goes for cover in
>>
>>
>
>Ok, so does anyone know what ALCO "Reserve 12" is?
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37578. rotary?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 01:16:22 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37578 Message: 37578

guys, sorry basic question:

rotary frequency?

what is it and why does white 1 declare itself to be one on my scanner?

nina

37579. BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 03:56:54 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 27681 Message: 37579

getting lot of traffic on 155.475 Squad 1 , Squad 4 ....
sounds like it may be a search or something ??

Ed

37580. Re: [bayscan] BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 04:07:52 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 27681 Message: 37580

2007 hrs.

Just heard them asking for "8100 units" which may mean it's something
going in San Jose.

On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 03:56:54 -0000, Ed <caladded@...> wrote:
>
>
> getting lot of traffic on 155.475 Squad 1 , Squad 4 ....
> sounds like it may be a search or something ??
>
>
> Ed
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

37581. Re: [bayscan] BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 04:14:04 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 27681 Message: 37581

2013 hrs.

Ed,

Are you picking up any ground units? I can hear "operations" and that's it.

May be some sort of drill??

Thoughts....

Brian
Campbell, CA

On Sat, 26 Feb 2005 20:07:52 -0800, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> 2007 hrs.
>
> Just heard them asking for "8100 units" which may mean it's something
> going in San Jose.
>
>
> On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 03:56:54 -0000, Ed <caladded@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > getting lot of traffic on 155.475 Squad 1 , Squad 4 ....
> > sounds like it may be a search or something ??
> >
> >
> > Ed
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

37582. Code 6 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 05:20:28 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37582 Message: 37582

I'm sure everyone hears it when a Police Officer runs a person Code 6 check for warrants (it's Code 6 here in Marin) and I'm also pretty sure everyone hears it when a Police Officer runs a device serial number Code 6 such as a "Sanyo car CD player". Now do they use the same database to check people for warrants as they do to run serial numbers for devices, guns etc. or is it all separated out into separate databases? Does dispatch really need to know if it's a "Sanyo car CD Player" or is it just the serial number of the device\gun what matters as far as the Code 6 database match is concerned?

Thanks,

-Roger

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37583. another of ED's excited delirium phases

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 05:41:43 UTC
From: R O'Connor
Topic: 37583 Message: 37583

ED every time someone takes a position you do not agree with you go into one of your excited delirium phases, You always take the bait and start running your big mouth off might be why you seem to have so many problem's with relationships seems your fighting with someone in the different scanner groups everyday or correcting their smallest mistake trying to show off, complaining about a post or babbling in archaic 10 codes does your prowess with the codes make you feel manly? Are you lonely? do surf a lot of Porn sites and have me confused with someone else?
What porn sites? I have never owned a porn site? I am into photography and used to have a photography and travel site I have been published quite a few times and nudity is not porn Big difference but a small minded hypocritical person would not know that as far as calling me a Creep your the creep wanna be not me. And you should be careful of what you call people and accuse them of and believe it or not I am allowed my opinion in this country still and I can express it without name calling and personnel attacks like you favor if you do not like the message you begin a smear campaign must of learned that from the RNC.
I will not respond to anymore of your B.S. You will be Ignored.
Robert O'Connor
Webmaster http://bobonit.com
----- Original Message -----
From: Brian C.
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2005 8:07 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] BAYMACS

2007 hrs.

Just heard them asking for "8100 units" which may mean it's something
going in San Jose.

On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 03:56:54 -0000, Ed <caladded@...> wrote:
>
>
> getting lot of traffic on 155.475 Squad 1 , Squad 4 ....
> sounds like it may be a search or something ??
>
>
> Ed
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37584. Re: Code 6 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 05:47:06 UTC
From: sh9730
Topic: 37582 Message: 37584

Well, this is a complicated issue and can very a little from place to place...

First regarding people, MOST (please do not start pointing out every example where this is not the case and in the bay area where several counties share regional systems..) counties have a LOCAL warrant database for people that have had warrants issued in the agency's own county. Alot of times this is the only place a name is checked since the officer may know this is a local crook etc. Also, most LOCAL databases allow for searches with limited information, i.e. John Smith about 25 years (didnt know exact dob).

Now, to check for warrants out of county most agencies access the NCIC wanted person system. The trouble with this system is that it hits off of the DOB so if you dont have that it can be a problem. Not every local warrant is entered into the National System, but most felony warrants are..

The stuff above relates to your question because the NCIC systems are actually accessed through a big computer switching system called NLETS (National Law Enforcement Teletype System). NLETS allows access to not only the Wanted Person System, but the Wanted Property System, the Stolen Vehicle System, and others including the California Law Enforcement Teletype System (CLETS). CLETS is where almost all stolen property in California is entered.

SO, your question about the name check and whether property is run in the same system can be either yes, or no, depending on the type of name check run. Reegarding your question about whether the brand etc matters...yes and no again. On a CD most of time you are entering just the serial number and the TYPE of property (CD, TV etc.), but when you get the return MANY CDs can have the same serial number so the other information is useful. Some items actually require further information be entered before the check can be run, like a gun needs the caliber etc.

This is by no means a complete explanation of this system, but I think it answered your questions...

37585. RE: [bayscan] Re: Code 6 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 05:58:16 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37582 Message: 37585

Yes, thanks very much for the insight. It's just something that been biting at me for a couple of years now and I thought I would throw it out there. I did imagine it was complex though and you verified that.

-Roger 21:58

-----Original Message-----
From: sh9730 [mailto:jmcguire32@...]
Sent: Saturday, February 26, 2005 9:47 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Code 6 question

Well, this is a complicated issue and can very a little from place to place...

First regarding people, MOST (please do not start pointing out every example where this is not the case and in the bay area where several counties share regional systems..) counties have a LOCAL warrant database for people that have had warrants issued in the agency's own county. Alot of times this is the only place a name is checked since the officer may know this is a local crook etc. Also, most LOCAL databases allow for searches with limited information, i.e. John Smith about 25 years (didnt know exact dob).

Now, to check for warrants out of county most agencies access the NCIC wanted person system. The trouble with this system is that it hits off of the DOB so if you dont have that it can be a problem. Not every local warrant is entered into the National System, but most felony warrants are..

The stuff above relates to your question because the NCIC systems are actually accessed through a big computer switching system called NLETS (National Law Enforcement Teletype System). NLETS allows access to not only the Wanted Person System, but the Wanted Property System, the Stolen Vehicle System, and others including the California Law Enforcement Teletype System (CLETS). CLETS is where almost all stolen property in California is entered.

SO, your question about the name check and whether property is run in the same system can be either yes, or no, depending on the type of name check run. Reegarding your question about whether the brand etc matters...yes and no again. On a CD most of time you are entering just the serial number and the TYPE of property (CD, TV etc.), but when you get the return MANY CDs can have the same serial number so the other information is useful. Some items actually require further information be entered before the check can be run, like a gun needs the caliber etc.

This is by no means a complete explanation of this system, but I think it answered your questions...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37586. Re: [bayscan] BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 06:47:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 27681 Message: 37586

I got one ground unit but for the most part " operations" only . It does seem to be a drill as I heard her (operations) laughing during a couple transmissions. Something about picking up some object and continuing up the drill.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37587. Re: Code 6 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 08:40:03 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37582 Message: 37587

Roger,

First of all Code 6 is the biggest whore of a code used in the state of California. I know everyone knows that diferent counties use different codes but Code 6 seriously means something different in every county. As far as Marin County goes, Code 6 is the equvilent of 10-29 or running someone or something for wants and or warrants.

As far as property serial #'s go with running something in APS or Automated Property System (part of Clets), it is something that runs off of serial # only. The system does give the option to enter the description and attach it to th serial # for better identification of the property when the intial entry is being made.

Hope that answers your question,

Nick in Fresno - 00:40 Hours

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...> wrote:
> I'm sure everyone hears it when a Police Officer runs a person Code 6 check
> for warrants (it's Code 6 here in Marin) and I'm also pretty sure everyone
> hears it when a Police Officer runs a device serial number Code 6 such as a
> "Sanyo car CD player". Now do they use the same database to check people for
> warrants as they do to run serial numbers for devices, guns etc. or is it
> all separated out into separate databases? Does dispatch really need to know
> if it's a "Sanyo car CD Player" or is it just the serial number of the
> device\gun what matters as far as the Code 6 database match is concerned?
>
> Thanks,
>
> -Roger
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37588. Re: [bayscan] Re: Code 6 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 08:55:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37582 Message: 37588

At 12:40 AM 2/27/2005, you wrote:

>Roger,
>
>First of all Code 6 is the biggest whore of a code used in the state
>of California. I know everyone knows that diferent counties use
>different codes but Code 6 seriously means something different in
>every county.

Well, that's one way to describe a code! :)

I believe in Santa Clara, it means wants. I rarely hear an officer use it (i.e. I don't hear "Check Suspect for Code 6") but I hear dispatch using it to adivse of warrants - M for Misdemeanor, F for Felony, i.e. "Subject is Code 6-M."

37589. marin? anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 10:26:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37589 Message: 37589

nina

37590. Re: marin? anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 10:28:18 UTC
From: Fast Eddie
Topic: 37589 Message: 37590

I'm in the city.

Listening to marin as best I can....

what's up?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> nina

37591. Re: [bayscan] Re: marin? anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 10:37:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37589 Message: 37591

Since a couple others are up.....San Jose has (or at least HAD) a jumper at the Fairmont Hotel on Market @ Park on a 20th floor ledge

I thought I caught them say he is " On it ,,,AGAIN " ?? wonder if I heard that correctly and if so HOW come he got out there again

I don't know what SJFD has in their equipment other than ropes etc for a jumper from that high up. they may have airbags but I wonder how effective it would be from that height . its on SJPD 5 which is a weak channel and I can't get it for beans :-(SJFD sent someone , missed the unit, should be from Station 1 and / or Station 30.

SJPD 5/ 460.400
SJFD/ 155.025

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37592. Re: [bayscan] Re: marin? anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 10:38:48 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37589 Message: 37592

wondering what happened that has officers going to marin general
hospital...

wondering maybe related to dui 20002 now BOL...

thanks for youyr response...

On Sunday, Feb 27, 2005, at 02:28 US/Pacific, Fast Eddie wrote:

>
>
> I'm in the city.
>
> Listening to marin as best I can....
>
>
>
> what's up?
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>> nina
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37593. Re: [bayscan] Re: marin? anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 10:48:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37589 Message: 37593

poor bastard.
On Sunday, Feb 27, 2005, at 02:37 US/Pacific, caladded@...m wrote:

>
> Since a couple others are up.....San Jose has (or at least HAD)
> a
> jumper at the Fairmont Hotel on Market @ Park on a 20th floor ledge
>
> I thought I caught them say he is " On it ,,,AGAIN " ?? wonder
> if I
> heard that correctly and if so HOW come he got out there again
>
> I don't know what SJFD has in their equipment other than ropes etc
> for a
> jumper from that high up. they may have airbags but I wonder how
> effective it
> would be from that height . its on SJPD 5 which is a weak channel and
> I can't
> get it for beans :-(SJFD sent someone , missed the unit,
> should be
> from Station 1 and / or Station 30.
>
> SJPD 5/ 460.400
> SJFD/ 155.025
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com

(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37594. marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 11:43:08 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37594 Message: 37594

0342

shots fired intersection at stone and oak manor

49648

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37595. marinhigh speed pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 11:58:42 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37595 Message: 37595

0358
vehicle pursuit >100 mph
south novato off

gold cadillac

45616

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37596. Re: [bayscan) dublin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 12:10:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37596 Message: 37596

Five ambulances rolling on a crash on 580 out towards Tracy

ALCO , LAB Fire / Tracy Fire all involved

tac 14 / TG 48880

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37597. Re: [bayscan) Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 12:15:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37597 Message: 37597

Oakland has a fatal E/B 580 @ 106th ave Five vehicle MVA

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37598. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 12:23:41 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37597 Message: 37598

bcn advises all 580 lanes closed, s/p fatal moto 580@106th avenue.
traffic diverted to golf links road during clean-up....

BCN12 -ADVISORY

The California Highway Patrol reports that all lanes are blocked
on eastbound Interstate Highway 580 at 106th Ave. in Oakland after a
fatal
motorcycle accident this morning at 3:23 a.m.
All traffic is being diverted at Gulf Links Road during the
cleanup, according to the CHP. There is no estimate for when lanes will
reopen
On Sunday, Feb 27, 2005, at 04:15 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

>
> Oakland has a fatal E/B 580 @ 106th ave Five vehicle MVA
>
>
> ED
>
>

nina

37599. Fwd: marinhigh speed pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 12:02:31 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37599 Message: 37599

so and novato on scene, one at gunpoint,

subject now 1015.

0401

wow that was fast

Begin forwarded message:

> 0358
> vehicle pursuit >100 mph
> south novato off
>
> gold cadillac
>
> 45616
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37600. fairmont would be jumper

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 12:26:45 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37600 Message: 37600

successfully talked off 20th floor of hotel at 2:25 am....

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37601. RE: [bayscan] Re: Code 6 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 18:23:45 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37582 Message: 37601

Yeah, that helps a lot, thanks Nick.

Miss you covering the scanner action up in north bay. This Windsor home invasion action Friday http://www.pressdemo.com/pmextra/ would have been some good listening but I can only pull Sonoma County Sheriff from here in Terra Linda and pretty much have my hands full with MERA and the surrounding area but I do monitor Petaluma, Cotati etc. when I'm mobile up that way.

-Roger 10:23 am
-----Original Message-----
From: Nick in SLO [mailto:cop4christ@...]
Sent: Sunday, February 27, 2005 12:40 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Code 6 question

Roger,

First of all Code 6 is the biggest whore of a code used in the state of California. I know everyone knows that diferent counties use different codes but Code 6 seriously means something different in every county. As far as Marin County goes, Code 6 is the equvilent of 10-29 or running someone or something for wants and or warrants.

As far as property serial #'s go with running something in APS or Automated Property System (part of Clets), it is something that runs off of serial # only. The system does give the option to enter the description and attach it to th serial # for better identification of the property when the intial entry is being made.

Hope that answers your question,

Nick in Fresno - 00:40 Hours

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Roger Strohmeyer" <rstroh@e...>
wrote:
> I'm sure everyone hears it when a Police Officer runs a person
Code 6 check
> for warrants (it's Code 6 here in Marin) and I'm also pretty sure
everyone
> hears it when a Police Officer runs a device serial number Code 6
such as a
> "Sanyo car CD player". Now do they use the same database to check
people for
> warrants as they do to run serial numbers for devices, guns etc.
or is it
> all separated out into separate databases? Does dispatch really
need to know

> if it's a "Sanyo car CD Player" or is it just the serial number of
the
> device\gun what matters as far as the Code 6 database match is
concerned?
>
> Thanks,
>
> -Roger
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37602. Re: [bayscan] fairmont would be jumper

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 20:05:44 UTC
From: Christopher Handisides
Topic: 37600 Message: 37602

San Jose or San Francisco?

----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 27, 2005 04:26
Subject: [bayscan] fairmont would be jumper

successfully talked off 20th floor of hotel at 2:25 am....

==========
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

37603. Re: [bayscan] fairmont would be jumper

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 21:32:35 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37600 Message: 37603

this refers to the san jose incident posted earlier by someone else...

On Sunday, Feb 27, 2005, at 12:05 US/Pacific, Christopher Handisides wrote:

>
> San Jose or San Francisco?
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, February 27, 2005 04:26
> Subject: [bayscan] fairmont would be jumper
>
>
>
> successfully talked off 20th floor of hotel at 2:25 am....
>
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37604. OT: one small step for mankind

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 21:35:36 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37604 Message: 37604

purportedly unedited tape

http://www.blogjam.com/neil_armstrong/

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37605. Re: [bayscan] OT: one small step for mankind

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 22:18:42 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37604 Message: 37605

I'm sure some will find this offensive, but I am willing to admit - I laughed out loud during this spoof.

Thanks for providing the chuckle!

On Feb 27, 2005, at 1:35 PM, nina zhito wrote:

>
> purportedly unedited tape
>
> http://www.blogjam.com/neil_armstrong/

37606. Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 22:27:18 UTC
From: John Beatty
Topic: 37606 Message: 37606

This is a little off topic, but it may be
of some small interest to the group.
That's me on the right in yellow.
http://us.f1.yahoofs.com/bc/146b0830/bc/My+Documents/homeland.jpg?
BC7jkICBN0Gdi9nS

J.B. AD6WX

37607. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 22:31:34 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 37606 Message: 37607

Too long a URL, it wrapped. Try:
http://tinyurl.com/5jpr7

John Beatty <jbxx@...> wrote:

This is a little off topic, but it may be
of some small interest to the group.
That's me on the right in yellow.
http://us.f1.yahoofs.com/bc/146b0830/bc/My+Documents/homeland.jpg?
BC7jkICBN0Gdi9nS

J.B. AD6WX

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37608. Re: [bayscan] OT: one small step for mankind

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-27 23:12:19 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37604 Message: 37608

Eh, no more offensive than that alpha hotel on 3840lsb. BTW, we all know
those moon landings were faked.

While we are OT, I entitle this real life shortwave commercial "better
living through oregano":
http://www.lazygranch.com/sound/shortwave/oragano.wav
Well, at least it was received in the bay area, so it is barely on topic.

Craig Rose wrote:
> I'm sure some will find this offensive, but I am willing to admit - I
> laughed out loud during this spoof.
>
> Thanks for providing the chuckle!
>
> On Feb 27, 2005, at 1:35 PM, nina zhito wrote:
>
>
>>purportedly unedited tape
>>
>>http://www.blogjam.com/neil_armstrong/
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37609. MERA and lowband

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 01:08:12 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37609 Message: 37609

Does anyone know FOR SURE.....once all Fire in Marin is on
MERA .....are they going to continue to simulcast on lowband or are
they dumping it or using it ONLY as backup ?

although I have 3 digital scanners, some others find its too
expensive and may want to avoid the cost of a digital scanner if
their main interest is Fire and they don't need a digital scanner

thanks
Ed

37610. CHP's getting busy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 02:21:22 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37610 Message: 37610

Lot's of T/C's in the east bay.

Starting to pick up with the rain.

--
Scientia est vox

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37611. Re: MERA and lowband

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 02:26:09 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37609 Message: 37611

Novato FPD is the only department actually using MERA (and not simulcasting lowband onto it) so far, although San Rafael is well on the way with testing their new TGs. So for now you can scan the rest of the departments on low band, but they'll all be on MERA eventually with no lowband, because every department will be dispatched off one talkgroup (much like the city of San Diego), then respond on their own zone specific seperate Control and Tactical TGs. Though that in itself doesn't mean they have to ditch lowband entirely (although the patching would be really complicated if they didn't, though not much more than it is now), it's pretty safe to say they're going to considering Novato already has (the only thing the control 35 freq still gets is the paging tones but no voice).

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
>
>
> Does anyone know FOR SURE.....once all Fire in Marin is on
> MERA .....are they going to continue to simulcast on lowband or
are
> they dumping it or using it ONLY as backup ?
>
> although I have 3 digital scanners, some others find its too
> expensive and may want to avoid the cost of a digital scanner if
> their main interest is Fire and they don't need a digital scanner
>
> thanks
> Ed

37612. Re: MERA and lowband

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 02:27:19 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37609 Message: 37612

Looking back I know that's not as for sure as you'd want, but yes...
if one agency of the system ditched it they all are.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> Novato FPD is the only department actually using MERA (and not
> simulcasting lowband onto it) so far, although San Rafael is well
on
> the way with testing their new TGs. So for now you can scan the
rest
> of the departments on low band, but they'll all be on MERA
eventually
> with no lowband, because every department will be dispatched off
one
> talkgroup (much like the city of San Diego), then respond on their
> own zone specific seperate Control and Tactical TGs. Though that in
> itself doesn't mean they have to ditch lowband entirely (although
the
> patching would be really complicated if they didn't, though not
much
> more than it is now), it's pretty safe to say they're going to
> considering Novato already has (the only thing the control 35 freq
> still gets is the paging tones but no voice).
>
> -Inigo
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Does anyone know FOR SURE...........once all Fire in Marin is
on
> > MERA ....are they going to continue to simulcast on lowband or
> are
> > they dumping it or using it ONLY as backup ?
> >
> > although I have 3 digital scanners, some others find its too
> > expensive and may want to avoid the cost of a digital scanner if
> > their main interest is Fire and they don't need a digital scanner
> >
> > thanks
> > Ed

37613. Re: [bayscan] Re: MERA and lowband

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 03:29:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37609 Message: 37613

Yeah, if Novato dumped it , as you say , I would agree that the others probably would too. thanks for the info.

to Milt: looks like your going to need a digital scanner for Marin Fire pretty soon.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37614. crash on 280 San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 03:52:52 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37614 Message: 37614

San Jose calling an MCI Activation for southbound 280 @ Byrd exit

multiple vehicles with extraction , 6 patients , 3 AMR rigs code 3

partial list of fire
Engine 4 Truck 4 Rescue-Medic 4 Med 30 Battalion 1 or 10 ?

on Command 4/ 153.98
Med 92 857.4375

CHP / 42.50

1952 hours

Ed

37615. Re: MERA and lowband

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 05:37:17 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37609 Message: 37615

Novato Fire was the first agency to go *full MERA*. San Rafael is scheduled for the next month or so. Then, the Ross Valley area will go in late spring, and Southern Marin shortly after that. The only hold out will be Marin County FD. The Bolinas radio site issue is far from being resolved, and MCFD has said that they will not go full-MERA until they have full coverage. The Bolinas site also affects the Marin County Sheriff going to MERA.

BTW, the ringdown tones on Novato Fire F-35 (46.36) are only until the MOSCAD/FireSCAD system is fully operational.

When all the East Zone (Smartzone simulcast area) fire depts are fully on MERA, the lowband transmissions (save for 46.28/37.10) should cease. We will still have VHF conventional analog equipment (portables/mobiles) for big mutual aid. Marin Co. FD will have MERA portables for operations in the East zone.

37616. Re: rotary?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 05:46:20 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37578 Message: 37616

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> guys, sorry basic question:
>
> rotary frequency?
>
> what is it and why does white 1 declare itself to be one on my scanner?
>
> nina

I can't recall any mention of *rotary frequency*. Maybe it's specific to your scanning radio. White 1 (154.2800) is the main statewide VHF fire mutual aid freq. What do you mean it *declares itself*?

37617. Re: rotary?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 06:13:40 UTC
From: Bob
Topic: 37578 Message: 37617

Just guessing but were they talking about Rotary wing aircraft?
ie:Helicopters
Thats how a lot of public agencies describe them including CDF
Just a guess no flames invited
Bob
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> > guys, sorry basic question:
> >
> > rotary frequency?
> >
> > what is it and why does white 1 declare itself to be one on my
> scanner?
> >
> > nina
>
> I can't recall any mention of *rotary frequency*. Maybe it's
> specific to your scanning radio. White 1 (154.2800) is the main
> statewide VHF fire mutual aid freq. What do you mean it *declares
> itself*?

37618. Re: [bayscan] Re: rotary?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 06:25:49 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37578 Message: 37618

hi erik,

thanks for your interest in helping: by "declaring itself", i meant
that when white 1 rolled up on the scanner, a text tag on my 296D said
it was a "rotary frequency". it happened a couple of times yesterday.
haven't seen it since.

it is yet another term / concept i am not familiar with --

i know, i know --'read the manual, stupid'...

nina

On Sunday, Feb 27, 2005, at 21:46 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>> guys, sorry basic question:
>>
>> rotary frequency?
>>
>> what is it and why does white 1 declare itself to be one on my
> scanner?
>>
>> nina
>
> I can't recall any mention of *rotary frequency*. Maybe it's
> specific to your scanning radio. White 1 (154.2800) is the main
> statewide VHF fire mutual aid freq. What do you mean it *declares
> itself*?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37619. Re: [bayscan] Re: rotary?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 06:35:01 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37578 Message: 37619

well, bob -- interesting thought, you are correct: the text tag "rotary frequency" *did* appear on my 296d while sheriff, fire, and helicopter were being coordinated. i am starting to think the tag is idiosyncratic to the 296d, and that i mistakenly hit a mystery combo of buttons in error, in my frenzy to keep up with the excitement. i am lucky i didn't blank out everything!

but i will post to 296d list and share what i learn.. thanks for noodling the question.

nina

On Sunday, Feb 27, 2005, at 22:13 US/Pacific, Bob wrote:

>
>
> Just guessing but were they talking about Rotary wing aircraft?
> ie:Helicopters
> Thats how a lot of public agencies describe them including CDF
> Just a guess no flames invited
> Bob
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>>> guys, sorry basic question:
>>>
>>> rotary frequency?
>>>
>>> what is it and why does white 1 declare itself to be one on my
>> scanner?
>>>
>>> nina
>>
>> I can't recall any mention of *rotary frequency*. Maybe it's
>> specific to your scanning radio. White 1 (154.2800) is the main
>> statewide VHF fire mutual aid freq. What do you mean it *declares
>> itself*?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37620. 2nd alarm fire San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 07:45:37 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37620 Message: 37620

2nd alarm structure fire in San Rafael.
210 G Street

All units going "defensive" at this point.

San Rafael Fire-46.200

11:44 PM

Robert
BayScan

37621. Re: [bayscan] Re: rotary?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 08:06:34 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37578 Message: 37621

Well now I am baffled. The 296D gets text messaging from the trunk
system, i.e. beyond the usual system ID?

nina zhito wrote:
> well, bob -- interesting thought, you are correct: the text tag
> "rotary frequency" *did* appear on my 296d while sheriff, fire, and
> helicopter were being coordinated. i am starting to think the tag is
> idiosyncratic to the 296d, and that i mistakenly hit a mystery combo of
> buttons in error, in my frenzy to keep up with the excitement. i am
> lucky i didn't blank out everything!
>
> but i will post to 296d list and share what i learn.. thanks for
> noodling the question.
>
> nina
>
> On Sunday, Feb 27, 2005, at 22:13 US/Pacific, Bob wrote:
>
>
>>
>>Just guessing but were they talking about Rotary wing aircraft?
>>ie:Helicopters
>>Thats how a lot of public agencies describe them including CDF
>>Just a guess no flames invited
>>Bob
>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Erik" <esvanr@f...> wrote:
>>
>>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>>>
>>>>guys, sorry basic question:
>>>>
>>>>rotary frequency?
>>>>
>>>>what is it and why does white 1 declare itself to be one on my
>>>
>>>scanner?
>>>
>>>>nina
>>>
>>>I can't recall any mention of *rotary frequency*. Maybe it's
>>>specific to your scanning radio. White 1 (154.2800) is the main
>>>statewide VHF fire mutual aid freq. What do you mean it *declares
>>>itself*?
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37622. CHP - Failure to Yield

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 08:33:00 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37622 Message: 37622

Unknown which office however I'm hearing a CHP unit with a failure to yield w/b 580 @ San Ramon, #1, @ 55mph

Nick in Fresno - 0:32 Hours

37623. CHP - Failure to Yield

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 08:35:37 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37622 Message: 37623

w/b 580 @ the dublin grade, # 1 lane @ 60 mph

Sounds like CHP Hayward/Dublin 42.62 (Best guess)

CHP Code 33 in effect

25-S6, so it must be CHP Hayward, asking for a tac strip

I'm listening to it on mattlogic.com

Nick in Fresno - 0:34 Hours

37624. Re: CHP - Failure to Yield

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 08:38:26 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37622 Message: 37624

H-30 & H-32 unable to respond out for airsupport

The 29 on the plate returns clear and current.

Now w/b 580 @ Union Cannyon?

Castro Valley units now taking primary and 58 dropping back to
secondary to call it..

now @ Stenner or Center, # 1 lane, @ 55mph

Vehicle now weaving from the # 1 lane to # 2 lane and back and forth.

Nick in Fresno - 0:38 Hours

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Nick in SLO" <cop4christ@s...>
wrote:
>
> Unknown which office however I'm hearing a CHP unit with a failure
> to yield w/b 580 @ San Ramon, #1, @ 55mph
>
> Nick in Fresno - 0:32 Hours

37625. Re: CHP - Failure to Yield (Code 4, 10-15 w/ 1)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 08:43:31 UTC
From: Nick in SLO
Topic: 37622 Message: 37625

Now exiting Strobridge

Felony Stop in Progress

Now Code 4 with one in custody, 580 @ Strobridge off

Channel is clear 0:41 hours

Nick in Frenso - 0:42 Hours

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Nick in SLO" <cop4christ@s...>
wrote:
>
> Unknown which office however I'm hearing a CHP unit with a failure
> to yield w/b 580 @ San Ramon, #1, @ 55mph
>
> Nick in Fresno - 0:32 Hours

37626. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm fire San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 08:46:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37626 Message: 37626

hearing a 3rd alarm now. E14 and 17 and a Novato Engine covering San Rafael stations

0046 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37627. Ham testing 4-9-05

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 11:58:32 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37627 Message: 37627

ham testing in SF on April 9th 2005 at the church on 19th St. & connicutt St.
start 9:00
bring $25.00 and photo Id

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37628. WAY OFF TOPIC: G-mail accounts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 12:17:48 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37628 Message: 37628

Being that Google Mail (Gmail) is by invite only, and I have found it very useful with my Yahoo groups and good spam filters. now I have found out that I have 50 invites to Gmail to give away, So if you would like a Gmail account (which are free) send a request to scanner4@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37629. Re: [bayscan] Ham testing 4-9-05

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 13:59:50 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37627 Message: 37629

can i test to upgrade my license at that time?

On Monday, Feb 28, 2005, at 03:58 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> ham testing in SF on April 9th 2005 at the church on 19th St. &
> connicutt St.
> start 9:00
> bring $25.00 and photo Id
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37630. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 14:24:58 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 37606 Message: 37630

That doesn't seem to work now either.
Could someone please host a picture for me?
J.B. AD6WX

john Beatty <jbxx@...> wrote:

Too long a URL, it wrapped. Try:
http://tinyurl.com/5jpr7

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37631. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 14:27:00 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37606 Message: 37631

sure i will... jsut send me the picture...photozhito@...
On Monday, Feb 28, 2005, at 06:24 US/Pacific, john Beatty wrote:

>
> That doesn't seem to work now either.
> Could someone please host a picture for me?
> J.B. AD6WX
>
> john Beatty <jbxx@...> wrote:
>
> Too long a URL, it wrapped. Try:
> http://tinyurl.com/5jpr7
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37632. BCT-8 scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 14:48:37 UTC
From: Rick Williams
Topic: 37632 Message: 37632

I live in San Francisco and have a BC-296.
Will a BCT-8 work with the trunk system here?
Thanks
Rick

37633. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 15:01:46 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 37606 Message: 37633

O.K. Nina hosted the picture for me.

try this: http://www.sportsshooter.com/photozhito/jbxx/pages/1.html

That's me on the right in yellow.

J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37634. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 15:18:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37606 Message: 37634

can anyone subscribe to it ? sounds interesting

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37635. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 15:21:08 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 37606 Message: 37635

I'm not sure, I was just at the website.
It looks like you can subscribe.
I just wrote tio to the editor to see if I could get a couple of copies.
J.B. AD6WX

caladded@... wrote:

can anyone subscribe to it ? sounds interesting

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37636. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 15:41:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37606 Message: 37636

the website URL is ??? thanx

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37637. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 15:58:16 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 37606 Message: 37637

www.hppmag.com
J.B. AD6WX

caladded@... wrote:

the website URL is ??? thanx

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37638. Re: rotary?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 16:17:10 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37578 Message: 37638

> nina zhito wrote:
>"rotary frequency" *did* appear on my 296d while sheriff, fire, and
> > helicopter were being coordinated...

Just FYI: CALCORD (156.0750) is the usual frequency for interagency air/ground coordination of helicopters.

The 296D group would probably have more info on that alpha message. Maybe *rotary* is a reference to *cyclical* or something like that (patched-together talkgroups)?

The Marin MERA system has the capability (dispatcher-controlled) of patching together several different talkgroups into a single TG.

37639. Re: [bayscan] Re: rotary?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 16:20:45 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37578 Message: 37639

"cyclical".

that's another name for a patched group?

hmmm.

On Monday, Feb 28, 2005, at 08:17 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

>
>
>> nina zhito wrote:
>> "rotary frequency" *did* appear on my 296d while sheriff, fire, and
>>> helicopter were being coordinated...
>
> Just FYI: CALCORD (156.0750) is the usual frequency for interagency
> air/ground coordination of helicopters.
>
> The 296D group would probably have more info on that alpha message.
> Maybe *rotary* is a reference to *cyclical* or something like that
> (patched-together talkgroups)?
>
> The Marin MERA system has the capability (dispatcher-controlled) of
> patching together several different talkgroups into a single TG.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37640. Re: [bayscan] Code 6 question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 16:31:52 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37582 Message: 37640

> I'm sure everyone hears it when a Police Officer runs a person Code 6 check for warrants (it's Code 6 here in
> Marin) and I'm also pretty sure everyone hears it when a Police Officer runs a device serial number Code 6 such as
> a "Sanyo car CD player". Now do they use the same database to check people for warrants as they do to run serial
> numbers for devices, guns etc. or is it all separated out into separate databases? Does dispatch really need to
> know if it's a "Sanyo car CD Player" or is it just the serial number of the device\gun what matters as far as the
> Code 6 database match is concerned?

Here in Santa Clara County Code 6, with the appropriate ALPHA code after it means that someone or something is wanted.

In California there are several systems, both local as well as statewide, that are checked when a person is "run." Just a couple are WPS (Wanted Persons System) and MUPS (Missing/Unidentified Persons System).

Basically, any stolen property, if the serial #'s were available at the time of the report, are entered into APS (Automated Property System). If a vehicle is stolen or gets towed from an LE agency it gets entered into SVS (Stolen Vehicle System).

Any additional descriptors of the item being run are extremely helpful in narrowing down the output possibilities. This just means that the dispatcher doesn't have to wade through thousands of entries versus 10-20.

Hope this helps.

Mike

37641. San Mateo County Firefighters on TechTV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 16:43:37 UTC
From: ellenchandler
Topic: 37641 Message: 37641

Check out this link for a cool video about San Mateo fire fighters
and their high tech tools.

mms://video.firedispatch.com/TechLive02212002DSL.wmv

37642. Re: [bayscan] Ham testing 4-9-05

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 16:22:35 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37627 Message: 37642

yes, for the written not sure about code testing, I'll check and post back
here
----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2005 05:59
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Ham testing 4-9-05

can i test to upgrade my license at that time?

On Monday, Feb 28, 2005, at 03:58 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> ham testing in SF on April 9th 2005 at the church on 19th St. &
> connicutt St.
> start 9:00
> bring $25.00 and photo Id
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

37643. Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 16:30:09 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37606 Message: 37643

Just went to there web and they have FREE subscription.
www.hppmag.com
----- Original Message -----
From: john Beatty
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2005 07:58
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Homeland Defense Professional Magazine

www.hppmag.com
J.B. AD6WX

caladded@... wrote:

the website URL is ??? thanx

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37644. Re: [bayscan] WAY OFF TOPIC: G-mail accounts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 18:12:21 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37628 Message: 37644

At 04:17 AM 2/28/2005, you wrote:
>Being that Google Mail (Gmail) is by invite only, and I have found it very
>useful with my Yahoo groups and good spam filters. now I have found out
>that I have 50 invites to Gmail to give away, So if you would like a Gmail
>account (which are free) send a request to scanner4@...

Can we please stop posting these "I have gmail invites"? I see about one
every other week on just about every group I'm on. If someone hasn't seen
an invite and doesn't already have one, they probably don't want one.

Thanks.

37645. Re: [bayscan] Rotary Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 19:52:25 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 37645 Message: 37645

On the BC-785 & 796, if you hit the CHAN/FREQ knob, which has VFO/SELECT PUSH written underneath it, while on a conventional channel, the dispaly will read "ROTARY:FREQUENCY" or "ROTARY:CHANNEL"

It indicates what will happen if you were then to rotate that knob -- either manually tuning up or down from that frequency, or tuning up or down from that channel #.

Tim

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

37646. Re: [bayscan] WAY OFF TOPIC: G-mail accounts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 20:08:18 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37628 Message: 37646

Yeah I second that motion :)

Robert
BayScan

At 10:12 AM 2/28/2005, you wrote:
>At 04:17 AM 2/28/2005, you wrote:
> >Being that Google Mail (Gmail) is by invite only, and I have found it very
> >useful with my Yahoo groups and good spam filters. now I have found out
> >that I have 50 invites to Gmail to give away, So if you would like a Gmail
> >account (which are free) send a request to scanner4@...
>
>Can we please stop posting these "I have gmail invites"? I see about one
>every other week on just about every group I'm on. If someone hasn't seen
>an invite and doesn't already have one, they probably don't want one.
>
>Thanks.
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129n6eejj/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109700818/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
>77082a0.jpg
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37647. Re: [bayscan] Rotary Frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 21:18:11 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37645 Message: 37647

yay!!! thank you !!!

duh -- i'm such an idiot!

On Monday, Feb 28, 2005, at 11:52 US/Pacific, Tim wrote:

>
>
> On the BC-785 & 796, if you hit the CHAN/FREQ knob,
> which has VFO/SELECT PUSH written underneath it, while
> on a conventional channel, the dispaly will read
> "ROTARY:FREQUENCY" or "ROTARY:CHANNEL"
>
> It indicates what will happen if you were then to
> rotate that knob -- either manually tuning up or down
> from that frequency, or tuning up or down from that
> channel #.
>
> Tim
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.
> http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37648. SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 22:35:08 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37648

5150, 19 yr/old - Poss shotgun and SKS (.303) in the house...

Ch 2 now Code 22.

Subject heard deputies approach (Code 3)...possible on foot.

37649. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 22:37:10 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37649

Ooops...forgot to mention this is occurring in unincorp Santa Cruz
Mountains on Comanche trail...

>5150, 19 yr/old - Poss shotgun and SKS (.303) in the house...
>
>Ch 2 now Code 22.
>
>Subject heard deputies approach (Code 3)...possible on foot.

37650. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 22:54:43 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37650

Suspect now out of house running inot wooded area. Has shotgun in
possession but dropped most of the shotgun rounds.
Suspect is wearing a brown "trenchcoat".

More excitment to come...

Mike@1454 hours

>Ooops...forgot to mention this is occurring in unincorp Santa Cruz
>Mountains on Comanche trail...
>
>
>5150, 19 yr/old - Poss shotgun and SKS (.303) in the house...
>
>Ch 2 now Code 22.
>
>Subject heard deputies approach (Code 3)...possible on foot.

37651. Scanners Unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 22:59:54 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 5038 Message: 37651

Glenn is moving to 1656 El Camino Real , San Carlos.....this is about 5 blocks from his Laurel st. location. It is a little closer to Redwood City (South of the old address) It would be on the West side of the street .

same phone
650-573.1624

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37652. RE: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:04:33 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 37648 Message: 37652

>5150, 19 yr/old - Poss shotgun and SKS (.303) in the house...
>

I heard briefly around 1455 hrs on CHP Blue (42.34) of a "juvenile with shotgun, possibly 10-31 by cop." I assume 10-31 is suicide.

Mike (in south bay area)
1455 hrs

37653. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:08:09 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37648 Message: 37653

sigh
On Monday, Feb 28, 2005, at 15:04 US/Pacific, Mike Wright wrote:

>
>> 5150, 19 yr/old - Poss shotgun and SKS (.303) in the house...
>>
>
> I heard briefly around 1455 hrs on CHP Blue (42.34) of a "juvenile with
> shotgun, possibly 10-31 by cop." I assume 10-31 is suicide.
>
> Mike (in south bay area)
> 1455 hrs
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37654. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:10:13 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37654

Just to make this really fun...415 weapons call in Cupertino @ Stevens Creek Elem. School is in lock down. On CH 3 (P25) not sure the freq a this time....
Suspect seen in bushes near clasroom with a silver handgun.

Back to Comanche Trail.

CHP helicopter enroute.
Subject loaded his shotgun before dropping other rounds.
Subject is 5150/Bipolar according to parents who are also on scene.
Subject stated that if deputies chase or confront him he'l put a round into his own head.

Wow...and I'm stuck in the office! Bummer...

Mike@1510

>Suspect now out of house running inot wooded area. Has shotgun in
>possession but dropped most of the shotgun rounds.
>Suspect is wearing a brown "trenchcoat".
>
>More excitment to come...
>
>Mike@1454 hours
>
>Ooops...forgot to mention this is occurring in unincorp Santa Cruz
>Mountains on Comanche trail...
>
>
>5150, 19 yr/old - Poss shotgun and SKS (.303) in the house...
>
>Ch 2 now Code 22.
>
>Subject heard deputies approach (Code 3)...possible on foot.

Yahoo! Groups Links

37655. RE: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:10:35 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37648 Message: 37655

At 03:04 PM 2/28/2005, you wrote:
>I heard briefly around 1455 hrs on CHP Blue (42.34) of a "juvenile with
>shotgun, possibly 10-31 by cop." I assume 10-31 is suicide.

10-31 is attempted suicide.

http://cad.chp.ca.gov/body_glossary.htm

Evan

37656. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 3 - 415 Weapons @ Stevens Creek Elem - Code 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:21:44 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37656 Message: 37656

>Just to make this really fun...415 weapons call in Cupertino @ Stevens
>Creek Elem. School is in lock down. On CH 3 (P25) not sure the freq a
this
>time...Suspect seen in bushes near clasroom with a silver handgun.

Now all is Code-4 at Stevens Creek Elem. Back to more fun listening on Ch
2.

37657. marin: vehicle into the water

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:23:23 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37657 Message: 37657

vehicle into tomales bay

between inverness and inverness park

45616
=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37658. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:23:18 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37658

Now SO K-9 enroute Code-3
AMR unit responding to stage, just in case...

>CHP helicopter enroute.
>Subject loaded his shotgun before dropping other rounds.
>Subject is 5150/Bipolar according to parents who are also on scene.
>Subject stated that if deputies chase or confront him he'l put a round
into
>his own head.
>
>Wow...and I'm stuck in the office! Bummer...
>
>Suspect now out of house running inot wooded area. Has shotgun in
>possession but dropped most of the shotgun rounds.
>Suspect is wearing a brown "trenchcoat".
>
>More excitment to come...
>
>Mike@1454 hours
>
>Ooops...forgot to mention this is occurring in unincorp Santa Cruz
>Mountains on Comanche trail...
>
>
>5150, 19 yr/old - Poss shotgun and SKS (.303) in the house...
>
>Ch 2 now Code 22.
>
>Subject heard deputies approach (Code 3)...possible on foot.

37659. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:26:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37648 Message: 37659

thanks for the heads up on this.....CHP helo overhead , having trouble getting communications between S/O and CHP.....

CHP on Blue 42.34

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37660. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:27:59 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37648 Message: 37660

Mike ,,,,,,,,did you catch where the CP is ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37661. Fwd: [bayscan] marin: vehicle into the water

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:29:30 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37661 Message: 37661

non injury

cancel medical

Begin forwarded message:

> From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
> Date: Mon Feb 28, 2005 15:23:23 US/Pacific
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] marin: vehicle into the water
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>
>
> vehicle into tomales bay
>
> between inverness and inverness park
>
> 45616
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37662. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:35:10 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37662

Kiowa Trail and Navajo Trail...

I just had County Comm relay our LMA freq/chann assignment for units at the scene. This sucks though. LMA 150 is simplex and where they are on the mountain we'll all hear very little.

Mike@1534

caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
02/28/2005 03:27 cc:
PM Subject: Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...
Please respond to
bayscan

Mike ,,,,,,,,did you catch where the CP is ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

37663. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:37:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37648 Message: 37663

ogallala warpath ? is what I am hearing as one of the streets , must be pretty remote ok, hearing Aldercroft heights , recognize that

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37664. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:39:16 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37664

CP is about to change...after rollcall of course!

What's next, mailcall?

caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
02/28/2005 03:37 cc:
PM Subject: Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...
Please respond to
bayscan

ogallala warpath ? is what I am hearing as one of the streets , must be
pretty remote ok, hearing Aldercroft heights , recognize that

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

37665. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:46:50 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37665

For those not familiar with the area, this area is very steep and heavily wooded. Many homes sometimes sparsely spread out and other times almost right on top of each other in other areas. The area they are in is known as Chemeketa Park just south of Lexington Reservoir. They are between Old Santa Cruz Hwy and Aldercroft Heights Rd.

Now we wait...

Mike@1546

37666. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-02-28 23:48:17 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37666

New Command Post is at the Holy City Glass shop on Ols Santa Cruz/Holy City.

Mike@1548

37667. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:12:12 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37667

Now that radio traffic has quieted down a bit...

A SERT callout has NOT been issued.
Subject is still very agitated - just got of the phone with his mother.
Deputies surrounding the whole area holding a perimeter.

Looks like veryone gets a little extra OT tonight...except me so far.

Now I'm helping get supplies together for the troops to last through the night.

Mike@1612

37668. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:15:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37648 Message: 37668

And for the FIRE people..........its up behind ALMA station where Copter 106 is based.

Subject called his Mom and is still highly agitated and still very suicidal (1614 hours)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37669. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:16:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37648 Message: 37669

subject is now talking to an S/O officer on cellphone , says he was bitten
by a snake and will come out and drop weapon but wants NO K9 in area, returning
to his residence (1616 hours)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37670. device at SF Cityhall

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:22:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37670 Message: 37670

per KRON , now getting on Bens' SF feed , possible explosive device at SF City hall, Polk and Grove , PIOs on Polk street side of building. C/P set up , bomb squad o/s

Probably on A5/ 12976 or A6/ 13004 SFPD

1622 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37671. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:26:35 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37648 Message: 37671

Mike-

Nice work keeping us up-to-date on this incident. Hope all turns out
well!

Craig

On Feb 28, 2005, at 4:12 PM, michael.mcroberts@...-clara.ca.us
wrote:

> A SERT callout has NOT been issued.
> Subject is still very agitated - just got of the phone with his mother.
> Deputies surrounding the whole area holding a perimeter.
>
> Looks like veryone gets a little extra OT tonight...except me so far.
>
> Now I'm helping get supplies together for the troops to last through
> the
> night.

37672. Re: [bayscan] device at SF Cityhall update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:34:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37672 Message: 37672

SFPD and SFSO are evacuating city hall , have most eveyone out now , doing a sweep to make sure all are out/ local news crews should be all over it as its fairly close to KRON and the others in the city.

OTOH the Santa Cruz Mountains incident is pretty remote..... but at least KNTV should cover it.....we'll see.....

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37673. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:44:42 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37673

No sweat. I just wish I were working the beat today. It must be a full
moon. Too many crazy things happening around the area today.

We are still waiting for STAR-1 to get airborne.

Mike@1644

Craig Rose
<craigarose@earth To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
link.net> cc:
Subject: Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...
02/28/2005 04:26
PM
Please respond to
bayscan

Mike-

Nice work keeping us up-to-date on this incident. Hope all turns out
well!

Craig

On Feb 28, 2005, at 4:12 PM, michael.mcroberts@...-clara.ca.us
wrote:

> A SERT callout has NOT been issued.
> Subject is still very agitated - just got of the phone with his mother.
> Deputies surrounding the whole area holding a perimeter.
>
> Looks like veryone gets a little extra OT tonight...except me so far.
>
> Now I'm helping get supplies together for the troops to last through
> the
> night.

Yahoo! Groups Links

37674. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:53:30 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37674

Oh, I forgot. Just in case anyone did not catch this...

All normal West Valley traffic is being handled on TAC 4 Repeater (154.650 PL179.9)

I'm about to head home for the day. I'll keep monitoring but won't be able to update any longer. Could someone else take over for me?

Thanks.

Mike@1652

michael.mcroberts@...-
clara.ca.us To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
cc:
02/28/2005 04:44 PM Subject: Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...
Please respond to bayscan

No sweat. I just wish I were working the beat today. It must be a full moon. Too many crazy things happening around the area today.

We are still waiting for STAR-1 to get airborne.

Mike@1644

Craig Rose

<craigarose@earth To:
bayscan@yahoogroups.com

link.net> cc:

Subject: Re: [bayscan]
SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...
02/28/2005 04:26

PM

Please respond to

bayscan

Mike-

Nice work keeping us up-to-date on this incident. Hope all turns out well!

Craig

On Feb 28, 2005, at 4:12 PM, michael.mcroberts@...-clara.ca.us
wrote:

> A SERT callout has NOT been issued.
> Subject is still very agitated - just got of the phone with his mother.
> Deputies surrounding the whole area holding a perimeter.
>
> Looks like veryone gets a little extra OT tonight...except me so far.
>
> Now I'm helping get supplies together for the troops to last through
> the
> night.

Yahoo! Groups Links

Yahoo! Groups Links

37675. Re: [bayscan] SCCSO Ch 2 - 155.7 P25 - Poss Barricaded subject...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 00:54:23 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37648 Message: 37675

One final note...I'll get a full run-down of all of the events tomorrow.
I'll figure out who ends up taking the report and post a summary.

Good night all!

Mike

37676. - Poss Barricaded subject. /// SF City Hall updates

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 01:17:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37676 Message: 37676

subject is stripping down to underwear and maybe meeting deputies on aldercroft and Old Gold Mine

SF/ SFPD bomb squad denonated device (cellphone) at City Hall , now allowing people back in.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37677. Re: [bayscan] - Poss Barricaded subject CODE FOUR 1720 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 01:21:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37677 Message: 37677

Suspect has given up / in custody at Aldercroft and Old Gold Mine/ AMR unit responding. Deputies now looking for shotgun

releasing perimeter units.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37678. Yellow Alert - Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 02:54:17 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37678 Message: 37678

Oakland Fire / AMR enroute to the Airport for an Alaskan 737 in bound ETA at 1910 hours. unknown fuel/ souls on board

electrical problems

on TAc 4 / 154.07

OFD TG 541

1854 hours

Ed

37679. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 05:51:21 UTC
From: troyboym@aol.com
Topic: 37530 Message: 37679

Whoa there Bob - quite a few assumptions you're making. First, I'm sorry you read into my email address. Second, I stand corrected. My 15 years experience testifying in court pales in comparison to the two cases you've been involved in. You've certainly changed my stance - you have every right to label every police officer a liar based on your extensive experience.

Also, I'm sorry that I'm so strange for having no desire to become involved in situations which will involve the police in my personal life. But then again, you're the one running around with a camera. And I need to get a life?

Lastly, I didn't ask for your advice or opinion either, so I guess we're even. Of course, I find it ironic that you so freely share yours, but when somebody disagrees, you start crying the blues that you don't want to hear it.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37680. Re: [bayscan] "Cop Watch" San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 06:11:29 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37530 Message: 37680

FWIW, we are at the one year anniversary of the DOJ BNE agent shooting
the wrong guy in the back in San Jose. OK, call it "agent watch."

troyboym@... wrote:
> Whoa there Bob - quite a few assumptions you're making. First, I'm sorry you
> read into my email address. Second, I stand corrected. My 15 years experience
> testifying in court pales in comparison to the two cases you've been involved
> in. You've certainly changed my stance - you have every right to label every
> police officer a liar based on your extensive experience.
>
> Also, I'm sorry that I'm so strange for having no desire to become involved
> in situations which will involve the police in my personal life. But then
> again, you're the one running around with a camera. And I need to get a life?
>
> Lastly, I didn't ask for your advice or opinion either, so I guess we're
> even. Of course, I find it ironic that you so freely share yours, but when
> somebody disagrees, you start crying the blues that you don't want to hear it.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37681. Re: cops watch san Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 06:25:05 UTC
From: oakland_a_fan_71
Topic: 37681 Message: 37681

This group has become such a joke.. It has gotten way out of hand with the off topic spamming and the intermittent porn spam and the constant bickering and arguing. All the "I'm bigger and better than you" back and forth garbage. It was once a nice place to come read great info or contribute good stuff, but its become pointless. Either your posts are shotdown by The Bayscan Nazi ED before they get a chance to even be posted because HE feels in his whacked out mind that its not worthy or a good post goes thru and is flamed by every wanna-be cop or firefighter doing thier good ol boy arm chair evaluation. Most of them being people who have no knowledge of eith area other than what they have watched on cops or Third watch or L.A. law or whatever.Maybe this group needs to be actualy monitored by people who are into it othe rthan Robert who is to busy running his porn sites(Just check out his yahoo profile),and people wonder how the porn spam ever got thru??>hmmmmmmm. well maybe one of you sherlock holmes wanna-be's can figure that out..

37682. Re: [bayscan] Yellow Alert - Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 06:49:46 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37678 Message: 37682

> Oakland Fire / AMR enroute to the Airport for an Alaskan
> 737 in bound ETA at 1910 hours. unknown fuel/ souls on board
>
> electrical problems

any updates?

37683. Re:My List

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 06:49:36 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37681 Message: 37683

Well he is gone :) Im pretty open minded but I have to think of the whole
and there are over 600 people on the list.

The bottom line is I like to have a list that allows for a "free and open
flow of information" that is not restricted.
I don't even mind people getting "off topic" a bit since there are other
things related to scanning that can be discussed.
If you don't want to read the subject matter just use your delete key as I do.

As far as my other site goes Scanning is not my ONLY interest or hobby and
photography is another, some of which is "adult in nature" ,
and which I make no secrets for as its listed in my yahoo profile.
I also love fire and law enforcement related photography.

When I moved to the Bay area from NYC some 6 years ago I couldn't find a
forum to discuss all the questions I had about
scanning in the Bay area so I created BayScan which has become a great
resource for this information.
I NEVER want to have to moderate the list since so many of the things that
are posted are "time sensitive", ie. fires, police chases.

Anyway in closing I hope you find this list as useful as I do and if you
don't ask yourself "what is it costing me?" ha, ok a little comedy.

Robert
BayScan

PS-WHY WOULD I SPAM MY OWN LIST???

At 10:25 PM 2/28/2005, you wrote:

>This group has become such a joke.. It has gotten way out of hand
>with the off topic spamming and the intermittent porn spam and the
>constant bickering and arguing. All the "I'm bigger and better than
>you" back and forth garbage. It was once a nice place to come read
>great info or contribute good stuff, but its become pointless. Either
>your posts are shotdown by The Bayscan Nazi ED before they get a
>chance to even be posted because HE feels in his whacked out mind
>that its not worthy or a good post goes thru and is flamed by every
>wanna-be cop or firefighter doing thier good ol boy arm chair
>evaluation. Most of them being people who have no knowledge of eith
>area other than what they have watched on cops or Third watch or L.A.
>law or whatever.Maybe this group needs to be actualy monitored by
>people who are into it othe rthan Robert who is to busy running his
>porn sites(Just check out his yahoo profile),and people wonder how
>the porn spam ever got thru??>hmmmmmmm. well maybe one of you
>sherlock holmes wanna-be's can figure that out..

>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129kddq0l/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109744725/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
>10c5ed1.jpg
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37684. Re: [bayscan] Re: cops watch san Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 06:53:07 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37681 Message: 37684

To my knowledge, this website isn't moderated in the sense that someone reads the post before it gets on the list. Thus, Ed isn't censoring anything.

oakland_a_fan_71 wrote: some stuff I rather not repeat

37685. Re: [bayscan] Re: cops watch san Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 06:57:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37681 Message: 37685

At 10:25 PM 2/28/2005, you wrote:

>This group has become such a joke.. It has gotten way out of hand
>with the off topic spamming

Just because something is off topic doesn't make it spam. I think the closest thing in this group to 'spam', and I wouldn't even call it spam would be the discussion about scanners unlimited. I wouldn't even call it spam since it's not a commercial message (i.e. Scanners Unlimited is having a half off sale!) but simply informational.

> and the intermittent porn spam

I can't recall the last porn spam I've seen here. Am I missing something?

>and the constant bickering and arguing.

You're going to have that with any group.

>Either your posts are shotdown by The Bayscan Nazi ED before they get a
>chance to even be posted because HE feels in his whacked out mind
>that its not worthy or a good post goes thru and is flamed by every
>wanna-be cop or firefighter doing thier good ol boy arm chair
>evaluation.

I could be wrong, but I don't think Ed is a moderator or has the authority to moderate a member, or even approve / disapprove a moderators posts.

>Most of them being people who have no knowledge of eith
>area other than what they have watched on cops or Third watch or L.A.
>law or whatever.

There's a lot of people with a lot of varying experience in this group. Without singling out people or naming names (although I'm sure you know who they are), we have at least someone with a city police agency, at least one with county, I'm sure someone from state, and maybe a lurker from the feds. Yes, we have the armchair quarterbacks, but we also have a good share of 'expert' opinions.

>Maybe this group needs to be actualy monitored by
>people who are into it othe rthan Robert who is to busy running his
>porn sites

I doubt you'll find many yahoogroups where the moderator moderates the entire group. Robert steps in when it gets out of hand, and puts those that need to be on moderation. But a group such as this, it would be impossible and useless to moderate everyone. Imagine if everyone were moderated - a post of an active incident would be useless.

>(Just check out his yahoo profile),and people wonder how
>the porn spam ever got thru??

I still don't know what porn spam you're talking about. I haven't seen it.

>well maybe one of you sherlock holmes wanna-be's can figure that out..

Well Watson, kindly educate me as to what the porn spam is?

If you're talking about the - well, I hate to use the term it's called, pump & dump, where a spam is sent to yahoo groups and as far as the moderator can tell, the person who sent it isn't subscribed to the group - this is a Yahoo problem. And I see them on every yahoo group I was on. It's someone (or some group) who exploited a hole in yahoo groups to post their spam. It wasn't just this group - I think it was in almost every yahoo group I'm on. But this was months ago. Old news.

37686. soco working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 07:58:46 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37686 Message: 37686

151.460 / redcomm
sea ranch cross of galleon's reach
fence fire attached to structure in quick knockdown mode
meadow ic

nina

37687. Re: [bayscan] Yellow Alert - Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 09:32:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37678 Message: 37687

or sorry,,,,,,,,got busy.....plane landed safely

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37688. Re: [bayscan] censorship

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 09:41:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37688 Message: 37688

Gary is partially correct. When Robert added me as a moderator, I subscribe to the same ideals he has. That would be to freely allow as much information as possible including the list to drift off-topic from time to time. For those who don't like it, they, of course have to option to either delete things or leave the list. The fact that the list has over 600 people tells me that Robert's' formula for a good list works.

I can censor those who are on moderation and the list is a short one. Any of you who have been on the list know by now that you REALLY have to tick Robert off to get set on moderation and EVEN worse get banned. Mr. As fan crossed the line and he is history

As much as I get into disagreements, I do NOT get into the vicious name calling garbage. I go by my experience and the fact that I am one of the older ones and simply have "been there / done that " than the younger guys. Trust me, I would love to trade shoes with my friend Evan who is ONLY 28 while I am 52 :-)

Now, back to scanning.....in fact, Monday was quite a day....the 51/50 in Santa Cruz Mtns, a "bomb"(which probably wasn't) at SF City Hall. Some poor guy on the tracks in San Jose loses his leg (and maybe his life) a Yellow Alert at Oakland Airport. its what we joined the list for.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37689. transition to trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 15:34:46 UTC
From: garciaatsocrates
Topic: 37689 Message: 37689

Hi-

I'm a lurker on the list, having spent some time in Berkeley a few years ago. First off I'd like to say I think your list is fun to read and interesting, even if it gets "off topic" sometimes. It's a nice way to stay in touch with the Bay Area.

I'm submitting this (rather long) post because I am also a firefighter and EMT in upstate NY, and our county is beginning the transition to an APCO-25 digital trunked system. Up until now I have only taken a passing interest in trunked systems, but now I find people in county management asking me for input about how the system should be set up. I am familiar with all the horror stories of trunked systems gone bad, but it seems like much of the Bay Area is getting used to it. I understand the basics of trunked systems and talkgroups, but what I would like to understand better is how a trunked system differs from conventional in terms of user functionality. In other words, things like
- how much expertise is required on the part of the end user or the dispatcher, or is it pretty much transparent? We are discussing a feature called "dynamic allocation" that lets the dispatcher shuffle which radios are allowed in which talkgroups ... is this a neat idea or a cluster waiting to happen?
- do the channels/talkgroups used get assigned by the dispatcher or are they standardized within each dept?
- If there are more channels available, how do people know which channel the IC is on? How do units keep track of all the extra channels?
- are there "right" and "wrong" strategies for implementing talkgroups?
- Other than having two radios, what kinds of strategies are used to communicate with mutual aid units that are not on a trunked system?

If anyone has the time (and patience) to detail an example of how a worse-than-normal emergency (say, a large structure fire) might work in the context of radio transmission and who uses/scans which talkgroups, I would be grateful. Or, if you can point me to websites that discuss some of the aspects of implementing and using a trunked radio system, that would also be great. Thanks for reading.

73,
Mariano
KC2NPO

37690. Re: [bayscan] censorship

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 15:55:38 UTC
From: michael.mcroberts@sho.co.santa-clara.ca.
Topic: 37688 Message: 37690

> Now, back to scanning.....in fact, Monday was quite a day....the 51/50 in
>Santa Cruz Mtns, a "bomb"(which probably wasn't) at SF City Hall. Some poor
>guy on the tracks in San Jose loses his leg (and maybe his life) a Yellow Alert
>at Oakland Airport. its what we joined the list for.

Just a thought...I've noticed that those who complain the most post the least (if anything at all). I try to keep silent until I hear/see something that I think those on this list would be interested in tuning into.

On with scanning, as far as Monday being busy, it was actually busier than that.

During the 5150 call in the Santa Cruz mtns a 425 weapons call came out @ Stevens Creek Elem School. Two 8-9 y/o students saw a WMA hiding in the bushes with a silver handgun.

Then after that situation became Code-4 we had another 5150 in the Santa Cruz Mtns that also became Code 4 with a trip to VMC EPS.

Then I went home and enjoyed my family. What a crazy afternoon/evening SCCSO had.

From all I've heard around the office, the 1st 5150 call ended up just as it sounded over the radio. He was compliant, however, he couldn't remember exactly where he threw down the shotgun. No one was able to locate the gun before dark last night so they called off the search until sometime today. More deputies will go up there again today to search for it. Who knows, maybe they'll let me play too!

OK, enough blathering Mike. It's back into the woodwork I go until the next big SO call.

Mike@SCCSO

37691. Re: [bayscan] transition to trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 18:00:40 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37689 Message: 37691

great questions, when people respond please put 'em on the list!
On Tuesday, Mar 1, 2005, at 07:34 US/Pacific, garciaatsocrates wrote:

>
>
>
> Hi-
>
> I'm a lurker on the list, having spent some time in Berkeley a few
> years ago. First off I'd like to say I think your list is fun to
> read and interesting, even if it gets "off topic" sometimes. It's a
> nice way to stay in touch with the Bay Area.
>
> I'm submitting this (rather long) post because I am also a
> firefighter and EMT in upstate NY, and our county is beginning the
> transition to an APCO-25 digital trunked system. Up until now I have
> only taken a passing interest in trunked systems, but now I find
> people in county management asking me for input about how the system
> should be set up. I am familiar with all the horror stories of
> trunked systems gone bad, but it seems like much of the Bay Area is
> getting used to it. I understand the basics of trunked systems and
> talkgroups, but what I would like to understand better is how a
> trunked system differs from conventional in terms of user
> functionality. In other words, things like
> - how much expertise is required on the part of the end user or the
> dispatcher, or is it pretty much transparent? We are discussing a
> feature called "dynamic allocation" that lets the dispatcher shuffle
> which radios are allowed in which talkgroups ... is this a neat idea
> or a cluster waiting to happen?
> - do the channels/talkgroups used get assigned by the dispatcher or
> are they standardized within each dept?
> - If there are more channels available, how do people know which
> channel the IC is on? How do units keep track of all the extra
> channels?
> - are there "right" and "wrong" strategies for implementing
> talkgroups?
> - Other than having two radios, what kinds of strategies are used to
> communicate with mutual aid units that are not on a trunked system?
>
> If anyone has the time (and patience) to detail an example of how a
> worse-than-normal emergency (say, a large structure fire) might work
> in the context of radio transmission and who uses/scans which
> talkgroups, I would be grateful. Or, if you can point me to websites
> that discuss some of the aspects of implementing and using a trunked
> radio system, that would also be great. Thanks for reading.

>
> 73,
> Mariano
> KC2NPO
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37692. Re: [bayscan] transition to trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 18:05:18 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37689 Message: 37692

Hi all. I'm new. I can speak to at least some of Mariano's questions, just not now.

Gordon

nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:

great questions, when people respond please put 'em on the list!
On Tuesday, Mar 1, 2005, at 07:34 US/Pacific, garciaatsocrates wrote:

>
>
>
> Hi-
>
> I'm a lurker on the list, having spent some time in Berkeley a few
> years ago. First off I'd like to say I think your list is fun to
> read and interesting, even if it gets "off topic" sometimes. It's a
> nice way to stay in touch with the Bay Area.
>
> I'm submitting this (rather long) post because I am also a
> firefighter and EMT in upstate NY, and our county is beginning the
> transition to an APCO-25 digital trunked system. Up until now I have
> only taken a passing interest in trunked systems, but now I find
> people in county management asking me for input about how the system
> should be set up. I am familiar with all the horror stories of
> trunked systems gone bad, but it seems like much of the Bay Area is
> getting used to it. I understand the basics of trunked systems and
> talkgroups, but what I would like to understand better is how a
> trunked system differs from conventional in terms of user
> functionality. In other words, things like
> - how much expertise is required on the part of the end user or the
> dispatcher, or is it pretty much transparent? We are discussing a
> feature called "dynamic allocation" that lets the dispatcher shuffle
> which radios are allowed in which talkgroups ... is this a neat idea
> or a cluster waiting to happen?
> - do the channels/talkgroups used get assigned by the dispatcher or
> are they standardized within each dept?
> - If there are more channels available, how do people know which
> channel the IC is on? How do units keep track of all the extra
> channels?
> - are there "right" and "wrong" strategies for implementing
> talkgroups?
> - Other than having two radios, what kinds of strategies are used to
> communicate with mutual aid units that are not on a trunked system?
>
> If anyone has the time (and patience) to detail an example of how a

> worse-than-normal emergency (say, a large structure fire) might work
> in the context of radio transmission and who uses/scans which
> talkgroups, I would be grateful. Or, if you can point me to websites
> that discuss some of the aspects of implementing and using a trunked
> radio system, that would also be great. Thanks for reading.
>
> 73,
> Mariano
> KC2NPO
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Easier than ever with enhanced search. Learn more.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37693. 3rd alarm fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-01 23:20:12 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 37693 Message: 37693

Fire just went to 3rd alarm,
Dispatch A-1 14800
command A-5 14928
Tac-A-11 15120

time 15:20

37694. Re: [bayscan] transition to trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 00:41:37 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 37689 Message: 37694

Go check out the Batlabs site, it has a wealth of information
on trunking.
J.B. AD6WX

garciaatsocrates <msg5@...> wrote:

Hi-

I'm a lurker on the list, having spent some time in Berkeley a few
years ago. First off I'd like to say I think your list is fun to
read and interesting, even if it gets "off topic" sometimes. It's a
nice way to stay in touch with the Bay Area.

I'm submitting this (rather long) post because I am also a
firefighter and EMT in upstate NY, and our county is beginning the
transition to an APCO-25 digital trunked system. Up until now I have
only taken a passing interest in trunked systems, but now I find
people in county management asking me for input about how the system
should be set up. I am familiar with all the horror stories of
trunked systems gone bad, but it seems like much of the Bay Area is
getting used to it. I understand the basics of trunked systems and
talkgroups, but what I would like to understand better is how a
trunked system differs from conventional in terms of user
functionality. In other words, things like
- how much expertise is required on the part of the end user or the
dispatcher, or is it pretty much transparent? We are discussing a
feature called "dynamic allocation" that lets the dispatcher shuffle
which radios are allowed in which talkgroups ... is this a neat idea
or a cluster waiting to happen?
- do the channels/talkgroups used get assigned by the dispatcher or
are they standardized within each dept?
- If there are more channels available, how do people know which
channel the IC is on? How do units keep track of all the extra
channels?
- are there "right" and "wrong" strategies for implementing
talkgroups?
- Other than having two radios, what kinds of strategies are used to
communicate with mutual aid units that are not on a trunked system?

If anyone has the time (and patience) to detail an example of how a
worse-than-normal emergency (say, a large structure fire) might work
in the context of radio transmission and who uses/scans which
talkgroups, I would be grateful. Or, if you can point me to websites
that discuss some of the aspects of implementing and using a trunked
radio system, that would also be great. Thanks for reading.

73,
Mariano
KC2NPO

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37695. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 00:42:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37693 Message: 37695

didn't catch the address George ?? where was it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37696. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm fire in SF Larkin/Green , found it , thanks EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 00:48:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37696 Message: 37696

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37697. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 01:20:41 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37693 Message: 37697

No, I was asleep at the time, I worked the graveyard shift last night
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2005 16:42
Subject: Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm fire in SF

didn't catch the address George ?? where was it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37698. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm fire in SF Larkin/Green , found it , thanks EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 01:57:47 UTC
From: Chuck Lowe, 911 Interactive Media
Topic: 37696 Message: 37698

Ed,

I heard 3145 Larkin on the feed. Any word on how the guy from Truck 2 is?

Chuck Lowe
Support Services Manager
911 Interactive Media
http://www.incidentpage.net
http://www.firefeeds.net

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2005 7:48 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm fire in SF Larkin/Green , found it , thanks EOM

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37699. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm fire in SF Larkin/Green , found it , thanks EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 02:28:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37696 Message: 37699

Chuck (and others) no , sorry but I was on the phone with girlfriend for well over an hour and the fire was well under control by the time I knew about it. Caught a slight bit on evening news , nothing about injuried FF but that building contained ammunition although none went off.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37700. san rafael fire?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 02:22:35 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37700 Message: 37700

1820
poss struc fire
482.9375
tac green
46.20
736 monticello
black smoke from front of resisdence

37701. 2nd alarm Redwood City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 04:44:09 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37701 Message: 37701

593 Cassia @ Middlefield

153.89 Command
156.105 Control 5/ Tac (firedispatch.com)

2040 hours

Ed

Partial list
1st alarm - Engines 10 -11 - 20 Truck 9 BC 3

2nd alarm - Engines 3- 12- 16 Truck 13 BC1 BC3

Breathing support 9 (by Engine 5)

one civilian rescued by another citzen EMS enroute

37702. Re: [bayscan] transition to trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 04:57:58 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37689 Message: 37702

I don't want to spend time answering questions that may no longer exist... did you get your answers at Batlabs?

Gordon Arnold <gordon@...m> wrote:
Hi all. I'm new. I can speak to at least some of Mariano's questions, just not now.

Gordon

nina zhito
wrote:

great questions, when people respond please put 'em on the list!
On Tuesday, Mar 1, 2005, at 07:34 US/Pacific, garciaatsocrates wrote:

>
>
>
> Hi-
>
> I'm a lurker on the list, having spent some time in Berkeley a few
> years ago. First off I'd like to say I think your list is fun to
> read and interesting, even if it gets "off topic" sometimes. It's a
> nice way to stay in touch with the Bay Area.
>
> I'm submitting this (rather long) post because I am also a
> firefighter and EMT in upstate NY, and our county is beginning the
> transition to an APCO-25 digital trunked system. Up until now I have
> only taken a passing interest in trunked systems, but now I find
> people in county management asking me for input about how the system
> should be set up. I am familiar with all the horror stories of
> trunked systems gone bad, but it seems like much of the Bay Area is
> getting used to it. I understand the basics of trunked systems and
> talkgroups, but what I would like to understand better is how a
> trunked system differs from conventional in terms of user
> functionality. In other words, things like
> - how much expertise is required on the part of the end user or the
> dispatcher, or is it pretty much transparent? We are discussing a
> feature called "dynamic allocation" that lets the dispatcher shuffle
> which radios are allowed in which talkgroups ... is this a neat idea
> or a cluster waiting to happen?
> - do the channels/talkgroups used get assigned by the dispatcher or
> are they standardized within each dept?
> - If there are more channels available, how do people know which
> channel the IC is on? How do units keep track of all the extra
> channels?
> - are there "right" and "wrong" strategies for implementing

> talkgroups?
> - Other than having two radios, what kinds of strategies are used to
> communicate with mutual aid units that are not on a trunked system?
>
> If anyone has the time (and patience) to detail an example of how a
> worse-than-normal emergency (say, a large structure fire) might work
> in the context of radio transmission and who uses/scans which
> talkgroups, I would be grateful. Or, if you can point me to websites
> that discuss some of the aspects of implementing and using a trunked
> radio system, that would also be great. Thanks for reading.
>
> 73,
> Mariano
> KC2NPO
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Easier than ever with enhanced search. Learn more.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

Do you Yahoo!?
Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Get it on your mobile phone.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37703. Behemoth cranes will duck bridges

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 09:53:10 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37703 Message: 37703

Tere is probably some good maritime frequencies to monitor for this one in addition to the CHP when they have to shut down the traffic on the Bay bridge.

Behemoth cranes will duck bridges

Traffic to stop while ships bring Oakland port's acquisitions through Bay

OAKLAND The equivalent of a 24-story building will pass under the Golden Gate and Bay bridges next week as the Port of Oakland welcomes what could be its last two giant shipping cranes.

At about $7 million apiece, the 379-foot-tall cranes will give the port bragging rights for having the tallest cranes in the world.

But unlike previous huge cranes delivered after barely slipping under the Bay Area's two most famous bridges, this delivery is not expected to keep onlookers breathless.

That's because the cranes will be partially taken apart before they make their final journey through San Francisco Bay to the port.

"It is a little more complicated this time because they are taller," said Terry Smalley, supervising engineer at the port and the organization's unofficial crane guru. "But at the same time, it has more clearance so it won't be as touchy."

The cranes, built by theShanghai-based Zhenhua Port Machinery Corp., should arrive outside the Golden Gate on Tuesday. Once there, workers will begin dismantling the behemoths for a final journey under the bridges at about noon Thursday.

The port ordered the cranes a little over two years ago, when it amended a three-year-long deal with ZPMC, a company that flourished after the port asked it to build 12 cranes in 1999.

The new cranes will have all the latest technology installed and be able to reach across the largest ships now in use.

"They can service the biggest ships that are being built these days," Smalley said.

Each crane will have about 1,500 horsepower to lift containers from ships.

But while the port historically has supplied its berths with cranes, the industry trend is leaning toward having shippers supply their own equipment, making this delivery possibly the last one organized and run by the port.

"It's not our intention to do this anymore," said Maritime Director Wilson Lacy. "The biggest problem is, we don't know what size they want. It's like trying to buy a pair of shoes for someone else's kid."

While Smalley said the logistics involved in delivering the new cranes was made more difficult because of their size, the actual movement of the cranes through the Bay probably will be the easiest.

Engineers began planning how to fit the cranes under the bridges the minute the order was taken, Smalley said.

Although there will be more room under the two bridges while the cranes are passing underneath than in previous deliveries, Smalley said the Calif-ornia Highway Patrol still will set up a traffic break to stop cars as the cranes are moved through the water.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37704. Ham Testing

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 14:00:33 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37704 Message: 37704

HAM Cram
Don't miss another chance to get your HAM radio license!
Saturday, April 9, 2005
8:30 am - 4 pm
St. Teresa's Church Hall
19th st / Connecticut St

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37705. Re: [bayscan] Ham Testing

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 14:12:50 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37704 Message: 37705

Sorry it should have said it's in San Francisco, and take the bus if you can (parking sucks) #22 Fillmore
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2005 06:00
Subject: [bayscan] Ham Testing

HAM Cram
Don't miss another chance to get your HAM radio license!
Saturday, April 9, 2005
8:30 am - 4 pm
St. Teresa's Church Hall
19th st / Connecticut St

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37706. Re: [bayscan] transition to trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 14:16:09 UTC
From: msg5@cornell.edu
Topic: 37689 Message: 37706

Not really. The content on BATLABS is mostly technical and I m familiar with the basics. I m interested in what things are like in the field -- for instance,

37707. scanner unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 14:21:28 UTC
From: WLucas9925@aol.com
Topic: 37707 Message: 37707

Anybody know the web site address for scanners unlimited?

Willie

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37708. RE: [bayscan] scanner unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 15:13:27 UTC
From: Brad Paulus
Topic: 37707 Message: 37708

http://www.scannersunlimited.com/

Regards,

Brad

From: WLucas9925@... [mailto:WLucas9925@...]
Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2005 6:21 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] scanner unlimited

Anybody know the web site address for scanners unlimited?

Willie

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor

ADVERTISEMENT

<http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129hv11hv/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109859924/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075> click here

<http://us.adserver.yahoo.com/l?M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=:HM/A=2593423/rand=467507499>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37709. Please delete and ignore....TEST ONLY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 18:32:30 UTC
From: kd6ftr@gmail.com
Topic: 37709 Message: 37709

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

37710. one more Test,,,sorry for the inconvenience...please delete

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 18:48:58 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 37710 Message: 37710

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

37711. Re: [bayscan] scanner unlimited

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 19:15:28 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37707 Message: 37711

http://www.scannersunlimited.com/

On Wed, 2 Mar 2005 09:21:28 EST, WLucas9925@... <WLucas9925@...> wrote:
>
> Anybody know the web site address for scanners unlimited?
>
> Willie
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

37712. Sacramento Amber Alert

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 06:13:00 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37712 Message: 37712

Amber Alert Issued for 3 year old abducted girl
Posted: Wednesday March 2nd 10:30 PM CT
Updated: Wednesday March 2nd 10:30 PM CT

Sacramento Police issued an Amber Alert Wednesday night regarding the abduction of a three-year-old girl.

Police are looking for a green 1999 Pontiac, Gran Prix, 2 door. The license plate number is CA. 5FRR110. The suspect driving the vehicle is Bennie William Guard, 42 years old. He is 6'2", 235 lbs. with blond hair and green eyes. The missing child is female, white, 2' 6"- 3', 30 lbs, with light brown hair and hazel eyes. She was last wearing a two-tone purple stripped shirt, purple pants with pink and white shoes.

The suspect is allegedly the live in babysitter. The suspect and victim were last seen at 5:30 p.m. last evening after dropping the victim's mother off at Sacramento State University. The victim's mother called police after 3:00 p.m. today. The suspect is originally from the Bay Area. The suspect and victim live at 3100 Jersey Way in the County of Sacramento. Call 911 if you information on this case.

My girlfriend also let me know the Amber Alert sign said something about a Green Honda Accord with a broken right rear window, but don't see anything on the amber web site.

Evan

37713. Re: [bayscan] Sacramento Amber Alert

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 06:55:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37712 Message: 37713

the 3 year old is ok, her abducter in custody . the 2nd amber alert that originated in Fresno or Bakersfield still in effect (maroon Honda Accord)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37714. Re: [bayscan] Sacramento Amber Alert update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 06:57:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37714 Message: 37714

Correction, the 2nd amber alert is Stockton , not bakersfield or fresno ,
its a 12 yo HFJ , suspect is a Jose Tomas , 21 yr old HMA

Maroon 4 door Honda Accord

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37715. Santa Clara Fire PL/CTCSS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 17:51:42 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37715 Message: 37715

Hi all,

A few months ago there was some discussion regarding the PL/CTCSS tone for Santa Clara fire on 153.965. What was the outcome of that discussion thread?

Did they change their PL from 131.8 Hz? I am doing some programming and 131.8 does not appear to be accurate any longer.

Thanks,
Brian in Campbell

37716. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara Fire PL/CTCSS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 17:57:25 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37715 Message: 37716

At 09:51 AM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>Hi all,
>
>A few months ago there was some discussion regarding the PL/CTCSS tone
>for Santa Clara fire on 153.965. What was the outcome of that
>discussion thread?
>
>Did they change their PL from 131.8 Hz? I am doing some programming
>and 131.8 does not appear to be accurate any longer.

No PL, and a annoying hang carrier for 3+ seconds after dispatch stops
transmitting.

Evan

37717. the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 20:05:46 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 37717 Message: 37717

Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
around 10 am but have not heard anything?

Robert
BayScan

37718. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 20:10:55 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37717 Message: 37718

I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
that hard to spot from the GGNRA.

bayscan@... wrote:
>
> Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
> I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
> around 10 am but have not heard anything?
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37719. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 20:25:40 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37717 Message: 37719

I just saw on the news that they are going to bring them in tomorrow now..

That's great since Im off and can try and get some pictures of it going
under the Golden Gate near me.

Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
is WB6NYC.
We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to have
a weekly scanner net on one of the repeaters.
I would love to do that out here.

Robert
BayScan

At 12:10 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
>that hard to spot from the GGNRA.
>
>bayscan@... wrote:
> >
> > Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
> > I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
> > around 10 am but have not heard anything?
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT

><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129u26j0v/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109967055/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
>click here
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37720. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 20:42:17 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37717 Message: 37720

w0web & president of the SFARC and I have a repeater that we could use in SF
www.sfarc.org
----- Original Message -----
From: Robert W. Sanford
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 12:25
Subject: Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

I just saw on the news that they are going to bring them in tomorrow now..

That's great since Im off and can try and get some pictures of it going
under the Golden Gate near me.

Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
is WB6NYC.
We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to have
a weekly scanner net on one of the repeaters.
I would love to do that out here.

Robert
BayScan

At 12:10 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
>that hard to spot from the GGNRA.
>
>bayscan@... wrote:
> >
> > Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
> > I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
> > around 10 am but have not heard anything?
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129u26j0v/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109967055/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
>click here
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37721. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 20:44:58 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37717 Message: 37721

Is there an equivalent to "notams" for maritime use?

Robert W. Sanford wrote:
> I just saw on the news that they are going to bring them in tomorrow now..
>
> That's great since Im off and can try and get some pictures of it going
> under the Golden Gate near me.
>
> Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
> is WB6NYC.
> We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to have
> a weekly scanner net on one of the repeaters.
> I would love to do that out here.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
> At 12:10 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>>I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
>>that hard to spot from the GGNRA.
>>
>>bayscan@... wrote:
>>
>>>Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
>>>I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
>>>around 10 am but have not heard anything?
>>>
>>>Robert
>>>BayScan
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>ADVERTISEMENT
>><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129u26j0v/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1109967055/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0190075>
>>click here
>>
>>[]
>>
>>
>>
>>----------
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> *
>><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>> *
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> *
>><mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>>
>> *
>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
>><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37722. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 20:46:15 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37717 Message: 37722

> Is there an equivalent to "notams" for maritime use?

Listen to Marine channel 14 :-)

37723. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 20:46:48 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37717 Message: 37723

Oh, the "5150" repeater. It used to be frequented by some alpha hotels.
What is is like today?

Scanner 4 wrote:
> w0web & president of the SFARC and I have a repeater that we could use in SF
> www.sfarc.org
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Robert W. Sanford
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 12:25
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] the cranes?
>
>
> I just saw on the news that they are going to bring them in tomorrow now..
>
> That's great since Im off and can try and get some pictures of it going
> under the Golden Gate near me.
>
> Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
> is WB6NYC.
> We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to have
> a weekly scanner net on one of the repeaters.
> I would love to do that out here.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
> At 12:10 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
> >I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
> >that hard to spot from the GGNRA.
> >
> >bayscan@... wrote:
> > >
> > > Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
> > > I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
> > > around 10 am but have not heard anything?
> > >
> > > Robert
> > > BayScan
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
> ><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129u26j0v/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109967055/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
> >click here
> >
> >[]
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > *
> > <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > *
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > *
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > *
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>

>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37724. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 21:24:11 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37717 Message: 37724

much much much better now with full pl of 114.8
----- Original Message -----
From: gary
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 12:46
Subject: Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Oh, the "5150" repeater. It used to be frequented by some alpha hotels.
What is is like today?

Scanner 4 wrote:
> w0web & president of the SFARC and I have a repeater that we could use in SF
> www.sfarc.org
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Robert W. Sanford
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 12:25
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] the cranes?
>
>
> I just saw on the news that they are going to bring them in tomorrow now..
>
> That's great since Im off and can try and get some pictures of it going
> under the Golden Gate near me.
>
> Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
> is WB6NYC.
> We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to have
> a weekly scanner net on one of the repeaters.
> I would love to do that out here.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
> At 12:10 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
> >I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
> >that hard to spot from the GGNRA.
> >
> >bayscan@... wrote:
> > >
> > > Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
> > > I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
> > > around 10 am but have not heard anything?
> > >

> > > Robert
> > > BayScan
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
> ><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129u26j0v/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109967055/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
> >click here
> >
> >[]
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > *
> > <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > *
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > *
> > <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > *
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37725. South Santa Clara County Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 22:39:38 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37725 Message: 37725

Rescue response for head-on vehicle accident with one subject unconscious and another with multiple severe head lacerations.

SOUTH COUNTY ENGINE 1
ENGINE 12
BATTALION 12

Air ambulance started.

COUNTY COMMAND 13 - 154.145

-C-

37726. Re: [bayscan] South Santa Clara County Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 23:01:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37725 Message: 37726

Craig.................location ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37727. Re: [bayscan] South Santa Clara County Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-03 23:16:36 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37725 Message: 37727

Did I really forget that critical little piece of information? Sorry about that... Total brain fade. Must have been all of those 9th graders I taught today! If I recall correctly, and that's a long shot today, it was on Hale Avenue, but that's all I can remember.

As the kids say - My Bad!

On Mar 3, 2005, at 3:01 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> Craig.................location ??
>
> ED

37728. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 02:10:06 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37717 Message: 37728

KC6TAF

"Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote:I just saw on the news that they are going to bring them in tomorrow now..

That's great since Im off and can try and get some pictures of it going
under the Golden Gate near me.

Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
is WB6NYC.
We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to have
a weekly scanner net on one of the repeaters.
I would love to do that out here.

Robert
BayScan

At 12:10 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
>that hard to spot from the GGNRA.
>
>bayscan@... wrote:
> >
> > Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
> > I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
> > around 10 am but have not heard anything?
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129u26j0v/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109967055/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
>click here
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37729. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 02:27:36 UTC
From: KF6MXK
Topic: 37717 Message: 37729

KF6MXK
Phil

>
> Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
> is WB6NYC.
> We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to
> have
> a weekly scanner net on one of the repeaters.
> I would love to do that out here.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
> At 12:10 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
> >I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
> >that hard to spot from the GGNRA.
> >
> >bayscan@... wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
> > > > I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
> > > > around 10 am but have not heard anything?
> > > >
> > > > Robert
> > > > BayScan
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >

> >Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> >ADVERTISEMENT
> ><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129u26j0v/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1109967055/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
> >click here
> >
> >[]
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > *
> >
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > *
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > *
> >
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> >
> > *
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>

>
> ---------------------------------
> Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
> Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129h9gkfi/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1109988607/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0190075>
>
>
>
> ~---~
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37730. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 02:29:39 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 37717 Message: 37730

J.B. AD6WX
Usually if you are travelling over GGB if you look about
1/2 mi. out, on the north side. There is a bay, this is
where the big tractor tugs and sometimes the pilot boats
hang out, waiting for their charges. I'ts called Bonita cove,
the lighthouse on the point is the "Point Bonita Lighthouse".
The deepwater part of the cove runs from 43 to 50 fathoms.
Also just for S&G if you look just a little further, past the point,
When the surf is up youi will see waves breaking over the
" Four Fathom Bank" when this happens, the surf is "up"
at Mavericks.

KC6TAF

"Robert W. Sanford" wrote:I just saw on the news that they are going to bring them in
tomorrow now..

That's great since Im off and can try and get some pictures of it going
under the Golden Gate near me.

Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
is WB6NYC.
We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to have
a weekly scanner net on one of the repeaters.
I would love to do that out here.

Robert
BayScan

At 12:10 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>I heard the staging spot was near the Marin Headlands. They couldn't be
>that hard to spot from the GGNRA.
>
>bayscan@... wrote:
> >
> > Hey anyone know where those cranes are?
> > I thought they were suppose to bring them under the Golden Gate
> > around 10 am but have not heard anything?
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
>
>click here
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37731. OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 02:58:02 UTC
From: Scott Baldwin
Topic: 37717 Message: 37731

N6UUH
Scott

At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>KF6MXK
>Phil
>
> >
> > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
> > is WB6NYC.
> > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to
> > have

37732. RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:07:29 UTC
From: matt sparks
Topic: 37717 Message: 37732

kf6wey
matt
- in campbell

N6UUH
Scott

At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>KF6MXK
>Phil
>
> >
> > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call
sign
> > is WB6NYC.
> > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to

37733. RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:11:16 UTC
From: Loyd Reedy
Topic: 37717 Message: 37733

KD6JWE

Loyd

In Ripon

-----Original Message-----
From: matt sparks [mailto:matt_sparks@...]
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:07 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

kf6wey
matt
- in campbell

N6UUH
Scott

At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>KF6MXK
>Phil
>
> >
> > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call
sign
> > is WB6NYC.
> > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to

Yahoo! Groups Links

37734. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:15:31 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37717 Message: 37734

ad6sj
Danny
- in Oakley

kf6wey
matt
- in campbell

N6UUH
Scott

At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>KF6MXK
>Phil
>
> >
> > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call
sign
> > is WB6NYC.
> > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37735. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:21:19 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37717 Message: 37735

Jim Gammon here, WA6EKS

----- Original Message -----
From: "Scott Baldwin" <cambion7@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 6:58 PM
Subject: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >KF6MXK
> >Phil
> >
> > >
> > > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call
sign
> > > is WB6NYC.
> > > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to
> > > have
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37736. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:22:20 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37717 Message: 37736

So do you have a lot of rip offs in Ripon??

----- Original Message -----
From: "Loyd Reedy" <Loydr@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:11 PM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

>
> KD6JWE
>
> Loyd
>
> In Ripon
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: matt sparks [mailto:matt_sparks@...]
> Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:07 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)
>
>
> kf6wey
> matt
> - in campbell
>
>
>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >KF6MXK
> >Phil
> >
> > >
> > > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call
> sign
> > > is WB6NYC.
> > > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to
>
>
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37737. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:24:37 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37717 Message: 37737

Danny, do you know K6RO, Ken in Oakley? He is a serious contester with five large towers, monoband antennas on several HF bands, etc. Besides tthat, he's a terrific banjo player. I have the good fortune to play gigs with him on occasion. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:15 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

>
>
> ad6sj
> Danny
> - in Oakley
>
>
>
> kf6wey
> matt
> - in campbell
>
>
>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >KF6MXK
> >Phil
> >
> > >
> > > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call
> sign
> > > is WB6NYC.
> > > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used
to
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
> --

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

37738. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:29:46 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37717 Message: 37738

At 06:58 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>N6UUH
>Scott

KG6ESP Sunnyvale

37739. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:41:40 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37717 Message: 37739

I've met him once at a RACES training class awhile back, I do hear him on the radio from time to time on CCRA and I pass by his home everyday on the way to work. I've also heard he play's a mean banjo......

----- Original Message -----
From: jim gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:24 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Danny, do you know K6RO, Ken in Oakley? He is a serious contester with five large towers, monoband antennas on several HF bands, etc. Besides tthat, he's a terrific banjo player. I have the good fortune to play gigs with him on occasion. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:15 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

>
>
> ad6sj
> Danny
> - in Oakley
>
>
>
> kf6wey
> matt
> - in campbell
>
>
>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >KF6MXK
> >Phil
> >
> > >
> > > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call

> sign
> > > is WB6NYC.
> > > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used
to
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37740. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:45:45 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37717 Message: 37740

Hey Evan will be in SF near the airport tomorrow what repeater you hang out on? Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:29 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

>
> At 06:58 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
> >N6UUH
> >Scott
>
> KG6ESP Sunnyvale
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37741. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:02:07 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37717 Message: 37741

KG6UAL
nina

On Thursday, Mar 3, 2005, at 18:58 US/Pacific, Scott Baldwin wrote:

>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>> KF6MXK
>> Phil
>>
>>>
>>> Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call
>>> sign
>>> is WB6NYC.
>>> We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used
>>> to
>>> have
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37742. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:51:15 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37717 Message: 37742

At 07:45 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>Hey Evan will be in SF near the airport tomorrow what repeater you hang out
>on? Jim

GARC - Not sure if you'll be able to hit it from SF.
145.310 negative offset, PL 127.3.

Evan

37743. RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 03:56:37 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 37717 Message: 37743

KA6SQG in Bonny Doon,

And I own and operate 6 UHF repeaters all on 441.300 MHz... See www.wb6ece.org for CTCSS info.

Matthew Kaufman
matthew@...

37744. RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 04:07:29 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37717 Message: 37744

At 07:56 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>KA6SQG in Bonny Doon,
>
>And I own and operate 6 UHF repeaters all on 441.300 MHz... See
>www.wb6ece.org for CTCSS info.

Nice system! I can hit two of the sites from a HT on low power, although my battery is dead so I can't get much more.

Any ETA for the linked sites? That would be awesome.

Evan

37745. RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 04:21:44 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 37717 Message: 37745

At 07:56 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>KA6SQG in Bonny Doon,
>
>And I own and operate 6 UHF repeaters all on 441.300 MHz... See
>www.wb6ece.org for CTCSS info.
>
>Matthew Kaufman
>matthew@...

That is going to be a mean emergency/disaster setup when you get all of
those linked
and simulcast.

--
KG6VXT
and a commercial GROL Licensee since the days when it was called a "Class 1
Commercial License"
Santa Clara

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37746. sunnyvale?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 04:21:18 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 37746 Message: 37746

I just heard 4 cops scream by with sirens blazing down the el camino...something must be going on...

8:20p

37747. Re: [bayscan] sunnyvale?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 04:31:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37746 Message: 37747

At 08:21 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>I just heard 4 cops scream by with sirens blazing down the el
>camino...something must be going on...

Are you sure all 4 were cops? I heaaard a few medicals working.. would get one or two cops, a fire engine, a med unit, and AMR...

Evan

37748. RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 04:40:29 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 37717 Message: 37748

I'm not quite a HAM yet but it's safe to say that my scanner interests derived from my Dad being a big HAM radio operator. W6IUS and WA6TPF not to mention my high school (San Rafael) HAM club\class call sign WA6RTZ. (1979)

I suppose schools don't do that type of thing anymore <sigh>....we had sailing class too. :-)

-Roger (soon to be HAM) :-) 20:40

-----Original Message-----
From: Scott Baldwin [mailto:cambion7@...]
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 6:58 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

N6UUH
Scott

At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>KF6MXK
>Phil
>
> >
> > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call sign
> > is WB6NYC.
> > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used to
> > have

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37749. Re: sunnyvale?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 04:40:47 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 37746 Message: 37749

I oculd have been wrong...i heard sirens...

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 08:21 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
> >I just heard 4 cops scream by with sirens blazing down the el
> >camino...something must be going on...
>
> Are you sure all 4 were cops? I heaaard a few medicals working..
would get
> one or two cops, a fire engine, a med unit, and AMR...
>
> Evan

37750. Re: [bayscan] Re: sunnyvale?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 04:45:35 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37746 Message: 37750

At 08:40 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>I oculd have been wrong...i heard sirens...

Well yes, police cars, fire engines and AMR have sirens.. I was inquiring if you're sure all 4 sirens were police cars v.s. a fire engine, a medic, etc...

Evan

37751. RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 04:56:41 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 37717 Message: 37751

Well, check out www.wb6ece.org/board.jpg for a picture of the link controller board I designed and just got back from production a few weeks ago... Plan is to get the first 3 linked sites up this summer.

Matthew

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Evan Platt [mailto:evan@...]
> Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 8:07 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)
>
>
>
> At 07:56 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
> >KA6SQG in Bonny Doon,
> >
> >And I own and operate 6 UHF repeaters all on 441.300 MHz... See
> >www.wb6ece.org for CTCSS info.
>
> Nice system! I can hit two of the sites from a HT on low
> power, although my
> battery is dead so I can't get much more.
>
> Any ETA for the linked sites? That would be awesome.
>
> Evan
>
>

37752. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 05:00:03 UTC
From: Tmanjoke
Topic: 37717 Message: 37752

KD6ZXR

tracey in hayward.

though I let my license expire. I need to see about renewing it.
whats the process guys?
thanks

--- Danny Monge <ad6sj@...> wrote:
>
>
> ad6sj
> Danny
> - in Oakley
>
>
>
> kf6wey
> matt
> - in campbell
>
>
>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >KF6MXK
> >Phil
> >
> > >
> > > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my
> call
> sign
> > > is WB6NYC.
> > > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we
> used to
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT

>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

37753. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 06:22:24 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37717 Message: 37753

Go to
http://www.qrz.com/
You are still within the grace period. It costs a bit more to renew
through QRZ, but they offer a good service.

37754. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 06:33:18 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37717 Message: 37754

Gah! I wrote an email over the course of a few hours and just lost it because my session expired. Stupid Yahoo mail. Maybe I'll write it again later. Long story short: I like the net idea. Perhaps, since Matthew's system has commercial controllers, we (he) could enable a multiple-PL system to permit normal chatting and the giving of "heads-up" to interesting calls.

If nothing else, a breakfast and/or weekly net might be fun. This thread and group has gotten me excited about radio again. I've been pretty inactive since I moved up here from L.A. The agencies with and for whom I worked were (in my admittedly biased opinion) among the best on the air anywhere.

Gordon (normally in the AMBER but in the VIOLET at the moment)

Tmanjoke <tmanjoke@...> wrote:
KD6ZXR

tracey in hayward.

though I let my license expire. I need to see about renewing it.
whats the process guys?
thanks

--- Danny Monge <ad6sj@...> wrote:
>
>
> ad6sj
> Danny
> - in Oakley
>
>
>
> kf6wey
> matt
> - in campbell
>
>
>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >KF6MXK
> >Phil
> >

> > >
> > > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my
> call
> sign
> > > is WB6NYC.
> > > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we
> used to
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37755. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 07:27:14 UTC
From: Tmanjoke
Topic: 37717 Message: 37755

thanks
that worked great
T
--- gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
>
> Go to
> http://www.qrz.com/
> You are still within the grace period. It costs a bit more to renew
> through QRZ, but they offer a good service.
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

37756. cranes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 07:46:35 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37756 Message: 37756

on what basis are people believing that they will be in transit
tomorrow friday?

nina

37757. Re: [bayscan] cranes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 08:26:10 UTC
From: Raul
Topic: 37756 Message: 37757

its on the abc local news
http://abclocal.go.com/kgo/news/030205_nw_giant_cranes.html
--- nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:

> on what basis are people believing that they will be
> in transit
> tomorrow friday?
>
> nina
>
>

_____
Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

37758. Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 13:42:06 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 37717 Message: 37758

N6OFY, San Francisco

37759. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 14:09:28 UTC
From: Bob Underwood
Topic: 37717 Message: 37759

AA6BT
Trustee, SVECS repeater 146.115 South Bay
Bob Underwood

37760. cranes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 14:23:15 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37756 Message: 37760

just heard from KNTV that the cranes are not coming under until Saturday morning.

can't believe that this is such a big deal for the media

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37761. RE: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 15:29:46 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 37717 Message: 37761

K6MFW
Michael in San Jose

37762. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 15:30:47 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37717 Message: 37762

Brian in Campbell
K6SCC (Kilo 6 Santa Clara County)

On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 13:42:06 -0000, Merlin Willis, N6OFY <n6ofy@...> wrote:
>
>
> N6OFY, San Francisco
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

37763. Re: [bayscan] cranes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 15:44:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37756 Message: 37763

slow news day i guess , especially since its NOT the first time then came thru either.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37764. Re: [bayscan] cranes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 16:14:25 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37756 Message: 37764

For KNTV, everything is a big deal. ;-)

Any specific time mentioned?

caladded@... wrote:
> slow news day i guess , especially since its NOT the first time then came
> thru either.
>
> ED
>

37765. Re: [bayscan] cranes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 16:45:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37756 Message: 37765

Now KNTV says it Sunday morning ....didn't give a specific time
, guess it has to do with weather.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37766. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:03:14 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 37717 Message: 37766

Mike
KD6FTR

37767. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:08:18 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 37717 Message: 37767

I frequent the KU6V repeaters; 224.18 or 444.9. These are linked and have great coverage.

I'm usually on during the early morning hours (0615-0630) and in the evening on the way home (1700-1730).

73...Mike//KD6FTR

37768. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:20:56 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37717 Message: 37768

Brian, when did you change your callsign?

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian C.
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 7:30 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Brian in Campbell
K6SCC (Kilo 6 Santa Clara County)

On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 13:42:06 -0000, Merlin Willis, N6OFY <n6ofy@...> wrote:
>
>
> N6OFY, San Francisco
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.

Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37769. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:34:50 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37717 Message: 37769

I can usually be found on C.A.R.L.A. Gorilla or Cal-Net

Danny ad6sj
----- Original Message -----
From: Mike McRoberts
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:08 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

I frequent the KU6V repeaters; 224.18 or 444.9. These are linked and
have great coverage.

I'm usually on during the early morning hours (0615-0630) and in the
evening on the way home (1700-1730).

73...Mike//KD6FTR

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37770. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:32:29 UTC
From: Smokey Behr
Topic: 37717 Message: 37770

N6FOG - Madera, in the Heart of California

----- Original Message -----
From: "Brian C." <bdchristensen@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 7:30 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

>
> Brian in Campbell
> K6SCC (Kilo 6 Santa Clara County)
>
>
> On Fri, 04 Mar 2005 13:42:06 -0000, Merlin Willis, N6OFY <n6ofy@...>
wrote:
> >
> >
> > N6OFY, San Francisco

37771. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:52:21 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 37717 Message: 37771

Oh, alright.

AE6HO

(Another Extremely 6exy Ham Operator)

;->

37772. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:54:26 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37717 Message: 37772

At 09:20 AM 3/4/2005, you wrote:
>Brian, when did you change your callsign?

According to QRZ.com, December 11th, 2004. :)

37773. ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:57:43 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37773

757 with engine failure inbound to SJC working on COMMAND 4 - 153.980

B29
E1
E30
TC29
B1
MED30
STATION 20 EQUIPMENT
AIR 6
USAR COMPANY 5
ENGINE 5

More to follow...

37774. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:00:32 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37774

Update: 154 souls on board landing on 30L with 30,000 pounds of fuel on board. Confirmed engine one failure. On final now.

Working San Jose

Update on responding units:

BATTALION 29
ENGINE 30
TRUCK COMPANY 29
BATTALION 1
MED 30
USAR COMPANY 5
AIR UNIT 6
ENGINE 5
STATION 20 - ALL EQUIPMENT

On Mar 4, 2005, at 9:57 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> 757 with engine failure inbound to SJC working on COMMAND 4 - 153.980
>
> B29
> E1
> E30
> TC29
> B1
> MED30
> STATION 20 EQUIPMENT
> AIR 6
> USAR COMPANY 5
> ENGINE 5
>
> More to follow...

37775. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:18:15 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37717 Message: 37775

Hummm, someone really uses 220.mz
----- Original Message -----
From: Mike McRoberts
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 09:08
Subject: Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

I frequent the KU6V repeaters; 224.18 or 444.9. These are linked and
have great coverage.

I'm usually on during the early morning hours (0615-0630) and in the
evening on the way home (1700-1730).

73...Mike//KD6FTR

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37776. Re: [bayscan] cranes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 17:26:45 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37756 Message: 37776

They said tomorrow on one channel??

robert

At 08:45 AM 3/4/2005, you wrote:
>Now KNTV says it Sunday morning ....didn't give a specific time
>, guess it has to do with weather.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>ADVERTISEMENT
><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129tohmr9/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1110041175/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
>12c65f65.jpg
>
>[]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37777. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:07:56 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37777

Precautionary 3rd Alarm being called.

Working SJFD COMMAND 4 - 153.980
TAC 7 - 153.845
SJC Tower - 124.000
SJC Ground - 121.700

On Mar 4, 2005, at 10:00 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Update: 154 souls on board landing on 30L with 30,000 pounds of fuel on
> board. Confirmed engine one failure. On final now.
>
> Working San Jose
>
> Update on responding units:
>
> BATTALION 29
> ENGINE 30
> TRUCK COMPANY 29
> BATTALION 1
> MED 30
> USAR COMPANY 5
> AIR UNIT 6
> ENGINE 5
> STATION 20 - ALL EQUIPMENT

37778. Re: [bayscan] cranes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:10:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37756 Message: 37778

not to be a party pooper, but what do cranes have to do with bayscan..
this topic is wayyyy past its prime

Robert W. Sanford wrote:

>They said tomorrow on one channel??
>
>robert
>
>
>At 08:45 AM 3/4/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Now KNTV says it Sunday morning ....didn't give a specific time
>>, guess it has to do with weather.
>>
>>ED
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
>>ADVERTISEMENT
>><http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129tohmr9/M=298184.6018725.7038619.3001176/D=groups/S=1705063108:HM/EXP=1110041175/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=60190075>
>>12c65f65.jpg
>>
>>[]
>>
>>
>>
>>----------
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> *
>><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>> *
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> *
>><mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> *

>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
>><http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>>
>
> ----------
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37779. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:10:16 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 36761 Message: 37779

I have a view of the 32 approach from my office window... I think they're running 14 due to the weather. No radio here though.

Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:757 with engine failure inbound to SJC working on COMMAND 4 - 153.980

B29
E1
E30
TC29
B1
MED30
STATION 20 EQUIPMENT
AIR 6
USAR COMPANY 5
ENGINE 5

More to follow...

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37780. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:11:08 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37780

Mexicana 953 landed and situation is under control. Releasing all units except Station 20 equipment.

---

craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation, travel and urban themes -

37781. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:17:32 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37781

That's a negative on the approach. Aircraft are landing on 30L at this time. Gets tricky with the weather.

-C-

On Mar 4, 2005, at 10:10 AM, Gordon Arnold wrote:

>
> I have a view of the 32 approach from my office window... I think
> they're running 14 due to the weather. No radio here though.

37782. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:22:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36761 Message: 37782

plus Engines 1,3 and 8 USAR 16 BC10

Craig , did you notice ALL the mistakes the dispatcher had on Command 4 ?/

plane is down safe

1022 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37783. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:23:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36761 Message: 37783

what is 32 ? what is 14 ?

runways are 30 and 12

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37784. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:24:28 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37784

Think Gordon is referring to Moffett (NUQ).

On Mar 4, 2005, at 10:23 AM, caladded@... wrote:

> what is 32 ? what is 14 ?

37785. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:25:11 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37785

I did...pretty big difference between 3000 and 30000 lbs. of fuel!

On Mar 4, 2005, at 10:22 AM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> plus Engines 1,3 and 8 USAR 16 BC10
>
> Craig , did you notice ALL the mistakes the dispatcher had on Command
> 4 ?/
>
>
> plane is down safe
>
> 1022 hours

37786. Re: [bayscan] the cranes?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:29:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37717 Message: 37786

GUYS , change the subject line PLEASE " cranes " has nothing to do with
you ham license !!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37787. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport dispatcher errors

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:39:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37787 Message: 37787

She sure made a lot of mistakes ....refered to the runway as 20WEST
instead of 30 left

Engine 20B tranmitted plane was on final
she repeated is as plane was on FIRE (yikes)
((to which E20B corrected her)

Engine 30 went on scene at Station 20 , she repeated it as Engine 38

She cancelled Engine 1 and Truck 1 which was a mistake as I known they roll
on the airport on an alert 2 (then DID repond)

must be a newbie.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37788. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:41:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36761 Message: 37788

oh, yeah, I forgot to mention that one too..........at least she got the number of souls on board right :-)

Craig - they did call a 3rd on it ?? I missed that somehow !

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37789. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 18:49:25 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37789

They sure did call the 3rd. I guess BATTALION 1, once he got full information, decided to play it safe and call in the cavalry - so to speak.

Kind of strange to hear that particular dispatcher flub so many aspects of this incident. I've heard her under similar circumstance and she's always been rock solid. The "on fire" v. "on final" is particularly scary!

On Mar 4, 2005, at 10:41 AM, caladded@... wrote:

> oh, yeah, I forgot to mention that one too.....at least she got
> the
> number of souls on board right :-)
>
> Craig - they did call a 3rd on it ?? I missed that somehow !

37790. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 19:10:32 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 36761 Message: 37790

Yeah, thanks Ed and Craig for highlighting my mistake. I used to live next to SJC, close enough that if the terminal were on the west side I could have walked there. At that time I listed to 124.000 routinely. I now live even closer to Moffett than I did to SJC and monitor their tower channels. That's what occasioned the mistake I made between 30 and 32. I've been listening to 32/14 for so long that 30/12 got pushed out of my brain. For the record, from my office next to VMC I do have a view of the 30 approach.

BTW, the weirdest thing I ever heard was nothing, in the days after September 11th when the ground stop was in effect. Coming down Coleman and seeing no movement and hearing nothing on Tower was just plain freakish.

caladded@... wrote:
what is 32 ? what is 14 ?

runways are 30 and 12

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37791. 9/11 Ground Stop

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 19:37:30 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37791

Hi Gordon,

I remember it well. It was about the spookiest thing I can recall.
There was a single flight that evening that was inbound to SFO, and if
I memory serves, it was a medevac flight. Other than the military stuff
that was up, that was it. SILENCE.

On Mar 4, 2005, at 11:10 AM, Gordon Arnold wrote:

> BTW, the weirdest thing I ever heard was nothing, in the days after
> September 11th when the ground stop was in effect. Coming down Coleman
> and seeing no movement and hearing nothing on Tower was just plain
> freakish.

37792. Re: [bayscan] 9/11 Ground Stop

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 19:51:37 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 36761 Message: 37792

I would really like to hear recordings of the ATC comms during that first couple of hours, how they communicated to G/A aircraft who didn't have ACARS or other out-of-band comms to be told what was going on. At that time I had my most elaborate shack to date, but for some reason I didn't think to record anything at all that first day.

I have some recordings of the various ATIS freqs over the next few days, which are a little spooky, especially Moffett's reporting their ThreatCon status.

On Fri, Mar 04, 2005 at 11:37:30AM -0800, Craig Rose wrote:
>
> Hi Gordon,
>
> I remember it well. It was about the spookiest thing I can recall.
> There was a single flight that evening that was inbound to SFO, and if
> I memory serves, it was a medevac flight. Other than the military stuff
> that was up, that was it. SILENCE.
>
> On Mar 4, 2005, at 11:10 AM, Gordon Arnold wrote:
>
> > BTW, the weirdest thing I ever heard was nothing, in the days after
> > September 11th when the ground stop was in effect. Coming down Coleman
> > and seeing no movement and hearing nothing on Tower was just plain
> > freakish.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

37793. Re: [bayscan] 9/11 Ground Stop

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 19:53:04 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 36761 Message: 37793

Ooh. Is that (the NUQ advisory) something you could make into an MP3 and post or share?

Dave <dlc-bayscan@...> wrote:
I would really like to hear recordings of the ATC comms during that
first couple of hours, how they communicated to G/A aircraft who
didn't have ACARS or other out-of-band comms to be told what was
going on. At that time I had my most elaborate shack to date, but
for some reason I didn't think to record anything at all that first
day.

I have some recordings of the various ATIS freqs over the next few
days, which are a little spooky, especially Moffett's reporting their
ThreatCon status.

On Fri, Mar 04, 2005 at 11:37:30AM -0800, Craig Rose wrote:
>
> Hi Gordon,
>
> I remember it well. It was about the spookiest thing I can recall.
> There was a single flight that evening that was inbound to SFO, and if
> I memory serves, it was a medevac flight. Other than the military stuff
> that was up, that was it. SILENCE.
>
> On Mar 4, 2005, at 11:10 AM, Gordon Arnold wrote:
>
> > BTW, the weirdest thing I ever heard was nothing, in the days after
> > September 11th when the ground stop was in effect. Coming down Coleman
> > and seeing no movement and hearing nothing on Tower was just plain
> > freakish.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37794. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 20:06:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36761 Message: 37794

well Gordon , at least you didn't say 20 WEST like the dispatcher did.

Craig has a good point, I very rarely hear ANY San Jose dispatchers make mistakes.

If you think seeing Mineta / San Jose with NO traffic was strange , you ought think about SFO. San Jose shuts down at night where SFO NEVER shuts down and has so much more traffic. even during slow hours you catch a plane landing every so often with red eyes and such. During busy times they flat out are loaded. I have sat and watch as many as 20 sitting on the tarmac waiting their turn to depart. Riding my bike south out of the city coming home on 9/11 was strange to see no planes out there and equally strange and scary to see numerous SFPD and CHP guys by the Millbrae gate sporting rifles.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37795. Re: [bayscan] 9/11 Ground Stop

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 20:43:32 UTC
From: Dave C.
Topic: 36761 Message: 37795

Sure; I'll try to put a collection together this weekend.

On Fri, Mar 04, 2005 at 11:53:04AM -0800, Gordon Arnold wrote:
>
> Ooh. Is that (the NUQ advisory) something you could make into an MP3 and post or share?

37796. Re: 9/11 Ground Stop

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 21:12:57 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 36761 Message: 37796

Thanks Dave and to everyone reporting on the SJC event. IT was great to read thought it all!

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Dave C." <dlc-bayscan@h...> wrote:
>
> Sure; I'll try to put a collection together this weekend.
>
>
> On Fri, Mar 04, 2005 at 11:53:04AM -0800, Gordon Arnold wrote:
> >
> > Ooh. Is that (the NUQ advisory) something you could make into an MP3 and post or share?

37797. Fire in Corte Madera?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 23:45:01 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37797 Message: 37797

I see a big plume of smoke over there from the bay side of things.
Anything going on that anyone can hear? I'm guessing Corte madera, but
it could easily be Larkspur or San Rafael.

15:44/PT

37798. Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-04 23:44:03 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 37717 Message: 37798

WD6AGG

Ed in Cupertino
>
> ad6sj
> Danny
> - in Oakley
>
>
>
> kf6wey
> matt
> - in campbell
>
>
>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
> >KF6MXK
> >Phil
> >
> > >
> > > Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list,
my call
> sign
> > > is WB6NYC.
> > > We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we
used to
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37799. Re: [bayscan] Fire in Corte Madera?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 00:10:29 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37797 Message: 37799

what color smoke?
On Friday, Mar 4, 2005, at 15:45 US/Pacific, Jordan Hayes wrote:

>
> I see a big plume of smoke over there from the bay side of things.
> Anything going on that anyone can hear? I'm guessing Corte madera, but
> it could easily be Larkspur or San Rafael.
>
> 15:44/PT
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

37800. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 00:20:09 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 36761 Message: 37800

Interesting you should metnion the mistakes made by the dispatcher. I had a friend in Modesto that tried out the dispatching gig for Stanislaus Co. and one of the big things weighing on a dispatchers shoulders is if they get the information right or not. And if they don't they're held responsible for any unfortunate occurances...

My 2 cents

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37801. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 00:31:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36761 Message: 37801

Hey Craig , do you know how many fire fighters normally staff Station 20 on any given day ? Also , do they man all four AFFF rigs + Rescue 20 . I had them dispatch 3 of them today on the Alert 2 .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37802. Re: [bayscan] Fire in Corte Madera?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 00:37:37 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37797 Message: 37802

> what color smoke?

It was grey, turning to white, in the way that a fire might be.

Gone now though, of course :)

/jordan

37803. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 00:27:17 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37717 Message: 37803

Hi Evan, didn't get your reply until I got home today. I thought 145.31 was in Valejo but there must be another one near you. I have your CD's ready to mail, just have to get my XYL to address the envelope. I didn't label the CD's in print but one has voice ID's on it, and the other one surprisingly enough comes up when you put it in your computer if you use Winamp. It's called, Got Rhythm and the band is called Hot House. I was surprised to find it ther when I put the CD in to copy for you. 73's, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:51 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

>
> At 07:45 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
> >Hey Evan will be in SF near the airport tomorrow what repeater you hang
out
> >on? Jim
>
> GARC - Not sure if you'll be able to hit it from SF.
> 145.310 negative offset, PL 127.3.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37804. Re: Fire in Corte Madera?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 00:49:07 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37797 Message: 37804

There's some factory next to 101 near the Corte Madera DMV that puts off huge plumes of steam over the freeway. If you couldn't find any related radio traffic that coulda been it. That place used to freak me out when I was little I thought it was toxic smoke. (Hell maybe it is, anyone know who owns the building?)

-Inigo
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
> > what color smoke?
>
> It was grey, turning to white, in the way that a fire might be.
>
> Gone now though, of course :)
>
> /jordan

37805. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 01:00:18 UTC
From: Scott Baldwin
Topic: 37717 Message: 37805

I plan to renew via the FCC web site which I believe is free. Thus far
I've used the FCC web site to register my new address during my last two moves.

Scott
N6UUH

At 10:22 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:

>Go to
>http://www.qrz.com/
>You are still within the grace period. It costs a bit more to renew
>through QRZ, but they offer a good service.

37806. Re: [bayscan] Re: Fire in Corte Madera?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 01:09:15 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 37797 Message: 37806

Handi Cup company. They make styrofoam products.
I used to do laser shows in the steam.
J.B. AD6WX

inigo4688 <inigo.markle@...> wrote:

There's some factory next to 101 near the Corte Madera DMV that puts
off huge plumes of steam over the freeway. If you couldn't find any
related radio traffic that coulda been it. That place used to freak
me out when I was little I thought it was toxic smoke. (Hell maybe it
is, anyone know who owns the building?)

-Inigo
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" wrote:
> > what color smoke?
>
> It was grey, turning to white, in the way that a fire might be.
>
> Gone now though, of course :)
>
> /jordan

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37807. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 01:11:02 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 36761 Message: 37807

Ed, I'll find out for you. Not sure if they are fully staffed at all
times. I need to setup a photo shoot with those folks anyway...

-C-

On Mar 4, 2005, at 4:31 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> Hey Craig , do you know how many fire fighters normally staff Station
> 20 on
> any given day ? Also , do they man all four AFFF rigs + Rescue 20 .
> I had
> them dispatch 3 of them today on the Alert 2 .

37808. hams on bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 01:55:35 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37808 Message: 37808

So how are we going to collect all us bayscan hams on a list? Or, are we?
Jim

37809. Re: Santa Clara Fire PL/CTCSS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 02:07:48 UTC
From: d_steinberg@hotmail.com
Topic: 37715 Message: 37809

Heard a brief verbal exchange on 153.965 today at 1800.

Dave in Silicon Valley

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> Hi all,
>
> A few months ago there was some discussion regarding the PL/CTCSS tone
> for Santa Clara fire on 153.965. What was the outcome of that
> discussion thread?
>
> Did they change their PL from 131.8 Hz? I am doing some programming
> and 131.8 does not appear to be accurate any longer.
>
> Thanks,
> Brian in Campbell

37810. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 02:35:03 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37717 Message: 37810

When I tried to renew on the FCC website, they wanted my 10 digit FCC
account number. It was really a mess. I figured better to contribute my
80 cents per year to qrz.com

This 11 page document has the fee schedule
http://www.fcc.gov/Forms/Form1070/2004/1070y2004.pdf

Scott Baldwin wrote:
> I plan to renew via the FCC web site which I believe is free. Thus far
> I've used the FCC web site to register my new address during my last two moves.
>
> Scott
> N6UUH
>
>
> At 10:22 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Go to
>>http://www.qrz.com/
>>You are still within the grace period. It costs a bit more to renew
>>through QRZ, but they offer a good service.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37811. Re: [bayscan] ALERT 2 - San Jose Airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 02:48:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36761 Message: 37811

oh, that would be great . I know there were some pics of E20D , the Oshgosh -Striker at Moffett sometime back. But I would love to see pics of the other rigs as well

btw , there is a good shot of Woodside Rescue 7 on firedispatch.com , 3rd one down , accident at 1500 Hudson. 2nd pic shows a side view of a patient loaded into the rig.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37812. (OT)Re: hams on bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 03:09:30 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 37808 Message: 37812

Well, I think (with the OK from W0WEB) we should have a 'scanner net'
on the SFARC machine. Maybe Friday nights, say around 7 or 8 pm?
Most people in the bay area, should be able to hit the machine.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> So how are we going to collect all us bayscan hams on a list? Or,
are we?
> Jim

37813. Re: [bayscan] (OT)Re: hams on bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 04:40:16 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37808 Message: 37813

Well, that would leave me out, I can't hit that machine from over here in Oakley, unless it has echolink on it.

----- Original Message -----
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 7:09 PM
Subject: [bayscan] (OT)Re: hams on bayscan

Well, I think (with the OK from W0WEB) we should have a 'scanner net'
on the SFARC machine. Maybe Friday nights, say around 7 or 8 pm?
Most people in the bay area, should be able to hit the machine.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> So how are we going to collect all us bayscan hams on a list? Or,
are we?
> Jim

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37814. Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 04:54:06 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 37717 Message: 37814

Might as well join in,

Dennis in Antioch KE6TKX

37815. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:05:17 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37717 Message: 37815

I wonder if we should re-name this group Hamscan?
(Just kidding Robert)

----- Original Message -----
From: Dennis
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 8:54 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Might as well join in,

Dennis in Antioch KE6TKX

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37816. OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:13:04 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 37816 Message: 37816

well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.

147.060+ pl 100.0

37817. Re: [bayscan] (OT)Re: hams on bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:12:19 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37808 Message: 37817

I just programmed the 145.31 machine in my VX5R and can hit the machine from
El Cerrito. Jim WA6EKS
----- Original Message -----
From: "Merlin Willis, N6OFY" <n6ofy@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 7:09 PM
Subject: [bayscan] (OT)Re: hams on bayscan

>
>
> Well, I think (with the OK from W0WEB) we should have a 'scanner net'
> on the SFARC machine. Maybe Friday nights, say around 7 or 8 pm?
> Most people in the bay area, should be able to hit the machine.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> > So how are we going to collect all us bayscan hams on a list? Or,
> are we?
> > Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37818. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:13:56 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37717 Message: 37818

Danny, guess you and Dennis can start a net in Co Co County or, go climb
K6RO's tower with your handheld, maybe you could hit the Sunnyvale machine
from there! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:05 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

>
> I wonder if we should re-name this group Hamscan?
> (Just kidding Robert)
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dennis
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 8:54 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)
>
>
>
> Might as well join in,
>
> Dennis in Antioch KE6TKX
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37819. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:23:32 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37717 Message: 37819

Ya that's a thought Jim, but whice tower do we use?

----- Original Message -----
From: jim gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:13 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Danny, guess you and Dennis can start a net in Co Co County or, go climb
K6RO's tower with your handheld, maybe you could hit the Sunnyvale machine
from there! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:05 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

>
> I wonder if we should re-name this group Hamscan?
> (Just kidding Robert)
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Dennis
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 8:54 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)
>
>
>
> Might as well join in,
>
> Dennis in Antioch KE6TKX
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37820. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:24:57 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37816 Message: 37820

CCRA would be a good one to use, they have 3 linked repeaters on that system. San Ramon, Oakland Hill's and Concord.

----- Original Message -----
From: scan_boss
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:13 PM
Subject: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.

147.060+ pl 100.0

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37821. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:40:15 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37816 Message: 37821

That's a good idea.We have a regular Monday evening net at 19:35. Guests are welcome to check in following the member checkins. They have newsline as part of the net most weeks but after that the repeater is open to all.
Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:24 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

>
> CCRA would be a good one to use, they have 3 linked repeaters on that system. San Ramon, Oakland Hill's and Concord.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: scan_boss
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:13 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
>
> well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.
>
> 147.060+ pl 100.0
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37822. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:44:40 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37816 Message: 37822

We, you say we, are you a member? I don't think I've heard you on before is why I ask, been a CCRA member for awhile now.

----- Original Message -----
From: jim gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:40 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

That's a good idea.We have a regular Monday evening net at 19:35. Guests are welcome to check in following the member checkins. They have newsline as part of the net most weeks but after that the repeater is open to all.
Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:24 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

>
> CCRA would be a good one to use, they have 3 linked repeaters on that system. San Ramon, Oakland Hill's and Concord.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: scan_boss
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:13 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
>
> well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.
>
> 147.060+ pl 100.0
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>

> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> --

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37823. Fw: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:58:20 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37823 Message: 37823

I take that back, don't you and Walt, N6ADR talk on CCRA often ?

----- Original Message -----
From: Danny Monge
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:44 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

We, you say we, are you a member? I don't think I've heard you on before is why I ask, been a CCRA member for awhile now.

----- Original Message -----
From: jim gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:40 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

That's a good idea.We have a regular Monday evening net at 19:35. Guests
are welcome to check in following the member checkins. They have newsline
as part of the net most weeks but after that the repeater is open to all.
Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:24 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

>
> CCRA would be a good one to use, they have 3 linked repeaters on that
system. San Ramon, Oakland Hill's and Concord.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: scan_boss
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:13 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
>
> well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.
>
> 147.060+ pl 100.0
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37824. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 06:09:12 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37816 Message: 37824

Yup we have, and for a while, Ken was on. We talked about sattelites and
old jazz.
----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:58 PM
Subject: Fw: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

>
> I take that back, don't you and Walt, N6ADR talk on CCRA often ?
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Danny Monge
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:44 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
> We, you say we, are you a member? I don't think I've heard you on before
is why I ask, been a CCRA member for awhile now.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: jim gammon
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:40 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
> That's a good idea.We have a regular Monday evening net at 19:35.
Guests
> are welcome to check in following the member checkins. They have
newsline
> as part of the net most weeks but after that the repeater is open to
all.
> Jim
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:24 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>

> >
> > CCRA would be a good one to use, they have 3 linked repeaters on that
> system. San Ramon, Oakland Hill's and Concord.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: scan_boss
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:13 PM
> > Subject: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
> >
> >
> >
> > well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.
> >
> > 147.060+ pl 100.0
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

> --
> ----
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

> --
> ----
> >
> >
> > No virus found in this incoming message.

> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> --

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37825. Re:Scanner Net

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:27:45 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37808 Message: 37825

I think its a great idea but we will have to see what the best time might be for people.

Back in NYC we used to do it on an off day like Tuesdays since people were not usually as busy.

There would have to be a "net control" of sorts also, we used to run it almost like an ARES or RACES net with taking the check ins then an open forum for topics.

Ill see if I can remember the whole format we used since it worked nicely.

Robert
WB6NYC
BayScan

At 07:09 PM 3/4/2005, you wrote:

>Well, I think (with the OK from W0WEB) we should have a 'scanner net'
>on the SFARC machine. Maybe Friday nights, say around 7 or 8 pm?
>Most people in the bay area, should be able to hit the machine.
>
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> > So how are we going to collect all us bayscan hams on a list? Or,
>are we?
> > Jim
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37826. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 06:15:29 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37816 Message: 37826

Ok, I know who you are now..... I've talked with you before after the net, I also do sats, I know Walt and drive for Safeway if that rings a bell.

----- Original Message -----
From: jim gammon
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 10:09 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yup we have, and for a while, Ken was on. We talked about sattelites and old jazz.
----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:58 PM
Subject: Fw: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

>
> I take that back, don't you and Walt, N6ADR talk on CCRA often ?
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Danny Monge
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:44 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
> We, you say we, are you a member? I don't think I've heard you on before is why I ask, been a CCRA member for awhile now.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: jim gammon
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:40 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
> That's a good idea.We have a regular Monday evening net at 19:35. Guests
> are welcome to check in following the member checkins. They have newsline
> as part of the net most weeks but after that the repeater is open to all.
> Jim

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:24 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
> >
> > CCRA would be a good one to use, they have 3 linked repeaters on that
> system. San Ramon, Oakland Hill's and Concord.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: scan_boss
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:13 PM
> > Subject: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.
> > >
> > 147.060+ pl 100.0
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> --
> ----
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >

> >
> >
>

> --
> ----
> >
> >
> > No virus found in this incoming message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37827. Time estimate for Cranes Tomorrow

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 05:51:09 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 37827 Message: 37827

I heard that the latest estimate is the cranes should be passing under the Golden Gate around 11:30 am tomorrow and under the Bay Bridge around 1:00.pm Of course this all could change when you moving something the size of a 24 story building!!!

I guess Vessel Traffic on 156.700 in addition to the standard Coast Guards freqs like 157.100, 157.050, and then the Media chopper frequencies.

KRON 4 will be covering it live tomorrow

37828. Re: [bayscan] Time estimate for Cranes Tomorrow

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 06:34:31 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37827 Message: 37828

Glad there is more than KNTV around , stated it would be Sunday .... so much for their accuracy ;-(

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37829. Re: [bayscan] Re:Scanner Net

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 06:45:35 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37808 Message: 37829

At 09:27 PM 3/4/2005, you wrote:
>I think its a great idea but we will have to see what the best time might
>be for people.
>
>Back in NYC we used to do it on an off day like Tuesdays since people were
>not usually as busy.
>
>There would have to be a "net control" of sorts also, we used to run it
>almost like an ARES or RACES net with taking the check ins then an open
>forum for topics.
>
>Ill see if I can remember the whole format we used since it worked nicely.

Yeah, sounds good except I can't hit any of the repeaters we've suggested
in Sunnyvale. :)

37830. SF Homicide?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 09:40:57 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 37830 Message: 37830

Just my luck i blew a fuse in my car and could not listen to the scanner. I was driving home from Sunnyvale just now on 19th ave. and was the 1st car in line stopped by SFPD as around 10 code 3 units arrived to the scene of god only knows what. I saw a body laying on the side walk and about 30-40 asain young males standing on the sidewalk. They shut 19th down totaly and fire/ems arrived. I watched because i could not move and it did not appear that they transported who ever was laying on the sidewalk. Then SFPD put up crime scene tape finnaly we were allowed to drive around the scene on the wrong side of 19th. So i didnt get to see much more. I thought 4 sure Ed or someone would have heard this go down! =)

News media were arriving just as I was driving past.

37831. Re: [bayscan] SF Homicide? NOT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 10:12:19 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 37831 Message: 37831

gas leak there chief.... no body and your am's were all the familys
evacuated by the fire dept. 40 people total

----- Original Message -----
From: "stfucode3" <Charger@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 1:40 AM
Subject: [bayscan] SF Homicide?

>
>
> Just my luck i blew a fuse in my car and could not listen to the
> scanner. I was driving home from Sunnyvale just now on 19th ave. and
> was the 1st car in line stopped by SFPD as around 10 code 3 units
> arrived to the scene of god only knows what. I saw a body laying on
> the side walk and about 30-40 asain young males standing on the
> sidewalk. They shut 19th down totaly and fire/ems arrived. I watched
> because i could not move and it did not appear that they transported
> who ever was laying on the sidewalk. Then SFPD put up crime scene
> tape finnaly we were allowed to drive around the scene on the wrong
> side of 19th. So i didnt get to see much more. I thought 4 sure Ed
> or someone would have heard this go down! =)
>
> News media were arriving just as I was driving past.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37832. Re: [bayscan] SF Homicide?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 10:18:54 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37830 Message: 37832

When I worked in Sunnyvale, I would see those mass gang arrests. Four cops, eight bangers. What the S'vale PD would do would be to make them take off one shoe and sit on the curb. I guess the one shoe would make it harder to run (out of balance). I'm not sure what good it did to have them sit down other than to slow down their escape.

stfucode3 wrote:
>
> Just my luck i blew a fuse in my car and could not listen to the
> scanner. I was driving home from Sunnyvale just now on 19th ave. and
> was the 1st car in line stopped by SFPD as around 10 code 3 units
> arrived to the scene of god only knows what. I saw a body laying on
> the side walk and about 30-40 asain young males standing on the
> sidewalk. They shut 19th down totaly and fire/ems arrived. I watched
> because i could not move and it did not appear that they transported
> who ever was laying on the sidewalk. Then SFPD put up crime scene
> tape finnaly we were allowed to drive around the scene on the wrong
> side of 19th. So i didnt get to see much more. I thought 4 sure Ed
> or someone would have heard this go down! =)
>
> News media were arriving just as I was driving past.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37833. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 15:25:42 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37816 Message: 37833

Just tried to hit the machine (147.060) from here in Campbell with the
2 element vertical Comet GP-6 antenna mounted about 30' up. Some
white-noise running about S-3.

Brian in Campbell
K6SCC

On Sat, 05 Mar 2005 05:13:04 -0000, scan_boss <scanner4@...> wrote:
>
>
> well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.
>
> 147.060+ pl 100.0
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

37834. Re: [bayscan] (OT)Re: hams on bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 15:39:35 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 37808 Message: 37834

> > Well, I think (with the OK from W0WEB) we should have a 'scanner net'
> > on the SFARC machine. Maybe Friday nights, say around 7 or 8 pm?
> > Most people in the bay area, should be able to hit the machine.

I will try to see if I can reach it from San Jose. I wonder what interoperability problems us hams may encounter, probably not as much as the Part 90 guys. Most hams have both 2M and 440, none use trunking, a few might have APCO25 (not used on ham bands), the most challenging is a repeater everyone can reach but much area can be covered with linking.

The 9 AM Talk-net on N6NFI (stanford repeater at 145.23) on weekdays has a lot of people and their net control keeps things reasonably organized. There are times when some get long winded, the repeater has a timeout. Maybe this can be an example for a Bayscan Net with a lot of people.

Mike K6MFW

(I heard the callsign K6SCC and immediately recognized it as Brian, we swapped a couple of scanner stories, i.e. AAA policy is they do not tow Hummer 1s).

37835. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 16:10:42 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37816 Message: 37835

I couldn't hit any of the VHF machines I've collected in this recent thread

"Brian C." <bdchristensen@...> wrote:Just tried to hit the machine (147.060) from here in Campbell with the
2 element vertical Comet GP-6 antenna mounted about 30' up. Some
white-noise running about S-3.

Brian in Campbell
K6SCC

On Sat, 05 Mar 2005 05:13:04 -0000, scan_boss <scanner4@...> wrote:
>
>
> well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.
>
> 147.060+ pl 100.0
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37836. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 16:29:36 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37816 Message: 37836

At 08:10 AM 3/5/2005, you wrote:
>I couldn't hit any of the VHF machines I've collected in this recent thread

If we all have UHF capability, I think Matthew Kaufman's machine(s) would be the best bet, assuming he'd be OK with having a net on it. The repeaters aren't linked, but since it's open PL on receive, you can probably receive a few machines you wouldn't be able to transmit to. :)

Evan

37837. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 16:35:38 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37816 Message: 37837

Um... which ones are Matt's?

Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:At 08:10 AM 3/5/2005, you wrote:
>I couldn't hit any of the VHF machines I've collected in this recent thread

If we all have UHF capability, I think Matthew Kaufman's machine(s) would
be the best bet, assuming he'd be OK with having a net on it. The repeaters
aren't linked, but since it's open PL on receive, you can probably receive
a few machines you wouldn't be able to transmit to. :)

Evan

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37838. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 16:43:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37816 Message: 37838

At 08:35 AM 3/5/2005, you wrote:
>Um... which ones are Matt's?

http://www.wb6ece.org

:)

37839. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 16:51:21 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37816 Message: 37839

Oh, okay, thanks. I went to look when he sent the link. Just hadn't cross-referenced it to him. Still getting used to y'all. Hope I'm not out of line in reproducing the quick reference, as much for my own sake as for others.

WB6ECE Repeater quick reference: 441.300(+)

#

Site Name

CTCSS

Location

Elevation

1

Allison

110.9

Mt. Allison (Alameda County)

2300'

2

Woodside

123.0

Highway 35 (San Mateo County)

2200'

3

Bonny Doon

94.8

Empire Grade (Santa Cruz County)

2600'

4

Toro

136.5

Mt. Toro (Monterey County)

3200'

5

Umunhum

146.2

Mt. Umunhum (Santa Clara County)

3300'

6

Bonny Doon Lower

156.7

Empire Grade (Santa Cruz County)

2100'

Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
At 08:35 AM 3/5/2005, you wrote:
>Um... which ones are Matt's?

http://www.wb6ece.org

:)

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37840. Re: [bayscan] Re: OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 16:55:47 UTC
From: Hubert Stamps
Topic: 37717 Message: 37840

Hubert in Santa Barbara

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

37841. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 16:58:32 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37816 Message: 37841

d'oh. No HTML on the list. My table fell apart. Sorry everybody.

WB6ECE Repeater Quick Reference: 441.300(+)

1 Allison 110.9 Mt. Allison, 2300' (Alameda County)
2 Woodside 123.0 Highway 35, 2200' (San Mateo County)
3 Bonny Doon 94.8 Empire Grade, 2600' (Santa Cruz County)
4 Toro 136.5 Mt. Toro, 3200' (Monterey County)
5 Umunhum 146.2 Mt. Umunhum, 3300' (Santa Clara County)
6 Bonny Doon Lower 156.7 Empire Grade, 2100' (Santa Cruz County)

Gordon Arnold <gordon@...> wrote:
Oh, okay, thanks. I went to look when he sent the link. Just hadn't cross-referenced it to him. Still getting used to y'all. Hope I'm not out of line in reproducing the quick reference, as much for my own sake as for others.

WB6ECE Repeater quick reference: 441.300(+)

#

Site Name

CTCSS

Location

Elevation

1

Allison

110.9

Mt. Allison (Alameda County)

2300'

2

Woodside

123.0

Highway 35 (San Mateo County)

2200'

3

Bonny Doon

94.8

Empire Grade (Santa Cruz County)

2600'

4

Toro

136.5

Mt. Toro (Monterey County)

3200'

5

Umunhum

146.2

Mt. Umunhum (Santa Clara County)

3300'

6

Bonny Doon Lower

156.7

Empire Grade (Santa Cruz County)

2100'

Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
At 08:35 AM 3/5/2005, you wrote:
>Um... which ones are Matt's?

http://www.wb6ece.org

:)

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37842. Bayscan / Hams

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 18:56:42 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37842 Message: 37842

Let's take this conversation over to Bayscan_hams!

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan_hams/

37843. Re: SF Homicide? NOT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 19:40:09 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 37831 Message: 37843

i saw someone laying on the ground with people around him.. maybe
there was something to do with the gas line under the
sidewalk...mind you i
was driving by... i did not get out and ivestigate..thats why i
posted
here.. to find out if you guys knew what was going on..... .. ..
homicide
was just a total guess btw

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bryan Carmody" <nbaynews2@m...>
wrote:
> gas leak there chief.... no body and your am's were all the
familys
> evacuated by the fire dept. 40 people total
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "stfucode3" <Charger@W...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 1:40 AM
> Subject: [bayscan] SF Homicide?
>
>
> > >
> > >
> > > Just my luck i blew a fuse in my car and could not listen to the
> > > scanner. I was driving home from Sunnyvale just now on 19th ave.
and
> > > was the 1st car in line stopped by SFPD as around 10 code 3 units
> > > arrived to the scene of god only knows what. I saw a body laying
on
> > > the side walk and about 30-40 asain young males standing on the
> > > sidewalk. They shut 19th down totaly and fire/ems arrived. I
watched
> > > because i could not move and it did not appear that they
transported
> > > who ever was laying on the sidewalk. Then SFPD put up crime scene
> > > tape finnaly we were allowed to drive around the scene on the
wrong
> > > side of 19th. So i didnt get to see much more. I thought 4 sure
Ed
> > > or someone would have heard this go down! =)
> > >
> > > News media were arriving just as I was driving past.
> > >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

37844. GPS lock?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 19:50:05 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37844 Message: 37844

I checked out the system and am going to program it in my HT. Can someone explain GPS locked? How can you synchronize an oscillator using GPS?
Thanks, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 8:43 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

>
> At 08:35 AM 3/5/2005, you wrote:
> >Um... which ones are Matt's?
>
> http://www.wb6ece.org
>
> :)
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37845. Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 19:32:07 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37816 Message: 37845

I think so, but it's been a while! I'll try and monitor the HAM system
today, Saturday, 73's, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 10:15 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters

>
> Ok, I know who you are now..... I've talked with you before after the
net, I also do sats, I know Walt and drive for Safeway if that rings a bell.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: jim gammon
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 10:09 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
> Yup we have, and for a while, Ken was on. We talked about sattelites
and
> old jazz.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:58 PM
> Subject: Fw: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
>
>
> >
> > I take that back, don't you and Walt, N6ADR talk on CCRA often ?
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Danny Monge
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:44 PM
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
> >
> >
> > We, you say we, are you a member? I don't think I've heard you on
before
> is why I ask, been a CCRA member for awhile now.
> >

> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: jim gammon
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:40 PM
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
> >
> >
> > That's a good idea.We have a regular Monday evening net at 19:35.
> Guests
> > are welcome to check in following the member checkins. They have
> newsline
> > as part of the net most weeks but after that the repeater is open to
> all.
> > Jim
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@...>
> > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:24 PM
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
> >
> >
> > >
> > > CCRA would be a good one to use, they have 3 linked repeaters on
that
> > system. San Ramon, Oakland Hill's and Concord.
> > >
> > > ----- Original Message -----
> > > From: scan_boss
> > > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > > Sent: Friday, March 04, 2005 9:13 PM
> > > Subject: [bayscan] OT: Call signs & Repeaters
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > well maybe we could use W6CX up on Mt. Dibalo.
> > >
> > > 147.060+ pl 100.0
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ADVERTISEMENT
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> >
>
>
>

> --
> > ----
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of
> > Service.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
>

> --
> > ----
> > >
> > >
> > > No virus found in this incoming message.
> > > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date:
3/2/2005
> > >
> > > ----------
> > >
> > > No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date:
3/2/2005
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

> --
> ----
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

> --
> ----
> >
> >
> > No virus found in this incoming message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>
> ---

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.0 - Release Date: 3/2/2005

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37846. RE: [bayscan] Re: SF Homicide? NOT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 20:43:57 UTC
From: Scott Jordan
Topic: 37831 Message: 37846

Maybe just pining for the fjords?

> -----Original Message-----
> From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@...]
> Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 11:40 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: SF Homicide? NOT
>
>
>
>
> i saw someone laying on the ground with people around him..
> maybe there was something to do with the gas line under the
> sidewalk...mind you i
> was driving by... i did not get out and ivestigate..thats why i
> posted
> here.. to find out if you guys knew what was going on..... .. ..
> homicide
> was just a total guess btw
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bryan Carmody" <nbaynews2@m...>
> wrote:
> > gas leak there chief.... no body and your am's were all the
> familys
> > evacuated by the fire dept. 40 people total

37847. RE: [bayscan] GPS lock?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 20:44:22 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 37844 Message: 37847

Frequency-synthesized transmitters use a phase-locked loop (PLL) to keep the transmit oscillator on frequency. It divides the transmit oscillator down and compares it to a reference frequency. Usually that reference frequency is simply provided by a crystal oscillator inside the transmitter... But for simulcast and other cases where you need extremely accurate transmit frequency control, that oscillator is usually a more stable external oscillator. Two common choices are a rubidium or cesium oscillator or a very stable crystal oscillator that is disciplined to the precise timing available from a GPS receiver. (Essentially borrowing the accuracy from the rubidium or cesium clock on-board the GPS satellite).

In my case, I've found a *very* inexpensive way to modify my repeater transmitters to accept an external 10 MHz reference instead of the normal on-board 12.8 MHz reference, and am using surplus GPS-disciplined oscillators (HP Z3801A) with 10 MHz outputs for transmit frequency control. Not all sites are modified yet, and not all the GPS antennas are installed at sites that are modified... The only complete one is Mt. Allison, and even that needs an upgrade in its GPS antenna to add some filtering to block noise generated by the TV transmitter overhead.

Matthew

> -----Original Message-----
> From: jim gammon [mailto:jgammon1@sbcglobal.net]
> Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 11:50 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] GPS lock?
>
>
>
> I checked out the system and am going to program it in my HT.
> Can someone explain GPS locked? How can you synchronize an
> oscillator using GPS? Thanks, Jim
>

37848. Re: SF Homicide? NOT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 21:28:44 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 37831 Message: 37848

what the heck does that mean?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scott Jordan" <scott_c_jordan@y...>
wrote:
> Maybe just pining for the fjords?
>
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: stfucode3 [mailto:Charger@W...]
> > Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 11:40 AM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Subject: [bayscan] Re: SF Homicide? NOT
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > i saw someone laying on the ground with people around him..
> > maybe there was something to do with the gas line under the
> > sidewalk...mind you i
> > was driving by... i did not get out and ivestigate..thats why i
> > posted
> > here.. to find out if you guys knew what was going on..... .. ..
> > homicide
> > was just a total guess btw
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bryan Carmody" <nbaynews2@m...>
> > wrote:
> > > gas leak there chief.... no body and your am's were all the
> > familys
> > > evacuated by the fire dept. 40 people total

37849. Re: [bayscan] Bayscan / Hams

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 22:23:11 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 37842 Message: 37849

maybe you guys can get at crane group going too.....

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 10:56 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Bayscan / Hams

>
> Let's take this conversation over to Bayscan_hams!
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan_hams/
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37850. Freq's ? for San Mateo Co. EMS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 22:32:48 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 37850 Message: 37850

I got got back my Uniden BC245xlt from the Uniden Repair Service. Now
I have to reprogram all the local frequencies. Do anybody here know
if San Mateo EMS/AMR still uses:
482.315 ? or
482.762?

If not, what are they on?

Thanks,\
Milt

37851. Re: [bayscan] Freq's ? for San Mateo Co. EMS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 22:36:35 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 37850 Message: 37851

they are on san mateo countys digital system only. 245 wont work.

----- Original Message -----
From: "sffdmedicwanttobe" <sffire@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 2:32 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Freq's ? for San Mateo Co. EMS

>
>
> I got got back my Uniden BC245xlt from the Uniden Repair Service. Now
> I have to reprogram all the local frequencies. Do anybody here know
> if San Mateo EMS/AMR still uses:
> 482.315 ? or
> 482.762?
>
> If not, what are they on?
>
> Thanks,\
> Milt
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37852. Re: [bayscan] GPS lock?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 22:59:01 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37844 Message: 37852

Hi and thank you Matthew. So are you getting constant reference updates
from GPS receivers at each site?
I programmed all six machines in my HT but can only hit two sites using an
outdoor antenna and a 25/35 watt Mirage amplifier. I guess they are the two
most northern sites as I am in El cerrito north of Berkeley. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Matthew Kaufman" <matthew@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 12:44 PM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] GPS lock?

>
> Frequency-synthesized transmitters use a phase-locked loop (PLL) to keep
the
> transmit oscillator on frequency. It divides the transmit oscillator down
> and compares it to a reference frequency. Usually that reference frequency
> is simply provided by a crystal oscillator inside the transmitter... But
for
> simulcast and other cases where you need extremely accurate transmit
> frequency control, that oscillator is usually a more stable external
> oscillator. Two common choices are a rubidium or cesium oscillator or a
very
> stable crystal oscillator that is disciplined to the precise timing
> available from a GPS receiver. (Essentially borrowing the accuracy from
the
> rubidium or cesium clock on-board the GPS satellite).
>
> In my case, I've found a *very* inexpensive way to modify my repeater
> transmitters to accept an external 10 MHz reference instead of the normal
> on-board 12.8 MHz reference, and am using surplus GPS-disciplined
> oscillators (HP Z3801A) with 10 MHz outputs for transmit frequency
control.
> Not all sites are modified yet, and not all the GPS antennas are installed
> at sites that are modified... The only complete one is Mt. Allison, and
even
> that needs an upgrade in its GPS antenna to add some filtering to block
> noise generated by the TV transmitter overhead.
>
> Matthew
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: jim gammon [mailto:jgammon1@...]
> > Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 11:50 AM
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com

> > Subject: [bayscan] GPS lock?
> >
> >
> >
> > I checked out the system and am going to program it in my HT.
> > Can someone explain GPS locked? How can you synchronize an
> > oscillator using GPS? Thanks, Jim
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37853. Re: Freq's ? for San Mateo Co. EMS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 23:30:36 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 37850 Message: 37853

Thanks for the info. I'd guess these Freqs
> > 482.315 ? or
> > 482.762?
are not used by anybody else?

Milt

37854. RE: [bayscan] GPS lock?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 23:35:46 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 37844 Message: 37854

jim gammon [mailto:jgammon1@...] :
> Hi and thank you Matthew. So are you getting constant
> reference updates from GPS receivers at each site?

That is exactly what the Z3801A is doing internally, yes.

> I programmed all six machines in my HT but can only hit two
> sites using an outdoor antenna and a 25/35 watt Mirage
> amplifier. I guess they are the two most northern sites as I
> am in El cerrito north of Berkeley.

Well, you should be able to tell which machine by the CTCSS tone. I'd guess you can probably hit Allison and Umunhum from there.

Matthew

Ps. A lot more people have keyed up the repeaters than have ID'd on the repeaters today, I notice. I don't mind people doing coverage checks, but the occasional station ID would be appreciated. You won't be forced to talk to anyone.

37855. Re: [bayscan] Bayscan / Hams

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 00:15:12 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37842 Message: 37855

Or try :

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/SFBayHams/

--
Paul - KF6YYI

37856. Oakland CA + PRO-95

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 01:10:43 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 37856 Message: 37856

Greetings,
I hope someone can guide me to r4solve my issue. For some reason, I cannot get my PRO-95 to work to receive Oakland's Trunked system. I have the freq settings as in the file section, but still no go. I am using Win95 as programming software. Any help is deeply appreciated!
Thanks in advance!
Steve

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

_____
Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

37857. RE: [bayscan] GPS lock?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 01:29:09 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37844 Message: 37857

I said hello. ;-) And even ID'd.

Matthew Kaufman <matthew@...> wrote:jim gammon [mailto:jgammon1@...] :
> Hi and thank you Matthew. So are you getting constant
> reference updates from GPS receivers at each site?

That is exactly what the Z3801A is doing internally, yes.

> I programmed all six machines in my HT but can only hit two
> sites using an outdoor antenna and a 25/35 watt Mirage
> amplifier. I guess they are the two most northern sites as I
> am in El cerrito north of Berkeley.

Well, you should be able to tell which machine by the CTCSS tone. I'd guess you can probably hit Allison and Umunhum from there.

Matthew

Ps. A lot more people have keyed up the repeaters than have ID'd on the repeaters today, I notice. I don't mind people doing coverage checks, but the occasional station ID would be appreciated. You won't be forced to talk to anyone.

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37858. Re: [bayscan] Oakland CA + PRO-95

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 01:54:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37856 Message: 37858

Steve , these are the frequencys I use and I get Oakland fine

866.1250
866.3250
866.4000
866.6000
866.7000
867.0500
867.3000
867.5750
867.8250
868.1750
868.4625
868.5625
868.8250
868.9625

Some will tell you to leave the 5th position blank .. they does NOT work for me , the above work and I get both Oakland Fire and Oakland Police just fine , many talkgroups.

btw , I live in East Palo Alto

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37859. Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 02:01:58 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 37859 Message: 37859

There is a superb linked 1.2 GHz repeater system that covers from north
of Santa Rosa to south of Monterey.
See
http://www.qsl.net/kj6vu/cyx.html

Cortland
KA5S

37860. Marin: vehicle into a portapotty

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 01:25:28 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37860 Message: 37860

24080
vehicle into a port a potty, 10 year girl extricated from port a potty,

37.100

37861. SFPD Historical Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 03:31:58 UTC
From: Joe
Topic: 37861 Message: 37861

Hopefully this doesn't spur another long thread on Code 3 driving or the like, but I'd like to see if anyone has any historical knowledge of what sirens were used by SFPD during the '60's and 70's when REAL sirens were used, not the electronic peanut whistles of today.

At that time the SFFD used Q-2's with the exception of Chiefs cars which were equiped with Federal model 76, dual-tone mechanicals, (the nicest sounding siren ever made).

SF City Ambulances, (the potato chip trucks), were equipped with Sireno units. King American Ambulance ran B&M Super Chiefs, while Federal Ambulance ray Q-2's.

What did the large SFPD partol wagons have on them? There was a mechanical siren mounted sideways over the windshield. It had a snout on it that faced downward. I never heard one. Also, what did the police cars have? I never heard one of them either.

My appologies as this isn't pure radio-related, but I thought you guys might have the information.

Thanks...

Joe
http://www.qsl.net/n7jeh

37862. Re: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 03:43:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37861 Message: 37862

Joe ....thanks a MILLION, now I can't sleep.....I, among a few others on this list "should" know. But my focus in those years had a lot more to do with the SFFD and not SFPD, I certainly remember the wagons and the designator was 12 such as Central 12 , later become 30 .

But can't remember the sirens, but maybe it will come to me. I do remember, for the sake of the topic, SFPD cars prior to light bars ran one steady red light over the driver and a spotlight in the middle of the roof which the "shotgun" officer would use to flash thru intersections to warn cars they were coming thru. and the siren/speaker next to it. They had 2 rear/roof mounted lights, one amber one red. This was long before they started using blue.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37863. Re: [bayscan] GPS lock?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 04:06:40 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37844 Message: 37863

I think the GPS birds have one or two cesium clocks in them, so the GPS
time standard is pretty good.

There are roll your own solutions as well:
http://www.tapr.org/tapr/html/Ftac2.html

Matthew Kaufman wrote:
> Frequency-synthesized transmitters use a phase-locked loop (PLL) to keep the
> transmit oscillator on frequency. It divides the transmit oscillator down
> and compares it to a reference frequency. Usually that reference frequency
> is simply provided by a crystal oscillator inside the transmitter... But for
> simulcast and other cases where you need extremely accurate transmit
> frequency control, that oscillator is usually a more stable external
> oscillator. Two common choices are a rubidium or cesium oscillator or a very
> stable crystal oscillator that is disciplined to the precise timing
> available from a GPS receiver. (Essentially borrowing the accuracy from the
> rubidium or cesium clock on-board the GPS satellite).
>
> In my case, I've found a *very* inexpensive way to modify my repeater
> transmitters to accept an external 10 MHz reference instead of the normal
> on-board 12.8 MHz reference, and am using surplus GPS-disciplined
> oscillators (HP Z3801A) with 10 MHz outputs for transmit frequency control.
> Not all sites are modified yet, and not all the GPS antennas are installed
> at sites that are modified... The only complete one is Mt. Allison, and even
> that needs an upgrade in its GPS antenna to add some filtering to block
> noise generated by the TV transmitter overhead.
>
> Matthew
>
>
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: jim gammon [mailto:jgammon1@...]
>>Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 11:50 AM
>>To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>>Subject: [bayscan] GPS lock?
>>
>>
>>
>>I checked out the system and am going to program it in my HT.
>> Can someone explain GPS locked? How can you synchronize an
>>oscillator using GPS? Thanks, Jim
>>
>
>
>

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37864. Re: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 06:17:33 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37861 Message: 37864

I think this is cool. I never knew the names of any types of sirens on any vehicle.
Does anyone remember the small sirens you could get in the 60ies and put on your bike? You would attach them to your front wheel and pull a short chain to get the rubber friction wheel on the siren to contact the tire. I had a number of friends who had those and man, when they came screaming down the street full speed--full siren, you'd think a bunch of CHP motercycle units were descending on you. There so great I'm sure you can't find them anywhere in this country at least. If so, they are probably illegal.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Joe" <n7jeh@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 7:31 PM
Subject: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question

>
>
> Hopefully this doesn't spur another long thread on Code 3 driving or
> the like, but I'd like to see if anyone has any historical knowledge
> of what sirens were used by SFPD during the '60's and 70's when REAL
> sirens were used, not the electronic peanut whistles of today.
>
> At that time the SFFD used Q-2's with the exception of Chiefs cars
> which were equiped with Federal model 76, dual-tone mechanicals,
> (the nicest sounding siren ever made).
>
> SF City Ambulances, (the potato chip trucks), were equipped with
> Sireno units. King American Ambulance ran B&M Super Chiefs, while
> Federal Ambulance ray Q-2's.
>
> What did the large SFPD partol wagons have on them? There was a
> mechanical siren mounted sideways over the windshield. It had a
> snout on it that faced downward. I never heard one. Also, what did
> the police cars have? I never heard one of them either.
>
>
>
> My appologies as this isn't pure radio-related, but I thought you
> guys might have the information.
>
> Thanks...
>
> Joe
> http://www.qsl.net/n7jeh

>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37865. Re: Oakland CA + PRO-95

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 07:37:05 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37856 Message: 37865

Hey Steve, You're bound to be making the exact same mistake I made when I first got my Pro-93 (little less channeled brother to the Pro-95) a couple months ago and programmed Oakland with Win93, which is pretty much the exact same program.

- Make sure the EDACS frequencies are in the correct order.

- Make sure you start on location 01 of the bank you set them up in, not 00. So when you look at the first freq on the scanner in manual mode, on the top left its memory channel look like "Mx01", where x represents the bank number you decided to keep oakland in. Just drop a random freq in the "00" location; Berkeley PD supplements oakland quite nicely.

- Make sure that in the column of win95 that says "Rx MODE" to the right of each freq is set to "EDACS" instead of "FM" (its default setting).

- LAST BUT NOT LEAST (I've made this mistake so many times): Set the bank TYPE, it just says TYPE on the top right corner, to "EDACS" instead of "Conv" (Conventional).

You should be set. Happy scanning, Oakland is really interesting to listen to; especially the 100+ car street races at 1 in the morning on "sideshow" and the Narcotics unit following crack dealers around on the Tactical Channels. :-)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Steve <thecxbum@y...> wrote:
> Greetings,
> I hope someone can guide me to r4solve my issue. For
> some reason, I cannot get my PRO-95 to work to receive
> Oakland's Trunked system. I have the freq settings as
> in the file section, but still no go. I am using
> Win95 as programming software. Any help is deeply
> appreciated!
> Thanks in advance!
> Steve
>
> Steve
> (TheCxBum)
> Newark, CA
> San Francisco Bay Area
>
>
>

>
>
>
> _____________________________
> Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
> Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
> http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

37866. Re: SFPD Historical Question --> OT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 08:01:10 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 37861 Message: 37866

Damn I want one!!!

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> I think this is cool. I never knew the names of any types of
sirens on any
> vehicle.
> Does anyone remember the small sirens you could get in the 60ies
and put on
> your bike? You would attach them to your front wheel and pull a
short chain
> to get the rubber friction wheel on the siren to contact the tire.
I had a
> number of friends who had those and man, when they came screaming
down the
> street full speed--full siren, you'd think a bunch of CHP
motercycle units
> were descending on you. There so great I'm sure you can't find them
> anywhere in this country at least. If so, they are probably
illegal.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Joe" <n7jeh@a...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 7:31 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question
>
>
> >
> >
> > Hopefully this doesn't spur another long thread on Code 3 driving
or
> > the like, but I'd like to see if anyone has any historical
knowledge
> > of what sirens were used by SFPD during the '60's and 70's when
REAL
> > sirens were used, not the electronic peanut whistles of today.
> >
> > At that time the SFFD used Q-2's with the exception of Chiefs cars
> > which were equiped with Federal model 76, dual-tone mechanicals,
> > (the nicest sounding siren ever made).
> >
> > SF City Ambulances, (the potato chip trucks), were equipped with
> > Sireno units. King American Ambulance ran B&M Super Chiefs, while

> > Federal Ambulance ray Q-2's.
> >
> > What did the large SFPD partol wagons have on them? There was a
> > mechanical siren mounted sideways over the windshield. It had a
> > snout on it that faced downward. I never heard one. Also, what
did
> > the police cars have? I never heard one of them either.
> >
> >
> >
> > My appologies as this isn't pure radio-related, but I thought you
> > guys might have the information.
> >
> > Thanks...
> >
> > Joe
> > http://www.qsl.net/n7jeh
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

37867. Re: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 08:32:42 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37861 Message: 37867

At 07:31 PM 3/5/2005, you wrote:

>Hopefully this doesn't spur another long thread on Code 3 driving or
>the like, but I'd like to see if anyone has any historical knowledge
>of what sirens were used by SFPD during the '60's and 70's when REAL
>sirens were used, not the electronic peanut whistles of today.

Hey Mountain View Fire still has the Federal Q's on their engines. Gotta
love that sounds. :)

37868. Re: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 08:49:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37861 Message: 37868

I had one of those sirens on my bike , the advantage of San Francisco is the fact that you could really get the sirens screaming because of the hills , you could really get up some speed (bit dangerous) NO bicycle helmets in those days LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37869. Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 09:30:11 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 37859 Message: 37869

Yes, the ARRC 23cm system is great: I use it all the time. The downside is the relative scarcity of 1.2 rigs. I have two: a TH-59 H/T and a TM-541A mobile/base. I would like to hear more people on 1.2, but then that would drive up the price of equipment!

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Cortland Richmond <cortlandka5s@n...> wrote:
> There is a superb linked 1.2 GHz repeater system that covers from north
> of Santa Rosa to south of Monterey.
> See
> http://www.qsl.net/kj6vu/cyx.html
>
>
> Cortland
> KA5S

37870. Re: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 09:35:53 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37861 Message: 37870

Maybe you could find one on Ebay, but I have no idea what they were called,
bicycle siren?? Nah, must have had some catchy name.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 06, 2005 12:49 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question

>
> I had one of those sirens on my bike , the advantage of San Francisco is
the
> fact that you could really get the sirens screaming because of the hills ,
you
> could really get up some speed (bit dangerous) NO bicycle helmets in
those
> days LOL
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37871. Re: [bayscan] SFPD Historical Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 09:42:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37861 Message: 37871

no thanks.....I am NOT putting a siren on my Goldwing (besides , I have dual - Air horns which are LEGAL LOL) they work REAL well

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37872. Fire at Eastridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 12:04:08 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37872 Message: 37872

heavy smoke from Sears at Eastridge / Quimby and Tully

1st alarm E26 16 24 USAR16 Truck 2 BC 2 13

2nd alarm E31 E21 Truck 3 BC 1 Air 6 Med 30 HIT 29

on Command 3/ 154.115

and Tac 5 / 155.88

0400

Ed

37873. Re: Fire at Eastridge 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 14:44:17 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 37872 Message: 37873

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
>
>
> heavy smoke from Sears at Eastridge / Quimby and Tully
>
> Turned out to be STEAM , NO Fire
>
> Ed

37874. Re: Freq's ? for San Mateo Co. EMS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 20:17:49 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 37850 Message: 37874

482.3125 and 482.7625 are still licensed to SM County, but they are now just part of the set of (digital) trunking channels for the SMCo system. No other licensed users in northern CA.

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "sffdmedicwanttobe" <sffire@f...> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the info. I'd guess these Freqs
> > > 482.315 ? or
> > > 482.762?
> are not used by anybody else?
>
> Milt

37875. Pursuit/Shots Fired 101 at Ralston in Belmont @ 2:12 pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 22:14:53 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 37875 Message: 37875

I don't know the whole story, but Belmont and San Mateo fire units are responding to southbound 101 at the Ralston exit. Per control 2 (154.37) this was the end of a lengthy pursuit with the suspect crashing and then throwing rocks at the pd. They then reported shots fired and 101 IC is requesting an air ambulance.

I don't usually monitor the San Mateo County Green channel, so I have no further on the pd side of things.

Jeff

37876. Re: Pursuit/Shots Fired 101 at Ralston in Belmont @ 2:16 pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 22:18:44 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 37875 Message: 37876

101 IC requesting to cancel the ground ambulance and the air ambulance, also added they will let CHP make the notifications. Also reported CHP officer with some sort of injury, it doesn't sound good for the suspect. 101 IC reports the crashed car is in a ditch and he is wanting his companies to check for fluid leaks.

Jeff

37877. Fatal Fire - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-06 23:44:19 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37877 Message: 37877

Didn't have a chance to post this incident while it was in progress,
but here are some shots from this fatal fire that occurred earlier
today in San Jose.

http://www.andromedafilms.com/Firehouse/teresi_001.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/Firehouse/teresi_002.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/Firehouse/teresi_003.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/Firehouse/teresi_004.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/Firehouse/teresi_007.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/Firehouse/teresi_008.jpg

An extremely sad situation as a wheelchair bound woman in her late 40s
or early 50s died in this fire. Her husband had left the house to walk
to the store and was returning when he discovered the house engulfed in
smoke.

Any questions, let me know.

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

37878. Stabbings in San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 00:59:45 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37878 Message: 37878

Second stabbing in less than an hour in San Jose. First one was a non life-threatening attack on a Hispanic male at the San Jose flea market. No suspect has been apprehended.

Another stabbing (10-71 in San Jose) has occurred, but this time the victim looks to have several stab wounds, including several on the back and is now being called a coroner's case (10-55).

This latest event took place near 1436 Clement.

Partial list of units:

61S2
61S5
61S6
61L1
61L2
61L3
61X9
6L10
6S10
8780
5045
2994
2999

Current event working on San Jose 6 - 460.325

-C-

37879. Re: [bayscan] Stabbings in San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:10:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37878 Message: 37879

Craig , stabbing is a 10-72 , shooting is 10-71

there was ANOTHER one early this morning in W district , multiple stab wounds including 2 to the head , victim , Vietnamese male lost at least 1000CCs of blood according to the AMR rig taking him to VMC

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37880. Re: [bayscan] Fatal Fire - San Jose Officer involved Shooting // spill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:19:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37880 Message: 37880

Lot of stuff today including this fire. I guess thats 3 stabbings with at least one being a 187 that Craig mentions. I wouldn't be surprized if the one I heard early has the same result.

the Incident at 101 and Ralston is now listed as an officer involved shooting. one witness on KRON did say the perp got out of his vehicle with his hands in the air but disappeared behind some vehicles when CHP officers shot him.....he is 10-55 Naturally a major investigation is still going on. KRON indicated the body STILL had NOT been removed.

And there was a major diesal spill in the east bay on Highway 4 this morning , some may have gotten into the waterways. large amount spilled

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37881. Re: [bayscan] Stabbings in San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:21:18 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37878 Message: 37881

Geez, what in the heck is going on?!? I was talking to an officer at the fire this morning and he was telling me how insane downtown San Jose was last night. I did hear a little bit on the scanner, but must have fallen asleep before it got too nutty.

-C-

On Mar 6, 2005, at 5:10 PM, caladded@... wrote:

> there was ANOTHER one early this morning in W district , multiple
> stab
> wounds including 2 to the head , victim , Vietnamese male lost at
> least 1000CCs
> of blood according to the AMR rig taking him to VMC

37882. Re: [bayscan] Stabbings in San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:23:40 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 37878 Message: 37882

Craig Rose wrote:

> This latest event took place near 1436 Clement.

FYI--this is Clemence Ave., not to pick nits.

-Johnathan

37883. Re: [bayscan] bad night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:24:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37883 Message: 37883

Must have been a full moon . 4 shot in Richmond , KRON didn't indicate any DOAs (yet) on that one

another in SF / Rankin and Palou in which 2 were shot / one dead in that one

anybody see the MOON last night ???

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37884. Oakland CA Trunking so confused!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:47:10 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 37884 Message: 37884

Hello all, and a special THANK YOU to those who responded to my first try. I am so confused! I am no more than 15 miles away from Oakland, and still cannot get it to come in?! I AM able to receive Almeda County (Trunked), and even CoCo county, non trunked. Whats my problem with Oakland? San Francisco is my next issue to resolve...
I have attached the opening window and my configs along with my settings for Oakland and San Francisco County. (In Microsoft Word Format.)
Thanks again for your help!

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

_____
Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37885. Re: [bayscan] Oakland CA Trunking so confused!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:50:09 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 37884 Message: 37885

> I am no more than 15 miles away from Oakland, and
> still cannot get it to come in?!

Heh, get in line :-)

I LIVE IN OAKLAND and I have a hard time receiving it. Even in my truck, I completely lose it on I-980 from about Macarthur BART down to I-880, when I get it back again. Lots of people whose lives depend on it can't get it either in various parts of the city.

/jordan

37886. Re: [bayscan] Oakland CA Trunking so confused!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:52:50 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37884 Message: 37886

At 05:47 PM 3/6/2005, you wrote:
>Hello all, and a special THANK YOU to those who
>responded to my first try. I am so confused! I am no
>more than 15 miles away from Oakland, and still cannot
>get it to come in?! I AM able to receive Almeda
>County (Trunked), and even CoCo county, non trunked.
>Whats my problem with Oakland? San Francisco is my
>next issue to resolve...
>I have attached the opening window and my configs
>along with my settings for Oakland and San Francisco
>County. (In Microsoft Word Format.)
>Thanks again for your help!

You'll need to put it on a web server or in the files section. The group
doesn't allow attachments.

Evan

37887. Re: [bayscan] Stabbings in San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:55:53 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37878 Message: 37887

Mr. Corgan,

Naw, no nits picked - I don't mind corrections at all. Thanks for keeping an eye on things! You too, Ed (ref. 10-71 v. 10-72).

-C-

On Mar 6, 2005, at 5:23 PM, Johnathan Corgan wrote:

> FYI--this is Clemence Ave., not to pick nits.

37888. Re: [bayscan] Marin: vehicle into a portapotty

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 01:05:15 UTC
From: Bayard
Topic: 37860 Message: 37888

what city was this in?
was she hurt bad do you know?
thats just bad luck

----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 5:25 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Marin: vehicle into a portapotty

>
> 24080
> vehicle into a port a potty, 10 year girl extricated from port a potty,
>
> 37.100
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37889. Re: [bayscan] Marin: vehicle into a portapotty

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 04:09:25 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37860 Message: 37889

damn that musta been a shity situation.. lol couldn't pass that one up

Bayard wrote:

>what city was this in?
>was she hurt bad do you know?
>thats just bad luck
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, March 05, 2005 5:25 PM
>Subject: [bayscan] Marin: vehicle into a portapotty
>
>
>
>
>>24080
>>vehicle into a port a potty, 10 year girl extricated from port a potty,
>>
>>37.100
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37890. Re: [bayscan] Marin: vehicle into a portapotty

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 05:26:56 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 37860 Message: 37890

LOL

In a message dated 3/6/2005 8:09:57 PM Pacific Standard Time,
ben96cal@... writes:

damn that musta been a shity situation.. lol couldn't pass that one up

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37891. Re: Pursuit/Shots Fired 101 at Ralston in Belmont @ 2:12 pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 09:54:59 UTC
From: jcbaker
Topic: 37875 Message: 37891

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "sixbyfire" <sixbyfire@a...> wrote:
>
> this was the end of a lengthy pursuit with the suspect
> crashing and then throwing rocks at the pd. They then reported shots
> fired and 101 IC is requesting an air ambulance.

As this is all over the news now, I can say it sure was an exciting one to listen to. 115 mph up and down the Peninsula, much of it through center dividers and shoulders. You could tell this guy had a death wish.

That said, this tragic ending seems a clear case where the use of a taser would possibly have saved a life (despite the recent bashing of their use). Unfortunately, CHP doesn't issue them. The CHiPpies were asking for Belmont to respond with "less lethal" options, but apparently the suspect rushed officers with a cinder block (or something similar) before Belmont could get there.

37892. Re: [bayscan] Re: Pursuit/Shots Fired 101 at Ralston in Belmont @ 2:12 pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 10:06:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37875 Message: 37892

thanks for the update , one of the witnesses had mentioned when the perp got out of the car he , at first , had his hands up , but then disappeared behind a vehicle and then the wit heard 8 or 9 shots.

btw, Santa Clara S/O also does not use tasers at this time.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37893. sf: anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 12:39:01 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 37893 Message: 37893

coit tower emergency? 0350?

nina

37894. Re: [bayscan] sf: anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 13:32:29 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37893 Message: 37894

it's nothing

On Mon, 7 Mar 2005 04:39:01 -0800, nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:
> coit tower emergency? 0350?
>
> nina
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

37895. Re: [bayscan] sf: anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 13:38:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37893 Message: 37895

Try again , one subject stabbed - serious 2nd one assaulted

med 28 code 3 to General with stabbing victim

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37896. Re: [bayscan] sf: anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 13:50:06 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37893 Message: 37896

any way back to Ladder 49

On Mon, 7 Mar 2005 08:38:24 EST, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
> Try again , one subject stabbed - serious 2nd one assaulted
>
> med 28 code 3 to General with stabbing victim
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> ~-------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

37897. Re: [bayscan] sf: anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 13:48:36 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37893 Message: 37897

must of missed that one , They had a stabbing at Bush & Languna OIC:
that was the call to Telegragh ct. or way

yea I'm just getting to the first pot of coffee

On Mon, 7 Mar 2005 08:38:24 EST, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
> Try again , one subject stabbed - serious 2nd one assaulted
>
> med 28 code 3 to General with stabbing victim
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> ~-------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

37898. Re: [bayscan] sf: anybody up?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 15:24:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37893 Message: 37898

The Coit tower incident came out as telegraph place which is actually about a block and 1/2 away. the parking lot for Coit Tower is Telegraph boulevard. RC 1 found the cops and victims.

The Laguna / Bush came in a few minutes later

I can't recall EVER hearing so many stabbings in such a short time . 3 or 4 in San Jose , 1 in Hayward , 3 in SF all in the last 36 hours or so.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37899. Good scanner / dual band ham HT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 18:44:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37899 Message: 37899

Just picked up a Yaesu FT-60 dual band (2m / 70cm) HT. Small size, 1000 channels, 6 character alpha, and incredibly priced - $199. The only thing close is the VX-5 at $219 with a $20 mail in rebate.

Granted the VX-5 has AM medium wave and shortwave, as well as 6m transmit, however it only has 220 channels. I had one a while ago, and the 6m was useless - couldn't hit any repeaters without using a real antenna - and the stock antenna with the 6m tip was impossible to carry around - on the belt it would stab me in my armpit. Same with shortwave - can't recall ever hearing anything on it. Granted it was nice to have the ability to receive it, but that was about it.

I was looking to pick up a scanner and a HT, and this solved both problems. Granted it won't do trunking, but it server just about every other purpose I needed. Oh, and since I have a VX-800 (commercial HT), it uses the same drop in rapid chargerl. The battery from the FT-60 will work on the vx-800, but the vx-800 battery won't work on the ft-60.

Evan

37900. What's going on at Branham HS?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 18:53:52 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37900 Message: 37900

San Jose, AMR dispatching 2 rigs for 4 patients to Branham HS, 1570 Branham lane. @ the student center.

1053 hrs

37901. Med 9 bleeding through on Sunnyvale PD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 18:36:02 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37901 Message: 37901

Listening to Sunnyvale PD (482.9625) on my mobile, I saw my signal strength bar going off, but no signal (i.e. different PL). It lasted longer than the morse code ID, so I turned off PL, and I started hearing Med-9 traffic (453.1). Well, that's all I can assume it was - it's Med-91 dispatch only (856.4375). And side note, for anyone interested in Santa Clara Med-91, 453.1 is the same as Med-91 but only dispatch, no mobiles. Plus, better signal (at least since most receivers have better sensitivity on UHF than 800).

Was this a IF on the radio (Kenwood TMG-707)? Something else? It mainly occurred at El Camino & South Sunnyvale was where it seemed to be most pronounced.

Thanks.

Evan

37902. Re: [bayscan] What's going on at Branham HS?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 19:05:31 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37900 Message: 37902

2 students who took drugs. One throwing up and the other is violent.

460.475 SJPD 7

Brian

On Mon, 07 Mar 2005 10:53:52 -0800, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
>
> San Jose, AMR dispatching 2 rigs for 4 patients to Branham HS, 1570 Branham
> lane. @ the student center.
>
> 1053 hrs
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

37903. Re: [bayscan] What's going on at Branham HS?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 19:24:50 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 37900 Message: 37903

well, sounds like they definately didn't smoke marijuana. then they'd
just be stealing everybody's lunch to deal with the munchies.

-g-

> 2 students who took drugs. One throwing up and the other is violent.
>
> 460.475 SJPD 7
>
> Brian

37904. Re: Med 9 bleeding through on Sunnyvale PD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 19:21:17 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 37901 Message: 37904

I will monitor 482.9625 with 141.3 tone lockout on my BC246T today
and see if I hear anything... (I'm near Fremont and Mary)

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> Listening to Sunnyvale PD (482.9625) on my mobile, I saw my signal
strength
> bar going off, but no signal (i.e. different PL). It lasted longer
than the
> morse code ID, so I turned off PL, and I started hearing Med-9
traffic
> (453.1). Well, that's all I can assume it was - it's Med-91
dispatch only
> (856.4375). And side note, for anyone interested in Santa Clara
Med-91,
> 453.1 is the same as Med-91 but only dispatch, no mobiles. Plus,
better
> signal (at least since most receivers have better sensitivity on
UHF than 800).
>
> Was this a IF on the radio (Kenwood TMG-707)? Something else? It
mainly
> occurred at El Camino & South Sunnyvale was where it seemed to be
most
> pronounced.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Evan

37905. Re: What's going on at Branham HS?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 20:05:23 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 37900 Message: 37905

sss what happens when people don't have food to eat all these stabbing
and drug calls could have been avoided... put a steak on their plate
and use the knives for useful purposes =)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, vector@v... wrote:
> well, sounds like they definately didn't smoke marijuana. then they'd
> just be stealing everybody's lunch to deal with the munchies.
>
> -g-
>
> > 2 students who took drugs. One throwing up and the other is violent.
> >
> > 460.475 SJPD 7
> >
> > Brian

37906. Re: [bayscan] What's going on at Branham HS?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 19:21:17 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37900 Message: 37906

At 11:24 AM 3/7/2005, you wrote:
>well, sounds like they definately didn't smoke marijuana. then they'd
>just be stealing everybody's lunch to deal with the munchies.

Heh, there was a old clip from the Tonight Show where they had a baby bear on, and the bear starts looking around and jumps over to Ed McMahon's coffee cup, and starts drinking.. Johnny is like "Ummm you may not want to let that bear drink from Ed's cup.. pretty soon the bear will be like 'Hey man, do you have any chips or dorritos?'

37907. Officer Jumped in Dublin Now!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 23:10:02 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37907 Message: 37907

Just came across on San Ramon PD 155.250 and also working on ALCO TRS S.O. TG 16048. Code 33 in effect on both. apparently a San Ramon Officer got jumped by 3 Black teens or young adults(late teens) were last seen headed eastbound on Galindo towards San Ramon rd. East bay Rag. parks Helo in route as well as K-9's. San ramon and Dublin Pd working together on this with several streets in the area shut down. Have a large parimeter set up. Will update as needed.

37908. update: Officer Jumped in Dublin Now!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 23:18:42 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37908 Message: 37908

Ok K-9 on scene. Command post is at Shell station on San Ramon rd @ Alcosta. The street which this happened on is right off of san Ramon rd. and is bordered by I-680 to the east.

37909. Update: Officer Jumped In Dublin Now.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 23:25:59 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37909 Message: 37909

K-9 caught onto a trail and is tracking south in Dublin. Eagle 6 is overhead. Officer was taken to the Hospital

37910. Re: Good scanner / dual band ham HT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 23:40:41 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37899 Message: 37910

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> Just picked up a Yaesu FT-60 dual band (2m / 70cm) HT. Small size,
1000
> channels, 6 character alpha, and incredibly priced - $199. The only
thing
> close is the VX-5 at $219 with a $20 mail in rebate.
>
> Granted the VX-5 has AM medium wave and shortwave, as well as 6m
transmit,
> however it only has 220 channels. I had one a while ago, and the 6m
was
> useless - couldn't hit any repeaters without using a real antenna -
and the
> stock antenna with the 6m tip was impossible to carry around - on
the belt
> it would stab me in my armpit. Same with shortwave - can't recall
ever
> hearing anything on it. Granted it was nice to have the ability to
receive
> it, but that was about it.
>
> I was looking to pick up a scanner and a HT, and this solved both
problems.
> Granted it won't do trunking, but it server just about every other
purpose
> I needed. Oh, and since I have a VX-800 (commercial HT), it uses
the same
> drop in rapid chargerl. The battery from the FT-60 will work on the
vx-800,
> but the vx-800 battery won't work on the ft-60.
>
>
>
> Evan

Evan,

I looked at the FT-60 and ended up getting a VX-2. It was $139 from Universal Radio, has 999 memories that can be organized in up to 20 bands, and is just about the smallest two way I've ever seen. No drop in-charger but the battery is a standard Nokia cell phone battery so new batteries are about $20 instead of the usual outrageous battery prices. It's only 1.5 watts output but I can hit just about any repeater once I replaced the terrible stock antenna with a Comet SMA3 tri band. It covers AM broadcast all the way up to 999 mHz except for

cellular. It's a good deal for someone looking for an HT/scanner combination as long as trunking and digital aren't needed.

Regards, Jim

37911. Mendocino County?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 23:18:22 UTC
From: Robert Griffith
Topic: 37911 Message: 37911

Anybody besides me in Mendocino County?

Thanks,

Robert

==========
Robert Griffith
Coordinator, Statewide Initiatives
Mendocino County Office of Education
2240 Old River Road
Ukiah, California 95482
Voice: (707) 467-5087
Fax: (707) 468-5781
Email: griff@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37912. Re: [bayscan] update: Officer Jumped in Dublin Now!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 23:52:23 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37908 Message: 37912

Any ideas about the extent of the officer's injuries?

Those kids are gonna fall down alot on the way to jail.

John Gotti <bayareascanman@...> wrote:

Ok K-9 on scene. Command post is at Shell station on San Ramon rd @
Alcosta. The street which this happened on is right off of san Ramon
rd. and is bordered by I-680 to the east.

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37913. Re: [bayscan Dublin officer jumped update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 23:57:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37913 Message: 37913

Lots of units including Eagle 6 and K9s presently in search after suspect
got spotted . mentioning " marwick court " on ALCO

Spotted by helo
3 BMs

Alco TG 16048

1555 hours
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37914. Re: [bayscan] Mendocino County?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 00:26:04 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 37911 Message: 37914

hey,
not anymore. i am from Ukiah, i now live in Mountain View.... there is one other guy from Ukiah on this: Norcalonlinescanning-subscribe@yahoogroups.com scanner list. so hows everything going up there?? any hot calls lately??
-boulrog-

37915. Re: [bayscan] Mendocino County?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 00:53:20 UTC
From: Robert Griffith
Topic: 37911 Message: 37915

I'm still in Ukiah. I will check out the NorCalOnlineScanning group as well. I've been a member of BayScan forever... never even thought about there being a local one.

The last hot call I heard was a year or so back when the police officer was shot in the Wal-Mart parking lot. Amazing story, for those who haven't heard it. I can look it up if you would like.

With my new job and 4 kids and buying a new house, I just lost track of my scanning. I'm just not getting back into it.

My local scanning page is: http://www.askgriff.com/ukiahscan.htm

I haven't updated it in a while. Maybe it's a good time. :)

Thanks,

Robert

==========
Robert Griffith
Coordinator, Statewide Initiatives
Mendocino County Office of Education
2240 Old River Road
Ukiah, California 95482
Voice: (707) 467-5087
Fax: (707) 468-5781
Email: griff@...

On Mar 7, 2005, at 4:26 PM, Boulrog@... wrote:

>
>
> hey,
> not anymore. i am from Ukiah, i now live in Mountain View....
> there is one other guy from Ukiah on this:
> Norcalonlinescanning-subscribe@yahoogroups.com scanner list. so hows
> everything going up there?? any hot calls lately??
> -boulrog-
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37916. Re: [bayscan] update: Officer Jumped in Dublin Now!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 00:56:08 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37908 Message: 37916

I caught the tail end of this. San Ramon picked up one suspect in a
house in Dublin, and Dublin PD didn't sound too happy about that.
Another suspect was picked up at the Laborer's Union training camp.
Sounds like one is still outstanding.

There was a mention of a Danville witness.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> Any ideas about the extent of the officer's injuries?
>
> Those kids are gonna fall down alot on the way to jail.
>
> John Gotti <bayareascanman@...> wrote:
>
> Ok K-9 on scene. Command post is at Shell station on San Ramon rd @
> Alcosta. The street which this happened on is right off of san Ramon
> rd. and is bordered by I-680 to the east.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> ---
> Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
> Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37917. Re: [bayscan] update: Officer Jumped in Dublin Now!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 01:08:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37908 Message: 37917

KRON reported officers injuries are NOT life threatening. This started as a burglary call in which the 3 Black males were surprised by homeowners.....the Dublin officer stopped the suspects and the fight was on.....

AS Gary mentions, the coordination in this incident is NOT very good

ALCO 16048 is NOT code 33 for some reason, they are dispatching calls on it while the search is on. they also are using Tac 8 from time to time TG 16176

CoCo S/O is on 155.25 is quite active

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37918. Update: New info now. on Officer jumped

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 01:40:39 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37918 Message: 37918

PD now making entry into a house on Galindo st/ct in Dublin, RP called repoting seeing a black male all muddy head into a house on Galindo. This is the lower/east side opposite of were the others were caught. Now saying they have one detained and needing officer for suspect ID. Hopefully this is the guy. If so they did a great job!!! with all involved. I was by the scene for a while just got back, Its a circus over there. Media everywhere several media overhead including Kron 4

37919. Re: [bayscan] Update: New info now. on Officer jumped

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 01:50:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37918 Message: 37919

well, its great if they caught all 3 . but it wasn't a great job , better co-ordination needs to be set up

perhaps following the ICS system Fire depts use would help. Why ALCO didn't have 16048 with ONLY this traffic is questionable , I can only guess they are short dispatchers.

they need to leave their EGOs at home too.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37920. Final on Officer Jumped in Dublin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 01:53:38 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37920 Message: 37920

From what I understand the suspects were wanted on 459(burg??) and apprently when The San Ramon officer stopped them on Alcosta @ San Ramon rd they jumped him and fled. south into a nearby neighborhood.. All the suspects have been apprehended and all are from The Fairfield area...I'm glad they all stuck with it and hung in it till they got thier guys. All involved did a good job. I did hear a little "tone" in some Dublin officers voices as to the communication about getting these guys. San Ramon was pretty hot for these guys, understandably so...:)

37921. Re: Med 9 bleeding through on Sunnyvale PD? - Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 01:53:53 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 37901 Message: 37921

Did this for 6 hours straight today (11:30 - 5:30), only heard Morse IDs and occasional short blips at beginning/ending of SVL PD transmissions. Heard no Med-9, no other traffic. Next time I am in area of ECR and Sunnyvale I will listen for Close Call captures...

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
>
> I will monitor 482.9625 with 141.3 tone lockout on my BC246T today
> and see if I hear anything... (I'm near Fremont and Mary)
>
> Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> > Listening to Sunnyvale PD (482.9625) on my mobile, I saw my
signal
> strength
> > bar going off, but no signal (i.e. different PL). It lasted
longer
> than the
> > morse code ID, so I turned off PL, and I started hearing Med-9
> traffic
> > (453.1). Well, that's all I can assume it was - it's Med-91
> dispatch only
> > (856.4375). And side note, for anyone interested in Santa Clara
> Med-91,
> > 453.1 is the same as Med-91 but only dispatch, no mobiles. Plus,
> better
> > signal (at least since most receivers have better sensitivity on
> UHF than 800).
> >
> > Was this a IF on the radio (Kenwood TMG-707)? Something else? It
> mainly
> > occurred at El Camino & South Sunnyvale was where it seemed to
be
> most
> > pronounced.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Evan

37922. Re: officer jumped in Dublin, final

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 01:59:38 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37922 Message: 37922

aww cmon Ed it was not that bad, i was listening to Dublin PD the whole time as well as thier Tac 8 TG and San Ramon's comms. I thought they did a good job. I was in the area and believe me they had every damn corner for about 3 miles covered. officers posted at almost every street and corner in the area. Not JUST Dublin PD, but "I" units (invest) and plain clothes units from both San Ramon and Dublin and Alameda county. As well as Danville PD and Dublin's camp parks units...Hey at least Dublin didn't lose them, which seems to happen quite a bit when they are looking for bank robbery or other subjects who commit crimes and run. I have heard Dub PD lose many a fleeing subject..

37923. Re: [bayscan] Re: officer jumped in Dublin, final

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 02:15:33 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37922 Message: 37923

The issue deals with San Ramon PD entering a house in Dublin before Dublin had a perimeter set up. This could have lead to a perp sneaking out the back. I don't know if the arrest is legal since it was Dublin's jurisdiction, but I'm sure someone will comment one way or the other. It probably would have been better to use San Ramon PD on the perimeter and let Dublin PD enter the house.

John Gotti wrote:
>
> aww cmon Ed it was not that bad, i was listening to Dublin PD the
> whole time as well as thier Tac 8 TG and San Ramon's comms. I
> thought they did a good job. I was in the area and believe me they
> had every damn corner for about 3 miles covered. officers posted at
> almost every street and corner in the area. Not JUST Dublin PD,
> but "I" units (invest) and plain clothes units from both San Ramon
> and Dublin and Alameda county. As well as Danville PD and Dublin's
> camp parks units...Hey at least Dublin didn't lose them, which seems
> to happen quite a bit when they are looking for bank robbery or
> other subjects who commit crimes and run. I have heard Dub PD lose
> many a fleeing subject..
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37924. Re: [bayscan] Re: officer jumped in Dublin, final

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 02:19:44 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37922 Message: 37924

The arrest should be fine as the jurisdiction/"county line" stuff from movies is just that. I'm pretty sure that in California peace officers are empowered throughout the state. At least that was my understanding when I cared about such things... gah, fifteen years ago?!

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:The issue deals with San Ramon PD entering a house in Dublin before
Dublin had a perimeter set up. This could have lead to a perp sneaking
out the back. I don't know if the arrest is legal since it was Dublin's
jurisdiction, but I'm sure someone will comment one way or the other. It
probably would have been better to use San Ramon PD on the perimeter and
let Dublin PD enter the house.

John Gotti wrote:
>
> aww cmon Ed it was not that bad, i was listening to Dublin PD the
> whole time as well as thier Tac 8 TG and San Ramon's comms. I
> thought they did a good job. I was in the area and believe me they
> had every damn corner for about 3 miles covered. officers posted at
> almost every street and corner in the area. Not JUST Dublin PD,
> but "I" units (invest) and plain clothes units from both San Ramon
> and Dublin and Alameda county. As well as Danville PD and Dublin's
> camp parks units...Hey at least Dublin didn't lose them, which seems
> to happen quite a bit when they are looking for bank robbery or
> other subjects who commit crimes and run. I have heard Dub PD lose
> many a fleeing subject..
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37925. Re: [bayscan] Med 9 bleeding through on Sunnyvale PD?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-07 19:16:28 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37901 Message: 37925

AMBULANCE
Med 91 856.4375 (192.8 Paramedic Dispatch
Med 92 857.4375 (225.7) Major incident command channel
Med 93 858.4375 Data Only (AVL)
Frequency 9 453.100 (156.7) Simulcast Med 91 (Dispatcher only)

Brian in Campbell

On Mon, 07 Mar 2005 10:36:02 -0800, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
>
> Listening to Sunnyvale PD (482.9625) on my mobile, I saw my signal strength
> bar going off, but no signal (i.e. different PL). It lasted longer than the
> morse code ID, so I turned off PL, and I started hearing Med-9 traffic
> (453.1). Well, that's all I can assume it was - it's Med-91 dispatch only
> (856.4375). And side note, for anyone interested in Santa Clara Med-91,
> 453.1 is the same as Med-91 but only dispatch, no mobiles. Plus, better
> signal (at least since most receivers have better sensitivity on UHF than 800).
>
> Was this a IF on the radio (Kenwood TMG-707)? Something else? It mainly
> occurred at El Camino & South Sunnyvale was where it seemed to be most
> pronounced.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Evan
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

37926. Re: [bayscan] Re: officer jumped in Dublin, final

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 03:21:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37922 Message: 37926

Gary, you GOT to be kinding me.....of course it was legal, as another poster pointed out.....a California Peace officer can make an arrest ANYWHERE in the state. It sounded like an ego thing to me.

Remember the pursuit when SFPD chased the guy south on 101 over 380 and up 280 , thought he was involved in the 187 of their officer and they would NOT back off when CHP requested them to do. Understandable however, it was their officer who died.

Again, once a CP is setup "someone" needs to take overall command of a call. Since it was a Dublin Officer, maybe it should have been them

I invite the police officers on this list to chime in with their thoughts

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37927. Re: [bayscan] Re: officer jumped in Dublin, final

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 03:14:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37922 Message: 37927

true , but Alco S/O was sure pissed when San Ramon officers charged the house with perp # 2 . it turned out ok , but I still say better co-ordination on many incidents , not just this one , could be obtained by using a LE version of the ICS system.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37928. RE officer jumped in Dublin now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 03:34:26 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37928 Message: 37928

Ed the officer jumped was a San Ramon officer not Dublin PD.

37929. Re: [bayscan] RE officer jumped in Dublin now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 03:48:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37928 Message: 37929

Oh, ok, had that in reverse..... so what town was it where number 2 was caught ?? (I obviously don't know city boundries in that area) ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37930. Re: officer jumped in Dublin now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 03:55:54 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37930 Message: 37930

They all were apprehended in Dublin. San Ramon valley blvd runs from I-580 near Pleasanton and runs all the way into Danville. Alcosta blvd runs into San Ramon Valley blvd at the San Ramon Dublin line. all were caught in Dublin.

37931. Shooting - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 04:33:06 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37931 Message: 37931

646 Willow near Spencer Avenue

Shooting (10-71) victim suffering gunshot wounds to leg, stomach and head. Definitely life threatening, but still breathing.

Partial list of units responding or on scene:

61S6
61S1
61S5
6L10
5064

Working on San Jose 6 - 460.325

-C-

37932. Re: [bayscan] Shooting - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 04:44:19 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37931 Message: 37932

Shooter is possibly known and associated with Willow Glen High School.
One RP is now saying that there is possibly a suspect hunkered down in
an adjacent backyard at 1012 Spencer.

Gray SUV stopped in front of residence, shooter and others exited
vehicle and began shooting, with a female driver departing and others
fleeing on foot after the shooting. Suspect vehicle is described as a
newer dark gray Lexus SUV.

Partial List of Responding and On Scene Units:

61S6
61S1
61S5
6S10
61N2
61L5
6K10
61F2
61T5
6L10
5064
2994

Working on San Jose 6 - 460.325

On Mar 7, 2005, at 8:33 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> 646 Willow near Spencer Avenue
>
> Shooting (10-71) victim suffering gunshot wounds to leg, stomach and
> head. Definitely life threatening, but still breathing.

37933. RE: Officer jumped in Dublin, final

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 02:23:57 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 37933 Message: 37933

Yes it was about that, but It was not that bad, there were alot of PD surrounding the house in mention, granted some jurisdiction issues should have been brought up ahead of time but, in the heat of the moment, in defense of those San Ramon Pd, they were fiending to get these jokers who beat up one of thier own. But yes I am sure there will be some jurisdiction issues to hammer out tonight. But they got the bad guy and thats what counts right at that moment.

37934. Re: [bayscan] Good scanner / dual band ham HT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 07:30:45 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 37899 Message: 37934

Evan, do you know if you can use the data management software to program the rig? I use that to program my VX5R, it's the only way I can do it. I like the VX5R but you are right about 6M though I did hear the 10 MHZ WWV and a nearby BBC transmission on the ducky, can you believe that? Do you know if they make a Data management software program that will run on Windows CE? I have a small braille notetaker that runs on that OS and it would sure be cool if I could program my VX5R from it and not have to alway be at home with the regular PC. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2005 10:44 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Good scanner / dual band ham HT

>
> Just picked up a Yaesu FT-60 dual band (2m / 70cm) HT. Small size, 1000
> channels, 6 character alpha, and incredibly priced - $199. The only thing
> close is the VX-5 at $219 with a $20 mail in rebate.
>
> Granted the VX-5 has AM medium wave and shortwave, as well as 6m transmit,
> however it only has 220 channels. I had one a while ago, and the 6m was
> useless - couldn't hit any repeaters without using a real antenna - and
the
> stock antenna with the 6m tip was impossible to carry around - on the belt
> it would stab me in my armpit. Same with shortwave - can't recall ever
> hearing anything on it. Granted it was nice to have the ability to receive
> it, but that was about it.
>
> I was looking to pick up a scanner and a HT, and this solved both
problems.
> Granted it won't do trunking, but it server just about every other purpose
> I needed. Oh, and since I have a VX-800 (commercial HT), it uses the same
> drop in rapid chargerl. The battery from the FT-60 will work on the
vx-800,
> but the vx-800 battery won't work on the ft-60.
>
>
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37935. Half Moon Bay Airport Code 1000?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 05:21:17 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 37935 Message: 37935

Airport shut down.

153.89 Mhz

37936. Re: [bayscan] Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 09:40:42 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 37859 Message: 37936

Try CARLA . It covers most of Northern California on multiple repeaters on the 440 band. From Tahoe to Redding to the Bay Area to the Central Valley

http://www.qsl.net/carla/index.htm

--
Paul - KF6YYI

37937. Re: [bayscan] Half Moon Bay Airport Code 1000?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 09:44:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37935 Message: 37937

thought I heard something about a plane earlier , checked the " tape"

was a small plane on fire @ the airport. no other details. no units there
at this hour.

0144 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37938. Re: officer jumped in Dublin, final

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 06:34:27 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 37922 Message: 37938

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Gary, you GOT to be kinding me.....of course it was legal, as another
> poster pointed out.....a California Peace officer can make an arrest ANYWHERE in
> the state. It sounded like an ego thing to me.
>
> Remember the pursuit when SFPD chased the guy south on 101 over 380 and up
> 280 , thought he was involved in the 187 of their officer and they would NOT
> back off when CHP requested them to do. Understandable however, it was their
> officer who died.
>
> Again, once a CP is setup "someone" needs to take overall command of a call.
> Since it was a Dublin Officer, maybe it should have been them
>
> I invite the police officers on this list to chime in with their thoughts
>
> ED

Ed,

There already is a LE form of ICS, or SIMS, as it's more commonly known today. It's a lot harder to get many agencies to use it than it is in the fire service since fire almost always has multiple units and a contained scene. The police, even when they have multiple units, have a much more fluid and uncontained scene. It takes a commitment to follow the system and set up a unified command, something the police don't have much experience with and aren't very good at. I don't think it's as much ego as lack of the need to use SIMS on a daily basis like you find in the fire service.

Regards, Jim

37939. Re: [bayscan) Law Enforcment and ICS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 11:04:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37939 Message: 37939

Yeah, I recall some agency using something of the sorts Jim, but it, as you say is not often used. The ICS thing took time within the fire service to get as widespread as it is today. I can't remember hearing of a fire service NOT using it for a few years now.

Like I said, it would be a LE version since the operation is considerable different from a fire operation.

On another subject, seems law enforcement may have to adjust their pursuit polices with Sacramento politicians getting into the act. I am wondering, has anyone heard the CHP terminate pursuits much? Seems they rarely do and they may have to change. I noticed in the past several years, more and more agencies "seem" to terminate more pursuits .....OTOH, stats on the news indicate they are more pursuits year by year lately. Heard a figure of 7,171 pursuits in either 2004 or 2003.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37940. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) Law Enforcment and ICS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 11:39:38 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 37939 Message: 37940

You play Chess and Checkers on the same type of board. However -- they are not played the same. The CHP has a different view or way to look at how and when to chase someone. From just viewing pursuits on TV -- it would appear, more often that the local city Police or County Sheriff are the ones that seem to "Drive" a person into the ground. The CHP seems more "laid back". The CHP doesn't often try to force the driver into a wall, another car or an intersection -- as do the "locals' that feel that its "their beat" or turf and "By God, I'll get them to stop". Its a different game for the CHP. That's just how I see it. But then again - I think that if they hung horse thieves -- they should do the same for 10851 CVC.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37941. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) Law Enforcment and ICS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 13:02:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37939 Message: 37941

yeah, you got a good point , but still , I don't hear the CHP calling off their units on pursuits like I do Sgts for some cities and counties.

Seems SFPD has a Felony only pursuit policy , but too have had tragic results from some pursuits.

Freeway only pursuits don't have the risk of T-bones crashes like inter-city pursuits do and freeways obviously are built to handle vehicles at higher speeds.

like so say chess and checkers on the same board.

I do NOT want too restrictive policys , the bad guys will REALLY start to run. They should have REAL stiff penalties for running , won't slow some of them down . but say a penalty of- for every minute you DON'T stop , the penalty goes up by $1000.00 and another year in jail...some may think twice.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37942. Re: [bayscan] Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 13:13:56 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37859 Message: 37942

but you should really be a member of carla system before we statrt
using there repeaters and I think memebrship is over $100.00 per year

On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 04:40:42 -0500, Mobile11@... <Mobile11@...> wrote:
>
>
> Try CARLA . It covers most of Northern California on multiple repeaters on
> the 440 band. From Tahoe to Redding to the Bay Area to the Central Valley
>
> http://www.qsl.net/carla/index.htm
>
> --
> Paul - KF6YYI
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

37943. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) Law Enforcment and ICS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 14:36:17 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37939 Message: 37943

Though I imagine that this tangent is going to get flamed for being off-topic, I have a few thoughts to share. I think it was Ed who made the point that CHP seems to hang back in pursuits and not crowd the fleeing vehicle the way the local cops do. That's true, and consider this to explain the difference: The Highway Patrol has more individual and corporate experience and training with high-speed pursuits than does local law enforcement. It is what they do. They are professionals at patroling the highways where such pursuits occur.

This is similar to my observation and opinion that fire is as a whole better at Code 3 driving than most law enforcement agencies. Why? Again, I maintain that it is because they have much more experience and training in rolling code than do the cops. Granted, they are responding for different reasons, however I still maintain that fire has historically remained more calm and gotten far less excited about running with lights and sirens than local police or sheriff's agencies and thus is "better" (subject to definition... I mean "safer") at doing so. I also think that the heavy GVW of fire apparatus gives the drivers a greater sense of responsibility and awareness of the destructive potential of their vehicle.

And, as Forrest Gump said, "that's all I got to say about that."

Gordon

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37944. SJPD Patrol Divisions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 14:42:21 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37944 Message: 37944

At one time I had a map of the San Jose Police districts, but I heard the lines had been redrawn at some point.

I'm looking specifically for the channels that cover two areas: 101 & McKee/Julian and 280 & Bascom (VMC).

Thanks in advance. Forgive the post related to actual scanning rather than armchair-quarterbacking. ;-)

Gordon

37945. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Patrol Divisions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 15:01:01 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37944 Message: 37945

your question was cool but your coments at the end were totally uncalled for
Scanner4 :-(

On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 14:42:21 -0000, Gordon Arnold
<gordon@...> wrote:
>
> At one time I had a map of the San Jose Police districts, but I
> heard the lines had been redrawn at some point.
>
> I'm looking specifically for the channels that cover two areas: 101
> & McKee/Julian and 280 & Bascom (VMC).
>
> Thanks in advance. Forgive the post related to actual scanning
> rather than armchair-quarterbacking. ;-)
>
> Gordon
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> ~-------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

37946. Re: [bayscan] Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 15:21:13 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37859 Message: 37946

CARLA is an open system, your thinking of either Cal-Net or Cactus which are both membership supported. Good call Paul, I think I forgot to include CARLA when this all started up.

----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2005 5:13 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

but you should really be a member of carla system before we statrt
using there repeaters and I think memebrship is over $100.00 per year

On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 04:40:42 -0500, Mobile11@... <Mobile11@...> wrote:
>
>
> Try CARLA . It covers most of Northern California on multiple repeaters on
> the 440 band. From Tahoe to Redding to the Bay Area to the Central Valley
>
> http://www.qsl.net/carla/index.htm
>
> --
> Paul - KF6YYI
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> ~-------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.4 - Release Date: 3/7/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.4 - Release Date: 3/7/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37947. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Patrol Divisions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 15:44:11 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37944 Message: 37947

Hey, I was referring to myself more than anything, as I had just posted moments before about Code 3 driving and yesterday about the powers of a peace officer. Perhaps tongue-in-cheek humor is contraindicated in this group. I meant only to acknowledge those who have expressed their dislike of the threads that go afield of the actual practice of scanning.

Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:your question was cool but your coments at the end were totally uncalled for
Scanner4 :-(

On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 14:42:21 -0000, Gordon Arnold
<gordon@...> wrote:
>
> At one time I had a map of the San Jose Police districts, but I
> heard the lines had been redrawn at some point.
>
> I'm looking specifically for the channels that cover two areas: 101
> & McKee/Julian and 280 & Bascom (VMC).
>
> Thanks in advance. Forgive the post related to actual scanning
> rather than armchair-quarterbacking. ;-)
>
> Gordon
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37948. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Patrol Divisions

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 16:09:27 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37944 Message: 37948

http://www.sjpd.org/MyNeighborhoodMap.cfm
Try this link.

37949. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) Law Enforcment and ICS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 15:28:59 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37939 Message: 37949

I know I may be re-hashing a statement that was already made but after talking to a 24S unit (San Jose CHP Sargent) about CHP's pursuit policy I did learn that one of the big reasons that CHP does NOT get involved in "city" pursuits, and may "hang back" is because they (CHP) are not aware of the individual department policies and by getting involved they may actually put other officers in danger.

Brian in Campbell

On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 03:39:38 -0800 (PST), Mike McKenna
<way2twohot@...> wrote:
>
> You play Chess and Checkers on the same type of board. However -- they are not played the same. The CHP has a different view or way to look at how and when to chase someone. From just viewing pursuits on TV -- it would appear, more often that the local city Police or County Sheriff are the ones that seem to "Drive" a person into the ground. The CHP seems more "laid back". The CHP doesn't often try to force the driver into a wall, another car or an intersection -- as do the "locals' that feel that its "their beat" or turf and "By God, I'll get them to stop". Its a different game for the CHP. That's just how I see it. But then again - I think that if they hung horse thieves -- they should do the same for 10851 CVC.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

37950. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) Law Enforcment and ICS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 19:06:46 UTC
From: fyr911@pacbell.net
Topic: 37939 Message: 37950

Not to mention ... the vehicles are alot heavier and dont have the same acceleration profile :)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37951. Re: [bayscan] Re: [bayscan) Law Enforcment and ICS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 19:33:36 UTC
From: Nick Caldwell
Topic: 37939 Message: 37951

Its bayscan, apparently the only thing off-topic is posting
frequencies., don't worry about it.

On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 06:36:17 -0800 (PST), a bayscanian wrote:
>
> Though I imagine that this tangent is going to get flamed for being off-topic, I have a few thoughts to share.

37952. Re: Half Moon Bay Airport Code 1000?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 19:56:57 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 37935 Message: 37952

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "sffdmedicwanttobe" <sffire@f...> wrote:
>
> Airport shut down.
>
> 153.89 Mhz

Single engine Cirrus aircraft caught fire while on the ground, as previously reported. Here is the summary from the FAA: (note time is Zule time, which would be 2200 hrs local)

DENTIFICATION
Regis#: 517SW Make/Model: SR22 Description: SR-22
Date: 03/07/2005 Time: 0500

Event Type: Incident Highest Injury: None Mid Air: N Missing: N
Damage: Minor

LOCATION
City: HALF MOON BAY State: CA Country: US

DESCRIPTION
ACFT DURING TAXI, LEAKY BRAKE LINE CAUGHT FIRE, HALF MOON BAY, CA

INJURY DATA Total Fatal: 0
Crew: 1 Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:ÊÊÊ
Pass: 0 Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:ÊÊÊ
Grnd: Fat: 0 Ser: 0 Min: 0 Unk:ÊÊÊ

OTHER DATA

Departed: HALF MOON BAY,CA Dep Date: Dep. Time:ÊÊÊÊÊ
Destination: PALO ALTO, CA Flt Plan: IFR Wx Briefing: Y
Last Radio Cont:Ê
Last Clearance:Ê

FAA FSDO: OAKLAND, CA (WP27) Entry date: 03/08/200
<http://ads.smartgroups.com/adclick/cid=ffffffcfffffffcfffffffc>

37953. Morgan Hill CDF - PEDS v. TRAIN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 20:45:40 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37953 Message: 37953

At 12:42 p.m. CDF is responding to East San Martin at Monterey Highway
for report of two pedestrians struck by train.

ENGINE 1
ENGINE 2
BATTALION 1613
TRAINING 1606

CDF - Morgan Hill - 151.445
CDF TAC 9 - 151.385

More to follow...

-C-

37954. Re: [bayscan] Morgan Hill CDF - PEDS v. TRAIN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 20:49:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37953 Message: 37954

Better location might be Church cross of Monterey

Two pedestrians struck by train. Santa Clara County Sheriff advising
one confirmed 10-55 and searching for second victim. Also report of
subject running from the scene. No description, yet.

ENGINE 1
ENGINE 2
BATTALION 1613
TRAINING 1606

CDF Morgan Hill - 151.445
CDF TAC 9 - 151.385
Santa Clara County Sheriff - 156.210

On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:45 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> At 12:42 p.m. CDF is responding to East San Martin at Monterey Highway
> for report of two pedestrians struck by train.
>
>
> ENGINE 1
> ENGINE 2
> BATTALION 1613
> TRAINING 1606
>
> CDF - Morgan Hill - 151.445
> CDF TAC 9 - 151.385
>
> More to follow...
>
> -C-

37955. Re: [bayscan] Morgan Hill CDF - PEDS v. TRAIN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 20:52:53 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37953 Message: 37955

MONTEREY IC advising there are three victims. One fatal, second
non-fatal, and one that fled the scene.

-C-

On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:49 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Better location might be Church cross of Monterey
>
> Two pedestrians struck by train. Santa Clara County Sheriff advising
> one confirmed 10-55 and searching for second victim. Also report of
> subject running from the scene. No description, yet.
>
> ENGINE 1
> ENGINE 2
> BATTALION 1613
> TRAINING 1606
>
> CDF Morgan Hill - 151.445
> CDF TAC 9 - 151.385
> Santa Clara County Sheriff - 156.210
>
> On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:45 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

37956. Re: [bayscan] Morgan Hill CDF - PEDS v. TRAIN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 21:10:06 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37953 Message: 37956

Okay, final update on this one. The train that struck the three
pedestrians is Union Pacific 5795 (General Electric AC4400CW-CTE),
which was headed southbound.

You can see a shot of this loco here:
http://www.railpictures.net/viewphoto.php?id=22287

As mentioned before, one subject is 10-55, one non-fatal, and the other
pedestrian apparently got scared and ran off.

-C-

On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:52 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> MONTEREY IC advising there are three victims. One fatal, second
> non-fatal, and one that fled the scene.
>
> -C-
>
> On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:49 PM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>>
>> Better location might be Church cross of Monterey
>>
>> Two pedestrians struck by train. Santa Clara County Sheriff advising
>> one confirmed 10-55 and searching for second victim. Also report of
>> subject running from the scene. No description, yet.
>>
>> ENGINE 1
>> ENGINE 2
>> BATTALION 1613
>> TRAINING 1606
>>
>> CDF Morgan Hill - 151.445
>> CDF TAC 9 - 151.385
>> Santa Clara County Sheriff - 156.210
>>
>> On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:45 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

37957. Railpictures.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 22:38:57 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37957 Message: 37957

Wow. What a cool site, Craig. How'd you run that down? Train number off the road channel, then google for it? Or did you know that Railpictures indexed them by train number?

Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:Okay, final update on this one. The train that struck the three
pedestrians is Union Pacific 5795 (General Electric AC4400CW-CTE),
which was headed southbound.

You can see a shot of this loco here:
http://www.railpictures.net/viewphoto.php?id=22287

As mentioned before, one subject is 10-55, one non-fatal, and the other
pedestrian apparently got scared and ran off.

-C-

On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:52 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> MONTEREY IC advising there are three victims. One fatal, second
> non-fatal, and one that fled the scene.
>
> -C-
>
> On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:49 PM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>>
>> Better location might be Church cross of Monterey
>>
>> Two pedestrians struck by train. Santa Clara County Sheriff advising
>> one confirmed 10-55 and searching for second victim. Also report of
>> subject running from the scene. No description, yet.
>>
>> ENGINE 1
>> ENGINE 2
>> BATTALION 1613
>> TRAINING 1606
>>
>> CDF Morgan Hill - 151.445
>> CDF TAC 9 - 151.385
>> Santa Clara County Sheriff - 156.210
>>
>> On Mar 8, 2005, at 12:45 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37958. Shooting SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 23:18:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37958 Message: 37958

anybody have info. on a triple shooting in SF ? location ? talkgroups ?

1515 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37959. Presidio Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 23:10:29 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 37959 Message: 37959

National Parks Service has an interesting case that started at about 2:45PM.

Details are somewhat sketchy, but apparently a Parks Police officer came across some suspicious individuals and an associated vehicle in the vicinity of the Presidio Golf Course, with other subjects possibly outstanding.

The vehicle contains some liquid containers, which the officer apparently has reason to think may be HAZMAT.

NPS Police have set up a perimeter, are requesting the NPS K-9, a CHP helo, and support from Presidio Fire as well as SFPD & SFFD.

The only thing I've heard about the exact location is that it's near the golf course, that there was a breach in a fence, and that they want the water dept people to come to the scene.

I'm guessing that the actual site is the one of the old Presidio communications station ('WVY') radio sites on Lone Hill. The abandoned 2 story bldg & antenna towers sit within a fenced compound, and has a smal water reservoir immediately adjacent to it. It sits just N of the Presidio Golf Course. I've poked around inside that compound myself.

This could be nothing, or it could be an attempt to poison the water supply...

I've been listening to the NPS GGNRA trunked system's 'ICS' channel (talk group 240), though it's been quite for a while now.

Tim
1509 hours

_____
Celebrate Yahoo!'s 10th Birthday!
Yahoo! Netrospective: 100 Moments of the Web
http://birthday.yahoo.com/netrospective/

37960. Re: [bayscan] Railpictures.com

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 00:39:30 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37957 Message: 37960

Hey Gordon,

I actually caught the loco number on CDF local and then decided to search it on railpictures.net. THis has been a long time favorite site of mine. I even have a few shots on the site, too! I have often done searches using engine numbers just to confirm type after doing some railfanning.

Cheers!

-C-

On Mar 8, 2005, at 2:38 PM, Gordon Arnold wrote:

>
> Wow. What a cool site, Craig. How'd you run that down? Train number
> off the road channel, then google for it? Or did you know that
> Railpictures indexed them by train number?

37961. Re: [bayscan] Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-08 15:34:15 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 37859 Message: 37961

0734 hrs. local time

Listening on 442.075 right now. Pretty quiet.

Brian in Campbell

On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 07:21:13 -0800, Danny Monge <ad6sj@...> wrote:
>
> CARLA is an open system, your thinking of either Cal-Net or Cactus which are both membership supported. Good call Paul, I think I forgot to include CARLA when this all started up.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Scanner 4
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2005 5:13 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?
>
> but you should really be a member of carla system before we statrt
> using there repeaters and I think memebrship is over $100.00 per year
>
> On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 04:40:42 -0500, Mobile11@... <Mobile11@...> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Try CARLA . It covers most of Northern California on multiple repeaters on
> > the 440 band. From Tahoe to Redding to the Bay Area to the Central Valley
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/carla/index.htm
> >
> > --
> > Paul - KF6YYI
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> > _____________________________
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >

> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> --
> Scanner Four
> Scanner4@...
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
> ---
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.4 - Release Date: 3/7/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.4 - Release Date: 3/7/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

37962. Re: [bayscan] Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 03:19:47 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37859 Message: 37962

there is always W6RGG in the hills of San Lendero 147.240+ no tone

On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 07:34:15 -0800, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> 0734 hrs. local time
>
> Listening on 442.075 right now. Pretty quiet.
>
> Brian in Campbell
>
>
> On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 07:21:13 -0800, Danny Monge <ad6sj@...> wrote:
> >
> > CARLA is an open system, your thinking of either Cal-Net or Cactus which
> are both membership supported. Good call Paul, I think I forgot to include
> CARLA when this all started up.
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: Scanner 4
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2005 5:13 AM
> > Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?
> >
> > but you should really be a member of carla system before we statrt
> > using there repeaters and I think memebrship is over $100.00 per year
> >
> > On Tue, 08 Mar 2005 04:40:42 -0500, Mobile11@... <Mobile11@...>
> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Try CARLA . It covers most of Northern California on multiple repeaters
> on
> > > the 440 band. From Tahoe to Redding to the Bay Area to the Central
> Valley
> > >
> > > http://www.qsl.net/carla/index.htm
> > >
> > > --
> > > Paul - KF6YYI
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ADVERTISEMENT
> > >
> > > _____

> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> > --
> > Scanner Four
> > Scanner4@...
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> >
> ---
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
> >
> >
> ---
> >
> > No virus found in this incoming message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.4 - Release Date: 3/7/2005
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.6.4 - Release Date: 3/7/2005
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

37963. Re: Presidio Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 01:35:52 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 37959 Message: 37963

Keep an eye on this site. Probably show up in a couple of weeks

http://data2.itc.nps.gov/morningreport/

Ed in Cupertino

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Tim <sfbayhooligan@y...> wrote:
>
> National Parks Service has an interesting case that
> started at about 2:45PM.
>

37964. San Jose Fire - Vehicle Into Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 14:02:16 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37964 Message: 37964

Just got out of the shower, turned on the scanner, and heard San Jose Fire working the following:

Unoccupied vehicle into a closed business. Vehicle had a brick on the floor to accelerate it into the building at which time it erupted into flames, but fire was limited to the vehicle and did not spread significantly into the structure, or paint cans stored in the business.

BASCOM IC

San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
San Jose Tac 5 - 155.880

Address unknown at this time. Sorry for the sketchy details.

-C-

37965. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Vehicle Into Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 14:06:31 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37964 Message: 37965

Update -

Incident occurred at 1090 South Bascom at Deluxe Paints.

On Mar 9, 2005, at 6:02 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Just got out of the shower, turned on the scanner, and heard San Jose
> Fire working the following:
>
> Unoccupied vehicle into a closed business. Vehicle had a brick on the
> floor to accelerate it into the building at which time it erupted into
> flames, but fire was limited to the vehicle and did not spread
> significantly into the structure, or paint cans stored in the business.
>
> BASCOM IC
>
> San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
> San Jose Tac 5 - 155.880
>
> Address unknown at this time. Sorry for the sketchy details.
>
> -C-

37966. Re: Repeaters for Bayscan Net?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 17:09:43 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 37859 Message: 37966

It's kind of like SRA, quiet for the most part but it has it's
moments.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> 0734 hrs. local time
>
> Listening on 442.075 right now. Pretty quiet.
>
> Brian in Campbell
>
>
> On Tue, 8 Mar 2005 07:21:13 -0800, Danny Monge <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> >
> > CARLA is an open system, your thinking of either Cal-Net or
Cactus which are both membership supported. Good call Paul, I think I
forgot to include CARLA when this all started up.

37967. Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-05 03:20:39 UTC
From: parkbench@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 37717 Message: 37967

Philip Humes at Lake Berryessa KF6GNH
----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2005 7:02 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT(Callsigns)

KG6UAL
nina

On Thursday, Mar 3, 2005, at 18:58 US/Pacific, Scott Baldwin wrote:

>
> N6UUH
> Scott
>
> At 06:27 PM 3/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>> KF6MXK
>> Phil
>>
>>>
>>> Im curious how many Ham Radio operators we have on the list, my call
>>> sign
>>> is WB6NYC.
>>> We should compile a list of those who are hams, back in NYC we used
>>> to
>>> have
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com

(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

37968. Sunnyvale FD working drill on channel 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 18:01:04 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37968 Message: 37968

Sunnyvale fire working a drill only on Tac 4 - 482.4125

Might be some interesting listening.

Evan

37969. (no subject)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 17:56:07 UTC
From: Jerry Haun
Topic: 37969 Message: 37969

Marysville

Jerry KG6EBK
"73"

37970. Sunnyvale - 2 10-55's??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 20:06:02 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37970 Message: 37970

Initial call came in as a 10-53 10-55 (suicide possible DOA?). Didn't bother with the address. RP refused to perform CPR.

Initial PD on scene advised 10-55 (DOA) - cancel FD. Quickly came back advising they have a 2nd DOA. CSI & Patrol Supervisor. Possible crime scene.

FD: 482.9625.

Evan

1206 hrs

37971. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale - 2 10-55's??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 20:26:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37970 Message: 37971

10-53 is a person down not suicide.....10-56 has to do with suicides
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37972. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale - 2 10-55's??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 20:44:32 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 37970 Message: 37972

last i checked, 10-55 just simply meant 'dead' or 'dead body'. that, of course, sounds consistant with what one might find at a crime scene, especially prior to determination of COD.

-g-

> 10-53 is a person down not suicide.....10-56 has to do with suicides
> ED
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> Yahoo! Groups Links

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

37973. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale - 2 10-55's??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 20:39:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37970 Message: 37973

At 12:26 PM 3/9/2005, you wrote:
>10-53 is a person down not suicide.....10-56 has to do with suicides

I could have misheard it too. Initial report was the manager found the
(first?) person, then as PD arrived a second person was located.

Evan

37974. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale - 2 10-55's??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 20:47:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37970 Message: 37974

that is correct.....my correct had to do with 10-53 and 10-56
NOT 1055

btw , heard a few units going 97 on Lockinvar , not positive , might be where
it occured

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37975. Possible HAZMAT Sickens Three SJPD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 21:11:26 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37975 Message: 37975

Another incident that I have only sketchy information on after walking in on it in progress.

Apparently three San Jose Police officers have been transported to the hospital after becoming sick from unidentified fumes at 585 South 6th Street, Apt. 9 at East Williams Street.

HIT 29 is now on scene requesting TRUCK COMPANY 3 respond for possible decon duty upon making access to the apartment to determine the source of the odor.

Staging is at 6th and Reed.

HIT 29
TRUCK COMPANY 3
ENGINE 3
MED 30

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

37976. Re: [bayscan] Possible HAZMAT Sickens Three SJPD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 21:23:08 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37975 Message: 37976

Brief update:

HIT 29 is now HAZMAT GROUP
TRUCK 3 assuming IC
ENGINE 3 will be DECON GROUP

IC is establishing an evac plan for primary and secondary structures,
while an entry team is formed from the HAZMAT GROUP.

-C-

On Mar 9, 2005, at 1:11 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Another incident that I have only sketchy information on after walking
> in on it in progress.
>
> Apparently three San Jose Police officers have been transported to the
> hospital after becoming sick from unidentified fumes at 585 South 6th
> Street, Apt. 9 at East Williams Street.
>
> HIT 29 is now on scene requesting TRUCK COMPANY 3 respond for possible
> decon duty upon making access to the apartment to determine the source
> of the odor.
>
> Staging is at 6th and Reed.
>
> HIT 29
> TRUCK COMPANY 3
> ENGINE 3
> MED 30
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>
> -C-

37977. Poss Barricaded subject

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 22:26:12 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 37977 Message: 37977

this all going on SFPD A-2 (12880)
Poss 187 subject
14:26

37978. Poss Barricaded subject

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 22:34:05 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 37977 Message: 37978

UPDATE 14:32

Tac Teams are 10-97 to T.I. and and are using PD A-9 (13104)

37979. Poss Barricaded subject

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 22:46:19 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 37977 Message: 37979

Tac Command Post now going to PD A-12 (13200)
Ave D and 12th is TCP

37980. Poss Barricaded subject

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 23:00:33 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 37977 Message: 37980

Tac Command Post now going to PD A-12 (13200)
Ave D and 12th is TCP

37981. Re: [bayscan] Poss Barricaded subject

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-09 23:45:24 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 37977 Message: 37981

T.I. nowe code 4 15:30

On Wed, 09 Mar 2005 23:00:33 -0000, scan_boss <scanner4@...> wrote:
>
> Tac Command Post now going to PD A-12 (13200)
> Ave D and 12th is TCP
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

37982. Re: [bayscan] Possible HAZMAT Sickens Three SJPD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 00:30:16 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 37975 Message: 37982

Craig what time was this incident? My gf lives on 7th and Williams...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37983. Re: [bayscan] Possible HAZMAT Sickens Three SJPD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 01:26:26 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37975 Message: 37983

Hi Craig,

Not sure when it all started, but it was in progress at 1:11 p.m. for sure.

On Mar 9, 2005, at 4:30 PM, Craig Tomasello wrote:

> Craig what time was this incident? My gf lives on 7th and Williams...

37984. Santa Clara - Vehicle Into Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 04:51:25 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37984 Message: 37984

Silver Mercedes van through front window of dry cleaners that has fled after leaving structural damage at 1576 Halford cross of El Camino.

Partial plate of 4NP.

Fire units responding:

ENGINE 7
ENGINE 3
ENGINE 9
TRUCK 2
RESCUE 2
HAZMAT 9
BATTALION 1

Santa Clara Fire 2 - talkgroup 304
Santa Clara PD Primary - talkgroup 16

-C-

37985. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara - Vehicle Into Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 04:53:19 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37984 Message: 37985

UPDATE - No injuries and does not look like there is actual structural damage. Damage looks to be limited to glass and aluminum framing.

Last report on this incident.

-C-

On Mar 9, 2005, at 8:51 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Silver Mercedes van through front window of dry cleaners that has fled
> after leaving structural damage at 1576 Halford cross of El Camino.
>
> Partial plate of 4NP.
>
> Fire units responding:
>
> ENGINE 7
> ENGINE 3
> ENGINE 9
> TRUCK 2
> RESCUE 2
> HAZMAT 9
> BATTALION 1
>
> Santa Clara Fire 2 - talkgroup 304
> Santa Clara PD Primary - talkgroup 16
>
> -C-

37986. [SJFD] 21:57 Working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 06:00:37 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 37986 Message: 37986

We've got a working structure in the Burbank area of San Jose. This
is an apartment complex. IC just advised that there is an all clear.

Will advise units when I get the run down.

This is a minimum 2nd alarm as Air 6 and Hit 29 have been activated.

Staging at San Carlos & Menker.

Command 3 154.115

Move ups: E29 to Station 1
E9 to Station 4

37987. Re: [SJFD] 21:57 Working fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 06:03:23 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 37986 Message: 37987

This is in Station 4's area.

E4 T4 E10 B10 B1 B13 E6 E30 E1 E7 T1 T3 Hose 6 Air 6 Hit 29 Med 30

Might have missed a few. Will advise.

No further updates until the next alarm is called or containment is called.

37988. east bay

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 07:12:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 37988 Message: 37988

Union City working something on 40016 , wants UCFD as a long-term standby .....maybe on 8th street .

Also, just heard Alameda PD announce that Hayward PD was invoking " SNARE" for a 211 at Safeway on Foothill, perps left in a stolen Camry

I am guessing SNARE is like the code 666 or 777s in other counties , anybody hear SNARE used before ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37989. Re: [bayscan] east bay

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 07:41:35 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37988 Message: 37989

I've heard of snare before. My recollection is they notify surrounding
PD's. You call snare if you are pretty sure the bad guy got away and the
crime is a felony.

There should be a thread on this on bayscan, but geez, years ago.

caladded@... wrote:
> Union City working something on 40016 , wants UCFD as a long-term standby
> ....maybe on 8th street .
>
>
> Also, just heard Alameda PD announce that Hayward PD was invoking " SNARE"
> for a 211 at Safeway on Foothill, perps left in a stolen Camry
>
> I am guessing SNARE is like the code 666 or 777s in other counties , anybody
> hear SNARE used before ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37990. Air to GND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 10:39:41 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 37990 Message: 37990

Can anyone post a list of the air to ground and air to air
freq.'s used by the Traffic Watch aircraft to talk to the Radio and
TV stations that cruise the freeways?
Also the same for the police helo's / aircraft?

37991. RE: [bayscan] Air to GND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 16:47:40 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 37990 Message: 37991

> Can anyone post a list of the air to ground and air to air
>freq.'s used by the Traffic Watch aircraft to talk to the Radio and
>TV stations that cruise the freeways?

I don't know about the airband freq (I had one but never heard anything on it). Here are some UHF they use regularly to talk with the station. You can hear them say "video is up" or "coming up on Loma" and "Sky 4 to Shadow Base" and "If I took the ramp, I would have been in that jam for the rest of my life."

I also list the output tones for us poor folks using radios with poor intermod rejection. KGO seems to use different tones.

Metro Traffic 450.0625
KNBR 450.2375 (never heard any traffic on this freq)
KCBS 450.5375 (repeater output but the freq below is not necessarily an input)
KCBS aircraft 455.5375 67.0 (very busy)
KCBS 450.0875 88.5
KCBS 450.6125 199.5
KTEH 450.1125 (never heard any traffic on this freq)
KNTV 450.1875 110.9
KRON 450.4125 141.3
KRON 450.4375 141.3
KPIX 450.4875 107.2
KGO (F2) 450.4625
KGO 450.2750
KGO 450.2125

37992. RE: [bayscan] Air to GND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 20:58:57 UTC
From: Nobag (Mike)
Topic: 37990 Message: 37992

Here is what I have programmed into my Pro 95's, your mileage may very.

KTVUTV2 FOX1 450.33750 FM D
KTVUTV2 FOX2 450.36250 FM D
KRONTV4 IND1 450.41250 FM D
KRONTV4 IND2 450.43750 FM D
KPIXTV5 CBS1 450.48750 FM D
KPIXTV5 CBS2 450.51250 FM D
KGO TV7 ABC1 450.46250 FM D
KGO TV7 ABC2 450.28750 FM D
KGO TV7 ABC3 450.27500 FM D
KBSWTV8 NBC1 450.05000 FM D
KBSWTV8 NBC2 450.07500 FM D
KQEDTV9 PBS 161.67000 FM D
KNTVTV11 NBC 450.18750 FM D
KOFYTV20IND1 450.90000 FM D
KOFYTV20IND2 450.05000 FM D
KCBATV35 FOX 450.60000 FM D
KTEHTV54 PBS 450.11250 FM D
KSFO AM 560 450.58750 FM D
KEZRFM 106.5 161.73000 FM D
MetroTraffc1 450.06250 FM D
ShadwTraffc1 450.53750 FM D
ShadwTraffc2 461.50000 FM D
MetroTraffc2 455.06250 FM D
ShadwTraffc3 455.70000 FM D
KCBS AIR 455.53750 FM D
KCBS RPT OUT 450.53750 FM D
KCBS ENG. 450.61250 FM D
KCBS AIR 450.08750 FM D
KICU,KTEH 450.11250 FM D
KNTY 11 NEWS 450.18750 FM D
KRON NEWS 450.41250 FM D
KRON 450.45000 FM D
KPIX NEWS 450.48750 FM D
KGO LOMA P. 450.46250 FM D
KGO VEHICLES 450.27500 FM D
KGO NEWS 450.21250 FM D
LMBR TRAFFIC 450.23750 FM D
KCBS Sky 2 455.08750 FM D
KGO AM 810 450.70000 FM D

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.

U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.

"Money doesn't make you happy. I now have $50 million but I was just as
happy when I had $48 million." - Arnold Schwarzenegger
-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 03/10/05 08:48:09
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: RE: [bayscan] Air to GND

> Can anyone post a list of the air to ground and air to air
>freq.'s used by the Traffic Watch aircraft to talk to the Radio and
>TV stations that cruise the freeways?

I don't know about the airband freq (I had one but never heard anything on
it). Here are some UHF they use regularly to talk with the station. You can
hear them say "video is up" or "coming up on Loma" and "Sky 4 to Shadow
Base" and "If I took the ramp, I would have been in that jam for the rest of

my life."

I also list the output tones for us poor folks using radios with poor
intermod rejection. KGO seems to use different tones.

Metro Traffic 450.0625
KNBR 450.2375 (never heard any traffic on this freq)
KCBS 450.5375 (repeater output but the freq below is not necessarily
an
input)
KCBS aircraft 455.5375 67.0 (very busy)
KCBS 450.0875 88.5
KCBS 450.6125 199.5
KTEH 450.1125 (never heard any traffic on this freq)
KNTV 450.1875 110.9
KRON 450.4125 141.3
KRON 450.4375 141.3
KPIX 450.4875 107.2
KGO (F2) 450.4625
KGO 450.2750
KGO 450.2125

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37993. Re: Air to GND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 22:58:49 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 37990 Message: 37993

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@h...>
wrote:
> I also list the output tones for us poor folks using radios with
poor
> intermod rejection. KGO seems to use different tones.
>
> Metro Traffic 450.0625
> KGO 450.2750
> KGO 450.2125

Yeah, I've heard KGO on 94.8, 110.9 and a couple of other tones.

Any idea why they do it? What are the different 'channels'?

37994. Re: [bayscan] Re: Air to GND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 23:02:38 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 37990 Message: 37994

KGO has multiple repeater sites, hence the multiple tones. At least this is true for 450.2125

In the dark ages before they went to traffic every 10 minutes, you would hear a bit more chatter on which repeater was being used. You would also hear some funny stories about flights over the beaches of Marin....

b52hbuff2001 wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@h...>
> wrote:
>
>>I also list the output tones for us poor folks using radios with
>
> poor
>
>>intermod rejection. KGO seems to use different tones.
>>
>>Metro Traffic 450.0625
>>KGO 450.2750
>>KGO 450.2125
>
>
> Yeah, I've heard KGO on 94.8, 110.9 and a couple of other tones.
>
> Any idea why they do it? What are the different 'channels'?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

37995. Re: [bayscan] Re: Air to GND

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 23:07:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37990 Message: 37995

At 02:58 PM 3/10/2005, you wrote:

>Yeah, I've heard KGO on 94.8, 110.9 and a couple of other tones.
>
>Any idea why they do it? What are the different 'channels'?

Just guessing, but maybe different sites? One may be north bay, one south bay? You might be in a good location and pick up both?

Or, maybe one's for radio comms, the other is audio from the broadcast feed to cue the remote talent?

37996. Anyone looking for a car radio console?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 23:14:07 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37996 Message: 37996

I have a radio / scanner center console I'd like to get rid of.. Not sure what I'd want in trade. The kind of geek toys people on this group collect - perhaps a GPS?

It's I believe made for the state of California for a state agency (CHP perhaps?). It'll hold at least 6 or 7 standard radios. It comes with a rail system. that fit perfect in my 01 Taurus - simply removed the standard center console, and with a little brute force, the 2 bolts lined up.

I've included some pictures -

http://www.espphotography.com/console/

And here's a picture when it was in use in the Taurus -

http://www.espphotography.com/carradiosetup.jpg

The top radio was HUGE, and to get it to fit with the special connector it needed on the back, it HAD to stick out like 4 inches. But most newer radios can mount flush. You can get faceplates anywhere.

Let me know your offer.

Thanks.

Evan

37997. Re: [bayscan] Anyone looking for a car radio console?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 23:42:02 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 37996 Message: 37997

ah. That old pushbutton Syntor (?) control head warmed my heart. And probably your car as well. At least the RF deck did. ;-)

Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:I have a radio / scanner center console I'd like to get rid of.. Not sure
what I'd want in trade. The kind of geek toys people on this group collect
- perhaps a GPS?

It's I believe made for the state of California for a state agency (CHP perhaps?). It'll hold at least 6 or 7 standard radios. It comes with a rail system. that fit perfect in my 01 Taurus - simply removed the standard center console, and with a little brute force, the 2 bolts lined up.

I've included some pictures -

http://www.espphotography.com/console/

And here's a picture when it was in use in the Taurus -

http://www.espphotography.com/carradiosetup.jpg

The top radio was HUGE, and to get it to fit with the special connector it needed on the back, it HAD to stick out like 4 inches. But most newer radios can mount flush. You can get faceplates anywhere.

Let me know your offer.

Thanks.

Evan

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

37998. Re: [bayscan] Anyone looking for a car radio console?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-10 23:51:49 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37996 Message: 37998

At 03:42 PM 3/10/2005, you wrote:
>ah. That old pushbutton Syntor (?) control head warmed my heart. And
>probably your car as well. At least the RF deck did. ;-)

Syntor X. Yup.

Didn't use it to transmit. Still have it available if anyone is interested....

Evan

37999. Moffett Expo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 01:27:44 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 37999 Message: 37999

Has anyone heard anything about an Expo happening either this month or next month? It is supposed to be a 3 or 4 day event for school kids, and a Sunday where it is open for everyone. And I am not talking about the air show (it was canceled). Thanks!

~Sagar

38000. RE: [bayscan] Moffett Expo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 01:38:23 UTC
From: Matt Sparks
Topic: 37999 Message: 38000

Hi Sagar,

Nope- I haven't seen, heard, or been tasked to attend any safety briefings for any such event... But if I do hear anything, I'll post to the list.

-Matt

-----Original Message-----

Subject: [bayscan] Moffett Expo?

Has anyone heard anything about an Expo happening either this month or next month? It is supposed to be a 3 or 4 day event for school kids, and a Sunday where it is open for everyone. And I am not talking about the air show (it was canceled). Thanks!

~Sagar

38001. Tar fire Redwood High School in Larkspur

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 01:48:56 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38001 Message: 38001

This is going to be in the Redwood High school east parking lot near Lucky Dr. and Doherty (?). A fully involved tar pot used for tarring the roof. Either on the roof of the high school or in the parking lot (conflicting report from FD and Twin Cities PD).

Units responding: Truck 17 Engine 15 Engine 16 Medic-Engine 14 Medic 40 Chief 15 Chief 16

Dispatched on Southern Area Fire "Control 6" - 46.50 Mhz PL 82.5 / MERA Tg 21616

All units have since changed to "Control 13" - 46.12 Mhz PL 82.5

Assigned Tactical BLUE - 46.08 Mhz PL 82.5

Dispatched about five ago and time now is 17:48. E14 M40 have been released and are enroute to a seizure victim.

-Inigo

38002. San Jose Fire - Structure Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 04:38:24 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38002 Message: 38002

San Jose Fire responding with full first alarm to a report of black smoke on the second floor of building under construction at 2001 Logic Drive cross of Union Ave at Xilinx. Report made by security officer while conducting rounds. This is a vacant building.

Responding Units:

ENGINE 17
ENGINE 13
TRUCK COMPANY 9
ENGINE 4
ENGINE 9
USAR COMPANY 13
MED 30
HIT 29
BATTALION 13

TRUCK COMPANY 9 establishing UNION IC with light smoke showing.

Second alarm being called:

Responding Units Are:

MED 30 requests

ENGINE 6
HOSE 6
TRUCK COMPANY 4
AIR UNIT 6
BATTALION 1

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

38003. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Structure Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 04:44:12 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38002 Message: 38003

TRUCK COMPANY 9 to handle with ENGINE 17 - all other units to return to service.

On Mar 10, 2005, at 8:38 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> San Jose Fire responding with full first alarm to a report of black smoke on the second floor of building under construction at 2001 Logic Drive cross of Union Ave at Xilinx. Report made by security officer while conducting rounds. This is a vacant building.
>
> Responding Units:
>
> ENGINE 17
> ENGINE 13
> TRUCK COMPANY 9
> ENGINE 4
> ENGINE 9
> USAR COMPANY 13
> MED 30
> HIT 29
> BATTALION 13
>
> TRUCK COMPANY 9 establishing UNION IC with light smoke showing.
>
> Second alarm being called:
>
> Responding Units Are:
>
> MED 30 requests
>
> ENGINE 6
> HOSE 6
> TRUCK COMPANY 4
> AIR UNIT 6
> BATTALION 1
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>
> -C-
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38004. WAY OT: since it's a slo news nite

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 05:56:30 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38004 Message: 38004

bcn advises that KGO NewsTalk 810 radio station will no longer be broadcasting San Francisco 49ers games, after 18 years. instead, a four-year partnership with Susquehanna Radio has been announced on the 49ers Web site, providing the 49ers with three newstations, including KNBR and 1050 AM, and FM classic rock station 107.7 The Bone.

nina

38005. Re: [bayscan] WAY OT: since it's a slo news nite

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 06:33:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38004 Message: 38005

Thanks Nina ....Niners on FM , that REALLY is good news for Scanner/Niner fans..........since 4 on my scanners get FM

Now if the Giants would broadcast their games on FM too , my scanners would be " complete" !!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38006. Re: [bayscan] WAY OT: since it's a slo news nite

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 06:58:16 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38004 Message: 38006

I think it would be great if they not only broadcast on FM but broadcast in
stereo with close on-field mic's and wireless mic's on some players.
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2005 10:33 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] WAY OT: since it's a slo news nite

>
> Thanks Nina ....Niners on FM , that REALLY is good news for
> Scanner/Niner fans..........since 4 on my scanners get FM
>
> Now if the Giants would broadcast their games on FM too , my scanners
would
> be " complete" !!
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38007. 2nd Alarm Structure Working San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 23:08:00 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38007 Message: 38007

I missed the details on this. Anyone have more information?

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

38008. Re: [bayscan] 2nd Alarm Structure Working San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-11 23:59:19 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38007 Message: 38008

OOOOH OHHH ME ME ME PICK ME!!! <RAISING HAND>

E23 E19 E29 E2 E5 T29 T2 USAR5 B1 B2 B29 Air 6 Hit 29

Mattos Drive cross of Piedmont Rd. 1 block away from Piedmont HS. Initially reported by the Vice Principal of Sierramont Middle School and yours truly as this fire was 3 blocks away from my construction site.

One fully involved structure with a 2nd structure exposed and started.

E23 arrived about 2:50 and immediately ordered up a 2nd alarm.

Move ups E8 to 2 T3 to 2 E30 to 23

I left the fire around 3:20 and it was mostly under control.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38009. Re: [bayscan] 2nd Alarm Structure Working San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 00:09:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38007 Message: 38009

Nice report Craig!!!!

Any pics from this one?

Oh, and nice work reporting the fire!

On Mar 11, 2005, at 3:59 PM, Craig Tomasello wrote:

>
> OOOOH OHHH ME ME ME PICK ME!!! <RAISING HAND>
>
> E23 E19 E29 E2 E5 T29 T2 USAR5 B1 B2 B29 Air 6 Hit 29
>
> Mattos Drive cross of Piedmont Rd. 1 block away from Piedmont HS.
> Initially reported by the Vice Principal of Sierramont Middle School
> and yours truly as this fire was 3 blocks away from my construction
> site.
>
> One fully involved structure with a 2nd structure exposed and started.
>
> E23 arrived about 2:50 and immediately ordered up a 2nd alarm.
>
> Move ups E8 to 2 T3 to 2 E30 to 23
>
> I left the fire around 3:20 and it was mostly under control.

38010. Jumper Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 00:37:34 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38010 Message: 38010

Units on scene near the north tower:

42.16 - CHP Violet

There's also Law Enforcement and Fire/EMS activity on the GGNRA trunking system. FD is setting up on Lime Point in case, well, the person jumps, and Coast Guard is on scene below the bridge.

Surprisingly this is the first one of these I've ever heard. BUMMER for the person...

-Inigo

38011. 2 planes quick @ low into moffett, 1646 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 00:46:49 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38011 Message: 38011

2 planes just buzzed over me in Sunnyvale, fairly close together.
Heard them, and by the time I looked up and saw them, they were GONE.
Anyone catch a glimpse?

38012. Re: 2 planes quick @ low into moffett, 1646 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 00:56:29 UTC
From: breigel
Topic: 38011 Message: 38012

2 F/A-18s, frequent Friday afternoon visitors to Moffett.

- Brad

--- Evan Platt wrote:
> 2 planes just buzzed over me in Sunnyvale, fairly close together.
> Heard them, and by the time I looked up and saw them, they were GONE.
> Anyone catch a glimpse?

38013. Re: [bayscan] 2nd Alarm Structure Working San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:17:01 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38007 Message: 38013

No pix :-(I don't have a sophisticated enough camera!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38014. Santa Clara Fire - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:24:31 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38014 Message: 38014

Coleman Avenue at Brokaw Avenue in front of FMC for a two vehicle collision requiring extrication.

BATTALION 1 (IC)
ENGINE 1 (medical group)
TRUCK 2 (extrication group)

Santa Clara Fire 2 - talkgroup 304
Santa Clara Fire 1 - 153.965

-C-

38015. Re: [bayscan] 2nd Alarm Structure Working San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:26:01 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38007 Message: 38015

Craig! For shame ;^)

We must get you a functional digital camera - even a camera cell phone
for just such occasions!

LOL!

On Mar 11, 2005, at 5:17 PM, Craig Tomasello wrote:

> No pix :-(I don't have a sophisticated enough camera!

38016. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara Fire - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:30:27 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38014 Message: 38016

COLEMAN IC requesting Santa Clara MEDIC 1 respond to the scene CODE 3
to assist in the extrication.

On Mar 11, 2005, at 5:24 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Coleman Avenue at Brokaw Avenue in front of FMC for a two vehicle
> collision requiring extrication.
>
> BATTALION 1 (IC)
> ENGINE 1 (medical group)
> TRUCK 2 (extrication group)
>
> Santa Clara Fire 2 - talkgroup 304
> Santa Clara Fire 1 - 153.965
>
> -C-

38017. Re: [bayscan] ALCO incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:41:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38017 Message: 38017

Didn't catch location , ALCO just asked Battalion 3811 if he wanted PIO because of lot of media calls ????

on Tac 5 / 17648 @ 1740 hours

anyone got an idea .....nothing on CHP webpage .... might be off Niles Canyon unsure if its a fire or crash

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38018. Re: [bayscan] ALCO incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:44:31 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38017 Message: 38018

vehicle accident niles canyon. chp has both sides of niles closed.

caladded@... wrote:

>Didn't catch location , ALCO just asked Battalion 3811 if he wanted PIO
>because of lot of media calls ????
>
> on Tac 5 / 17648 @ 1740 hours
>
>anyone got an idea ......nothing on CHP webpage .... might be off Niles
>Canyon unsure if its a fire or crash
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38019. Re: [bayscan] ALCO incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:48:48 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38017 Message: 38019

appears to have been a tc in canal. extricating victim now out of water

Ben wrote:

> vehicle accident niles canyon. chp has both sides of niles closed.
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>
>> Didn't catch location , ALCO just asked Battalion 3811 if he wanted
>> PIO because of lot of media calls ????
>>
>> on Tac 5 / 17648 @ 1740 hours
>> anyone got an idea ......nothing on CHP webpage .... might be off
>> Niles Canyon unsure if its a fire or crash
>>
>> ED
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

38020. Re: [bayscan] ALCO incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:48:38 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38017 Message: 38020

Heard something about a water rescue, but no more specifics.

On Mar 11, 2005, at 5:41 PM, caladded@... wrote:

> anyone got an idea ......nothing on CHP webpage .... might be off Niles
> Canyon unsure if its a fire or crash

38021. Re: ALCO incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 01:51:31 UTC
From: Fast Eddie
Topic: 38017 Message: 38021

Incident: 1967 Location: SR84 AT PLEASANTON-SUNOL RD Map Page:
734 6D Info as of: 3/11/2005 5:51:17 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
5:17PM - FREMONT REQ CHP FOR 1184 / FD BLKG RDWY
5:17PM - FOR RESCUE / KIDS STUCK IN WATER
5:17PM - REQ ETA

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Craig Rose <craigarose@e...> wrote:
> Heard something about a water rescue, but no more specifics.
>
> On Mar 11, 2005, at 5:41 PM, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> > anyone got an idea ......nothing on CHP webpage .... might be off
Niles
> > Canyon unsure if its a fire or crash

38022. RE: Alco incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 02:20:09 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38022 Message: 38022

It was 3 kids one stuck in a dam and other 2 getting into trouble trying to help out the other. Also comms on this on Fremont PD Tg 30768 also hear on AMR Tac channel.

38023. RE: alco incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 02:21:28 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38023 Message: 38023

so how did we think it was a car in the canal? I never heard any indication of that...From dispatch I heard it as kids stuck in a damn.

38024. Re: Jumper Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 02:42:33 UTC
From: amkarydas
Topic: 38010 Message: 38024

Hi,
I am trying to program my Pro94 scanner with the GGNRA trunked system.
I have the following Frequencies
416.025
417.875
419.825
417.275
417.675
419.625
I have the choice of E2-hi for Motorola Type II or e2-UHF. does anyone know which one I should choose?
Thanks
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> Units on scene near the north tower:
>
> 42.16 - CHP Violet
>
> There's also Law Enforcement and Fire/EMS activity on the GGNRA
> trunking system. FD is setting up on Lime Point in case, well, the
> person jumps, and Coast Guard is on scene below the bridge.
>
> Surprisingly this is the first one of these I've ever heard. BUMMER
> for the person...
>
> -Inigo

38025. Re: Jumper Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 03:51:14 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38010 Message: 38025

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "amkarydas" <akarydas@p...> wrote:
>
> Hi,
> I am trying to program my Pro94 scanner with the GGNRA trunked
system.
> I have the following Frequencies
> 416.025
> 417.875
> 419.825
> 417.275
> 417.675
> 419.625
> I have the choice of E2-hi for Motorola Type II or e2-UHF. does
anyone know which one I
> should choose?
> Thanks

Hiya. All you need for the freqs are the control channels:
416.025 -- North Site
417.275 -- South Site

These are UHF frequencies so choose the e2-UHF option. You'll want to
set each freq to MOT in addition to the bank type. Also, since it's
UHF you'll have to set the Base/Offset/Step Size. They are:

Custom Frequency Table

Base: 413.0000
Spacing: 380
Offset: 25 kHz

Check out the talkgroup info on
http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=349 .

-Inigo

38026. Re: [bayscan] Re: Jumper Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 03:53:14 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38010 Message: 38026

so, what does this have to do with a jumper from the Golden Gate Bridge???

----- Original Message -----
From: "amkarydas" <akarydas@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2005 6:42 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Jumper Golden Gate Bridge

>
>
> Hi,
> I am trying to program my Pro94 scanner with the GGNRA trunked system.
> I have the following Frequencies
> 416.025
> 417.875
> 419.825
> 417.275
> 417.675
> 419.625
> I have the choice of E2-hi for Motorola Type II or e2-UHF. does anyone
know which one I
> should choose?
> Thanks
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
> >
> > Units on scene near the north tower:
> >
> > 42.16 - CHP Violet
> >
> > There's also Law Enforcement and Fire/EMS activity on the GGNRA
> > trunking system. FD is setting up on Lime Point in case, well, the
> > person jumps, and Coast Guard is on scene below the bridge.
> >
> > Surprisingly this is the first one of these I've ever heard. BUMMER
> > for the person...
> >
> > -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

38027. Shooting - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 04:37:57 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37931 Message: 38027

10-71 victim shot in the right eye at Sinclair Drive cross of Kammerer.
Victim is still breathing.

Partial list of units attached:

61W4
61M1
61M5
62M4
6M10
61M3

Working on 460.200 - San Jose 3

38028. Re: [bayscan] Shooting - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 04:52:14 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37931 Message: 38028

Update on 10-71 with victim shot in the right eye at Sinclair cross of Kammerer.

Partial list of units attached:

6M10
61M9
61M5
62M4
61M3
61M2
61M1
61W4
8369 (K-9)
8339 (K-9)
8771

Working on 460.200 - San Jose 3

Suspect is HM, 5' 8", 165 lbs., white shirt with black stripe.

38029. Re: [bayscan] 2nd Alarm Structure Working San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 04:40:15 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38007 Message: 38029

i have a nikon d1h i am looking to sell.... contact me offlist if interested...
On Friday, Mar 11, 2005, at 17:26 US/Pacific, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Craig! For shame ;^)
>
> We must get you a functional digital camera - even a camera cell phone
> for just such occasions!
>
> LOL!
>
> On Mar 11, 2005, at 5:17 PM, Craig Tomasello wrote:
>
>> No pix :-(I don't have a sophisticated enough camera!
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38030. Re: [bayscan] Shooting - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 04:56:46 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 37931 Message: 38030

At 08:52 PM 3/11/2005, you wrote:

>Suspect is HM, 5' 8", 165 lbs., white shirt with black stripe.

I hope he looks good with black stripes.

38031. Re: 2 planes quick @ low into moffett, 1646 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 10:17:09 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 38011 Message: 38031

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> 2 planes just buzzed over me in Sunnyvale, fairly close together.
> Heard them, and by the time I looked up and saw them, they were
GONE.
> Anyone catch a glimpse?

2/F-18's "KNite 31/32Flt. Tailcode/nose#: VWxx & VWxx, VMFA-314
from MCAS Miramar
On Tower 346.25 // 119.55 for the Carrier RH Break RW 32
They looked great fast and ...LOW!

You may hear them depart Sat Morning?
Possible RH turn Departure to over San Jose
for your viewing

38032. Re: 2 planes quick @ low into moffett, 1646 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 13:32:16 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38011 Message: 38032

> > Anyone catch a glimpse?
>
> 2/F-18's "KNite 31/32Flt. Tailcode/nose#: VWxx & VWxx,
> VMFA-314 from MCAS Miramar

Actually, they were KNIGHT from VFA-154 Black Knights, tailcode NG.
The two similar callsigns makes everything quite confusing.

I'm not sure if they were -31 flight or -21 flight. Air Traffic
Control referred to them as -21. Moffett called them -31. I didn't
listen to the pilots closely enough to figure it out.

Hey Sagar, wake up before 11am and go get some photos. ;-)

- Brian

38033. Re: 2 planes quick @ low into moffett, 1646 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 15:10:23 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38011 Message: 38033

Actually, Buddy may have been right. They probably are VMFA-314.
Sorry Buddy.

- Brian

38034. San Jose Fire - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 16:29:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37485 Message: 38034

San Jose Fire responding to a rescue at 6189 San Ignacio for a car accident with extrication required. Engine Company 27 on scene requesting the rescue response.

TRUCK COMPANY 18
MED 30
BATTALION 13
ENGINE COMPANY 27

Working on San Jose PD - 460.525
San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

38035. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 16:33:57 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37485 Message: 38035

Engine Company 27 (SAN IGNACIO IC) confirms person trapped after colliding with tree. Victim is conscious at this time with MED 30 requesting to know if an air ambulance is required. Engine 27 advising Truck 18 to have rescue tool ready to deploy.

-C-

On Mar 12, 2005, at 8:29 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> San Jose Fire responding to a rescue at 6189 San Ignacio for a car
> accident with extrication required. Engine Company 27 on scene
> requesting the rescue response.
>
> TRUCK COMPANY 18
> MED 30
> BATTALION 13
> ENGINE COMPANY 27
>
> Working on San Jose PD - 460.525
> San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115
>
> -C-

38036. Apartment Fire - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 17:12:35 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38036 Message: 38036

Just for the record:

San Jose Fire with a full first alarm response to 1738 Ross Circle, #3 for apartment fire at 8:36 a.m.

IC advised couch fire and checking for extension. Request board up with three sheets of plywood.

Responding Units:

BATTALION 10
ENGINE 17
ENGINE COMPANY 22
TRUCK COMPANY 9
ENGINE 9

Working on San Jose Command 4 - 153.980

38037. Re: [bayscan] Apartment Fire - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-12 17:17:41 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38036 Message: 38037

Battalion 10 has requested Red Cross respond for one adult and one
child displaced by this fire.

Last update unless conditions warrant.

-C-

On Mar 12, 2005, at 9:12 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Just for the record:
>
> San Jose Fire with a full first alarm response to 1738 Ross Circle, #3
> for apartment fire at 8:36 a.m.
>
> IC advised couch fire and checking for extension. Request board up with
> three sheets of plywood.
>
> Responding Units:
>
> BATTALION 10
> ENGINE 17
> ENGINE COMPANY 22
> TRUCK COMPANY 9
> ENGINE 9
>
> Working on San Jose Command 4 - 153.980

38038. Re: 2 planes quick @ low into moffett, 1646 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 03:28:30 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 38011 Message: 38038

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian" <radioscanner@s...> wrote:
> Hey Sagar, wake up before 11am and go get some photos. ;-)
>
> - Brian

I'm on it! Two F/A-18 Hornets. VMFA-314; VW05, VW11. :)

~Sagar

38039. South City 3rd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 05:49:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38039 Message: 38039

South San Francisco working a 3rd alarm at 416 Alida cross of Country Club
, one block west of El Camino Real ....near South Spruce.
Apartment building , fire on 1st floor

Mutual Aid includes
North County Fire
Millbrae
Burlingame

154.04 Tac 23 (on firedispatch.com)
154.01
or
154.10

2149 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38040. Rescue Response - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 06:01:52 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38040 Message: 38040

San Jose Fire working a rescue at 130 Rio Robles West (cross of Tasman)
for a victim trapped in vehicle after car accident.

This was reported by ENGINE 29 already on scene.

ENGINE 29
TRUCK COMPANY 29
BATTALION 29

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

38041. Re: [bayscan] Rescue Response - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 06:02:45 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38040 Message: 38041

Extrication complete with CPR in progress.

-C-

On Mar 12, 2005, at 10:01 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> San Jose Fire working a rescue at 130 Rio Robles West (cross of Tasman)
> for a victim trapped in vehicle after car accident.
>
> This was reported by ENGINE 29 already on scene.
>
> ENGINE 29
> TRUCK COMPANY 29
> BATTALION 29
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

38042. south SF fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 06:16:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38042 Message: 38042

list of units

Engines 63 64 Sq 65 Quint 62 BC17 Rescue 63

Engines 94 51 81 Truck 95 BC 19 BC16 BS61 Rescue 61

Engines 52 37 ? Truck 51 BC 9

missing one Engine , maybe 95 .

sounds like they may have transported at least on victim . PAR just completed.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38043. San Jose 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 10:22:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38043 Message: 38043

Bien and Flint/ one story single family dwelling + garage
fully involved. possible occupants still inside

Engine 21(firetac)
Engine 31 (2 out)
Engine 24
USAR Co. 16
Truck Co. 2
Battalion 2(IC)
Battalion 13
Med 30

2nd alarm
Engine 16
Engine 2
Truck 2
Rescue -Medic 2
Air Unit 6
H.I.T. 29
Battalion 1
AMR

Command 3 / 154.115
Tac 5 /////// 155.88

0222 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38044. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 10:26:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38043 Message: 38044

aw..........goofed

2nd alarm Truck is 18 and Rescue-Medic 18

searching for occupants
AMR in staging as stand-by

this is off Flint about 6/7 blocks south of Tully

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38045. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 14:08:54 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38043 Message: 38045

Morning Ed!

Up late again? ;^)

I heard this fire dispatched as a full first after multiple calls. I have a question for you. I heard the "2 out" reference as well, and I am just wondering what exactly that means. I heard it twice yesterday, and I just don't recall hearing that before.

Thanks!

-C-

On Mar 13, 2005, at 2:22 AM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> Bien and Flint/ one story single family dwelling +
> garage
> fully involved. possible occupants still inside
>
> Engine 21(firetac)
> Engine 31 (2 out)
> Engine 24
> USAR Co. 16
> Truck Co. 2
> Battalion 2(IC)
> Battalion 13
> Med 30
> --------------------
> 2nd alarm
> Engine 16
> Engine 2
> Truck 2
> Rescue -Medic 2
> Air Unit 6
> H.I.T. 29
> Battalion 1
> AMR
>
> Command 3 / 154.115
> Tac 5 /////// 155.88
>
> 0222 hours
>
> ED

38046. Possible Rescue - San Jose Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 20:45:15 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38046 Message: 38046

San Jose Fire working a possible rescue for a vehicle into a pole with two AMR units on scene and one of the units was involved in the accident, but crew not injured.

Sorry, don't have exact address.

MONTEREY IC

E18
E26
T18
RM18
B1

Working San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

38047. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 2nd alarm----------AMR CRASH 1247 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 20:47:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38047 Message: 38047

2 out ....or 2+ 2 is the same as a RIT or RIC ....Rapid Intervention team or Rapid intervention Company.........used for fire fighter down calls...... the idea is , if 2 fire fighters go inside , they need 2 Outside ...just in case

last night E21 had their own supply line so they asked E31 to take " 2 out".

must have been pretty good working fire , under control was about an hour later.

NOTE> AMR involved in a crash right now on Monterey , think they said Tully.......into a pole , AMR crew is ok.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38048. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 2nd alarm----------AMR CRASH 1247 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 20:58:30 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38047 Message: 38048

Thanks Ed! I knew it would be something straight forward like that. Indeed, that was a nasty fire from what I gathered. Heard at least two safety announcements - one for a bowing garage wall and another for potential collapse on the D side of the home. I was amazed how stubborn this one was.

Thanks again, ED...

On Mar 13, 2005, at 12:47 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> 2 out ....or 2+ 2 is the same as a RIT or RIC ....Rapid
> Intervention team or Rapid intervention Company.........used for fire
> fighter down
> calls...... the idea is , if 2 fire fighters go inside ,
> they need 2
> Outside ...just in case
>
> last night E21 had their own supply line so they asked E31 to take
> " 2
> out".
>
> must have been pretty good working fire , under control was
> about
> an hour later.
>
> NOTE> AMR involved in a crash right now on Monterey , think they said
> Tully.......into a pole , AMR crew is ok.
>
> ED

38049. Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 21:43:35 UTC
From: Rob Mages
Topic: 38049 Message: 38049

Anything major going on? Eden Medical (Castro Valley)
has had 4 helo's in since 10:30. Three orange
choppers, one white.

My Pro-83 has been quiet, even though I'm less than a
mile from the pad.

Rob Mages, WB6CEL

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

38050. Re: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 22:12:04 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 38049 Message: 38050

the white one would be LifeFlight and we were just doing an interfacility there. I knew about 2 CalSTAR's bringing in trauma's because we had to move off the pad for them but not sure about any orange ones?? i thought CalSTAR-1 was Blue and White like the rest of 'em!
-boulrog

-----Original Message-----
From: Rob Mages <robgroups@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, 13 Mar 2005 13:43:35 -0800 (PST)
Subject: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Anything major going on? Eden Medical (Castro Valley)
has had 4 helo's in since 10:30. Three orange
choppers, one white.

My Pro-83 has been quiet, even though I'm less than a
mile from the pad.

Rob Mages, WB6CEL

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38051. Re: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 22:21:10 UTC
From: Rob Mages
Topic: 38049 Message: 38051

Thanks for the info, maybe I'm becoming color blind in
the high fog!

Interfacility transfer? I guess you mean between
Sanford and Eden Medical.

Thanks again!

Rob

--- Boulrog@... wrote:
> the white one would be LifeFlight and we were just
> doing an interfacility there. I knew about 2
> CalSTAR's bringing in trauma's because we had to
> move off the pad for them but not sure about any
> orange ones?? i thought CalSTAR-1 was Blue and White
> like the rest of 'em!
> -boulrog
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rob Mages <robgroups@...>
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sun, 13 Mar 2005 13:43:35 -0800 (PST)
> Subject: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro
> Valley
>
>
>
> Anything major going on? Eden Medical (Castro
> Valley)
> has had 4 helo's in since 10:30. Three orange
> choppers, one white.
>
> My Pro-83 has been quiet, even though I'm less than
> a
> mile from the pad.
>
> Rob Mages, WB6CEL
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam
> protection around
> http://mail.yahoo.com
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been
> removed]
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Find what you need with new enhanced search.
http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250

38052. Re: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 22:34:26 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 38049 Message: 38052

yah thats affirm :)

-----Original Message-----
From: Rob Mages <robgroups@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sun, 13 Mar 2005 14:21:10 -0800 (PST)
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Interfacility transfer? I guess you mean between
Sanford and Eden Medical.

Thanks again!

Rob

--- Boulrog@... wrote:
> the white one would be LifeFlight and we were just
> doing an interfacility there. I knew about 2
> CalSTAR's bringing in trauma's because we had to
> move off the pad for them but not sure about any
> orange ones?? i thought CalSTAR-1 was Blue and White
> like the rest of 'em!
> -boulrog
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rob Mages <robgroups@...>
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sun, 13 Mar 2005 13:43:35 -0800 (PST)
> Subject: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro
> Valley
>
>
>
> Anything major going on? Eden Medical (Castro
> Valley)
> has had 4 helo's in since 10:30. Three orange
> choppers, one white.
>
> My Pro-83 has been quiet, even though I'm less than
> a
> mile from the pad.
>
> Rob Mages, WB6CEL
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?

> Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam
> protection around
> http://mail.yahoo.com
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been
> removed]
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Find what you need with new enhanced search.
http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38053. RE: Heavy Air-med traffic in Castro valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 23:30:55 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38053 Message: 38053

Would not be suprising as it is the first fully nice sunny weekend.
usually brings people out of winter hibernation and more people to
parks and more outdoor activities as well as to the roads. Usually
the first nice couple of weekends of spring are busy..

38054. Re: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 23:41:26 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38049 Message: 38054

At 02:12 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:
>the white one would be LifeFlight and we were just doing an interfacility
>there. I knew about 2 CalSTAR's bringing in trauma's because we had to
>move off the pad for them but not sure about any orange ones?? i thought
>CalSTAR-1 was Blue and White like the rest of 'em!
>-boulrog

Calstar 1 is the BO-105, blue and white. Only orange I can think of is
maybe Reach?

38055. Re: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 23:41:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38049 Message: 38055

At 02:21 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:

>Interfacility transfer? I guess you mean between
>Sanford and Eden Medical.

Well technically inter facility means between any two facilities. :)

38056. Re: [bayscan] Heavy AIR-med traffic in Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-13 23:47:21 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 38049 Message: 38056

I know it's a strech, but, Coast Guard?
J.B. AD6WX

Calstar 1 is the BO-105, blue and white. Only orange I can think of is
maybe Reach?

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38057. Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:22:19 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 38057 Message: 38057

How many people know about APRS and how to use it????

check out www.findu.com for more information on APRS and lets see if someone can find me, if I'm found within a week I'll give that person a free 1 year subscription to World Radio

Start date will be 03/14/05 at 08:00 UTC

38058. overturned fuel truck

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:23:58 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 38058 Message: 38058

In South San Francisco around 1600 . Gateway and East Grand , both trailers of an 18 wheel rig. no injuries. some spillage , unknown amount. Full Hazmat reponse

154.100 Control 21
155.400 Control 22 Tac
488.4625 SSFPD

Engine 61
Engine 63
Squad 65
Quint 62
Engine 81
Hazmat 13
Hazmat 30
OES 22
Battalion 17 (IC)
Battalion 4

38059. Re: [bayscan] Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:29:22 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38057 Message: 38059

At 05:22 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:

>How many people know about APRS and how to use it????
>
>check out www.findu.com for more information on APRS and lets see if
>someone can find me, if I'm found within a week I'll give that
>person a free 1 year subscription to World Radio
>
>Start date will be 03/14/05 at 08:00 UTC

What if I've found you now? Do I win? ;)

I've looked at APRS. Nice idea..

I've heard they're adding a feature so if you're at a HRO or swapmeet, and
your spouse / SO looks up your location, it will show you as being at work?

38060. Re: [bayscan] Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:32:46 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38057 Message: 38060

Do I have you hunt you down like Osama (well, with better results) and
"serve you" in the legal way?

scan_boss wrote:
>
> How many people know about APRS and how to use it????
>
> check out www.findu.com for more information on APRS and lets see if
> someone can find me, if I'm found within a week I'll give that
> person a free 1 year subscription to World Radio
>
> Start date will be 03/14/05 at 08:00 UTC
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38061. Re: [bayscan] Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:36:21 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38057 Message: 38061

you'll need to bring me the message that is with the data, and NO you
don't win today

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 17:29:22 -0800, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
> At 05:22 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:
>
> >How many people know about APRS and how to use it????
> >
> >check out www.findu.com for more information on APRS and lets see if
> >someone can find me, if I'm found within a week I'll give that
> >person a free 1 year subscription to World Radio
> >
> >Start date will be 03/14/05 at 08:00 UTC
>
> What if I've found you now? Do I win? ;)
>
> I've looked at APRS. Nice idea..
>
> I've heard they're adding a feature so if you're at a HRO or swapmeet, and
> your spouse / SO looks up your location, it will show you as being at work?
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> ~-------------------------------~
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38062. Re: [bayscan] Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:47:30 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38057 Message: 38062

At 05:36 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:
>you'll need to bring me the message that is with the data, and NO you
>don't win today

Oh. Gotcha. I'm still non-GPS non APRS. :)

38063. Some frequency confirmations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:49:28 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38063 Message: 38063

I have 453.900 as Oakland airport Fire+ ABC security. Is this a working frequency? How about 4533625 as airport operations??

38064. Re: [bayscan] Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:52:01 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38057 Message: 38064

Kind of like a moving "geocache".

To keep this even remote on topic, does anyone know the details on these GPS ankle bracelets for house arrest, such as the frequency they use?

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 05:36 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:
>
>>you'll need to bring me the message that is with the data, and NO you
>>don't win today
>
>
> Oh. Gotcha. I'm still non-GPS non APRS. :)

38065. Re: [bayscan] Some frequency confirmations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:53:40 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38063 Message: 38065

> I have 453.900 as Oakland airport Fire+ ABC security.

I hear traffic on there all the time.

38066. Re: [bayscan] Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:56:52 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38057 Message: 38066

144.390

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 17:52:01 -0800, gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
> Kind of like a moving "geocache".
>
> To keep this even remote on topic, does anyone know the details on these
> GPS ankle bracelets for house arrest, such as the frequency they use?
>
>
>
> Evan Platt wrote:
> > At 05:36 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:
> >
> >>you'll need to bring me the message that is with the data, and NO you
> >>don't win today
> >
> >
> > Oh. Gotcha. I'm still non-GPS non APRS. :)
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38067. Re: [bayscan] Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 01:59:01 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38057 Message: 38067

At 05:52 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:
>Kind of like a moving "geocache".
>
>To keep this even remote on topic, does anyone know the details on these
> GPS ankle bracelets for house arrest, such as the frequency they use?

I'm not sure those transmit do they?

Anyone remember the cheezy movie Wedlock?
http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0103239/ .

Premise is a huge prison camp. Low number of guards. Each person wears a 'necklace' type device, and is paired to someone else in the camp. If you go more than <X> feet from your 'paired' person, your head explodes. Of course, our hero figures out who he's paired with - oh, I'm sorry, did I spoil the movie?

There would obviously be no movie if it were set to some 'device' on the grunds of the camp - i.e. if EVERYONE left the camp at once, EVERYONE would go kaboom. Imagine that device for someone on house arrest.. <grin>

38068. Re: [bayscan] Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 02:16:53 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38057 Message: 38068

The bracelet and phone "talk", so it seems there must be some sort of link. Here is what I got from Wired. Now it may be so weak that it isn't licensed, but if you had access to the system, you could get the FCC ID and look it up. Surely someone on the list is under house arrest?? ;-)
----
http://www.wired.com/news/technology/0,1282,17296,00.html
Currently, nearly 1,000 North Carolina offenders serving home-detention sentences are monitored with the standard monitoring system. An ankle bracelet worn by the lawbreaker is tracked by a transmitter connected to the telephone. A computer must call the phone number to activate the transmitter and check on him.

A similar scheme in "The Running Man":
http://www.angelfire.com/tx3/80schild/runningman1.html

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 05:52 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:
>
>>Kind of like a moving "geocache".
>>
>>To keep this even remote on topic, does anyone know the details on these
>> GPS ankle bracelets for house arrest, such as the frequency they use?
>
>
> I'm not sure those transmit do they?
>
> Anyone remember the cheezy movie Wedlock?
> http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0103239/ .
>
> Premise is a huge prison camp. Low number of guards. Each person wears a
> 'necklace' type device, and is paired to someone else in the camp. If you
> go more than <X> feet from your 'paired' person, your head explodes. Of
> course, our hero figures out who he's paired with - oh, I'm sorry, did I
> spoil the movie?
>
> There would obviously be no movie if it were set to some 'device' on the
> grunds of the camp - i.e. if EVERYONE left the camp at once, EVERYONE would
> go kaboom. Imagine that device for someone on house arrest.. <grin>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38069. Re: [bayscan] Some frequency confirmations

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 02:24:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38063 Message: 38069

Oakland Fire uses Talkgroup 541 for Airport incidents

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38070. Oakland Going Dig????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:01:59 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38070 Message: 38070

This is from radio Ref.com dated March 12th
P-25 in Oakland, CA (Follow-up)
Posted on Saturday, March 12 @ 18:04:00 CST by blantonl
Submitted by: kb4cvn

Oakland's new radios pass test
City, regional agencies get green light to buy public-safety equipment

With a preliminary battery of tests this week in Arizona, the supplier of public-safety radio systems to Oakland, Richmond and the Bay Area Rapid Transit District (MA/COM) proved that its latest radios will be able to communicate with other manufacturers' radios, potentially saving the region millions of dollars.

Federal radio experts sent by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security had recommended Oakland not buy new radios manufactured by Lowell, Mass. -based M/A-COM unless the company showed its radios met the latest standards for interoperability.

As of Wednesday, they do. The test results remove the last barrier to Oakland's planned purchase of about 2,000 hand held radios for at least $8 million to replace the decade-old radios now carried by city police, firefighters, public works engineers and other employees.

For police, the radios are their single most used piece of personal equipment and nearly the most expensive. Each new handset will cost the city about $2,700, not including likely future software upgrades costing $1,000 to $1,500 apiece. In essence, what city workers would get are highly rugged, handheld computers reliant on software to gain access to a radio network, compress and send messages.

Until recently, makers of almost all public-safety radios made the software and the radio signature of the transmissions proprietary and so unable to communicate with other makers' radios. But after the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, there has been a national drive to drop those barriers so first responders in different cities and counties can talk in a disaster as well as routine emergencies.

The East Bay is moving in that direction, toward a common, regional radio network shared by at least Alameda and Contra Costa counties and all of their cities, now operating with a patchwork of radio systems that largely cannot communicate with one another without delay.

One way of getting different radios to communicate is to apply a set of technical standards known as Project 25, or P25 for short.

Oakland's supplier, M/A-COM, had made other radios in other frequency bands meet the P25 standards but until recently had not proved the same thing for radios operating at 800 MHz, the frequency used by BART, Alameda County, Oakland, San Francisco, Richmond and smaller cities that share their radio networks. Without proven interoperability, federal radio experts advised Oakland against the purchase. Oakland officials insisted on a test.

M/A-COM quickly shipped radios to Arizona, where Phoenix, Mesa and other cities are joined in a massive common radio network spanning 2,000 square miles. Once programmed onto the network, M/A-COM's radios shared messages and other alerting functions with P25 radios made by Motorola and E.F. Johnson.

"It worked fine," said Joe Noce, Mesa project manager for the Phoenix-Mesa network. "It's not vaporware, they do have a functioning radio."

John Powell, head of the Homeland Security Department's expert panel for most of California, applauded M/A-COM and said the results cleared up any doubts. His panel is rewriting its recommendations to Oakland, advising the city to require the new P25 features in its radios.

"They've done a yeoman's job in getting it out there," he said.

Oakland communications officials could not be reached Thursday, but the tests are good news for the city, which by some estimates has $30 million invested in radio equipment that M/A-COM maintains. Had the radios not worked out, Oakland would have been faced with fast-aging radios and the prospect of paying a larger share of the cost for a new network of transmission towers and repeaters.

"The city of Oakland has invested a lot of money in infrastructure, and they can buy radios for that infrastructure now and still be ready for a regional system in the future," said Greg Farmer, product manager for M/A-COM.

Contact Ian Hoffman at ihoffman@....

Note: see the full story here

38071. Re: [bayscan] Oakland Going Dig????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:07:55 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38070 Message: 38071

hehehe finnnaaallllyy i will get to hear oakland HOPEFULLY

John Gotti wrote:

> This is from radio Ref.com dated March 12th
> P-25 in Oakland, CA (Follow-up)
>Posted on Saturday, March 12 @ 18:04:00 CST by blantonl
>Submitted by: kb4cvn
>
>
> Oakland's new radios pass test
>City, regional agencies get green light to buy public-safety
>equipment
>
>With a preliminary battery of tests this week in Arizona, the
>supplier of public-safety radio systems to Oakland, Richmond and the
>Bay Area Rapid Transit District (MA/COM) proved that its latest
>radios will be able to communicate with other manufacturers' radios,
>potentially saving the region millions of dollars.
>
>Federal radio experts sent by the U.S. Department of Homeland
>Security had recommended Oakland not buy new radios manufactured by
>Lowell, Mass. -based M/A-COM unless the company showed its radios met
>the latest standards for interoperability.
>
>As of Wednesday, they do. The test results remove the last barrier to
>Oakland's planned purchase of about 2,000 hand held radios for at
>least $8 million to replace the decade-old radios now carried by city
>police, firefighters, public works engineers and other employees.
>
>For police, the radios are their single most used piece of personal
>equipment and nearly the most expensive. Each new handset will cost
>the city about $2,700, not including likely future software upgrades
>costing $1,000 to $1,500 apiece. In essence, what city workers would
>get are highly rugged, handheld computers reliant on software to gain
>access to a radio network, compress and send messages.
>
>Until recently, makers of almost all public-safety radios made the
>software and the radio signature of the transmissions proprietary and
>so unable to communicate with other makers' radios. But after the
>Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, there has been a national drive to
>drop those barriers so first responders in different cities and
>counties can talk in a disaster as well as routine emergencies.
>
>The East Bay is moving in that direction, toward a common, regional

>radio network shared by at least Alameda and Contra Costa counties
>and all of their cities, now operating with a patchwork of radio
>systems that largely cannot communicate with one another without
>delay.
>
>One way of getting different radios to communicate is to apply a set
>of technical standards known as Project 25, or P25 for short.
>
>Oakland's supplier, M/A-COM, had made other radios in other frequency
>bands meet the P25 standards but until recently had not proved the
>same thing for radios operating at 800 MHz, the frequency used by
>BART, Alameda County, Oakland, San Francisco, Richmond and smaller
>cities that share their radio networks. Without proven
>interoperability, federal radio experts advised Oakland against the
>purchase. Oakland officials insisted on a test.
>
>M/A-COM quickly shipped radios to Arizona, where Phoenix, Mesa and
>other cities are joined in a massive common radio network spanning
>2,000 square miles. Once programmed onto the network, M/A-COM's
>radios shared messages and other alerting functions with P25 radios
>made by Motorola and E.F. Johnson.
>
>"It worked fine," said Joe Noce, Mesa project manager for the Phoenix-
>Mesa network. "It's not vaporware, they do have a functioning radio."
>
>John Powell, head of the Homeland Security Department's expert panel
>for most of California, applauded M/A-COM and said the results
>cleared up any doubts. His panel is rewriting its recommendations to
>Oakland, advising the city to require the new P25 features in its
>radios.
>
>"They've done a yeoman's job in getting it out there," he said.
>
>Oakland communications officials could not be reached Thursday, but
>the tests are good news for the city, which by some estimates has $30
>million invested in radio equipment that M/A-COM maintains. Had the
>radios not worked out, Oakland would have been faced with fast-aging
>radios and the prospect of paying a larger share of the cost for a
>new network of transmission towers and repeaters.
>
>"The city of Oakland has invested a lot of money in infrastructure,
>and they can buy radios for that infrastructure now and still be
>ready for a regional system in the future," said Greg Farmer, product
>manager for M/A-COM.
>
>
>Contact Ian Hoffman at ihoffman@....
>
>
>Note: see the full story here

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38072. RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:13:15 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38072 Message: 38072

why would it make it easier for you to hear Oakland? Just curious. I Know that Thier system sucks bad but would switching to a Dig system make a difference? and does anyone know how long it might be before the switch? are we looking at months? years? days?

38073. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:21:54 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38072 Message: 38073

It sounds like eventually. I thought I read an article couple weeks back that they were in process of precurring funds for a super system between alco, oakland and coco.. thought i saw motorola had the bid.. maybe i read it wrong

John Gotti wrote:

> why would it make it easier for you to hear Oakland? Just curious. I
>Know that Thier system sucks bad but would switching to a Dig system
>make a difference? and does anyone know how long it might be before
>the switch? are we looking at months? years? days?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38074. San Jose PD Freq troubles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:25:05 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38074 Message: 38074

Howcome I have very scratchy comms on almost all the San Jose PD freqs yet I get Los Gatos PD loud and clear.. I also get agencies WAY further away in the same freq range loud and clear.. But San Jose PD is always scratchy and barely listenable..

38075. 2nd alarm on arrival - Redwood City @ 9:22 p.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:27:05 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 38075 Message: 38075

Battalion Chief 3 made a 2nd alarm on arrival at 560 Hurlingame Avenue in Redwood City. Staging at Halsey at Hurlingame, call came in as a car fire in a garage with a female burn victim.

First Alarm Units:
Battalion 3, Engines 5, 9, and 11, and Truck 9

Second Alarm Units:
Battalions 1 and 2, Engines 10, 3, and 77, Truck 1, and Engine 12 will be bringing Breathing Support 9 to the scene.

Fireground is Control 5 - 156.105 and can usually be monitored on FireDispatch.com

Jeff

38076. Re: [bayscan] San Jose PD Freq troubles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:27:29 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38074 Message: 38076

what kind of equipment and where?

John Gotti <bayareascanman@...> wrote:
Howcome I have very scratchy comms on almost all the San Jose PD
freqs yet I get Los Gatos PD loud and clear.. I also get agencies WAY
further away in the same freq range loud and clear.. But San Jose PD
is always scratchy and barely listenable..

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38077. 2nd alarm RWC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:28:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38077 Message: 38077

Redwood City / 560 Hurlingame @ Halsey
Unknown type building.....lots of apts in this area

Engines 11- 5- 9 Truck 9 BC3

2) Engines 10- 3- 77 Truck 1 BCs 1-2 Air 9

156.105 tac
153.89 Command
2128 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38078. Re: [bayscan] San Jose PD Freq troubles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:31:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38074 Message: 38078

I get most SJPD freqs loud and clear , except 5 which is always weak.

from Palo Alto area.....inside scanners without external antenna

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38079. Re: [bayscan] San Jose PD Freq troubles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:37:42 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38074 Message: 38079

I listen on my Kenwood TM-V7A in the car and have never had any trouble.

caladded@... wrote:I get most SJPD freqs loud and clear , except 5 which is always weak.

from Palo Alto area.....inside scanners without external antenna

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38080. RE: San Jose PD freq troubles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:37:23 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38080 Message: 38080

Yes Ed thats cause your practically right next door.. I am in the Tri-Valley/Dublin area with a BC 780 and an out door antenna on a 20 ft mast on the 2nd story of my place. I should get them clear. Maybe I need to "re-load" the freqs. Not sure.

38081. Re: [bayscan] RE: San Jose PD freq troubles

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:41:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38080 Message: 38081

I can get SJPD from SF with little problem either , thats 45 mile , I assume you have hills in between you and SJ

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38082. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:44:35 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38082

John Gotti wrote:

>
> why would it make it easier for you to hear Oakland? Just curious. I
> Know that Thier system sucks bad but would switching to a Dig system
> make a difference? and does anyone know how long it might be before
> the switch? are we looking at months? years? days?

As noted in the article, there is discussion of a regional system between Oakland, Alameda County, and Contra Costa County. By procuring P25 compliant radios now, Oakland would not have to replace their sets when such a system were to come into being (say 3-4 years).

Don

38083. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:49:47 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38072 Message: 38083

But this does require junking their EDACS, right? And those radios
aren't decades old. ;-)

Don Root wrote:
> John Gotti wrote:
>
>
>> why would it make it easier for you to hear Oakland? Just curious. I
>>Know that Thier system sucks bad but would switching to a Dig system
>>make a difference? and does anyone know how long it might be before
>>the switch? are we looking at months? years? days?
>
>
> As noted in the article, there is discussion of a regional system
> between Oakland, Alameda County, and Contra Costa County. By procuring
> P25 compliant radios now, Oakland would not have to replace their sets
> when such a system were to come into being (say 3-4 years).
>
> Don
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38084. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 05:50:54 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38072 Message: 38084

Buying M/A-COM P25 radios means they can use them on the existing EDACS infrastructure until a digital system is up.

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:But this does require junking their EDACS, right? And those radios
aren't decades old. ;-)

Don Root wrote:
> John Gotti wrote:
>
>
>> why would it make it easier for you to hear Oakland? Just curious. I
>>Know that Thier system sucks bad but would switching to a Dig system
>>make a difference? and does anyone know how long it might be before
>>the switch? are we looking at months? years? days?
>
>
> As noted in the article, there is discussion of a regional system
> between Oakland, Alameda County, and Contra Costa County. By procuring
> P25 compliant radios now, Oakland would not have to replace their sets
> when such a system were to come into being (say 3-4 years).
>
> Don
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Easier than ever with enhanced search. Learn more.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38085. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:02:25 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 38072 Message: 38085

At 09:44 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:

>John Gotti wrote:
>
> >
> > why would it make it easier for you to hear Oakland?
>
>As noted in the article, there is discussion of a regional system
>between Oakland, Alameda County, and Contra Costa County. By procuring
>P25 compliant radios now, Oakland would not have to replace their sets
>when such a system were to come into being (say 3-4 years).
>
>Don

I assume they would patch them all together then with each agency keeping their
proprietary trunking systems ? ALCO has a Motorola
system, Richmond, BART and OAK have EDACS trunking.

Or do the new EDACS radios have capability for a software upgrade to
be able to talk on a Motorola digital trunking system. (highly unlikely).

Since EDACS P25 digital trunking (either conventional or "open sky") and
Motorola P25 astro-digital trunking cannot
talk to each other (except on simplex in non-trunk mode) , I'm having a
difficult time trying to make sense of this article.

So what if they are P25 compliant, if the underlying trunking systems are
incompatible, they still can't talk to each other except
on simplex or a patch.

Doesn't sound like it solves the problem at all, unless they plan on EDACS
for the new region-wide system.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38086. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:01:07 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38072 Message: 38086

Are you 100% sure about this, that is the radios will do both EDACS and
Moto trunking? It's not just a matter of having P25.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> Buying M/A-COM P25 radios means they can use them on the existing EDACS infrastructure
until a digital system is up.
>
> gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:But this does require junking their EDACS, right? And those
radios
> aren't decades old. ;-)
>
>
>
> Don Root wrote:
>
>>John Gotti wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>why would it make it easier for you to hear Oakland? Just curious. I
>>>Know that Thier system sucks bad but would switching to a Dig system
>>>make a difference? and does anyone know how long it might be before
>>>the switch? are we looking at months? years? days?
>>
>>
>>As noted in the article, there is discussion of a regional system
>>between Oakland, Alameda County, and Contra Costa County. By procuring
>>P25 compliant radios now, Oakland would not have to replace their sets
>>when such a system were to come into being (say 3-4 years).
>>
>>Don
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Mail - Easier than ever with enhanced search. Learn more.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38087. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:02:15 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38087

gary wrote:

> But this does require junking their EDACS, right? And those radios
> aren't decades old. ;-)

The EDACS system is now 13 years old; they are buying replacement portables now. They have requirements for coverage outside of their current system footprint (other side of the Caldecott, for example). Obtaining radios that meet an open standard like P-25 will allow them to work in a regional system.

38088. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:10:06 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38088

KG6VXT wrote:

> I assume they would patch them all together then with each agency keeping their
> proprietary trunking systems ? ALCO has a Motorola
> system, Richmond, BART and OAK have EDACS trunking.

Those are the *current* platforms, yes.

> Or do the new EDACS radios have capability for a software upgrade to
> be able to talk on a Motorola digital trunking system. (highly unlikely).

Not an upgrade - the radios will do P25 trunking, as demonstrated last
week in the Mesa Arizona (Motorola-supplied) P25 testbed trunking system.

> Since EDACS P25 digital trunking (either conventional or "open sky") and
> Motorola P25 astro-digital trunking cannot
> talk to each other (except on simplex in non-trunk mode) , I'm having a
> difficult time trying to make sense of this article.

EDACS is not P25 compliant trunking. Astro-Digital is not P25 compliant
trunking (and Motorola has announced intent to discontinue Astro-Digital
Spectras as of the end of this year).

> So what if they are P25 compliant, if the underlying trunking systems are
> incompatible, they still can't talk to each other except
> on simplex or a patch.

What is being proposed is a regional P25 Trunking system. Open Sky
would allow the legacy Oakland system to patch groups between systems.
P25 trunking capability allows Oakland units to work outside of the
Oakland footprint. For Alameda, it is an upgrade and expansion; for
Contra Costa, it means finally moving to a common platform with the rest
of the East Bay.

Where is the down side?

38089. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:11:06 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38072 Message: 38089

So your are saying the P25 radio they are now buying will work on both
EDACS and Moto.

Don Root wrote:
> gary wrote:
>
>
>>But this does require junking their EDACS, right? And those radios
>>aren't decades old. ;-)
>
>
> The EDACS system is now 13 years old; they are buying replacement
> portables now. They have requirements for coverage outside of their
> current system footprint (other side of the Caldecott, for example).
> Obtaining radios that meet an open standard like P-25 will allow them to
> work in a regional system.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38090. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:13:14 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38090

gary wrote:

> Are you 100% sure about this, that is the radios will do both EDACS and
> Moto trunking? It's not just a matter of having P25.

Yes. That was what the tests done Tuesday in Mesa showed - M/A-COM 7100 series portables operating in the P25 trunking environment, communicating with Motorola, EFJ, and other vendor radios - Trunked, not simplex.

Don

38091. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:17:48 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38091

gary wrote:

> So your are saying the P25 radio they are now buying will work on both
> EDACS and Moto.

They work on both EDACS and P25. Motorola "SMARTNET" is not P25.
Motorola happens to be the first manufacturer of P25 trunked
infrastructure. Other companies make P25 repeaters that can be added to
the infrastructure. I suspect that with a dual platform portable,
M/A-COM P25 trunking infrastructure may not be far behind.

38092. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:38:30 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 38072 Message: 38092

At 10:10 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:

>What is being proposed is a regional P25 Trunking system. Open Sky
>would allow the legacy Oakland system to patch groups between systems.
>P25 trunking capability allows Oakland units to work outside of the
>Oakland footprint. For Alameda, it is an upgrade and expansion; for
>Contra Costa, it means finally moving to a common platform with the rest
>of the East Bay.
>
>Where is the down side?

OK, makes more sense.

No down side. Just trying to understand the reasoning.

I was just curious where my tax $$$ were going to go, since OAK made (in my opinion) a BONEHEAD decision to
go with MaCom in the first place after the Oakland fire storm, and be incompatible with surrounding jurisdictions.

So this allows them to use current infrastructure until a regional P25 compliant system is turned up with non-proprietary trunking.

So the next question is...

Is this proposed regional system a candidate for the new re-farmed 800mhz spectrum after NexTel moves, or the proposed 700mhz spectrum ?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38093. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:41:23 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38093

KG6VXT wrote:

> Is this proposed regional system a candidate for the new re-farmed 800mhz
> spectrum after NexTel moves, or the proposed 700mhz spectrum ?

Yes.

Contra Costa County drew the short stick in the original 1991 band plan. If the Northern CA Region can "repack" the band (now that we have 15 years of practical experience under our belts), the hope is to create additional channels that the regional system could use in 806 MHz. (Any such new plan would implement with rebanding in about 18-24 months.) If not enough channels can be carved out, then the 700 MHz spectrum would be tapped. As it is, 700 MHz may end up adding channels to the system (and others in the area).

38094. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:49:21 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38072 Message: 38094

I thought I had heard that CoCo County was going to be doing something
in the 220 band and CHP was going to the 700mz

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 22:41:23 -0800, Don Root <k6cdo@...> wrote:
> KG6VXT wrote:
>
> > Is this proposed regional system a candidate for the new re-farmed 800mhz
> > spectrum after NexTel moves, or the proposed 700mhz spectrum ?
>
> Yes.
>
> Contra Costa County drew the short stick in the original 1991 band plan.
> If the Northern CA Region can "repack" the band (now that we have 15
> years of practical experience under our belts), the hope is to create
> additional channels that the regional system could use in 806 MHz. (Any
> such new plan would implement with rebanding in about 18-24 months.) If
> not enough channels can be carved out, then the 700 MHz spectrum would
> be tapped. As it is, 700 MHz may end up adding channels to the system
> (and others in the area).
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38095. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:51:11 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38072 Message: 38095

Doesn't 700Mhz require HDTV to replace the current system?

Are they going to call those on the system the 700 club?

Don Root wrote:
> KG6VXT wrote:
>
>
>>Is this proposed regional system a candidate for the new re-farmed 800mhz
>>spectrum after NexTel moves, or the proposed 700mhz spectrum ?
>
>
> Yes.
>
> Contra Costa County drew the short stick in the original 1991 band plan.
> If the Northern CA Region can "repack" the band (now that we have 15
> years of practical experience under our belts), the hope is to create
> additional channels that the regional system could use in 806 MHz. (Any
> such new plan would implement with rebanding in about 18-24 months.) If
> not enough channels can be carved out, then the 700 MHz spectrum would
> be tapped. As it is, 700 MHz may end up adding channels to the system
> (and others in the area).
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38096. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 06:51:54 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38096

Scanner 4 wrote:
> I thought I had heard that CoCo County was going to be doing something
> in the 220 band and CHP was going to the 700mz

For the moment, CHP isn't going anywhere.

CoCo County has been looking at the possibility of a 220 MHz system for
public works.

38097. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 07:02:47 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38097

gary wrote:

> Doesn't 700Mhz require HDTV to replace the current system?

The current TV stations in the band (Channels 63, 64, 68, and 69) need
to move out of the band first, yes.

> Are they going to call those on the system the 700 club?

Bad.

38098. Re: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 07:17:42 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 38072 Message: 38098

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Don Root <k6cdo@c...> wrote:
> EDACS is not P25 compliant trunking.

Yeah, because it doesn't use the digital IMBE vocoder

>Astro-Digital is not P25 compliant trunking...

Compliant and *compatible* are different. Most ASTRO systems use the IMBE vocoder, as in the P-25 standards, but the P-25 standard mainly addresses the vocoder type. P-25 is not a trunking standard, it is a digital voice/common air interface standard. P-25 does address the trunking related issues for the IMBE format. Also note that there are non-trunking P-25 radios available, so some users can have conventional digital-voice radio systems.

It will be interesting to see how the East Bay accomplishes this interoperability with the different system vendors.

Hopefully, the proprietary MA/COM ProVoice will not enter the picture.

38099. Re: Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 07:24:43 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 38057 Message: 38099

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "scan_boss" <scanner4@g...> wrote:
>
> How many people know about APRS and how to use it????
>
> check out www.findu.com for more information on APRS and lets see
if
> someone can find me, if I'm found within a week I'll give that
> person a free 1 year subscription to World Radio
>
> Start date will be 03/14/05 at 08:00 UTC

Yeah, I know about APRS and I have used it.
Do we get to know your callsign?

38100. Re: [bayscan] Re: Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 07:27:24 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38057 Message: 38100

what's all this about? sorry i am lost.
On Sunday, Mar 13, 2005, at 23:24 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "scan_boss" <scanner4@g...> wrote:
>>
>> How many people know about APRS and how to use it????
>>
>> check out www.findu.com for more information on APRS and lets see
> if
>> someone can find me, if I'm found within a week I'll give that
>> person a free 1 year subscription to World Radio
>>
>> Start date will be 03/14/05 at 08:00 UTC
>
> Yeah, I know about APRS and I have used it.
> Do we get to know your callsign?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38101. 2nd alarm in South San Francisco @ 1:24 a.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 09:27:01 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 38101 Message: 38101

2nd alarm fire in SSF at 1111 El Camino Real, reported 1 story business, reported working fire inside the structure.

Fireground is 154.04
Control 21 is 154.10

Jeff

38102. Re: [bayscan] Update - 2nd alarm in South San Francisco @ 1:48 a.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 09:49:18 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 38102 Message: 38102

This fire sounds like it's in a strip of at least 3 businesses, one of them is a seafood restaurant, which I think is South City Seafood. They are having problems accessing the fire and companies are going to breach a wall in the rear of the structure to try to gain access to it. There is report of heavy smoke conditions from at least one of the businesses.

I won't post any further updates unless the situation warrants.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38103. Re: [bayscan] Update - 2nd alarm in South San Francisco @ 1:48 a.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 10:00:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38102 Message: 38103

Hey Jeff , they original sent T51 on the 2nd , but I hear them now talking to T34. did you catch why they switched trucks on the 2nd ?

also , did you happen by chance to hear which SFFD units were sent to the overturned tanker incident on Gateway/Grand. I heard the IC say they were releasing San Francisco about 45 minutes ago. I am guessing they may have been airport units with foam , but didn't hear the call

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38104. Re: [bayscan] Update - 2nd alarm in SSF fire under control @ 2:04 a.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 10:10:02 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 38104 Message: 38104

I don't know why they switched 2nd alarm trucks at the fire, I've heard them say in the past that Engine 51 and Truck 51 were cross-staffed with a single crew at times, perhaps that's why.

The fire is now under control at 1111 ECR and units are being released.

I didn't hear too much about the overturned tanker incident, sorry. A foam unit from the airport is a good guess though. I'm surprised there isn't a dedicated foam unit within San Mateo County. I know of a few departments back east that have outfitted old reserve engines as foam units. Maybe they would if the airport units weren't so close.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38105. Re: [bayscan] Update - 2nd alarm in SSF fire under control @ 2:04 a.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 10:16:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38104 Message: 38105

I thought about them cross staffing it once I looked at the fact that E51 went. that would mean San Bruno only runs 6 guys and a Chief per shift :-(thats weak , but I think you may have the right answer

I will check with a few guys I know within the SFFD about the call , I do remember hearing that the Airport would NOT sent units outside the airport with foam , but this is so close maybe they did.

thanks

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38106. Re: [bayscan] Re: Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 12:31:57 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38057 Message: 38106

NO you don't get my call sign but here is the clue:
I live in SF and I'm the station beaconing 1 block away from the SF EOC
There is a reply address in the message data
the first message I get with the words BAYSCAN & APRS in subject line
will be the winner

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 23:27:24 -0800, nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:
>
> what's all this about? sorry i am lost.
> On Sunday, Mar 13, 2005, at 23:24 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "scan_boss" <scanner4@g...> wrote:
> >>
> >> How many people know about APRS and how to use it????
> >>
> >> check out www.findu.com for more information on APRS and lets see
> > if
> >> someone can find me, if I'm found within a week I'll give that
> >> person a free 1 year subscription to World Radio
> >>
> >> Start date will be 03/14/05 at 08:00 UTC
> >
> > Yeah, I know about APRS and I have used it.
> > Do we get to know your callsign?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ========================
> > zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> > (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38107. Re: [bayscan] Re: Off topic: APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 14:12:24 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38057 Message: 38107

At 11:27 PM 3/13/2005, you wrote:

>what's all this about? sorry i am lost.

http://www.ew.usna.edu/~bruninga/aprs.html

:)

Evan

38108. Re: Update - 2nd alarm in SSF fire under control @ 2:04 a.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 14:14:12 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38104 Message: 38108

SFIA - Mobile 34 did respond when requested around 20:30 hrs. M66
also responded to ICP.

M34 is a Oshkosh T-1500 or T-3000.

38109. Oakland's new radios pass test

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-14 20:04:41 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 38109 Message: 38109

Oakland's new radios pass test
City, regional agencies get green light to buy public-safety equipment

With a preliminary battery of tests this week in Arizona, the supplier of public-safety radio systems to Oakland, Richmond and the Bay Area Rapid Transit District (MA/COM) proved that its latest radios will be able to communicate with other manufacturers' radios, potentially saving the region millions of dollars.
Federal radio experts sent by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security had recommended Oakland not buy new radios manufactured by Lowell, Mass. -based M/A-COM unless the company showed its radios met the latest standards for interoperability.

As of Wednesday, they do. The test results remove the last barrier to Oakland's planned purchase of about 2,000 hand held radios for at least $8 million to replace the decade-old radios now carried by city police, firefighters, public works engineers and other employees.

For police, the radios are their single most used piece of personal equipment and nearly the most expensive. Each new handset will cost the city about $2,700, not including likely future software upgrades costing $1,000 to $1,500 apiece. In essence, what city workers would get are highly rugged, handheld computers reliant on software to gain access to a radio network, compress and send messages.

Until recently, makers of almost all public-safety radios made the software and the radio signature of the transmissions proprietary and so unable to communicate with other makers' radios. But after the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks, there has been a national drive to drop those barriers so first responders in different cities and counties can talk in a disaster as well as routine emergencies.

The East Bay is moving in that direction, toward a common, regional radio network shared by at least Alameda and Contra Costa counties and all of their cities, now operating with a patchwork of radio systems that largely cannot communicate with one another without delay.

One way of getting different radios to communicate is to apply a set of technical standards known as Project 25, or P25 for short.

Oakland's supplier, M/A-COM, had made other radios in other frequency bands meet the P25 standards but until recently had not proved the same thing for radios operating at 800 MHz, the frequency used by BART, Alameda County, Oakland, San Francisco, Richmond and smaller cities that share their radio networks. Without proven interoperability, federal radio experts advised Oakland against the purchase. Oakland officials insisted on a test.

M/A-COM quickly shipped radios to Arizona, where Phoenix, Mesa and other cities are joined in a massive common radio network spanning 2,000 square miles. Once programmed onto the network, M/A-COM's radios shared messages and other alerting functions with P25 radios made by Motorola and E.F. Johnson.

"It worked fine," said Joe Noce, Mesa project manager for the Phoenix-Mesa network. "It's not vaporware, they do have a functioning radio."

John Powell, head of the Homeland Security Department's expert panel for most of California, applauded M/A-COM and said the results cleared up any doubts. His panel is rewriting its recommendations to Oakland, advising the city to require the new P25 features in its radios.

"They've done a yeoman's job in getting it out there," he said.

Oakland communications officials could not be reached Thursday, but the tests are good news for the city, which by some estimates has $30 million invested in radio equipment that M/A-COM maintains. Had the radios not worked out, Oakland would have been faced with fast-aging radios and the prospect of paying a larger share of the cost for a new network of transmission towers and repeaters.

"The city of Oakland has invested a lot of money in infrastructure, and they can buy radios for that infrastructure now and still be ready for a regional system in the future," said Greg Farmer, product manager for M/A-COM.

38110. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 04:01:35 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38072 Message: 38110

I heard that also.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2005 10:49 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

>
> I thought I had heard that CoCo County was going to be doing something
> in the 220 band and CHP was going to the 700mz
>
>
> On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 22:41:23 -0800, Don Root <k6cdo@...> wrote:
> > KG6VXT wrote:
> >
> > > Is this proposed regional system a candidate for the new re-farmed
800mhz
> > > spectrum after NexTel moves, or the proposed 700mhz spectrum ?
> >
> > Yes.
> >
> > Contra Costa County drew the short stick in the original 1991 band plan.
> > If the Northern CA Region can "repack" the band (now that we have 15
> > years of practical experience under our belts), the hope is to create
> > additional channels that the regional system could use in 806 MHz. (Any
> > such new plan would implement with rebanding in about 18-24 months.) If
> > not enough channels can be carved out, then the 700 MHz spectrum would
> > be tapped. As it is, 700 MHz may end up adding channels to the system
> > (and others in the area).
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > ADVERTISEMENT
> >
> > _____________________________
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >

> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> --
> Scanner Four
> Scanner4@...
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38111. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 04:01:12 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38072 Message: 38111

When are the 700MHZ UHF stations supposed to go somewhere else and where will that be? When is TV going all digital? I can't imagine either of these things happening soon like in the next five or even ten years for a number of reasons not the least of which is market pressures, and new equipment having to come online. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Don Root" <k6cdo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2005 10:41 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

>
> KG6VXT wrote:
>
> > Is this proposed regional system a candidate for the new re-farmed 800mhz
> > spectrum after NexTel moves, or the proposed 700mhz spectrum ?
>
> Yes.
>
> Contra Costa County drew the short stick in the original 1991 band plan.
> If the Northern CA Region can "repack" the band (now that we have 15
> years of practical experience under our belts), the hope is to create
> additional channels that the regional system could use in 806 MHz. (Any
> such new plan would implement with rebanding in about 18-24 months.) If
> not enough channels can be carved out, then the 700 MHz spectrum would
> be tapped. As it is, 700 MHz may end up adding channels to the system
> (and others in the area).
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38112. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 04:02:25 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38072 Message: 38112

I heard there sheriff frequ's were going 220.
----- Original Message -----
From: "Don Root" <k6cdo@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2005 10:51 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

>
> Scanner 4 wrote:
> > I thought I had heard that CoCo County was going to be doing something
> > in the 220 band and CHP was going to the 700mz
>
> For the moment, CHP isn't going anywhere.
>
> CoCo County has been looking at the possibility of a 220 MHz system for
> public works.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38113. audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 04:04:13 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38113 Message: 38113

for those of us that don't have digital scanners, can someone put some mp3
files somewhere we can listen to some samples?
----- Original Message -----
From: "Erik" <esvanr@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2005 11:17 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Oakland going dig?

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Don Root <k6cdo@c...> wrote:
> > EDACS is not P25 compliant trunking.
>
> Yeah, because it doesn't use the digital IMBE vocoder
>
> >Astro-Digital is not P25 compliant trunking...
>
> *Compliant* and *compatible* are different. Most ASTRO systems use
> the IMBE vocoder, as in the P-25 standards, but the P-25 standard
> mainly addresses the vocoder type. P-25 is not a trunking standard,
> it is a digital voice/common air interface standard. P-25 does
> address the trunking related issues for the IMBE format. Also note
> that there are non-trunking P-25 radios available, so some users can
> have conventional digital-voice radio systems.
>
> It will be interesting to see how the East Bay accomplishes this
> interoperability with the different system vendors.
>
> Hopefully, the proprietary MA/COM ProVoice will not enter the picture.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38114. Possible fire, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 04:26:38 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38114 Message: 38114

FD on scene @ Borregas & Maude, advises smoke visible. NFI.

482.9625 & 482.7125.

2026 hrs

38115. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 04:34:18 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38072 Message: 38115

>When are the 700MHZ UHF stations supposed to go somewhere else and where
>will that be? When is TV going all digital? I can't imagine either of
>these things happening soon like in the next five or even ten years for a

one reason is that nice big TV set you just bought... worthless because now all stations are digital? No, analog channels will be around for quite some time. Imagine all the angry TV set owners with worthless sets.

I asked Ralph Haller (former chief of the private land radio section in the FCC) at the IWCE last year regarding the new 700 MHz band, his answer is nothing is going to happen soon. Ralph still thinks it is going to be a number of years before the TV broadcasters vacate the 700 MHz band. Although retired from the FCC, Ralph Haller now has a private practice in freq coordination and is a frequent presenter at IWCE in Las Vegas.

Mike

38116. RE: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 04:39:50 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38113 Message: 38116

>for those of us that don't have digital scanners, can someone put some mp3
>files somewhere we can listen to some samples?

heres a site that has various digital sound files

http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/

Mike

38117. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 05:10:43 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38117

jim gammon wrote:
> When are the 700MHZ UHF stations supposed to go somewhere else and where
> will that be? When is TV going all digital? I can't imagine either of
> these things happening soon like in the next five or even ten years for a
> number of reasons not the least of which is market pressures, and new
> equipment having to come online. Jim

The original date (set by the FCC in response to a mandate established
in 1996 by Congress) was in 2006 or when a market penetration threshold
is reached. The broadcasters have played the market penetration factor
to delay the date to an uncertain one. There is pressure building in
Congress to make it a date certain.

Stations in 60-69 would relocate to channels lower in the spectrum.

38118. Re: [bayscan] RE: Oakland going dig?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 05:12:54 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38072 Message: 38118

jim gammon wrote:

> I heard there sheriff frequ's were going 220.

Well, when I was approached about my shop joining the system by the CoCo staff designing the concept, it was to be a non-Law or Fire system.

Don

38119. Re: Bayscan & APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 05:31:50 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 38057 Message: 38119

Scanner 4 / George:
I picked your station info off the arc website and viewed your APRS info last night via: www.wulfden.org
I noticed tonight that you had been messaged by another station, KG6xxx. As I only run a mobile tracker, I have no APRS computer program installed. Thus I am unable to send APRS messages except by re-programming my tracker. Looks like I just missed it...

38120. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 05:47:00 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38113 Message: 38120

Really interesting sounds on that site, but I couldn't find a sample of
afco25 voices on there. I could have missed it.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 8:39 PM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] audio samples?

>
> >for those of us that don't have digital scanners, can someone put some
mp3
> >files somewhere we can listen to some samples?
>
> heres a site that has various digital sound files
>
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38121. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 06:00:30 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38113 Message: 38121

Do you want to hear what the digital signal sounds like, or do you want
to hear decoded p25 audio.

This is some p25 I decoded, but used a microphone so the quality isn't
so hot.
www.lazygranch.com/sound/nts/q1a_armondo_tg2000.wav

jim gammon wrote:
> Really interesting sounds on that site, but I couldn't find a sample of
> afco25 voices on there. I could have missed it.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 8:39 PM
> Subject: RE: [bayscan] audio samples?
>
>
>
>>>for those of us that don't have digital scanners, can someone put some
>
> mp3
>
>>>files somewhere we can listen to some samples?
>>
>>heres a site that has various digital sound files
>>
>>http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>>
>>Mike
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38122. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 06:04:46 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38113 Message: 38122

There is some APCO on that site

9600bps control channel
http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/astro96k.wav

apco p25 voice (not decoded)
http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/astro-imbe.wav

gary wrote:
> Do you want to hear what the digital signal sounds like, or do you want
> to hear decoded p25 audio.
>
> This is some p25 I decoded, but used a microphone so the quality isn't
> so hot.
> www.lazygranch.com/sound/nts/q1a_armondo_tg2000.wav
>
>
> jim gammon wrote:
>
>>Really interesting sounds on that site, but I couldn't find a sample of
>>afco25 voices on there. I could have missed it.
>>
>>----- Original Message -----
>>From: "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@...>
>>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>>Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 8:39 PM
>>Subject: RE: [bayscan] audio samples?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>>for those of us that don't have digital scanners, can someone put some
>>
>>mp3
>>
>>
>>>>files somewhere we can listen to some samples?
>>>
>>>heres a site that has various digital sound files
>>>
>>>http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>>>
>>>Mike
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38123. OT - 5 alarms in Dallas

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 06:11:18 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 38123 Message: 38123

Warehouse fire in Dallas , quickly went to 5 alarms

2nd avenue and Dixon . on Firefeeds.com

Ed

38124. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 06:17:06 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38113 Message: 38124

Hi Gary, well, I must be missing something, but all I heard on those two links was just digital information, not actual voices. I am under the impression that some digital radios give you a replication of the senders voice but it sounds more like my speech output synthesizer then a human voice. I am looking for actual voice traffic like you provided on your link. Thanks, Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 10:04 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

>
> There is some APCO on that site
>
> 9600bps control channel
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/astro96k.wav
>
> apco p25 voice (not decoded)
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/astro-imbe.wav
>
> gary wrote:
> > Do you want to hear what the digital signal sounds like, or do you want
> > to hear decoded p25 audio.
> >
> > This is some p25 I decoded, but used a microphone so the quality isn't
> > so hot.
> > www.lazygranch.com/sound/nts/q1a_armondo_tg2000.wav
> >
> >
> > jim gammon wrote:
> >
> >>Really interesting sounds on that site, but I couldn't find a sample of
> >>afco25 voices on there. I could have missed it.
> >>
> >>----- Original Message -----
> >>From: "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@...>
> >>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >>Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 8:39 PM
> >>Subject: RE: [bayscan] audio samples?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>>for those of us that don't have digital scanners, can someone put some

> >>
> >>mp3
> >>
> >>
> >>>>files somewhere we can listen to some samples?
> >>>
> >>>heres a site that has various digital sound files
> >>>
> >>>http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
> >>>
> >>>Mike
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38125. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 06:12:18 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38113 Message: 38125

Thanks Gary. I was looking for a voice audio sample. Even with the planes
in the background and the small speaker the voice was clearer then I thought
it would be. Now, if they'd only make a blind user-friendly accessible
digital scanner!
Sigh!!
----- Original Message -----
From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 10:00 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

>
> Do you want to hear what the digital signal sounds like, or do you want
> to hear decoded p25 audio.
>
> This is some p25 I decoded, but used a microphone so the quality isn't
> so hot.
> www.lazygranch.com/sound/nts/q1a_armondo_tg2000.wav
>
>
> jim gammon wrote:
> > Really interesting sounds on that site, but I couldn't find a sample of
> > afco25 voices on there. I could have missed it.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@...>
> > To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 8:39 PM
> > Subject: RE: [bayscan] audio samples?
> >
> >
> >
> > >>>for those of us that don't have digital scanners, can someone put some
> >
> > mp3
> >
> > >>>files somewhere we can listen to some samples?
> > >>
> > >>heres a site that has various digital sound files
> > >>
> > >>http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
> > >>
> > >>Mike
> > >>
> > >>

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38126. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 06:21:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38113 Message: 38126

there are examples on THIS site..... (bayscan)

to cut thru the red tape go to www.bayscan.net

includes some MERA stuff

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38127. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 06:35:33 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38113 Message: 38127

Here are the links.
http://www.bayscan.net/medic2.wav
http://www.bayscan.net/novatochase.wav

caladded@... wrote:
> there are examples on THIS site.............. (bayscan)
>
> to cut thru the red tape go to www.bayscan.net
>
> includes some MERA stuff
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38128. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 07:03:25 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38113 Message: 38128

Thanks much Gary, that is exactly what I was looking for. I guess the 120 cycle hum was from the scanner power supply not PL, right? Also, what were the control channel bursts in the medic file? Were they data or just infrequent control channel sounds coming through. The audio does seem to vary from one ER to another. Was the warble in the PD siren from the sampling rate of 24K or does it sound that way when you are listening live? Thanks, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 10:35 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

> Here are the links.
> http://www.bayscan.net/medic2.wav
> http://www.bayscan.net/novatochase.wav
>
>
> caladded@... wrote:
> > there are examples on THIS site.............. (bayscan)
> >
> > to cut thru the red tape go to www.bayscan.net
> >
> > includes some MERA stuff
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38129. Re: transition to trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 07:25:32 UTC
From: Wayne M H
Topic: 37689 Message: 38129

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "garciaatsocrates" <msg5@c...> wrote:
>
> - how much expertise is required on the part of the end user or the
> dispatcher, or is it pretty much transparent? We are discussing a
> feature called "dynamic allocation" that lets the dispatcher shuffle
> which radios are allowed in which talkgroups ... is this a neat idea
> or a cluster waiting to happen?

For the dispatcher, it depends which type of console they used pre-trunked radio. Once you go trunked you'll generally be using the Motorola Centracom Console. If it's new to them it will take some time to get used to, and judging how dispatchers are it surely will involve a learning curve.

For field units it's again the same. You could possibly be using a radio which is capable of simply being upgraded to support the trunking format, or it uses a user interface similar in nature. From my experience and involvements in transitions general users such as police and fire take a bit to get acquainted with the radio (because they're being made more sophisticated each day), police especially. There's also the problem with having to wait when you key-up. When you go trunking you are introduced to a talk-permit tone which basically says go ahead and speak. It's not like conventional where you press the PTT and *immediately* start talking. This is usually the biggest thing to learn....to be patient. Thousands and thousands of other public safety individuals have learned it so folks in your department can too (trust me, someone will gripe!).

> - do the channels/talkgroups used get assigned by the dispatcher or
> are they standardized within each dept?

Each trunked system has a database which is configured by someone in the telecom or wireless dept, or whomever handles your radios normally. If you don't have one the vendor will train. It probably will not be a dispatcher. Consoles aren't equipped for that anyway; apples and oranges.

Before assigning talkgroups you need to sit down and think of what types of "channels" you'll need. Do you need one Dispatch channel or do you want to separate dispatch into areas. How many TACs? Will you have a tac channel and a command channel? Make sure you have talkgroups which are standard in EVERY radio. Use them for mutual aid, or whatever you designate them, so that no matter who becomes a user on the system everyone still has the ability to talk each other regardless of their responsibility. The nice thing about trunking is you can increase your number of channels way more than what was

available with conventional radio. It allows for a lot more organization. Just make sure to not assign too many. You won't run into overloading the system, it's just harder to maintain and manage.

Try to acquire some conventional channels, possibly repeated channels, to have in the same radio used for the trunked system. Some day you WILL need them. Trunking is incredibly reliable, regardless of what you read or will hear (and that's another story), but it's ALWAYS good to have a couple conventional simplex or repeated channels. And make sure to include the ICALL/ITAC conventional channels for your band!

> - If there are more channels available, how do people know which
> channel the IC is on? How do units keep track of all the extra
> channels?

Pre-planning. Or, when you dispatch a call have the dispatcher announce the call as being assigned Command 1, Tac 1. Once things get moving they can announce any additional channels over the command channel (by the IC or whomever). MANY ways to accomplish this.

> - are there "right" and "wrong" strategies for implementing
> talkgroups?

Of course. You don't want to over due it but still plan for expansion. You don't want to create 15 training channels or five admin channels when one of each should do fine. Create channels you WILL use, and then a few for future use. I don't know anything about your department or area so I can't really say what would work best. Just remember to have all the heads sit down and develop a spreadsheet for each of their needs. The spreadsheet should be set up like it would be in a radio. You'll have zones (groups) and under those zones you'll have your individual channels (this depends on what type of radios you use (ie., display or non-display)).

> - Other than having two radios, what kinds of strategies are used to
> communicate with mutual aid units that are not on a trunked system?

When the system is built-out it's nice to make sure the dispatch center, since it will get upgraded, will have the ability to patch conventional channels to talkgroups on the system. It's not as trivial as most systems make it seem. It is when you don't plan to do it before you need it. With a trunked system, permitted you have the funds, you can patch almost anything into it.

From experience, your best route is for a dual radio setup (eg., your trunked radio and a VHF radio) in your rig in addition to both portables. Just have one or the other.

> If anyone has the time (and patience) to detail an example of how a
> worse-than-normal emergency (say, a large structure fire) might work
> in the context of radio transmission and who uses/scans which
> talkgroups, I would be grateful. Or, if you can point me to websites

> that discuss some of the aspects of implementing and using a trunked
> radio system, that would also be great. Thanks for reading.

My feeling is the last thing you want to do in an emergency situation is be scanning. It takes too much concentration to handle events on-scene and think, hey, who was that talking and which talkgroup were they on? I could draw up an example but each department is different. Email me privately if you would want to go into it more.

I touched these questions lightly; there are a lot more details which need to be considered. Just a heads up.

-Wayne

38130. Re: [bayscan] audio samples?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 07:41:03 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38113 Message: 38130

The medic wav is 24kbps sample rate, 16 bit mono mp3. You have a good ear, as that is 120Hz audible in the recording. After I notched it out, I see some energy at 114Hz, but I can't say for sure that is 114.8 PL. It seems the only way PL would make sense was if there was a conventional radio in the signal path, i.e. a radio link. About 27 seconds into it, there is a funny tone with the strongest energy at about 247 hz. Now you are good, but I suspect not that good. It just barely shows up in the sound editor. At 56 seconds there is a loud beep at 1440Hz. I have no clue how that is used, but I guess that is the tone you hear.

I'm pretty sure the warble in the siren is due to the digital encoding. About 2 minutes 38 seconds into the chase, the scanner loses synchronization and it sounds like the scanner falls out of decode mode and into a normal mode, which is why you hear the digital signal

I did some encoder tests using simple signals (sine waves, multiple sine waves, sine waves with white noise) and found that the GSM encoder seemed to do a better job versus mp3. Also, the 24 kbps sample rate is a bit overkill for scanner audio. There isn't much energy past 4Khz in this particular wave file, so the data rate could be cut in half.

Generally when I record on the PC, I do it in PCM at maybe a 16Khz sample rate, but often at 11025hz. The files are bigger with PCM, but the low data rate makes up for it somewhat . I could go into the rest of the flow, but this is getting a bit off topic.

jim gammon wrote:
> Thanks much Gary, that is exactly what I was looking for. I guess the 120
> cycle hum was from the scanner power supply not PL, right? Also, what were
> the control channel bursts in the medic file? Were they data or just
> infrequent control channel sounds coming through. The audio does seem to
> vary from one ER to another. Was the warble in the PD siren from the
> sampling rate of 24K or does it sound that way when you are listening live?
> Thanks, Jim
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 10:35 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] audio samples?
>
>
>
>>Here are the links.
>>http://www.bayscan.net/medic2.wav

>>http://www.bayscan.net/novatochase.wav
>>
>>
>>caladded@... wrote:
>>
>>>there are examples on THIS site.............. (bayscan)
>>>
>>> to cut thru the red tape go to www.bayscan.net
>>>
>>>includes some MERA stuff
>>>
>>>ED
>>>
>>>
>>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

38131. New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 07:54:35 UTC
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Topic: 3879 Message: 38131

Hello,

This email message is a notification to let you know that
a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
group.

File : /mera2.jpg
Uploaded by : esvanr <esvanr@...>
Description : MERA Fire TG map

You can access this file at the URL:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/mera2.jpg

To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files

Regards,

esvanr <esvanr@...>

38132. Re:MERA audio samples

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 07:07:20 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38113 Message: 38132

Ill make a sample of one of the systems that has been on-line for a while like San Anselmo or San Rafael, those sound decent since they have had the time to tweak the system I guess.

Robert
BayScan

38133. Re: [bayscan] Possible fire, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 04:30:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38114 Message: 38133

At 08:26 PM 3/14/2005, you wrote:
>FD on scene @ Borregas & Maude, advises smoke visible. NFI.
>
> 482.9625 & 482.7125.
>
>2026 hrs

Better location Sunnyvale & Maude - 155 Maude. It's a deli.

BC has requested all incoming units to code 2. Sounds like this will be nothing. No further updates unless they get a working fire.

38134. Re: [bayscan] Possible fire, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 11:03:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38114 Message: 38134

glad you posted the time on this Evan .....I got it at 0112 , they
don't call it YAHOO for nothing ;-(

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38135. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bayscan & APRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 13:16:09 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38057 Message: 38135

look at the RAW DATA

On Tue, 15 Mar 2005 05:31:50 -0000, Erik <esvanr@...> wrote:
> Scanner 4 / George:
> I picked your station info off the arc website and viewed your APRS
> info last night via: www.wulfden.org
> I noticed tonight that you had been messaged by another station,
> KG6xxx. As I only run a mobile tracker, I have no APRS computer
> program installed. Thus I am unable to send APRS messages except by
> re-programming my tracker. Looks like I just missed it...
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38136. OFF TOPIC: APRS contest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 13:50:32 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 38136 Message: 38136

this will be the last clue I give for this contest.

The SF EOC is at 1011 Turk st.

now look in the RAW PACKET DATA for the e-mail address to reply
the first message with BAYSCAN & APRS in the subject line will win

38137. homicide in Saratoga

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 18:40:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38137 Message: 38137

Somewhere off Highway 9 / one male found 10-55 downstairs, woman on ledge of house somehow involved. requesting negotiator

155.700 digital

1040 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38138. Off-Topic Request: Brainstorming for school web page...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 22:40:20 UTC
From: Robert Griffith
Topic: 38137 Message: 38138

Hi Gang!

I hope it's okay to do one off-topic post as I've been a member here for years. :)

It's been BUSY over here... I was asked by a local elementary school to build them a web page. This is a VERY common request as they want to have an online web presence but they have no idea how to do it, what they want, where it will sit, etc. I also have to do this on a voluntary basis because my job is already so packed.

ANYWAY... I started thinking that I should come up with a template of sorts. A list of common elements that most school web pages would (or should) share. I could then build one solid, cool web page and then use it as a template for all of the others (only having to change the color, logo's, etc. as needed).

So I am writing to ask for your help in coming up with ideas. I can look at it from an educators perspective.. but I only taught high school. I would like ideas about what would YOU want to see on your childs school web site... or your school web site if you are "chronologically challenged". As a teacher, parent, student, community leader, etc... what should be on a school web page.

What I have come up with so far is below:

Common Components of a School Web Page:

Administration: Names, phone numbers, email addresses, and photo's of Admin / Office Staff
Faculty / Teachers: Name, extension, room number, email address, photo of teachers and faculty members.
Students: Students of the Month, other students in the news -- links to student grades or progress reports?
About the School: Mission Statement, location, driving directions, school population, online test data and statistics (AYP), school history.
Calendar: School staff can update and add events -- could be an "Upcoming Events" column that links to a full month-view of the calendar page.
News: A simple headline or two where the principal (or secretary) could post bulletins or important notices.
Newsletter: A regular (weekly, biweekly, monthly) newsletter that could be changed by entering data in forms -- could even be a link to a PDF the secretary builds.
Search: Kid-friendly search boxes (Yahooligans, AskJeeves Kids, for example).

Learning Links: Educational, kid-friendly web sites around the web (i.e homework helpers) -- could be managed by check-boxes in an admin window (by school staff) or populated by reading a file out on the MAIN server in another web location... That option would allow every school using the "software" to have the most current links.
Lunch Schedule: This might fit somewhere else -- the lunch lady could share upcoming lunch menus, info about nutrition, much lunch cards cost, etc.
Support This School: Links to "boxtops for education", "Campbells Soup Wrappers", the SCRIP program you can get from grocery stores, "Donate a Book"... stuff like that.
Scrapbook / Gallery: Pictures of students, teachers, the campus, classrooms, scans of student work, etc. Optionally could include video's & clips of performances, plays, etc.
Fun & Games: As the name implies, but managed by the same method as "Learning Links" -- schools that prefer not to have this section can turn it off in the "Admin panel".

Thanks,

Robert

==========
Robert Griffith
Coordinator, Statewide Initiatives
Mendocino County Office of Education
2240 Old River Road
Ukiah, California 95482
Voice: (707) 467-5087
Fax: (707) 468-5781
Email: griff@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38139. Re: [bayscan] Off-Topic Request: Brainstorming for school web page...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 22:42:21 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38137 Message: 38139

uhh, too off topic for me.

On Tuesday, Mar 15, 2005, at 14:40 US/Pacific, Robert Griffith wrote:

>
> Hi Gang!
>
> I hope it's okay to do one off-topic post as I've been a member here
> for years. :)
>
> It's been BUSY over here... I was asked by a local elementary school to
> build them a web page. This is a VERY common request as they want to
> have an online web presence but they have no idea how to do it, what
> they want, where it will sit, etc. I also have to do this on a
> voluntary basis because my job is already so packed.
>
> ANYWAY... I started thinking that I should come up with a template of
> sorts. A list of common elements that most school web pages would (or
> should) share. I could then build one solid, cool web page and then
> use it as a template for all of the others (only having to change the
> color, logo's, etc. as needed).
>
> So I am writing to ask for your help in coming up with ideas. I can
> look at it from an educators perspective.. but I only taught high
> school. I would like ideas about what would YOU want to see on your
> childs school web site... or your school web site if you are
> "chronologically challenged". As a teacher, parent, student, community
> leader, etc... what should be on a school web page.
>
> What I have come up with so far is below:
>
> Common Components of a School Web Page:
>
> Administration: Names, phone numbers, email addresses, and photo's of
> Admin / Office Staff
> Faculty / Teachers: Name, extension, room number, email address, photo
> of teachers and faculty members.
> Students: Students of the Month, other students in the news -- links to
> student grades or progress reports?
> About the School: Mission Statement, location, driving directions,
> school population, online test data and statistics (AYP), school
> history.
> Calendar: School staff can update and add events -- could be an
> "Upcoming Events" column that links to a full month-view of the
> calendar page.

> News: A simple headline or two where the principal (or secretary) could
> post bulletins or important notices.
> Newsletter: A regular (weekly, biweekly, monthly) newsletter that could
> be changed by entering data in forms -- could even be a link to a PDF
> the secretary builds.
> Search: Kid-friendly search boxes (Yahooligans, AskJeeves Kids, for
> example).
> Learning Links: Educational, kid-friendly web sites around the web (i.e
> homework helpers) -- could be managed by check-boxes in an admin window
> (by school staff) or populated by reading a file out on the MAIN server
> in another web location... That option would allow every school using
> the "software" to have the most current links.
> Lunch Schedule: This might fit somewhere else -- the lunch lady could
> share upcoming lunch menus, info about nutrition, much lunch cards
> cost, etc.
> Support This School: Links to "boxtops for education", "Campbells Soup
> Wrappers", the SCRIP program you can get from grocery stores, "Donate a
> Book"... stuff like that.
> Scrapbook / Gallery: Pictures of students, teachers, the campus,
> classrooms, scans of student work, etc. Optionally could include
> video's & clips of performances, plays, etc.
> Fun & Games: As the name implies, but managed by the same method as
> "Learning Links" -- schools that prefer not to have this section can
> turn it off in the "Admin panel".
>
> Thanks,
>
> Robert
>
> ==
> Robert Griffith
> Coordinator, Statewide Initiatives
> Mendocino County Office of Education
> 2240 Old River Road
> Ukiah, California 95482
> Voice: (707) 467-5087
> Fax: (707) 468-5781
> Email: griff@...
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38140. Re: [bayscan] Off-Topic Request: Brainstorming for school web page...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 23:50:33 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38137 Message: 38140

I would take your request to www.craigslist.org

On Tue, 15 Mar 2005 14:42:21 -0800, nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:
>
> uhh, too off topic for me.
>
> On Tuesday, Mar 15, 2005, at 14:40 US/Pacific, Robert Griffith wrote:
>
> >
> > > Hi Gang!
> > >
> > > I hope it's okay to do one off-topic post as I've been a member here
> > > for years. :)
> > >
> > > It's been BUSY over here... I was asked by a local elementary school to
> > > build them a web page. This is a VERY common request as they want to
> > > have an online web presence but they have no idea how to do it, what
> > > they want, where it will sit, etc. I also have to do this on a
> > > voluntary basis because my job is already so packed.
> > >
> > > ANYWAY... I started thinking that I should come up with a template of
> > > sorts. A list of common elements that most school web pages would (or
> > > should) share. I could then build one solid, cool web page and then
> > > use it as a template for all of the others (only having to change the
> > > color, logo's, etc. as needed).
> > >
> > > So I am writing to ask for your help in coming up with ideas. I can
> > > look at it from an educators perspective.. but I only taught high
> > > school. I would like ideas about what would YOU want to see on your
> > > childs school web site... or your school web site if you are
> > > "chronologically challenged". As a teacher, parent, student, community
> > > leader, etc... what should be on a school web page.
> > >
> > > What I have come up with so far is below:
> > >
> > > Common Components of a School Web Page:
> > >
> > > Administration: Names, phone numbers, email addresses, and photo's of
> > > Admin / Office Staff
> > > Faculty / Teachers: Name, extension, room number, email address, photo
> > > of teachers and faculty members.
> > > Students: Students of the Month, other students in the news -- links to
> > > student grades or progress reports?
> > > About the School: Mission Statement, location, driving directions,
> > > school population, online test data and statistics (AYP), school

> > history.
> > Calendar: School staff can update and add events -- could be an
> > "Upcoming Events" column that links to a full month-view of the
> > calendar page.
> > News: A simple headline or two where the principal (or secretary) could
> > post bulletins or important notices.
> > Newsletter: A regular (weekly, biweekly, monthly) newsletter that could
> > be changed by entering data in forms -- could even be a link to a PDF
> > the secretary builds.
> > Search: Kid-friendly search boxes (Yahooligans, AskJeeves Kids, for
> > example).
> > Learning Links: Educational, kid-friendly web sites around the web (i.e
> > homework helpers) -- could be managed by check-boxes in an admin window
> > (by school staff) or populated by reading a file out on the MAIN server
> > in another web location... That option would allow every school using
> > the "software" to have the most current links.
> > Lunch Schedule: This might fit somewhere else -- the lunch lady could
> > share upcoming lunch menus, info about nutrition, much lunch cards
> > cost, etc.
> > Support This School: Links to "boxtops for education", "Campbells Soup
> > Wrappers", the SCRIP program you can get from grocery stores, "Donate a
> > Book"... stuff like that.
> > Scrapbook / Gallery: Pictures of students, teachers, the campus,
> > classrooms, scans of student work, etc. Optionally could include
> > video's & clips of performances, plays, etc.
> > Fun & Games: As the name implies, but managed by the same method as
> > "Learning Links" -- schools that prefer not to have this section can
> > turn it off in the "Admin panel".
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Robert
> >
> > ==
> > Robert Griffith
> > Coordinator, Statewide Initiatives
> > Mendocino County Office of Education
> > 2240 Old River Road
> > Ukiah, California 95482
> > Voice: (707) 467-5087
> > Fax: (707) 468-5781
> > Email: griff@...
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38141. Accident, Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-15 22:31:14 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38141 Message: 38141

El Camino & Bernardo. SVVFD requesting 2nd ambulance.

1431 hrs

38142. Re: Off-Topic Request: Brainstorming for school web page...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 01:05:35 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 38137 Message: 38142

Go with CSS

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Robert Griffith <griff@c...> wrote:
> Hi Gang!
>
> I hope it's okay to do one off-topic post as I've been a member here
> for years. :)
>
> It's been BUSY over here... I was asked by a local elementary school to
> build them a web page. This is a VERY common request as they want to
> have an online web presence but they have no idea how to do it, what
> they want, where it will sit, etc. I also have to do this on a
> voluntary basis because my job is already so packed.
>
> ANYWAY... I started thinking that I should come up with a template of
> sorts. A list of common elements that most school web pages would (or
> should) share. I could then build one solid, cool web page and then
> use it as a template for all of the others (only having to change the
> color, logo's, etc. as needed).
>
> So I am writing to ask for your help in coming up with ideas. I can
> look at it from an educators perspective.. but I only taught high
> school. I would like ideas about what would YOU want to see on your
> childs school web site... or your school web site if you are
> "chronologically challenged". As a teacher, parent, student, community
> leader, etc... what should be on a school web page.
>
> What I have come up with so far is below:
>
> Common Components of a School Web Page:
>
> Administration: Names, phone numbers, email addresses, and photo's of
> Admin / Office Staff
> Faculty / Teachers: Name, extension, room number, email address, photo
> of teachers and faculty members.
> Students: Students of the Month, other students in the news -- links to
> student grades or progress reports?
> About the School: Mission Statement, location, driving directions,
> school population, online test data and statistics (AYP), school
> history.
> Calendar: School staff can update and add events -- could be an
> "Upcoming Events" column that links to a full month-view of the
> calendar page.
> News: A simple headline or two where the principal (or secretary) could
> post bulletins or important notices.

> Newsletter: A regular (weekly, biweekly, monthly) newsletter that could
> be changed by entering data in forms -- could even be a link to a PDF
> the secretary builds.
> Search: Kid-friendly search boxes (Yahooligans, AskJeeves Kids, for
> example).
> Learning Links: Educational, kid-friendly web sites around the web (i.e
> homework helpers) -- could be managed by check-boxes in an admin window
> (by school staff) or populated by reading a file out on the MAIN server
> in another web location... That option would allow every school using
> the "software" to have the most current links.
> Lunch Schedule: This might fit somewhere else -- the lunch lady could
> share upcoming lunch menus, info about nutrition, much lunch cards
> cost, etc.
> Support This School: Links to "boxtops for education", "Campbells Soup
> Wrappers", the SCRIP program you can get from grocery stores, "Donate a
> Book"... stuff like that.
> Scrapbook / Gallery: Pictures of students, teachers, the campus,
> classrooms, scans of student work, etc. Optionally could include
> video's & clips of performances, plays, etc.
> Fun & Games: As the name implies, but managed by the same method as
> "Learning Links" -- schools that prefer not to have this section can
> turn it off in the "Admin panel".
>
> Thanks,
>
> Robert
>
> ==
> Robert Griffith
> Coordinator, Statewide Initiatives
> Mendocino County Office of Education
> 2240 Old River Road
> Ukiah, California 95482
> Voice: (707) 467-5087
> Fax: (707) 468-5781
> Email: griff@m...
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38143. APRS contest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 02:07:34 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38143 Message: 38143

WE HAVE A WINNER
Jordan Hayes in Berkeley

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38144. RE: [bayscan] APRS contest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 02:14:38 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38143 Message: 38144

> WE HAVE A WINNER
> Jordan Hayes in Berkeley

I missed the details of the contest. But Jordan is definitely a wiener...er...winner in my book. ;-)

- Brian

38145. Re: [bayscan] APRS contest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 02:16:33 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38143 Message: 38145

anybody else come close?
On Tuesday, Mar 15, 2005, at 18:14 US/Pacific, Brian wrote:

>
>> WE HAVE A WINNER
>> Jordan Hayes in Berkeley
>
> I missed the details of the contest. But Jordan is definitely a
> wiener...er...winner in my book. ;-)
>
> - Brian
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38146. RE: [bayscan] APRS contest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 02:40:45 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38143 Message: 38146

Sure, you can be a wiener in my book too, if you'd like. :D

> anybody else come close?
>
> >
> >> WE HAVE A WINNER
> >> Jordan Hayes in Berkeley
> >
> > I missed the details of the contest. But Jordan is definitely a
> > wiener...er...winner in my book. ;-)
> >
> > - Brian

38147. Re: [bayscan] APRS contest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 02:59:39 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38143 Message: 38147

Nina, you were on the right track

On Tue, 15 Mar 2005 18:40:45 -0800, Brian <radioscanner@...> wrote:
> Sure, you can be a wiener in my book too, if you'd like. :D
>
> > anybody else come close?
> >
> > >
> > >> WE HAVE A WINNER
> > >> Jordan Hayes in Berkeley
> > >
> > > I missed the details of the contest. But Jordan is definitely a
> > > wiener...er...winner in my book. ;-)
> > >
> > > - Brian
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38148. Re: [bayscan] APRS contest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 03:01:32 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38143 Message: 38148

Jordon contact me off list at scanner4@...

On Tue, 15 Mar 2005 18:59:39 -0800, Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
> Nina, you were on the right track
>
>
> On Tue, 15 Mar 2005 18:40:45 -0800, Brian <radioscanner@...> wrote:
> > Sure, you can be a wiener in my book too, if you'd like. :D
> >
> > > anybody else come close?
> > >
> > > >
> > > >> WE HAVE A WINNER
> > > >> Jordan Hayes in Berkeley
> > > >
> > > > I missed the details of the contest. But Jordan is definitely a
> > > > wiener...er...winner in my book. ;-)
> > > >
> > > > - Brian
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> > > ADVERTISEMENT
> > >
> > > _____________________________
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > > To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> > >
> > > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> > > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> > --
> > Scanner Four
> > Scanner4@...
> >

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38149. Re: [bayscan] APRS contest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 02:42:28 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38143 Message: 38149

wull, hot DOG then! dang!!!!

On Tuesday, Mar 15, 2005, at 18:40 US/Pacific, Brian wrote:

>
> Sure, you can be a wiener in my book too, if you'd like. :D
>
>> anybody else come close?
>>
>>>
>>>> WE HAVE A WINNER
>>>> Jordan Hayes in Berkeley
>>>
>>> I missed the details of the contest. But Jordan is definitely a
>>> wiener...er...winner in my book. ;-)
>>>
>>> - Brian
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38150. Re: [bayscan] Off-Topic Request: Brainstorming for school web page...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 04:04:33 UTC
From: Robert Griffith
Topic: 38137 Message: 38150

LOL! I understand. :)

On Tuesday, March 15, 2005, at 02:42 PM, nina zhito wrote:

>
> uhh, too off topic for me.
>
> On Tuesday, Mar 15, 2005, at 14:40 US/Pacific, Robert Griffith wrote:
>
>>
>> Hi Gang!
>>
>> I hope it's okay to do one off-topic post as I've been a member here
>> for years. :)
>>
>> It's been BUSY over here... I was asked by a local elementary school
>> to
>> build them a web page. This is a VERY common request as they want to
>> have an online web presence but they have no idea how to do it, what
>> they want, where it will sit, etc. I also have to do this on a
>> voluntary basis because my job is already so packed.
>>
>> ANYWAY... I started thinking that I should come up with a template of
>> sorts. A list of common elements that most school web pages would (or
>> should) share. I could then build one solid, cool web page and then
>> use it as a template for all of the others (only having to change the
>> color, logo's, etc. as needed).
>>
>> So I am writing to ask for your help in coming up with ideas. I can
>> look at it from an educators perspective.. but I only taught high
>> school. I would like ideas about what would YOU want to see on your
>> childs school web site... or your school web site if you are
>> "chronologically challenged". As a teacher, parent, student,
>> community
>> leader, etc... what should be on a school web page.
>>
>> What I have come up with so far is below:
>>
>> Common Components of a School Web Page:
>>
>> Administration: Names, phone numbers, email addresses, and photo's of
>> Admin / Office Staff
>> Faculty / Teachers: Name, extension, room number, email address, photo
>> of teachers and faculty members.
>> Students: Students of the Month, other students in the news -- links

>> to
>> student grades or progress reports?
>> About the School: Mission Statement, location, driving directions,
>> school population, online test data and statistics (AYP), school
>> history.
>> Calendar: School staff can update and add events -- could be an
>> "Upcoming Events" column that links to a full month-view of the
>> calendar page.
>> News: A simple headline or two where the principal (or secretary)
>> could
>> post bulletins or important notices.
>> Newsletter: A regular (weekly, biweekly, monthly) newsletter that
>> could
>> be changed by entering data in forms -- could even be a link to a PDF
>> the secretary builds.
>> Search: Kid-friendly search boxes (Yahooligans, AskJeeves Kids, for
>> example).
>> Learning Links: Educational, kid-friendly web sites around the web
>> (i.e
>> homework helpers) -- could be managed by check-boxes in an admin
>> window
>> (by school staff) or populated by reading a file out on the MAIN
>> server
>> in another web location... That option would allow every school using
>> the "software" to have the most current links.
>> Lunch Schedule: This might fit somewhere else -- the lunch lady could
>> share upcoming lunch menus, info about nutrition, much lunch cards
>> cost, etc.
>> Support This School: Links to "boxtops for education", "Campbells Soup
>> Wrappers", the SCRIP program you can get from grocery stores, "Donate
>> a
>> Book"... stuff like that.
>> Scrapbook / Gallery: Pictures of students, teachers, the campus,
>> classrooms, scans of student work, etc. Optionally could include
>> video's & clips of performances, plays, etc.
>> Fun & Games: As the name implies, but managed by the same method as
>> "Learning Links" -- schools that prefer not to have this section can
>> turn it off in the "Admin panel".
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Robert
>>
>> ==
>> Robert Griffith
>> Coordinator, Statewide Initiatives
>> Mendocino County Office of Education
>> 2240 Old River Road
>> Ukiah, California 95482
>> Voice: (707) 467-5087

>> Fax: (707) 468-5781
>> Email: griff@...
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38151. Re: New file uploaded to bayscan

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 07:42:35 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 3879 Message: 38151

On behalf of every Marin county resident on this list:

Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!
Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!
Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!
Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!
Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!
Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!
Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!Thank You!

:-D

-Inigo
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, bayscan@yahoogroups.com wrote:
>
>
> Hello,
>
> This email message is a notification to let you know that
> a file has been uploaded to the Files area of the bayscan
> group.
>
> File : /mera2.jpg
> Uploaded by : esvanr <esvanr@f...>
> Description : MERA Fire TG map
>
> You can access this file at the URL:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/mera2.jpg
>
> To learn more about file sharing for your group, please visit:
> http://help.yahoo.com/help/us/groups/files
>
> Regards,
>
> esvanr <esvanr@f...>

38152. CHP/LAS VEGAS FREQUENCY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 14:42:10 UTC
From: WLucas9925@aol.com
Topic: 38152 Message: 38152

4/16/05

Anybody. Next month I am planning a trip to Las Vegas and is wondering if anybody as frequencies for the CHP along I-5 and I-15. Also the frequency for Las Vegas PD>

Thanks

Willie

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38153. Re: [bayscan] CHP/LAS VEGAS FREQUENCY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 15:03:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38152 Message: 38153

www.scancal.org has the CHP stuff For Las Vegas , go to

www.radioreferance.com Clark County/Las Vegas Fire is trunked and
their stuff is listed. LVPD is not trunked , but its listed their too

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38154. Re: [bayscan] CHP/LAS VEGAS FREQUENCY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 16:01:58 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38152 Message: 38154

or go to:

_http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HiDesertScan/

_ (http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HiDesertScan/)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38155. OT: arrest for impersonating officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 17:08:52 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38155 Message: 38155

BCN advises that a Petaluma man was arrested Tuesday after he drove an ex-police car through a police department pedestrian crosswalk sting, sounding the vehicle's siren to clear an undercover officer from the crosswalk.

Petaluma Police Department authorities say Mark S. Scott of Petaluma was arrested Tuesday in Petaluma for impersonating a police officer. The former police vehicle used by Scott was still equipped with spotlights and a prisoner cage, said police. The vehicle was purchased at an auction and officers said they did not know what police agency originally owned the vehicle.

The arrest occurred during one of two pedestrian crosswalk stings on E. Washington Street at Baylis and Edith streets Tuesday.

nina

38156. trial

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 18:08:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38156 Message: 38156

No new trial for Scott Peterson.....and the Judge upheld the death verdict

TG 13040 on San Mateos' TRS active right now

1007 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38157. Re: [bayscan] OT: arrest for impersonating officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 18:18:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38155 Message: 38157

Wonder what kind of scanners he had .....and if he is a member of bayscan ? LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38158. Re: [bayscan] OT: arrest for impersonating officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 18:22:45 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 38155 Message: 38158

wudnt me... no cage or spotlights in my car...

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2005 10:18 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: arrest for impersonating officer

> Wonder what kind of scanners he had .....and if he is a member of
> bayscan ? LOL
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38159. Re: [bayscan] OT: arrest for impersonating officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 18:45:44 UTC
From: Timothy-Allen Albertson
Topic: 38155 Message: 38159

i almost got arrested once for impersonating
a human being

nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:

BCN advises that a Petaluma man was arrested Tuesday after he drove an ex-police car through a police department pedestrian crosswalk sting, sounding the vehicle's siren to clear an undercover officer from the crosswalk.

Petaluma Police Department authorities say Mark S. Scott of Petaluma was arrested Tuesday in Petaluma for
impersonating a police officer. The former police vehicle used by Scott was still equipped with spotlights and a prisoner cage,
said police. The vehicle was purchased at an auction and officers said they did not know what police agency originally owned the vehicle.

The arrest occurred during one of two pedestrian crosswalk stings on E. Washington Street at Baylis and Edith streets Tuesday.

nina

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38160. Re: [bayscan] OT: arrest for impersonating officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 19:20:39 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38155 Message: 38160

Ah yes, Peter Schleminger. KE6JTI, AKA "the inspector."

caladded@... wrote:
> Wonder what kind of scanners he had .....and if he is a member of
> bayscan ? LOL
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38161. Re: CHP/LAS VEGAS FREQUENCY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 19:32:59 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38152 Message: 38161

This link has good Las Vegas info. Click on the appropriate tab at the bottom of the link page. There is one agency, Las Vegas Metro PD, which handles city of LV and Clark County areas combined. (LV strip is part city, part county.)

<http://home.wi.rr.com/jts203/3-NevadaFrequencyLists.htm>

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, WLucas9925@a... wrote:
> 4/16/05
>
> Anybody. Next month I am planning a trip to Las Vegas and is wondering if
> anybody as frequencies for the CHP along I-5 and I-15. Also the frequency for
> Las Vegas PD>
>
> Thanks
>
> Willie
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38162. Re: [bayscan] homicide in Saratoga

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 20:05:10 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 38137 Message: 38162

I guess everyone has heard via the media most of the released details.
I would've sent a quick heads-up message on this yesterday but they
yanked me out to the field to help handle calls since all of the
Saratoga deputies were tied up on the 187.

Apparently our PIO is meeting with many of the news agencies out on
Fieldstone today for interviews.

Mike

On Tue, 15 Mar 2005 13:40:39 EST, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
> Somewhere off Highway 9 / one male found 10-55 downstairs, woman on ledge
> of house somehow involved. requesting negotiator
>
> 155.700 digital
>
> 1040 hours
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--
Mike
KD6FTR

38163. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHP/LAS VEGAS FREQUENCY

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 20:22:57 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38152 Message: 38163

I don't see a contact address for that list, but I just finished
updating my Nellis list and there are a few mistakes on that list:

Nellis Departure changed to 385.4
pmsv metro changed 323.9

317.525 & 343.0: Perhaps 343.0 has been replaced by 317.525. Many of the
instrument routes still contain 343.0, so I would still scan 343.0

From the current flip:

Nellis:
COMMUNICATIONS - SFA PTD - 139.3 372.2 REMARKS: Unrel 085?-155? byd 35
NM at FL 200, 315?-005? byd 40 NM at FL 200, 230?-290? byd 55 NM at FL 200.
ATIS - 270.1
APP - (R) (E) 124.95 273.55
TWR - (E) 132.55 327.0
GND - 121.8 275.8
DEP - (R) (E) 135.1 385.4
CLNC DEL - 120.9 289.4
ACC COMD POST - 320.0 381.3
REMARKS:
(Raymond 22) 381.3 (have quick timing avbl) 320.0 ALCE AMC - Opr only
dur RED FLAG deployment/chg over/redeployment and other exer. 257.35 259.95
PMSV METRO - 323.9 REMARKS: PMSV unrel; 085?-155? byd 35 NM at or blw FL
200, 315?-005? byd 40 NM at or blw FL 200, 230?-290? byd 55 NM at or blw
FL 200.
SOF - 305.6 REMARKS: (Call BULLSEYE SOF) FSS-RENO RNO-NOTAM LSV

NELLIS CONTROL, NV KLSV (R) For VFR/IFR svc in R4806E, R4806W, R4807A,
R4807B, R4808N, R4808S, R4809 the DESERT MOA and the overlying ATCAAS,
ctc NELLIS
CONTROL on the appropriate freq.
WILSON CREEK - 124.45 392.15
TONOPAH - 126.95 338.6
BEATTY - 119.35 254.4
LAS VEGAS - 126.65 317.525
CALIENTE - 124.45 392.15
MORMON MESA - 126.65 317.525 H-3C-4H, L-5A, B
----

jwpottberg wrote:
>
> This link has good Las Vegas info. Click on the appropriate tab at
> the bottom of the link page. There is one agency, Las Vegas Metro
> PD, which handles city of LV and Clark County areas combined. (LV

> strip is part city, part county.)
>
> <http://home.wi.rr.com/jts203/3-NevadaFrequencyLists.htm>
>
> Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, WLucas9925@a... wrote:
>
>>4/16/05
>>
>>Anybody. Next month I am planning a trip to Las Vegas and is
>
> wondering if
>
>>anybody as frequencies for the CHP along I-5 and I-15. Also the
>
> frequency for
>
>>Las Vegas PD>
>>
>>Thanks
>>
>>Willie
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38164. Re: [bayscan] homicide in Saratoga

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-16 20:50:34 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38137 Message: 38164

I heard the media report last night. McCandless is certainly a big time
person in the south bay, right up there with the Carl Berg.

http://sanjose.bizjournals.com/sanjose/stories/2005/03/14/daily30.html

Mike McRoberts wrote:
> I guess everyone has heard via the media most of the released details.
> I would've sent a quick heads-up message on this yesterday but they
> yanked me out to the field to help handle calls since all of the
> Saratoga deputies were tied up on the 187.
>
> Apparently our PIO is meeting with many of the news agencies out on
> Fieldstone today for interviews.
>
> Mike
>
>
> On Tue, 15 Mar 2005 13:40:39 EST, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
>>Somewhere off Highway 9 / one male found 10-55 downstairs, woman on ledge
>>of house somehow involved. requesting negotiator
>>
>>155.700 digital
>>
>>1040 hours
>>
>>ED
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

38165. Re: [bayscan] OT: arrest for impersonating officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 03:21:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38155 Message: 38165

At 10:22 AM 3/16/2005, you wrote:
>wudnt me... no cage or spotlights in my car...

And no siren we hope.

38166. Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 03:46:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38166 Message: 38166

CDF SCU: 151.445. Pt. with failing pace maker and broken arm - possible result of t/c.. LZ will be Harny School(???).

Reach enroute out of Concord.

3rd air requested - CALSTAR2 had to cancel due to mechanical, H30 was requested then cancelled for an unknown reason.

1945 hrs

38167. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 04:03:48 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38166 Message: 38167

At 07:46 PM 3/16/2005, you wrote:
>CDF SCU: 151.445. Pt. with failing pace maker and broken arm - possible
>result of t/c.. LZ will be Harny School(???).
>
>Reach enroute out of Concord.
>
>3rd air requested - CALSTAR2 had to cancel due to mechanical, H30 was
>requested then cancelled for an unknown reason.
>
>1945 hrs

Reach 3 enroute with a 25 minute ETA as of 2003. This call was dispatched
at 1921.

No further updates unless warrented.

38168. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 04:31:46 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38166 Message: 38168

At 08:03 PM 3/16/2005, you wrote:

>Reach 3 enroute with a 25 minute ETA as of 2003. This call was dispatched
>at 1921.
>
>No further updates unless warrented.

Wow... REACH 3 had to cancel (no reason given). AMR still enroute Code 3, 5 minute ETA. Mediflight enroute out of Modesto.

2031 hrs - we're now over an hour into this call.

38169. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 04:51:17 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38166 Message: 38169

At 08:31 PM 3/16/2005, you wrote:
>Wow... REACH 3 had to cancel (no reason given). AMR still enroute Code 3, 5
>minute ETA. Mediflight enroute out of Modesto.
>
>2031 hrs - we're now over an hour into this call.

Ok, last update unless something drastically changes.

AMR arrived 2042.

2050: AirMed has a 13 minute ETA. Ground ambulance says they definitely
need to be airlifted.

I hope I don't need to update this anymore...

Evan

38170. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 05:04:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38166 Message: 38170

At 08:51 PM 3/16/2005, you wrote:

>2050: AirMed has a 13 minute ETA. Ground ambulance says they definitely
>need to be airlifted.
>
>I hope I don't need to update this anymore...

Insert foot in mouth. 2104 hrs: AirMed has cancelled. Anyone have any idea what's up? Weather? String of bad luck?

38171. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 06:40:52 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 38166 Message: 38171

where was this at Ev?? never heard of San Antone?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38172. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 06:47:45 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38166 Message: 38172

At 10:40 PM 3/16/2005, you wrote:
>where was this at Ev?? never heard of San Antone?

About 15 miles east of the Lick observatory.

http://www.mapquest.com/maps/map.adp?searchtype=address&country=US&addtohistory=&searchtab=home&address=San+Antonio+Road+%26+Mount+Hamilton+Road&city=San+Jose&state=CA&zipcode=

or

http://tinyurl.com/4l5ja

Evan

38173. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 06:57:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38166 Message: 38173

Heard a helo about 15 minutes ago enroute to VMC with this victim

geez , what the heck time was the intial call on this ?? 2+ hours or
better ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38174. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 07:05:00 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38166 Message: 38174

At 10:57 PM 3/16/2005, you wrote:
>Heard a helo about 15 minutes ago enroute to VMC with this victim
>
> geez , what the heck time was the intial call on this ?? 2+ hours or
>better ?

1921 hrs.

CALSTAR 1 transported.

Evan

38175. Re: [bayscan] OT: arrest for impersonating officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 07:12:07 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 38155 Message: 38175

no siren either, forgot to add that!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2005 7:21 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] OT: arrest for impersonating officer

>
> At 10:22 AM 3/16/2005, you wrote:
>>wudnt me... no cage or spotlights in my car...
>
> And no siren we hope.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38176. SFFD NERT Program Events

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 13:10:56 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38176 Message: 38176

Special Events

NERT City Wide Drill
Saturday April 23, 2005
8:30 am - 12:15 pm
Marina Middle School
Bay Street @ Webster
It is time to refresh your NERT skills at the Anual City Wide Drill
Please bring your helmet, vest, gloves, pencil, paper and a friend!
This event is open to the public

NERT Ham Cram
Saturday April 16, 2005
8:30 am - 4:00 pm
SFFD Division of Training
2310 Folsom @ 19th Street
enter on19th Street for parking
Bring $20 in cash for license
RSVP to jacklevich@...
This test will get you a HAM Radio license from the FCC good for 10 years. Every NERT team should encourage their members to become licensed HAMs to supplement that team's communication ability.

NERT Coordinator College
Saturday March 12, 2005
8:30 am - 12 noon
St. Teresa's Church Hall
19th Street @ Connecticut on Potrero Hill
Coordinators refresh your skills; NERT's become coordinators or assist your neighborhood's leadership team

NERT is in the St. Paddy's Day Parade
Sunday March 13, 2005
We are #95. Meet by 11:30 am on 2nd Street between Bryant and Branan.
NERT is in the parade so you can "Be an Irish NERT for a day". Bring your helmet, vest, and green sweater!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38177. Re: [bayscan] Injury accident, San Antone

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 16:34:49 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38166 Message: 38177

At 11:05 PM 3/16/2005, you wrote:
>1921 hrs.
>
>CALSTAR 1 transported.

Interesting listening... Firescan.net has it, or I've downloaded it from them:

http://www.espphotography.com/sanantone.mp3

Evan

38178. Ongoing sniper incident??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 19:52:04 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 38178 Message: 38178

I just caught the tail-end of a Golden Gate Comm Center transmission which referred to shutting down a freeway due to a sniper suspected of being on the roof of a nearby apartment building.

I'm scanning the CHP dispatch freqs for Marin, Alameda COunty, Oakland, San Mateo & San Jose now but haven't caught anything related to the above yet.

38179. Re: Ongoing sniper incident??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-17 21:37:35 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 38178 Message: 38179

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Tim" <sfbayhooligan@y...> wrote:
>
>
> I just caught the tail-end of a Golden Gate Comm Center transmission
> which referred to shutting down a freeway due to a sniper suspected of
> being on the roof of a nearby apartment building.

I should have mentioned that the brief transmission I heard was on
CHP Blue (42.340).

38180. Test Only / Disregard

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 05:55:34 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 38180 Message: 38180

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

38181. CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:11:24 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 21996 Message: 38181

Chase 42.400
10:11 pm

Robert
BayScan

38182. Re: [bayscan] CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:15:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 21996 Message: 38182

Robert your subject line says 42.44 body says 42.40 ?? which one ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38183. Re: [bayscan] CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:16:52 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 21996 Message: 38183

CHP Pink 42.440

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2005 10:15 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHASE 42.440

Robert your subject line says 42.44 body says 42.40 ?? which one ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.7.3 - Release Date: 3/15/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.7.3 - Release Date: 3/15/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38184. Re: CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:18:25 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 21996 Message: 38184

Im sure you figured it out, 42.440 going a while now in SF, pretty
cool.. on mission now..

10:17

H-32 should be overhead now ..

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Robert your subject line says 42.44 body says 42.40 ??
which one ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38185. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:34:35 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 21996 Message: 38185

So what is the blue frequency?

bayscan@... wrote:
>
> Im sure you figured it out, 42.440 going a while now in SF, pretty
> cool.. on mission now..
>
> 10:17
>
> H-32 should be overhead now ..
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
>>Robert your subject line says 42.44 body says 42.40 ??
>
> which one ?
>
>>ED
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38186. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:43:15 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 21996 Message: 38186

42.34 / 42.18 with the appropriate division tone

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:So what is the blue frequency?

bayscan@... wrote:
>
> Im sure you figured it out, 42.440 going a while now in SF, pretty
> cool.. on mission now..
>
> 10:17
>
> H-32 should be overhead now ..
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
>>Robert your subject line says 42.44 body says 42.40 ??
>
> which one ?
>
>>ED
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38187. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:43:05 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 21996 Message: 38187

I show blue as 42.340

----- Original Message -----
From: gary
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2005 10:34 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: CHASE 42.440

So what is the blue frequency?

bayscan@... wrote:
>
> Im sure you figured it out, 42.440 going a while now in SF, pretty
> cool.. on mission now..
>
> 10:17
>
> H-32 should be overhead now ..
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>
>>Robert your subject line says 42.44 body says 42.40 ??
>
> which one ?
>
>>ED
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.7.3 - Release Date: 3/15/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.7.3 - Release Date: 3/15/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38188. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:48:23 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 21996 Message: 38188

I was checking freqofnature.com's list and didn't see blue under Golden Gate, but found it later at the top of the page. As far as I can tell now, they have a car with two suspects, unless I'm on the wrong event. [If not, Casandra is going to have a not so good night....] http://www.freqofnature.com/frequencies/ca/chp.html

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> 42.34 / 42.18 with the appropriate division tone
>
> gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:So what is the blue frequency?
>
> bayscan@... wrote:
>
>>Im sure you figured it out, 42.440 going a while now in SF, pretty
>>cool.. on mission now..
>>
>>10:17
>>
>>H-32 should be overhead now ..
>>
>>
>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Robert your subject line says 42.44 body says 42.40 ??
>>
>>which one ?
>>
>>
>>>ED
>>>
>>>
>>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Make Yahoo! your home page
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38189. Re: [bayscan] Re: CHASE 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:51:39 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 21996 Message: 38189

Right, the BLUE is a statewide secondary and does not "belong" to any particular division.

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:I was checking freqofnature.com's list and didn't see blue under Golden
Gate, but found it later at the top of the page. As far as I can tell
now, they have a car with two suspects, unless I'm on the wrong event.
[If not, Casandra is going to have a not so good night....]
http://www.freqofnature.com/frequencies/ca/chp.html

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> 42.34 / 42.18 with the appropriate division tone
>
> gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:So what is the blue frequency?
>
> bayscan@... wrote:
>
>>Im sure you figured it out, 42.440 going a while now in SF, pretty
>>cool.. on mission now..
>>
>>10:17
>>
>>H-32 should be overhead now ..
>>
>>
>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Robert your subject line says 42.44 body says 42.40 ??
>>
>>which one ?
>>
>>
>>ED
>>>
>>>
>>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Make Yahoo! your home page
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38190. lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:52:22 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 2153 Message: 38190

Talk of a lojack signal at 580 and Harbor. Richmond I believe.
42.34
173.075 should be the lojack

38191. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 06:56:53 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 2153 Message: 38191

You know, in 15+ years of scanning I've never heard anything on the supposed LoJack frequency.Has anybody else? I've even tried keying up on it (God bless test licenses) in a LoJack-equipped patrol car and didn't get any blinky lights. Isn't it a narrowband FM signal? I guess I should have counted the training gizmo with which I was playing at Christmas.

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:Talk of a lojack signal at 580 and Harbor. Richmond I believe.
42.34
173.075 should be the lojack

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38192. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:02:45 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 2153 Message: 38192

At 10:56 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
>You know, in 15+ years of scanning I've never heard anything on the
>supposed LoJack frequency.Has anybody else? I've even tried keying up on
>it (God bless test licenses) in a LoJack-equipped patrol car and didn't
>get any blinky lights. Isn't it a narrowband FM signal? I guess I should
>have counted the training gizmo with which I was playing at Christmas.

http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html says:

173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
(This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub Carrier)

I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort
of data burst.

38193. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:07:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 2153 Message: 38193

At 11:02 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
><http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html>http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html
>says:
>
>173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
> (This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub
> Carrier)
>
>I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort
>of data burst.

And a good site I just found -

http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/

Provides audio samples of many formats, LoJack included.

Evan

38194. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:08:21 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 2153 Message: 38194

Actually, I'm getting the data burst about every 3 minutes. I just got
one at 11:06. But I thought they had a powerful transmitter on Mt. Tam,
i.e. you don't only get signals from the auto.

I also think we only got lojack running about 5 years ago locally. They
had it in socal longer.

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 10:56 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
>
>>You know, in 15+ years of scanning I've never heard anything on the
>>supposed LoJack frequency.Has anybody else? I've even tried keying up on
>>it (God bless test licenses) in a LoJack-equipped patrol car and didn't
>>get any blinky lights. Isn't it a narrowband FM signal? I guess I should
>>have counted the training gizmo with which I was playing at Christmas.
>
>
> http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html says:
>
> 173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
> (This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub Carrier)
>
> I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort
> of data burst.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38195. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:12:01 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 2153 Message: 38195

That's an interesting tidbit. There does have to be some data to give the ID of the activated unit in the 10851 vehicle... I still expected the Doppler LEDs to light even if no vehicle ID was displayed. Hmph. Oh well. I'm surprised LoJack is still considered a viable technology with the proliferation of telematics (like OnStar). I guess such technologies are still lacking in the absence of cellular network infrastructure to pick up and relay the vehicle location. Satellite-based technologies such as the QualComm units used by commercial shipping companies still need a view of the sky to tell somebody its location. So I guess nothing is perfect. A combination LoJack/OnStar/QualComm would be a pretty good solution. ;-)

Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:At 10:56 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
>You know, in 15+ years of scanning I've never heard anything on the
>supposed LoJack frequency.Has anybody else? I've even tried keying up on
>it (God bless test licenses) in a LoJack-equipped patrol car and didn't
>get any blinky lights. Isn't it a narrowband FM signal? I guess I should
>have counted the training gizmo with which I was playing at Christmas.

http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html says:

173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
(This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub Carrier)

I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort of data burst.

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38196. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:13:32 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 2153 Message: 38196

They have 300 watt sites all over the area. Marin, Palo Alto, Walnut
Creek, etc. Just do a FCC search on the frequency in California.
Licenses come back to LA for some reason.

So if it's a 200ms burst every second, I assume I'm just hearing one of
the 300 watt sites. Maybe they ping the patrol cars periodically to test
the system.

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 11:02 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
>
>><http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html>http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html
>>says:
>>
>>173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
>> (This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub
>>Carrier)
>>
>>I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort
>>of data burst.
>
>
> And a good site I just found -
>
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>
> Provides audio samples of many formats, LoJack included.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38197. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:16:25 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 2153 Message: 38197

The advantage to lojack is you just pay for the hardware once and that is that. [Unless things have changed since 4 years ago when I looked into this.] On-Star and Teleaid require a subscription service of about $200 to $250 per year. So there is some price break point where lojack makes more sense.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> That's an interesting tidbit. There does have to be some data to give the ID of the activated unit in the 10851 vehicle... I still expected the Doppler LEDs to light even if no vehicle ID was displayed. Hmph. Oh well. I'm surprised LoJack is still considered a viable technology with the proliferation of telematics (like OnStar). I guess such technologies are still lacking in the absence of cellular network infrastructure to pick up and relay the vehicle location. Satellite-based technologies such as the QualComm units used by commercial shipping companies still need a view of the sky to tell somebody its location. So I guess nothing is perfect. A combination LoJack/OnStar/QualComm would be a pretty good solution. ;-)
>
> Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:At 10:56 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
>
>>You know, in 15+ years of scanning I've never heard anything on the
>>supposed LoJack frequency.Has anybody else? I've even tried keying up on
>>it (God bless test licenses) in a LoJack-equipped patrol car and didn't
>>get any blinky lights. Isn't it a narrowband FM signal? I guess I should
>>have counted the training gizmo with which I was playing at Christmas.
>
>
> http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html says:
>
> 173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
> (This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub Carrier)
>
> I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort
> of data burst.
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:

> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38198. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:20:46 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 2153 Message: 38198

Aren't the 300W mountaintop sites used to remotely activate the units in the vehicles as well as give the general vicinity of the stolen vehicle? In Pasadena Dispatch would broadcast word of activations picked up at the east or west tower. I guess units were supposed to be on the lookout in the appropriate area based on that info.

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:They have 300 watt sites all over the area. Marin, Palo Alto, Walnut
Creek, etc. Just do a FCC search on the frequency in California.
Licenses come back to LA for some reason.

So if it's a 200ms burst every second, I assume I'm just hearing one of
the 300 watt sites. Maybe they ping the patrol cars periodically to test
the system.

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 11:02 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
>
>><http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html>http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html
>>says:
>>
>>173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
>> (This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub
>>Carrier)
>>
>>I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort
>>of data burst.
>
>
> And a good site I just found -
>
> http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>
> Provides audio samples of many formats, LoJack included.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38199. Chase earlier

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:19:41 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 38199 Message: 38199

I put that chase on my site, you can get the audio at:

http://www.bayscan.net/sp.wav

That was a good one, they had to pit the guy TWICE.. I dont think I ever heard that before.

Robert
BayScan

38200. Re: [bayscan] Chase earlier

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:33:01 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38199 Message: 38200

Interesting listening. Thanks for posting that, Robert. My parrot enjoyed it too. I'm not sure if it was the EIP tone or the squelch tail, but he started clucking along with the pursuit. Now's he still doing it. :-p

bayscan@... wrote:
I put that chase on my site, you can get the audio at:

http://www.bayscan.net/sp.wav

That was a good one, they had to pit the guy TWICE.. I dont think I
ever heard that before.

Robert
BayScan

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38201. another chase 42.600

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:40:26 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 38201 Message: 38201

Not sure what is going on but there is a chase about to be handed over to CHP on Solano Freq. 42.600 involving a silver impala at 100 mph!!

11:39

Robert
BayScan

38202. Re: [bayscan] another chase 42.600

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:44:06 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38201 Message: 38202

The EIP tone is going on the AMBER (42.08) too. They're talking about getting H32 if they clear the pursuit on the TURQUOISE (42.60). Ahh. Makes me feel like I'm back in L.A. where failures to yield are a matter of course. :-D

bayscan@... wrote:
Not sure what is going on but there is a chase about to be handed
over to CHP on Solano Freq. 42.600 involving a silver impala at 100
mph!!

11:39

Robert
BayScan

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38203. Re: [bayscan] another chase 42.600

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:45:32 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38201 Message: 38203

bah. apparently just a foot bail on a 10851 vehicle. phooey.

Gordon Arnold <gordon@...> wrote:The EIP tone is going on the AMBER (42.08) too. They're talking about getting H32 if they clear the pursuit on the TURQUOISE (42.60). Ahh. Makes me feel like I'm back in L.A. where failures to yield are a matter of course. :-D

bayscan@... wrote:
Not sure what is going on but there is a chase about to be handed
over to CHP on Solano Freq. 42.600 involving a silver impala at 100
mph!!

11:39

Robert
BayScan

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38204. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 07:59:43 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 2153 Message: 38204

Fire up 173.075 and see if you get a ping. [Obviously you need to set your squelch carefully since the signal may be weak.] Reading the lojack website, you are correct that the 300W transmitters can be used to turn on the lojack in a car, but this doesn't have to be the only function of the transmitters. I took a quick look at the patent, and it seems they do a bit more than just turn on the transmitter. They can also determine how often the transmitter goes off (repetition rate). There is a random time delay between bursts to allow for multiple transmitters. Pretty much they ripped off bits of the ethernet protocol.

Reading patent 4,818,998 should get you started. www.uspto.gov
The signal is FSK. Mark/space frequencies 1200 and 1800.That patent even spells out the MX-Com chip used. If you really want to goof with it, download the demo version of skysweeper pro. The demo version can decode up to 1 minute of PCM audio sampled at 11025Hz.

http://www.skysweep.com/skysweep.html

I've decoded DGPS beacons, wefax and other stuff just using the demo mode.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> Aren't the 300W mountaintop sites used to remotely activate the units in the vehicles as well as give the general vicinity of the stolen vehicle? In Pasadena Dispatch would broadcast word of activations picked up at the east or west tower. I guess units were supposed to be on the lookout in the appropriate area based on that info.
>
> gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:They have 300 watt sites all over the area. Marin, Palo Alto, Walnut
> Creek, etc. Just do a FCC search on the frequency in California.
> Licenses come back to LA for some reason.
>
> So if it's a 200ms burst every second, I assume I'm just hearing one of
> the 300 watt sites. Maybe they ping the patrol cars periodically to test
> the system.
>
> Evan Platt wrote:
>
>>At 11:02 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
>>
>>
>>><http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html>http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html
>>>says:
>>>
>>>173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
>>> (This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub
>>>Carrier)

>>>
>>>I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort
>>>of data burst.
>>
>>
>>And a good site I just found -
>>
>>http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>>
>>Provides audio samples of many formats, LoJack included.
>>
>>Evan
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38205. Re: [bayscan] Off-Topic Request: Brainstorming for school web page...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 11:49:08 UTC
From: Leo G. Divinagracia III
Topic: 38137 Message: 38205

robert,

quick tip, dont reinvent the wheel.

steal... er,... borrow what's there already. ;)

anyways, i work for a university and we had gone through this a few years of standardizing every dept's site to follow a common theme.

also, went as far as going through a seminar on user interface and we studied web site for university for common things that a visitor would like. and FAST...

that was the key. you know, if you cant find it within a few seconds, most users will... well... bail.

these day, the biggest thing we are facing is making sure the website complies with section 508 of the Americans with Disabilities ACt (ADA).

i suggest if your school is getting some sort of public funding, make sure you build your site from the ground up as sec 508 COMPLIANT as possible.

heck even if it is a total private funding, certain SEC 508 requirements are just a common thing these days...

one tip: MAKE SURE EMAIL ADDRESS CANT BE _HARVESTED_ by web crawlers, people, etc!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! if you have to, pay someone to make the page with email address to be dynamic!

38206. Milpitas 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 11:50:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 29383 Message: 38206

72 Dempsey , close to 680/Calaveras

One story Commercial , Billiard Supply Company

E2 with high heat/ heavy black smoke conditions , building fully charged with smoke

1st alarm E2 E1 E3 T1 USAR 1 Battalion 19 (IC)

2nd alarm E4 Fremont Engine ?? Fremont Truck ?? Fremont BC

0350 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38207. Re: [bayscan] Off-Topic Request: Brainstorming for school web page...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 11:51:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38137 Message: 38207

this IS OFF topic , drop the subject NOW

ED
moderator

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38208. Re: [bayscan] lojack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 16:25:26 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 2153 Message: 38208

Thanks for the info and tip to the Patent & Trademark Office, Gary. That's another good website I picked up from this group. Takes me back to 6th grade when I won a "What is it?" contest at my school library by looking up the patent number stamped in the side. Of course, that required the use of microfiche at the public library, but the concept is the same. ;-)

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:Fire up 173.075 and see if you get a ping. [Obviously you need to set
your squelch carefully since the signal may be weak.] Reading the lojack
website, you are correct that the 300W transmitters can be used to turn
on the lojack in a car, but this doesn't have to be the only function of
the transmitters. I took a quick look at the patent, and it seems they
do a bit more than just turn on the transmitter. They can also determine
how often the transmitter goes off (repetition rate). There is a random
time delay between bursts to allow for multiple transmitters. Pretty
much they ripped off bits of the ethernet protocol.

Reading patent 4,818,998 should get you started. www.uspto.gov
The signal is FSK. Mark/space frequencies 1200 and 1800.That patent even
spells out the MX-Com chip used. If you really want to goof with it,
download the demo version of skysweeper pro. The demo version can decode
up to 1 minute of PCM audio sampled at 11025Hz.

http://www.skysweep.com/skysweep.html

I've decoded DGPS beacons, wefax and other stuff just using the demo mode.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> Aren't the 300W mountaintop sites used to remotely activate the units in the vehicles as well as give the general vicinity of the stolen vehicle? In Pasadena Dispatch would broadcast word of activations picked up at the east or west tower. I guess units were supposed to be on the lookout in the appropriate area based on that info.
>
> gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:They have 300 watt sites all over the area. Marin, Palo Alto, Walnut
> Creek, etc. Just do a FCC search on the frequency in California.
> Licenses come back to LA for some reason.
>
> So if it's a 200ms burst every second, I assume I'm just hearing one of
> the 300 watt sites. Maybe they ping the patrol cars periodically to test
> the system.
>
> Evan Platt wrote:
>
>>At 11:02 PM 3/17/2005, you wrote:
>>

>>
>>><http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html>http://www.ostgate.com/bugfrqmfr.html
>>>says:
>>>
>>>173.075 - LOJACK Auto tracking system beacon (200ms burst every second)
>>> (This is the tracking device remotely activated via FM Sub
>>>Carrier)
>>>
>>>I would have hoped it wouldn't just be a carrier activating it. Some sort
>>>of data burst.
>>
>>
>>And a good site I just found -
>>
>>http://www.kb9ukd.com/digital/
>>
>>Provides audio samples of many formats, LoJack included.
>>
>>Evan
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Sports - Sign up for Fantasy Baseball.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38209. [OT] Lick Observatory laser notam

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-18 20:31:31 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38209 Message: 38209

It might be interesting to see if this light can be spotted. Local times should be 11PM to 5AM.

FDC 5/2070 - CA.. LASER LIGHT ACTIVITY, LIVERMORE, CA. SCIENTIFIC LASER LIGHT PROJECTION WILL BE CONDUCTED AT LICK OBSERVATORY, 372020N/1213720W, SAN JOSE /SJC/ VOR/DME 082 DEGREE RADIAL AT 14 NAUTICAL MILES. 0700-1300 UTC DAILY FROM 0503190700 UNTIL 0503231300 UTC. AVOID AIRBORNE HAZARD BY 6 NM. THIS BEAM IS HAZARDOUS TO PILOTS/ PASSENGERS EYES. OAKLAND /OAK/ AFSS 510-273-7359 IS THE FAA COORDINATION FACILITY. WIE UNTIL UFN

38210. CHP on SR17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 03:30:01 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38210 Message: 38210

Which CHP office covers the inland part of 17, say from 280 to the summit? On a 911 call regarding an incident at SR9 & SR17 the calltaker told me that should go to the Monterey callcenter, so I started listening to the GREEN. It's fairly weak even on my lowband mobile radio, which inclines me to believe that channel is not used by patrol units in this area. Is that beat perhaps covered by RWC (91) or SJ (24) units?

M'goi sai.
Gordon

38211. CHP Traffic Information

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 03:41:51 UTC
From: Robert Griffith
Topic: 38210 Message: 38211

You guys have probably seen this, but it's a pretty neat site:

http://cad.chp.ca.gov/header_default.asp

Makes a good companion to your scanning.

Robert

38212. Re: [bayscan] CHP on SR17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 03:45:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38210 Message: 38212

San Jose

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38213. Mobile trunking scanner recommendation?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 03:51:16 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38213 Message: 38213

I've decided its time to get a trunking scanner. I've decided a mobile scanner is indicated since in Mountain View and San Jose I don't live or work in areas covered by trunked systems but I do travel to visit friends residing in areas covered by the Marin, San Francisco, and Alameda County trunked systems.

That being said, I've identified two possibilities, the Uniden BC-796D (http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?prd_code=BC796D) and the Radio Shack PRO-2096 (http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?cookie_test=1&catalog_name=CTLG&product_id=20-496). I would like to dash-mount the radio in place of the little pocket between my broadcast radio and air handling controls. I've come up with the following pros and cons.

Bearcat BC-796D
Pro: LTR trunking
Con: Large size

Radio Shack PRO-2096
Pro: Designed for in-dash mounting
Con: No LTR trunking; Stupid iPod white color.

I've only ever encountered LTR trunking in SMR systems so I'm not too worried about not having it for public safety listening. Are LTR systems a factor around the Bay Area?

I look forward to everyone's expert advice.

Danke schoen,
Gordon

38214. Re: [bayscan] CHP on SR17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 03:53:31 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38210 Message: 38214

Thanks, Ed. Any idea where Santa Cruz takes over?

caladded@... wrote:San Jose

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38215. Re: [bayscan] CHP on SR17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 03:55:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38210 Message: 38215

around the summit

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38216. RE: [bayscan] CHP on SR17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 04:03:09 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38210 Message: 38216

> Any idea where Santa Cruz takes over?

On 17 they start at Summit Rd, if I'm not mistaken. Or wherever the Santa Cruz/Santa Clara County line is.

- Brian

38217. Re: [bayscan] Mobile trunking scanner recommendation?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 05:13:44 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38213 Message: 38217

The cops sometimes buy time on commercial LTR systems or use some LTR provided by local corporations. Of course, at the times the PD uses these LTR systems, they aren't exactly busy so you can track them. You may be able to find some posts from a few years ago regarding "bounty hunters" on this list. They turned out to be San Jose PD. I don't know if this is still being done. I had read that the Palo Alto narcs were using the HP trunk system, but I never verified this myself.

The GRE APCO25 decoder works better than Unidens. However, if you wait for the next generation Uniden, I suspect the differences will not be as dramatic.

If you were going to do computer control, I'd say get the Uniden. But in your application, I'd get the GRE radio. However, you still want to program it via a PC, so you might want to think about this as you mount the radio to gain access to the port. Or is the programming port on the front?

I haven't seen the 2096, but it is supposed to be just a mobile version of the Pro-96 (which I do have), and I know a program like Win96 comes in very handy in setting up the radio. In fact, there are some split trunk systems you couldn't program without Win96. Win96 also restores milair to the radio.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
>
> I've decided its time to get a trunking scanner. I've decided a
> mobile scanner is indicated since in Mountain View and San Jose I
> don't live or work in areas covered by trunked systems but I do
> travel to visit friends residing in areas covered by the Marin, San
> Francisco, and Alameda County trunked systems.
>
> That being said, I've identified two possibilities, the Uniden BC-
> 796D (http://www.uniden.com/productpop/00_productpop.cfm?
> prd_code=BC796D) and the Radio Shack PRO-2096
> (http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?
> cookie_test=1&catalog_name=CTLG&product_id=20-496). I would like to
> dash-mount the radio in place of the little pocket between my
> broadcast radio and air handling controls. I've come up with the
> following pros and cons.
>
> Bearcat BC-796D
> Pro: LTR trunking
> Con: Large size
>
> Radio Shack PRO-2096

> Pro: Designed for in-dash mounting
> Con: No LTR trunking; Stupid iPod white color.
>
> I've only ever encountered LTR trunking in SMR systems so I'm not
> too worried about not having it for public safety listening. Are LTR
> systems a factor around the Bay Area?
>
> I look forward to everyone's expert advice.
>
> Danke schoen,
> Gordon
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38218. Re: Mobile trunking scanner recommendation?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 08:15:09 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38213 Message: 38218

FSP (Freeway Service Patrol) also uses LTR, but only active during commute hours. Good for details on freeway traffic events.

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> The cops sometimes buy time on commercial LTR systems or use some LTR
> provided by local corporations. Of course, at the times the PD uses
> these LTR systems, they aren't exactly busy so you can track them.

38219. Re: [bayscan] Re: Mobile trunking scanner recommendation?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 08:40:19 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38213 Message: 38219

You can always scan the traffic helicopters.

If it were the next generation Uniden, this might be a contest. But those Vfolders on the rat shack come in handy.

Looking at the pro2096 manual, the programming port is on the front panel. I don't see anything similar on the Uniden. That is enough to do it for me. This is the kind of radio you don't want to program by hand.

Of course the white panel kind of screams "steal me." It won't match most car interiors.

jwpottberg wrote:
>
> FSP (Freeway Service Patrol) also uses LTR, but only active during
> commute hours. Good for details on freeway traffic events.
>
> Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>The cops sometimes buy time on commercial LTR systems or use some
>
> LTR
>
>>provided by local corporations. Of course, at the times the PD
>
> uses
>
>>these LTR systems, they aren't exactly busy so you can track them.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

38220. Re: [bayscan] Re: Mobile trunking scanner recommendation?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 10:17:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38213 Message: 38220

the V files are great BUT , with the amount of memory the BC246T has the the amount the 396 will have , the Unidens will be just , if not better. The 246 does not do digital while the 396 will have it.

I have the 246T and its loaded with freqs for Fire/EMS and LE for 12 counties in the bay area plus Sacramento and Washoe(Reno-Sparks area) in Nevada and I have only used 46% of the memory.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38221. SJPD Activity on 03/16?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 13:56:20 UTC
From: Tom Wirth
Topic: 38221 Message: 38221

Did anyone hear San Jose PD respond to or working an incident on Wednesday 03/16 at @7:30pm on Ross Avenue near Branham Lane? It looked like a possible car into pedestrian type of situation.

Thanks,
Tom

38222. Emailing: findu.com APRS Site

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 15:35:34 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38222 Message: 38222

```
W0WEB Location
findU: Position of W0WEB
0.7 miles northwest of San Francisco, CA --- Report received 3 minutes 43 seconds ago
Mic-E message 2, In Service
Raw packet: W0WEB>S7TVYU,WA6AFT-3*,WIDE,qAo,KG6MRC-0:'25Ul -/]www.sfarc.org

findU links for W0WEB
- Nearby APRS activity
- Raw APRS data
- Messages
- Metric units
- Nautical units
- Display track
- APRS Map Manager coverage
- NexRAD Radar
- Topographic map
- Aerial Photo
- photo-relief image
External links for W0WEB
- QRZ Lookup
- MSN map (North America)
- MSN map (Europe)
- MSN map (world)
- TopoZone
- TerraServer
- ACME Mapper
- Google Maps (beta, NA only)
findU general links
- Latest News
- Advanced cgi parameters
- Emergency beacons
- Packet errors
- About K4HG
- Email K4HG
Zoom
street
neighborhood

city

state

country

(hide)
```

Click the map above for a zoomable map.

(This page will refresh every three minutes)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38223. Re: [bayscan] Emailing: findu.com APRS Site

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 15:49:28 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38222 Message: 38223

At 07:35 AM 3/19/2005, you wrote:

> Click the map above for a zoomable map.

HTML doesn't go through the group... :)

38224. Re: [bayscan] Emailing: findu.com APRS Site

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 15:52:52 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38222 Message: 38224

yeah, but it was a good try

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 07:49:28 -0800, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
> At 07:35 AM 3/19/2005, you wrote:
>
> > Click the map above for a zoomable map.
>
> HTML doesn't go through the group... :)
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> ~-------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38225. San Francisco Protest 3/19

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 16:02:03 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38225 Message: 38225

War protest Today.

Ben, is your SF feed up?

38226. Re: [bayscan] San Francisco Protest 3/19

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 16:27:36 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38225 Message: 38226

I thought it was on the 20th

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 16:02:03 -0000, sffdmedicwanttobe
<sffire@...> wrote:
>
> War protest Today.
>
> Ben, is your SF feed up?
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38227. Re: [bayscan] San Francisco Protest 3/19

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 16:34:32 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38225 Message: 38227

At 08:27 AM 3/19/2005, you wrote:
>I thought it was on the 20th

19th and 20th...

http://www.sanluisobispo.com/mld/sanluisobispo/11180672.htm

Evan

38228. Re: [bayscan] Emailing: findu.com APRS Site

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 16:40:57 UTC
From: Tom Smith
Topic: 38222 Message: 38228

just enter
map.findu.com/w0web
my map came out looking odd but readable
kd6soj
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: 03/19/2005 7:52 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Emailing: findu.com APRS Site

yeah, but it was a good try

On Sat, 19 Mar 2005 07:49:28 -0800, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
> At 07:35 AM 3/19/2005, you wrote:
>
> > Click the map above for a zoomable map.
>
> HTML doesn't go through the group... :)
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38229. Re: [bayscan] Mobile trunking scanner recommendation?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 16:59:18 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38213 Message: 38229

> Bearcat BC-796D
> Pro: LTR trunking
> Con: Large size

It's not that large. It (and the 780) fits perfectly (in fact, a hair small) in my truck. I posted a picture of my 780 on the entry page for the bayscan_hams ...

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan_hams/

38230. Re: [bayscan] San Francisco Protest 3/19

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 17:03:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38225 Message: 38230

I heard it was for today @ 11am , I believe Mission Dolores park

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38231. Protest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 17:13:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 10704 Message: 38231

Yeah, Da Chron has it.....Dolores Park , 18th and Dolores with a
march to Civic Center. Da Chron doesn't give the time , but I recall hearing 11am
, thats about the normal time for these things anyway

For those who can get SFs system , the usual tac channels should be busy

A9/ TG 13104
A10/ TG 13136
A11/ TG 13168

YO Ben.....can you lockout the normal dispatch TGs if your home
today for this thing by chance ?? just scan the above 3 ????????????

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38232. Re: [bayscan] San Francisco Protest 3/19

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 18:58:41 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38225 Message: 38232

San Francisco's is today.
http://www.bapd.org/notices.html

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 08:27 AM 3/19/2005, you wrote:
>
>>I thought it was on the 20th
>
>
> 19th and 20th...
>
> http://www.sanluisobispo.com/mld/sanluisobispo/11180672.htm
>
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38233. SF protest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 23:05:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 4614 Message: 38233

Bens feed seems 10-7 :-(

CHP is updating on the pink (42.44) has numerous " squads" deployed in downtown area.

advising " black bloc" ((criminals posed as peace activists)) on bicycles throughout downtown.

CHP H30 overhead.

SFPD (for those who can get it-- 13104 13136 13168

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38234. Re: [bayscan] SF protest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 23:21:17 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 4614 Message: 38234

my feeds are up

caladded@... wrote:

> Bens feed seems 10-7 :-(
>
> CHP is updating on the pink (42.44) has numerous " squads" deployed in
>downtown area.
>
> advising " black bloc" ((criminals posed as peace activists)) on bicycles
>throughout downtown.
>
> CHP H30 overhead.
>
>SFPD (for those who can get it-- 13104 13136 13168
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38235. Re: [bayscan] SF protest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 23:21:25 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 4614 Message: 38235

my feeds are up. people on them

caladded@... wrote:

> Bens feed seems 10-7 :-(
>
> CHP is updating on the pink (42.44) has numerous " squads" deployed in
>downtown area.
>
> advising " black bloc" ((criminals posed as peace activists)) on bicycles
>throughout downtown.
>
> CHP H30 overhead.
>
>SFPD (for those who can get it-- 13104 13136 13168
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38236. Re: [bayscan] SF protest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 23:24:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 4614 Message: 38236

hmmmmmmm,,,,,,,,,,anyone getting SFPD on Bens' feed ?

I can get the CHP feed ok, but not the SF feed ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38237. RE: [bayscan] SF protest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 23:31:09 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 4614 Message: 38237

> hmmmmmmm,,,,,,,,,,anyone getting SFPD on Bens' feed ?
>
> I can get the CHP feed ok, but not the SF feed ??
>
> ED

Nope. BTW, boy is that siren loud! :-)

- Brian

38238. Re: [bayscan] SF protest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 23:42:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 4614 Message: 38238

try it now

Brian wrote:

>> hmmmmmmm,,,,,,,,,,anyone getting SFPD on Bens' feed ?
>>
>> I can get the CHP feed ok, but not the SF feed ??
>>
>>ED
>>
>>
>
>Nope. BTW, boy is that siren loud! :-)
>
>- Brian
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38239. RE: [bayscan] SF protest

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-19 23:43:52 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 4614 Message: 38239

> try it now

Bingo!

38240. fire in SJ

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 05:04:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38240 Message: 38240

Angie and Jackson, fully involved house with exposure problems on sides B,C
an D 2nd alarm

2104

Engines 16 - 2 - 21 USAR Co. 16 Truck co. 2 BC 2 BC1 Med 30

2nd alarm) Engines 3 24 Truck Co. 3 HIT 29 Air 6 BC 29

Engine 8 came in service from another call is is assigned as extra Engine

Command 3/ 154.115
TAC 5 / 155.88

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38241. SJ update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 05:20:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38241 Message: 38241

2 houses involved on the fire on Angie and Jackson , seems like they may have it knocked down/ released Engine 3.

Another structure reponse in Station 5s area (Sta.5 on 10th st./ 101) on Command 4/ 153.98

3rd call- structure fire in Station 9s area (Camden/ Ross is 9s station) on SJ Fire 155.025 on Thomasina street .
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38242. Re: [bayscan] SJ update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 05:24:03 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38241 Message: 38242

Tomasina Ct. has mostly apartments and quadplexes. Might involve a response from County. Did E11 from county go on this?

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38243. Re: [bayscan] SJ update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 06:02:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38241 Message: 38243

yeah, they sure did , didn't turn out to be much also had E17 and T9

BC 13

at this point they were out of BCs.....clearly SJ needs to add 6th BC
if they have the money . maybe when Stations 33,34,35 etc come on line. it will
get added

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38244. Re: [bayscan] SJ update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 06:05:15 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38241 Message: 38244

I think when 4 of the 5 BC's are on calls, the remaining BC, in this case B10, goes to the ECC and oversees calls and deems if it's a single engine call or warrants a structure response.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38245. Re: [bayscan] SJ update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 06:33:12 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38241 Message: 38245

yeah, thats the way I hear it too.....sure need another BC

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38246. Sunnyvale PD Pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 10:47:40 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38246 Message: 38246

I was woken up by CHP H32 overhead. Sunnyvale PD has someone stopped, 101 somewhere near Shoreline. A couple of CHP units are 10-97 also, requesting their S (sergeant) Unit.

Sunnyvale DPS (PD) requesting NB 101 shut down completely, immediately. They have the vehicle stopped, but the occupant is refusing to exit the vehicle. Requesting ambulance code 3 response. Sunnyvale PD using gas on perp.

482.9625 Sunnyvale DPS
42.08 CHP RWC
42.50 CHP San Jose
856.4375 EMS

- Brian

38247. RE: [bayscan] Sunnyvale PD Pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 10:50:35 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38246 Message: 38247

Opening freeway now, two lanes still blocked by patrol vehicles. I guess the suspect is in custody. Cancel CHP San Jose.

Time to go back to bed.

- Brian
2:50am

38248. Luckiest Man...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 20:56:07 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38248 Message: 38248

http://media.ebaumsworld.com/semitrucksandwich.wmv

Man in Subaru gets sandwiched between a semi and a tow truck - his car gets squished, walks away with minor injuries.

That car could fit in my backseat..

38249. Re: [bayscan] Luckiest Man...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 21:09:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38248 Message: 38249

Holy Frijoles!!!! That's all I have to say on the matter.

On Mar 20, 2005, at 12:56 PM, Evan Platt wrote:

>
>
>
> http://media.ebaumsworld.com/semitrucksandwich.wmv
>
> Man in Subaru gets sandwiched between a semi and a tow truck - his car
> gets
> squished, walks away with minor injuries.
>
> That car could fit in my backseat..

38250. OT: historical stuff

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 20:58:44 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38250 Message: 38250

hey guys, i have a friend whose father served in the radio signal corps during wwII - and has a scrapbook of ephemera related to his service. is there someone who is a history buff who could help put some of these things in perspective? do the bases or local radio clubs maintain a library of archival material for reference or nostalgia? wondering what the best thing to do with this memorabilia might be, too precious for this family to discard?

related questions: what was the rag chewers club, the order of the dirty bird?

nina
==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38251. Re: [bayscan] OT: historical stuff

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 21:37:14 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38250 Message: 38251

Rag chew is slang for yak and yak and yak then yak some more on a ham radio. [Or is it yack? Yada yada yada.]

Dirty bird could be just about anything. There were attempts to make U2 spy planes less reflective to radar. Those planes were nick named the dirty bird, but that would be after WWII.

About the closest thing to a radio museum is the Perham Foundation, but they are probably stuff to the gills with such info.

nina zhito wrote:
> hey guys, i have a friend whose father served in the radio signal corps
> during wwII - and has a scrapbook of ephemera related to his service.
> is there someone who is a history buff who could help put some of these
> things in perspective? do the bases or local radio clubs maintain a
> library of archival material for reference or nostalgia? wondering
> what the best thing to do with this memorabilia might be, too precious
> for this family to discard?
>
> related questions: what was the rag chewers club, the order of the
> dirty bird?
>
>
> nina
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38252. Re: [bayscan] Luckiest Man...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-20 22:06:42 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38248 Message: 38252

Hmm. I saw "Unbreakable." Where's Samuel L. Jackson?

Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:
Holy Frijoles!!!! That's all I have to say on the matter.

On Mar 20, 2005, at 12:56 PM, Evan Platt wrote:

> http://media.ebaumsworld.com/semitrucksandwich.wmv
>
> Man in Subaru gets sandwiched between a semi and a tow
> truck - his car gets squished, walks away with minor
> injuries.
>
> That car could fit in my backseat..

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Helps protect you from nasty viruses.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38253. South San Francisco 16:00

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 00:01:38 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38253 Message: 38253

16:00 twister?

Calling Second alarm North Canel and Linden

38254. Re: South San Francisco 16:00

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 00:02:09 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38253 Message: 38254

154.10 primary control 21

38255. Re: South San Francisco 16:00

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 00:03:06 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38253 Message: 38255

E35 E36 E93 B16 B9 T34 BA17 Canel and Linden

38256. 2nd alarm South San Francisco @ 4:01 p.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 00:03:18 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 38256 Message: 38256

Battalion 17 reports heavy damage to structures near 403 North Canal, companies reporting lots of damage in the area, staging at North Canal at Linden.

Control 21 - 154.1

I'm from back east, maybe a small tornado? Check out this CHP log:

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
3:42PM - PER CALLER A TWISTER CROSSED THE RDWY AND LEFT ALOT OF DEBRIS IN RDWY

Who knows,

Jeff

38257. Re: [bayscan] Re: South San Francisco 16:00

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 00:05:40 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38253 Message: 38257

streaming on feed 3
www.mattlogic.com

sffdmedicwanttobe wrote:

>154.10 primary control 21
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38258. Re: South San Francisco 16:00

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 00:05:48 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38253 Message: 38258

2231 DERBY WY ,SSF Full Box BC20 E72 ?E91 E92 PT21
DELVIN WY/VISTA CT ,SSF Full Box BC18 E38 E52 E95 T95

Wind damage

38259. Re: South San Francisco 16:00

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 00:17:56 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38253 Message: 38259

San mateo County police Mutual Aid SSF 488.862Mhz
Neighboring Cities to respond on traffic control etc. up to 10 units

38260. Re: Update - 2nd alarm South San Francisco @ 4:20 p.m.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 00:21:10 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 38256 Message: 38260

Sounds like they're shutting down several streets in the area of South Spruce, North Canal, and Commercial. Companies report they're having to check out damage on foot due to numerous power lines down. PD reported a gas leak of some sort in the area too, not sure of the status of that though.

Fireground is Control 23 - 154.04 and is available on FireDispatch.com

Jeff

38261. Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 01:00:17 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 38261 Message: 38261

License shows expired.

Did they move somewhere from T-band or did somebody forget
to renew ??

PW KVZ588
SANTA CLARA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY - JAMES B UNITES
SAN JOSE, CA
[applicant county government district. will use radio for
communications essential to official activities of applicant.]
ctrl pt 1 - 1555 BERGER DR SAN JOSE CA 408-299-4384
03/12/05 License Status Set to Expired
1 - 4.5 KM NE OF HOLIDAY LAKE RESORT MORGAN HILL (SANTA CLARA) CA
488.6375 78' FB 210e
488.6875 78' FB 210e
488.7375 78' FB2 210e
488.8375 78' FB2 210e
489.0375 78' FB2 210e
2 - BLACK MOUNTAIN PALO ALTO (SANTA CLARA) CA
488.6875 65' FB 210e
488.8375 65' FB 210e
489.0375 65' FB 210e
3 - 2700 CAROL DR SAN JOSE (SANTA CLARA) CA
488.6375 78' FB2 210e
488.7375 78' FB2 210e
4 - 32 KMRA around site 1
491.6875 MO 1000x40e
491.7375 MO 1000x40e
491.8375 MO 1000x40e
492.0375 MO 1000x40e
5 - 32 KMRA around site 2
491.6875 MO 1000x40e
491.7375 MO 1000x40e
491.8375 MO 1000x40e
492.0375 MO 1000x40e
6 - 32 KMRA around site 3
491.6375 MO 1000x40e
491.7375 MO 1000x40e
491.8375 MO 1000x40e
492.0375 MO 1000x40e

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38262. Tornado - South San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 01:01:31 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38262 Message: 38262

This in via EDIS -

SPECIAL WEATHER STATEMENT
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA

SUN MAR 20 2005

SAN FRANCISCO-SAN MATEO COUNTY-

AT 340 PM THIS AFTERNOON THERE WERE SEVERAL REPORTS BY THE PUBLIC
AND AN OFF DUTY NWS METEOROLOGIST OF A COLD AIR FUNNEL CLOUD OVER
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO.

STUDIES OF COLD AIR FUNNELS SHOW THAT THEY SELDOM TOUCH THE GROUND
AND RARELY DO ANY DAMAGE. THESE FUNNEL CLOUDS NORMALLY PROTRUDE A
FEW HUNDRED FEET DOWNWARD FROM THE BASE OF THE PARENT CLOUD...AND
LAST A FEW MINUTES BEFORE DISSIPATING.

38263. Re: [bayscan] Tornado - South San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 01:22:29 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 38262 Message: 38263

At 05:01 PM 3/20/2005, you wrote:

>This in via EDIS -
>
>SPECIAL WEATHER STATEMENT
>NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
>
>SUN MAR 20 2005
>
>SAN FRANCISCO-SAN MATEO COUNTY-
>
>AT 340 PM THIS AFTERNOON THERE WERE SEVERAL REPORTS BY THE PUBLIC
>AND AN OFF DUTY NWS METEOROLOGIST OF A COLD AIR FUNNEL CLOUD OVER
>SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO.
>
>STUDIES OF COLD AIR FUNNELS SHOW THAT THEY SELDOM TOUCH THE GROUND
>AND RARELY DO ANY DAMAGE. THESE FUNNEL CLOUDS NORMALLY PROTRUDE A
>FEW HUNDRED FEET DOWNWARD FROM THE BASE OF THE PARENT CLOUD...AND
>LAST A FEW MINUTES BEFORE DISSIPATING.
/////

The vote for UNDER-statement of the year. Seldom became F-1 tornado
"did"... LOL

IC's last count was 14 structures damaged, numerous power lines still down,
natural gas lines damaged, and extended operations.

They have some type of helo airborne for damage assessment.

Fireground stuff is on www.firedispatch.com as "IC" and "Railroad branch"

Latest NWS statement says another band of "strong" thunderstorms are off
the coast and headed our way
soon to include lightning strikes.

Welcome to Spring.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38264. Re: [bayscan] OT: historical stuff; Perham Foundation now history

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 01:53:52 UTC
From: Bob Underwood
Topic: 38250 Message: 38264

The Perham Foundation was merged into History San Jose a couple years ago; now it is the Perham Collection of History San Jose; I think none of it is yet on display (it may take them YEARS to go through it all). History San Jose quite properly tries to concentrate on stories closely related to San Jose; I can't really think of a good suggestion for the type of memorabilia you describe.

I agree with Gary about the rag chewers club; there used to be an ARRL award called RCC, but I just looked at the web site, and didn't see it; it may be history too. I don't know of any explanation for "order of the dirty bird"; sorry.

Bob U. (formerly on the Perham board of directors)

At 01:37 PM 3/20/2005, you wrote:

>Rag chew is slang for yak and yak and yak then yak some more on a ham
>radio. [Or is it yack? Yada yada yada.]
>
>Dirty bird could be just about anything. There were attempts to make U2
>spy planes less reflective to radar. Those planes were nick named the
>dirty bird, but that would be after WWII.
>
>About the closest thing to a radio museum is the Perham Foundation, but
>they are probably stuff to the gills with such info.
>
>
>
>nina zhito wrote:
> > hey guys, i have a friend whose father served in the radio signal corps
> > during wwII - and has a scrapbook of ephemera related to his service.
> > is there someone who is a history buff who could help put some of these
> > things in perspective? do the bases or local radio clubs maintain a
> > library of archival material for reference or nostalgia? wondering
> > what the best thing to do with this memorabilia might be, too precious
> > for this family to discard?
> >
> > related questions: what was the rag chewers club, the order of the
> > dirty bird?
> >
> >
> > nina
> > ========================
> > zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> > (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

38265. Re: [bayscan] OT: historical stuff; Perham Foundation now history

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 02:09:07 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38250 Message: 38265

thanks gentlemen, for your thoughts... the rag chewers and dirty bird awards were circa 1970 -- not so very long ago!

happy for the input and to know about the perham collection...

nina

On Sunday, Mar 20, 2005, at 17:53 US/Pacific, Bob Underwood wrote:

> The Perham Foundation was merged into History San Jose a couple years ago;
> now it is the Perham Collection of History San Jose; I think none of it is
> yet on display (it may take them YEARS to go through it all). History San
> Jose quite properly tries to concentrate on stories closely related to San
> Jose; I can't really think of a good suggestion for the type of memorabilia
> you describe.
>
> I agree with Gary about the rag chewers club; there used to be an ARRL
> award called RCC, but I just looked at the web site, and didn't see it; it
> may be history too. I don't know of any explanation for "order of the dirty
> bird"; sorry.
>
> Bob U. (formerly on the Perham board of directors)
>
> At 01:37 PM 3/20/2005, you wrote:
>
>> Rag chew is slang for yak and yak and yak then yak some more on a ham
>> radio. [Or is it yack? Yada yada yada.]
>>
>> Dirty bird could be just about anything. There were attempts to make U2
>> spy planes less reflective to radar. Those planes were nick named the
>> dirty bird, but that would be after WWII.
>>
>> About the closest thing to a radio museum is the Perham Foundation, but
>> they are probably stuff to the gills with such info.
>>
>>

>>
>> nina zhito wrote:
>>> hey guys, i have a friend whose father served in the radio signal
>>> corps
>>> during wwII - and has a scrapbook of ephemera related to his service.
>>> is there someone who is a history buff who could help put some of
>>> these
>>> things in perspective? do the bases or local radio clubs maintain a
>>> library of archival material for reference or nostalgia? wondering
>>> what the best thing to do with this memorabilia might be, too
>>> precious
>>> for this family to discard?
>>>
>>> related questions: what was the rag chewers club, the order of the
>>> dirty bird?
>>>
>>>
>>> nina
>>> ========================
>>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>>

zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38266. Re: [bayscan] OT: historical stuff; Perham Foundation now history

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 02:46:58 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38250 Message: 38266

Technically, the "dirty bird" is Project Rainbow. You can download the
CIA report on the project here:
http://www.odci.gov/csi/books/U2/index.htm

Now that you know "rag chew", there aren't too many secret ham words left.

Fire Bottle: vacuum tube

Boat Anchor: a heavy electronic device, often using fire bottles

barn: garage

destinated: the state of reaching one's destination, as in "I am now
destinated and I am about to put the car in the barn."

fine business ham: although you can't discuss business on the ham
bands, a person who follows the rules to a T is a fine business ham.
Ordering a piazza over the repeater phone link is not fine business.

nina zhito wrote:
> thanks gentlemen, for your thoughts... the rag chewers and dirty bird
> awards were circa 1970 -- not so very long ago!
>
> happy for the input and to know about the perham collection...
>
> nina
>
>
>
> On Sunday, Mar 20, 2005, at 17:53 US/Pacific, Bob Underwood wrote:
>
>
>>The Perham Foundation was merged into History San Jose a couple years
>>ago;
>>now it is the Perham Collection of History San Jose; I think none of
>>it is
>>yet on display (it may take them YEARS to go through it all). History
>>San
>>Jose quite properly tries to concentrate on stories closely related to
>>San
>>Jose; I can't really think of a good suggestion for the type of
>>memorabilia
>>you describe.
>>
>>I agree with Gary about the rag chewers club; there used to be an ARRL
>>award called RCC, but I just looked at the web site, and didn't see
>>it; it

>>may be history too. I don't know of any explanation for "order of the
>>dirty
>>bird"; sorry.
>>
>>Bob U. (formerly on the Perham board of directors)
>>
>>At 01:37 PM 3/20/2005, you wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Rag chew is slang for yak and yak and yak then yak some more on a ham
>>>radio. [Or is it yack? Yada yada yada.]
>>>
>>>Dirty bird could be just about anything. There were attempts to make
>>>U2
>>>spy planes less reflective to radar. Those planes were nick named the
>>>dirty bird, but that would be after WWII.
>>>
>>>About the closest thing to a radio museum is the Perham Foundation,
>>>but
>>>they are probably stuff to the gills with such info.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>nina zhito wrote:
>>>
>>>>hey guys, i have a friend whose father served in the radio signal
>>>>corps
>>>>during wwII - and has a scrapbook of ephemera related to his service.
>>>>is there someone who is a history buff who could help put some of
>>>>these
>>>>things in perspective? do the bases or local radio clubs maintain a
>>>>library of archival material for reference or nostalgia? wondering
>>>>what the best thing to do with this memorabilia might be, too
>>>>precious
>>>>for this family to discard?
>>>>
>>>>related questions: what was the rag chewers club, the order of the
>>>>dirty bird?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>nina
>>>>=========================
>>>>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
>>>> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>>>>
>
>
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38267. Re: [bayscan] OT: historical stuff; Perham Foundation now history

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 04:38:14 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38250 Message: 38267

Not all of what was said is TRUE
"Ordering a pizza over the repeater phone link(AutoPatch) is not fine business"

I (being the ham) can order a Pizza over a phonepatch (Autopatch)
as long as the Pizza Joint is not a ham, but I can call a Taxi or Tow
or Pizza and many other things.
I can not order a Pizza over a Ham repeater, Now that doing business,
i.e. the Pizza joint has a two meter radio and is using it to take
orders on the Air

(w0web to Mike's Pizza Joint can I have a large combo hold the fish
and a 6 pack of Bud, Dude) that is just so wrong in so many ways

On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 18:46:58 -0800, gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
>
> Technically, the "dirty bird" is Project Rainbow. You can download the
> CIA report on the project here:
> http://www.odci.gov/csi/books/U2/index.htm
>
> Now that you know "rag chew", there aren't too many secret ham words left.
>
> Fire Bottle: vacuum tube
>
> Boat Anchor: a heavy electronic device, often using fire bottles
>
> barn: garage
>
> destinated: the state of reaching one's destination, as in "I am now
> destinated and I am about to put the car in the barn."
>
> fine business ham: although you can't discuss business on the ham
> bands, a person who follows the rules to a T is a fine business ham.
> Ordering a piazza over the repeater phone link is not fine business.
>
> nina zhito wrote:
> > thanks gentlemen, for your thoughts... the rag chewers and dirty bird
> > awards were circa 1970 -- not so very long ago!
> >
> > happy for the input and to know about the perham collection...
> >
> > nina
> >
> >
> >
> > On Sunday, Mar 20, 2005, at 17:53 US/Pacific, Bob Underwood wrote:
> >

> >
> >>The Perham Foundation was merged into History San Jose a couple years
> >>ago;
> >>now it is the Perham Collection of History San Jose; I think none of
> >>it is
> >>yet on display (it may take them YEARS to go through it all). History
> >>San
> >>Jose quite properly tries to concentrate on stories closely related to
> >>San
> >>Jose; I can't really think of a good suggestion for the type of
> >>memorabilia
> >>you describe.
> >>
> >>I agree with Gary about the rag chewers club; there used to be an ARRL
> >>award called RCC, but I just looked at the web site, and didn't see
> >>it; it
> >>may be history too. I don't know of any explanation for "order of the
> >>dirty
> >>bird"; sorry.
> >>
> >>Bob U. (formerly on the Perham board of directors)
> >>
> >>At 01:37 PM 3/20/2005, you wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Rag chew is slang for yak and yak and yak then yak some more on a ham
> >>>radio. [Or is it yack? Yada yada yada.]
> >>>
> >>>Dirty bird could be just about anything. There were attempts to make
> >>>U2
> >>>spy planes less reflective to radar. Those planes were nick named the
> >>>dirty bird, but that would be after WWII.
> >>>
> >>>About the closest thing to a radio museum is the Perham Foundation,
> >>>but
> >>>they are probably stuff to the gills with such info.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>nina zhito wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>hey guys, i have a friend whose father served in the radio signal
> >>>>corps
> >>>>during wwII - and has a scrapbook of ephemera related to his service.
> >>>>is there someone who is a history buff who could help put some of
> >>>>these
> >>>>things in perspective? do the bases or local radio clubs maintain a
> >>>>library of archival material for reference or nostalgia? wondering
> >>>>what the best thing to do with this memorabilia might be, too
> >>>>precious

> >>>>for this family to discard?
> >>>>
> >>>>related questions: what was the rag chewers club, the order of the
> >>>>dirty bird?
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>nina
> >>>>========================
> >>>>zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> >>>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
> >>>>
> >
> >
> > zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> > (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38268. Re: Luckiest Man...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 04:51:42 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 38248 Message: 38268

Makes you wonder how the decision is made upstairs who's ticket gets punched today who's doesn't...

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
>
>
> http://media.ebaumsworld.com/semitrucksandwich.wmv
>
> Man in Subaru gets sandwiched between a semi and a tow truck - his car gets
> squished, walks away with minor injuries.
>
> That car could fit in my backseat..

38269. Re: OT: historical stuff

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 04:56:29 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 38250 Message: 38269

Nina,

Where did he serve? There may be a historical society either at the base or bases he served or the US Army Signal Corps. There's also an Army museum at the Presidio that, even if they are not interested in the material, may be able to give you a lead on who is.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> hey guys, i have a friend whose father served in the radio signal corps
> during wwII - and has a scrapbook of ephemera related to his service.
> is there someone who is a history buff who could help put some of these
> things in perspective? do the bases or local radio clubs maintain a
> library of archival material for reference or nostalgia? wondering
> what the best thing to do with this memorabilia might be, too precious
> for this family to discard?
>
> related questions: what was the rag chewers club, the order of the
> dirty bird?
>
>
> nina
> ========================
> zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604

38270. Re: Tornado - South San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 05:03:45 UTC
From: jjcooke@yahoo.com
Topic: 38262 Message: 38270

I saw the video of this on KTVU. The damage looked a lot more consistent with a downburst from a cold weather funnel than an F1 tornado. The videos of the cloud mass also didn't show a funnel cloud extending to the gound. The most structural damage I saw was broken windows and facade damage, which is less than what I would exprct to see for an F1 tornado. They'll do an aerial check to see if there's a consistent path of damage. If there is, it would probably be classified as an F1 tornado. If not, it would be downburst or straight line winds. Either way, it must have been a scary couple of minutes for the folks down there.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> At 05:01 PM 3/20/2005, you wrote:
>
> >This in via EDIS -
> >
> >SPECIAL WEATHER STATEMENT
> >NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SAN FRANCISCO CA
> >
> >SUN MAR 20 2005
> >
> >SAN FRANCISCO-SAN MATEO COUNTY-
> >
> >AT 340 PM THIS AFTERNOON THERE WERE SEVERAL REPORTS BY THE PUBLIC
> >AND AN OFF DUTY NWS METEOROLOGIST OF A COLD AIR FUNNEL CLOUD OVER
> >SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO.
> >
> >STUDIES OF COLD AIR FUNNELS SHOW THAT THEY SELDOM TOUCH THE GROUND
> >AND RARELY DO ANY DAMAGE. THESE FUNNEL CLOUDS NORMALLY PROTRUDE A
> >FEW HUNDRED FEET DOWNWARD FROM THE BASE OF THE PARENT CLOUD...AND
> >LAST A FEW MINUTES BEFORE DISSIPATING.
> /////
>
> The vote for UNDER-statement of the year. Seldom became F-1
tornado
> "did"... LOL
>
> IC's last count was 14 structures damaged, numerous power lines
still down,
> natural gas lines damaged, and extended operations.
>
> They have some type of helo airborne for damage assessment.
>

> Fireground stuff is on www.firedispatch.com as "IC" and "Railroad
branch"
>
> Latest NWS statement says another band of "strong" thunderstorms
are off
> the coast and headed our way
> soon to include lightning strikes.
>
> Welcome to Spring.

38271. Re: [bayscan] Re: Tornado - South San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 05:10:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38262 Message: 38271

I doubt the people with damage care LESS what they call or classify it as
!!!

I saw a funnel cloud very close the the same area several years
ago.......driving up 101 approaching SFO I spotting a " formation" which I
usually only see on the news from places like Kansas......I wasn't sure until SFO
Airport Fire broadcast information of a funnel cloud spotted at the north end
of the airport !

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38272. Re: [bayscan] Re: Tornado - South San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 06:47:41 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38262 Message: 38272

Gotta love micro climates because it wasn't even windy here in SJ today. Just breezy with rain.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38273. 187 threat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 08:00:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38273 Message: 38273

Fresno CHP received a cell call from a suspect who had been arrested by a police officer with a name similiar to chivara. Unknown which jurisdiction or location of the officer. The perp said he had been arrested for narcotics violations. He further stated that he was going to walk in to a police station and 187 a police officer.

I got this over San Jose Police channel 1. I assume its being broadcast statewide.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38274. San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 08:45:06 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 38274 Message: 38274

San Mateo County Sheriff's Office Bomb Squad was just activated for a suspicious device found at an unknown location in Burlingame.

Wherever it is, Burlingame PD officers are on-scene, and the bomb squad supervisor is calling the BPD Sgt on scene via phone to have him describe the item while they're en route.

Burlingame PD 1 -- 489.0875, 2 -- 489.5625. San Mateo County LEA Mutual Aid -- 488.8875, "Green" channel.

This may or may not be related to a VIP who was town over the weekend for a low-profile visit & staying in the Burligame/Hillsborough area with Secret Service protection, but I think that guy flew out Sunday afternoon.

Tim

38275. bomb call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 09:55:10 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 38275 Message: 38275

the VIP Tim is refering to would be the Vice President.

38276. Re: [bayscan] OT: historical stuff; Perham Foundation now history

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 10:34:08 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38250 Message: 38276

>"Ordering a pizza over the repeater phone link(AutoPatch) is not fine
>business"

I believe ordering a pizza using a repeater phone link is OK if the intended
eatees are hams working a ARES/RACES event.

>(w0web to Mike's Pizza Joint can I have a large combo hold the fish
>and a 6 pack of Bud, Dude) that is just so wrong in so many ways

yes, if the person is a woman and did not say Dudess.

Mike

38277. RE: [bayscan] Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 10:38:14 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38261 Message: 38277

>Did they move somewhere from T-band or did somebody forget
>to renew ??

it has happened more than once where nobody in the agency paid attention and let their license expire. I believe someone on this list mentioned that is what happened to Tracy PD.

Mike

38278. Re: [bayscan] OT: historical stuff; Perham Foundation now history

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 15:45:41 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38250 Message: 38278

At 02:34 AM 3/21/2005, you wrote:

>I believe ordering a pizza using a repeater phone link is OK if the intended
>eatees are hams working a ARES/RACES event.

I believe even just ordering a pizza on your way home (I'll be there in 10
minutes to pick it up) is fine too.

38279. firescan.net upgrade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 15:59:07 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 26215 Message: 38279

I've got MDC1200 decoding running on the processor that handles San Mateo Control 1 on www.firescan.net. Makes for more interesting data now that even more of the station paging has moved to the wireline Zetron system.

Matthew Kaufman
matthew@...

38280. San Mateo County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 16:04:43 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 18618 Message: 38280

Speaking of Control 1, for those who hadn't already noticed, as of March 1st, all the San Mateo County stations and volunteer companies formerly paged by CDF Felton on CZU Local are now paged by San Mateo County on Control 1... that includes Belmont (used to be half and half), Loma Mar, Kings Mountain, La Honda, Skylonda, Pescadero.

Matthew Kaufman
matthew@...

38281. Re: [bayscan] OT: historical stuff; Perham Foundation now history

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 17:14:47 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38281 Message: 38281

Given the proliferation, low cost, and prepaid availability of cellular telephones, isn't this now a moot point?

Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
At 02:34 AM 3/21/2005, you wrote:

>I believe ordering a pizza using a repeater phone link is OK if the intended
>eatees are hams working a ARES/RACES event.

I believe even just ordering a pizza on your way home (I'll be there in 10 minutes to pick it up) is fine too.

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38282. Re: [bayscan] San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 18:05:34 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38274 Message: 38282

> San Mateo County Sheriff's Office Bomb Squad was just
> activated for a suspicious device found at an unknown
> location in Burlingame.

Based on how lousy Yahoo is at delivering mail in a timely manner, I'd
like to suggest that people start timestamping their messages. "just"
ought
to be qualified :-)

> This may or may not be related to a VIP who was town over
> the weekend for a low-profile visit & staying in the
> Burligame/Hillsborough area with Secret Service protection,
> but I think that guy flew out Sunday afternoon.

http://www2.cbs5.com/topstories/local_story_079220933.html

Here's an interesting story about part of it:

http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24414~2774284,00.html

38283. Alco S.O. Getting LoJack hit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 19:12:37 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38283 Message: 38283

Not sure the Original details of this but Alameda County S.O. units
getting strong LoJack hits in the seven hills area of Castro Valley.
Getting units set up now to Triangulate to try to pinpoint a hit.
This is on Alco TG 16016

38284. Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 19:48:09 UTC
From: Steve Wilson
Topic: 38274 Message: 38284

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
> > San Mateo County Sheriff's Office Bomb Squad was just
> > activated for a suspicious device found at an unknown
> > location in Burlingame.
>
> Based on how lousy Yahoo is at delivering mail in a timely manner, I'd
> like to suggest that people start timestamping their messages. "just"
> ought
> to be qualified :-)
>
> > This may or may not be related to a VIP who was town over
> > the weekend for a low-profile visit & staying in the
> > Burligame/Hillsborough area with Secret Service protection,
> > but I think that guy flew out Sunday afternoon.
>
> http://www2.cbs5.com/topstories/local_story_079220933.html
>
> Here's an interesting story about part of it:
>
> http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24414~2774284,00.html

I heard on the news this morning that he was leaving this morning.
They were talking about road and overpass closures.

Steve

38285. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 19:58:04 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38274 Message: 38285

Ah. Makes me long for the good old days when the only closures for a VIP transport consisted of CHP leapfrogging to close offramps and cross-streets while the motorcade passed enroute to and from the airport. ;-) Maybe public officials should just appear by holographic projection and never actually leave their hardened combination office and residences. :-p

So where's Marine 2 taking him? Since he didn't leave on Air Force 2 it would seem he's not going very far.

Steve Wilson <steve_wilson@...> wrote:

I heard on the news this morning that he was leaving this morning.
They were talking about road and overpass closures.

Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38286. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 20:00:51 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38274 Message: 38286

Cheney and his entourage had 3 floors of the hotel. I hate to think what
this cost us.

Steve Wilson wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@s...> wrote:
>
>>>San Mateo County Sheriff's Office Bomb Squad was just
>>>activated for a suspicious device found at an unknown
>>>location in Burlingame.
>>
>>Based on how lousy Yahoo is at delivering mail in a timely manner,
>
> I'd
>
>>like to suggest that people start timestamping their
>
> messages. "just"
>
>>ought
>>to be qualified :-)
>>
>>
>>>This may or may not be related to a VIP who was town over
>>>the weekend for a low-profile visit & staying in the
>>>Burligame/Hillsborough area with Secret Service protection,
>>>but I think that guy flew out Sunday afternoon.
>>
>>http://www2.cbs5.com/topstories/local_story_079220933.html
>>
>>Here's an interesting story about part of it:
>>
>>http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24414~2774284,00.html
>
>
> I heard on the news this morning that he was leaving this morning.
> They were talking about road and overpass closures.
>
> Steve
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38287. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 20:01:27 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38274 Message: 38287

> So where's Marine 2 taking him?

He was scheduled to go to Bakersfield. I haven't seen any news stories
that talked about what he said there yet, but I presume he's already
gone.

> Since he didn't leave on Air Force 2 it would seem he's
> not going very far.

Is it still at SFO?

/jordan
12:01/PT

38288. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 20:20:36 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38274 Message: 38288

is taking about SS in bakersfield

Jordan Hayes wrote:

>>So where's Marine 2 taking him?
>>
>>
>
>He was scheduled to go to Bakersfield. I haven't seen any news stories
>that talked about what he said there yet, but I presume he's already
>gone.
>
>
>
>>Since he didn't leave on Air Force 2 it would seem he's
>>not going very far.
>>
>>
>
>Is it still at SFO?
>
>/jordan
>12:01/PT
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38289. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 20:37:00 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 38274 Message: 38289

Saw the V.P.'s convoy
20 SFPD motorcycle cops
4 PD cruisers
3 Suburban type black vehicles (lots of antennas)
2 Limo's
2 more Suburbans
5 or 6 smaller SUVS
One very wierd looking van
SFPD bomb squad
4th and Folsom 8:20 AM
J.B. AD6WX

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38290. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 20:51:27 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38274 Message: 38290

At 12:37 PM 3/21/2005, you wrote:
>One very wierd looking van

Like this?

http://community.webshots.com/photo/72432733pswExT

Evan

38291. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 20:57:41 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 38274 Message: 38291

No, much bigger .
Kind of like the NG comm van.
I can forward a pic to you of that if you would like.
J.B.

Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:

At 12:37 PM 3/21/2005, you wrote:
>One very wierd looking van

Like this?

http://community.webshots.com/photo/72432733pswExT

Evan

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38292. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 21:06:44 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38274 Message: 38292

At 12:57 PM 3/21/2005, you wrote:
>No, much bigger .
>Kind of like the NG comm van.
>I can forward a pic to you of that if you would like.
>J.B.

Sure.. And I can host it if you'd like (I'm guessing others would want to see it too).

I'm off to work, so won't happen till I get home.

Evan

38293. Re: San Mateo SO Bomb Squad Activation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-21 23:57:33 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 38274 Message: 38293

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, john Beatty <jbxx@p...> wrote:
> Saw the V.P.'s convoy

> One very wierd looking van
> 4th and Folsom 8:20 AM
> J.B. AD6WX
>
>

I've seen that weird looking van before...if it's the same one that travels with the president. I've posted a bad shot of it in the photos folder. Let's just say, that the inside is pretty cool...

~Sagar

38294. Novato FD ** DRILL **

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 00:10:30 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38294 Message: 38294

Interesting drill in Marin county; Novato FPD is doing a drill with a trapped firefighter in a building, and two firefighters in a Rapid Intervention Team going to get him. It must be a pretty large scale drill because they're using two TAC channels. Fun to listen to - glad it's only a drill.

MERA:

28880 - TAC D4, Fireground and Rapid Intervention crew members
28944 - TAC D6, being used by IC to contact trapped firefighter

4:10 pm

-Inigo

38295. Re: [bayscan] Novato FD ** DRILL **

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 00:38:59 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38294 Message: 38295

interesting, i'm listening to REACH trying to fly in to west marin --
they have made the lz... on calcord now...
On Monday, Mar 21, 2005, at 16:10 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

>
>
> Interesting drill in Marin county; Novato FPD is doing a drill with a
> trapped firefighter in a building, and two firefighters in a Rapid
> Intervention Team going to get him. It must be a pretty large scale
> drill because they're using two TAC channels. Fun to listen to - glad
> it's only a drill.
>
> MERA:
>
> 28880 - TAC D4, Fireground and Rapid Intervention crew members
> 28944 - TAC D6, being used by IC to contact trapped firefighter
>
> 4:10 pm
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38296. Weather Info

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 08:28:04 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38296 Message: 38296

When I lived back in NYC and was VERY involved with many volunteer titles one of them was the SKYWARN Coordinator for NYC. Particularly with the weather we are having currently its not a bad
idea to know the following information when it comes to flooding.
Its hard to believe that it takes only 2 feet of water to float most regular passenger cars.
Its also the number killer in weather related deaths even beating out Hurricanes and Tornado's.
Anyway hope nobody minds the slitely off topic post but the weather we are having is also going to make for lots of communications to monitor.

I just had a 45 gust here at my place in Sausalito.

Robert
BayScan

PUBLIC INFORMATION STATEMENT
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SACRAMENTO CA
647 AM PST MON MAR 21 2005

...2005 NATIONAL FLOOD SAFETY AWARENESS WEEK BEGINS TODAY...

THE FIRST ANNUAL FLOOD SAFETY AWARENESS WEEK KICKS OFF ON MARCH 21ST AND RUNS THROUGH MARCH 25, 2005. NOAA'S NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE OFFICES THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES ARE WORKING TOGETHER TO PROVIDE THE PUBLIC WITH INFORMATION ON WHY FLOODS ARE A SIGNIFICANT WEATHER RELATED HAZARD IN THE UNITED STATES.

THE GOAL OF THIS CAMPAIGN IS TO HIGHLIGHT SOME OF THE MANY WAYS FLOODS CAN OCCUR, THE HAZARDS ASSOCIATED WITH FLOODS, AND WHAT YOU CAN DO TO SAVE YOUR LIFE AND PROPERTY.

FLOODS ARE THE DEADLIEST WEATHER-RELATED KILLER IN THE UNITED STATES, AVERAGING 106 DEATHS PER YEAR BASED ON A 30 YEAR (1974-2003) TIME PERIOD. THE MOST FRIGHTENING ASPECT IS OVER HALF OF FLOOD DEATHS OCCUR WHEN PEOPLE DRIVE INTO FLOODED ROADWAYS OR SIMPLY WALK THROUGH MOVING WATER.

SIX INCHES OF FAST-MOVING FLOOD WATER CAN KNOCK YOU OFF YOUR FEET. A DEPTH OF 2 FEET WILL FLOAT MOST AUTOMOBILES. WHILE MOST FLOODS CANNOT BE PREVENTED, THERE ARE SIMPLE STEPS YOU CAN TAKE TO PROTECT YOUR LIFE AND PROPERTY.

FLOOD SAFETY TIPS:

* IF FLOODING OCCURS, GET TO HIGHER GROUND AND STAY AWAY FROM FLOOD PRONE AREAS.

* DO NOT ALLOW CHILDREN TO PLAY NEAR HIGH WATER, STORM DRAINS OR DITCHES. HIDDEN DANGERS COULD LIE BENEATH THE WATER.

* FLOODED ROADS COULD HAVE SIGNIFICANT DAMAGE HIDDEN BY FLOODWATERS. NEVER DRIVE THROUGH FLOODWATERS OR ON A FLOODED ROAD.

* DO NOT CAMP OR PARK YOUR VEHICLE ALONG STREAMS OR WASHES, PARTICULARLY WHEN THREATENING WEATHER CONDITIONS EXIST.

* BE ESPECIALLY CAUTIOUS AT NIGHT WHEN IT IS HARDER TO RECOGNIZE FLOOD DANGERS.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT FLOOD SAFETY AWARENESS WEEK IS AVAILABLE AT: HTTP://WWW.NWS.NOAA.GOV/FLOODSAFETY

NOAA'S NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE IS THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF WEATHER, WATER AND CLIMATE INFORMATION. THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE OPERATES THE MOST ADVANCED WEATHER AND FLOOD WARNING AND FORECAST SYSTEM IN THE WORLD, HELPING TO PROTECT LIVES AND PROPERTY AND ENHANCE THE NATIONAL ECONOMY. TO LEARN MORE ABOUT THE NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE, PLEASE VISIT HTTP://WWW.NWS.NOAA.GOV

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38297. chase Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 08:34:26 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 38297 Message: 38297

Chase Novato PD in Marin Southbound 101

MERA TALK GROUP 47248

Robert
BayScan

12:33 AM

38298. Novato Chase update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 08:38:21 UTC
From: bayscan@comcast.net
Topic: 38298 Message: 38298

took San Pedro exit.

back on las gallina's.. slow speeds now
Marin SO also responding
39.240

12:37 AM

also just had a 50 mph gust here!!!!!!!! yikes hope the power does
not go out.

Robert
BayScan

38299. Marin County radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 08:48:05 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38299 Message: 38299

Would someone please post a brief on radio in Marin County, especially how the MERA 800 MHz system and legacy lowband systems relate to one another currently and in the future? Are they simulcasting?

Xie-xie.
Gordon

Do you Yahoo!?
Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38300. SFFD Brownouts for Tuesday 3 - 22

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 16:00:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38300 Message: 38300

Out for 24 hours > Engines 7 8 13 31

Out for 12 hours . Truck 12

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38301. Whats up with Broadmoor ??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 18:14:56 UTC
From: Mary Mikulin
Topic: 38301 Message: 38301

The freq I currently have for Broadmoor 482.5625 is suddenly a control freq.
Any info on this out there ?
ALSO....whats the CT for San Bruno?

As always,
THANKS

38302. Santa Cruz Co: Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-22 18:25:19 UTC
From: John Drake
Topic: 38302 Message: 38302

City of Santa Cruz FD out at West Cliff Drive between Columbia and Pelton. Unknown vapor leaking from a cylinder, possibly a military white phosphorus flare.

Santa Cruz County Fire RED: 154.3250

Timestamp: March 22 2005 - 10:24 (Pacific Standard Time)

38303. SJPD @ Piedmont Hills School

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 00:50:16 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38303 Message: 38303

On my way in today I heard SJPD hold the air on channel 3 for a "mini-riot" at Piedmont Hills School... listening to it I understood the principal to have been assaulted... I did not hear how it resolved though before I arrived I heard them go to "restricted traffic" which apparently meant "drop the EIP tone and yell at anybody talking who's not attached to that incident."

Does anybody have any further?

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38304. Re: Marin County radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 01:20:56 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 38299 Message: 38304

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
>
> Would someone please post a brief on radio in Marin County,
especially how the MERA 800 MHz system and legacy lowband systems
relate to one another currently and in the future? Are they simulcasting?
>

First off, the MERA system operates in the 482-488 MHz range. At this time, the MERA system is gradually being phased in, and the lowband system is being phased out. When MERA is fully implemented, there will be no lowband in use by Marin city/county agencies (CHP will still be on lowband). Some of the lowband freqs are currently being *patched* into their respective agencies' main MERA talkgroups (Example: San Rafael Fire F-16/46.20 MHz is patched into the Control E-2 fire talkgroup). The patches are mainly for training/convenience purposes, as they are not 100% reliable for cross-system communications.

The current agencies fully on MERA include: Novato (PD, Fire, DPW), San Rafael (PD, DPW), San Anselmo PD (DPW?), Fairfax PD, Twin Cities PD, Mill Valley PD, and all medic-hospital radio communications in the county.

San Rafael Fire will be the next agency to switch to MERA. Central and Southern Marin fire agencies are scheduled to be fully on MERA before fire season is in full-swing.

Marin County Fire and the Sheriff will remain on lowband in West Marin for quite awhile, as the Bolinas radio site issue has still not been resolved.

38305. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 02:08:19 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38299 Message: 38305

thanks for the great info, Erik. I didn't realize MERA was on t-band. This is the first public-safety UHF trunking I've encountered. Only seen military and commercial trunking on UHF in the past.

Erik <esvanr@...> wrote:
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
>
> Would someone please post a brief on radio in Marin County, especially how the MERA 800 MHz system and legacy lowband systems relate to one another currently and in the future? Are they simulcasting?
>

First off, the MERA system operates in the 482-488 MHz range. At this time, the MERA system is gradually being phased in, and the lowband system is being phased out. When MERA is fully implemented, there will be no lowband in use by Marin city/county agencies (CHP will still be on lowband). Some of the lowband freqs are currently being *patched* into their respective agencies' main MERA talkgroups (Example: San Rafael Fire F-16/46.20 MHz is patched into the Control E-2 fire talkgroup). The patches are mainly for training/convenience purposes, as they are not 100% reliable for cross-system communications.

The current agencies fully on MERA include: Novato (PD, Fire, DPW), San Rafael (PD, DPW), San Anselmo PD (DPW?), Fairfax PD, Twin Cities PD, Mill Valley PD, and all medic-hospital radio communications in the county.

San Rafael Fire will be the next agency to switch to MERA. Central and Southern Marin fire agencies are scheduled to be fully on MERA before fire season is in full-swing.

Marin County Fire and the Sheriff will remain on lowband in West Marin for quite awhile, as the Bolinas radio site issue has still not been resolved.

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38306. Re: [bayscan] SJPD @ Piedmont Hills School

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 02:35:58 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38303 Message: 38306

I work at Sierramont Middle school 2 blocks away from Piedmont Hills and there wasn't any heightened police presence in the area or any talk of an event at PHHS. Didn't hear anything on the scanner. What time was this?

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38307. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 03:07:21 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38299 Message: 38307

San Mateo is also UHF trunking and digital

On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 18:08:19 -0800 (PST), Gordon Arnold
<gordon@...> wrote:
> thanks for the great info, Erik. I didn't realize MERA was on t-band. This
> is the first public-safety UHF trunking I've encountered. Only seen military
> and commercial trunking on UHF in the past.
>
> Erik <esvanr@...> wrote:
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
> >
> > Would someone please post a brief on radio in Marin County,
> especially how the MERA 800 MHz system and legacy lowband systems
> relate to one another currently and in the future? Are they simulcasting?
> >
>
>
> First off, the MERA system operates in the 482-488 MHz range. At this
> time, the MERA system is gradually being phased in, and the lowband
> system is being phased out. When MERA is fully implemented, there
> will be no lowband in use by Marin city/county agencies (CHP will
> still be on lowband). Some of the lowband freqs are currently being
> *patched* into their respective agencies' main MERA talkgroups
> (Example: San Rafael Fire F-16/46.20 MHz is patched into the Control
> E-2 fire talkgroup). The patches are mainly for training/convenience
> purposes, as they are not 100% reliable for cross-system communications.
>
> The current agencies fully on MERA include: Novato (PD, Fire, DPW),
> San Rafael (PD, DPW), San Anselmo PD (DPW?), Fairfax PD, Twin Cities
> PD, Mill Valley PD, and all medic-hospital radio communications in the
> county.
>
> San Rafael Fire will be the next agency to switch to MERA. Central
> and Southern Marin fire agencies are scheduled to be fully on MERA
> before fire season is in full-swing.
>
> Marin County Fire and the Sheriff will remain on lowband in West Marin
> for quite awhile, as the Bolinas radio site issue has still not been
> resolved.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT
>

>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
> _____________________________
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

--
Scanner Four
Scanner4@...

38308. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 03:10:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38299 Message: 38308

San Mateos' TRS system is the same type as Marin.....400mhz trunking and its digital as well.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38309. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 04:07:55 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38299 Message: 38309

I didn't realize San Mateo was trunked... you can see how much I've looked into it up there. ;-)

caladded@... wrote:
San Mateos' TRS system is the same type as Marin.....400mhz trunking and
its digital as well.

ED

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38310. Re: [bayscan] SJPD @ Piedmont Hills School

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 04:13:21 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38303 Message: 38310

I left home around nine and got to my site about 20 after... so in and around that time frame. Like I wrote, the EIP tone was on channel 3 and they quite clearly were talking about Piedmont Hills High. They weren't talking about a large presence patrolling the area as much as responding to the school campus... I guess your definition of "large" comes into play here... I only heard about five or six different callsigns and did not get a clear picture before I arrived and had to go into to face my not-so-little monsters. I've noticed the kids being more activated lately... something I believe is due in part to the fact that these last two weeks in March are those in which the days lengthen most acutely, and it kinda turns people on and they seem a little extra crazy.

Craig Tomasello <iawireman@...> wrote:
I work at Sierramont Middle school 2 blocks away from Piedmont Hills and there wasn't any heightened police presence in the area or any talk of an event at PHHS. Didn't hear anything on the scanner. What time was this?

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups SponsorADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38311. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin County radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 04:15:14 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38299 Message: 38311

I forgot to ask about "the Bolinas radio site issue." What's going on there?

Erik <esvanr@...> wrote:Marin County Fire and the Sheriff will remain on lowband in West Marin
for quite awhile, as the Bolinas radio site issue has still not been
resolved.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38312. sjfd

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 14:07:07 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38312 Message: 38312

Chan, 7 reports 4th alarm in San Jose
06:07 3/23

38313. SAN JOSE FIRE WORKING 5-ALARM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 14:07:55 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38313 Message: 38313

SAN JOSE FIRE WORKING 5-ALARM APARTMENT FIRE

MORE DETAILS TO FOLLOW

SAN JOSE FIRE COMMAND 4 - 153.980

38314. Re: [bayscan] SAN JOSE FIRE WORKING 5-ALARM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 14:08:49 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38313 Message: 38314

Santa Clara rolling mutual aid units at this time.

On Mar 23, 2005, at 6:07 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> SAN JOSE FIRE WORKING 5-ALARM APARTMENT FIRE
>
> MORE DETAILS TO FOLLOW
>
> SAN JOSE FIRE COMMAND 4 - 153.980
>

38315. San Jose Multi-Alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 14:10:13 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38315 Message: 38315

Willow and Bird area.

38316. Re: [bayscan] SAN JOSE FIRE WORKING 5-ALARM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 14:12:25 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38313 Message: 38316

This incident has been working for over one hour.

On Mar 23, 2005, at 6:07 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> SAN JOSE FIRE WORKING 5-ALARM APARTMENT FIRE
>
> MORE DETAILS TO FOLLOW
>
> SAN JOSE FIRE COMMAND 4 - 153.980

38317. Re: SAN JOSE FIRE WORKING 5-ALARM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 14:13:50 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38313 Message: 38317

large apartment complex 4 story wood
2 or 3 floors involved

38318. Re: SAN JOSE FIRE WORKING 5-ALARM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 14:20:21 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38313 Message: 38318

from ch 7, looks like they have most of the fire knocked down

38319. San Jose 6th alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 15:38:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38319 Message: 38319

6th alarm called about 20 minutes ago / mutual aid units code 2

additional mutual aid called/ Milpitas Engines 1 and 4 , Sunnyvale Truck 2 and Santa Clara County Battalion 3 to SJFD station 5 on 10th street

4 injuries reported/ 3 smoke inhalation and 1 w/3rd degree burns , all civilians

IC setting up 2 RIT teams on 2nd floor..... fire just picked up a bit thru roof KRON having pretty decent coverage

Command 4 / 153.98

Tac 5 / 155.88

0737 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38320. Baby Bullet Hits Car in Palo Alto?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 15:40:23 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38320 Message: 38320

My wife just called me about the Baby Bullet train hitting a parked car in Palo Alto. I can't confirm this yet--I'm glued to the computer trying to complete a final. However I did hear on RR Ch 47 ""Everything is looking OK. We're just missing one headlight."

Also heard mention of Charleston Rd. I cannot confirm if this is the location of the accident.

Railroad Ch 47 160.815

- Brian

38321. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 6th alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 15:49:04 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38319 Message: 38321

ARGH!!!! I am stuck in the classroom and can see the media helos and the fixed wing from my window. This is absolutely killing me! Can't get out of here until noon today. All details from this incident are greatly appreciated folks.

This is what makes this group really helpful.

-C-

On Mar 23, 2005, at 7:38 AM, caladded@... wrote:

> 6th alarm called about 20 minutes ago / mutual aid units code 2
>
> additional mutual aid called/ Milpitas Engines 1 and 4 , Sunnyvale
> Truck 2
> and Santa Clara County Battalion 3 to SJFD station 5 on 10th street
>
>
> 4 injuries reported/ 3 smoke inhalation and 1 w/3rd degree burns , all
> civilians
>
> IC setting up 2 RIT teams on 2nd floor..... fire just picked up a bit
> thru
> roof KRON having pretty decent coverage
>
> Command 4 / 153.98
>
> Tac 5 / 155.88
>
> 0737 hours
>
> ED

38322. RE: [bayscan] Baby Bullet Hits Car in Palo Alto?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 15:50:32 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38320 Message: 38322

I just heard on a local TV station that there were no injuries, and that the rails have been cleared and CalTrain is running again.

- Brian
7:50am

38323. RE: [bayscan] San Jose 6th alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 15:56:55 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38319 Message: 38323

> ARGH!!!! I am stuck in the classroom and can see the media
> helos and the fixed wing from my window. This is absolutely
> killing me! Can't get out of here until noon today. All
> details from this incident are greatly appreciated folks.

I can hear SKY 4 out there: 455.0625

- Brian
7:56am

38324. RE: [bayscan] San Jose 6th alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 16:00:02 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38319 Message: 38324

Also, KRON 4 Engineering broadcast is booming! 450.4375

- Brian

38325. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 6th alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 16:22:40 UTC
From: F350 CC LB PSD Mud Bogger
Topic: 38319 Message: 38325

Wher is this at again, Sounds like an apartment complex.

caladded@... wrote:

> 6th alarm called about 20 minutes ago / mutual aid units code 2
>
> additional mutual aid called/ Milpitas Engines 1 and 4 , Sunnyvale
> Truck 2
> and Santa Clara County Battalion 3 to SJFD station 5 on 10th street
>
>
> 4 injuries reported/ 3 smoke inhalation and 1 w/3rd degree burns , all
> civilians
>
> IC setting up 2 RIT teams on 2nd floor..... fire just picked up a bit
> thru
> roof KRON having pretty decent coverage
>
> Command 4 / 153.98
>
> Tac 5 / 155.88
>
> 0737 hours
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129se390a/M=298184.6191685.7192823.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1111678726/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0190075>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>

--
If you can't stop-Smile as you go under.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38326. Re: [bayscan] Baby Bullet Hits Car in Palo Alto?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 16:37:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38320 Message: 38326

yeah, it was charleston crossing , right at Alma st.....I only heard
that the trains are running again , no fatal but didn't hear the update on the
driver/passengers

scanners are tied up with the fire in San Jose

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38327. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 6th alarm 0830 update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 16:49:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38327 Message: 38327

Fire went to 6 alarms about an hour ago, original report was about 0513 hours.

Command 4/ 153.98
Tac 5 / 155.88
Tac 6 / 1 54.355

Address is 877 Willow @ Byrd .....IC advising the C/D corner of the 3rd floor is in danger of collapse , some of the roof has gone in , still plenty of hotspots. Some of the crews are getting relieved (E3 E1 T1 T3 just now). Not sure of what M/A units are at the fire except Santa Clara CITY Truck 2 is there , I would guess 2 Santa Clara City Engines as well. The 4th alarm would have been SC City I assume , the 6th Alarm was also mutual aid but I can't confirm which units

Milpitas E1 E4 Sunnyvale T2 and County BC3 did get sent to SJFD Station 5 on 10th street near 101. In fact I caught MFD E1 and Sunnyvale T2 get calls already.

Valley Transit had at least 3 buses on scene to get people out of rain and I assume get them to any hotels the Red Cross was setting up for those who need it. NO question the entire building is uninhabitable.

KRON seems to have the best coverage including early footage showing heavy flames on 2nd and 3rd floors and thru roof although KTVU showed some amatuer footage , shot from up in the hills , maybe Los Gatos hills showing lot of fire.

Without hearing the calls.....I still can give a pretty good list of units..

Engines 4 3 6 Hose 6 Trucks 4 3 BC1 10
Engine 30 7 Truck 1 BC 13 Air 6 HIT 29
Engine 13 1 Truck 14 BC 2
Engine ? ? Truck 2 USAR 13

the above would be alarms 1,2,3 and 5 alarms 4 and 6 are mutual aid

Chief of Dept Clets is in charge
Comm VAn 26 is there and I am sure some other units.
I am guessing Santa Clara County as at least 1 or 2 Engines and a Truck there as well

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38328. San Jose Fire .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 16:59:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38328 Message: 38328

As I look at a map ,,,,,,,Engine 6 and Hose 6 should have been first due followed by Engine and Truck 3 then maybe Engine and Truck 4

I feel Engine 26 would be there and either Engine 10 or 14 and that should round out my list

btw, NO sprinklers in building...........KRON , forget her name, mentions it raining and that should help fire fighters.............WRONG !!
at least the reporter on the scene Tao Terez (or something like that) mentioned that he didn't know if it would help or not. BTW, I called KRON to let them know it went to 6 alarms (I hate it when they are NOT accurate , just a thing that bugs me) They DID update it

sounds like the IC asked for more overhead (chiefs) hearing Mountain View 18A6 on White 1.......maybe headed to the fire. I'll continue to monitor white 1 as there should be more Chiefs if I am right.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38329. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire .....notes Update 1033 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 18:36:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38329 Message: 38329

IC has placed the fire under control at 1017 hour , intial response
was at 0508 hours

there was also a MVA on Tully , unknown cross with 2 fatals , just
prior to this. I forgot the response to this , but would probably be unit from
at least 2 of these stations..... 26 , 3, 16 , 18. this would
adjust the units sent to the 6th alarm. I am also hearing E8 and T9 at staging at
the Willow st fire.

Santa Clara Engines 7 4 and Truck 2 released from the fire.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38330. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire .....notes Update 1033 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 18:42:58 UTC
From: Dave C.
Topic: 38329 Message: 38330

On Wed, Mar 23, 2005 at 01:36:46PM -0500, caladded@... wrote:
>
> IC has placed the fire under control at 1017 hour , intial response
> was at 0508 hours
>
> there was also a MVA on Tully , unknown cross with 2 fatals , just
> prior to this.

More likely they're still talkign about the 04:30 felony DUI accident
at Tully and Senter, for which the road is still closed for investigation,
according to broadcast news (KLIV).

38331. Re: Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 18:43:50 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38261 Message: 38331

Interesting - Tracy PD changed frequencies within the last year. Did they have to do this because they couldn't get the old one back? What a SNAFU

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@h...> wrote:
> it has happened more than once where nobody in the agency paid attention and
> let their license expire. I believe someone on this list mentioned that is
> what happened to Tracy PD.
>
> Mike

38332. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 19:48:28 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 38328 Message: 38332

y'all dont give locations anymore or what????

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38333. Re: San Jose Fire .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 21:09:13 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38328 Message: 38333

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Boulrog@... wrote:
> y'all dont give locations anymore or what????

Nope. Or the time the incident went out. ;)

J/K... it was given in the SAN JOSE 5TH ALARM thread. :-)

Evan

38334. Re: Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 21:17:19 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38261 Message: 38334

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
>
> Interesting - Tracy PD changed frequencies within the last year. Did
> they have to do this because they couldn't get the old one back?

Probably not, unless they let the license lapse a LONG while. I'm sure
Don can confirm, but I'm pretty confident the FCC wouldn't not let a
licensee (especially public safety) get their old frequency back
unless it went a LONG time expired.

I'm totally unfamiliar with Tracy PD - did they change bands, i.e. VHF
to UHF?

I'm pretty confident it was for reasons other than not being able to
get their license back.

Again, I can see the FCC telling Joe's Taxi "too bad, you let your
license expire, we have to give you new frequencies" but a PD? I doubt it.

38335. Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 22:02:17 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 38261 Message: 38335

It appears that the County Sheriffs Office and Tracy PD made a trade. The County got the 155.37 R (155.79) from the city for a UHF channel pair. 453.725 I believe.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38336. Re: [bayscan] Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-23 22:36:15 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 38261 Message: 38336

New trunked system licensed under new calls. Added 482.5875 to the group in addition to 488.6375, 488.6875, 488.7375, 488.8375 and 489.0375. 482.5875, 488.6375, 488.7375 and 489.0375 are shared frequencies.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38337. Re: Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 00:21:12 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38261 Message: 38337

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
> >
> > Interesting - Tracy PD changed frequencies within the last year. Did
> > they have to do this because they couldn't get the old one back?
>
> Probably not, unless they let the license lapse a LONG while. I'm sure
> Don can confirm, but I'm pretty confident the FCC wouldn't not let a
> licensee (especially public safety) get their old frequency back
> unless it went a LONG time expired.

Actually, once the license expires the user has to start the process over - San Jose Taxi, or San Jose PD - on a first come, first served basis. So if the City of Santa Luisa were to let their license for their PD channel lapse, and the City of Cooneyville next door was looking for a channel, Cooneyville could licnese the channel, and complain that the channel was being illegally occupied by Santa Luisa - and be right.

> I'm totally unfamiliar with Tracy PD - did they change bands, i.e. VHF
> to UHF?

Nope. License expired, and IIRC, Stanislaus Co licensed it (showed availble in the FCC database).

38338. Command 4 and San Jose's 6th alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 01:12:15 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38338 Message: 38338

I monitored Command 4 this morning on my way to work, listening to what was the 4th alarm at that time and I noticed that the radio traffic was exceptionally horrible! Ops kept trying to reach different crews (Division A, Roof Group, Staging, Rehab) and everyone's transmissions were covered by what sounded like an open carrier. Ops was noticeably frustrated with the crummy reception. What I'm wondering is if COM26 keeps the repeater open, much like Santa Clara Fire's repeater does after someone transmits. It was terrible! Anyone else notice this?

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38339. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 01:15:58 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38328 Message: 38339

On KNTV (NBC) this morning, they interviewed one woman who noted there was no prior notification of this fire, meaning NO FIRE ALARM! Most were woken up by the sounds of people screaming fire or the sirens.

I a fellow electrician who lives there, he was interviewed on KCBS and said that there was just tons of smoke and he had very little time to get a flashlight and get his pet snakes out.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38340. Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 01:45:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38261 Message: 38340

Change the subject line.....Santa Clara transit has nothing to do with Tracy stuff

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38341. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 01:50:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38328 Message: 38341

I noticed too Comm 4 did have open carriers from time to time. Still , I am assuming the Fatal MVA on Tully was on Command 3 which forced this fire to be on Command 4 which obviously was better to monitor since its on a repeater

Craig , I heard them mention they were using Tac 6 , wondering if they used more than one tac channel considering the number of units/divisions they had ? catch that by chance ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38342. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire .....notes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 01:58:57 UTC
From: Craig Tomasello
Topic: 38328 Message: 38342

I heard the collapse warning on Main, CMD 3 & 4 , and Tac's 5, 6,& 7.

Since I was 10 miles from fireground i didn't catch any simplex traffic. SO i'm not sure about Tac 6.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38343. Re: Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 02:39:03 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 38261 Message: 38343

Actually...

There is a 30 day window after the expiration date to file a waiver
for late renewal. After 30 days all bets are off. It takes the FCC
about 60 days to cancell the license.

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Don Root" <k6cdo@c...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
> > >
> > > Interesting - Tracy PD changed frequencies within the last year.
Did
> > > they have to do this because they couldn't get the old one back?
> >
> > Probably not, unless they let the license lapse a LONG while. I'm sure
> > Don can confirm, but I'm pretty confident the FCC wouldn't not let a
> > licensee (especially public safety) get their old frequency back
> > unless it went a LONG time expired.
>
> Actually, once the license expires the user has to start the process
> over - San Jose Taxi, or San Jose PD - on a first come, first served
> basis. So if the City of Santa Luisa were to let their license for
> their PD channel lapse, and the City of Cooneyville next door was
> looking for a channel, Cooneyville could licnese the channel, and
> complain that the channel was being illegally occupied by Santa Luisa
> - and be right.
>
> > I'm totally unfamiliar with Tracy PD - did they change bands, i.e. VHF
> > to UHF?
>
> Nope. License expired, and IIRC, Stanislaus Co licensed it (showed
> availble in the FCC database).

38344. Re: [bayscan] Re: Santa Clara Co transit moved frequencies ???

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 02:54:28 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 38261 Message: 38344

Actually --- KVZ-588 was the OLD non-trunked license that expired and there are and were other licenses for trunked operation that had been granted before the OLDER permit expired. So they always have held a valid license and no need to re-instate any license.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38345. San Jose Fire - Tree Endangering

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 04:09:30 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38345 Message: 38345

Tree on fire threatening structure with embers on the roof of nearest house at 2789 Helmsley.

HELMSLEY IC

EC2
E19
E23
TC2
B2 (IC)

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

No updates unless conditions warrant.

-C-

38346. Code 777 in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 05:24:57 UTC
From: Dave H.
Topic: 38346 Message: 38346

Sounds like a 211 on Las Gallinas in Terra Linda. 4 BMA's in white car with gun. SRPD TG 52048 SO 45616

38347. Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 06:24:42 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38347 Message: 38347

Another reason NOT to use an Internet based telephone system. I figure this is scanning related enough to send out and also a good thing to know if your thinking of switching to an Internet based phone service...

Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?
03.23.05, 8:00 AM ET

We should have known that Internet-based phone service was going to face more static. Voice-over-Internet Protocol, or VoIP, telephony has gotten the blessing of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission and attracted the attention of companies like Verizon Communications, Comcast and the America Online unit of Time Warner. But the attorney general of Texas, who has decided that consumers need protection from this low-cost telecom alternative, yesterday sued VoIP provider Vonage for failing to clearly tell users they can't automatically dial 911 for emergency calls. Because VoIP routes calls over the Internet, 911 operators can't determine the location of the caller the way they can with traditional landlines. Vonage pledged cooperation with the attorney general, but said customers are told how to activate its 911 service during subscription and are sent e-mail notifications if they don't activate the emergency service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38348. Re: [bayscan] Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 06:27:46 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38348 Message: 38348

Does anybody know the details of the Vonage 911 service? I'm curious what that means... of the top of my head I'm guessing some sort of translation of "911" into the 7-digit emergency number for the appropriate 911 center.

"Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote:how to activate its 911 service during subscription

---

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38349. Re: [bayscan] Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 06:30:28 UTC
From: Tmanjoke
Topic: 38348 Message: 38349

I think My Packet8 phone is great.
We have unfortunately had to use the 911 service. Which worked as well as the SBC landline had.
I know I was explained that this is an OPTION. That you have to give them a locationto be set as the "main" address used, and that 911 will have ONLY that information to route your call to THAT local 911 service.

SOunds like User error to me.

Tracey

--- "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote:
>
> Another reason NOT to use an Internet based telephone system. I
> figure this
> is scanning related enough to send out and also a good thing to know
> if
> your thinking of switching to an Internet based phone service...
>
> Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom
> Plans?
> 03.23.05, 8:00 AM ET
>
> We should have known that Internet-based phone service was going to
> face
> more static. Voice-over-Internet Protocol, or VoIP, telephony has
> gotten
> the blessing of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission and
> attracted
> the attention of companies like Verizon Communications, Comcast and
> the
> America Online unit of Time Warner. But the attorney general of
> Texas, who
> has decided that consumers need protection from this low-cost telecom
>
> alternative, yesterday sued VoIP provider Vonage for failing to
> clearly
> tell users they can't automatically dial 911 for emergency calls.
> Because
> VoIP routes calls over the Internet, 911 operators can't determine
> the
> location of the caller the way they can with traditional landlines.
> Vonage

> pledged cooperation with the attorney general, but said customers are
> told
> how to activate its 911 service during subscription and are sent
> e-mail
> notifications if they don't activate the emergency service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
http://smallbusiness.yahoo.com/resources/

38350. Re: [bayscan] Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 06:48:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38348 Message: 38350

At 10:27 PM 3/23/2005, you wrote:
>Does anybody know the details of the Vonage 911 service? I'm curious what
>that means... of the top of my head I'm guessing some sort of translation
>of "911" into the 7-digit emergency number for the appropriate 911 center.

I don't believe so since it transfers the e-911 information which I don't believe can be sent on a 7 digit #. I believe your # is sent to a local switch which then calls 911. But then again, I've been wrong before.

FYI, Verizon in Sunnyvale (and I'm sure other areas) calls the PSAP. I know they get a phone number at least. I heard a BOL on the radio, and saw what I thought was the vehicle, called 911, but then noticed something on the vehicle that told me it was incorrect and hung up (the phone was ringing a few times, and I figured PD was busy). They called me back, left me a voicemail, I called them back and told them what happened. IIRC, they said "Mr. Platt", but I'm not 100% sure on that, nor do I remember if my voicemail said my last name.

Anyone... anyone ... anyone? ;)

38351. Re: [bayscan] Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 08:00:46 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38347 Message: 38351

Given the reliability of DSL, I'd certainly have a cell phone if I got a VOIP. [Speakeasy has the digital only plan too.]

38352. Re: [bayscan] Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 13:09:16 UTC
From: Tom Wirth
Topic: 38347 Message: 38352

Robert W. Sanford wrote:

> Another reason NOT to use an Internet based telephone system. I figure
> this
> is scanning related enough to send out and also a good thing to know if
> your thinking of switching to an Internet based phone service...
>
> Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?
> 03.23.05, 8:00 AM ET
>
> We should have known that Internet-based phone service was going to face
> more static. Voice-over-Internet Protocol, or VoIP, telephony has gotten
> the blessing of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission and attracted
> the attention of companies like Verizon Communications, Comcast and the
> America Online unit of Time Warner. But the attorney general of Texas,
> who
> has decided that consumers need protection from this low-cost telecom
> alternative, yesterday sued VoIP provider Vonage for failing to clearly
> tell users they can't automatically dial 911 for emergency calls. Because
> VoIP routes calls over the Internet, 911 operators can't determine the
> location of the caller the way they can with traditional landlines.
> Vonage
> pledged cooperation with the attorney general, but said customers are
> told
> how to activate its 911 service during subscription and are sent e-mail
> notifications if they don't activate the emergency service.
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> *Yahoo! Groups Sponsor*
> ADVERTISEMENT
> click here
> <http://us.ard.yahoo.com/SIG=129qrnrdl/M=298184.6191685.7192823.3001176/D=groups/S=17050
63108:HM/EXP=1111731886/A=2593423/R=0/SIG=11el9gslf/*http://www.netflix.com/Default?mqso=6
0190075>
>
>
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*

>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
We have been using Vonage since January. It is great. Yes, there are some times when the quality is not as crisp as copper based telecoms, but those are few. I thought the 911 piece was handled well. Lot's of information up front, clear notice that 911 service via VOIP was not activated till they told you,clear form to complete, email notification when activated.

Fortunately, we have yet to need to use 911.

It sounds like in Texas, they may need advanced training....

38353. Brownouts SFFD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 16:13:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38353 Message: 38353

Brownouts for Thursday , March 24 , 2005

12 hours >> Engine 21

24 hours > Engine 2 Engine 11 Engine 14 Engine 38

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38354. man in water Pier 39

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 16:33:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38354 Message: 38354

SFFD sending Fireboat 1 with E35 crew + Rescues 1 and 2 , Engine 28 Truck 2
Division 2 Battalion 1 Medic units rolling

USCG requested

man in water , north side of Pier 39 near the sea lions

14800
15120

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38355. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update 0838 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 16:39:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38355 Message: 38355

Was a woman , described as 51/50 off a boat , now back on DA
Boat..........SFPD Marine 1 , USCG on scene / SFFD holding E28 and Med 28
handling,,,,,,,,,,had an earlier call this morning with same woman :-(

canceling all other units

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38356. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 16:42:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38355 Message: 38356

At 08:39 AM 3/24/2005, you wrote:
> Was a woman , described as 51/50

Not to nitpick, but you mean just plain 5150 (no slash?)

Evan

38357. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 17:08:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38355 Message: 38357

Evan, if you can't figure it out.....go back to school....geez ,
do you have to have EVERYTHING spelled out for you...

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38358. Re: [bayscan] Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 17:10:47 UTC
From: Bill Kayenhagen
Topic: 38348 Message: 38358

Actually all calls to 911 are translated to the 10-digit number for the appropiate PSAP, based on your ANI. Automatic Number Identification (ANI) corresponds to the subscriber's seven digit telephone number. ANI combined with ALI (Automatic Location Identification), if the PSAP is using E-911, will provide the location and other information, including your name IIRC. I don't deal with the ALI side of 911 calls, but I do deal with the ANI side, working for a telco. This of course is a very simple overview.

Bill

> At 10:27 PM 3/23/2005, you wrote:
> >Does anybody know the details of the Vonage 911 service? I'm curious
> what
> >that means... of the top of my head I'm guessing some sort of
> translation
> >of "911" into the 7-digit emergency number for the appropriate 911
> center.
>
> I don't believe so since it transfers the e-911 information which I don't
> believe can be sent on a 7 digit #. I believe your # is sent to a local
> switch which then calls 911. But then again, I've been wrong before.
>
> FYI, Verizon in Sunnyvale (and I'm sure other areas) calls the PSAP. I
> know
> they get a phone number at least. I heard a BOL on the radio, and saw
> what
> I thought was the vehicle, called 911, but then noticed something on the
> vehicle that told me it was incorrect and hung up (the phone was
> ringing a
> few times, and I figured PD was busy). They called me back, left me a
> voicemail, I called them back and told them what happened. IIRC, they
> said
> "Mr. Platt", but I'm not 100% sure on that, nor do I remember if my
> voicemail said my last name.
>
> Anyone... anyone ... anyone? ;)
>
>

38359. Re: [bayscan] Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 17:10:52 UTC
From: gaffit@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 38348 Message: 38359

----- Original Message -----
> I thought the 911 piece was handled well. Lot's of
> information up front, clear notice that 911 service via VOIP was not
> activated till they told you,clear form to complete, email notification
> when activated.
>

This was covered on one of those PM TV Magazine shows just recently.
Some family, I think in the DC area, had a home invasion. Daughter was
upstairs and tried to call 911 on their VOIP phone, only to be told that
they didn't have that service. IIRC the father was shot (beaten and/or
robber?)
but survived. Now they want to blame the VOIP carrier. Go figure.
Something about not having the E911 activated. I quite watching once
they started to blame someone/something else...

> It sounds like in Texas, they may need advanced training....

Reminds me of a boot-camp joke...

Later,
Todd...

38360. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 17:24:38 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38355 Message: 38360

At 09:08 AM 3/24/2005, you wrote:
>Evan, if you can't figure it out.....go back to school....geez ,
>do you have to have EVERYTHING spelled out for you...

When telling someone they should go back to school, keep in mind:

1. The first word of each sentence should be capitalized.
2. When asking a question, the appropriate punctuation mark is a question mark.

Ed, have a prozak. I was simply asking if you meant 5150 pc vs. some other code - 51 / 50 as in 2 separate codes.

Of course, I take the above with a grain of salt, considering this is coming from the person that feels the death penalty would be appropriate punishment for a news reporter who mistakenly says that there were 13 engines on a fire, not the actual 12 that were there, and that it was a 5th alarm, not a 4th - AND calls up the news line to correct them.

And correct me if I'm wrong again, but YOU were the one who put 51/50, not 5150 - methinks it's not me who need to go back to school.

38361. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 17:42:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38355 Message: 38361

glad I made your day !! LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38362. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update (way OT by now)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 17:58:44 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38362 Message: 38362

Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
>Ed, have a prozak. I was simply asking if you meant 5150 pc

Who would have thought my current line of work would come up enough here to give reason to comment? First, "5150" is a section of the California Welfare and Institutions Code, not the Penal Code. So the correct notation would have been 5150 WIC rather than 5150 PC. Second, the SSRI antidepressant fluoxetine is marketed under the trade name Prozac, ending with a "c" and capitalized since it is a proper name. I believe the "prozak" spelling may have been influenced by Muzak. :-p

BTW, these comments were made in good fun. They were not serious attacks or corrections, so please don't take offense. I merely wanted to make sure the teacher got it right too! ;-) LOL. Have a good day everyone.
Gordon

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Helps protect you from nasty viruses.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38363. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update (way OT by now)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 18:05:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38362 Message: 38363

At 09:58 AM 3/24/2005, you wrote:
>Who would have thought my current line of work would come up enough here
>to give reason to comment?

Do I want to know your current line of work? <grin>

>First, "5150" is a section of the California Welfare and Institutions
>Code, not the Penal Code.

You're right, my bad. I can't find the link, nor can I remember how I googled it, but one link came up with 5150 PC, but it wasn't an authoritative site.

>So the correct notation would have been 5150 WIC rather than 5150 PC.

True true.

>Second, the SSRI antidepressant fluoxetine is marketed under the trade
>name Prozac, ending with a "c" and capitalized since it is a proper name.
>I believe the "prozak" spelling may have been influenced by Muzak. :-p

Could be. They'll both put you to sleep. Odd that my spell checker didn't have a problem with prozac.

>BTW, these comments were made in good fun. They were not serious attacks
>or corrections, so please don't take offense. I merely wanted to make sure
>the teacher got it right too! ;-) LOL. Have a good day everyone.

I guess you can teach an old dog new tricks. I'll make a note of fluoxetine and the next time I have my online check up with my doctor in Canada....

:)

Evan

38364. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update (way OT by now)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 18:28:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38362 Message: 38364

this got WAY to technical .....5150 is COMMON on scanners thru many depts. ACTUALLY the code in SF for such a person is 800 . Although the medics used 5150

when you hear it on a scanner , you can't SEE any hyphens or slash marks etc .....remember , this is BaySCAN and you don't READ what they say on a scanner only HEAR it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38365. Re: [bayscan] man in water Pier 39 Update (way OT by now)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 18:30:19 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38362 Message: 38365

At 10:28 AM 3/24/2005, you wrote:
>this got WAY to technical ....5150 is COMMON on scanners thru many
>depts. ACTUALLY the code in SF for such a person is 800 . Although the
>medics used 5150

Yes, it is. 5150 is common, But I simply asked if 51/50 was something else,
as there is no hyphen or slash in 5150.

>when you hear it on a scanner , you can't SEE any hyphens or slash marks etc
>.........remember , this is BaySCAN and you don't READ what they say on a
>scanner only HEAR it.

Yes, and?

38366. Re: Tracy PD frequency change and FCC DB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 21:41:54 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38261 Message: 38366

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
>
> I'm totally unfamiliar with Tracy PD - did they change bands, i.e. VHF
> to UHF?

Nope. They moved to an old radio-telephone pair 152.12 R (158.58), same PL (82.5). Don't see a specific license for them in the FCC DB for this pair, closest I see is Delta Valley Radiotelephone in Stockton (KMM630). But Tracy PD is definitely active on this pair, at least as of a couple of days ago.

For 155.37 (their old freq) the FCC database shows their KMA720 license expiring on 8/3/2003, and a new license WPZU675 granted 9/10/04 with an Auth Type of "Special Temporary" and an expiration date of 3/9/05. Anyway, when they switched to the RT pair (several months ago now) they haven't used this one as far as I can tell while listening to them every few weeks as I drive by.

BTW, Tuolumne County Sheriff has been simulcasting for a while on another RT channel 152.63 R - PL 127.3. So far the mobile freq for this pair, 157.89, is not used (they are still active on their old low band freqs), don't know if they are planning to make a switch. The point is the FCC DB doesn't show anything about this new RT channel use either. In fact, it shows as many or more expired/terminated licenses for rural telco's on these freqs, but nothing new... It seems obvious they are reallocating these as old RT usage drops off, but why don't the new licenses appear?

Jim

38367. Union City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 23:29:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38367 Message: 38367

Union City with Newark working on Tac 5 ... 17648 working fire in a 2 story condo. possible occupants inside

unknown address

1528 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38368. Re: [bayscan] Union City update 1537

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-24 23:38:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38368 Message: 38368

Fire is on Polaris near Meteor ....UCFD just found a female on the 2nd floor , bringing out...possible additional people inside 2 AMR units sent

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38369. Union City Fire .....update 1612

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 00:14:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38369 Message: 38369

Search complete.....one victim (female) rescued .....one dog found DOA.....fire is out

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38370. Re: Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 00:26:51 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 38347 Message: 38370

I have Vonage and had no problems setting up the 911 service. They tell you exactly how to do it, and if you screw up the directions they give you, you shouldn't have a computer in the first place.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...> wrote:
> Another reason NOT to use an Internet based telephone system. I figure this
> is scanning related enough to send out and also a good thing to know if
> your thinking of switching to an Internet based phone service...
>
> Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?
> 03.23.05, 8:00 AM ET
>
> We should have known that Internet-based phone service was going to face
> more static. Voice-over-Internet Protocol, or VoIP, telephony has gotten
> the blessing of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission and attracted
> the attention of companies like Verizon Communications, Comcast and the
> America Online unit of Time Warner. But the attorney general of Texas, who
> has decided that consumers need protection from this low-cost telecom
> alternative, yesterday sued VoIP provider Vonage for failing to clearly
> tell users they can't automatically dial 911 for emergency calls. Because
> VoIP routes calls over the Internet, 911 operators can't determine the
> location of the caller the way they can with traditional landlines. Vonage
> pledged cooperation with the attorney general, but said customers are told
> how to activate its 911 service during subscription and are sent e-mail
> notifications if they don't activate the emergency service.
>
>
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38371. marin 1931

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 03:31:55 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38371 Message: 38371

24060
46.50

stinson beach person in the water in distress

calling coast guard, henry 1

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38372. marin, 1934

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 03:35:04 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38372 Message: 38372

no one in the water, 2 teens stranded on a cliffside....

46.50
24080

henry one available

38373. marin, 1945

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 03:48:16 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38373 Message: 38373

cancelling response,

everyone safe

Begin forwarded message:

> From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
> Date: Thu Mar 24, 2005 19:35:04 US/Pacific
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [bayscan] marin, 1934
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>
>
> no one in the water, 2 teens stranded on a cliffside....
>
> 46.50
> 24080
>
> henry one available
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38374. San Rafael PD after kidnappers in canal

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 05:36:10 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38374 Message: 38374

Teen girl called San Rafael PD said she was kidnapped in Richmond and taken to Fairfax St. in the Canal at gunpoint by two men (BMA, WMA?), then escaped and called the police.

Partial list of units:
3X10
3L38
3L43
and three more went 10-8 and responded. Units just advised code 4 but gave no other info as to the status of the girl or any 10-15s! I'll add those if they become available. 9:36 pm.

-Inigo

38375. Re: Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 15:30:25 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 38348 Message: 38375

Tom Wirth wrote:

> We have been using Vonage since January. It is great. Yes, there are
> some times when the quality is not as crisp as copper based telecoms,

Even DSL and Cable are copper based. VOIP uses whatever's there.

Cortland

38376. Re: [bayscan] Re: Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 15:32:24 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38348 Message: 38376

At 07:30 AM 3/25/2005, you wrote:

>Even DSL and Cable are copper based. VOIP uses whatever's there.

I think the point he was making was v.s. POTS analog service.

38377. Re: [bayscan] Re: Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 15:44:06 UTC
From: Dave C.
Topic: 38348 Message: 38377

On Fri, Mar 25, 2005 at 07:30:25AM -0800, Cortland Richmond wrote:
>
>
> Tom Wirth wrote:
>
> > We have been using Vonage since January. It is great. Yes, there are
> > some times when the quality is not as crisp as copper based telecoms,
>
>
> Even DSL and Cable are copper based. VOIP uses whatever's there.

Umm... you're mixing apples and concrete.

"Copper" or fiber optics are described as OSI layer 1, or the
"physical" layer in networking terms. "DSL" describes a
particular layer 2 or "network" technology; "Cable" would be
a different network protocol.

IP (TCP/IP) is the layer 3 or "transport" layer. Then, on top
of that you have the Voice Over IP application layer (layer 4).

POTS (Plain Old Telephone System) describes the traditional
analog telephone, a-la carbon button microphone, etc. These days
this is almost always turned into a digital signal anyway, as soon
as it gets to your local Central Office.

-- Dave, who still uses a Bell system phone with carbon microphone,
because it sounds the best of them all.

38378. New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 15:51:50 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 38378 Message: 38378

135.4 has now been replaced by 125.35. This change took place last month and is permanent.

Dave

38379. SFFD Brownouts .....Good Friday , March 25, 2005

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 16:05:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38379 Message: 38379

No 12 hour brownouts

24 hour brownouts - Engine 6 / Engine 12 / Engine 28

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38380. RE: [bayscan] New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 16:28:37 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38378 Message: 38380

> 135.4 has now been replaced by 125.35. This change took
> place last month and is permanent.

Interesting. Where did you find this? I am hearing traffic on 125.35
"cleared direct Oakland", and nothing on 135.4 ...

The most-current SF Terminal and Sectional charts that were released Mar 17
show 135.4 as still in use, paired with 354.1. Did the UHF pair change too?
If the change is true, I wonder why the FCC would publish something when
they new it would change immediately after.

- Brian

38381. Auto into DMV / Fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 16:38:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38381 Message: 38381

Fremont Fire with a full response to the DMV office on Central for an auto crashed into the structure. Think they will " fail " the applicant ?

Doesn't sound serious however

0838 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38382. Re: [bayscan] Auto into DMV / Fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-01-03 17:35:08 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38381 Message: 38382

maybe they were late for driving test and wanted to get to front of the
line =)

caladded@... wrote:

>Fremont Fire with a full response to the DMV office on Central for an auto
>crashed into the structure. Think they will " fail " the applicant ?
>
>Doesn't sound serious however
>
>0838 hours
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38383. Re: Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 18:08:44 UTC
From: radiodez
Topic: 38348 Message: 38383

I would caution anyone on making assumptions on VOIP 911 service. Although some companies are working on some ways to connect to the local SBC switch to route 911 in the traditional manner, every company I know of is simply routing these VOIP 911 calls to a local PSAP's (dispatch center's) 7 digit emergency number.

Yes , this will give you caller ID. However, most of us have gotten used to the fact that the dispatch center will know your location if you dial 911... On VOIP... they'll have your phone number , but it may take them awhile to find your address.

38384. RE: [bayscan] New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 22:24:39 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38378 Message: 38384

The answer to Brian's question may be that the right hand (cartographers) didn't know what the left hand (frequency coordination) was doing. Just my supposition though.

I stumbled into it myself when I picked my mom up at OAK yesterday... not having my concierge or my own maps available to look up the frequencies I resorted to just scanning until I heard relevant traffic. As one who is interested in underlying pathology, I'm curious *why* this change was made. Any ideas?

Brian <radioscanner@...> wrote:
> 135.4 has now been replaced by 125.35. This change took
> place last month and is permanent.

Interesting. Where did you find this? I am hearing traffic on 125.35 "cleared direct Oakland", and nothing on 135.4 ...

The most-current SF Terminal and Sectional charts that were released Mar 17 show 135.4 as still in use, paired with 354.1. Did the UHF pair change too? If the change is true, I wonder why the FCC would publish something when they knew it would change immediately after.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38385. Sonoma State Last Night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 22:36:24 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 38385 Message: 38385

Does anyone have any info on police/fire action at the dorms around 2100 hrs Thursday night at Sonoma State in Cotati?

Dave

38386. Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 22:40:39 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 38378 Message: 38386

The change was made in a hurry, probably after the pubs went to press. I believe the change was due to interference on the freq either to the controller or planes flying over certain areas. Notams were sent out and the next cycle of pubs should have the correct freq. No change to UHF for that sector.

> If the change is true, I wonder why the FCC would publish something when
> they new it would change immediately after.
>
>
> - Brian

38387. Re: [bayscan] New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 23:30:11 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38378 Message: 38387

I listened to 125.35 for a while from around 14:00 to 15:00 PST today and didn't hear much on the new frequency, pretty faint traffic from the El Cerrito area, Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "Gordon Arnold" <gordon@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2005 2:24 PM
Subject: RE: [bayscan] New Norcal Approach Freq

>
> The answer to Brian's question may be that the right hand (cartographers) didn't know what the left hand (frequency coordination) was doing. Just my supposition though.
>
> I stumbled into it myself when I picked my mom up at OAK yesterday... not having my concierge or my own maps available to look up the frequencies I resorted to just scanning until I heard relevant traffic. As one who is interested in underlying pathology, I'm curious *why* this change was made. Any ideas?
>
> Brian <radioscanner@...> wrote:
> > 135.4 has now been replaced by 125.35. This change took
> > place last month and is permanent.
>
> Interesting. Where did you find this? I am hearing traffic on 125.35 "cleared direct Oakland", and nothing on 135.4 ...
>
> The most-current SF Terminal and Sectional charts that were released Mar 17 show 135.4 as still in use, paired with 354.1. Did the UHF pair change too? If the change is true, I wonder why the FCC would publish something when they knew it would change immediately after.
>
> ---------------------------------
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

38388. Re: [bayscan] Sonoma State Last Night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 23:30:56 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38385 Message: 38388

Probably just a panty raid!
----- Original Message -----
From: "bluebag" <bluebag@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2005 2:36 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Sonoma State Last Night

>
>
> Does anyone have any info on police/fire action at the dorms around 2100
hrs
> Thursday night at Sonoma State in Cotati?
>
> Dave
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38389. Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 23:49:28 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 38378 Message: 38389

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> I listened to 125.35 for a while from around 14:00 to 15:00 PST today and
> didn't hear much on the new frequency, pretty faint traffic from the El
> Cerrito area, Jim

You'll hear much more when the wind shifts and the jets land on 11, approaching from over El Cerrito...

38390. Re: [bayscan] New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-25 23:51:27 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38378 Message: 38390

> I listened to 125.35 for a while from around 14:00 to 15:00
> PST today and didn't hear much on the new frequency

I can confirm it's use, I flew into OAK on a United flight last night
and was listening to Channel 9. We were handed off to 125.35 around
Tracy I guess, and from there to OAK tower [127.2]. It's been in use
for a a few weeks.

/jordan

38391. Re: [bayscan] Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 00:03:46 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38378 Message: 38391

Could be, when the weather is kind of nasty there is a lot more air traffic
over this area mainly landing from the sound of it. Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "bluebag" <bluebag@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2005 3:49 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> > I listened to 125.35 for a while from around 14:00 to 15:00 PST today
and
> > didn't hear much on the new frequency, pretty faint traffic from the El
> > Cerrito area, Jim
>
>
> You'll hear much more when the wind shifts and the jets land on 11,
approaching
> from over El Cerrito...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38392. RE: [bayscan] Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 00:17:29 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38378 Message: 38392

Very good work. Thanks for sharing.

> The change was made in a hurry, probably after the pubs went
> to press. I believe the change was due to interference on
> the freq either to the controller or planes flying over
> certain areas. Notams were sent out and the next cycle of
> pubs should have the correct freq. No change to UHF for that sector.

38393. Re: Sonoma State Last Night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 00:20:49 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38385 Message: 38393

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> Probably just a panty raid!

Bad joke time:

The principal of a private school was giving a speech. He said if a male student is caught in the girls' dorms they will be fined.
The first offense will be subject to a fine of 50 dollars. The second offense 100 dollars. The third offense is 150 dollars.
A boy stands up and yells, "How much for a season pass?"

38394. Re: [bayscan] Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 00:25:45 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38378 Message: 38394

Where are the traffic controlers located for 125.350. I can hear the aircrafts on the freq. here in Campbell but nothing else. Running a rooftop verticle antenna (Comet GP-6) that's about 45 ft. up so reception should not be a issue.

Thanks,
Brian in Campbell

On Fri, 25 Mar 2005 16:17:29 -0800, Brian <radioscanner@...> wrote:
>
> Very good work. Thanks for sharing.
>
> > The change was made in a hurry, probably after the pubs went
> > to press. I believe the change was due to interference on
> > the freq either to the controller or planes flying over
> > certain areas. Notams were sent out and the next cycle of
> > pubs should have the correct freq. No change to UHF for that sector.
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

38395. Re: [bayscan] Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 00:46:03 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38378 Message: 38395

> Where are the traffic controlers located for 125.35 ...?

I'm pretty sure that all NorCal TRACON controllers are at the same facility in Sacramento: http://www.faa.gov/ats/nct/

BUT ... you're probably asking where the transmitter is. That I'm not sure of.

/jordan

38396. Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 01:30:52 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38378 Message: 38396

Hey Brian, it's not a matter of where the controllers are located but where their transmitter sites are, and as far as I can tell the FAA actually doesn't publish that info for TRACONS. From my experience though their transmitters are located on hilltops around the bay (Mt. San Bruno might be one candidate for some departure sectors, along with some of the hills in the east bay). Norcal Terminal Radar Approach Control itself is located in Sacramento adjacent to the Mather airport, but they get their radio and radar from sites in the bay area via microwave relays. From what I can tell, 125.35 has been tested off and on for a while... mainly during east ops when oakland is landing runways 9L/R and 11, so it was probably originally a backup freq for the Grove approach sector (135.4). Finally Brian ATC transmitters are designed to radiate upward to reach aircraft (not people on the ground), so they'll always be weaker than normal repeaters which is probably why you're not recieving that sector. Example: I can get Berkeley PD and UCB's TRS from my house in Marin, but none of the nearby Norcal Approach sectors, and only Oakland Center sector 40 & 41 (127.8 and 125.85) because they're only a few miles away on Middle Peak, Mt. Tamalpias. Feel free to drop me an email if you have further questions and I'll help if I can. I'm a student pilot out of Gnoss field in Novato.

-Inigo

P.s. - Where did you guys hear the switch was official?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> Where are the traffic controlers located for 125.350. I can hear the
> aircrafts on the freq. here in Campbell but nothing else. Running a
> rooftop verticle antenna (Comet GP-6) that's about 45 ft. up so
> reception should not be a issue.
>
> Thanks,
> Brian in Campbell

38397. Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 01:37:06 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38378 Message: 38397

Hey Brian, it's not a matter of where the controllers are located but where their transmitter sites are, and as far as I can tell the FAA actually doesn't publish that info for TRACONS. From my experience though their transmitters are located on hilltops around the bay (Mt. San Bruno might be one candidate for some departure sectors, along with some of the hills in the east bay). Norcal Terminal Radar Approach Control itself is located in Sacramento adjacent to the Mather airport, but they get their radio and radar from sites in the bay area via microwave relays. From what I can tell, 125.35 has been tested off and on for a while... mainly during east ops when oakland is landing runways 9L/R and 11, so it was probably originally a backup freq for the Grove approach sector (135.4). Finally Brian ATC transmitters are designed to radiate upward to reach aircraft (not people on the ground), so they'll always be weaker than normal repeaters which is probably why you're not recieving that sector. Example: I can get Berkeley PD and UCB's TRS from my house in Marin, but none of the nearby Norcal Approach sectors, and only Oakland Center sector 40 & 41 (127.8 and 125.85) because they're only a few miles away on Middle Peak, Mt. Tamalpias. Feel free to drop me an email if you have further questions and I'll help if I can. I'm a student pilot out of Gnoss field in Novato.

-Inigo

P.s. - Where did you guys hear the switch was official?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> Where are the traffic controlers located for 125.350. I can hear the
> aircrafts on the freq. here in Campbell but nothing else. Running a
> rooftop verticle antenna (Comet GP-6) that's about 45 ft. up so
> reception should not be a issue.
>
> Thanks,
> Brian in Campbell

38398. Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 01:47:42 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 38378 Message: 38398

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> Where are the traffic controlers located for 125.350. I can hear the
> aircrafts on the freq. here in Campbell but nothing else. Running a
> rooftop verticle antenna (Comet GP-6) that's about 45 ft. up so
> reception should not be a issue.

Xmtr/Rcvr are located at Oakland Airport, but as previous posts have indicated, the ground signal is hard to hear unless you are near the airport.

Dave

38399. Re: [bayscan] Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 02:09:08 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38378 Message: 38399

didn't the controllers used to be around Fremont?
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2005 4:46 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

>
> > Where are the traffic controlers located for 125.35 ...?
>
> I'm pretty sure that all NorCal TRACON controllers are at the same
> facility in Sacramento: http://www.faa.gov/ats/nct/
>
> BUT ... you're probably asking where the transmitter is. That I'm not
> sure of.
>
> /jordan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38400. Re: [bayscan] Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 02:52:42 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38378 Message: 38400

> didn't the controllers used to be around Fremont?

Some of them. They combined them all into a new facility sometime last year.

38401. Re: Copper (was Does 911 Access From Internet Phone Services Affect Your Telecom Plans?)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 03:04:46 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 38401 Message: 38401

Dave wrote

>These days this is almost always turned into a digital signal anyway, as soon
>as it gets to your local Central Office.
>
Ayup! I spent five years at DSC/Alcatel in Petaluma doing EMC on digital
loop stuff. Thing is, the same line card may have both POTS and DSL (and
not jsut one set, either) on a pair. Copper is just wire.

Cheers,

Cortland

38402. Re: New Norcal Approach Freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 04:20:28 UTC
From: bluebag
Topic: 38378 Message: 38402

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jim gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> didn't the controllers used to be around Fremont?

The controllers at "Oakland Center" are located in Fremont. Norcal Approach consists of controllers from former approach controls at Bay (Oakland), Sacramento, Stockton & Monterey. All of those controllers were moved to a new facility at Mather Airport a few years ago.

Dave

38403. Re: Sonoma State Last Night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 05:33:08 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38385 Message: 38403

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "bluebag" <bluebag@y...> wrote:
>
> Does anyone have any info on police/fire action at the dorms around
2100 hrs
> Thursday night at Sonoma State in Cotati?
>
> Dave

Dave,

There was small fire in a dorm bathroom caused by a candle. It was put
out by a an SSU police offficer with a fire extinguisher and all the
fire trucks went home. Sounded like a much bigger deal than it was.

Regards, Jim

38404. 2nd alarm Daly City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 08:13:28 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38404 Message: 38404

Bradley and Southgate
Heavy smoke in church
00:13 hrs 3/26

154.1
Tac 154.04

38405. Re: 2nd alarm Daly City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 08:17:35 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38404 Message: 38405

knockdown at 00:17 confined to back room

38406. Foot Bail CHP Marin, Marin SO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 09:37:01 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38406 Message: 38406

A foot bail from a stop by the CHP Marin 42.160 near Goodmans Lumber
on the frontage road along 101.

Marin SO and PD going after some guy now.

I think they requested a cainine and chopper.

Marin CHP-42.160,42.440 Simulcasting now
Marin SO-39.240
Sausalito, Mill Valley PD-39.880

Or on the MERA system.

Robert
BayScan

1:36 AM

38407. Shots Fired SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 09:40:56 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38407 Message: 38407

Mission and Second in SF for reports six shots fired.

Over CHP freq..42.440

Robert
BayScan

1:39 am

38408. Re: [bayscan] Shooting michigan st

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 10:12:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38408 Message: 38408

Got another , a shooting on Michigan near Army (shipyards)
E25 T9 BC 10 and Med unit (29?)

subject shot in leg

12912

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38409. 10codes for Sonoma County SO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 13:09:30 UTC
From: Anthony
Topic: 38409 Message: 38409

wanted to know if anyone out here has the radio 10 codes for SONOMA COUNTY SO???please Email me back thanks

Anthony

38410. San Jose Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 15:49:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 13135 Message: 38410

at 1034 Claudia @ Jeflyn .....fully involved structure

Command 3 / 154.115

0748 hours

E2 E16 E19 Truck 2 USAR 16 Battalions 2 & 1 Med 30

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38411. follow ups on these????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 16:46:45 UTC
From: Brian Verhalen
Topic: 38411 Message: 38411

Turned on the computer & got latest emails & there were 3 separate notes
regarding something happening in the early morning hours (1:30 to 2:30 AM)
with nothing afterward about what happened later. Were there anything about
these that we could get to let us know what happened after the initial
incident?
Thanks
Brian

A foot bail from a stop by the CHP Marin 42.160 near Goodmans Lumber
on the frontage road along 101.
Marin SO and PD going after some guy now.
I think they requested a cainine and chopper.

Mission and Second in SF for reports six shots fired.
Over CHP freq..42.440

Got another , a shooting on Michigan near Army (shipyards)
E25 T9 BC 10 and Med unit (29?)
subject shot in leg

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38412. Re: [bayscan] follow ups on these????

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 17:41:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38411 Message: 38412

I posted the shooting at Army and Michigan , heard nothing more , Medics transported the victim to SFGH

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38413. SFFD working fire brownouts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-26 18:55:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38413 Message: 38413

Working Fire in projects , 23rd and Dakota , 2 story type 3 , fire in 2 rooms on 1st floor

A3/ 14864
A10/ 15088

Brownouts for today/ E3 E13 E31 E43 all 24 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38414. Power Outage and Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 03:21:47 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 38414 Message: 38414

Once again the PG&E sub Station at 8th & Mission is on fire
A-2 14832
A-12 15152 Tac

19:22hrs

38415. Power Outage

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:00:36 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 38415 Message: 38415

PD is now going to 6th st because people are starting to loot the shop
alone there and also some alone Powell st
PD A-1 12848
PD A-2 12880

38416. SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:12:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38416 Message: 38416

Tenderloin (John units) advising Golden Gate Theatre at Golden Gate and Taylor canceling show.....1,700 patrons NOT happy.. requesting additional units ..

Yo George.....is 8th and Mission still a 1st alarm ? I hear they got the CO2 unit there.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38417. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:20:36 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38416 Message: 38417

still 1 alarm
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 26, 2005 20:12
Subject: [bayscan] SF incident

Tenderloin (John units) advising Golden Gate Theatre at Golden Gate and Taylor canceling show.....1,700 patrons NOT happy.. requesting additional units ..

Yo George.....is 8th and Mission still a 1st alarm ? I hear they got the CO2 unit there.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38418. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:22:51 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38416 Message: 38418

www.firefeeds.com and I have locked the Tac channel on the left speaker of your computer
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 26, 2005 20:12
Subject: [bayscan] SF incident

Tenderloin (John units) advising Golden Gate Theatre at Golden Gate and
Taylor canceling show.....1,700 patrons NOT happy.. requesting additional
units ..

Yo George.....is 8th and Mission still a 1st alarm ? I hear they got the
CO2 unit there.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38419. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:29:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38416 Message: 38419

cool. but I am using Bens' feed so I can hear the cops . normally I would use firefeeds .....better sound quality

SFPD reverting to A9 for the power outage TG13104

traffic lights north of Market to Eddy are out , plenty of them South of Market out too.

SFFD considering using water if the CO2 isn't activated soon , but trying to double and triple check to insure power is cut (personally, I don't trust PG&E for NOTHING LOL)

George --------- is this on A13 ?? or A12 ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38420. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:37:52 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38416 Message: 38420

Once again another PG&E Blunder

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38421. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:38:46 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38416 Message: 38421

Dispatch can't hear the 300 unit telling then to inform Muni that Eastbound traffic is closed at 8th St and Westbound Muni can go through.
No booking at CJ-1,2 or 9 (City Jail) until power back on
this just keeps getting better
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 26, 2005 20:29
Subject: Re: [bayscan] SF incident

cool. but I am using Bens' feed so I can hear the cops . normally I would use firefeeds .....better sound quality

SFPD reverting to A9 for the power outage TG13104

traffic lights north of Market to Eddy are out , plenty of them South of Market out too.

SFFD considering using water if the CO2 isn't activated soon , but trying to double and triple check to insure power is cut (personally, I don't trust PG&E for NOTHING LOL)

George --------- is this on A13 ?? or A12 ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38422. SF Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:39:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 15779 Message: 38422

City Prison NOT accepting anymore people (emergency power only)

Outlying Police districts sending officers downtown to cover Southern,
Northern , Central and Tenderloin Task Force areas...

12848 PD A1 12880 PD A2 13104 PD A9

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38423. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:41:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38416 Message: 38423

and you guys KNOW I hate PG&E LOL.....new COPS on Fox tonight but this is BETTER .....gotta wonder how long this will go

SFFD just getting CO2 on the fire now.

guess out rates will go up huh ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38424. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 04:30:40 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38416 Message: 38424

A-12 (15152)
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, March 26, 2005 20:29
Subject: Re: [bayscan] SF incident

cool. but I am using Bens' feed so I can hear the cops . normally I
would use firefeeds .....better sound quality

SFPD reverting to A9 for the power outage TG13104

traffic lights north of Market to Eddy are out , plenty of them South of
Market out too.

SFFD considering using water if the CO2 isn't activated soon , but trying to
double and triple check to insure power is cut (personally, I don't trust
PG&E for NOTHING LOL)

George --------- is this on A13 ?? or A12 ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38425. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 05:22:00 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38416 Message: 38425

and all but a full moon, too...
On Saturday, Mar 26, 2005, at 20:30 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> A-12 (15152)
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Saturday, March 26, 2005 20:29
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] SF incident
>
>
> cool. but I am using Bens' feed so I can hear the cops .
> normally I
> would use firefeeds .....better sound quality
>
>
> SFPD reverting to A9 for the power outage TG13104
>
> traffic lights north of Market to Eddy are out , plenty of them
> South of
> Market out too.
>
> SFFD considering using water if the CO2 isn't activated soon , but
> trying to
> double and triple check to insure power is cut (personally, I don't
> trust
> PG&E for NOTHING LOL)
>
>
> George --------- is this on A13 ?? or A12 ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
> ADVERTISEMENT
>
>
>
>
>

> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38426. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 06:12:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38416 Message: 38426

the full moon helps !! :-)

KTVU reports about 25,000 without power and a secondary explosion has occured creating more damage..... areas without power ..... South of Market, Tenderloin, Financial District , Chinatown , Western Addition.....to name some.

When this happened just before Christmas in 2003 , it was nearly 24 hours before all power was restored...

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38427. Re: [bayscan] SF incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 06:43:52 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38416 Message: 38427

Ya I remember that one I was out of power for 17 hours near Twain Peaks

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38428. Re: [bayscan] Power Outage and Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 17:04:59 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 38414 Message: 38428

I heard that PG&E told the fire Co that it was safe and OK to
put water to the switchgear, at which time it exploded.
CO 2 (can't subscript) truck was called (the one that they use for
manhole fires).
J.B. AD6WX

scan_boss <scanner4@...> wrote:

Once again the PG&E sub Station at 8th & Mission is on fire
A-2 14832
A-12 15152 Tac

19:22hrs

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38429. Ham radio testing

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-27 17:44:48 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38429 Message: 38429

NERT Ham Cram
Saturday April 16, 2005
8:30 am - 4:00 pm
SFFD Division of Training
2310 Folsom @ 19th Street
enter on19th Street for parking
Bring $20 in cash for license
RSVP to jacklevich@...
This test will get you a HAM Radio license from the FCC good for 10 years. Every NERT team should encourage their members to become licensed HAMs to supplement that team's communication ability.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38430. 2nd alarm Menlo Park

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 03:18:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38430 Message: 38430

1830 Idyllwild @ Santa Clara in West Selby area

Probably residential ...

153.89
156.105

1916 hours

1st alarm Engines 3 - 4 - 7 - Truck 1 - Battalion 2 (IC)

2nd alarm - Engines 5 - 12 - 6 Truck 9 Breathing Support 9 Battalions 1
and 3

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38431. NORCAL 125.35

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 12:34:07 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 38431 Message: 38431

Hi Guys, Brian, Jordan, etc.,
I was looking here and saw a big discussion about the "NEW"
or what you thought was a new BAY APP/NORCAL Freq. of 125.35!
I informed the guys at BAYMILCOM (some that are on here as well)on the
29th JAN2005 that I had heard it for the first time earlier that day.
Even Moffett Field Tower said it was new to them as no one
informed them of new Freq. change.
I also made an informed guess that it was replacing 135.4 !
Remember this is 29JAN.
Now you can wonder even more why the change was not made sooner
for the lastest Pub. Your Intel is slooooow.
Cheers, Buddy

PS: Do any of you listen to San Francisco ARINC ?

Do any of you know where the various OAK & NORCAL local Bay
transmitter sites are located. I hear different quality and power
transmissions sometimes on the same Frequency?

38432. SF Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 17:10:18 UTC
From: Joe
Topic: 38432 Message: 38432

Is the "Mayor's Office of Emergency Services" frequency in the 480
mhz band still active, or has activity moved to the SF TRS?

Thanks...

Joe - N7JEH

38433. Re: [bayscan] SF Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 17:49:42 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38432 Message: 38433

moved to the TRS
----- Original Message -----
From: Joe
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2005 09:10
Subject: [bayscan] SF Question

Is the "Mayor's Office of Emergency Services" frequency in the 480
mhz band still active, or has activity moved to the SF TRS?

Thanks...

Joe - N7JEH

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38434. Tsunami Warning for Indonesia AGAIN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 17:53:34 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 38434 Message: 38434

TO - TSUNAMI WARNING SYSTEM PARTICIPANTS IN ALASKA/BRITISH
COLUMBIA/WASHINGTON/OREGON/CALIFORNIA
FROM - WEST COAST AND ALASKA TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER/NOAA/NWS
SUBJECT - TSUNAMI INFORMATION BULLETIN

BULLETIN NUMBER 1
ISSUED 03/28/2005 AT 1645 UTC

...THIS TSUNAMI INFORMATION BULLETIN IS FOR ALASKA - BRITISH COLUMBIA -
WASHINGTON - OREGON AND CALIFORNIA ONLY...

NO - REPEAT NO - WATCH OR WARNING IS IN EFFECT.

EARTHQUAKE DATA
PRELIMINARY MAGNITUDE - 8.5 LOCATION - 2.3N 97.1E - NORTHERN SUMATERA,
INDONESIA TIME - 0710 AST 03/28/2005 0810 PST 03/28/2005 1610 UTC
03/28/2005

EVALUATION BASED ON LOCATION AND MAGNITUDE THE EARTHQUAKE WAS NOT
SUFFICIENT TO GENERATE A TSUNAMI DAMAGING TO CALIFORNIA - OREGON -
WASHINGTON - BRITISH COLUMBIA OR ALASKA. SOME AREAS MAY EXPERIENCE SMALL
SEA LEVEL CHANGES. IN AREAS OF INTENSE SHAKING LOCALLY GENERATED
TSUNAMIS CAN BE TRIGGERED BY SLUMPING.

THE PACIFIC TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER WILL ISSUE TSUNAMI BULLETINS FOR
HAWAII AND OTHER AREAS OF THE PACIFIC.

THIS WILL BE THE ONLY BULLETIN ISSUED FOR THIS EVENT BY THE WEST COAST
AND ALASKA TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER UNLESS CONDITIONS WARRANT. REFER TO
THE INTERNET SITE HTTP://WCATWC.ARH.NOAA.GOV FOR MORE INFORMATION.

38435. THIS TSUNAMI INFORMATION BULLETIN IS FOR ALASKA - BRITISH COLUMBIA -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 18:13:34 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 38435 Message: 38435

COLUMBIA/WASHINGTON/OREGON/CALIFORNIA
FROM - WEST COAST AND ALASKA TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER/NOAA/NWS
SUBJECT - TSUNAMI INFORMATION BULLETIN

BULLETIN NUMBER 1
ISSUED 03/28/2005 AT 1645 UTC

...THIS O - TSUNAMI WARNING SYSTEM PARTICIPANTS IN ALASKA/BRITISH
COLUMBIA/WASHINGTON/OREGON/CALIFORNIA
FROM - WEST COAST AND ALASKA TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER/NOAA/NWS
SUBJECT - TSUNAMI INFORMATION BULLETIN

BULLETIN NUMBER 1
ISSUED 03/28/2005 AT 1645 UTC

...THIS TSUNAMI INFORMATION BULLETIN IS FOR ALASKA - BRITISH COLUMBIA -
WASHINGTON - OREGON AND CALIFORNIA ONLY...

NO - REPEAT NO - WATCH OR WARNING IS IN EFFECT.

EARTHQUAKE DATA
PRELIMINARY MAGNITUDE - 8.5 LOCATION - 2.3N 97.1E - NORTHERN SUMATERA,
INDONESIA TIME - 0710 AST 03/28/2005 0810 PST 03/28/2005 1610 UTC
03/28/2005

EVALUATION BASED ON LOCATION AND MAGNITUDE THE EARTHQUAKE WAS NOT
SUFFICIENT TO GENERATE A TSUNAMI DAMAGING TO CALIFORNIA - OREGON -
WASHINGTON - BRITISH COLUMBIA OR ALASKA. SOME AREAS MAY EXPERIENCE SMALL
SEA LEVEL CHANGES. IN AREAS OF INTENSE SHAKING LOCALLY GENERATED
TSUNAMIS CAN BE TRIGGERED BY SLUMPING.

THE PACIFIC TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER WILL ISSUE TSUNAMI BULLETINS FOR
HAWAII AND OTHER AREAS OF THE PACIFIC.

THIS WILL BE THE ONLY BULLETIN ISSUED FOR THIS EVENT BY THE WEST COAST
AND ALASKA TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER UNLESS CONDITIONS WARRANT. REFER TO
THE INTERNET SITE HTTP://WCATWC.ARH.NOAA.GOV FOR MORE INFORMATION.

WASHINGTON - OREGON AND CALIFORNIA ONLY...

NO - REPEAT NO - WATCH OR WARNING IS IN EFFECT.

EARTHQUAKE DATA
PRELIMINARY MAGNITUDE - 8.5 LOCATION - 2.3N 97.1E - NORTHERN SUMATERA,
INDONESIA TIME - 0710 AST 03/28/2005 0810 PST 03/28/2005 1610 UTC
03/28/2005

EVALUATION BASED ON LOCATION AND MAGNITUDE THE EARTHQUAKE WAS NOT SUFFICIENT TO GENERATE A TSUNAMI DAMAGING TO CALIFORNIA - OREGON - WASHINGTON - BRITISH COLUMBIA OR ALASKA. SOME AREAS MAY EXPERIENCE SMALL SEA LEVEL CHANGES. IN AREAS OF INTENSE SHAKING LOCALLY GENERATED TSUNAMIS CAN BE TRIGGERED BY SLUMPING.

THE PACIFIC TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER WILL ISSUE TSUNAMI BULLETINS FOR HAWAII AND OTHER AREAS OF THE PACIFIC.

THIS WILL BE THE ONLY BULLETIN ISSUED FOR THIS EVENT BY THE WEST COAST AND ALASKA TSUNAMI WARNING CENTER UNLESS CONDITIONS WARRANT. REFER TO THE INTERNET SITE HTTP://WCATWC.ARH.NOAA.GOV FOR MORE INFORMATION.

38436. Re: [bayscan] SF Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 19:12:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38432 Message: 38436

anybody actually HEARD anything on the Mayors' freq //// TG ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38437. Re: [bayscan] SF Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 19:35:29 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38432 Message: 38437

Yes I hear ACS during drills and during elections when they use to work them.
(ACS) Auxiliary Communication Services
and MOES has TG's on both systems (DPW & DTIS)

DPW's system is 50000 & 50032
DTIS's system is 17424,17456,17488 & OES Announce is 17392
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2005 11:12
Subject: Re: [bayscan] SF Question

anybody actually HEARD anything on the Mayors' freq //// TG ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38438. Re: [bayscan] SF Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 20:57:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38432 Message: 38438

I have heard OES .....but anybody ever hear DA Mayor or Any-Two-Some Newsom on it ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38439. Re: [bayscan] SF Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-28 22:15:27 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38432 Message: 38439

the mayors call sign Mary 700
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2005 12:57
Subject: Re: [bayscan] SF Question

I have heard OES .....but anybody ever hear DA Mayor or Any-Two-Some Newsom on it ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Sponsor
ADVERTISEMENT

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38440. ROPER 25 for a Bay Tour

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 00:58:22 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38440 Message: 38440

With NorCal 307.2 -> 298.95

Headed to Travis, then back to Beale.

Asked NorCal about how restricted VFR is in this area for civil aircraft; he's thinking of coming by for a spin 'taking the parental units out for a tour' ... 'afirm, just a single' :-)

16:57/PT

38441. Re: [bayscan] ROPER 25 for a Bay Tour

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 01:46:43 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38440 Message: 38441

who is roper 25?

On Monday, Mar 28, 2005, at 16:58 US/Pacific, Jordan Hayes wrote:

>
> With NorCal 307.2 -> 298.95
>
> Headed to Travis, then back to Beale.
>
> Asked NorCal about how restricted VFR is in this area for civil
> aircraft; he's thinking of coming by for a spin 'taking the parental
> units out for a tour' ... 'afirm, just a single' :-)
>
> 16:57/PT
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38442. Re: ROPER 25 for a Bay Tour

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 03:06:31 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 38440 Message: 38442

USAF T-38 from Beale AFB. I beleive it's used as a trainer for the
U-2 Spyplanes. :)

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> who is roper 25?
>
> On Monday, Mar 28, 2005, at 16:58 US/Pacific, Jordan Hayes wrote:
>
> > >
> > With NorCal 307.2 -> 298.95
> > >
> > Headed to Travis, then back to Beale.
> > >
> > Asked NorCal about how restricted VFR is in this area for civil
> > aircraft; he's thinking of coming by for a spin 'taking the
parental
> > units out for a tour' ... 'afirm, just a single' :-)
> > >
> > 16:57/PT
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604

38443. Re: [bayscan] Re: ROPER 25 for a Bay Tour

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 08:17:38 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38440 Message: 38443

Yep, the T-38 "Talons" are all black with just red lettering on the jet.
Besides Beale AFB I've seen them flying in over the Golden Gate Bridge. They do
a large circle then fly in the direction of Beale.

They have a pic of the T-38 on the home page at:

http://www.beale.af.mil/ (http://www.beale.af.mil/)

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38444. ARTCC Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 08:46:20 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 141 Message: 38444

I know many of us already have these frequencies in one form or another, but this clickable map is a neat interface. There's a nice I suppose ZOA will be of most interest to the folks in this group. I particularly like the little red circle between SFO and SJC that marks the NUQ military area.

http://www.milaircomms.com/artcc_frequencies.html

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38445. San Jose - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 15:48:51 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38445 Message: 38445

San Jose Fire working a rescue at 1527 Princeton Drive for a vehicle accident with Engine 17 on scene requesting full rescue response.

MED 30
TRUCK COMPANY 9
ENGINE COMPANY 22
BATTALION 13
ENGINE 17

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

38446. Multiple Vehicle Accidents - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 19:51:28 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38446 Message: 38446

Multiple accidents working along stretch of freeway on SB 280 from
Winchester to Bascom Avenue.

Incident(s) working on San Jose Fire - 155.025

TRUCK COMPANY 3
MED 30
ENGINE 10
ENGINE 4
BATTALION 10

ENGINE 14 working a related incident at SB280 and highway 17.

-C-

38447. Re: ARTCC Frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 19:56:29 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 141 Message: 38447

>
> I know many of us already have these frequencies in one form or another, but this clickable map is a neat interface. There's a nice I suppose ZOA will be of most interest to the folks in this group. I particularly like the little red circle between SFO and SJC that marks the NUQ military area.
>

Hey Gordon,

The ZOA map is so so for displaying restricted airspace, but even then it doesn't label anything or differentiate between Restricted, Warning, Alert, and Military Operating Areas. The only good thing about this site (and I've been there many times before I'm not bashing you for finding it) is that it lists every RCAG (Remote controlled air to ground transmitter, they're microwave-linked to the ZOA ARTCC facility in fremont), which is hard info to find unless you really know where to look. The only other place I can remember that lists it is the A/FD, Airport/Facilities Directory, which costs $4 and you really have to know where to look. Like I said recently, as far as I know the FAA doesn't publicly list TRACON transmitters. :(

If you're really interested in the sector structure of ZOA, I recommend this page:
http://www.freqofnature.com/aviation/zoa/index.html

FYI, there's no restricted airspace associated with Moffet Field (NUQ). It's just a Class D towered airport. The only red circle I see is R-2531 in the east bay, which sits directly over Lawrence Livermore National Labratory's Experimental Site 300. There's also A-682 over Travis, but I've flown through it quite a few times. It's an Alert area, meaning you have to stay alert and watch for high performance military aircraft, but it poses no restrictions to VFR flight.

-Inigo

38448. Re: [bayscan] Multiple Vehicle Accidents - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 20:00:11 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38446 Message: 38448

This particular call wound up being a giant fiasco with duplicate calls
and no rescue required. There was one accident with a single injury.
This all happened right after a heavy shower moved through the area.

-C-

On Mar 29, 2005, at 11:51 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Multiple accidents working along stretch of freeway on SB 280 from
> Winchester to Bascom Avenue.
>
> Incident(s) working on San Jose Fire - 155.025
>
>
> TRUCK COMPANY 3
> MED 30
> ENGINE 10
> ENGINE 4
> BATTALION 10
>
> ENGINE 14 working a related incident at SB280 and highway 17.
>
> -C-
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38449. Re: [bayscan] Multiple Vehicle Accidents - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 20:07:09 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38446 Message: 38449

So that's why I heard and saw E4 go by the window. Didn't realize I could have walked outside to have a closer look. For the record, that was an *incredible* (and thankfully brief) downpour. I can imagine crashing in it.

Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:
This particular call wound up being a giant fiasco with duplicate calls
and no rescue required. There was one accident with a single injury.
This all happened right after a heavy shower moved through the area.

-C-

On Mar 29, 2005, at 11:51 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Multiple accidents working along stretch of freeway on SB 280 from
> Winchester to Bascom Avenue.
>
> Incident(s) working on San Jose Fire - 155.025
>
>
> TRUCK COMPANY 3
> MED 30
> ENGINE 10
> ENGINE 4
> BATTALION 10
>
> ENGINE 14 working a related incident at SB280 and highway 17.
>
> -C-
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38450. Re: [bayscan] Multiple Vehicle Accidents - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 20:29:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38446 Message: 38450

Gordon,

It sure was a heavy downpour! Sounds like it's causing mayhem as it moves to the SE with another MVA around 85 and Santa Theresa in South San Jose.

-C-

On Mar 29, 2005, at 12:07 PM, Gordon Arnold wrote:

>
> So that's why I heard and saw E4 go by the window. Didn't realize I
> could have walked outside to have a closer look. For the record, that
> was an *incredible* (and thankfully brief) downpour. I can imagine
> crashing in it.
>
> Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:
> This particular call wound up being a giant fiasco with duplicate calls
> and no rescue required. There was one accident with a single injury.
> This all happened right after a heavy shower moved through the area.
>
> -C-

38451. Possible School Fire - Gilroy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 21:48:53 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38451 Message: 38451

I have no details on this incident other than there is a possible structure fire working at Glen View Elementary School in the town of Gilroy.

The school is located at 600 Eighth Street cross of Carmel Street.

I am hearing sporadic communications from Santa Clara County on 154.280 advising that Gilroy will announce a staging area shortly. More details when/if they become available.

-C-

38452. Re: [bayscan] Possible School Fire - Gilroy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 21:59:04 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38451 Message: 38452

This is a 3-alarm fire currently and the school is on spring break per
KCBS.

On Mar 29, 2005, at 1:48 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> I have no details on this incident other than there is a possible
> structure fire working at Glen View Elementary School in the town of
> Gilroy.
>
> The school is located at 600 Eighth Street cross of Carmel Street.
>
> I am hearing sporadic communications from Santa Clara County on 154.280
> advising that Gilroy will announce a staging area shortly. More details
> when/if they become available.
>
> -C-

38453. Gilroy

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 23:00:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38453 Message: 38453

I am hearing County E12 and South Santa Clara E3 being released

got an SBN alert that said school was FULLY involved.....uh, don't think so.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38454. Re: [bayscan] crash on 880

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-29 23:06:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38454 Message: 38454

Five vehicles S/B 880 @ Marina 5 vehicles / 4 patients
req 2nd amr Code 3

TG21392 Not sure which Fire TG

1506 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38455. SFFD 2 PG&E 0

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-30 03:03:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38455 Message: 38455

Another PG&E problem.....underground vault exploding and on fire
at Larkin & Post.. Engine 3 Truck 3 and Battalion 4 on scene awaiting
either the CO2 unit or BOE (Bureau of Equipment) to bring more CO2 to scene (
BOE has 12 extinguishers available)

A2/ 14832
A14/ 15216

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38456. Tac channel usage SJFD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-30 19:05:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38456 Message: 38456

I just found out some interesting information

When San Jose Fire uses Command 3 for a fire, the tac channel is 5 , 155.88

When they use Command 4 , the tac channel is 6 , 154.355

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38457. O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 00:49:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38457 Message: 38457

Live on firefeeds.com 5 alarm Mill building fire with
collapse in West Warwick , Rhode Island. recalling off-duty now

IC states they will be there ALL night

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38458. Re: [bayscan] O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 00:54:44 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38457 Message: 38458

ok I've heard people griping on here before about posting fires not in Ca. so do we really need one from back east? Sounds like a shameless plug for firefeeds... how bout we keep somewhat ontopic.. BAYSCAN

caladded@... wrote:

> Live on firefeeds.com 5 alarm Mill building fire with
>collapse in West Warwick , Rhode Island. recalling off-duty now
>
> IC states they will be there ALL night
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38459. Re: [bayscan] O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 01:47:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38457 Message: 38459

DID YOU SEE THE O/T Ben ?? if you don't like it , delete it

this big a fire may be on national NEWS too , ever think of that ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38460. Re: [bayscan] O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 01:52:49 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38457 Message: 38460

ok next time I'll delete it.. Just because it may be on the evening news
doesn't mean it has to be posted......

caladded@... wrote:

>DID YOU SEE THE O/T Ben ?? if you don't like it , delete it
>
> this big a fire may be on national NEWS too , ever think of that ?
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38461. Re: [bayscan] O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 01:55:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38457 Message: 38461

AGAIN , you miss the O/T Anytime there is a fire THIS large
ANYWHERE in the country I will post it HERE. I did so with the Highrise Fire in Chicago a few months back and it was appreciated..... the reason , another person used his BRAINS and mentioned it would be on the WGN 9 0'clock news which , of course , came on at 7pm HERE and almost the entire hour was devoted to the fire. .....This gave the fire buffs here (and this site is LOADED with them) a chance to watch LIVE footage of a major fire. I only wished there were more TV stations from other cities on my cable in which I could do this.

You notice I don't (and won't) post any fires UNLESS
they are VERY large , when they are OUTSIDE the area.

enough said.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38462. Re: [bayscan] O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 02:01:25 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38457 Message: 38462

lol

caladded@... wrote:

>AGAIN , you miss the O/T Anytime there is a fire THIS large
>ANYWHERE in the country I will post it HERE. I did so with the Highrise Fire in
>Chicago a few months back and it was appreciated..... the reason ,
>another person used his BRAINS and mentioned it would be on the WGN 9 0'clock
>news which , of course , came on at 7pm HERE and almost the entire hour was
>devoted to the fire. ......This gave the fire buffs here (and this site is
>LOADED with them) a chance to watch LIVE footage of a major fire. I only wished
>there were more TV stations from other cities on my cable in which I could do
>this.
>
> You notice I don't (and won't) post any fires UNLESS
>they are VERY large , when they are OUTSIDE the area.
>
>enough said.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38463. Re: [bayscan] O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 02:03:40 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 38457 Message: 38463

lol i love it when he gets all huffy, cracks me up!

In a message dated 3/30/2005 5:58:04 PM Pacific Standard Time,
caladded@... writes:

AGAIN , you miss the O/T Anytime there is a fire THIS large
ANYWHERE in the country I will post it HERE. I did so with the Highrise
Fire in
Chicago a few months back and it was appreciated..... the reason ,
another person used his BRAINS and mentioned it would be on the WGN 9
0'clock
news which , of course , came on at 7pm HERE and almost the entire hour was
devoted to the fire. ......This gave the fire buffs here (and this site is
LOADED with them) a chance to watch LIVE footage of a major fire. I only
wished
there were more TV stations from other cities on my cable in which I could
do
this.

You notice I don't (and won't) post any fires UNLESS
they are VERY large , when they are OUTSIDE the area.

enough said.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38464. Re: [bayscan] O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 02:39:40 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38457 Message: 38464

Gee, did I miss something? When did it turn into "EdScan"? LOL

Keep up the good work Ed! I liked the Chicago Fire coverage. I almost felt I was there . True a little overkill between Bayscan,WGN,and IPN though.

Hey, just a thought but what about "NationalScan" ? then posts like this would be accepted.

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38465. Scanning Oakland PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 02:48:02 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38465 Message: 38465

Hey guys, Oakland TRS is coming in unusally well up here this afternoon so I've been checking out OPD, and with the help of yahoo maps I cleared up some questions I used to have about OPD's talkgroups, and I'll post them if anyones interested.

First and foremost (I've seen a couple of posts here about this) I was always wondering what the patch TG 02-011 was for, because I could never find the same conversation on any other talkgroups. I don't know technically how they're doing it, but they're merging "Service 1" and "Service 2" into this talkgroup, and all the traffic takes place here while both the service talkgroups remain silent.

Second, I was always wondering what each of the Patrol channels were used for. Here's what I can ascertain from looking up addresses to calls this afternoon:

02-021 -- Patrol 1: Dispatch for Downtown and North Oakland
02-022 -- Patrol 2: Dispatch for area south of Downtown south of Macarthur Blvd.
02-023 -- Patrol 3: Dispatch for area south of Downtown north of Macarthur Blvd.
02-024 -- Patrol 4: Secondary dispatch and car to car tactical channel
02-025 -- Patrol 5: Secondary dispatch and car to car tactical channel

02-026 -- Service 1: License plate, d/l checks and updates on active incidents.
02-027 -- Service 2: Same as 1, there might be a regional difference when they're not patched but I'm not positive. Splitting the city north south would make sense but that's complete speculation on my part.

02-011 -- Service 1 & 2 patch

Since most of the patrol officer car to car communications are on Patrol 4 and 5, most of the guys you'll hear on the TAC channels are the OPD Narcotics team. They're an entertaining bunch, and they like Tac 4. The sideshow channels are also supposed to be good (02-05x range), though I haven't heard much of them since I don't listen to oakland enough.

Any corrections to the above are welcome, but I figured I'd post what I had since there's not a lot of oakland info floating around this list.

-Inigo

38466. Re: O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 02:50:10 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38457 Message: 38466

It's been "Edscan" since I've been here, but that's not necessarily a bad thing. ;^) lol

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mobile11@a... wrote:
>
>
> Gee, did I miss something? When did it turn into "EdScan"? LOL
>
>
> Keep up the good work Ed! I liked the Chicago Fire coverage. I almost felt I
> was there . True a little overkill between Bayscan,WGN,and IPN though.
>
>
> Hey, just a thought but what about "NationalScan" ? then posts like this
> would be accepted.
>
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38467. MVA Marin Nbound 101 @ Tiburon Blvd.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 02:56:55 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38467 Message: 38467

3 to 4 car MVA Northbound 101 north of Tiburon Blvd., with one vehicle smoking.

E9
R9
M32
BC3

Responding, along with CHP enroute.

All units switching to Command 13 - 46.12, Tac Red - 46.46 also assigned if the BC needs it.

Control 6 - 46.5
CHP Violet - 42.16

6:57PM

-Inigo

38468. Re: MVA Marin Nbound 101 @ Tiburon Blvd.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 03:11:08 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38467 Message: 38468

Total number involved has risen to like 5 or 6.

On scene units:

34-50M
34-20
34-115

Incident: 1946 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
Location: NB US101 JNO BLITHEDALE AV Zoom Map: 606 3H Info as of:
3/30/2005 7:09:26 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
6:59PM - #1 AND #2 ARE BLOCKED
6:53PM - PER CAL TRAN NB JSO CORTE MADERA /3 VHES BLKG #2
6:51PM - ONE VEH SMOKING
6:51PM - BLKNG #2 LN
6:51PM - 3-4 VEHS INVLD

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
7:02PM - CHP Unit On Scene
7:08PM - CHP Unit Assigned
7:09PM - CHP Unit On Scene

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> 3 to 4 car MVA Northbound 101 north of Tiburon Blvd., with one
vehicle
> smoking.
>
> E9
> R9
> M32
> BC3
>
> Responding, along with CHP enroute.
>
> All units switching to Command 13 - 46.12, Tac Red - 46.46 also
> assigned if the BC needs it.
>
> Control 6 - 46.5
> CHP Violet - 42.16
>
> 6:57PM
>

> -Inigo

38469. Re: MVA Marin Nbound 101 @ Tiburon Blvd.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 03:40:46 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 38467 Message: 38469

Lucky Strike, Chesterfield or Marlborough. :\

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> 3 to 4 car MVA Northbound 101 north of Tiburon Blvd., with one vehicle
> smoking.
>
> E9
> R9
> M32
> BC3
>
> Responding, along with CHP enroute.
>
> All units switching to Command 13 - 46.12, Tac Red - 46.46 also
> assigned if the BC needs it.
>
> Control 6 - 46.5
> CHP Violet - 42.16
>
> 6:57PM
>
> -Inigo

38470. Re: [bayscan] Re: O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 03:49:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38457 Message: 38470

yeah guys, I don't post out of area stuff very often now do I ?

and it always 5 or more alarms and I don't even post ALL of those , for instance I hear of 5 alarms in NY from time to time but don't post them.

Had I heard of the Texas City explosion and fire , I would have posted it. BTW , how many remember " The Texas City Disaster"

anyone know what year (no fair using the internet , OFF the top of your head only LOL) HINT , it was 5 years BEFORE I was born

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38471. Re: [bayscan] Scanning Oakland PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 03:52:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38465 Message: 38471

While not lately, I have heard them use 02-011 to patch a couple patrol channels in the wee hours.....like 3,4,5 am and so forth.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38472. Re: [bayscan] Re: O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 04:00:24 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 38457 Message: 38472

1906??

-----Original Message-----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wed, 30 Mar 2005 22:49:45 EST
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: O/T 5 alarm Mill fire back east

yeah guys, I don't post out of area stuff very often now do I ?

and it always 5 or more alarms and I don't even post ALL of those , for instance I hear of 5 alarms in NY from time to time but don't post them.

Had I heard of the Texas City explosion and fire , I would have posted it. BTW , how many remember " The Texas City Disaster"

anyone know what year (no fair using the internet , OFF the top of your head only LOL) HINT , it was 5 years BEFORE I was born

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38473. Re: Scanning Oakland PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 04:24:15 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38465 Message: 38473

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> While not lately, I have heard them use 02-011 to patch a couple
patrol
> channels in the wee hours........like 3,4,5 am and so forth.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

I've also heard that. Within the last couple days I've heard them patching patrol traffic to the other patch TG 00-001. I remember reading a while back that 00-002 is some kind of emergency TG but I can't confirm the validity of that.

-Inigo

38474. NASA Police & Fire at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 06:33:34 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38474 Message: 38474

Does anybody have info for the frequencies used by the public safety folks at Moffett Field?

Anybody know why the police and fire dispatcher started a few months ago to answer every aircraft declaring position reports and pilot's intentions when the tower is closed? It seems to irritate and or puzzle the pilots whom they answer, and I can't think of a good reason for them to do state the obvious that traffic advisories are not available. It makes sense in an emergency or if they're expecting a "lifeguard" flight, but other than that I don't get it.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38475. Re: [bayscan] NASA Police & Fire at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 07:05:46 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38474 Message: 38475

At 10:33 PM 3/30/2005, you wrote:
>Does anybody have info for the frequencies used by the public safety folks
>at Moffett Field?

It's an EDACS trunked system. http://www.radioreference.com/ has them.

38476. Chase 155.490

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 10:08:52 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38476 Message: 38476

Chase now on 155.490

2:08 am

Robert
BayScan

38477. RE: [bayscan] Chase 155.490

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 11:52:44 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38476 Message: 38477

>Chase now on 155.490
>
>2:08 am

location?

Mike at 0352 hrs

38478. SFFD Brownouts

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 16:07:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38478 Message: 38478

For Thursday , March 31.

24 hours - Engine 2 Engine 7 Engine 12 Engine 43

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38479. Drill? Poss. Haz-Mat incident in So. SM Co?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 18:58:15 UTC
From: David K. Z. Harris
Topic: 38479 Message: 38479

Most activity on 153.89...

I heard a unit asking for a Pub Works dumptruck full of sand, and I
didn't think too much about it... but now I'm hearing that PG&E has
been called, and the IC is advising to notify "the town", because there
are a lot of contractors that need to be treated.

Seaport IC now asking for Truck 13 to bring a "C.D.I. monitor"...
requested ringdown is Code-2...

Bat 1, Bat 4 seem to be on-scene...

Sounds like something out near the Port of Redwood City...

-Z- (N6UOW)

38480. Re: [bayscan] Drill? Poss. Haz-Mat incident in So. SM Co?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 19:10:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38479 Message: 38480

At 10:58 AM 3/31/2005, you wrote:

> Most activity on 153.89...
>
> I heard a unit asking for a Pub Works dumptruck full of sand, and I
>didn't think too much about it... but now I'm hearing that PG&E has
>been called, and the IC is advising to notify "the town", because there
>are a lot of contractors that need to be treated.
>
> Seaport IC now asking for Truck 13 to bring a "C.D.I. monitor"...
>requested ringdown is Code-2...
>
> Bat 1, Bat 4 seem to be on-scene...
>
> Sounds like something out near the Port of Redwood City...

My guess is drill. Firedispatch.com only shows BC3, BC4, E11, PR3b (Public relations?) PT9 and PT13. Doesn't sound like a 'full assignment' to me...

Evan

38481. Re: [bayscan] Drill? Poss. Haz-Mat incident in So. SM Co?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 19:13:14 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38479 Message: 38481

At 10:58 AM 3/31/2005, you wrote:

> Most activity on 153.89...
>
> I heard a unit asking for a Pub Works dumptruck full of sand, and I
>didn't think too much about it... but now I'm hearing that PG&E has
>been called, and the IC is advising to notify "the town", because there
>are a lot of contractors that need to be treated.
>
> Seaport IC now asking for Truck 13 to bring a "C.D.I. monitor"...
>requested ringdown is Code-2...
>
> Bat 1, Bat 4 seem to be on-scene...
>
> Sounds like something out near the Port of Redwood City...

I take that back. Dispatched to E 11 T9 B3 to 700 seaport blvd - Seaport Refining and Environmental. Came in as a pressure switch in a chemical tank and a manual pull in the trailer.

It's archived on firescan.net - http://www.firescan.net/cgi-bin/playback.cgi?f=1112292000-5&sb=2527&t=1800&rate=56

Evan

38482. Re: NASA Police & Fire at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-31 21:53:51 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 38474 Message: 38482

Actually this has been going for a long time now. I know that at 10pm, tower asks dispatch, "Dispatch, Tower....how do you read?"...."Tower, Dispatch.....loud and clear."

If I was a pilot that was local, then I might know that the tower was closed, but if I was from out of town and transitioning, then I may not know. Plus I'm guessing that the atis info isn't being updated at night.

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
> Anybody know why the police and fire dispatcher started a few months ago to answer every aircraft declaring position reports and pilot's intentions when the tower is closed? It seems to irritate and or puzzle the pilots whom they answer, and I can't think of a good reason for them to do state the obvious that traffic advisories are not available. It makes sense in an emergency or if they're expecting a "lifeguard" flight, but other than that I don't get it.
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38483. Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 01:56:39 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38483 Message: 38483

Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop

A-2 14832
A-14 15216

17:55 hours

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38484. Re: [bayscan] Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:02:48 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38483 Message: 38484

this just went to 2nd alarm
18:02hours
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 17:56
Subject: [bayscan] Working fire in SF

Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop

A-2 14832
A-14 15216

17:55 hours

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38485. Re: Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:06:24 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38483 Message: 38485

> Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop
>
> A-2 14832
> A-14 15216
>
> 17:55 hours

18:06 hours:

http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050331_SF_Fire.jpg

38486. Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:08:56 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38483 Message: 38486

nice shot
----- Original Message -----
From: Jordan Hayes
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 18:06
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

> Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop
>
> A-2 14832
> A-14 15216
>
> 17:55 hours

18:06 hours:

http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050331_SF_Fire.jpg

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38487. Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:09:04 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38483 Message: 38487

Nice job, Jordan!

That's a lot of smoke - any updates appreciated.

-C-

On Mar 31, 2005, at 6:06 PM, Jordan Hayes wrote:

>
>
>> Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop
>>
>> A-2 14832
>> A-14 15216
>>
>> 17:55 hours
>
> 18:06 hours:
>
> http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050331_SF_Fire.jpg
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38488. Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:23:06 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38483 Message: 38488

> That's a lot of smoke - any updates appreciated.

it looks knocked down now, the cloud is moving south :)

38489. Re: [bayscan] Re: NASA Police & Fire at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:24:08 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38474 Message: 38489

I've heard those comm checks for several months every day just prior to 2300 when the tower closes. The ATIS does announce the tower being closed... I'm not sure what logic guides the decision.

blueangel_78 <blueangel_78@...> wrote:

Actually this has been going for a long time now. I know that at 10pm, tower asks dispatch, "Dispatch, Tower....how do you read?"...."Tower, Dispatch.....loud and clear."

If I was a pilot that was local, then I might know that the tower was closed, but if I was from out of town and transitioning, then I may not know. Plus I'm guessing that the atis info isn't being updated at night.

~Sagar

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
> Anybody know why the police and fire dispatcher started a few months ago to answer every aircraft declaring position reports and pilot's intentions when the tower is closed? It seems to irritate and or puzzle the pilots whom they answer, and I can't think of a good reason for them to do state the obvious that traffic advisories are not available. It makes sense in an emergency or if they're expecting a "lifeguard" flight, but other than that I don't get it.

Yahoo! Messenger
Show us what our next emoticon should look like. Join the fun.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38490. Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:10:34 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38483 Message: 38490

Command is ordering everyone OFF of the roof because it is a metal strut roof and now wants everyone out of the fire building

18:10 hrs
----- Original Message -----
From: Craig Rose
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 18:09
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

Nice job, Jordan!

That's a lot of smoke - any updates appreciated.

-C-

On Mar 31, 2005, at 6:06 PM, Jordan Hayes wrote:

>
>
>> Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop
>>
>> A-2 14832
>> A-14 15216
>>
>> 17:55 hours
>
> 18:06 hours:
>
> http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050331_SF_Fire.jpg
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38491. Re: NASA Police & Fire at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:42:57 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38474 Message: 38491

The airport diagram says ATIS/ASOS. That means when you tune the ATIS when the tower's closed, you hear the computer generated voice of the Automatic Surface Observation System on the same frequency (plus a pre-recorded remarks section by one of the controllers). When the tower is open, the controllers have a desktop computer that displays the ASOS information in real time, and they can use it as an aid while making their hourly ATIS recordings.

It sounds to me like Moffet's public safety dispatcher takes on the role of a UNICOM operator when tower is closed. Here is the Aeronautical Information Manual's description of UNICOM:

"e. Information Provided by Aeronautical Advisory Stations (UNICOM):

1. UNICOM is a nongovernment air/ground radio communication station which may provide airport information at public use airports where there is no tower or FSS.

2. On pilot request, UNICOM stations may provide pilots with weather information, wind direction, the recommended runway, or other necessary information. If the UNICOM frequency is designated as the CTAF, it will be identified in appropriate aeronautical publications."

UNICOM Operators are not Air Traffic Controllers and have no seperation authority of aircraft whatsoever. They're usually overworked airport employees who are trying to simultaniously answer phones and take fuel orders (or I suppose in Moffet's case a public safety dispatcher!), and a lot of the time they don't answer you on the radio at all. It's a valuable resource for what it is, when it's available, but it's still the pilot's responsibility to double check airport surface conditions to determine the active runway, self announce their position and listen to the Common Traffic Advisory Freq (same freq at Moffet) and look out the window for other traffic.

Hope that cleared some stuff up,

-Inigo

38492. SF Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:49:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 30378 Message: 38492

Ok George got it on ,,,,,,,,,saying a lot of fire left , using a multiversal (master stream)

Is this still a 2nd or did they call a 3rd yet ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38493. Re: [bayscan] Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:07:21 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38483 Message: 38493

Dispatch A-2 14832
Command A-5 14928
Tac A-14 14216

Staging at Sac & Laurel
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 18:02
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Working fire in SF

this just went to 2nd alarm
18:02hours
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 17:56
Subject: [bayscan] Working fire in SF

Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop

A-2 14832
A-14 15216

17:55 hours

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38494. Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 02:38:22 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38483 Message: 38494

basic question: why does the fact that it is this kind of roof lead to
that kind of order?

On Thursday, Mar 31, 2005, at 18:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> Command is ordering everyone OFF of the roof because it is a metal
> strut roof and now wants everyone out of the fire building
>
> 18:10 hrs
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Craig Rose
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 18:09
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF
>
>
> Nice job, Jordan!
>
> That's a lot of smoke - any updates appreciated.
>
> -C-
>
> On Mar 31, 2005, at 6:06 PM, Jordan Hayes wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>> Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop
>>>
>>> A-2 14832
>>> A-14 15216
>>>
>>> 17:55 hours
>>
>> 18:06 hours:
>>
>> http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050331_SF_Fire.jpg
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38495. Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 03:04:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38483 Message: 38495

strut roofs are become very weak in a fire . truss type roofs have collapsed in many fires , killing fire fighters in some

I worked an auto body shop fire at 23rd and shotwell in the 70s , MAN it was nasty , I was backing up a couple guys on a 3" line at the entrance to the garage , we had fire on the left/right , in front of us and it was rolling up the ceiling towards the front when we (WHEW) backed out

won't forget that one.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38496. Chase CHP/CoCo S.O. Now 11:40

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 07:40:05 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 38496 Message: 38496

EB Highway 4. Pittsburg PD Coco S.O. and CHP CHP on 42.920 and PD on 155.190 Speeds at 110. CHP now saying they lost him. but PD saying they have him at EB 4 at summersville H-32 in route

38497. Re: what Freqs do SFFD use now??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 11:54:05 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 38483 Message: 38497

hi wanted to know if u had a list of Freqs for the SFFD thanks

Anthony

-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thu, 31 Mar 2005 18:38:22 -0800
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

basic question: why does the fact that it is this kind of roof lead to
that kind of order?

On Thursday, Mar 31, 2005, at 18:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> Command is ordering everyone OFF of the roof because it is a metal
> strut roof and now wants everyone out of the fire building
>
> 18:10 hrs
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Craig Rose
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 18:09
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF
>
>
> Nice job, Jordan!
>
> That's a lot of smoke - any updates appreciated.
>
> -C-
>
> On Mar 31, 2005, at 6:06 PM, Jordan Hayes wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>> Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop
>>>
>>> A-2 14832
>>> A-14 15216
>>>
>>> 17:55 hours
>>
>> 18:06 hours:
>>

>> http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050331_SF_Fire.jpg
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com

(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38498. Re: [bayscan] Re: what Freqs do SFFD use now??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 12:29:14 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38483 Message: 38498

SFFD is on a Motorola Type II Trunking radio system

----- Original Message -----
From: AMP6352@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 03:54
Subject: [bayscan] Re: what Freqs do SFFD use now??

hi wanted to know if u had a list of Freqs for the SFFD thanks

Anthony

-----Original Message-----
From: nina zhito <photozhito@comcast.net>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thu, 31 Mar 2005 18:38:22 -0800
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

basic question: why does the fact that it is this kind of roof lead to
that kind of order?

On Thursday, Mar 31, 2005, at 18:10 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

>
> Command is ordering everyone OFF of the roof because it is a metal
> strut roof and now wants everyone out of the fire building
>
> 18:10 hrs
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Craig Rose
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 18:09
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF
>
>
> Nice job, Jordan!
>
> That's a lot of smoke - any updates appreciated.
>
> -C-
>
> On Mar 31, 2005, at 6:06 PM, Jordan Hayes wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>> Working fire at 3532 Sacramento , Auto Body shop
>>>

>>> A-2 14832
>>> A-14 15216
>>>
>>> 17:55 hours
>>
>> 18:06 hours:
>>
>> http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050331_SF_Fire.jpg
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38499. Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 14:12:36 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38483 Message: 38499

Follow up: KRON TV had good shots from the Air last night,
This building at one time was the Delancey Street Auto Service (Many years ago) and is still looking for a cause.

Overnight we had other fire at Webster & Hickory, this was a fatal fire with one 802 (dead person)

05:35 hrs
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2005 19:04
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Working fire in SF

strut roofs are become very weak in a fire . truss type roofs have collapsed in many fires , killing fire fighters in some

I worked an auto body shop fire at 23rd and shotwell in the 70s , MAN it was nasty , I was backing up a couple guys on a 3" line at the entrance to the garage , we had fire on the left/right , in front of us and it was rolling up the ceiling towards the front when we (WHEW) backed out

won't forget that one.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38500. SFFD Brownouts .....overnight pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 16:07:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38500 Message: 38500

SFFD 24 hour brownouts (no fooling') .....

Engine 6 Engine 10 Engine 15 Engine 41

12 hours- Truck 18

Not sure what time, but overnight there was a wrong way pursuit on the upper deck of the bay bridge, the DUI driver finally crashed into Lake Merritt.....would loved to have monitored that one!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38501. working fire in SF 08:33

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 16:34:09 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38501 Message: 38501

50 Oak St

Dispatch A-2 14832

Tac A-12 15152

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38502. Re: [bayscan] working fire in SF 08:33

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 16:36:29 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38501 Message: 38502

Update now moving to Tac- 15, 15248
08:36hrs
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 08:34
Subject: [bayscan] working fire in SF 08:33

50 Oak St

Dispatch A-2 14832

Tac A-12 15152

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38503. Re: [bayscan] working fire in SF 08:33

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 16:39:43 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38501 Message: 38503

Fire is under control at 08:39hrs
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 08:36
Subject: Re: [bayscan] working fire in SF 08:33

Update now moving to Tac- 15, 15248
08:36hrs
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 08:34
Subject: [bayscan] working fire in SF 08:33

50 Oak St

Dispatch A-2 14832

Tac A-12 15152

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38504. San Jose PD Stop

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 17:20:17 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38504 Message: 38504

San José PD unit 9810 on-viewed a 10 year-old driving a vehicle over a curb and across yards in East San José. One passenger, approximately 19 years-old, swapped position with the 10 year-old. Both out of the vehicle and sitting on curb - code-4.

Sounds like an April Fools Joke - but it isn't!

-C-

Working on 460.425

38505. Re: [bayscan] Re: what Freqs do SFFD use now??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 20:47:20 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 38483 Message: 38505

does anyone have a list of these Freqs???

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38506. Re: [bayscan] Re: what Freqs do SFFD use now??

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 20:48:59 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38483 Message: 38506

Yes, go in the file section of this group and you find many
----- Original Message -----
From: AMP6352@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 12:47
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: what Freqs do SFFD use now??

does anyone have a list of these Freqs???

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38507. Crystal Communications Freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-01 22:59:32 UTC
From: C. David Nielson
Topic: 38507 Message: 38507

Does any one know Crystal Communications frequencies for their new "Passport System" 480-490mhz (WAN microwave linked LTR trunk system)?

Thanks,

David

PS I checked radioreference.com and it's not listed.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38508. Working Fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 00:52:24 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35990 Message: 38508

Jamestown & Ingals

A-3 14864
Tac- A-10 15088
Just went to 2nd alarm 16:52

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38509. Re: [bayscan] Working Fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 01:29:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 35990 Message: 38509

George ....residential or commercial ? (I gotta leave the feed on more LOL)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38510. Re: [bayscan] Working Fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 01:50:06 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35990 Message: 38510

not sure, don't care
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 17:29
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Working Fire in SF

George ....residential or commercial ? (I gotta leave the feed on more LOL)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38511. Re: [bayscan] Working Fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 01:53:49 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35990 Message: 38511

I can't get my 40 meter dipole working and it's pissing me off to no end.
I using 2, 40 meter ham stick on a dipole mount and a 33 foot counterpoise and the best match I can get 2.5 to 1 at a freq of 7.240 (I like the swap net)
(jiu3q04y9i;ahnqety7834y4294643784527656
u24923y67856756&^B%$^&%&B^^%&%^^&$C%#^DYILJHN*R&%)
There I'm done, sorry for the rant
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 17:50
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Working Fire in SF

not sure, don't care
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 17:29
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Working Fire in SF

George ....residential or commercial ? (I gotta leave the feed on more LOL)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38512. Power out in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 02:18:54 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38512 Message: 38512

All my UPSs just blinked on and off three times (but came back).
Berkeley PD took a call 30 seconds later for "reports" around Acton and
Virginia. Next there was a call to BFD for "lines down and arcing" ...
sounds like a transformer blew up or something. Significant outage,
though: BFD just reported that the comm center is down and they would be
using portables. Lots of calls comeing in now around the city for
lights out, traffic troubles, etc. Most of downtown, north to the
tunnel; west to San Pablo.

Fun! :-)

18:15/PT

38513. Re: [bayscan] Power out in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 02:24:00 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38512 Message: 38513

BART says they are running trains, but they don't have ventilation if there's an emergency. 3 x 15kV lines are reported down by 2246.

18:22/PT

38514. marin: 46064

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 03:46:32 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38514 Message: 38514

1940

child yelling for help, claiming he is being kidnapped and suffocated...

38515. Re: [bayscan] marin: 46064

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 03:48:02 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38514 Message: 38515

code 33 lifted....
On Friday, Apr 1, 2005, at 19:46 US/Pacific, nina zhito wrote:

>
> 1940
>
> child yelling for help, claiming he is being kidnapped and
> suffocated...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38516. Re: [bayscan] Power out in Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 05:31:05 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38512 Message: 38516

Our power went off for a couple of seconds, on a few seconds, then off again for maybe 15 seconds then back on. I don't have UPS so I had to run scandisk when the power came back on only off a few seconds here in El Cerrito around same time as Berkeley.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2005 6:18 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Power out in Berkeley

>
> All my UPSs just blinked on and off three times (but came back).
> Berkeley PD took a call 30 seconds later for "reports" around Acton and
> Virginia. Next there was a call to BFD for "lines down and arcing" ...
> sounds like a transformer blew up or something. Significant outage,
> though: BFD just reported that the comm center is down and they would be
> using portables. Lots of calls comeing in now around the city for
> lights out, traffic troubles, etc. Most of downtown, north to the
> tunnel; west to San Pablo.
>
> Fun! :-)
>
> 18:15/PT
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38517. Chase Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 07:03:03 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 37058 Message: 38517

Chase in Vallejo 155.910

Just pitted him....

robert
BayScan

11:02 PM

38518. San Jose PD - Barricade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 16:03:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38518 Message: 38518

Not sure on location, but San Jose PD working a barricade situation on
San Jose 4 - 460.425 at 8:03 a.m.

-C-

38519. RE: [bayscan] San Jose PD - Barricade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 16:42:57 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38518 Message: 38519

>Not sure on location, but San Jose PD working a barricade situation on
>San Jose 4 - 460.425 at 8:03 a.m.

I caught part of some traffic regarding "keeping our equipment out..." and
"phone will be working inside..."

San Jose 4 - 460.425 at 8:40 a.m.

Mike (getting my coffee ready this morning)

38520. Re: [bayscan] San Jose PD - Barricade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 16:57:10 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38518 Message: 38520

Hey Mike -

This has been going on since I woke up at around 6:00 a.m. Location confirmed as being in the vicinity of Bagdhad Place at Lilliput Lane near Capitol Avenue and Story Road.

-C-

On Apr 2, 2005, at 8:42 AM, Mike Wright wrote:

>
>> Not sure on location, but San Jose PD working a barricade situation on
>> San Jose 4 - 460.425 at 8:03 a.m.
>
> I caught part of some traffic regarding "keeping our equipment out..."
> and
> "phone will be working inside..."
>
> San Jose 4 - 460.425 at 8:40 a.m.
>
> Mike (getting my coffee ready this morning)
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38521. Re: San Jose PD - Barricade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 17:11:12 UTC
From: Michael Wright
Topic: 38518 Message: 38521

They also mention the robot has been deployed.

San Jose 4 - 460.425

Mike at 9:11 a.m.

38522. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Jose PD - Barricade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 17:17:48 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38518 Message: 38522

Looks like they pulled out all of the hi-tech stuff for this one. Also heard mention of a hostage. Hearing a lot of yelling as well.

On Apr 2, 2005, at 9:11 AM, Michael Wright wrote:

>
>
> They also mention the robot has been deployed.
>
> San Jose 4 - 460.425
>
> Mike at 9:11 a.m.

38523. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Jose PD - Barricade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 17:24:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38518 Message: 38523

I glanced at the map and see this is off Bambi Lane, for those who monitor SJPD fairly regularly this should sound familiar. I hear all kinds of crap going on in and around Bambi Lane.....not a great area at all, of course most of the area covered by Chan 4 isn't all too hot

Btw , (off topic) Baltimore Fire had a 2nd alarm + 2 Eng. and extra truck for a warehouse fire containing Styrofoam, every see how much smoke a Styrofoam cup gives when burned? Consider a warehouse full of the stuff!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38524. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Jose PD - Barricade

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 17:32:23 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38518 Message: 38524

anybody got video of the fire in BWI (airport code for Baltimore)
it is on Firefeeds.com
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, April 02, 2005 09:24
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: San Jose PD - Barricade

I glanced at the map and see this is off Bambi Lane, for those who monitor
SJPD fairly regularly this should sound familiar. I hear all kinds of crap
going on in and around Bambi Lane.....not a great area at all, of course
most of the area covered by Chan 4 isn't all too hot

Btw , (off topic) Baltimore Fire had a 2nd alarm + 2 Eng. and extra truck
for a warehouse fire containing Styrofoam, every see how much smoke a Styrofoam
cup gives when burned? Consider a warehouse full of the stuff!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38525. Re: [bayscan] Baltimore

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 18:48:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38525 Message: 38525

I don't know if we will get a video of this fire. After all, it only went to 2 alarms + the special call for 2 Engines and another Truck. It did take some time to control it and probably a video of it would show a very Smokey fire. But its Baltimore, not Chicago, LA or New York.

personally I would love to see a cable channel for emergency stuff like this. Plus it would of course be full of cops shows etc. Clearly there is enough present and old cops shows, movies, etc., to cover most of now all of 24 hours. This thing is to get advertisement to pay the costs, FETN which is Fire Emergency Television Network is a good example but most cable systems don't get it, its piped into Fire Depts only. it WAS on my Moms' cable system AND mine at one time, but that's been several years. I would clearly get the interest of the fire buffs on this and other website like this all over the country. I would be surprised if it ever happens.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38526. Re: [bayscan] SWAT- San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 18:50:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38526 Message: 38526

anybody able to hear channel 17 ? I am too far away (RATS !!!)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38527. Pope John Paul II Dies at age 84

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 20:23:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38527 Message: 38527

Full article at
http://hosted.ap.org/dynamic/stories/P/POPE?SITE=IAIOP2&SECTION=HOME

Vatican Says Pope John Paul II Dies at 84

By VICTOR L. SIMPSON
Associated Press Writer

VATICAN CITY (AP) -- Pope John Paul II, the Polish pontiff who led the Roman Catholic Church for more than a quarter century and became history's most-traveled pope, died Saturday night in his Vatican apartment. He was 84.

38528. Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 20:36:35 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38528 Message: 38528

Just watched Cops - Shots fired. And about 3 of the segments involve a suspect tazered. In most of the cases, the tazering simply annoyed the suspect. Heck, the last segment the guy simply pulled the 'cord' and then the tazer was dangling on the ground. The officers jumped the suspect, and while 4 officers were restraining him, one officer grabbed the tazer and was tazering him with no effect.

I've heard a number of cities to certify an officer to carry a tazer the officer is tazered. Has anyone here been tazered? (Hopefully anyone here who has was tazered as part of a certification to carry a tazer... <grin>)

I recall reading an article that a tazer can cause.. ahem.. problems if someone has a pacemaker...

Evan

38529. Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 20:39:02 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38528 Message: 38529

If he's on some type of drug like PCP or meth that will happen.. In any other situation. tazers suck. I've seem demo's

Evan Platt wrote:

>Just watched Cops - Shots fired. And about 3 of the segments involve a
>suspect tazered. In most of the cases, the tazering simply annoyed the
>suspect. Heck, the last segment the guy simply pulled the 'cord' and then
>the tazer was dangling on the ground. The officers jumped the suspect, and
>while 4 officers were restraining him, one officer grabbed the tazer and
>was tazering him with no effect.
>
>I've heard a number of cities to certify an officer to carry a tazer the
>officer is tazered. Has anyone here been tazered? (Hopefully anyone here
>who has was tazered as part of a certification to carry a tazer... <grin>)
>
>I recall reading an article that a tazer can cause.. ahem.. problems if
>someone has a pacemaker...
>
>Evan
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38530. Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 21:16:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38528 Message: 38530

You have to remember , COPS is a TV show and while its real , they like showing " exciting " stuff .....such as the guy yanking the prods out of his chest. I have seen the video of an SFPD officer tasered and he goes down in a heap. I have also seen a few tasers shots on COPS where they are quite effective.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38531. Fw: Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-02 22:02:15 UTC
From: Nobag (Mike)
Topic: 38531 Message: 38531

From: Nobag (Mike)
Date: 04/02/05 13:58:00
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Hi Evan,

Back in the day, (we are talking in the stone age) as part of the academy training we had to go through weapons training, witch included firearms, mace, and tazers. We all had to take a dose of both mace, and a nice jolt from the tazer. Some of us got knocked on our butts, and then there were some who seemed to not be affected at all. I know for me the mace sure did clear my nasal passages, but the tazer almost felt like someone was tickling me, I sure hope that the technology of the tazers has improved since then.

nobag@...
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner: N.A.C.P.S.
Owner: U.P.I.P.
"This is your last chance. After this, there is no turning back.
Take the blue pill, the story ends, you wake up in your bed and
believe whatever you want to believe. Take the red pill, you
will stay in wonderland, and I will show you how /deep the
rabbit hole goes. Remember, what I am offering is the truth,
nothing more.
Follow me." - Morpheus - "The Matrix"

-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 04/02/05 12:35:37
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Just watched Cops - Shots fired. And about 3 of the segments involve a suspect tazered. In most of the cases, the tazering simply annoyed the suspect. Heck, the last segment the guy simply pulled the 'cord' and then the tazer was dangling on the ground. The officers jumped the suspect, and while 4 officers were restraining him, one officer grabbed the tazer and was tazering him with no effect.

I've heard a number of cities to certify an officer to carry a tazer the officer is tazered. Has anyone here been tazered? (Hopefully anyone here who has was tazered as part of a certification to carry a tazer... <grin>)

I recall reading an article that a tazer can cause.. ahem.. problems if someone has a pacemaker...

Evan

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38532. Re: Fw: Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 01:56:15 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38531 Message: 38532

I know this is veering off topic, but the mention of Cops has brought to mind my favorite episode: the very first one. Suspect takes off on foot, officers go in pursuit, camera man moves the camera from his shoulder to a hand-carry and runs behind the cops. There's a few seconds of running before the camera fades out. Camera comes back on to police officer bent over now-compliant suspect on the ground. Officer holsters his nunchaku (nunchucks). :-D

-------Original Message-------

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Date: 04/02/05 12:35:37
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Just watched Cops - Shots fired. And about 3 of the segments involve a suspect tazered. In most of the cases, the tazering simply annoyed the suspect. Heck, the last segment the guy simply pulled the 'cord' and then the tazer was dangling on the ground. The officers jumped the suspect, and while 4 officers were restraining him, one officer grabbed the tazer and was tazering him with no effect.

I've heard a number of cities to certify an officer to carry a tazer the officer is tazered. Has anyone here been tazered? (Hopefully anyone here who has was tazered as part of a certification to carry a tazer... <grin>)

I recall reading an article that a tazer can cause.. ahem.. problems if someone has a pacemaker...

Evan

Do you Yahoo!?
Better first dates. More second dates. Yahoo! Personals

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38533. Re: Fw: Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 02:13:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38531 Message: 38533

I don't consider that post off topic , after all , the main thing many listen to hear are Cops.....and I bet a Large percent of them watch the show.

I hope they move to some new cities and new episodes , I have seen enough of Broward County stuff (although the 2 female Deputies are nice to view :-)))

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38534. Fw: Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 02:59:56 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38531 Message: 38534

Did they ever do Oakland or SFPD? I'd love to see those, or one in one of CHP's AS350 helicopters. I've seen some "LA: Life on the beat" episodes where they just ride along with air support and they kicked ass.

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I don't consider that post off topic , after all , the main thing many listen
> to hear are Cops.....and I bet a Large percent of them watch the show.
>
> I hope they move to some new cities and new episodes , I have seen
> enough of Broward County stuff (although the 2 female Deputies are nice to view
> :-)))
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38535. Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 03:20:58 UTC
From: Roger Strohmeyer
Topic: 38531 Message: 38535

Here's some police cadets trying the "chain-link" effects of a tazer... :-)
http://www.compfused.com/directlink/684/

And I'm pretty sure this sight ought to make just about any law enforcement
officer cringe. http://www.compfused.com/directlink/680/

Enjoy,

-Roger in San Rafael

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38536. Re: Fw: Re: [bayscan] Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 05:02:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38531 Message: 38536

I think I recall a couple in SF. SFPD did a few specials , can't recall the name. One short series followed some of the officers assigned to the Tenderloin Task Force , the Sgt died before the series was shown , in his 40s as I recall.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38537. chase Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 07:54:14 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38537 Message: 38537

Chase in Vallejo 155.910

11:51 pm

good one

Robert
BayScan

38538. Mountain View PD warrant service

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 08:01:44 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38538 Message: 38538

MVPD is currently working a search for a bad guy who ran when them attempted to serve a warrant... perimeter originally in the area of Leong & Emily. Two K9s and CHP H32 helping. Not very exciting except for the bad guy is "armed & dangerous) and H32 is shaking my walls as they orbit!

Their description and the effort they've invested makes me suppose the either A) he's a much badder guy than they've said on the air; or B) they're REALLY bored. It 'twas enough for me to turn on the porch light and make sure the door was locked. :-\ Also kept me from going out for a considered midnight snack. We should have bad guys in the neighborhood more often. It's good for my belly. :)

Now search has moved to the Stevens Creek Trail on the other side of Moffett. They've asked NASA PD to check the west fenceline along the creek.

Nothing further unless something worthwhile happens.

Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38539. Vallejo Chase follow up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 08:07:17 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38539 Message: 38539

Got the guys somewhere near the Hilltop exit in Richmond.
H-20 overhead said they are just rounding them up.
Sounds code 4 for now.
Good chase though, Ill post it later.

Robert
BayScan

38540. Vallejo Chase follow up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 08:09:19 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38539 Message: 38540

Willow Pass and Hercules a gun was suppose to have been thrown out.
Its STAR 1 not H-32 that is over head.

Robert
BayScan

38541. Code 33 Marin City Area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 11:36:37 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38541 Message: 38541

It sounds like some kind of riot with like 20 people. Location unknown - they're calling it "Lot 30." They're asking for "Less lethal units." Currently involving every Sheriff unit from area 1 (Marin City), the area 2 units (Kentfield), one area 3 unit (Civic Center San Rafael), two Mill Valley PD, two Sausalito PD.

That makes:

1x10
1z11
1z12
1z13
1x21
1z31
9z60
9z61
10z50
10z51
12z70 ? (from Tiburon PD)

A LOTTA PD!!!

Rifles deployed, crowd dispersing...

SHOTS FIRED just now. Couple 10-15s. Crazy buisness whatever it is, I wish I knew WHERE this was. 0436 hrs.

-Inigo

38542. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 11:58:30 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 38541 Message: 38542

To assume that this is marin city is prejudice. It sounds more like an out
of control dinner party in Belvedere ;)

----- Original Message -----
From: "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 4:36 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area

>
>
> It sounds like some kind of riot with like 20 people. Location
> unknown - they're calling it "Lot 30." They're asking for "Less
> lethal units." Currently involving every Sheriff unit from area 1
> (Marin City), the area 2 units (Kentfield), one area 3 unit (Civic
> Center San Rafael), two Mill Valley PD, two Sausalito PD.
>
> That makes:
>
> 1x10
> 1z11
> 1z12
> 1z13
> 1x21
> 1z31
> 9z60
> 9z61
> 10z50
> 10z51
> 12z70 ? (from Tiburon PD)
>
> A LOTTA PD!!!
>
> Rifles deployed, crowd dispersing...
>
> ***SHOTS FIRED*** just now. Couple 10-15s. Crazy buisness whatever it
> is, I wish I knew WHERE this was. 0436 hrs.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38543. Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 17:56:27 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38543 Message: 38543

Morning all...

I am listening to Santa Clara County Fire working as the mutual aid unit with CDF (151.445) on a HAZMAT detail at Uvas reservoir for two containers of an unknown substance. The HAZMAT unit just advised CDF Morgan Hill that they are now accessing the containers and request a timer. Morgan Hill responded with the current time. What is the point of this request? Just wondering if anyone has some insight.

Thanks!

-C-

38544. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 17:06:00 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38541 Message: 38544

HA I live right next to and work in Marin City every day for the last 5
years, you know its not prejudice Brian, that is why they train the new
Marin Sheriff Deputies here, there is more action.
I bet it was the 200 lot in Marin City...

Robert
BayScan

At 04:58 AM 4/3/2005, you wrote:
>To assume that this is marin city is prejudice. It sounds more like an out
>of control dinner party in Belvedere ;)
>
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 4:36 AM
>Subject: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area
>
>
> >
> >
> > It sounds like some kind of riot with like 20 people. Location
> > unknown - they're calling it "Lot 30." They're asking for "Less
> > lethal units." Currently involving every Sheriff unit from area 1
> > (Marin City), the area 2 units (Kentfield), one area 3 unit (Civic
> > Center San Rafael), two Mill Valley PD, two Sausalito PD.
> >
> > That makes:
> >
> > 1x10
> > 1z11
> > 1z12
> > 1z13
> > 1x21
> > 1z31
> > 9z60
> > 9z61
> > 10z50
> > 10z51
> > 12z70 ? (from Tiburon PD)
> >
> > A LOTTA PD!!!
> >
> > Rifles deployed, crowd dispersing...

> >
> > ***SHOTS FIRED*** just now. Couple 10-15s. Crazy buisness whatever it
> > is, I wish I knew WHERE this was. 0436 hrs.
> >
> > -Inigo
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38545. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 18:08:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38543 Message: 38545

Keeps them abreast of just how long they are in a dangerous area (in this case " the hot zone" which is indicated by red tape (as opposed to the outer zone which is marked by yellow tape)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38546. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 18:11:27 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38543 Message: 38546

Alco and Livermore do the same thing. Its just a timer of how long the incident has been going on for.. I don't really know what the use for either..

Craig Rose wrote:

>Morning all...
>
>I am listening to Santa Clara County Fire working as the mutual aid
>unit with CDF (151.445) on a HAZMAT detail at Uvas reservoir for two
>containers of an unknown substance. The HAZMAT unit just advised CDF
>Morgan Hill that they are now accessing the containers and request a
>timer. Morgan Hill responded with the current time. What is the point
>of this request? Just wondering if anyone has some insight.
>
>Thanks!
>
>-C-
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38547. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 19:23:50 UTC
From: Rog
Topic: 38541 Message: 38547

If anyone here in Marin had ever been to the old Marin County Sheriff substation in Marin City you would have noticed they had thick steel plating all over it. Why? Because over the years people would take pop shots at it with rifles, hand guns, you name it hoping to hit someone sitting inside. This was back when Best Buy etc. was the site of the Marn County flea market and things in that area were worse but they really haven't changed that much.

-Roger

On Apr 3, 2005 10:06 AM, Robert W. Sanford <bayscan@...> wrote:
> HA I live right next to and work in Marin City every day for the last 5
> years, you know its not prejudice Brian, that is why they train the new
> Marin Sheriff Deputies here, there is more action.
> I bet it was the 200 lot in Marin City...
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
> At 04:58 AM 4/3/2005, you wrote:
> >To assume that this is marin city is prejudice. It sounds more like an out
> >of control dinner party in Belvedere ;)
> >
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@...>
> >To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 4:36 AM
> >Subject: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area
> >
> >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It sounds like some kind of riot with like 20 people. Location
> > > > unknown - they're calling it "Lot 30." They're asking for "Less
> > > > lethal units." Currently involving every Sheriff unit from area 1
> > > > (Marin City), the area 2 units (Kentfield), one area 3 unit (Civic
> > > > Center San Rafael), two Mill Valley PD, two Sausalito PD.
> > > >
> > > > That makes:
> > > >
> > > > 1x10
> > > > 1z11

> > > 1z12
> > > 1z13
> > > 1x21
> > > 1z31
> > > 9z60
> > > 9z61
> > > 10z50
> > > 10z51
> > > 12z70 ? (from Tiburon PD)
> > >
> > > A LOTTA PD!!!
> > >
> > > Rifles deployed, crowd dispersing...
> > >
> > > ***SHOTS FIRED*** just now. Couple 10-15s. Crazy buisness whatever it
> > > is, I wish I knew WHERE this was. 0436 hrs.
> > >
> > > -Inigo
>

38548. Re: [bayscan] Vallejo Chase follow up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 19:40:24 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38539 Message: 38548

I heard the Richmond end of the chase, CHP had the driver on their Infrared
and I think they caught the passenger as well. A resident saw the guy in
the red shirt run by their window.
----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 1:07 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Vallejo Chase follow up

>
>
> Got the guys somewhere near the Hilltop exit in Richmond.
> H-20 overhead said they are just rounding them up.
> Sounds code 4 for now.
> Good chase though, Ill post it later.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38549. Re: [bayscan] Vallejo Chase follow up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 19:41:35 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38539 Message: 38549

Right you are, it was Star 1.
----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 1:09 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Vallejo Chase follow up

>
>
> Willow Pass and Hercules a gun was suppose to have been thrown out.
> Its STAR 1 not H-32 that is over head.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38550. Re: Procedure Question/CDF Area Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 20:21:48 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 38543 Message: 38550

Anyone hear what this substance was?

38551. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 20:36:40 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38543 Message: 38551

By dispatch giving a time check or stamp it's on tape for review at a later date,
Remember that everything is recorded coming from dispatch.
----- Original Message -----
From: Ben
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 11:11
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Alco and Livermore do the same thing. Its just a timer of how long the
incident has been going on for.. I don't really know what the use for
either..

Craig Rose wrote:

>Morning all...
>
>I am listening to Santa Clara County Fire working as the mutual aid
>unit with CDF (151.445) on a HAZMAT detail at Uvas reservoir for two
>containers of an unknown substance. The HAZMAT unit just advised CDF
>Morgan Hill that they are now accessing the containers and request a
>timer. Morgan Hill responded with the current time. What is the point
>of this request? Just wondering if anyone has some insight.
>
>Thanks!
>
>-C-
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38552. Re: [bayscan] Re: Procedure Question/CDF Area Hazmat

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 20:40:57 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38543 Message: 38552

Nothing official, but sounded to be some kind of petrol based substance.

On Apr 3, 2005, at 1:21 PM, Craig wrote:

>
>
> Anyone hear what this substance was?

38553. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 21:56:25 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38543 Message: 38553

Not just what comes from dispatch... ;-)

Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:By dispatch giving a time check or stamp it's on tape for review at a later date,
Remember that everything is recorded coming from dispatch.

Yahoo! Messenger
Show us what our next emoticon should look like. Join the fun.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38554. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-03 22:43:31 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38543 Message: 38554

well, anything on a recorded channel. i don't know any agency recording
all their traffic on _all_ channels though. there are unrecorded tac
channels, often simplex ones that aren't going through a dispatch console.
besides, nobody wants to spring for the equipment recording an entire
trunking system's worth of traffic.

-g-

>
> Not just what comes from dispatch... ;-)
>
> Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:By dispatch giving a time check or
> stamp it's on tape for review at a later date,
> Remember that everything is recorded coming from dispatch.
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Messenger
> Show us what our next emoticon should look like. Join the fun.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

38555. Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 00:00:14 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 38541 Message: 38555

Lot 30 is off of Drake Ave in Marin City.. It is one of the smaller old condo style project houses on the left across from the church as you get off the Marin City Exit.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 10:06 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area

>
> HA I live right next to and work in Marin City every day for the last 5
> years, you know its not prejudice Brian, that is why they train the new
> Marin Sheriff Deputies here, there is more action.
> I bet it was the 200 lot in Marin City...
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
> At 04:58 AM 4/3/2005, you wrote:
> >To assume that this is marin city is prejudice. It sounds more like an
out
> >of control dinner party in Belvedere ;)
> >
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@...>
> >To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> >Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 4:36 AM
> >Subject: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area
> >
> >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It sounds like some kind of riot with like 20 people. Location
> > > > unknown - they're calling it "Lot 30." They're asking for "Less
> > > > lethal units." Currently involving every Sheriff unit from area 1
> > > > (Marin City), the area 2 units (Kentfield), one area 3 unit (Civic
> > > > Center San Rafael), two Mill Valley PD, two Sausalito PD.
> > > >
> > > > That makes:
> > > >
> > > > 1x10

> > > 1z11
> > > 1z12
> > > 1z13
> > > 1x21
> > > 1z31
> > > 9z60
> > > 9z61
> > > 10z50
> > > 10z51
> > > 12z70 ? (from Tiburon PD)
> > >
> > > A LOTTA PD!!!
> > >
> > > Rifles deployed, crowd dispersing...
> > >
> > > ***SHOTS FIRED*** just now. Couple 10-15s. Crazy buisness whatever it
> > > is, I wish I knew WHERE this was. 0436 hrs.
> > >
> > > -Inigo
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >----------
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > *
> >
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/baysca
n/
> > *
> > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > *
> >
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsu

bscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > *
> > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38556. 172.9

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 00:30:58 UTC
From: fremont48
Topic: 38556 Message: 38556

Greetings
I have been monitoring some traffic off and on 172.9. It is digital,
atleast my 2096 says "DG" then the frequency becomes active.
Would anyone know who is on this frequency?

TIA

fremont48

38557. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 00:37:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38543 Message: 38557

At 01:36 PM 4/3/2005, you wrote:
>By dispatch giving a time check or stamp it's on tape for review at a
>later date,
>Remember that everything is recorded coming from dispatch.

I think Ed's answer is a little more concise. The DAT systems most agencies use also record the time along with the audio, so even if dispatch never said the time, there would still be evidence of what the time was.

38558. Re: Code 33 Marin City Area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 00:45:22 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38541 Message: 38558

THANK YOU Bayard!!!

As for Belvedere being out of control, you gotta come to Fairfax and San Anselmo! My high school wanted to have a uniformed SAPD officer on campus. My friend was quoted in the paper as saying "I think it's a great idea, as it will finally put a stop to the continuous gang violence on campus between the bloods and the crips." LOL!

Some insight into where I live: Think nothing but 5150 and 11357 ;^)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bayard Culley" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> Lot 30 is off of Drake Ave in Marin City.. It is one of the smaller old
> condo style project houses on the left across from the church as you get off
> the Marin City Exit.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@c...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 10:06 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area
>
>
> > HA I live right next to and work in Marin City every day for the last 5
> > years, you know its not prejudice Brian, that is why they train the new
> > Marin Sheriff Deputies here, there is more action.
> > I bet it was the 200 lot in Marin City...
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >
> >
> >
> > At 04:58 AM 4/3/2005, you wrote:
> > >To assume that this is marin city is prejudice. It sounds more like an
> > out
> > >of control dinner party in Belvedere ;)
> > >
> > >

> > >----- Original Message -----
> > >From: "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...>
> > >To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> > >Sent: Sunday, April 03, 2005 4:36 AM
> > >Subject: [bayscan] Code 33 Marin City Area
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It sounds like some kind of riot with like 20 people. Location
> > > > unknown - they're calling it "Lot 30." They're asking
for "Less
> > > > lethal units." Currently involving every Sheriff unit from
area 1
> > > > (Marin City), the area 2 units (Kentfield), one area 3 unit
(Civic
> > > > Center San Rafael), two Mill Valley PD, two Sausalito PD.
> > > >
> > > > That makes:
> > > >
> > > > 1x10
> > > > 1z11
> > > > 1z12
> > > > 1z13
> > > > 1x21
> > > > 1z31
> > > > 9z60
> > > > 9z61
> > > > 10z50
> > > > 10z51
> > > > 12z70 ? (from Tiburon PD)
> > > >
> > > > A LOTTA PD!!!
> > > >
> > > > Rifles deployed, crowd dispersing...
> > > >
> > > > ***SHOTS FIRED*** just now. Couple 10-15s. Crazy buisness
whatever it
> > > > is, I wish I knew WHERE this was. 0436 hrs.
> > > >
> > > > -Inigo
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >----------
> > >Yahoo! Groups Links
> > > * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > > *
> > >
>
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/
baysca
> n/
> > > *
> > > * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > > *
> > >
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?
subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsu
> bscribe@yahoogroups.com
> > >
> > > *
> > > * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> > > <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

38559. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 00:50:31 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38543 Message: 38559

The most simple explanation would be just to give the IC a heads up on
time wise on the call. People can loose track of time while on an
incident. If the fire is still rockin after a certain amount of time
its time for more resources.

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 01:36 PM 4/3/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>By dispatch giving a time check or stamp it's on tape for review at a
>>later date,
>>Remember that everything is recorded coming from dispatch.
>>
>>
>
>I think Ed's answer is a little more concise. The DAT systems most agencies
>use also record the time along with the audio, so even if dispatch never
>said the time, there would still be evidence of what the time was.
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38560. Dryer Fire San Rafael FD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 00:54:22 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38560 Message: 38560

I think it's somewhere in Marinwood missed the address though.
Residents evacuated their two story house because their dryer caught on
fire.

Units Responding:

Engine 52
Engine 56
Engine 58
Truck 57
Medic 56
Chief 58
Prevention 50

As of now E56 and E58 have the fire contained and have cancelled all
other units. 17:54 PDT

-Inigo

38561. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 01:04:06 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38543 Message: 38561

At 05:50 PM 4/3/2005, you wrote:
>If the fire is still rockin after a certain amount of time
>its time for more resources.

Oh no no no no no no!!! Don't you EVER dare think that! Been there, done that, got the lecture by Ed. ;)

38562. Re: [bayscan] Procedure Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 01:02:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38543 Message: 38562

Evan has a good point , many years ago at an SFPD exhibit , they were playing some audio of " hot " incidents including a few pursuits and the Shooting into Ingelside Station that ended up with the death of Sgt. John Young (the road leading to the station is now named after him) this was around 69/70.

Besides the radio, you could hear a " time stamp" being announced , I believe around every 10 seconds.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38563. Re: Effectiveness of tazers?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 02:04:49 UTC
From: ofc53
Topic: 38528 Message: 38563

>
> I've heard a number of cities to certify an officer to carry a tazer
the
> officer is tazered. Has anyone here been tazered?
> Evan

Although it wasn't mandatory to "ride the buffalo" to be
certified,...I tried it. That video (posted by another Bayscan
member) of the guys linked up in a chain doing the funky chicken dance
pretty much sums it up.

-C

38564. Re: [bayscan] 172.9

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 02:31:30 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38556 Message: 38564

fremont48 wrote:

> I have been monitoring some traffic off and on 172.9. It is digital,
> atleast my 2096 says "DG" then the frequency becomes active.
> Would anyone know who is on this frequency?

Transportation Security Agency, at least here at San Jose airport.
Non-encrypted here but rather boring--mostly hourly reports on quantity
of traffic though the screening checkpoints.

-Johnathan

38565. just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 02:45:40 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 38565 Message: 38565

Hello all

Just a quick question regarding police. What is the difference
between
a Sam unit and an Ida unit? I also have herd David and Paul units.
Are
these just a way of identifying the police or do these units actually
differ? Another question I have is Do traffic units get paid the same
amount as a regular unit? I was just wondering

Thanks for taking the time to answer

Kyle (in Antioch)

38566. Re: [bayscan] 172.9

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 02:59:41 UTC
From: vince48
Topic: 38556 Message: 38566

Johnathan Corgan wrote:

> fremont48 wrote:
>
> > I have been monitoring some traffic off and on 172.9. It is digital,
> > atleast my 2096 says "DG" then the frequency becomes active.
> > Would anyone know who is on this frequency?
>
> Transportation Security Agency, at least here at San Jose airport.
> Non-encrypted here but rather boring--mostly hourly reports on quantity
> of traffic though the screening checkpoints.
>
> -Johnathan
>
> ---
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
> avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com>: Inbound message clean.
>
> Virus Database (VPS): 0513-2, 04/01/2005
> Tested on: 4/3/2005 7:33:12 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
>
>
Thanks Johnathan
That's what I've been monitoring.

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0513-2, 04/01/2005

Tested on: 4/3/2005 7:59:41 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38567. RE: [bayscan] just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:11:02 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38565 Message: 38567

Seeing that you're from Antioch, I assume your questions refer specifically to Antioch?

I can't answer the unit call question about Antioch--each agency is different.

However, I can help with the pay scale. Public servant pay scales are public information. Usually each agency--State, County, Local, etc.--will list pay scales on their respective agency's website. Try searching for "Human Resources" or "Personnel". For example, here is the payscale for the police of Antioch:

http://www.iedasurvey.com/docs/IEDA223_1301_PUBLIC%20EES%20MAINT%20WORKERS%20UNIT%20I%20SAL%20SCHED.pdf

Some agencies will even post labor contracts, sometimes called "Memorandum of Understanding" or MOU. These will show you everything about each job position: descriptions, responsibilities/requirements, pay (salary and various benefits). The City of Antioch has theirs. Do a google search for "city of antioch", for the City's website.

>
>
> Hello all
>
> Just a quick question regarding police. What is the
> difference between a Sam unit and an Ida unit? I also have
> herd David and Paul units.
> Are
> these just a way of identifying the police or do these units
> actually differ? Another question I have is Do traffic units
> get paid the same amount as a regular unit? I was just wondering
>
> Thanks for taking the time to answer
>
> Kyle (in Antioch)

38568. RE: [bayscan] just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:16:01 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38565 Message: 38568

Whoops. Actually, the payscale for police is at:

http://www.iedasurvey.com/docs/IEDA223_1303_POA,%20UNIT%20II%20SALARY.pdf

- Brian

38569. Re: just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:31:18 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38565 Message: 38569

Sam and David seem to be commonly used for Sergeants and Detectives respectfully. Paul and Ida might represent patrol officers while on different shifts. Each department is different so you've really got to listen to the context of where these units are going, when, and what they're dealing with to be sure.

In Marin everything is completely different. Most PDs use X for Sergeants, L for patrol officers, K for "investigators" (kind of a broad catagory here, including K9s. My local K9 unit is 5K9), and then the Sheriff's office uses X for all their deputies on the day watch and Z for deputies on their evening watch, from what I can tell.

Hope that helps somewhat,

-Inigo

38570. FCC Lists...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:50:03 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 38570 Message: 38570

Anyone have the link to the FCC page where you can put a Freq in and it will tell you who it's licensed to?

38571. test

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:50:19 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 152 Message: 38571

[Body unavailable in the source archive]

38572. Re: just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:55:47 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 38565 Message: 38572

For San Jose and Santa Clara County SO, call signs are usually as
follows:

First number specifies shift 5 for day 6 for swing and 7 for graveyard
2nd number specifies type of unit 1 officer or 2 officers
The letter signifies the district, or for the SO's case it signifies
the city of patrol
Last number signifies the beat.

Example for San Jose:
71F(Frank)3 Would be Night shift, one officer, district frank, beat 3

Example for Santa Clara County SO:
51G4
Day Shift, single officer Gilroy beat 4

Anyone feel free to add to this if I missed anything.

CT

38573. Re: [bayscan] FCC Lists...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:56:40 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38570 Message: 38573

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/reports/index.cfm gives you the list of all the possible search types. There are several ways of narrowing the field for a given frequency, i.e. State & County, State, Location.

Craig <iawireman@...> wrote:
Anyone have the link to the FCC page where you can put a Freq in and it will tell you who it's licensed to?

Yahoo! Messenger
Show us what our next emoticon should look like. Join the fun.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38574. Re: just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:56:50 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 38565 Message: 38574

Oh and if the call sign has a 10 with it, i.e. 51N10 that stands for sergeant.

CT

38575. Re: [bayscan] FCC Lists...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 03:58:39 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 38570 Message: 38575

Thanks Gordon!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38576. Re: [bayscan] Vallejo Chase follow up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 04:02:31 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38539 Message: 38576

Yeah, H32 was on the Mountain View detail. They're the ones who were shaking my walls. ;-)

jim gammon <jgammon1@...> wrote:Right you are, it was Star 1.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Sports - Sign up for Fantasy Baseball.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38577. Re: [bayscan] Re: just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 04:10:26 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38565 Message: 38577

Craig wrote:

> 2nd number specifies type of unit 1 officer or 2 officers

Have you ever heard a 2-unit call sign? I don't think I ever have.

-Johnathan

38578. Re: [bayscan] FCC Lists...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 04:12:29 UTC
From: tk
Topic: 38570 Message: 38578

I use this location.
http://wireless2.fcc.gov/UlsApp/UlsSearch/searchLicense.jsp

Craig wrote:

>Anyone have the link to the FCC page where you can put a Freq in and it
>will tell you who it's licensed to?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38579. Re: [bayscan] Re: just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 04:50:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38565 Message: 38579

SJPD I hear 2 man officers from time to time (i.e. 62M4)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38580. highway one road failure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 18:58:01 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38580 Message: 38580

I heard a news report that part of highway one is failing in Marin. [The spot between Muir Beach Overlook and the Panoramic Highway.] Maybe you might catch something on MERA.

38581. hazmat = mt.view

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-04 23:17:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38581 Message: 38581

On dennis st, near Todd/Springer. nat. gas leak full 1st alarm + HEAT 1 unit . some evacuations

154.025

1617 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38582. Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 02:04:39 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38582 Message: 38582

I'm hearing an extended "conversation" on SJPD channel 17 P25 channel, which is encrypted (and thus of course unintelligible.)

This is the first time I've heard them use the encryption for all parties in the traffic; in the past I've heard many times one station encrypted and all other stations in the clear.

Of course, this could be just one station; it's hard to tell without listening on the repeater input.

Speaking of which, it's not +5 MHz, or I just can't hear them. Anyone know the input frequency?

-Johnathan

38583. Re: [bayscan] Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 03:09:41 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38582 Message: 38583

you just can't hear them. fyi, the standard offset for anything from 440-470MHz is 5MHz, and +5MHz is on the money. san jose is vast, and without a decent outdoor antenna for listening you're not going to hear the portables unless you're within a mile (in general, there are always exceptions).

-g-

> I'm hearing an extended "conversation" on SJPD channel 17 P25 channel,
> which is encrypted (and thus of course unintelligible.)
>
> This is the first time I've heard them use the encryption for all
> parties in the traffic; in the past I've heard many times one station
> encrypted and all other stations in the clear.
>
> Of course, this could be just one station; it's hard to tell without
> listening on the repeater input.
>
> Speaking of which, it's not +5 MHz, or I just can't hear them. Anyone
> know the input frequency?
>
> -Johnathan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

38584. Re: [bayscan] Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 03:09:37 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38582 Message: 38584

I didn't hear the event, but in the past the channel was not encrypted,
just Apco 25.

I think the offset in that band is +3Mhz. I'm sure you could figure it
out from the FCC database.

Johnathan Corgan wrote:
> I'm hearing an extended "conversation" on SJPD channel 17 P25 channel,
> which is encrypted (and thus of course unintelligible.)
>
> This is the first time I've heard them use the encryption for all
> parties in the traffic; in the past I've heard many times one station
> encrypted and all other stations in the clear.
>
> Of course, this could be just one station; it's hard to tell without
> listening on the repeater input.
>
> Speaking of which, it's not +5 MHz, or I just can't hear them. Anyone
> know the input frequency?
>
> -Johnathan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38585. Re: highway one road failure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 03:49:21 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38580 Message: 38585

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> I heard a news report that part of highway one is failing in Marin.
[The
> spot between Muir Beach Overlook and the Panoramic Highway.] Maybe
you
> might catch something on MERA.

In addition to the Sheriffs doing road closures and such, I've heard a lot of the county public works road maintenance crews on highway 1 recently. They're not on MERA yet until the Tiburon and Bolinas sites are operational most likely. Marin County's DPW freqs are:

County Public Works "Control 10" - 156.24 PL 127.3 -- I've never heard anything on this and they might be switching to MERA TG 11600 very soon.

County DPW Road Maintenance "Control 20" - 151.04 PL 203.5 -- This freq doubles as Marin County FD's Mutual Aid channel with CDF, but I've never heard it used for that purpose. Then again, I haven't been listening very long.

Municipal DPW channels (not that you'll hear anything on panoramic or hwy 1) are all on MERA, and can be found in the files section here or at radioreference.com.

Cheers,

-Inigo

38586. Re: [bayscan] Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 04:06:06 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38582 Message: 38586

vector@... wrote:

> you just can't hear them. fyi, the standard offset for anything from
> 440-470MHz is 5MHz, and +5MHz is on the money. san jose is vast, and
> without a decent outdoor antenna for listening you're not going to hear
> the portables unless you're within a mile (in general, there are always
> exceptions).

I've got a 5-element 450 MHz beam at 30 ft, pointed north from the mouth of Almaden Valley. It's got a line of site to most of San Jose except Berryessa district, which is blocked by the hill that has the county communication center on it about 3 miles away, and the Santa Teresa hills to the east. It does pretty well :)

But you're right, the hand packs are hard to hear. I've picked up traffic from as far away as the Arena downtown, but that was pretty spotty and probably a fluke.

-Johnathan

38587. RE: [bayscan] Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 04:18:19 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38582 Message: 38587

I heard bits and pieces the other night during Ed's SWAT thing. I couldn't hear much. But it was P25, not encrypted. However, I did just hear an encrypted blurb--ENC showing on my 296D display.

Incidentally, I hear the system transmitting its Morse Code callsign seemingly in analog--no digitization or encryption.

- Brian

38588. Re: [bayscan] Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 04:23:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38582 Message: 38588

I couldn't hear any audio from that SWAT thing once they went to 17 , but it did break my squelch .....the scanner did indicate P25 ....if it was encrypted it indicates ENC (BC796D)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38589. EPA pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 04:25:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38589 Message: 38589

EPA lost a red mustang a bit ago , now possibly found in Menlo Park , unknown for sure but shots were fired on the 400 block of Bell.

H32 was buzzing around a bit but now cleared , this may or may not lead to some more interesting traffic

488.3875

2125 hrs

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38590. Re: [bayscan] Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 04:51:20 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38582 Message: 38590

hey! lots you can do with a 5 element beam. and almaden valley isn't all
that bad especially if you're next to the mouth of it like you are. are
you even luckier to be on the southwest side of the mouth on the hillside?

and i wouldn't call getting traffic from the arena that much of a fluke.
another 30 feet or more and you probably would have had even better luck.

years ago i had multiple antennas on an 80 foot tower tied into multiple
radios and scanners. life was good... but that was morgan hill.

-g-

> I've got a 5-element 450 MHz beam at 30 ft, pointed north from the mouth
> of Almaden Valley. It's got a line of site to most of San Jose except
> Berryessa district, which is blocked by the hill that has the county
> communication center on it about 3 miles away, and the Santa Teresa
> hills to the east. It does pretty well :)
>
> But you're right, the hand packs are hard to hear. I've picked up
> traffic from as far away as the Arena downtown, but that was pretty
> spotty and probably a fluke.
>
> -Johnathan

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

38591. Pro-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 04:43:05 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38591 Message: 38591

I got my Pro-2096. Unfortunately I don't have time to devour the manual as I would like. This is both my first trunking and digital scanner... I just didn't have need for that stuff five years ago when I left LA since LAPD and all the other cities hadn't turned the P25 switch yet and I radios to monitor any trunking systems that were relevant.

I would REALLY appreciate it if those who are familiar with this scanner would drop their hints and tips to a poor beginner. Gosh, I haven't felt that way about radio in, well, ever. Trunking radios make sense, trunking scanners don't (yet, to me).

Also, I hope this wasn't a mistake on my part... I thought this thing was computer programmable (there's a "PC/IF" port on the front) but no mention is made of PC programming in my quick skim of the manual... what do I need to order and where do I get it?

Thanks in advance.
Gordon

Yahoo! Messenger
Show us what our next emoticon should look like. Join the fun.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38592. Re: [bayscan] Pro-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 04:55:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38591 Message: 38592

First TIP ,,,,,,,,,,do NOT skip steps in the instructions .....lot of people " think" they know how they work because they have had scanners for years.....when you enter the frequencies for trunked systems, make sure you enter them as trunked and not conventional

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38593. Re: [bayscan] Pro-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 05:05:17 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 38591 Message: 38593

At 09:43 PM 4/4/2005, you wrote:

>I got my Pro-2096. Unfortunately I don't have time to devour the manual as
>I would like.
>Also, I hope this wasn't a mistake on my part... I thought this thing was
>computer programmable (there's a "PC/IF" port on the front) but no mention
>is made of PC programming in my quick skim of the manual... what do I need
>to order and where do I get it?

Grab a programming cable off of Ebay. I use the same one for Pro83, Pro95
and Pro96/2096
It was cheap and works well. Radio Shack employees are the reason they
make velcro shoes. Most
will order the wrong part.

Next, grab the low-cost great software by Don Starr
http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win96/default.asp

It works on Pro96 and 2096.

Depending on where you live, joing one of the YahooGroups Pro96 groups and
download a .p96 file for your area.

Start listening. Tweak the file to your liking.

Enjoy.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38594. Re: [bayscan] Pro-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 05:06:06 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38591 Message: 38594

I suggest you take the topic to
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner/ ,
which is where the "experts" hang out.
If you need .p96 files, then bayscan would be the place to request them,
especially the San Mateo split system.

I'll ditto using win96. Programming the Pro-96 (or your mobile version)
is a real pain by hand.

Incidentally, there is a thread on the RS_GRE group about the 2096 being
very hot, i.e. some people have to use the attenuator to get it to work.

KG6VXT wrote:
> At 09:43 PM 4/4/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>
>>I got my Pro-2096. Unfortunately I don't have time to devour the manual as
>>I would like.
>>Also, I hope this wasn't a mistake on my part... I thought this thing was
>>computer programmable (there's a "PC/IF" port on the front) but no mention
>>is made of PC programming in my quick skim of the manual... what do I need
>>to order and where do I get it?
>
>
> Grab a programming cable off of Ebay. I use the same one for Pro83, Pro95
> and Pro96/2096
> It was cheap and works well. Radio Shack employees are the reason they
> make velcro shoes. Most
> will order the wrong part.
>
> Next, grab the low-cost great software by Don Starr
> http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win96/default.asp
>
> It works on Pro96 and 2096.
>
> Depending on where you live, joing one of the YahooGroups Pro96 groups and
> download a .p96 file for your area.
>
> Start listening. Tweak the file to your liking.
>
> Enjoy.
>
>
>
>

>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38595. Re: [bayscan] Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 05:12:52 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38582 Message: 38595

Brian wrote:

> I heard bits and pieces the other night during Ed's SWAT thing. I couldn't
> hear much. But it was P25, not encrypted. However, I did just hear an
> encrypted blurb--ENC showing on my 296D display.

I listened to the last hour or so of the barricade incident on Ch. 17.
It was all in the clear, with a very detailed blow-by-blow description
of the scene and what was happening. Very professional as well.

I wish the 796/296D had an option to mute the audio when P25 is
encrypted--it sounds like a slot machine or video game :)

> Incidentally, I hear the system transmitting its Morse Code callsign
> seemingly in analog--no digitization or encryption.

Yeah, it does that. WPLZ268 for any that care.

-Johnathan

38596. Re: [bayscan] Encrypted traffic on SJPD 17

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 05:31:00 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38582 Message: 38596

vector@... wrote:

> hey! lots you can do with a 5 element beam. and almaden valley isn't all
> that bad especially if you're next to the mouth of it like you are. are
> you even luckier to be on the southwest side of the mouth on the hillside?

Not quite. Wish I was though--not for the radio propagation but for the
real estate value!

GPS shows me about 250 ft. above sea level; most of San Jose is at about
50-100 ft. ASL, so I have a small advantage.

> years ago i had multiple antennas on an 80 foot tower tied into multiple
> radios and scanners. life was good... but that was morgan hill.

Every year my house sprouts a little more aluminum; if I go slowly the
neighbors might scratch their heads but maybe they won't catch on :-)

-Johnathan

38597. Re: Pro-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 06:18:11 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38591 Message: 38597

One quick tip - the Pro-96 REQUIRES you to use the software to program multiple table entry trunk systems like San Mateo and Marin (can't do it manually from the radio keyboard). I'm pretty sure the 2096 is the same.

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> I suggest you take the topic to
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner/ ,
> which is where the "experts" hang out.
> If you need .p96 files, then bayscan would be the place to request them,
> especially the San Mateo split system.
>
> I'll ditto using win96. Programming the Pro-96 (or your mobile version)
> is a real pain by hand.
>

38598. Re: [bayscan] Re: Pro-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 06:34:10 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38591 Message: 38598

That's what I meant by a split system, however I think you can do one split from the keyboard. Win96 lets you do six splits if I am interpreting the extended table correctly. Even if you enter data in the extended table, you need to also select that you want to use the custom table. I consider it a bug in the software, or a poor user interface. Win96 also enables the radio to receive mil air.

jwpottberg wrote:
>
> One quick tip - the Pro-96 REQUIRES you to use the software to program
> multiple table entry trunk systems like San Mateo and Marin (can't do
> it manually from the radio keyboard). I'm pretty sure the 2096 is the
> same.
>
> Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>I suggest you take the topic to
>>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner/ ,
>>which is where the "experts" hang out.
>>If you need .p96 files, then bayscan would be the place to request
>
> them,
>
>>especially the San Mateo split system.
>>
>>I'll ditto using win96. Programming the Pro-96 (or your mobile
>
> version)
>
>>is a real pain by hand.
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

38599. Re: [bayscan] Pro-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 07:23:01 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38591 Message: 38599

What do you think of this cable? http://www.pro2096.com/

KG6VXT <kg6vxt@...> wrote:
At 09:43 PM 4/4/2005, you wrote:

Grab a programming cable off of Ebay. I use the same one for Pro83, Pro95 and Pro96/2096 It was cheap and works well.

Do you Yahoo!?
Better first dates. More second dates. Yahoo! Personals

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38600. 454.875 in Sunnyvale...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 15:34:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38600 Message: 38600

Picking up strong signal on 454.875 in Sunnyvale. Sounds like someone keying up and holding down a DTMF button, It drops for a half a second every so often, and there is a morse ID sent at intervals too. There doesn't appear to be a repeater tail when it drops.

Anyone knows what the purpose of this is? Been going since at least last night, maybe longer (I just decided to scan the 450's last night).

Evan

38601. Re: [bayscan] 454.875 in Sunnyvale...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 15:44:05 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 38600 Message: 38601

454.875 is an Air to Ground mobile telephone channel.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38602. Re: [bayscan] 454.875 in Sunnyvale...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 16:30:10 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38600 Message: 38602

Mike McKenna wrote:

> 454.875 is an Air to Ground mobile telephone channel.

Yep, that's the ground-to-air uplink channel, with a constant "pilot" tone.

The downlink is +5 MHz; you'll occasionally hear people on in-bound passenger jets into San Jose airport on this frequency. You know, those little phones in the back of the headrest that cost so much.

I think this is an old system without much use anymore.

-Johnathan

38603. Re: [bayscan] 454.875 in Sunnyvale...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 16:50:14 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38600 Message: 38603

Not necessarily.

The upper 454's were used heavily for air-ground mobile phone through the 80's and early 90's. By the late 90's, Hughes had installed most of the 900MHz AirFone system that still can be found on some planes. I admit I haven't seen many of them lately, but I only hop on a plane about once a year at best. To top it off, supposedly the system was AM and the voice quality left quite a bit to be desired. I saw several of the Hughes systems in building top or mountain co-lo comm sites I visited in 99 and 2000 while a cell tech.

A lot of the 454.xxxx air to ground channels are no longer used for the service, and I think may be totally extinct at this point. The FCC opened up licensing for the old air-ground phone channels several years back. Since then, I've only heard one particular system using them, and it sounds just like what you heard and described with the constant DTMF tone. The sites are some sort of long-range PTT / phone patch dispatching system that I've found in multiple western states (CA, OR, WA, NV, AZ). I have reports that a dispatcher can be brought on-line by hitting a sequence of DTMF characters or a PBX can be accessed through the system with the capability of dialing-out. If it's air-ground phone, it sure works a little too well in the terrestrial realm. If you're travelling, try dialing around from 454.775 up to 454.975. Most activity from this system I've heard in the more rural areas, and the only real active channels my friend and I have found (he lives in AZ) are 800, 825, 850, 875 and 900.

-g-

>
> 454.875 is an Air to Ground mobile telephone channel.
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

"why, why WHY mr. anderson? why do you do it? why get up? why keep fighting? do you believe you're fighting for something? for more than your survival? can you tell me what it is? do you even KNOW? is it freedom or truth or perhaps peace? could it be for love? illusions mr. anderson, vagaries of perception. temporary constructs of a feeble human intellect trying desperately to justify an existance that is without meaning or purpose! and all of them as artificial as the matrix itself! although, only a human mind could invent something as insipid as love!

you must be able to see it mr. anderson, you must know it by now. you can't win it's pointless to keep fighting!!! why mr. anderson, WHY DO YOU PERSIST!!!!!"

"because i choose to."

38604. Re: Pro-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 16:37:40 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38591 Message: 38604

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
> What do you think of this cable? http://www.pro2096.com/
>
> KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> At 09:43 PM 4/4/2005, you wrote:
>
> Grab a programming cable off of Ebay. I use the same one for Pro83, Pro95 and Pro96/2096 It was cheap and works well.

You can save a few bucks by ordering the GRE factory cable that's on sale at http://www.greamerica.com/scanner.html .

Regards, Jim

38605. Re: [bayscan] 454.875 in Sunnyvale...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 16:38:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38600 Message: 38605

there was something on the news just the other night about allowing cellphone use on planes, that , if approved will just about wipe out the needs for them on-flight phones

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38606. Today's Giants Fly-by

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 17:09:55 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 38606 Message: 38606

Hey guys,

Just a quick reminder that there is a fly-by scheduled for today just after 1pm. If anyone is streaming any audio, can you please let me know. :) Thanks.

http://www.chinfo.navy.mil/navpalib/aircraft/airshows/avsapr05.html

~sagar

38607. Pursuit on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 17:43:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38607 Message: 38607

Live on Fox news /////pursuit on I 605 around West Covina

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38608. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 18:30:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38607 Message: 38608

Updated , now southbound 405 near San Juan Capistrano in Orange county ,
GREAT pursuit , about an hour old . 97 white Honda 4 door , has reached speeds of
128 mph , right now about 85/90 mph

El Monte PD found his parents house vandalized and this MAY be his parents
car. Unknown if his parents are ok or just not home or what

at least 3 different news copters involved plus Orange Co. S/O chopper and
CHP helo

Ok, NOW on I - 5 approching Oceanside in San Diego County

1130 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38609. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 18:39:53 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38607 Message: 38609

What channel?

On Apr 5, 2005 11:30 AM, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
>
> Updated , now southbound 405 near San Juan Capistrano in Orange county ,
> GREAT pursuit , about an hour old . 97 white Honda 4 door , has reached
> speeds of
> 128 mph , right now about 85/90 mph
>
> El Monte PD found his parents house vandalized and this MAY be his parents
> car. Unknown if his parents are ok or just not home or what
>
> at least 3 different news copters involved plus Orange Co. S/O chopper and
> CHP helo
>
> Ok, NOW on I - 5 approching Oceanside in San Diego County
>
> 1130 hours
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38610. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 18:46:02 UTC
From: Mike McKenna
Topic: 38607 Message: 38610

Code 4 on number two of the day and its not even noon. Could be going for a reccord.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38611. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 18:47:46 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38607 Message: 38611

At 11:39 AM 4/5/2005, you wrote:
>What channel?

Fox - At least that's what Ed stated originally. Can't confirm, no TV.

38612. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-05 19:11:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38607 Message: 38612

Sorry, didn't update the channel, they switched to channel 57 on comcast which is "headline news," they were using feeds from KTTV, KABC, KCOP and one other. The skies must have been full of helos. As another poster mentioned. KTTV had an earlier pursuit televised of a loc-jack hit and chase of a stolen car in S. Cal which ended up with footbail and the 2 perps in custody.

its code 4 , some town near the Orange/San Diego County border off Interstate 5 .....and HOW poetic is this.....at a DONUT WORLD (let the jokes begin.....sorry Chris and Mike, I had to post this, LOL)

This was one of the more fun pursuits to view, this turkey ranged from 25 mph to 128 , he actually, at one point, was sitting on the drivers door waving at the helos, his foot off the pedal and he slowed almost to a stop before sliding back inside and picking up speed.

Looks like they put the "wrap" on him after he walked into the donut world ,,,,,,,maybe after ordering a couple glazed!

(Guess he doesn't know donuts have NO nutritional value and are bad for your health !).

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38613. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 01:20:23 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38607 Message: 38613

Ah, the good old LA pursuits. I spent many an hour glued to the TV watching them, armchair-quarterbacking the pursuit with others in the field... :)

I also remember one time I heard a pursuit go WB210 from the 605... one of the 3 police choppers involved did a poll on "oh-two" then switched to BUR tower and cleared a "flight of 13" through their airspace. That's a lot of media helos. :-o

---
Do you Yahoo!?
Better first dates. More second dates. Yahoo! Personals

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38614. Coast Guard Search for person in water?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 05:39:20 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38614 Message: 38614

Im not sure what is going on but I have caught KGO talking about getting video of a survivor from some accident on the water, not sure if it was a vehicle into the water or what.

They have shot video of the search boats.

Heard this on 450.4625

10:37 pm

Robert
BayScan

38615. Re: just a quick question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 05:54:43 UTC
From: jcbaker
Topic: 38565 Message: 38615

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> Sam and David seem to be commonly used for Sergeants and Detectives
> respectfully.

On some channels, such as where I work, you can see some interesting interactions where agencies co-exist on the radio.

On the San Mateo primary, K("king") units are detectives, with the interesting exception of K1 and K6, which are Brisbane canine units (Brisbane contracts with San Mateo for dispatch services). San Mateo sergeants are "sam" units, with Brisbane having "william" (watch commander) designations.

38616. Re: Coast Guard Search for person in water?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 06:09:06 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38614 Message: 38616

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@c...> wrote:
>
> Im not sure what is going on but I have caught KGO talking about
> getting video of a survivor from some accident on the water, not sure
> if it was a vehicle into the water or what.
>
> They have shot video of the search boats.
>
> Heard this on 450.4625
>
> 10:37 pm
>
> Robert
> BayScan

Don't know exactly what's happening either but the CG declared a maritime safety zone around the search area, which is is the vicinity of the Bay Bridge. Maybe because of the container ship traffic through there but it's pretty unusual for the CG to use this tactic for a person in the water search.

Regards, Jim

38617. Re: [bayscan] Re: Coast Guard Search for person in water? //// EPA shootings

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 06:27:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38617 Message: 38617

KRON mentioned possible tugboat capt in water by Oakland Estuary , 2nd victim already rescued

USCG 157.05 157.10

EAST PALO ALTO ---------- 2 shootings

first at 1194 Saratoga , EPA and Menlo Park handling , 1 victim , suspect possibly in area , searching
488.3875

2nd shooting on 2800 block Fordham near Rutgers , 1 victimshot in leg
1 EPA unit + Sheriffs units enroute to cover

another call where a drunk went thru a plate glass window , Palo Alto PD on this

AND ..... a vechicle on 500 block Sacramento hit by bullets

all within about 20-30 minutes of each other

Battalion 1 had ordered all fire fighters in the EPA incidents to don their bullet proof vests !!!

2325 hours YIKES !!!!!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38618. Re: [bayscan] //// EPA shootings update 2331 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 06:32:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38618 Message: 38618

EPA has just advised of the 1st shooting on Saratoga is a 187

Crime lab / CSI / Detectives /Coroner all being notified.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38619. Boat accident this past weekend

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 08:32:36 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38619 Message: 38619

Speaking of boat accidents here's one that happened over the weekend. It was Westside of the Golden Gate Bridge at the South Tower. The sailboat got caught in the surf. Not a good place to be. Find out why.

http://sfsurvey.com/photos/sail/imagepages/image1.htm
(http://sfsurvey.com/photos/sail/imagepages/image1.htm)
113 PICs in a slide show

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38620. Search for Tugboat Operator After Dredging Accident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 19:35:29 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38620 Message: 38620

Here is what that activity was about in the bay last night:

Search for Tugboat Operator After Dredging Accident

Apr 6, 2005 10:35 am US/Pacific

Search crews were combing the waters off the Port of Oakland Wednesday morning for signs of a tugboat operator who disappeared after his boat sank Tuesday night.

The tugboat was working on a dredging job when it sank just after 8pm with two men aboard. One man was rescued by a nearby barge, but the tugboat operator was still missing Wednesday.

The vessel apparently flipped over as they tried to pull an anchor out of the water.

Two Coast Guard boats and a helicopter were part of the search crew Wednesday. The tugboat company also brought in private divers to look for the operator.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38621. 904 (Fire) Whitwood Lane, Campbell

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 20:28:45 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38621 Message: 38621

4/6/05.....1:27PM
Apartment fire on Whitwood Lane, Campbell. Near Campbell Ave. and San
Thomas Exsp. Smoke seen coming from 2nd story apartment building.
Working on Command 1 = 153.905 with mutiple structure units responding from
County Fire and engine 14 from San Jose.
More details as they come in.
Brian in Campbell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38622. Re: 904 (Fire) Whitwood Lane, Campbell

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-06 20:40:05 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38621 Message: 38622

1:39pm
False alarm. All units switching back to primary channels.

On Apr 6, 2005 1:28 PM, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> 4/6/05.....1:27PM
> Apartment fire on Whitwood Lane, Campbell. Near Campbell Ave. and San
> Thomas Exsp. Smoke seen coming from 2nd story apartment building.
> Working on Command 1 = 153.905 with mutiple structure units responding
> from County Fire and engine 14 from San Jose.
> More details as they come in.
> Brian in Campbell
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38623. 2nd alarm Berkeley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 00:30:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38623 Message: 38623

2 story house with wood shingle roof Fully involved on the 3200 block
of Buena Vista . Setting up Truck 2272s' Aerial as Water Tower , E2247 setting
up Stang gun.

1st alarm Engines 2247 2242 3459 Truck 2272 Med2292 BC2204

2nd alarm Engines 2244 2243 2246 Truck 2275 ? Chief

Sounds like this thing is really cookin'

154.43 channel 2

1730 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38624. Berkeley fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 00:42:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 10713 Message: 38624

This is Buena Vista Way , up in the hills towards Tilden Park

Ladder Pipe and stang gun are knocking fire down well , house was JUST bought , homeowner on scene says no one inside, they had NOT moved in yet.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38625. San Jose Fire Berkeley Fire update 1810 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 01:10:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38625 Message: 38625

San Jose has a working fire on Center Ridge near Alvin in Station 16s area

Command 3/ 154.115
Tac 5/ 155.88

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38626. Berkeley .....another fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 03:42:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38626 Message: 38626

this one at 1779 Sonoma @ Monterey , 2 story building with bedroom on fire
with extension into attic .

E2241 2246 2245 T2275 BC 2201

154.19

2042 hours

ALCO with one engine covering a station
Albany with an engine in town also.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38627. San Jose 187 ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 05:43:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38627 Message: 38627

Just heard a unit or two to assist at Fair middle school , Fair and McLaughlin , something about a 187 anybody ?

heard on chan 3 ....not sure what channel the 187 is on

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38628. KNTV Copter

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 15:03:25 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38628 Message: 38628

4/7, 8:03AM
Hi all,

Normally, 450.1875 MHz is busy with the helicopter traffic for KNTV-11 but lately it's been quite. Does anyone know if the freq. has changed or ??
Thanks,
Brian in Campbell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38629. RE: [bayscan] KNTV Copter

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 16:03:43 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38628 Message: 38629

>Normally, 450.1875 MHz is busy with the helicopter traffic for KNTV-11 but
>lately it's been quite. Does anyone know if the freq. has changed or ??

I am sure it is still good, their copter may not be flying (they're somewhat expensive, $500K/year). It seems like other media Part 90 freq which can be quite busy and other days no traffic. There is the newsvans but maybe they are using cellphones.

Mike

38630. Poss 459 in progress in Los Altos Hills...SCCS) Ch 2 (155.7 P25)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 20:51:36 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 38630 Message: 38630

Multiple units on scene...first units on scene saw open garage door.
Perimeter is now set and an deputies are preparing to make entry.

Mike @ 1351 hrs.

38631. Re: Poss 459 in progress in Los Altos Hills...SCCS) Ch 2 (155.7 P25)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 21:03:39 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 38630 Message: 38631

House was searched...no suspects located...Code 22 lifted and Ch 2 is
back to normal traffic.

Oh well...a little anti-climactic, but it is a rather quiet day.

Mike @ 1403 hrs

On Apr 7, 2005 1:51 PM, Mike McRoberts <kd6ftr@...> wrote:
> Multiple units on scene...first units on scene saw open garage door.
> Perimeter is now set and an deputies are preparing to make entry.
>
> Mike @ 1351 hrs.
>

--
Mike
KD6FTR

38632. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 187 ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 22:54:19 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 38627 Message: 38632

Ya Ed,

As you probably heard there was a 187 and a stabbing last night in SJ.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38633. Re: [bayscan] San Jose 187 ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 23:11:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38627 Message: 38633

yeah, I heard several units talking about it as the night went on

S*%T hit the fan here last night , the gangs were shooting at each other
at 4 different locations , ended up with one dead. going rename this place
East " ok Corral" Palo Alto

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38634. Re: San Jose 187 ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-07 23:28:44 UTC
From: Don
Topic: 38627 Message: 38634

After moving back to the San jose area after being gone for 7 years,
I still have not heard a good night of gang shooting like ones in
Salinas. I missed this one, But heard the tale end of it.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> yeah, I heard several units talking about it as the night went on
>
>
> S*%T hit the fan here last night , the gangs were shooting at
each other
> at 4 different locations , ended up with one dead. going rename
this place
> East " ok Corral" Palo Alto
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38635. question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 00:33:09 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38635 Message: 38635

I would be interested to hear people's comments about situations where officers have to make a forced entry in to a residence. Under what conditions is this done other than for medical reasons where a person is unable to answer the door? I heard a situation earlier today where CoCo SO had to enter a house where they ended up taking four people in to custody. The people didn't wantt to answer the door after repeated attempts at knocking and calling two phones in the house. I am not sure finally if they were persuaded to answer or if the SO made forced entry. If they actually had to break the door or a window to enter, who would pay for repairs, the home owner, landlord, or the police agency who entered? Just curious about this. My bet would be that responsibility would be with the home owner or landlord. Do any of you have any direct experience with similar situations? Thanks.

38636. Re: [bayscan] gang shootings

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 00:50:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38636 Message: 38636

Considering its size , seems like Fresno may have more gang-shootings per capita than any other California city. Don't have stats , but I get IPN pages about shootings in Fresno all the time.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38637. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 00:52:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38635 Message: 38637

Hopefully one of our resident Peace officers on this list will answer ,
but if it is NOT medical , I would think they must have probable cause to enter.
Such as in hot pursuit or a warrant. I strongly doubt the PD would pay for
it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38638. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 01:00:55 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38635 Message: 38638

I know in livermore any property damage such as a door knocked down, the resident can put a request in to the city to be reimbursed. ie. knocking down the wrong door.

caladded@... wrote:

> Hopefully one of our resident Peace officers on this list will answer ,
>but if it is NOT medical , I would think they must have probable cause to enter.
>Such as in hot pursuit or a warrant. I strongly doubt the PD would pay for
>it.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38639. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 00:59:34 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38635 Message: 38639

Hi Ed. this was an interesting situation where a stollen vehicle was involved and I don't know what else. One of the Perp's lived at the residence I think.
Pretty strange deal the way it came down and I didn't hear if they actually arrested the four people, but it seemed likely they did.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2005 5:52 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

>
> Hopefully one of our resident Peace officers on this list will answer ,
> but if it is NOT medical , I would think they must have probable cause to enter.
> Such as in hot pursuit or a warrant. I strongly doubt the PD would pay for
> it.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38640. Re: [bayscan] gang shootings

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 01:19:16 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38636 Message: 38640

last i heard the gang task force between chp, fresno pd and the county sheriff had been dismantled some time ago, leaving only the 3-way repeater links between the agencies operational.

when they started the 3-way years ago, the first night of the task force going active was quite successful from what i understand. not a shot was fired that night by the cops or the gangs. sounds like it's time to put the task force back together.

-g-

> Considering its size , seems like Fresno may have more gang-shootings per
> capita than any other California city. Don't have stats , but I get IPN
> pages
> about shootings in Fresno all the time.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

"why, why WHY mr. anderson? why do you do it? why get up? why keep fighting? do you believe you're fighting for something? for more than your survival? can you tell me what it is? do you even KNOW? is it freedom or truth or perhaps peace? could it be for love? illusions mr. anderson, vagaries of perception. temporary constructs of a feeble human intellect trying desperately to justify an existance that is without

meaning or purpose! and all of them as artificial as the matrix itself! although, only a human mind could invent something as insipid as love! you must be able to see it mr. anderson, you must know it by now. you can't win it's pointless to keep fighting!!! why mr. anderson, WHY DO YOU PERSIST!!!!!"

"because i choose to."

38641. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 01:04:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38635 Message: 38641

Well, without all the information .....hard to say, as far as Bens' comment .....sure IF its the wrong door the city or county depending would pay for it. BUT if its the RIGHT door , depending on location , and lets say the residence is used for drug selling reasons , not only would they NOT pay for the door .....they may TAKE the house :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38642. Re: [bayscan] gang shootings

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 01:06:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38636 Message: 38642

Got to agree with that . Yeah, I remember they had that gang task force working there. Same thing when we had tons of cops in EPA , things got real quiet .....BUT, you should have heard about the problems crop up big time in Union City , Newark and Fremont cause the bad guys crossed the Dumbarton Bridge LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38643. Re: [bayscan] gang shootings

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 01:34:27 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38636 Message: 38643

yeah... same thing as trying to stop a leak in the dam with your finger.
once you plug up one hole...

-g-

> Got to agree with that . Yeah, I remember they had that gang task force
> working there. Same thing when we had tons of cops in EPA , things got
> real
> quiet ....BUT, you should have heard about the problems crop up big
> time in
> Union City , Newark and Fremont cause the bad guys crossed the Dumbarton
> Bridge LOL
>
> ED
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

38644. NBC 11 chopper...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 02:49:29 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38644 Message: 38644

Channel 11 is in the process of changing helicopters. That's why there's
been no radio traffic as of late.

You should start hearing traffic again tomorrow.

-g-

38645. possible hold up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 04:16:26 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 38645 Message: 38645

There appears to be some sort of situation sounds like it could be a hold situation. The city is unknown however; it is on channel 155.190, which is the Sheriff department. The street involved is San Bernardino street. They said all h and t units 97 and appear there setting up a command post. There has also been talk of a light going on and off inside the residence. It sounds like they are outside communicating with a subject inside the residence. They are also talking about a ladder being needed. They are now talking about entering to find a breaker box??? 9:14 pm

38646. Re: possible hold up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 04:20:44 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38645 Message: 38646

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kdawg122786" <redsfannumber01@a...>
wrote:
>
> The city is unknown however; it is on channel 155.190,
> which is the Sheriff department.

CoCo? What county?

38647. Re: possible hold up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 04:23:25 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38645 Message: 38647

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@...> wrote:
> CoCo? What county?

Err I should is Is that CoCo? If not, what county?

Looks like it is CoCo at least that's all I see that's 155.19 . And
speaking of which, I was actually just looking up some info on CoCo SO
about an hour ago and came across
http://www.co.contra-costa.ca.us/depart/it/federal_engineering_report%20.pdf

:)

Evan

38648. Re: possible hold up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 04:37:16 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 38645 Message: 38648

```
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kdawg122786" <redsfannumber01@a...>
> wrote:
> >
> > The city is unknown however; it is on channel 155.190,
> > which is the Sheriff department.
>
> CoCo? What county?

Yes coco county
```

38649. Re: [bayscan] Re: possible hold up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 04:43:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38645 Message: 38649

that freq is EAST CoCo county

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38650. Re: [bayscan] Re: possible hold up.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 05:16:37 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 38645 Message: 38650

I keep hearing the "Ocean" units talking, I'm not familiar with this, is this a SWAT unit? Suspect still inside, they are talking with him now.

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 07, 2005 9:43 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: possible hold up.

that freq is EAST CoCo county

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.4 - Release Date: 4/6/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.4 - Release Date: 4/6/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38651. Re: [bayscan] NBC 11 chopper...Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 06:01:45 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38651 Message: 38651

What Freq are they on?

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38652. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 06:14:10 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38635 Message: 38652

I believe that if they have probable cause to make entry and do so forcibly the liability lies with the person responsible for the property however it is possible to file a claim with the city, county, state, or federal government.

Could be wrong, though.

jim gammon <jgammon1@...> wrote:
If they actually had to break the door or a window to enter, who would pay for repairs

---
Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38653. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 06:26:20 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38635 Message: 38653

Wasn't it Geraldo Rivera (aka Gerry Rivers) that did a live video of a drug bust and they got the wrong house?

38654. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 06:45:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38635 Message: 38654

and the point is ? (re: wrong house) as far as filing a claim
.....anybody can file a claim for ANYTHING .....look how prisoner file
claims clogging up the courts with frivolous lawsuits (of course they aren't
the only ones to do that either)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38655. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 07:07:19 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38635 Message: 38655

Frivolous law suits? Well frivolous is in the eye of the beholder:
http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/news/local/5125016.htm

caladded@... wrote:
> and the point is ? (re: wrong house) as far as filing a claim
> ....anybody can file a claim for ANYTHING ....look how prisoner file
> claims clogging up the courts with frivolous lawsuits (of course they aren't
> the only ones to do that either)
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38656. Re: question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 07:47:10 UTC
From: ofc53
Topic: 38635 Message: 38656

"Fresh pursuit" of a suspect would allow you to force entry (foot pursuit...chasing the guy into his house). "Exigent circumstances" (ie fire, medical, emergency need) also lets you break down doors or windows to get inside. Yet another way is with a search warrant. This is where officers present their probable cause reasons to a judge as to why they believe evidence of a crime (or the person they are looking for) would be in the residence. Steps need to be taken to insure they have the right house and that the person is reasonably believed to be in the house. Of course, the first option is to knock but once a certain amount of time has passed, officers are allowed to break down the door.

As for paying for the door, I know people can submit a claim with the city but unless it was a mistake (ie wrong house) I wouldn't imagine the city would pay for it.

Hope that helps.

-Chris

38657. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 08:22:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38635 Message: 38657

I am not going to waste my time looking at the case, the vast majority are exactly what I said .....frivolous .....the courts are so backed up with them its ridiculous.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38658. Re: [bayscan] NBC 11 chopper...Question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 14:12:12 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38651 Message: 38658

450.1875 MHz

On Apr 7, 2005 11:01 PM, Mobile11@... <Mobile11@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> What Freq are they on?
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38659. How do EMS/Ambulance runs work in bay area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 14:29:29 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 38659 Message: 38659

I am curious after returning from RTP and hearing how another region does things. In retrospect, there was probably more EMS activity because they dispatch EMS instead of fire. But in their system, EMS were dispatched and EMS would report patient status on the same frequency/TGID.

So let's walk through a dispatch in the Bay Area/Santa Clara County. The initial call is dispatched on the appropriate FD dispatch. FD shows up and stabilizes patient. Then AMR/WestMed is dispatched? (but on what frequency?) And what frequency is used to report patient status.

I have listened to the SCC 'EMS' frequencies listed Kelty's 'green book', but there doesn't seem to be the level of activity I'd expect for the size of the area.

So what's the deal? Is it Nextel? Cell phones??

38660. Re: [bayscan] NBC 11 chopper...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 15:34:29 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38644 Message: 38660

KNTV-11 copter is again active this morning. Lot's of radio checks for the new equipment in the station and on the copter. All can be heard on * 450.1875 MHz. The PL/CTCSS is 110.9 Hz*.
Brian in Campbell

On Apr 7, 2005 7:49 PM, vector@... <vector@...> wrote:
>
>
> Channel 11 is in the process of changing helicopters. That's why there's
> been no radio traffic as of late.
>
> You should start hearing traffic again tomorrow.
>
> -g-
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38661. RE: [bayscan] How do EMS/Ambulance runs work in bay area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 15:38:40 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38659 Message: 38661

>I have listened to the SCC 'EMS' frequencies listed Kelty's 'green
>book', but there doesn't seem to be the level of activity I'd expect
>for the size of the area.

I don't know if this report answers these questions. I just found it on the
internet (did a search for "master radio plans" after seeing the CoCo radio
plan URL posted by Evan)

County of Santa Clara, Emergency Medical Services Agency
EMS Communications System
2004/2005 Enhancement Plan
http://www.clerkrecorder.org/scc/assets/docs/648188Enhancement%20Plan%20FINAL%20July%202004.pdf

38662. Re: [bayscan] How do EMS/Ambulance runs work in bay area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 16:04:08 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38659 Message: 38662

At 07:29 AM 4/8/2005, you wrote:
>So let's walk through a dispatch in the Bay Area/Santa Clara County.
>The initial call is dispatched on the appropriate FD dispatch. FD
>shows up and stabilizes patient. Then AMR/WestMed is dispatched?

Generally AMR is dispatched at the same time fire is. Often first. It depends on the PSAP that handled the call. If the 911 call went to County, and County dispatches the AMR units, and has to transfer to say Sunnyvale Fire, AMR would get dispatched first. In Santa Clara County at least, WestMed isn't authorized to run '911' calls. The only rig you'll see on a 911 call is AMR.

>(but on what frequency?)

856.4375 - Med91. 'Repeated' on a UHF channel, 453.100, but only dispatch is repeated there, you won't hear the mobile units. You'll also hear the two-tone toneouts for the units.

>And what frequency is used to report patient status.

Nextel for code 2 ringdowns, Med-92 (857.4375) for code 3.

>I have listened to the SCC 'EMS' frequencies listed Kelty's 'green
>book', but there doesn't seem to be the level of activity I'd expect
>for the size of the area.
>
>So what's the deal? Is it Nextel? Cell phones??

See above. :)

38663. Re: [bayscan] How do EMS/Ambulance runs work in bay area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 16:23:18 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38659 Message: 38663

Here in San Jose, Fire and AMR both get dispatched at roughly the same time. The 911 response will create an event in both their systems; however, they have separate dispatchers and frequencies. AMR will get dispatched on MED 91. This is fairly busy.

Usually FD arrives on scene first (not always). There is not a report of patient status. However, if the incident is a result of a traffic collision, or their is someone trapped, you'll sometimes hear an update to fire dispatch if their needs to be additional units sent (rescue response, mass casualties, etc.) Sometimes you'll hear a FD unit ask the dispatcher to advise incoming AMR units on what they are dealing with or where to go.

Once the patients are being transported by AMR, patient updates ("ring downs") occur either via cell phone or on MED 92. The policy changed about a year ago to shift to cell phones, but the old radio system is still on standby and gets occasional use for "code 3" transports.

I used to listen to this stuff; after a while it gets very depressing.

-Johnathan

38664. Re: [bayscan] How do EMS/Ambulance runs work in bay area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 17:12:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38659 Message: 38664

In addition to Evan's answer. Palo Alto Fire has been running their own Paramedics for a good 30 years. They use AMR as a back-up if they are busy. They also have BLS-1 which does transfers which of course they make extra money for the PAFD budget.

San Jose Fire has STAR Cars (Rescue-Medics 4, 18 , 29 Med 22 and Med 31) these units do transport when AMR has extended ETA's

STAR: Supplemental Transport Ambulance Response

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38665. Re: [bayscan] How do EMS/Ambulance runs work in bay area?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 18:34:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38659 Message: 38665

At 10:12 AM 4/8/2005, you wrote:
>In addition to Evan's answer. Palo Alto Fire has been running their own
>Paramedics for a good 30 years. They use AMR as a back-up if they are
>busy. They
>also have BLS-1 which does transfers which of course they make extra money
>for
>the PAFD budget.

Ahh yes, Palo Alto Med Units..

Gosh, must be 7+ years ago, doing a ridealong with Medic 2 out of page mill for a video I was doing for them at the time... They were having the station quarters redone, so the crew slept in trailers in the back. I slept in the dayroom. 2 AM ish, bells and lights go off. I jump into the ambulance and wait... And wait... Then I start hearing a faint knocking. Get out of rig, run back to dayroom - crew accidentally locked themselves out of the station. :)

Ahh the good old days.

Evan

38666. Re: [bayscan] question about making forced entry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 18:49:57 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38635 Message: 38666

Eh, you should waste your time. They were malpractice lawsuits by two famous Republicans. BTW, 90% of lawsuits are corporation versus corporation. If you file a few frivolous lawsuits, you eventually get disbarred. But this is off topic.

caladded@... wrote:
> I am not going to waste my time looking at the case, the vast majority are
> exactly what I said ....frivolous ....the courts are so backed up with
> them its ridiculous.
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

38667. TORNADO WARNING

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-08 22:53:23 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38667 Message: 38667

A little outside our geographic area of interest, but...

...A TORNADO WARNING CONTINUES UNTIL 400 PM PDT FOR NORTHERN
SACRAMENTO COUNTY..

AT 330 PM PDT...LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED A TORNADO NEAR TRUXEL
AND INTERSTATE 80 WITH DAMAGE TO VEHICLES. DOPPLER RADAR CONTINUES
TO INDICATE STRONG ROTATION NEAR THE INTERSTATE 80 SPLIT WITH THE
CAPITOL CITY FREEWAY. ADDITIONAL TORNADOS ARE POSSIBLE!

38668. Pursuit in Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-09 03:15:00 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38668 Message: 38668

This just ended I'm afraid at the Marin/Sonoma county line. Suspect was driving a Mercury in excess of 120 mph. I picked it up around Rowland Blvd in Marin. He took the exit, then got back on the freeway. Officers reported heavy smoke was coming from the vehicle and the pursuit gradually slowed more and more probably for that reason. Then the suspect started pointing a metallic object out the window (officers couldn't tell whether it was a gun or a cell phone) and they laid back. Then he ran over a spike strip set by the Santa Rosa CHP units, and they got him at gunpoint outside his car right on the county line. That was about ten minutes ago and it's 20:14 PDT now.

Freq was CHP Violet 42.16 and probably the Emerald on 42.88/42.20, but I couldn't hear them from here in Marin unfortunately.

-Inigo

38669. chase audio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-09 07:51:13 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38669 Message: 38669

I uploaded what I got of that CHP chase today on 101 but I was getting it
off of the extender frequnecy:

You can check it out here:

http://www.bayscan.net/chp40805.wav

nothing that great but pretty high speeds of over 100 and the roads sucked
today, I was out in them all day.

Robert
BayScan

38670. F-14 Tomcats at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-09 17:14:00 UTC
From: Matt Sparks
Topic: 38670 Message: 38670

Had a flight of three F-14 Tomcats come in on friday, I've posted photos at:

http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/matt_sparks@.../album?.dir=ebcb&.src=ph&store=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/matt_sparks@.../my_photos

-matt

p.s. -the last photo is not me-just a guy i work with. :-)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38671. Re: [bayscan] F-14 Tomcats at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-09 17:19:57 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38670 Message: 38671

Matt -

These are GREAT! Thanks for posting these. What do you do?

-C-

On Apr 9, 2005, at 10:14 AM, Matt Sparks wrote:

> Had a flight of three F-14 Tomcats come in on friday, I've posted
> photos at:
>
> http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/matt_sparks@.../
> album?.dir=ebcb&.src=ph&store=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//
> pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/matt_sparks@.../my_photos
>
> -matt
>
> p.s. -the last photo is not me-just a guy i work with. :-)
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38672. Re: [bayscan] F-14 Tomcats at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-09 17:42:55 UTC
From: Matt Sparks
Topic: 38670 Message: 38672

Thanks Craig - I'm a Fire Captain at the NASA Ames Fire Department - formerly know as the Moffett Field Fire Department (although our o.e.s. designator is still MOF!).

Now for the proper credits: the F-14 photos we're taken by Captain Steve Epperson (he's the guy in the last photo) using Firefighter Dan Nguyen's Sony Cybershot 4 megapixle camera.

you can also check out other department photos at:

http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/matt_sparks@.../my_photos

Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:

Matt -

These are GREAT! Thanks for posting these. What do you do?

-C-

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38673. Re: [bayscan] F-14 Tomcats at Moffett

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-09 17:56:17 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38670 Message: 38673

any relation to petaluma fire captain dan epperson, i wonder?

On Saturday, Apr 9, 2005, at 10:42 US/Pacific, Matt Sparks wrote:

>
> Thanks Craig - I'm a Fire Captain at the NASA Ames Fire Department -
> formerly know as the Moffett Field Fire Department (although our
> o.e.s. designator is still MOF!).
>
> Now for the proper credits: the F-14 photos we're taken by Captain
> Steve Epperson (he's the guy in the last photo) using Firefighter Dan
> Nguyen's Sony Cybershot 4 megapixle camera.
>
> you can also check out other department photos at:
>
> http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/matt_sparks@sbcglobal.net/my_photos
>
>
> Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:
>
> Matt -
>
> These are GREAT! Thanks for posting these. What do you do?
>
> -C-
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38674. Re: [bayscan] chase audio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-09 19:36:57 UTC
From: jim gammon
Topic: 38669 Message: 38674

Hi Robert. That was an interesting file and also simple to download. I
just clicked on the link and it opened fine. I directed the download to the
appropriate folder and played it immediately. Thanks, Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2005 12:51 AM
Subject: [bayscan] chase audio

>
> I uploaded what I got of that CHP chase today on 101 but I was getting it
> off of the extender frequnecy:
>
> You can check it out here:
>
> http://www.bayscan.net/chp40805.wav
>
> nothing that great but pretty high speeds of over 100 and the roads sucked
> today, I was out in them all day.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38675. OT - Proposal to rename Mount Diablo "Mount Kawukum"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 00:26:58 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38675 Message: 38675

The Department of the Interior's U.S. Board on Geographic Names has received a proposal that Mount Diablo be officially renamed to "Mount Kawukum" on Federal maps and publications. The proponent feels the existing name is derogatory. The Board is requesting public comment on the proposal.

U. S. Board on Geographic Names website:
http://geonames.usgs.gov/bgn.html

Minutes of February 5, 2005 meeting where proposal reported:
http://geonames.usgs.gov/DNCFeb05Minutes.pdf

BGN Docket 388:
http://geonames.usgs.gov/Docket388.pdf

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jennifer E Runyon" <jrunyon@...>
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2005 8:55 AM
Subject: Geographic Name Proposal: Renaming Mount Diablo?

To: various "Mount Diablo" organizations:

Dear Representative:

This is in reference to a proposal that the U.S. Board on Geographic Names has received, to change officially the name of Mount Diablo in Contra Costa County. As you may know, the Board is responsible by law for standardizing geographic names for use by the Federal government, and its members must approve any new name or name change before it can appear on Federal maps and products. Because local acceptance of any proposed name is very important to the Board, we would be interested to receive the opinion of your organization regarding this proposal. We have also asked the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors and the California Advisory Committee on Geographic Names (CACGN) to comment on the issue.

The proposal to rename Mount Diablo was submitted to us by a resident of Oakley, who believes the existing name is derogatory. He has suggested the summit be renamed to Mount Kawukum, a name of indigenous origin that reportedly means, ?laughing mountain, everywhere seen.? (We should note that the proponent also suggested the summit might be renamed for the late President Reagan, but we have informed him that the U.S. Board cannot accept that name, as its Commemorative Naming Policy requires that the honoree of any new name for a natural geographic feature must have been deceased for at least five years).

To view a synopsis of this proposal, please visit our website at http://geonames.usgs.gov/Docket388.pdf (scroll down to the section on California). Please submit comments to the address, fax number, or e-mail address provided below. Also, please include an explanation as to the reason for your recommendation, regardless whether negative or positive.

We encouarge you to distribute this e-mail to other groups or individuals who might wish to comment.

As soon as our research is complete and all interested parties have had an opportunity to comment, we shall present the proposal to rename Mount Diablo to the U.S. Board for discussion and a decision. Thank you for your assistance in this matter and we look forward to hearing from you. If you have any questions, please let us know.

Sincerely yours,
Jennifer Runyon, research staff
For Roger L. Payne, Executive Secretary
U.S. Board on Geographic Names
U.S. Geological Survey, Geographic Names Office
Reston, VA 20192-0523
http://geonames.usgs.gov

Phone: (703) 648-4550 (J. Runyon) or (703) 648-4544 (R.L. Payne)
Fax: (703) 648-4549
E-mail: jrunyon@... or BGNEXEC@...

---------- End Original Message ----------

38676. Re: [bayscan] OT - Proposal to rename Mount Diablo "Mount Kawukum"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 00:48:58 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38675 Message: 38676

As a devil worshiper, I am quite offended by the name change, but can't figure out where on the website to send my comments. Is this best done by snail mail to Ms.Runyun?

Don Root wrote:
> The Department of the Interior's U.S. Board on Geographic Names has
> received a proposal that Mount Diablo be officially renamed to "Mount
> Kawukum" on Federal maps and publications. The proponent feels the
> existing name is derogatory. The Board is requesting public comment on
> the proposal.
>
> U. S. Board on Geographic Names website:
> http://geonames.usgs.gov/bgn.html
>
> Minutes of February 5, 2005 meeting where proposal reported:
> http://geonames.usgs.gov/DNCFeb05Minutes.pdf
>
> BGN Docket 388:
> http://geonames.usgs.gov/Docket388.pdf
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Jennifer E Runyon" <jrunyon@...>
> Sent: Friday, April 08, 2005 8:55 AM
> Subject: Geographic Name Proposal: Renaming Mount Diablo?
>
> To: various "Mount Diablo" organizations:
>
> Dear Representative:
>
> This is in reference to a proposal that the U.S. Board on Geographic
> Names has received, to change officially the name of Mount Diablo in
> Contra Costa County. As you may know, the Board is responsible by law
> for standardizing geographic names for use by the Federal government,
> and its members must approve any new name or name change before it can
> appear on Federal maps and products. Because local acceptance of any
> proposed name is very important to the Board, we would be interested to
> receive the opinion of your organization regarding this proposal. We
> have also asked the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors and the
> California Advisory Committee on Geographic Names (CACGN) to comment on
> the issue.
>
> The proposal to rename Mount Diablo was submitted to us by a resident of
> Oakley, who believes the existing name is derogatory. He has suggested
> the summit be renamed to Mount Kawukum, a name of indigenous origin that
> reportedly means, ?laughing mountain, everywhere seen.? (We should note

> that the proponent also suggested the summit might be renamed for the
> late President Reagan, but we have informed him that the U.S. Board
> cannot accept that name, as its Commemorative Naming Policy requires
> that the honoree of any new name for a natural geographic feature must
> have been deceased for at least five years).
>
> To view a synopsis of this proposal, please visit our website at
> http://geonames.usgs.gov/Docket388.pdf (scroll down to the section on
> California). Please submit comments to the address, fax number, or
> e-mail address provided below. Also, please include an explanation as
> to the reason for your recommendation, regardless whether negative or
> positive.
>
> We encouarge you to distribute this e-mail to other groups or
> individuals who might wish to comment.
>
> As soon as our research is complete and all interested parties have had
> an opportunity to comment, we shall present the proposal to rename Mount
> Diablo to the U.S. Board for discussion and a decision. Thank you for
> your assistance in this matter and we look forward to hearing from you.
> If you have any questions, please let us know.
>
> Sincerely yours,
> Jennifer Runyon, research staff
> For Roger L. Payne, Executive Secretary
> U.S. Board on Geographic Names
> U.S. Geological Survey, Geographic Names Office
> Reston, VA 20192-0523
> http://geonames.usgs.gov
>
> Phone: (703) 648-4550 (J. Runyon) or (703) 648-4544 (R.L. Payne)
> Fax: (703) 648-4549
> E-mail: jrunyon@... or BGNEXEC@...
>
> ---------- End Original Message ----------
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38677. Re: [bayscan] OT - Proposal to rename Mount Diablo "Mount Kawukum"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 00:57:34 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38675 Message: 38677

gary wrote:

> As a devil worshiper, I am quite offended by the name change, but can't
> figure out where on the website to send my comments. Is this best done
> by snail mail to Ms.Runyun?

Well as it says in the third paragraph,

>>Please submit comments to the address, fax number, or
>>e-mail address provided below. Also, please include an explanation as
>>to the reason for your recommendation, regardless whether negative or
>>positive.

>>E-mail: jrunyon@... or BGNEXEC@...

You might read the actual text in the Docket, and note the extensive
impact on other products. I also suggest you reference Docket 388 in
your remarks or e-mail subject line.

Don

38678. Re: [bayscan] OT - Proposal to rename Mount Diablo "Mount Kawukum"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 01:53:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38675 Message: 38678

OH PLEASE !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38679. Re: OT - Proposal to rename Mount Diablo "Mount Kawukum"

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 03:57:08 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38675 Message: 38679

Gary you read my mind, I too worship the devil. It's appalling. ;^)

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> As a devil worshiper, I am quite offended by the name change, but
can't
> figure out where on the website to send my comments. Is this best
done
> by snail mail to Ms.Runyun?
>
>
> Don Root wrote:
> > The Department of the Interior's U.S. Board on Geographic Names
has
> > received a proposal that Mount Diablo be officially renamed
to "Mount
> > Kawukum" on Federal maps and publications. The proponent feels
the
> > existing name is derogatory. The Board is requesting public
comment on
> > the proposal.
> >
> > U. S. Board on Geographic Names website:
> > http://geonames.usgs.gov/bgn.html
> >
> > Minutes of February 5, 2005 meeting where proposal reported:
> > http://geonames.usgs.gov/DNCFeb05Minutes.pdf
> >
> > BGN Docket 388:
> > http://geonames.usgs.gov/Docket388.pdf
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "Jennifer E Runyon" <jrunyon@u...>
> > Sent: Friday, April 08, 2005 8:55 AM
> > Subject: Geographic Name Proposal: Renaming Mount Diablo?
> >
> > To: various "Mount Diablo" organizations:
> >
> > Dear Representative:
> >
> > This is in reference to a proposal that the U.S. Board on
Geographic
> > Names has received, to change officially the name of Mount Diablo
in

> > Contra Costa County. As you may know, the Board is responsible
by law
> > for standardizing geographic names for use by the Federal
government,
> > and its members must approve any new name or name change before
it can
> > appear on Federal maps and products. Because local acceptance of
any
> > proposed name is very important to the Board, we would be
interested to
> > receive the opinion of your organization regarding this
proposal. We
> > have also asked the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors and
the
> > California Advisory Committee on Geographic Names (CACGN) to
comment on
> > the issue.
> >
> > The proposal to rename Mount Diablo was submitted to us by a
resident of
> > Oakley, who believes the existing name is derogatory. He has
suggested
> > the summit be renamed to Mount Kawukum, a name of indigenous
origin that
> > reportedly means, "laughing mountain, everywhere seen." (We
should note
> > that the proponent also suggested the summit might be renamed for
the
> > late President Reagan, but we have informed him that the U.S.
Board
> > cannot accept that name, as its Commemorative Naming Policy
requires
> > that the honoree of any new name for a natural geographic feature
must
> > have been deceased for at least five years).
> >
> > To view a synopsis of this proposal, please visit our website at
> > http://geonames.usgs.gov/Docket388.pdf (scroll down to the
section on
> > California). Please submit comments to the address, fax number,
or
> > e-mail address provided below. Also, please include an
explanation as
> > to the reason for your recommendation, regardless whether
negative or
> > positive.
> >
> > We encouarge you to distribute this e-mail to other groups or
> > individuals who might wish to comment.
> >

> > As soon as our research is complete and all interested parties
have had
> > an opportunity to comment, we shall present the proposal to
rename Mount
> > Diablo to the U.S. Board for discussion and a decision. Thank
you for
> > your assistance in this matter and we look forward to hearing
from you.
> > If you have any questions, please let us know.
> >
> > Sincerely yours,
> > Jennifer Runyon, research staff
> > For Roger L. Payne, Executive Secretary
> > U.S. Board on Geographic Names
> > U.S. Geological Survey, Geographic Names Office
> > Reston, VA 20192-0523
> > http://geonames.usgs.gov
> >
> > Phone: (703) 648-4550 (J. Runyon) or (703) 648-4544 (R.L. Payne)
> > Fax: (703) 648-4549
> > E-mail: jrunyon@u... or BGNEXEC@u...
> >
> > -------------- End Original Message ------------------
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

38680. Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 04:36:13 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38680 Message: 38680

Suspect was driving a 10851 vehicle and shot a toll taker at the golden gate bridge. Then apparently the CHP shot him. Second subject BMA running down towards the Presideo or Ft. Point PD in pursuit. Everyone's on this:

CHP Violet and Pink
GGNRA Park Police
GGNRA Presideo Fire is responding for the toll taker who's been shot
San Francisco Police Department
San Francisco Fire might also be responding I can't tell from here

Some serious sh*t going down though on the bridge right now.

-Inigo

38681. Re: [bayscan] Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 04:50:07 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38680 Message: 38681

> Then apparently the CHP shot him.

I heard this happen too, and the first I heard was on the GG Bridge Ops Channel [482.7375] and all the traffic there seems familiar with the Sgt. who was the officer involved -- didn't sound like it was CHP.

38682. Re: [bayscan] Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 04:55:16 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38680 Message: 38682

> San Francisco Fire might also be responding
> I can't tell from here

Sounds like Presedio Medic 51 took her to SF General.

38683. Fwd: [bayscan] Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 04:52:14 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38683 Message: 38683

repeating, did the 10851 originate in marin?

Begin forwarded message:

> From: "Jordan Hayes" <jmhayes@...>
> Date: Sat Apr 9, 2005 21:50:07 US/Pacific
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -
> Reply-To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>> Then apparently the CHP shot him.
>
> I heard this happen too, and the first I heard was on the GG Bridge Ops
> Channel [482.7375] and all the traffic there seems familiar with the
> Sgt. who was the officer involved -- didn't sound like it was CHP.
>
>
>

38684. Re: [bayscan] Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 04:40:28 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38680 Message: 38684

SFFD is on TAc-11
SFPD is using a-7 & 8
----- Original Message -----
From: inigo4688
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, April 09, 2005 21:36
Subject: [bayscan] Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Suspect was driving a 10851 vehicle and shot a toll taker at the golden
gate bridge. Then apparently the CHP shot him. Second subject BMA
running down towards the Presideo or Ft. Point PD in pursuit.
Everyone's on this:

CHP Violet and Pink
GGNRA Park Police
GGNRA Presideo Fire is responding for the toll taker who's been shot
San Francisco Police Department
San Francisco Fire might also be responding I can't tell from here

Some serious sh*t going down though on the bridge right now.

-Inigo

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38685. Re: [bayscan] Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 04:43:37 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38680 Message: 38685

did this originate in marin?

On Saturday, Apr 9, 2005, at 21:36 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

>
>
> Suspect was driving a 10851 vehicle and shot a toll taker at the golden
> gate bridge. Then apparently the CHP shot him. Second subject BMA
> running down towards the Presideo or Ft. Point PD in pursuit.
> Everyone's on this:
>
> CHP Violet and Pink
> GGNRA Park Police
> GGNRA Presideo Fire is responding for the toll taker who's been shot
> San Francisco Police Department
> San Francisco Fire might also be responding I can't tell from here
>
> Some serious sh*t going down though on the bridge right now.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38686. Re: [bayscan] Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 05:16:20 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38680 Message: 38686

> did the 10851 originate in marin?

i would guess, but i didn't hear that this was the start of it. the
crime scene now is basically the lane 7 booth. i heard that sfpd has
taken over the investigation.

38687. Re: Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 06:33:27 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38680 Message: 38687

Just an update for you guys:

Jordan - You're right the Golden Gate Bridge District PD officer shot the subject. I heard Park PD running right behind him yelling "We shot him! We shot him!."

Nina - I have no idea where the 10851 originated and I didn't even hear the officers talking about it or sounding concerned about it at the time. They were mainly concerned with getting the female toll taker to SF General Hospital. Afterwards I turned it off since it was a little depressing not knowing the status of the victim and the suspect. KRON 4 has been providing excellent coverage tonight. She's stable as of now.

I can tell you the car was travelling southbound from Marin through the toll plaza, when the suspect randomly got out of his car and shot the toll taker.

-Inigo

38688. Re: [bayscan] Re: Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 06:35:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38680 Message: 38688

what freq did you hear the park officers on ?? US Park PD ?? GGNRA ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38689. Re: Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 06:41:51 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38680 Message: 38689

It was all on the US Park PD Law Enforcement Ch 1 TG on the GGNRA TRS.
CC Freqs: 416.025 (North) 417.275 (South), TGs:

00016 - Law Enforcement Ch.1 (I just label it Park PD thats all I hear
I rarely hear rangers)
00048 - Law Enforcement Ch.2
00080 - GGNRA Fire/EMS (Presidio FD)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> what freq did you hear the park officers on ?? US Park PD ??
GGNRA ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38690. Re: [bayscan] Re: Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 06:47:23 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38680 Message: 38690

I don't get the impression from the media coverage I'm seeing down here in L.A. that anybody was pursuing the 10851 vehicle, which sounds like the idea behind Nina's question. It sounds like the fact the car was stolen may have come out in the investigation after the shooting. From what I understand, the bad guy stopped at the toll booth, got into a 415 with toll taker, then shot her. :-\

Then bad guy fall down go boom. :-) No wait, I think this one would be: cop go boom THEN bad guy fall down. :-D

Yahoo! Mail Mobile
Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Check email on your mobile phone.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38691. Re: Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 06:50:55 UTC
From: Fast Eddie
Topic: 38680 Message: 38691

What station is running it down there and what did they show?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
>
> I don't get the impression from the media coverage I'm seeing down here in L.A. that anybody was pursuing the 10851 vehicle, which sounds like the idea behind Nina's question. It sounds like the fact the car was stolen may have come out in the investigation after the shooting. From what I understand, the bad guy stopped at the toll booth, got into a 415 with toll taker, then shot her. :-\
>
> Then bad guy fall down go boom. :-) No wait, I think this one would be: cop go boom THEN bad guy fall down. :-D
>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Mail Mobile
> Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Check email on your mobile phone.
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38692. Re: Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 06:51:41 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38680 Message: 38692

Hey ED & everyone else, sorry to post ten bazillion times but I may as well add the other freqs that were part of this incident:

Golden Gate Bridge District Police - 482.7375 PL 173.8
CHP "Violet" Marin Office (34 units) - 42.16 (mobile 42.34 but it was repeated tonight)
CHP "Pink" San Francisco Office (32 units) - 42.44/42.76 mobile (also repeated tonight from the few bits I heard)

In addition to the SFPD Channels you gave and the park pd stuff I provided in the last one. Marin County SO could have headed down as well from Marin City, I missed it if they did. Their dispatch is "Control 1" on 39.24 simplex with receiver voting (so you don't hear dispatch if they're talking to someone far away, unless I'm misunderstanding how it works).

-Inigo

38693. Re: [bayscan] Re: Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 06:53:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38680 Message: 38693

thats cool, the more info the better , I was getting traffic on 482.7375
clearly as I have before , its close to 40 miles from me, nice freq

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38694. Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 07:02:45 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38694 Message: 38694

Here is the latest off the net. I was getting into my car and had just turned on the scanners when the action started. Im at the Marin City off so I could hear sirens in every direction, CHP, Marin SO, Park Police, etc.

Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

POSTED: 10:51 pm PDT April 9, 2005

SAN FRANCISCO -- A female toll collector was shot Saturday night after an argument with a motorist on the busy Golden Gate Bridge.

Bridge spokeswoman Mary Currie said the unidentified toll collector was shot by a motorist who had exited her car in toll lane 7 apparently after arguing with the worker.

The motorist fled south on foot toward San Francisco, pursued by Golden Gate Bridge police. He was shot in the leg and taken into custody, according to Officer Mark Johnston of the California Highway Patrol.

The motorist was driving a stolen car, said Johnston.

The suspect and toll worker were both taken to San Francisco General Hospital. The toll collector's injury was serious, said Johnston. But Currie said it was not believed to be life-threatening.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38695. New license for SW airlines, SJC ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 16:56:24 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 38695 Message: 38695

Looks like a new grant:
Southwest Airlines - Dave Brewer
Dallas, TX
[applicant is in the airline business. radios will be used to
coordinate activities.]

ctrl pt 1 - 2077 Airport Blvd Terminal A San Jose CA 408-283-5911
04/01/05 License Issued

1 - 8 KMRA around 37-21-41.8N 121-53-3.8W (SANTA CLARA) CA
452.2625 MO 12x2e
457.2625 MO 12x2e
463.7125 MO 12x2e
468.7125 MO 12x2e

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date: 4/7/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38696. SCC EMS dispatch on BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 17:19:07 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38696 Message: 38696

I'm hearing this morning traffic that would normally be on MED 91
(856.4375) instead being handled on BAYMACS, which is simulcast on at
least three frequencies:

460.0250 (SJPD 10)
482.3375
867.5125

I thought BAYMACS was also on a VHF HI band but I can't seem to dig up
the frequency right now.

Anyway, it's normal dispatch traffic for Santa Clara County EMS, there
doesn't seem to be a drill or other event which would require BAYMACS.

Maybe MED 91 is down?

-Johnathan

38697. San Jose Fire Incident - Yesterday

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 17:28:10 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38697 Message: 38697

For you fire buffs - responded to a structure fire in south San Jose yesterday at 5534 Judith Street off of Blossom Hill Road.

Here is a list of units.

Engine 18
Engine 17
Engine 12
Truck 18
Rescue Medic 18
Truck 9
Light Unit 9
MED 30
Battalion 13
Battalion 1
Fire Investigator

Images at:
http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/judith/judith.htm

Cheers!

Craig

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

38698. Re: SCC EMS dispatch on BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 17:38:51 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38696 Message: 38698

Johnathan Corgan wrote:

> Maybe MED 91 is down?

Well, whatever it was, the call just went out on BAYMACS for everyone to switch rig radios and handpacks back to MED 91.

-Johnathan

38699. Re: [bayscan] SCC EMS dispatch on BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 17:47:19 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38696 Message: 38699

At 10:19 AM 4/10/2005, you wrote:
>I'm hearing this morning traffic that would normally be on MED 91
>(856.4375) instead being handled on BAYMACS, which is simulcast on at
>least three frequencies:
>
>460.0250 (SJPD 10)
>482.3375
>867.5125
>
>I thought BAYMACS was also on a VHF HI band but I can't seem to dig up
>the frequency right now.

155.475.

Evan

38700. Re: [bayscan] SCC EMS dispatch on BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 17:54:41 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38696 Message: 38700

Evan Platt wrote:

>>I thought BAYMACS was also on a VHF HI band but I can't seem to dig up
>>the frequency right now.
>
> 155.475.

I *thought* that was it--it's in the scan bank but wasn't getting any
traffic when other BAYMACS frequencies were active, so then I wasn't
sure. Wonder why it wasn't linked?

-Johnathan

38701. Re: [bayscan] New license for SW airlines, SJC ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 18:20:33 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38695 Message: 38701

KG6VXT wrote:

> Looks like a new grant:
> Southwest Airlines - Dave Brewer
> Dallas, TX
> [applicant is in the airline business. radios will be used to
> coordinate activities.]
>
> ctrl pt 1 - 2077 Airport Blvd Terminal A San Jose CA 408-283-5911
> 04/01/05 License Issued
>
> 1 - 8 KMRA around 37-21-41.8N 121-53-3.8W (SANTA CLARA) CA
> 452.2625 MO 12x2e
> 457.2625 MO 12x2e
> 463.7125 MO 12x2e
> 468.7125 MO 12x2e

These are low power, "interstitial" allocations, used for things like fast food drive-thrus.

The license, WQLC511, shows a maximum power of 2 watts for these, for up to 12 mobile only transmitters.

There is another license, WPGT828, also for Southwest, with the exact same location and frequencies, but goes back to 1998 and in one case 1995.

Maybe Southwest gate agents are getting headsets like the Old Navy clothing stores :-)

-Johnathan

38702. 2nd alarm Castro Valley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 18:26:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38702 Message: 38702

on San Miguel off Castro Valley blvd. Sounds like a Carport fire with possible extension into main structure. sounds knocked down now

TG 17680

1125 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38703. trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 21:14:32 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 38703 Message: 38703

hello all,

I have a pro 95 scanner and have it almost programmed. However i need to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive in my area i live in Antioch. Any help will be appreciated. I have the radioshack scanning book and cd. so i just need to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive.

thanks
Kyle

38704. Re: [bayscan] trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-10 21:23:59 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38703 Message: 38704

Go to radioreference.com, register, then search the database.

kdawg122786 wrote:
>
> hello all,
>
> I have a pro 95 scanner and have it almost programmed. However i need
> to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive in my area i
> live in Antioch. Any help will be appreciated. I have the radioshack
> scanning book and cd. so i just need to know if there are any trunked
> frequencies i can receive.
>
> thanks
> Kyle
>

38705. Re: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 02:46:53 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38694 Message: 38705

Shot in the leg? Boo. What happened to "don't shoot unless you mean to kill?" Especially with a subject KNOWN to be armed!

"Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote:The motorist fled south on foot toward San Francisco, pursued by Golden Gate Bridge police. He was shot in the leg

---
Do you Yahoo!?
Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38706. Re: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 02:51:35 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38694 Message: 38706

especially when he was returning fire....

Gordon Arnold wrote:

>Shot in the leg? Boo. What happened to "don't shoot unless you mean to kill?" Especially with a subject KNOWN to be armed!
>
>"Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...> wrote:The motorist fled south on foot toward San Francisco, pursued by Golden Gate Bridge police. He was shot in the leg
>
>
>---------------------------------
>Do you Yahoo!?
> Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard.
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38707. Re: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 03:12:05 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38694 Message: 38707

> What happened to "don't shoot unless you mean to kill?"

I think it went out a long time ago. It's more like "shoot to stop the fight" (which usually means two to the thorasic cavity), which when a felon is fleeing isn't exactly a fight ... but "shoot to kill" is murder. You might be thinking "don't point it at anything you aren't prepared to destroy" but that's something else.

Also: it was dark, and under stress you're only at best half as good as you are on the range? I'd say: cut the guy some slack, he's in a world of hate right now until he's cleared.

/jordan

38708. RE: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 04:19:28 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38694 Message: 38708

> > What happened to "don't shoot unless you mean to kill?"
>
> I think it went out a long time ago ... You might be thinking
> "don't point it at anything you aren't prepared to destroy"
> but that's something else.
>
> Also: it was dark, and under stress you're only at best half
> as good as you are on the range? I'd say: cut the guy some
> slack, he's in a world of hate right now until he's cleared.

Also, an SFPD commander (captain, I think) said in an interview that everyone was running at the time. From how it sounds, the officer (security guard, as was said in that same newscast) was lucky to hit anything.

- Brian

38709. Re: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 04:24:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38694 Message: 38709

I am trying to figure just what position the "officer " who shot the perp is. Some say he is a Golden Gate Bridge Police Officer, I didn't know they had Police Officers although it makes sense considering the amount of money being collected there to have an armed officer around. I would be surprised if he is a security guard.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38710. shooting / north fair oaks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 04:27:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38710 Message: 38710

Gun shot in or in front of a bar at Pacific and Middlefield in North Fair Oaks area in Unincorporated Redwood City.

suspect HMA 22 , blue sweatshirt/ dallas cowboys baseball hat (which automatically makes him a criminal LOL) blue jeans possibly under the influence

no update on victim

TG 13840 SMSO jurisdiction
Menlo E5 and RWC E11 on scene . (E11 around corner with a medic call)

2127 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38711. Re: [bayscan] shooting / north fair oaks

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 04:28:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38710 Message: 38711

oops,,,,,,,,,,,,,, I meant man (or maybe woman) shot in front of inside the bar.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38712. Re: [bayscan] trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 04:31:46 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 38703 Message: 38712

From Oakley I can pick up on my Pro 95, Bart, Alco and Sac county, that's about the extent of it.

----- Original Message -----
From: kdawg122786
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2005 2:14 PM
Subject: [bayscan] trunked frequencies

hello all,

I have a pro 95 scanner and have it almost programmed. However i need to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive in my area i live in Antioch. Any help will be appreciated. I have the radioshack scanning book and cd. so i just need to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive.

thanks
Kyle

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date: 4/7/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date: 4/7/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38713. Re: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 04:45:30 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 38694 Message: 38713

Hey ED..

I happened to see this event in person just after it happened. I didn't see any of the shooting but I was stuck mid span of the bridge and saw ever cop in town pass me. By the time I was able to drive past the scene there was nothing much to see accept tons of crime scene tape and cops everywhere.

As for the officer who shoot, I actually looked into applying for that position just after i graduated the Police academy, since I live so close to the bridge. They are officially called "Bridge Patrol". They have brand new crown vics with the LED light bars and are fully armed just as any other cop.. They are security for the bridge however i see them backing up CHP on traffic stops in the surrounding areas. They have all the same powers as any California Peace officer however they don't really do much proactive policing because they want them on the bridge as much as possible. I believe it was more cost effective to have 2 full time officers then to pay CHP over time for 4 officers watching the bridge. Now I only see two CHP (one on either side of the bridge). As we all know I'm sure, they replaced the National Guard after 9-11. This shooting is probability the most action the bridge patrol has ever seen. I believe Bridge Patrol as been around for a little over a year now.

Hope that helps out a little.

-BC

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2005 9:24 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

>
> I am trying to figure just what position the "officer " who shot the perp
is.
> Some say he is a Golden Gate Bridge Police Officer, I didn't know they
had
> Police Officers although it makes sense considering the amount of money
being
> collected there to have an armed officer around. I would be surprised if
he is
> a security guard.
>
> ED
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38714. Re: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 05:43:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38694 Message: 38714

ah ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,thanks .....so they ARE 832 certified. I figured with the money , they certainly can afford a peace officer over a security officer , especially since the requirements/ training etc etc is so much better . Plus they have , as you mention , full P.O. powers

My one "sniffle" is the darn bridges (all of them) charge me extra for the " little" trailer I pull behind my Wing.....that makes the GGB charge 7.50 ;-(Yet , If I drive a company truck such as a bobtail with a couple tons of newspaper , its 5 bucks because it has two axles and with my bike + the trailer it counts as a third axle .....life isn't fair LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38715. Re: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 05:56:37 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38694 Message: 38715

speaking of toll. proposed plan to to raise bridge tolls a buck again to
subsidize the BB fiasco overrun

caladded@... wrote:

>ah ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,thanks ....so they ARE 832 certified. I figured with
>the money , they certainly can afford a peace officer over a security officer
>, especially since the requirements/ training etc etc is so much better .
>Plus they have , as you mention , full P.O. powers
>
> My one "sniffle" is the darn bridges (all of them) charge me extra
>for the " little" trailer I pull behind my Wing...........that makes the GGB
>charge 7.50 ;-(Yet , If I drive a company truck such as a
>bobtail with a couple tons of newspaper , its 5 bucks because it has two axles and
>with my bike + the trailer it counts as a third axle ....life isn't fair
>LOL
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38716. Re: [bayscan]bridges

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 06:02:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 7527 Message: 38716

oh yeah, what a mess that is ... plus with the price of gas , its cheaper to sit home and listen to scanners ;-(my GF just drove up to TGU area and back , cost her 50 bucks in gas.....ridiculous
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38717. New File Uploaded To Bayscan: Marin County Sheriff beat map

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 09:40:13 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38717 Message: 38717

Hey guys,

I got bored so I figured out the Marin County Sheriff's beats by listening to traffic stops and logging locations and unit IDs. I got even more bored and made a map for it. :)

The first number is the sub-station, the second number is the beat. Ex: 21 is the Kentfield sub-station, beat 1. That unit's ID on the radio would be "1Q21" or "1Z21", depending on the shift. The first number, 1, stands for what district the PD is. 1 is the Sheriff. The letter stands for what shift it is - Q is day shift, Z is night shift. And the second set of numbers are the sub-station and beat.

Not pictured are the three sergeants. They use the letter X in the unit ID (instead of Q or Z). They are 1x10, 1x30 and 1x40, and there is one per station available at any given time (as far as I can tell, Kentfield seems to not have one that I've heard). x40 rarely ever leaves the station, but x10 and x30 are out and about all the time.

That's all, may you be well informed next time you listen to Marin County Sheriff. 39.24 MHz PL 82.5, patched to MERA TG 45616.

-Inigo

38718. Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 11:45:41 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38694 Message: 38718

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> ah ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,thanks ....so they ARE 832 certified. I figured with
> the money , they certainly can afford a peace officer over a security officer
> , especially since the requirements/ training etc etc is so much better .

Bridge patrol officers are merely certified security guards who have passed PC 832. They are not peace officers as defined in section 830 of the Penal Code. PC 832 is just a 40 hour course on the firearms and laws of arrest. They have no peace officer powers whatsoever. You can see the job description at http://goldengate.org/organization/c/jobs/documents/BridgePatrolOfficer.pdf . They can drive code 3 equipped vehicles because of the CVC section that allows vehicles equipped to respond to medical or traffic emergencies on the bridge to be code 3 equipped. That's why you also see red lights and sirens on the tow trucks.

The security guard who shot the suspect did what any citizen would be allowed to do - shoot a fleeing felon. I agree he did a good job to even get him in the leg considering the circumstances.

Regards, Jim

38719. RE: [bayscan] Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 12:37:30 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38694 Message: 38719

> I believe Bridge Patrol as been around for a little over a year now.

I remember seeing one of their patrol cars back in 2002.

- Brian

38720. Re: [bayscan] New File Uploaded To Bayscan: Marin County Sheriff beat map

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 15:47:24 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38717 Message: 38720

inigo: wow, thanks.

what a great resource this will be.

appreciate your many contributions...

On Monday, Apr 11, 2005, at 02:40 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

>
>
> Hey guys,
>
> I got bored so I figured out the Marin County Sheriff's beats by
> listening to traffic stops and logging locations and unit IDs. I got
> even more bored and made a map for it. :)
>
> The first number is the sub-station, the second number is the beat.
> Ex: 21 is the Kentfield sub-station, beat 1. That unit's ID on the
> radio would be "1Q21" or "1Z21", depending on the shift. The first
> number, 1, stands for what district the PD is. 1 is the Sheriff. The
> letter stands for what shift it is - Q is day shift, Z is night
> shift. And the second set of numbers are the sub-station and beat.
>
> Not pictured are the three sergeants. They use the letter X in the
> unit ID (instead of Q or Z). They are 1x10, 1x30 and 1x40, and there
> is one per station available at any given time (as far as I can tell,
> Kentfield seems to not have one that I've heard). x40 rarely ever
> leaves the station, but x10 and x30 are out and about all the time.
>
> That's all, may you be well informed next time you listen to Marin
> County Sheriff. 39.24 MHz PL 82.5, patched to MERA TG 45616.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38721. auto into lake . San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 15:52:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38721 Message: 38721

SJFD / SC Sheriffs Almaden Lake Park in southern part of town,
car completely submerged, request S/O Dive Team

BC13
USAR 13
E22
Med 30
Truck 18

request USAR 5 USAR 13

0851

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38722. Re: [bayscan] auto into lake . San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 15:54:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38721 Message: 38722

opps ,,,,,,,on Command 3/ 154.115 for fire , not sure on S/O

SCSO 1/ 156.210

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38723. Re: New license for SW airlines, SJC ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 17:11:45 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38695 Message: 38723

I am not familiar with the 452/457 freqs, but 463.7125 (repeats 468.7125) PL D132 has been used by Southwest at SJC for many years. Connects the gate agent, ticket counter, baggage, etc., in Terminal A. These interstitial freqs are lower power, and are used by lots of businesses where extended range is not needed. Looks like a simple license update since the old one (WPGT828) expired on 3/10/05. BTW 131.700 (AM) is the operations frequency for Southwest at SJC when talking to their company planes in the local area.

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> KG6VXT wrote:
>
> > Looks like a new grant:
> > Southwest Airlines - Dave Brewer
> > Dallas, TX
> > [applicant is in the airline business. radios will be used to
> > coordinate activities.]
> >
> > ctrl pt 1 - 2077 Airport Blvd Terminal A San Jose CA 408-283-5911
> > 04/01/05 License Issued
> >
> > 1 - 8 KMRA around 37-21-41.8N 121-53-3.8W (SANTA CLARA) CA
> > 452.2625 MO 12x2e
> > 457.2625 MO 12x2e
> > 463.7125 MO 12x2e
> > 468.7125 MO 12x2e
>
> These are low power, "interstitial" allocations, used for things like
> fast food drive-thrus.
>
> The license, WQLC511, shows a maximum power of 2 watts for these, for up
> to 12 mobile only transmitters.
>
> There is another license, WPGT828, also for Southwest, with the exact
> same location and frequencies, but goes back to 1998 and in one case 1995.
>
> Maybe Southwest gate agents are getting headsets like the Old Navy
> clothing stores :-)

>
> -Johnathan

38724. Re: [bayscan] auto into lake . San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 17:55:12 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38721 Message: 38724

Ed,
1053 hrs.
They just dispatched a gas investigation call to be handled on Comm. 3. Any word on what happened on the auto into lake call?
Brian

On Apr 11, 2005 8:54 AM, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
>
> opps ,,,,,,,on Command 3/ 154.115 for fire , not sure on S/O
>
> SCSO 1/ 156.210
>
> ED
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38725. Re: [bayscan] Re: Golden Gate Bridge Toll Plaza - SHOTS FIRED -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 18:07:43 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38680 Message: 38725

I think I saw it on KCBS, KNBC, and KABC. I was playing with the new HDTV receiver I had just installed for my mom and flipping around without paying too much attention to what channel I was watching.

G.

Fast Eddie <alee2001@...> wrote:
What station is running it down there and what did they show?

Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38726. Re: [bayscan] Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 18:13:01 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38694 Message: 38726

I hope nobody thinks I was criticizing the officer... I wasn't there and don't know the exact circumstance so I'm in no position to do so. My armchair-quarterbacking was speculation as to why he didn't STK a bad guy who had already shot an unarmed toll collector and was clearly still a threat to everyone around. The conditions and circumstance certainly explain it.

BTW, doesn't "murder" require premeditation? I wouldn't call it anything other than justifiable homicide (had the officer killed the bad guy).

Jim Cooke <jjcooke@...> wrote:
The security guard who shot the suspect did what any citizen would be allowed to do - shoot a fleeing felon. I agree he did a good job to even get him in the leg considering the circumstances.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38727. Re: [bayscan] auto into lake . San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:09:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38721 Message: 38727

this has been on the news , some guy saw a big splash , called 911 and divers have confirmed there is a car in about 30' of water . So far no victims and they were getting ready to have a tow pull the car out of the water. They seem to think maybe its someone dumping a stolen car or a car involved in some other crime into the lake to hide evidence. SJFD cancelled 2 or the 3 USARs rolling and the S/O divers were used , they are considering it a crime scene.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38728. Re: [bayscan] Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:14:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38694 Message: 38728

He may very well have been aiming at " body mass" i.e. the chest/back area.Trying to shoot someone in the leg is quite difficult and I doubt taught..... he simply hit the guy lower than he was aiming is my guess

Considering at least one of them (the perp) was running and maybe even both of them, he did hit the guy and stop him, good job on that. I don't understand why the perp abandoned his vehicle , why didn't he drive off and dump the vehicle a little bit later ? but then criminals are never known for their brains.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38729. Re: [bayscan] auto into lake . San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:15:59 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38721 Message: 38729

1210 hours
KCBS now reporting they found an elderly man inside (10-55 coroners case)
the vehicle when they pulled it out of the lake. Unknown if it was simply an
auto accident or if they have a crime scene.
Brian

On Apr 11, 2005 12:09 PM, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
>
> this has been on the news , some guy saw a big splash , called 911 and
> divers have confirmed there is a car in about 30' of water . So far no
> victims and
> they were getting ready to have a tow pull the car out of the water. They
> seem to think maybe its someone dumping a stolen car or a car involved in
> some
> other crime into the lake to hide evidence. SJFD cancelled 2 or the 3
> USARs
> rolling and the S/O divers were used , they are considering it a crime
> scene.
>
> ED
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38730. Re: [bayscan] Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:15:48 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38694 Message: 38730

At 11:13 AM 4/11/2005, you wrote:
>I hope nobody thinks I was criticizing the officer... I wasn't there and
>don't know the exact circumstance so I'm in no position to do so. My
>armchair-quarterbacking was speculation as to why he didn't STK a bad guy
>who had already shot an unarmed toll collector and was clearly still a
>threat to everyone around. The conditions and circumstance certainly
>explain it.

I am not an attorney or a cop, but I don't believe there would be justification to shoot to kill. I believe it's the actions of the perp at that moment that determine the police officers reactions.

Let's say that I had just killed someone. Police officers show up. I put the gun down, hands in the air. If I were shot lethally, I don't think the officer could justify that. If I started aiming my gun at officers, there may be STK justification.

On the other hand, if I just killed someone, and I'm on the run, but not pointing my gun in a threatening manner, I don't believe there's the STK justification there.

>BTW, doesn't "murder" require premeditation? I wouldn't call it anything
>other than justifiable homicide (had the officer killed the bad guy).

I believe the legal term murder requires premeditation, however I think all rules go out the door for police officers - unless of course it can be proved the cop woke up in the morning thinking "Man, I haven't pulled my gun in my 10 years of being a cop. Today's going to be the day. And I think I'll kill someone while I'm at it."

38731. Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:16:50 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38694 Message: 38731

See Penal Code section 187-193 for the definition of murder and manslaughter. Murder or manslaughter is the unlawful taking of life. PC Section 837 defines a private person's right to make arrests. This would include a bridge patrol officer. PC section 197 covers justifiable homicide by a private person. PC section 197(4) covers using deadly force to take into custody a fleeing felon.

Just as a point of interest, California allows a private person every right of arrest granted to a peace officer with the sole exception of arresting a person on a warrant.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
> I hope nobody thinks I was criticizing the officer... I wasn't there and don't know the exact circumstance so I'm in no position to do so. My armchair-quarterbacking was speculation as to why he didn't STK a bad guy who had already shot an unarmed toll collector and was clearly still a threat to everyone around. The conditions and circumstance certainly explain it.
>
> BTW, doesn't "murder" require premeditation? I wouldn't call it anything other than justifiable homicide (had the officer killed the bad guy).
>
> Jim Cooke <jjcooke@y...> wrote:
> The security guard who shot the suspect did what any citizen would be allowed to do - shoot a fleeing felon. I agree he did a good job to even get him in the leg considering the circumstances.

38732. Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:16:36 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38694 Message: 38732

See Penal Code section 187-193 for the definition of murder and manslaughter. Murder or manslaughter is the unlawful taking of life. PC Section 837 defines a private person's right to make arrests. This would include a bridge patrol officer. PC section 197 covers justifiable homicide by a private person. PC section 197(4) covers using deadly force to take into custody a fleeing felon.

Just as a point of interest, California allows a private person every right of arrest granted to a peace officer with the sole exception of arresting a person on a warrant.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Gordon Arnold <gordon@g...> wrote:
> I hope nobody thinks I was criticizing the officer... I wasn't there and don't know the exact circumstance so I'm in no position to do so. My armchair-quarterbacking was speculation as to why he didn't STK a bad guy who had already shot an unarmed toll collector and was clearly still a threat to everyone around. The conditions and circumstance certainly explain it.
>
> BTW, doesn't "murder" require premeditation? I wouldn't call it anything other than justifiable homicide (had the officer killed the bad guy).
>
> Jim Cooke <jjcooke@y...> wrote:
> The security guard who shot the suspect did what any citizen would be allowed to do - shoot a fleeing felon. I agree he did a good job to even get him in the leg considering the circumstances.

38733. Re: [bayscan] auto into lake . San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:25:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38721 Message: 38733

thats sucks , thanks Brian.....they did say water was murkey which would explain why they didn't find the victim until they yanked the car out.....too bad

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38734. Car in Lake - Mercury News Story

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:26:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38734 Message: 38734

Found this on the Mercury News website:

Body found in car that plunged into Almaden Lake in SJ

Mercury News

San Jose police pulled a car from Almaden Lake Park this morning and found the body of a man in the driver's seat.

Sgt. Nick Muyo said police received a call at 8:30 a.m. from a driver who said he saw a four-door sedan entering the South San Jose lake with a splash. The driver went into the park and could see bubbles in the water. He called 911.

Two divers from the Santa Clara Sheriff's Department were dispatched to the scene, at the east end of the lake opposite Almaden Expressway. They found the car upside down at a depth of about 30 feet.

Muyo said the divers were able to get a door partially open, but the water was so murky that they could not determine if there was anybody inside.

When the the car was pulled from the water at 11:20, the found what police describe as an elderly man in the driver's seat. Muyo said there was no sign of foul play.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38735. More GG Bridge Shooting Information

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 19:54:38 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38735 Message: 38735

I just got off the phone with my source at the GG Bridge. The person in the stolen car attempted to holdup the tolltaker. When she didn't give him the money fast enough, he shot her. She had already pressed the 211 alarm button so a bridge sergeant and patrol officer were exiting the bridge office. The suspect's car stalled and wouldn't start so he abandoned the car in the toll lane. He fired at least one shot at the bridge officers as they approached him. The suspect then fled into the bridge office parking lot where he was shot by the patrol officer after refusing to stop. The suspect was already in custody before other police agencies arrived on scene but the CHP, SFPD and US Park Police searched the area for some time because it was initially thought there was a second suspect.

The tolltaker is doing well in the hospital and is expected to be released in a few days.

In answer to the question about how long there has been a bridge patrol, it has been in existence, at least with marked units, since 1982. The first marked unit the bridge got was a 1982 police package Dodge Diplomat similar to the CHP units at the time. A second marked unit was added in 1987. Patrols were conducted by the bridge sergeants who were the only armed officers at the time. After 9/11, the bridge established a security and patrol division and started hiring armed patrol officers. The bridge now has five marked units and one unmarked unit along with 18 patrol officers and 6 sergeants.

Regards, Jim

38736. Re: [bayscan] Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 20:13:42 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 38694 Message: 38736

Powers of arrest are different for peace officers and private persons in several areas. I invite you to compare and contrast the differences of 836 PC(peace officer) and 837 PC (private person) for yourself at _http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/_ (http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/) . In addition, case law has proven
great differences in the powers of arrest and use of force regarding private persons and peace officers.

Ranking Golden Gate Bridge District Toll Sergeants, Lieutenants, etc are sworn peace officers. The do not collect money at toll booths but supervise security and operations from the toll center office.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38737. Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-11 20:58:11 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38694 Message: 38737

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, qualoptics@a... wrote:
> Powers of arrest are different for peace officers and private persons in
> several areas. I invite you to compare and contrast the differences of 836
> PC(peace officer) and 837 PC (private person) for yourself at
> _http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/_ (http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/) . In addition, case law has proven
> great differences in the powers of arrest and use of force regarding private
> persons and peace officers.

We are straying off-topic again but I'll just say that I'm well aware of PC 836 and 837. The basic difference in arrest powers is that a peace officer can arrest on a warrant and a private person can't. I'm not aware of any case law that changes that. There's certainly case law that differentiates the use of force by private persons and peace officers but the use of force during a felony in progress is something that's no different between a peace officer and a private person. Both have to be prepared to prove that the level of force applied was that needed to stop the felon and take him into custody.

> Ranking Golden Gate Bridge District Toll Sergeants, Lieutenants, etc are
> sworn peace officers. The do not collect money at toll booths but supervise
> security and operations from the toll center office.

Do you have a source for this assertion? My contact at the GG Bridge tells me they are considered security guards by law and are not sworn peace officers. These postions are also not listed in the Penal Code as peace officers.

Regards, Jim

38738. Re: [bayscan] Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 01:31:33 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38694 Message: 38738

Didn't one of the media articles posted here indicate some sort of mechanical failure?

aladded@... wrote:I don't understand why the perp abandoned his vehicle, why didn't he drive off and dump the vehicle a little bit later?

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38739. Re: [bayscan] Re: Toll Collector Gunned Down On Golden Gate Bridge

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 01:34:09 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38694 Message: 38739

yeah, that was answered .....he stalled

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38740. O/T - Baltimore

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 03:49:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38740 Message: 38740

Baltimore working a 4th alarm , block long warehouse fully involved

(might be on late night news ??)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38741. Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 06:10:51 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38741 Message: 38741

Can someone help me to program the National Park Service system for the Golden Gate National Recreation Area into my Pro-2096? I followed the instructions in the manual as best I could understand them using the information from RadioReference.com (http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=349) and while I can hear the control channel on manual and am in "open" mode when scanning I am not hearing any talkgroups. I ordered the cable... I'm just eager to get started. Though I'm familiar with programming trunking radios this is my first trunking scanner. I wonder if the problem is the blank offset information in the RadioReference.com is the problem.

Thanks in advance.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38742. Re: [bayscan] Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 06:18:43 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38741 Message: 38742

It's 100 times easier from win96. Just wait for the cable to arrive.
Remember to tell the program to use the custom table that you entered.
It's a bug in the software.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> Can someone help me to program the National Park Service system for the Golden Gate National Recreation Area into my Pro-2096? I followed the instructions in the manual as best I could understand them using the information from RadioReference.com (http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=349) and while I can hear the control channel on manual and am in "open" mode when scanning I am not hearing any talkgroups. I ordered the cable... I'm just eager to get started. Though I'm familiar with programming trunking radios this is my first trunking scanner. I wonder if the problem is the blank offset information in the RadioReference.com is the problem.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38743. Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 07:25:56 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38741 Message: 38743

There's no bug in the software although I agree it's much easier program the 96/2096 using Win 96. If you follow the directions on programming UHF trunking systems, the only thing you have to change is the base frequency, which should be 413.000 mHz rather than the standard 380 mHz that's shown when you hit FUNC + 2 to enter the data. All the rest of the data (Offset of 380 and Spacing of 25kHz) is correct and can be left unchanged. The RR database doesn't really make this clear.

Assuming you entered the correct base frequency already and still aren't hearing anything, just listen a while longer. The GGNRA system can be very quiet, especially at night.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> It's 100 times easier from win96. Just wait for the cable to arrive.
> Remember to tell the program to use the custom table that you entered.
> It's a bug in the software.
>
>
>
>
> Gordon Arnold wrote:
> > Can someone help me to program the National Park Service system for the Golden Gate National Recreation Area into my Pro-2096? I followed the instructions in the manual as best I could understand them using the information from RadioReference.com (http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=349) and while I can hear the control channel on manual and am in "open" mode when scanning I am not hearing any talkgroups. I ordered the cable... I'm just eager to get started. Though I'm familiar with programming trunking radios this is my first trunking scanner. I wonder if the problem is the blank offset information in the RadioReference.com is the problem.
> >
> > Thanks in advance.

38744. PRO-2051

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 07:45:40 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38744 Message: 38744

Anyone on the list have a PRO-2051? I was just thinking of buying something
I don't need and was curious what others thought.

Of course its just an additional scanner for the non-digital stuff outside
of Marin.

Robert
BayScan

38745. Moffett: Oops!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 16:31:02 UTC
From: John Drake
Topic: 38745 Message: 38745

Thankfully nobody was hurt.

JD
Timestamp: April 12 2005 - 09:30 (Pacific Daylight Time)

http://www.mercurynews.com/mld/mercurynews/news/local/11372868.htm

Air rescue 'dummy' drops in on golf links

NATIONAL GUARD CREW MISSES MOFFETT FIELD

By Elise Ackerman

Mercury News

The good news is the Air National Guard pilot scored a hole-in-one at the Sunnyvale Municipal Golf Course.

The bad news is he was aiming for a drop zone in Moffett Field, on the other side of Highway 101.

About half a dozen golfers were gathered around the 16th hole about 12:45 p.m. Monday when an orange duffel bag arced out of the back of an MC-130 transport plane 750 feet overhead.

``It landed in the fairway, which is pretty much the middle of the hole,'' said Tyler Cripe, assistant manager of the golf course.

Cripe said the 30-pound bag did not hit any golfers.

Lt. Toni Gray, spokeswoman for the 129th Rescue Wing California Air National Guard, said the pilot of the plane, which is modified for air rescue missions, had been practicing training drops all morning over Moffett Field, about a mile and a half away from the golf course. The exercise was meant to simulate the delivery of an inflatable raft during rescue; the duffel was stuffed with rags.

Gray said the crew was about 45 seconds away from Moffett, preparing to drop the duffel, when the wind shifted and scooped the bag out of the open bay.

The pilot was too surprised to yell ``Fore!'' but immediately radioed the base, which called the golf course.

Cripe said the bag didn't cause any damage, but the course isn't ready to give the pilot a mulligan the next time he flies over.

38746. experimental plane crash

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 17:08:43 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38746 Message: 38746

Just heard some of the media talking about a small experimental plane that crashed at the Hayward airport.

The plane had an engine failure and the pilot had to ditch the plane, he hit some truck and did some major damage to the front of it but there are no reported injuries.

10:08 am

Robert

BayScan

38747. Re: [bayscan] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 17:12:48 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38741 Message: 38747

Bugs are in the eye of the beholder. A good gui design would first require the user to check the "custom table" radio box before allowing the data in the custom trunking table to be entered. As it stands now, you can change the data in the custom trucking table without instructing the radio to use that data.

The programmer has been informed of the bug, but doesn't consider it a bug. Yet new users constantly fall into this trap, so I consider it a bug.

Jim Cooke wrote:
>
> There's no bug in the software although I agree it's much easier
> program the 96/2096 using Win 96. If you follow the directions on
> programming UHF trunking systems, the only thing you have to change
> is the base frequency, which should be 413.000 mHz rather than the
> standard 380 mHz that's shown when you hit FUNC + 2 to enter the
> data. All the rest of the data (Offset of 380 and Spacing of 25kHz)
> is correct and can be left unchanged. The RR database doesn't really
> make this clear.
>
> Assuming you entered the correct base frequency already and still
> aren't hearing anything, just listen a while longer. The GGNRA system
> can be very quiet, especially at night.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>It's 100 times easier from win96. Just wait for the cable to
>
> arrive.
>
>>Remember to tell the program to use the custom table that you
>
> entered.
>
>>It's a bug in the software.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Gordon Arnold wrote:
>>
>>>Can someone help me to program the National Park Service system
>

> for the Golden Gate National Recreation Area into my Pro-2096? I
> followed the instructions in the manual as best I could understand
> them using the information from RadioReference.com
> (http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=349) and
> while I can hear the control channel on manual and am in "open" mode
> when scanning I am not hearing any talkgroups. I ordered the cable...
> I'm just eager to get started. Though I'm familiar with programming
> trunking radios this is my first trunking scanner. I wonder if the
> problem is the blank offset information in the RadioReference.com is
> the problem.
>
>>>
>>>Thanks in advance.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38748. national public safety dispatcher week

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 17:36:36 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38748 Message: 38748

info about so co's resources regarding national public safety dispatcher week....

>
>
> ---
> National Public Safety Dispatcher Week
> ---
> Posted Tuesday, April 12, 2005 at 10:25, AM
> National Public Safety Dispatcher Week
> April 10th ☐ 16th, 2005
>
> In 1991, Congress proclaimed the second week of April as a nationally
> accepted week of recognition for public safety dispatchers. It is
> dedicated to the men and women who steadfastly serve within the
> profession. During the week of April 10th ☐ 16th, the County of
> Sonoma recognizes the important role played by their telecommunication
> personnel.
>
> The Sonoma County Sheriff☐s Department celebrates this year☐s National
> Public Safety Dispatcher Week in honor of their dedicated dispatchers
> who handle thousands of emergency events within various jurisdictions:
> Sonoma County, Town of Windsor and the City of Sonoma. Members of the
> Sheriff☐s Dispatch Bureau provide a professional, service-oriented
> link between field personnel and the citizens within Sonoma County.
> Daily responsibilities include the coordination of calls for service
> from the public, the constant monitoring and interaction with field
> resources, and routine interaction with allied agencies for law, fire
> and medical services. Dispatch personnel work around the clock, each
> day, in support of field personnel and to ensure public safety.
>
> Please join us in supporting this very important week of public safety
> appreciation.
>
> Facts about the Sheriff☐s Dispatch Bureau:
> ☐ Staff - 28 dispatchers, 5 shift supervisors
> ☐ Primary Public Safety Answering point for 9-1-1 calls within the
> unincorporated areas of Sonoma County, the Town of Windsor and the
> City of Sonoma
> ☐ Back-up site for each city police department☐s 9-1-1 system
> ☐ Routinely interact with personnel from city, county, state and
> federal agencies
> ☐ Operate various sophisticated radio, phone and computer-aided
> dispatch systems

> ☐ Process several hundred activities each day
>
> For further information, please contact Dispatch Manager Steve Bell at
> 707-565-2212.
>
> Prepared by Dispatch Manager Steve Bell
>
>
>
nina

38749. Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 17:49:03 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38741 Message: 38749

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> Bugs are in the eye of the beholder. A good gui design would first
> require the user to check the "custom table" radio box before
allowing
> the data in the custom trunking table to be entered. As it stands
now,
> you can change the data in the custom trucking table without
instructing
> the radio to use that data.
>
> The programmer has been informed of the bug, but doesn't consider
it a
> bug. Yet new users constantly fall into this trap, so I consider it
a bug.
>
> Jim Cooke wrote:
> >
> > There's no bug in the software although I agree it's much easier
> > program the 96/2096 using Win 96.
<SNIP>

Gary,

I don't know what version of Win96 you're using but I'm using version 01.45 and I can't enter any data in the custom table fields unless I first select the "Custom Table" radio button. If you could enter custom table data in earlier version without first selecting the custom table button then I agree with you about a poor programming decision. It looks like it's fixed in the version I have so maybe you you have an older version and should download the current version.

Regards, Jim

38750. 2nd alarm Belmont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 18:48:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38750 Message: 38750

Sounds like an apartment building , 1145 Alameda De Las Pulgas

NOW a 3rd alarm fire on 1st floor extended to 2nd floor

153.89 Control 1

156.105 Control 5

1148 hours
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38751. Second Alarm - Belmont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 18:49:40 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38751 Message: 38751

1145 Alameda de las Pulgas for a second alarm structure fire.

Working on Control 5 - 156.105 and available on FireDispath.com

-C-

38752. Re: [bayscan] 2nd alarm Belmont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 18:50:18 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38750 Message: 38752

Sorry ED - backing out...take it away.

On Apr 12, 2005, at 11:48 AM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> Sounds like an apartment building , 1145 Alameda De Las Pulgas
>
> NOW a 3rd alarm fire on 1st floor extended to 2nd floor
>
> 153.89 Control 1
>
> 156.105 Control 5
>
> 1148 hours
> ED

38753. Re: [bayscan] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 18:53:54 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38741 Message: 38753

I had an older version and I see this has been fixed. Don had insisted
for a long time that this was not a bug and would not be changed since
you can program the scanner by hand in the same stupid fashion. I guess
enough complaints changed his mind.

Jim Cooke wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>Bugs are in the eye of the beholder. A good gui design would first
>>require the user to check the "custom table" radio box before
>
> allowing
>
>>the data in the custom trunking table to be entered. As it stands
>
> now,
>
>>you can change the data in the custom trucking table without
>
> instructing
>
>>the radio to use that data.
>>
>>The programmer has been informed of the bug, but doesn't consider
>
> it a
>
>>bug. Yet new users constantly fall into this trap, so I consider it
>
> a bug.
>
>>Jim Cooke wrote:
>>
>>>There's no bug in the software although I agree it's much easier
>>>program the 96/2096 using Win 96.
>
> <SNIP>
>
> Gary,
>
> I don't know what version of Win96 you're using but I'm using version
> 01.45 and I can't enter any data in the custom table fields unless I
> first select the "Custom Table" radio button. If you could enter
> custom table data in earlier version without first selecting the

> custom table button then I agree with you about a poor programming
> decision. It looks like it's fixed in the version I have so maybe you
> you have an older version and should download the current version.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38754. 3rd alarm Belmont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 18:59:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38754 Message: 38754

1145 Alameda De Las Pulgas @ Carlmont 1st and 2nd floors , sounds like an apartment building

1) E15 E14 E16 T13 BC 4

2) E17 E27 E217 T9 BC3 BC6 Breathing Support 17 Med 2

3) E23 18 33 T1 BC2

Mutual Aid includes San Mateo City , San Mateo County , Foster City , Central County(E33-Hillsborough) Redwood City and Menlo Park

Control 1 / 153.89
Control 5/ 156.105 - on Firedispatch.com

1158 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38755. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm Belmont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 19:02:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38755 Message: 38755

No problem Craig .....confirming it IS an apartment building,

the IDT has established Alameda Communications and requesting Red Cross as they are going to have numerous people displaced.....

1201 Ed

153.89
156.105

(note, FireDispatch.com is the way to go to monitor the Tac channel ((((
Control 5)))

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38756. The Easy Way Re: [bayscan] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 19:06:52 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 38756 Message: 38756

For me... I just put the 4 main freqs into a scanner in conventional mode
and listen away. YES you do get some stuff you might not want to listen to
ie: park maintenance but I have also found that maintenance is often first
on scene or even the original RP for many incidents. My 2c......

----- Original Message -----
From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2005 11:53 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

>
> I had an older version and I see this has been fixed. Don had insisted
> for a long time that this was not a bug and would not be changed since
> you can program the scanner by hand in the same stupid fashion. I guess
> enough complaints changed his mind.
>
> Jim Cooke wrote:
>>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>>
>>>Bugs are in the eye of the beholder. A good gui design would first
>>>require the user to check the "custom table" radio box before
>>
>> allowing
>>
>>>the data in the custom trunking table to be entered. As it stands
>>
>> now,
>>
>>>you can change the data in the custom trucking table without
>>
>> instructing
>>
>>>the radio to use that data.
>>>
>>>The programmer has been informed of the bug, but doesn't consider
>>
>> it a
>>
>>>bug. Yet new users constantly fall into this trap, so I consider it
>>
>> a bug.
>>

>>>Jim Cooke wrote:
>>>
>>>>There's no bug in the software although I agree it's much easier
>>>>program the 96/2096 using Win 96.
>>
>> <SNIP>
>>
>> Gary,
>>
>> I don't know what version of Win96 you're using but I'm using version
>> 01.45 and I can't enter any data in the custom table fields unless I
>> first select the "Custom Table" radio button. If you could enter
>> custom table data in earlier version without first selecting the
>> custom table button then I agree with you about a poor programming
>> decision. It looks like it's fixed in the version I have so maybe you
>> you have an older version and should download the current version.
>>
>> Regards, Jim
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38757. 3rd alarm Belmont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 19:18:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38754 Message: 38757

Sounds like they are getting knockdown / released E23 and T1

substitute E20 for E217 on my list

requested Medic unit, unknown if FF or Civilian

1218..........probably my last update , unless things go south
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38758. 3rd alarm in Belmont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-12 19:53:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38758 Message: 38758

They released E14 and them recalled them and along with a couple other units have some fire on the 3rd floor.....maybe not a lot , but it sounds like they have some fire on all 3 floors. Still, E23 and T1 were released (wondering if premature move ?) Just now assigning T13 to 2nd floor on active fire

also using Control 32 / 153.83 probably simplex

Control 5 / 156.105 still quite active

Belmont PD also active / 488.4875

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38759. Re: [RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 02:38:42 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38759 Message: 38759

That was it. The table was not selected. Thanks so much, Frank. I had stumbled onto that menu but not pressed UP or DOWN for fear of messing something up. I'm now hearing the NPS GGNRA as desired. Figures that cable would come today. ;-)

Odd thing... before I selected the table the scanner would stop, display a VC, but I would hear no audio... I think that was because it was going to the wrong frequency, correct?

fmonx <fmonx@...> wrote:

Do you have these settings PGM->TRUNK then FUNC + 2?
Base: 413.0000
Offset: ??? (nothing listed on your RR page) Try 380.
Step: 25.0 (listed as Spacing on your RR page)

Then have you set the table PGM->TRUNK then FUNC = 3? Press UP repeatedly until Table appears on line 4 then ENTER.

Frank

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38760. Re: [bayscan] Re: [RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 02:41:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38760 Message: 38760

much easier with uniden

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38761. Re: [bayscan] Re: [RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 02:49:05 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38759 Message: 38761

I'm not sure what a VC is, but as I stated in my other email if everything isn't programmed correctly, the scanner displays a TG but no audio only because the frequency isn't active. [If there is something on that channel, you would probably hear it, even if the channel has nothing to do with the trunk system.] I think it also may show the frequency or alternate between the two displays.

What is really annoying are those split systems. If you don't program it correctly, the scanner may work for only some frequencies.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> That was it. The table was not selected. Thanks so much, Frank. I had stumbled onto that menu but not pressed UP or DOWN for fear of messing something up. I'm now hearing the NPS GGNRA as desired. Figures that cable would come today. ;-)
>
> Odd thing... before I selected the table the scanner would stop, display a VC, but I would hear no audio... I think that was because it was going to the wrong frequency, correct?
>
> fmonx <fmonx@...> wrote:
>
>
> Do you have these settings PGM->TRUNK then FUNC + 2?
> Base: 413.0000
> Offset: ??? (nothing listed on your RR page) Try 380.
> Step: 25.0 (listed as Spacing on your RR page)
>
> Then have you set the table PGM->TRUNK then FUNC = 3? Press UP repeatedly until Table appears on line 4 then ENTER.
>
> Frank
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38762. Re: [bayscan] Re: [RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 02:54:21 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38759 Message: 38762

VC = Voice Channel

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:I'm not sure what a VC is

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Helps protect you from nasty viruses.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38763. [RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 04:02:48 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38759 Message: 38763

>VC = Voice Channel

Silly GRE with their irrelevant made up terms. :) And yes I own the Pro-96, I've got nothing but love for it.

>For me... I just put the 4 main freqs into a scanner in conventional
>mode
>and listen away. YES you do get some stuff you might not want to
>listen to
>ie: park maintenance but I have also found that maintenance is often
>first
>on scene or even the original RP for many incidents.

Why listen with a trunking scanner if you're not going to use the trunking? I have no problem with listening to maintenance, the trouble is unless you memorize everyone's ID in the entire GGNRA (ex: Car 813, oh that's Park Police!), you're going to be scratching your head at some point wondering what the context of the conversation you're hearing is, because you don't know who it is that's talking. I encourage using the Pro-2096 to its full potential... I've heard some very candid conversations from the Park PD and Park Rangers on their Ch. 2 TG I wouldn't have known were them otherwise. :)

-Inigo

38764. Re: trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 06:55:40 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 38703 Message: 38764

Can you hear Travis from Oakley?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> From Oakley I can pick up on my Pro 95, Bart, Alco and Sac county,
that's about the extent of it.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: kdawg122786
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2005 2:14 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] trunked frequencies
>
>
>
> hello all,
>
> I have a pro 95 scanner and have it almost programmed. However
i need
> to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive in my
area i
> live in Antioch. Any help will be appreciated. I have the
radioshack
> scanning book and cd. so i just need to know if there are any
trunked
> frequencies i can receive.
>
> thanks
> Kyle
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms

of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date:
4/7/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date: 4/7/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38765. Crash / Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 06:57:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38765 Message: 38765

Engine 1 at Mathilda and Washington , request Engine 3 for manpower , Truck 2 and Rescue 2 for Jaws. Mini-van hit center-divider , people trapped. 2nd AMR rig also requested

Sunnyvale Fire 482.7125
Sunnyvale Police 482.9625

2356 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38766. Fire in Sonoma County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 08:42:12 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38766 Message: 38766

3400 Standish , west of 101 between Rohnert Park and Santa Rosa , Waste Management company. no updates 2nd alarm

154.310 Redcomm Dispatch

154.145 Control 3
154.295 Tac 5 (White 3)

0140 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38767. Re: [bayscan] Re: trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 14:18:43 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 38703 Message: 38767

You know that's a good question, I haven't tried Travis yet. I've tried Vacaville and have had no luck. I'll check that out today and get back to you.

----- Original Message -----
From: kma371
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2005 11:55 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: trunked frequencies

Can you hear Travis from Oakley?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> From Oakley I can pick up on my Pro 95, Bart, Alco and Sac county,
that's about the extent of it.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: kdawg122786
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2005 2:14 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] trunked frequencies
>
>
>
> hello all,
>
> I have a pro 95 scanner and have it almost programmed. However
i need
> to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive in my
area i
> live in Antioch. Any help will be appreciated. I have the
radioshack
> scanning book and cd. so i just need to know if there are any
trunked
> frequencies i can receive.
>
> thanks
> Kyle
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date:
4/7/2005
>
> ---
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date: 4/7/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.7 - Release Date: 4/12/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.

Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.7 - Release Date: 4/12/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38768. Re: [bayscan] Re: trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 14:31:02 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 38703 Message: 38768

I knew there was a reason I haven't tried Travis, it's digital and I don't do digital, I only have a BC780 here, so I guess the answer would be no.

----- Original Message -----
From: kma371
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2005 11:55 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: trunked frequencies

Can you hear Travis from Oakley?

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> From Oakley I can pick up on my Pro 95, Bart, Alco and Sac county, that's about the extent of it.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: kdawg122786
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2005 2:14 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] trunked frequencies
>
>
>
> hello all,
>
> I have a pro 95 scanner and have it almost programmed. However i need
> to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive in my area i
> live in Antioch. Any help will be appreciated. I have the radioshack
> scanning book and cd. so i just need to know if there are any trunked
> frequencies i can receive.
>
> thanks
> Kyle
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---

>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date:
4/7/2005
>
> ---
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date: 4/7/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.7 - Release Date: 4/12/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.

Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.7 - Release Date: 4/12/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38769. Re: [bayscan] Re: trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 15:33:28 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38703 Message: 38769

Well in theory you could try to hear the control channel, but I doubt it
will reach you. The transmitter site is nothing special, i.e. it's just
an antenna on a building, not one in the hills.

Danny Monge wrote:
> I knew there was a reason I haven't tried Travis, it's digital and I don't do digital, I
only have a BC780 here, so I guess the answer would be no.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: kma371
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2005 11:55 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: trunked frequencies
>
>
>
> Can you hear Travis from Oakley?
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> > From Oakley I can pick up on my Pro 95, Bart, Alco and Sac county,
> that's about the extent of it.
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: kdawg122786
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2005 2:14 PM
> > Subject: [bayscan] trunked frequencies
> >
> >
> >
> > hello all,
> >
> > I have a pro 95 scanner and have it almost programmed. However
> i need
> > to know if there are any trunked frequencies i can receive in my
> area i
> > live in Antioch. Any help will be appreciated. I have the
> radioshack
> > scanning book and cd. so i just need to know if there are any
> trunked
> > frequencies i can receive.
> >
> > thanks

> > Kyle
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> ------------
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> > bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
> of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> ------------
> >
> >
> > No virus found in this incoming message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date:
> 4/7/2005
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.5 - Release Date: 4/7/2005
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
>
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.7 - Release Date: 4/12/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.7 - Release Date: 4/12/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38770. [RS_GRE-Digital-Scanner] Re: Programming NPS GGNRA into the PRO-2096

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 17:41:07 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 38759 Message: 38770

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> I'm not sure what a VC is, but as I stated in my other email if
> everything isn't programmed correctly, the scanner displays a TG but
no
> audio only because the frequency isn't active.

Charlie? ;)

It stand for 'Voice Channel'. I am pretty sure it is an analog Voice
Channel, and the P25 decoded channels are listed as DG or Dg depending
on whether DSP AGC is turned ON or Off. :)

http://www.starrsoft.com/software/win96/PRO-96Display.pdf

...is a great reference...

38771. Re: Fire in Sonoma County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 17:57:32 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 38766 Message: 38771

ED posted

> Date: Wed, 13 Apr 2005 04:42:12 EDT
> From: caladded@...
>Subject: Fire in Sonoma County
>
> 3400 Standish , west of 101 between Rohnert Park and Santa Rosa , Waste
>Management company. no updates 2nd alarm
>
>
Heard that one. Fire was under one of the recycling lines and was
quickly contained. God thignk too. Woudl aht eto betowthout trash pickup
for a couple of wereks. Ouch!

Your post on the 0140 incident showed up at 0442. Heh!

Cortland
KA5S

38772. alameda county frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 19:05:49 UTC
From: Eddie Ragasa
Topic: 38772 Message: 38772

Good afternoon...I was looking through various sites that listed the trunk frequencies for Alameda County and found that most all of them either listed the same frequencies or listed a couple more/less than the other sites. Is there a site that list the most up to date frequency information for Alameda County with trunk ID's?

Thanks,
Eddie Ragasa
medic2442@...
eragasa@...

38773. Re: [bayscan] alameda county frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 19:38:24 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38772 Message: 38773

866.1500 866.4250 866.8000 866.9375* 867.1500
867.2500 867.4000* 867.6750 867.7750
867.9250 868.0375 868.0875* 868.2000
868.2250 868.2750 868.3625 868.4375 868.6500
868.7125 868.7625* 868.9250

Eddie Ragasa wrote:

>Good afternoon...I was looking through various sites that listed the trunk
>frequencies for Alameda County and found that most all of them either listed
>the same frequencies or listed a couple more/less than the other sites. Is
>there a site that list the most up to date frequency information for Alameda
>County with trunk ID's?
>
>Thanks,
>Eddie Ragasa
>medic2442@...
>eragasa@...
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38774. Re: [bayscan] alameda county frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 19:41:06 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38772 Message: 38774

At 12:05 PM 4/13/2005, you wrote:
>Good afternoon...I was looking through various sites that listed the trunk
>frequencies for Alameda County and found that most all of them either listed
>the same frequencies or listed a couple more/less than the other sites. Is
>there a site that list the most up to date frequency information for Alameda
>County with trunk ID's?

http://www.radioreference.com has the frequencies, and I believe they're
also in the files section here.

38775. Re: [bayscan] alameda county frequencies // pothole on 880

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 19:41:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38775 Message: 38775

Looks like he wants TGs too Ben ////////// ya want to list all
of them ?/ LOL I would try www.radioreferance.com

btw , BIG pothole , like 3-4 feet wide N/B 880 @ High st in Oakland , BIG
mess , Caltrans estimates repairs will take until at least
1500.....how much anyone wanna bet its more like 1800

CHP issued a Sigalert and big rigs can use 580 until its fixed.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38776. Possible Structure Fire - San Rafael -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 22:34:38 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38776 Message: 38776

Responding Units:

E56 E58 E52 T54 T57 Med 56 Chief 53

Professional Center Pkwy. at Channing

15:35

-Inigo

38777. Re: Possible Structure Fire - San Rafael -

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 22:48:46 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38776 Message: 38777

Everyone switched to TAC GREEN - 46.56 PL 82.5 - and it's simplex so I can't hear the progress on the fire (help! Someone near San Rafael! lol). Prior to the push to "Green" Chief 53 established IC and reported there was smoke showing from a second floor window, but an Engine company who was first at scene reported an RP in the building said it was possibly out.

-No further updates unless the bla bla =) -

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> Responding Units:
>
> E56 E58 E52 T54 T57 Med 56 Chief 53
>
> Professional Center Pkwy. at Channing
>
> 15:35
>
> -Inigo

38778. [SJFD] Rescue 15:57

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 22:59:05 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 38778 Message: 38778

E24 E31 Truck 2 Med 30 Battalion 2

2686 Brahms Ave @ Chopan

3 y.o. child that had a "gate" fall on him/her.

Command 3 154.115

38779. Re: [SJFD] Rescue 16:00

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-13 23:00:20 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 38778 Message: 38779

Cancel complete, child was not trapped.

38780. Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 02:47:29 UTC
From: John Beatty
Topic: 38780 Message: 38780

Here's some photos from the GGB comm. drill.
The black van is the National Guard Comm The rack mount units (with
the
pickup in the back)
are the ACS1000 units full of Astro Spectras and
plain Spectras. They are supposed to be portable,
but they are like the military definition of portable.
A 250 pound box with handles. It actually breaks down into
two units, but it's still quite heavy (my back still hurts)
J.B. AD6WX
http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/jbxx@.../alb
um?.dir=ae6a&.src=ph&s
tore=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//photos.yahoo.com/ph//my_photos

38781. Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 02:50:52 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 38780 Message: 38781

Try this :
http://tinyurl.com/4w4z5

John Beatty <jbxx@...> wrote:

Here's some photos from the GGB comm. drill.
The black van is the National Guard Comm The rack mount units (with
the
pickup in the back)
are the ACS1000 units full of Astro Spectras and
plain Spectras. They are supposed to be portable,
but they are like the military definition of portable.
A 250 pound box with handles. It actually breaks down into
two units, but it's still quite heavy (my back still hurts)
J.B. AD6WX
http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/jbxx@.../alb
um?.dir=ae6a&.src=ph&s
tore=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//photos.yahoo.com/ph//my_photos

Yahoo! Groups Links

Credo Quia Absurdum Est
Credo Ut Intelligam

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38782. Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 03:05:57 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 38780 Message: 38782

Very cool photos, looks like it was fun. Thanks for sharing.

----- Original Message -----
From: john Beatty
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2005 7:50 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Try this :
http://tinyurl.com/4w4z5

John Beatty <jbxx@...> wrote:

Here's some photos from the GGB comm. drill.
The black van is the National Guard Comm The rack mount units (with
the
pickup in the back)
are the ACS1000 units full of Astro Spectras and
plain Spectras. They are supposed to be portable,
but they are like the military definition of portable.
A 250 pound box with handles. It actually breaks down into
two units, but it's still quite heavy (my back still hurts)
J.B. AD6WX
http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/jbxx@.../alb
um?.dir=ae6a&.src=ph&s
tore=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//photos.yahoo.com/ph//my_photos

Yahoo! Groups Links

Credo Quia Absurdum Est
Credo Ut Intelligam

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.7 - Release Date: 4/12/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.7 - Release Date: 4/12/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38783. san rafael 52048 2147

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 04:47:54 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38783 Message: 38783

what's going on?
==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38784. Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 04:51:09 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38780 Message: 38784

ACS1000 doesn't seem to show up on the Moto website. What radios are in
the package?

Also, where was the other site with which you were communicating located.

John Beatty wrote:
>
> Here's some photos from the GGB comm. drill.
> The black van is the National Guard Comm The rack mount units (with
> the
> pickup in the back)
> are the ACS1000 units full of Astro Spectras and
> plain Spectras. They are supposed to be portable,
> but they are like the military definition of portable.
> A 250 pound box with handles. It actually breaks down into
> two units, but it's still quite heavy (my back still hurts)
> J.B. AD6WX
> http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/jbxx@.../alb
> um?.dir=ae6a&.src=ph&s
> tore=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//photos.yahoo.com/ph//my_photos
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38785. Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 04:57:05 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38780 Message: 38785

The ACU-1000 is not a Motorola product. It is marketed by JPS. www.jps.com

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:ACS1000 doesn't seem to show up on the Moto website. What radios are in
the package?

Also, where was the other site with which you were communicating located.

John Beatty wrote:
>
> Here's some photos from the GGB comm. drill.
> The black van is the National Guard Comm The rack mount units (with
> the
> pickup in the back)
> are the ACS1000 units full of Astro Spectras and
> plain Spectras. They are supposed to be portable,
> but they are like the military definition of portable.
> A 250 pound box with handles. It actually breaks down into
> two units, but it's still quite heavy (my back still hurts)
> J.B. AD6WX
> http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/jbxx@.../alb
> um?.dir=ae6a&.src=ph&s
> tore=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//photos.yahoo.com/ph//my_photos
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38786. Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 05:14:15 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38780 Message: 38786

http://www.jps.com/downloads/PDFS/acuupgrade.pdf
http://www.jps.com/downloads/PDFS/acu1000app.pdf
Hmmh, it says easily transportable....

I can see why JPS doesn't bother making those low cost HAM antenna noise
cancelers or DSP boxes. The ACU-1000 looks like it costs megabucks.

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> The ACU-1000 is not a Motorola product. It is marketed by JPS. www.jps.com
>
> gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:ACS1000 doesn't seem to show up on the Moto website. What
radios are in
> the package?
>
> Also, where was the other site with which you were communicating located.
>
>
> John Beatty wrote:
>
>>Here's some photos from the GGB comm. drill.
>>The black van is the National Guard Comm The rack mount units (with
>>the
>>pickup in the back)
>>are the ACS1000 units full of Astro Spectras and
>>plain Spectras. They are supposed to be portable,
>>but they are like the military definition of portable.
>>A 250 pound box with handles. It actually breaks down into
>>two units, but it's still quite heavy (my back still hurts)
>>J.B. AD6WX
>>http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/jbxx@.../alb
>>um?.dir=ae6a&.src=ph&s
>>tore=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//photos.yahoo.com/ph//my_photos
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Make Yahoo! your home page
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38787. Bad TC in Solano

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 05:28:11 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38787 Message: 38787

Just got home and heard the radio traffic for this one, nasty head on TC. CALSTAR is about to lift off with the worst patient, they said severe injuries. If you monitor 155.280 you can hear the patient transport information.

Incident: 2217 Type: Traffic Collision - No Details Location: EB I80 JEO N TEXAS ST Zoom Map: 452 7G Info as of: 4/13/2005 10:25:17 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
10:23PM - CLOSURE FOR EXTENDED PERIOD, UNK ETA FOR OPENING
10:22PM - PER S8, 1 MAJ INJ WILL BE LIFEFLIGHTED, ALL LANES CLOSED EXCEPT RHS
10:20PM - S8 REQ ETA FOR THE SIGNS
10:19PM - 1039 DOTDCC / WILL ACTIVATE CMS ON N680 GOLDHILL, E80 WILLOW & REDWOOD ST
10:18PM - 1039 FFPD FOR 1184 AT AIR BASE
10:16PM - S8 REQ A SIGALERT AND HAVE ALL TRAFFIC DIVERTED TO AIRBASE
10:11PM - 1039 RAMIREZ FOR 3 FLT BEDS
10:10PM - 1039 ABLE TOWING FOR 1 FLT BED
10:05PM - FIRE 1097
10:04PM - REQ DOT ASAP TO REPAIR THE CD CABLE, 100FT
10:03PM - REQ ADDTNL 1141 AND FIRE FOR HEAD ON
10:02PM - 1039 DOTCC
10:01PM - ALL LNS BLOCKED

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
10:01PM - CHP Unit On Scene
10:08PM - CHP Unit Enroute
10:12PM - CHP Unit Enroute
10:14PM - CHP Unit On Scene
10:18PM - CHP Unit On Scene
10:22PM - CHP Unit On Scene

38788. Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 07:04:42 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38780 Message: 38788

gary wrote:
> http://www.jps.com/downloads/PDFS/acuupgrade.pdf
> http://www.jps.com/downloads/PDFS/acu1000app.pdf
> Hmmh, it says easily transportable....
>
> I can see why JPS doesn't bother making those low cost HAM antenna noise
> cancelers or DSP boxes. The ACU-1000 looks like it costs megabucks.

The package in the photos runs about $40,000 to $45,000 before radios
are added.

Don
(we own 3 of them)

38789. Berkeley Action

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 07:54:04 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38789 Message: 38789

Something going on in Berkeley right now with H-30 overhead.

460.175-Primary
460.250-Channel 2

12.53AM

Robert
BayScan

38790. Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 13:17:09 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 38780 Message: 38790

Motorola Spectras and Astro Spectras were the radios.
Marin was tallking to S.F. and the Coasties.
Location was Marin; Bridge view area
to San Francisco; Parking area above Fort Point.
J.B. AD6WX

Gordon Arnold <gordon@...> wrote:

The ACU-1000 is not a Motorola product. It is marketed by JPS. www.jps.com

gary wrote:ACS1000 doesn't seem to show up on the Moto website. What radios are in
the package?

Also, where was the other site with which you were communicating located.

John Beatty wrote:
>
> Here's some photos from the GGB comm. drill.
> The black van is the National Guard Comm The rack mount units (with
> the
> pickup in the back)
> are the ACS1000 units full of Astro Spectras and
> plain Spectras. They are supposed to be portable,
> but they are like the military definition of portable.
> A 250 pound box with handles. It actually breaks down into
> two units, but it's still quite heavy (my back still hurts)
> J.B. AD6WX
> http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/jbxx@.../alb
> um?.dir=ae6a&.src=ph&s
> tore=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//photos.yahoo.com/ph//my_photos
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

Credo Quia Absurdum Est
Credo Ut Intelligam

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38791. Re: [bayscan] Berkeley Action

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 13:56:00 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38789 Message: 38791

> Something going on in Berkeley right now with H-30 overhead.
>
> 12.53AM

Any followup on this?

38792. Re: [bayscan] Communications exersise GGB

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 14:26:42 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38780 Message: 38792

I recognized the observation parking lot near the bridge. I wondered if
there was a similar field exercise going on in San Francisco on the
other side of the bridge.

john Beatty wrote:
> Motorola Spectras and Astro Spectras were the radios.
> Marin was tallking to S.F. and the Coasties.
> Location was Marin; Bridge view area
> to San Francisco; Parking area above Fort Point.
> J.B. AD6WX
>
> Gordon Arnold <gordon@...> wrote:
>
> The ACU-1000 is not a Motorola product. It is marketed by JPS. www.jps.com
>
> gary wrote:ACS1000 doesn't seem to show up on the Moto website. What radios are in
> the package?
>
> Also, where was the other site with which you were communicating located.
>
>
> John Beatty wrote:
>
>>Here's some photos from the GGB comm. drill.
>>The black van is the National Guard Comm The rack mount units (with
>>the
>>pickup in the back)
>>are the ACS1000 units full of Astro Spectras and
>>plain Spectras. They are supposed to be portable,
>>but they are like the military definition of portable.
>>A 250 pound box with handles. It actually breaks down into
>>two units, but it's still quite heavy (my back still hurts)
>>J.B. AD6WX
>>http://pg.photos.yahoo.com/ph/jbxx@.../alb
>>um?.dir=ae6a&.src=ph&s
>>tore=&prodid=&.done=http%3a//photos.yahoo.com/ph//my_photos
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Make Yahoo! your home page
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Credo Quia Absurdum Est
> Credo Ut Intelligam
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38793. Re: [bayscan] Berkeley Action

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 15:52:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38789 Message: 38793

I heard CHP /Oakland with Code 33 for awhile , around a park and a lake , looking for a witnesses to meet them there , they had no " victim" so not sure exactly what the crime was ,,,,but sounded like it was on I - 80 in the area of Richmond. Maybe some sort of road rage incident ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38794. scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 17:28:47 UTC
From: Eddie Ragasa
Topic: 38794 Message: 38794

I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.

Thanks,
Eddie

38795. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 17:34:57 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38794 Message: 38795

> I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved
> my scanner reception.

That could be an expensive (in time and money) investigation, but I've had good luck with the Silver series from Antenex (their Gold series are supposed to be even better, so size your budget accordingly) ... try looking here:

http://www.antenex.com/c_search.asp?txtFunction=browse2&selFamily=YAG&txtSubFamily=SILVER+SERIES+800%2F900+MHz+MODELS

38796. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 17:44:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38794 Message: 38796

Scanners Unlimited has them , a drive for you , but you could order them and have it shipped to you 650 573 1624

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38797. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 17:52:38 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38794 Message: 38797

The gold antenex antennas are built like a tank. I don't know if they work any better, but you would assume they last longer. All the elements are welded, then the antenna gets gold anodized.

http://www.radiooutfitter.com/
Call for a price if you don't see the antenex product you want listed. They really undercut Scanners Unlimited on the 800Mhz yagi I bought for Oakland PD, even on a special order. Ben and I were doing email back and forth at the time regarding this antenna and he just didn't believe the price I got from radiooutfitter. No sales tax either.

If you don't hear the signal with a whip, the yagi probably won't do you any good, at least for NFM. In my case, I couldn't even hear Oakland until I got the Pro-96. The Unidens I had just didn't cut it. However, the signal using the RH77C wasn't strong enough to track well, but just an Antenex yagi on the bookshelf was good enough.

Jordan Hayes wrote:
>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved
>>my scanner reception.
>
>
> That could be an expensive (in time and money) investigation, but I've
> had good luck with the Silver series from Antenex (their Gold series are
> supposed to be even better, so size your budget accordingly) ... try
> looking here:
>
> http://www.antenex.com/c_search.asp?txtFunction=browse2&selFamily=YAG&txtSubFamily=SILVER+SERIES+800%2F900+MHz+MODELS
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38798. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 17:53:20 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38794 Message: 38798

Yes a Yagi can help, Just ask Ben, he is getting SF's system from Livermore Ca.
----- Original Message -----
From: Eddie Ragasa
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2005 10:28
Subject: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.

Thanks,
Eddie

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38799. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 17:58:41 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38794 Message: 38799

I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized. 800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for the 11 db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its been awhile.
Eddie Ragasa wrote:

>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of
>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>
>Thanks,
>Eddie
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38800. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:01:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38794 Message: 38800

Gary, are you running a Yagi INSIDE your house ??? do I understand that right ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38801. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:19:36 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38794 Message: 38801

Yes. It's not the best circumstance, but better than nothing. I haven't
got around to putting it outside the house.

You still get gain if the antenna is in the house.

caladded@... wrote:
> Gary, are you running a Yagi INSIDE your house ??? do I understand that
> right ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38802. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:24:39 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38794 Message: 38802

i bet if you put it on the roof would get oak easy.

gary wrote:

>Yes. It's not the best circumstance, but better than nothing. I haven't
>got around to putting it outside the house.
>
>You still get gain if the antenna is in the house.
>
>caladded@... wrote:
>
>
>>Gary, are you running a Yagi INSIDE your house ??? do I understand that
>>right ?
>>
>>ED
>>
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

38803. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:26:24 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38794 Message: 38803

http://www.radiooutfitter.com/store/Y8066.html

http://www.scannersunlimited.com/antenna1.html
Glenn sells the 9db version for $120

Ben wrote:
> I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can
> hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from
> stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized.
> 800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for the 11
> db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask
> him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its
> been awhile.
> Eddie Ragasa wrote:
>
>
>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of
>>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>>
>>Thanks,
>>Eddie
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38804. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:35:12 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38794 Message: 38804

either the prices went up or he gave me the 11 for 9 price cause i'm me lol

gary wrote:

>http://www.radiooutfitter.com/store/Y8066.html
>
>http://www.scannersunlimited.com/antenna1.html
>Glenn sells the 9db version for $120
>
>Ben wrote:
>
>
>>I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can
>>hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from
>>stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized.
>>800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for the 11
>>db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask
>>him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its
>>been awhile.
>>Eddie Ragasa wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>>>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>>>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of
>>>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>>>
>>>Thanks,
>>>Eddie
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38805. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:35:36 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38794 Message: 38805

> i bet if you put it on the roof would get oak easy.

Hey, I have a hard time getting Oakland and I have line of sight to
downtown!

38806. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 18:55:28 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38794 Message: 38806

I didn't really want to go into it, but OPD really puts out a crappy signal to the north and south. It's better on the peninsula. You can do the drive from Milpitas to Berkeley and see the "fingers" where it works. I can get OPD from Tilden Park, but nothing from the hills of Las Trampas, so it probably has "fingers" to the east as well.

Jordan Hayes wrote:
>>i bet if you put it on the roof would get oak easy.
>
>
> Hey, I have a hard time getting Oakland and I have line of sight to
> downtown!

38807. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 19:08:26 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 38794 Message: 38807

Hi all,,,
Are you saying that this particular antenna is that good? I live in Newark, with NO HILLS between my house and Oakland, and I can't get OPD at all! :(
I went to the links below, and it didn't have any sizes or anything. How large / small is it? The folks living around and behind me a very "picky."
Thanx!

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
http://www.radiooutfitter.com/store/Y8066.html

http://www.scannersunlimited.com/antenna1.html
Glenn sells the 9db version for $120

Ben wrote:
> I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can
> hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from
> stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized.
> 800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for the 11
> db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask
> him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its
> been awhile.
> Eddie Ragasa wrote:
>
>
>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of
>>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>>
>>Thanks,
>>Eddie
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

Do you Yahoo!?
Make Yahoo! your home page

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38808. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 19:19:52 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38794 Message: 38808

check out the pics of the antenna on my site..

www.mattlogic.com
go to online scanners
then antenna pics...

The antenna is anywhere between 3 and 5 feet long depending on the 9 db
or 11db version. And yes they are that good.. Before my yagi's I could
only get 2 bars with no audio for s.f. now s.f. comes in clear

Steve wrote:

>Hi all,,,
>Are you saying that this particular antenna is that good? I live in Newark, with NO HILLS between my house and Oakland, and I can't get OPD at all! :(
>I went to the links below, and it didn't have any sizes or anything. How large / small is it? The folks living around and behind me a very "picky."
>Thanx!
>
>gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
>http://www.radiooutfitter.com/store/Y8066.html
>
>http://www.scannersunlimited.com/antenna1.html
>Glenn sells the 9db version for $120
>
>Ben wrote:
>
>
>>I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can
>>hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from
>>stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized.
>>800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for the 11
>>db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask
>>him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its
>>been awhile.
>>Eddie Ragasa wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>>>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>>>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of
>>>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>>>
>>>Thanks,

>>>Eddie
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>

>
> Steve
> (TheCxBum)
> Newark, CA
>San Francisco Bay Area
>
>
>
>---------------------------------
>Do you Yahoo!?
> Make Yahoo! your home page
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38809. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 19:22:15 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38794 Message: 38809

You can get the dimensions from the Antenex website. 800Mhz yagis are
reasonably small.

It depends where you live in Newark. I recall the signal was reasonable
near the Dumbarton. It also depends on the radio as I couldn't get it o
my Uniden scanners.

I really don't suggest buying a yagi if you can't hear the signal with a
duckie. It's doesn't have to come in well, but it should at least be there.

The next time you cruise the Nimitz, take a portable scanner and park it
on the CC. Heading south from Oakland, it will fade out then reappear,
then fade again. It's really a crappy trunk system that needs to be
replaced. If memory serves me right, it is the 1st or 2nd trunked PD
system in the bay area.

Steve wrote:
> Hi all,,,
> Are you saying that this particular antenna is that good? I live in Newark, with NO HILLS
between my house and Oakland, and I can't get OPD at all! :(
> I went to the links below, and it didn't have any sizes or anything. How large / small is
it? The folks living around and behind me a very "picky."
> Thanx!
>
> gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
> http://www.radiooutfitter.com/store/Y8066.html
>
> http://www.scannersunlimited.com/antenna1.html
> Glenn sells the 9db version for $120
>
> Ben wrote:
>
>>I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can
>>hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from
>>stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized.
>>800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for the 11
>>db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask
>>him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its
>>been awhile.
>>Eddie Ragasa wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>>>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>>>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of

>>>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>>>
>>>Thanks,
>>>Eddie
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>

>
>
>
> Steve
> (TheCxBum)
> Newark, CA
> San Francisco Bay Area
>
>
>
> ---
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Make Yahoo! your home page
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38810. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 19:30:12 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38794 Message: 38810

The smaller antenna (8066) is about 2.5 ft. The 80612 should be about 5 ft.

Ben more or less made my point that he at least had the signal before
getting the high gain antenna.

Ben wrote:
> check out the pics of the antenna on my site..
>
> www.mattlogic.com
> go to online scanners
> then antenna pics...
>
> The antenna is anywhere between 3 and 5 feet long depending on the 9 db
> or 11db version. And yes they are that good.. Before my yagi's I could
> only get 2 bars with no audio for s.f. now s.f. comes in clear
>
> Steve wrote:
>
>
>>Hi all,,,
>>Are you saying that this particular antenna is that good? I live in Newark, with NO HILLS between my house and Oakland, and I can't get OPD at all! :(
>>I went to the links below, and it didn't have any sizes or anything. How large / small is it? The folks living around and behind me a very "picky."
>>Thanx!
>>
>>gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
>>http://www.radiooutfitter.com/store/Y8066.html
>>
>>http://www.scannersunlimited.com/antenna1.html
>>Glenn sells the 9db version for $120
>>
>>Ben wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can
>>>hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from
>>>stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized.
>>>800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for the 11
>>>db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask
>>>him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its
>>>been awhile.
>>>Eddie Ragasa wrote:
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>>>>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>>>>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of
>>>>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>>>>
>>>>Thanks,
>>>>Eddie
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>---------------------------------
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>

>> To visit your group on the web, go to:
>>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>>
>> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>>
>> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Steve
>> (TheCxBum)
>> Newark, CA
>>San Francisco Bay Area
>>
>>
>>
>>---------------------------------
>>Do you Yahoo!?
>>Make Yahoo! your home page
>>
>>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

38811. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 19:37:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38794 Message: 38811

I KNOW I could get Oak if I put it outside , but I would need some help to set it up. I get Oakland with my 296 sitting in the window facing N/E but I would prefer to run it into the 796 . As I mentioned to Gary, maybe I could even get SF. my computer is in the kitchen in middle of the house and I can't stay on line 24/7 so at least I would have it in the living room watching the boob tube.....would sure be in improvement over the present situation.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38812. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 19:44:56 UTC
From: Scannerdude
Topic: 38794 Message: 38812

Antennex is the best.

I have several of them. Both Gold and Silver.

They are also expensive.

But I've also had great luck with this one combined with LMR400.

And it's a HECK of a lot cheaper.

I use it in the deep south bay with a Spectra to pull in SF full
strength.

There was a pretty decent review of this at www.scannerbuff.net about
a week ago.

I think it was by BlackCat, but the antenna's are about the same.

[http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=35161&item=5767063957&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW]

On 4/14/05, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
> I KNOW I could get Oak if I put it outside , but I would need some help to
> set it up.

38813. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 22:07:58 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 38794 Message: 38813

Gary / Ben, and Group...
Thanks for your feedback. Oakland is a BIG ZERO on any type of reception. San Francisco, on the other hand, is intensely garbled and only in rare occasions can I fully understand transmissions. It's crazy, but driving on the Nimitz, from Oakland south, I can monitor Oakland all the way to Union Landing. (Union City @ Whipple.) I seriously need to get something for home though considering how much I monitor. OK, I'm out for now.....

gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
You can get the dimensions from the Antenex website. 800Mhz yagis are
reasonably small.

It depends where you live in Newark. I recall the signal was reasonable
near the Dumbarton. It also depends on the radio as I couldn't get it o
my Uniden scanners.

I really don't suggest buying a yagi if you can't hear the signal with a
duckie. It's doesn't have to come in well, but it should at least be there.

The next time you cruise the Nimitz, take a portable scanner and park it
on the CC. Heading south from Oakland, it will fade out then reappear,
then fade again. It's really a crappy trunk system that needs to be
replaced. If memory serves me right, it is the 1st or 2nd trunked PD
system in the bay area.

Steve wrote:
> Hi all,,,
> Are you saying that this particular antenna is that good? I live in Newark, with NO HILLS between my house and Oakland, and I can't get OPD at all! :(
> I went to the links below, and it didn't have any sizes or anything. How large / small is it? The folks living around and behind me a very "picky."
> Thanx!
>
> gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
> http://www.radiooutfitter.com/store/Y8066.html
>
> http://www.scannersunlimited.com/antenna1.html
> Glenn sells the 9db version for $120
>
> Ben wrote:
>
>>I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can
>>hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from
>>stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized.
>>800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for the 11
>>db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask
>>him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its

>>been awhile.
>>Eddie Ragasa wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>>>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>>>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of
>>>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>>>
>>>Thanks,
>>>Eddie
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

> To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>
> Steve
> (TheCxBum)
> Newark, CA
> San Francisco Bay Area
>
>
>
> ---------------------------------
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Make Yahoo! your home page
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Steve
(TheCxBum)

Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38814. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 22:18:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38794 Message: 38814

I would think from Union City getting SF maybe a decent shot with a real good antenna since you only have water between you and its transmitters. That is the reason I get Oakland , nothing but water from the mid- peninsula to Oaktown

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38815. Major Gang Happenings - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 23:14:14 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38815 Message: 38815

Santa Clara County Sheriff working some kind of major disturbance on the far east side of San Jose. At least 20 subjects involved with one report of a stabbing.

Working on 156.210 at 4:14 p.m.

-C-

38816. Re: [bayscan] Major Gang Happenings - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-14 23:26:16 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38815 Message: 38816

CHP HELO 32 is en route to the incident in the Alum Rock area of San Jose. The actual incident, whatever it was, happened near Stewart and Alum Rock and involved 40 individuals with reports of one person down. Santa Clara County Sheriff and San Jose PD unable to locate the victim at this time.

Working on 156.210

Last update pending any new developments.

-C-

On Apr 14, 2005, at 4:14 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Santa Clara County Sheriff working some kind of major disturbance on
> the far east side of San Jose. At least 20 subjects involved with one
> report of a stabbing.
>
> Working on 156.210 at 4:14 p.m.
>
> -C-

38817. Re: [bayscan] scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-15 00:03:21 UTC
From: vince48
Topic: 38794 Message: 38817

Ben wrote:

> check out the pics of the antenna on my site..
>
> www.mattlogic.com
> go to online scanners
> then antenna pics...
>
> The antenna is anywhere between 3 and 5 feet long depending on the 9 db
> or 11db version. And yes they are that good.. Before my yagi's I could
> only get 2 bars with no audio for s.f. now s.f. comes in clear
>
> Steve wrote:
>
> >Hi all,,,
> >Are you saying that this particular antenna is that good? I live in
> Newark, with NO HILLS between my house and Oakland, and I can't get
> OPD at all! :(
> >I went to the links below, and it didn't have any sizes or anything.
> How large / small is it? The folks living around and behind me a very
> "picky."
> >Thanx!
> >
> >gary <yahoogroups@...> wrote:
> >http://www.radiooutfitter.com/store/Y8066.html
> >
> >http://www.scannersunlimited.com/antenna1.html
> >Glenn sells the 9db version for $120
> >
> >Ben wrote:
> >
> >
> >>I think I can give some pointers on this. one...I'm i livermore and can
> >>hit Sac from my place and s.f. alco should easily be reachable from
> >>stockton.point the yagi southwest I use a 11 db antenex gold anaodized.
> >>800 mhz yagi. i don't know don't know what the product id is for
> the 11
> >>db but the 9db version is Y8066. call glen at scanners unlmited and ask
> >>him for the 11b db version that ben has. .I think its 120 bucks but its
> >>been awhile.
> >>Eddie Ragasa wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >>>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner
> reception. I
> >>>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what
> is a
> >>>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a
> lot of
> >>>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
> >>>
> >>>Thanks,
> >>>Eddie
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >----------
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> > To visit your group on the web, go to:

> >http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> >
> > To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> >bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> >
> > Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Steve
> > (TheCxBum)
> > Newark, CA
> >San Francisco Bay Area
> >
> >
> >
> >---------------------------------
> >Do you Yahoo!?
> > Make Yahoo! your home page
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> *Yahoo! Groups Links*
>
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>
>

> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.
>
>
>
>
> ---
>
> avast! Antivirus <http://www.avast.com>: Inbound message clean.
>
> Virus Database (VPS): 0515-2, 04/15/2005
> Tested on: 4/14/2005 4:46:23 PM
> avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
>
>
Check out Ben's pictures from his site. I can tell you the yagis do make
a differents but the coax must be the best you can afford. Do not over
look the coax quality. I bought my yagi's from Ben and I pull SF,
Richmond, San Quintin and Santa Clara trunk systems. I live in south
Hayward near Union City (Union Landing).

---
avast! Antivirus: Outbound message clean.
Virus Database (VPS): 0515-2, 04/15/2005
Tested on: 4/14/2005 5:03:22 PM
avast! - copyright (c) 1988-2004 ALWIL Software.
http://www.avast.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38818. Re: scanner yagi antenna?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-15 01:40:05 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 38794 Message: 38818

Eddie Ragasa asked

>I'm looking to see if a yagi antenna would improved my scanner reception. I
>live in Stockton and want to listen to Alameda County. If so, what is a
>good yagi antenna and where could I get one that does not cost a lot of
>money. Radio Shack does not seem to sell yagi antennas.
>

You are within driving time of the Livermore Amateur Radio Klub (LARK)
monthly swap meet. Quite a few 800 MHz yagis and LP's show up there, and
at a fraction of their cost new.

See http://www.livermoreark.org/swap/swap.html

Cortland
KA5S

38819. device on Ocean Beach

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-15 05:21:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38819 Message: 38819

SFFD E21 Utility21 (light unit) BC8 Med 18 .....on Ocean Beach
opposite Judah........ Bomb Squad with device which they will be exploding in about
30 minutes or so. presently clearing 500 feet of beach and will close Great
Highway prior to detonation

SFFD A8/ 15024

SFPD should be A7 or A8 (13040 / 13072)

2220 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38820. What was going on in Pleasanton?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-15 06:06:37 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38820 Message: 38820

Coming the opposite direction of the mall on Stonerdge (from like Hopyard) towards 680 - right before the 680 onramp on the opposite side of the road must have been 10 police cars, a fire engine or two at least one ambulance.. This was 2200 hrs?

Evan

38821. 3rd alarm Daly City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 03:10:17 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38821 Message: 38821

1121 Brunswick
fire bet. buildings - residental

F/G 154.04
Command 154.1

38822. Berkeley Today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 04:03:01 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38822 Message: 38822

Was listening the UCB trunk system today and there was apparently some sort of bomb threat that interrupted the installation of the new chancellor. Anybody else hear this and have more details?

Regards, Jim

38823. Re: [bayscan] 3rd alarm Daly City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 04:03:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38821 Message: 38823

anymore on this Milt ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38824. Capitol Theater, San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 09:07:48 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 38824 Message: 38824

The Capitol Theater Drive-In off of Hillcap Ave in
San Jose uses MURS #4 --154.570MHz-- for operations.
Comms were pretty mundane -- entrance booth #3 needs
more change, how much extra should we charge the dude
that wants a third enchilada & not just the normal
two, etc. but for a scanner-geek there watching a
movie, it could be interesting to hear what's going on
around you.

They also broadcast the audio from their 6 screens
onto the FM Broadcast band. 102.7MHz was the freq for
the movie I saw.

Do you Yahoo!?
Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000 guides!
http://travel.yahoo.com/p-travelguide

38825. Amateur Radio Testing TODAY (just show up)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 14:25:51 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38825 Message: 38825

NERT Ham Cram
Saturday April 16, 2005
8:30 am - 4:00 pm
SFFD Division of Training
2310 Folsom @ 19th Street
enter on19th Street for parking
Bring $20 in cash for license
RSVP to jacklevich@...
This test will get you a HAM Radio license from the FCC good for 10 years. Every NERT team should encourage their members to become licensed HAMs to supplement that team's communication ability.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38826. San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 18:32:45 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38826 Message: 38826

San Jose Fire working an incident with a subject suffering from a hydrogen burn to the leg. Engine 23 on scene requesting a structure response. Unknown situation with units to stage at Fortune at Lundy.

Engine 23 declaring this a HAZMAT INCIDENT at this time with Battalion 29 requesting a second Battalion Chief to respond. Placard is a 4/4/4 with ACID.

ENGINE 5
ENGINE 23
TRUCK COMPANY 29
BATTALION 29
HIT 29

This incident is working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115 and is located at 1849 Fortune at Ringwood.

38827. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 18:38:15 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38826 Message: 38827

I am not absolutely sure what this business is, but it looks like a
semiconductor fab site - possibly Micrel Semiconductor.

ENGINE 29 is now on scene with MED 30 being dispatched.

-C-

On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:32 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> San Jose Fire working an incident with a subject suffering from a
> hydrogen burn to the leg. Engine 23 on scene requesting a structure
> response. Unknown situation with units to stage at Fortune at Lundy.
>
> Engine 23 declaring this a HAZMAT INCIDENT at this time with Battalion
> 29 requesting a second Battalion Chief to respond. Placard is a 4/4/4
> with ACID.
>
> ENGINE 5
> ENGINE 23
> TRUCK COMPANY 29
> BATTALION 29
> HIT 29
>
> This incident is working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115 and is located
> at 1849 Fortune at Ringwood.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38828. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 18:45:14 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38826 Message: 38828

BATTALION 29 (IC) just declared the entire building a HOT ZONE and all entry is being denied.

TRUCK COMPANY 1 is being recalled to deliver a TIC. I believe the DSO will be dispatched as well.

Units currently on scene:

ENGINE 5
ENGINE 23
ENGINE 29
TRUCK COMPANY 29
BATTALION 29
BATTALION 1
HIT 29 A
HIT 29 B
MED 30

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:38 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> I am not absolutely sure what this business is, but it looks like a
> semiconductor fab site - possibly Micrel Semiconductor.
>
> ENGINE 29 is now on scene with MED 30 being dispatched.
>
> -C-
>
> On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:32 AM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>>
>> San Jose Fire working an incident with a subject suffering from a
>> hydrogen burn to the leg. Engine 23 on scene requesting a structure
>> response. Unknown situation with units to stage at Fortune at Lundy.
>>
>> Engine 23 declaring this a HAZMAT INCIDENT at this time with Battalion
>> 29 requesting a second Battalion Chief to respond. Placard is a 4/4/4
>> with ACID.
>>
>> ENGINE 5
>> ENGINE 23
>> TRUCK COMPANY 29
>> BATTALION 29

>> HIT 29
>>
>> This incident is working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115 and is
>> located
>> at 1849 Fortune at Ringwood.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38829. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 18:48:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38826 Message: 38829

To save Craig from flames.....DSO: Duty Safety Officer

this (for those not familiar) is REAL close to Milpitas in N/E San Jose right off Montague Expressway.....in Fact Staging moved to Montague and Ringwood. Req total 2 AMR rigs

back to you Craig.....your call

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38830. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 18:50:29 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38826 Message: 38830

IC requesting that staging be moved to Montague Expressway and Ringwood. Also requesting a second AMR rig for possible exposures - no symptoms at this time.

Clarification - IC requesting TRUCK 1 to provide the TIC. No need for the entire TRUCK COMPANY.

-C-

On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:45 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

> BATTALION 29 (IC) just declared the entire building a HOT ZONE and all entry is being denied.
>
> TRUCK COMPANY 1 is being recalled to deliver a TIC. I believe the DSO will be dispatched as well.
>
> Units currently on scene:
>
> ENGINE 5
> ENGINE 23
> ENGINE 29
> TRUCK COMPANY 29
> BATTALION 29
> BATTALION 1
> HIT 29 A
> HIT 29 B
> MED 30
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>
> -C-

38831. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 18:55:23 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38826 Message: 38831

Whoops! Sorry for the acronym slip - thanks ED!

And TIC is the thermal imaging camera - more info here -
http://www.windsorfire.com/tool/tool0603.php

BATTALION 1 is HAZMAT SUPERVISOR with HIT 29 assigned.

-C-

On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:50 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> IC requesting that staging be moved to Montague Expressway and
> Ringwood. Also requesting a second AMR rig for possible exposures - no
> symptoms at this time.
>
> Clarification - IC requesting TRUCK 1 to provide the TIC. No need for
> the entire TRUCK COMPANY.
>
> -C-
>
> On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:45 AM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>>
>> BATTALION 29 (IC) just declared the entire building a HOT ZONE and all
>> entry is being denied.
>>
>> TRUCK COMPANY 1 is being recalled to deliver a TIC. I believe the DSO
>> will be dispatched as well.
>>
>> Units currently on scene:
>>
>> ENGINE 5
>> ENGINE 23
>> ENGINE 29
>> TRUCK COMPANY 29
>> BATTALION 29
>> BATTALION 1
>> HIT 29 A
>> HIT 29 B
>> MED 30
>>
>> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>>
>> -C-
>

>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38832. crash in Woodside

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 18:57:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38832 Message: 38832

Motorcycle vrs bicyclist BOTH Trauma cases BC2 RS7 E8 so far no request for helo.....

Control 2 / 154.37

1158 hrs

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38833. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 18:57:56 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38826 Message: 38833

what's a HIT? or Who is a HIT? and would I want to HIT 29A or B????
----- Original Message -----
From: Craig Rose
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Saturday, April 16, 2005 11:55
Subject: Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Whoops! Sorry for the acronym slip - thanks ED!

And TIC is the thermal imaging camera - more info here -
http://www.windsorfire.com/tool/tool0603.php

BATTALION 1 is HAZMAT SUPERVISOR with HIT 29 assigned.

-C-

On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:50 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> IC requesting that staging be moved to Montague Expressway and
> Ringwood. Also requesting a second AMR rig for possible exposures - no
> symptoms at this time.
>
> Clarification - IC requesting TRUCK 1 to provide the TIC. No need for
> the entire TRUCK COMPANY.
>
> -C-
>
> On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:45 AM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>>
>> BATTALION 29 (IC) just declared the entire building a HOT ZONE and all
>> entry is being denied.
>>
>> TRUCK COMPANY 1 is being recalled to deliver a TIC. I believe the DSO
>> will be dispatched as well.
>>
>> Units currently on scene:
>>
>> ENGINE 5
>> ENGINE 23
>> ENGINE 29
>> TRUCK COMPANY 29
>> BATTALION 29
>> BATTALION 1
>> HIT 29 A

>> HIT 29 B
>> MED 30
>>
>> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>>
>> -C-
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38834. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:00:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38826 Message: 38834

opps.....got this one Craig

Hazardous Incident Team

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38835. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:00:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38826 Message: 38835

I knew that was coming...care to guess what that acronym stands for? ;^)

Hazardous Incident Team

Here's a HIT 29B -
http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/tools/
tools_image_039.htm

Here's a HIT 29A -
http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/silverhill/
silverhill_image_001.htm

HAZMAT GROUP (ENGINE 29, BATTALION 1, MED 30) working on TAC 5 - 155.880

-C-

On Apr 16, 2005, at 11:57 AM, Scanner 4 wrote:

> what's a HIT? or Who is a HIT? and would I want to HIT 29A or B????

38836. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:01:42 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38826 Message: 38836

Have to step out for another incident - ED - can you grab it for me?

On Apr 16, 2005, at 12:00 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> opps.....got this one Craig
>
> Hazardous Incident Team
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38837. Re: [bayscan] crash in Woodside

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:01:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38832 Message: 38837

oh geez.....i need coffee.....incident is at Portola and Woodside road (Woodside is Highway 84) Sounds like they are going to use ground ambulance

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38838. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:06:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38826 Message: 38838

ok, but I got to run to the girlfriend is coming over and she is the BOSS
(but I FINALLY got her interested in scanners LOL LOL LOL , only took 5
years

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38839. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:08:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38826 Message: 38839

Side note about HIT 29 , besides hazardous incidents , the 4 man
unit goes to all greater alarms is usually hooks up with the Incident
Commander (IC) and is at his disposal for whatever he may need (manpower thing etc)
sometimes acts as staging officer .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38840. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:14:30 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 38826 Message: 38840

Ed,
That's a blessing! I divorced, well, she divorced me. My choice was: her, or the scanners. I'm still monitoring my scanners.....

caladded@... wrote:
ok, but I got to run to the girlfriend is coming over and she is the BOSS
(but I FINALLY got her interested in scanners LOL LOL LOL , only took 5
years

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

Do you Yahoo!?
Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000 guides!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38841. UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:54:45 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38841 Message: 38841

UNITED 49 [767-300, SFO-OGG, departed SFO around 12:30] is up with ARINC on 131.95, getting some advice from company about an engine(?) flame-out. APU fault, too. They've tried a few times to restart, but no joy. No emergency declared yet ("We've got a little time"), but doesn't sound too good. Confirming that it's a required item for ETOPS, so they'll probably have to turn around.

12:49/PT

38842. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 19:59:38 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38841 Message: 38842

Jordan Hayes wrote:

> UNITED 49 [767-300, SFO-OGG, departed SFO around 12:30] is up with ARINC
> on 131.95, getting some advice from company about an engine(?)
> flame-out. APU fault, too. They've tried a few times to restart, but
> no joy. No emergency declared yet ("We've got a little time"), but
> doesn't sound too good. Confirming that it's a required item for ETOPS,
> so they'll probably have to turn around.

UA web site isn't showing a status change - yet.

38843. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 20:19:23 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38841 Message: 38843

> UA web site isn't showing a status change - yet.

Now on Oakland Center [ZOA] 134.15 asking for details of his turnaround.
I can barely hear him, but it sounds like he's determined that it will
not affect the flight, it's not an ETOPS requirement, so they are
pushing on. I guess that means it was the APU and not the engine that
flamed out :)

united.com says "NOT DEPARTED" ...

13:15/PT

38844. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 20:28:03 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38841 Message: 38844

Jordan Hayes wrote:

>>UA web site isn't showing a status change - yet.
>
>
> Now on Oakland Center [ZOA] 134.15 asking for details of his turnaround.
> I can barely hear him, but it sounds like he's determined that it will
> not affect the flight, it's not an ETOPS requirement, so they are
> pushing on. I guess that means it was the APU and not the engine that
> flamed out :)

Man, I hope he's right. TransPac on one lung isn't fun.

> united.com says "NOT DEPARTED" ...

Interesting; when you first posted, I followed the link for flight
status at united.com, and it is still showing "IN FLIGHT."

<http://www.ua2go.com/flifo/FlightDetail.do?airline=UA&fltNbr=49&orig=SFO&dest=OGG&date=20050416&stamp=null>
or http://snipurl.com/e23j

Don

38845. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 20:32:08 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38841 Message: 38845

> > united.com says "NOT DEPARTED" ...
>
> Interesting; when you first posted, I followed the link for flight
> status at united.com, and it is still showing "IN FLIGHT."
>
>
<http://www.ua2go.com/flifo/FlightDetail.do?airline=UA&fltNbr=49&orig=SF
O&dest=OGG&date=20050416&stamp=null>
> or http://snipurl.com/e23j

When I click on that link, it says NOT DEPARTED. Maybe you're looking
at an older version of the page?

http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050416_UA49.jpg

38846. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 20:38:46 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38841 Message: 38846

Jordan Hayes wrote:

>>>united.com says "NOT DEPARTED" ...
>>
>>Interesting; when you first posted, I followed the link for flight
>>status at united.com, and it is still showing "IN FLIGHT."
>>
>>
>
> <http://www.ua2go.com/flifo/FlightDetail.do?airline=UA&fltNbr=49&orig=SF
> O&dest=OGG&date=20050416&stamp=null>
>
>>or http://snipurl.com/e23j
>
>
> When I click on that link, it says NOT DEPARTED. Maybe you're looking
> at an older version of the page?
>
> http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050416_UA49.jpg

Beats the heck out of me. I see your .jpg: i closed my browser, clicked
on the link (to get a fresh load, and got:

Home > Travel support > Flight status Help

Flight status
Quick links:
Shop for flights
Fly with Ted
Special deals
Vacation packages
Mileage summary
Customer support
FlyTed

Here is the latest information about the flight you selected.
Note: The times listed are the local airport times.
Check status of another flight Register for flight paging
Flight
Airline: United
Flight: 49
Date: Sat, Apr 16
Status: IN FLIGHT
Aircraft type: Boeing 767-300
Miles earned: 2338

Departure
Airport: San Francisco, CA (SFO)
Scheduled departure: 12:10 PM
Actual: 12:17 PM
Reason: Schedule change due to Late Arriving Aircraft
Terminal: terminal 3 concourse f
Gate: 87

Arrival
Airport: Kahului, HI (OGG)
Scheduled arrival: 2:39 PM
Estimated: 2:17 PM
Reason: Schedule change due to Late Arriving Aircraft
Terminal: --
Gate: 29B
Baggage claim: --

Inflight
Time enroute: 5 hour, 29 minute
Meal: Lunch
Entertainment: Movie

Check status of another flight

38847. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 20:40:40 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38841 Message: 38847

UA49 just said "we'll see you on the ground shortly" and asked if everybody at SFO had "gotten everything ready" so I guess they're going back.

38848. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 20:41:22 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38841 Message: 38848

> Confirming that it's a required item for ETOPS,
> so they'll probably have to turn around.

Ok, sounds like I did hear them wrong and they are coming back.

fboweb.com says landing SFO @ 1:54 ...

/jordan

38849. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-16 20:48:10 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38841 Message: 38849

Don Root wrote:
> Jordan Hayes wrote:

>>When I click on that link, it says NOT DEPARTED. Maybe you're looking
>>at an older version of the page?
>>
>>http://infothecary.org/jordan/tmp/20050416_UA49.jpg
>
> Beats the heck out of me. I see your .jpg: i closed my browser, clicked
> on the link (to get a fresh load, and got:

UA must be running a distributed web farm with some lag; I checked again
and now I'm getting NOT DEPARTED.

Oh well.

38850. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 00:08:12 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38841 Message: 38850

oh.......... ETOPS is ??????

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38851. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 00:10:12 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38841 Message: 38851

> ETOPS is ??????

ETOPS is exactly what Google says it is:

http://www.google.com/search?q=etops

38852. San Jose - Light Rail v. Vehicle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 00:30:04 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38852 Message: 38852

San Jose Fire responding to a report of vehicle into light rail vehicle
at Burton Avenue and North First Street.

ENGINE 5
USAR COMPANY 5
BATTALION 29
MED 30

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

Details to follow.

-C-

38853. Re: [bayscan] San Jose - Light Rail v. Vehicle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 00:31:09 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38852 Message: 38853

ENGINE 5 advising one vehicle hit by light rail with no entrapment and
no injuries.

On Apr 16, 2005, at 5:30 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> San Jose Fire responding to a report of vehicle into light rail vehicle
> at Burton Avenue and North First Street.
>
> ENGINE 5
> USAR COMPANY 5
> BATTALION 29
> MED 30
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>
> Details to follow.
>
> -C-

38854. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 01:26:55 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 38841 Message: 38854

At 05:08 PM 4/16/2005, you wrote:

>oh..... ETOPS is ??????
>
>ED

Extended Range Operations for Twin Engine Airplanes
[http://www.faa.gov/certification/aircraft/av-info/dst/Seminar2004/searec/ETOPS.pdf]

Also in pilot speak...

"Engines Turn or Pilots Swim" :-)

Essentially.. must be able to make one of the certified alternate airports
within 90-180 minutes
on one engine, depending on the certification.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.9.14 - Release Date: 4/16/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38855. Re: [bayscan] UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 01:49:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38841 Message: 38855

thanks for the " straight " answer Don.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38856. Re: UA 49

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 03:48:42 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 38841 Message: 38856

E = Engines
T = Turn
O = Or
P = Passengers
S = Swim

:)
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> oh.......... ETOPS is ??????
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38857. City Cops on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 04:24:36 UTC
From: mrred1
Topic: 38857 Message: 38857

The show called City Cops is now on the Discovery Times channel (271 in SF on Comcast Cable)

tonight they are working San Francisco's Southern & Central Stations

38858. City Cops: San Francisco Blue 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 06:38:09 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 38858 Message: 38858

I checked and the three episodes are being repeated again tonight. Looks
very interesting, got it set up for TIVO already.
There are also some other ones coming

12:00 PM
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>City
Cops: San Francisco Blue 2
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=1&cpi=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>The
Mission District
01:00 PM
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>City
Cops: San Francisco Blue 2
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=2&cpi=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>The
Southern District
02:00 PM
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>City
Cops: San Francisco Blue 2
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=3&cpi=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>The
Tenderloin District

03:00 PM
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>City
Cops: San Francisco Blue 2
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=1&cpi=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>The
Mission District
04:00 PM
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>City
Cops: San Francisco Blue 2
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=2&cpi=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>The
Southern District
05:00 PM
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>City
Cops: San Francisco Blue 2
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=3&cpi=53915&gid=0&channel=DTC>The
Tenderloin District

There is also one on Vegas, here is the listing for that one:

network:
Discovery Times

<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=54660&gid=0>City Cops
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=3&cpi=54660&gid=0>Back
Streets of Vegas
... City Cops. Back Streets of Vegas. Las Vegas police officers Doug
Pearson and Andrew McDermott patrol the streets just southeast of the

Strip. ...
airing:
 Apr 23 2005 @ 10:00 PM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504240200$20050423>rem
ind
me]
 Apr 24 2005 @ 01:00 AM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504240500$20050423>rem
ind
me]
 Apr 24 2005 @ 06:00 AM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504241000$20050424>rem
ind
me]

Discovery Times
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=54660&gid=0>City Cops
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=1&cpi=54660&gid=0>Off
the Vegas Strip
... Apr 23 2005 @ 11:00 PM. Apr 24 2005 @ 04:00 AM. Apr 24 2005 @ 07:00 AM.
Apr 24 2005 @ 12:00 PM. Apr 24 2005 @ 03:00 PM. City Cops. Off the Vegas
Strip. ...
airing:
 Apr 23 2005 @ 08:00 PM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504240000$20050423>rem
ind
me]
 Apr 23 2005 @ 11:00 PM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504240300$20050423>rem
ind
me]
 Apr 24 2005 @ 04:00 AM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504240800$20050423>rem
ind
me]

network:
Discovery Times
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/series.jsp?series=54660&gid=0>City Cops
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/episode.jsp?episode=2&cpi=54660&gid=0>Vegas
Law and Order
... Apr 24 2005 @ 08:00 AM. Apr 24 2005 @ 01:00 PM. Apr 24 2005 @ 04:00 PM.
City Cops. Vegas Law and Order. Follow the police officers who patrol
downtown Las Vegas ...
airing:
 Apr 23 2005 @ 09:00 PM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504240100$20050423>rem
ind
me]
 Apr 24 2005 @ 12:00 AM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504240400$20050423>rem

ind
me]
 Apr 24 2005 @ 05:00 AM [
<http://times.discovery.com/schedule/reminders.jsp?addshow=A$141$200504240900$20050423>rem
ind
me]

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38859. Re: [bayscan] SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 06:42:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38859 Message: 38859

Some YAHOO on SJPD 3 / 460.200 broadcasting lots of profanity , racial slurs etc..... WARNING giving re : FCC Violation etc

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38860. DRILL - San Jose Fire & PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 17:06:27 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38860 Message: 38860

San Jose Fire and PD with a large scale drill at the new city hall structure, 4th and Santa Clara, based on a multiple casualty incident (shooting with multiple victims).

ENGINE 1
ENGINE 30
BATTALION 2
BATTALION 1
ENGINE 8
HIT 29 A & B
4A8
TRUCK 1
LIGHT UNIT 1
TRUCK 4
RESCUE MEDIC 4
MED 30

Listen in on

San Jose Command 4 - 153.980
San Jose TAC 6 - 154.355
San Jose PD 11 - 460.050

38861. Re: [bayscan] DRILL - San Jose Fire & PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 17:19:46 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38860 Message: 38861

Just to follow-up, this drill includes multiple AMR units as well. For those listening, you'll note that the AMR units involved are using San Jose Fire frequencies.

San Jose PD is working tactical operations on SJPD 11 - 460.050.

Also heard BAYMACS UHF mentioned early on, but nothing heard.

-C-

On Apr 17, 2005, at 10:06 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

> San Jose Fire and PD with a large scale drill at the new city hall
> structure, 4th and Santa Clara, based on a multiple casualty incident
> (shooting with multiple victims).
>
> ENGINE 1
> ENGINE 30
> BATTALION 2
> BATTALION 1
> ENGINE 8
> HIT 29 A & B
> 4A8
> TRUCK 1
> LIGHT UNIT 1
> TRUCK 4
> RESCUE MEDIC 4
> MED 30
>
> Listen in on
>
> San Jose Command 4 - 153.980
> San Jose TAC 6 - 154.355
> San Jose PD 11 - 460.050

38862. Re: [bayscan] DRILL - San Jose Fire & PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 17:30:36 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38860 Message: 38862

Spoke too soon - BAYMACS T-BAND has gone active briefly - 482.3375

On Apr 17, 2005, at 10:19 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Just to follow-up, this drill includes multiple AMR units as well. For
> those listening, you'll note that the AMR units involved are using San
> Jose Fire frequencies.
>
> San Jose PD is working tactical operations on SJPD 11 - 460.050.
>
> Also heard BAYMACS UHF mentioned early on, but nothing heard.
>
> -C-
>
> On Apr 17, 2005, at 10:06 AM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>>
>> San Jose Fire and PD with a large scale drill at the new city hall
>> structure, 4th and Santa Clara, based on a multiple casualty incident
>> (shooting with multiple victims).
>>
>> ENGINE 1
>> ENGINE 30
>> BATTALION 2
>> BATTALION 1
>> ENGINE 8
>> HIT 29 A & B
>> 4A8
>> TRUCK 1
>> LIGHT UNIT 1
>> TRUCK 4
>> RESCUE MEDIC 4
>> MED 30
>>
>> Listen in on
>>
>> San Jose Command 4 - 153.980
>> San Jose TAC 6 - 154.355
>> San Jose PD 11 - 460.050
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38863. Re: [bayscan] DRILL - San Jose Fire & PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 17:44:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38860 Message: 38863

thanks for the heads up Craig ,,,,,,,,,,getting it on 155.475 also

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38864. Re: [bayscan] DRILL - San Jose Fire & PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 17:49:57 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38860 Message: 38864

No problem - this is a big one with some pretty interesting listening.

On Apr 17, 2005, at 10:44 AM, caladded@... wrote:

> thanks for the heads up Craig ,,,,,,,,,,getting it on 155.475 also

38865. Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 18:14:13 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38859 Message: 38865

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Some YAHOO on SJPD 3 / 460.200 broadcasting lots of profanity , racial
> slurs etc........ WARNING giving re : FCC Violation etc
>
> ED

I heard some of this also. This exactly the ammunition that Motorola and others use to convince agencies to switch to trunked systems. If the radio can't affiliate, it can't transmit. Heck, while you're at it, you might as well spend the money on full time encryption so those pesky citizens won't know what's happening either. Homeland Security will pay for it all so it won't really cost anything. :(

The day is coming, folks. While I was back in Ohio, the Department of Natural Resources rangers, equivalent to our Fish and Game Wardens, has thier own trunked system with full time encryption. At least that will keep Bubba from finding out where the road kill is, I suppose.

Regards, Jim

38866. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 19:17:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38859 Message: 38866

Well, fortunately it doesn't happen too often. Jim, I am sure you were probably disgusted by the language and racist comments made by the disgusting person transmitting. I did notice dispatch put out info on the MDTs to switch to channel 9 . Now the idiot couldn't know that, I simply started hearing Mary and William units on 9 and it doesn't take a genius to figure out what they did. Hope they somehow catch the jerk

I agree Motorola will probably use scare tactics, etc., to sell new equipment but its quite expensive for a dept. the size of San Joses to switch, hopefully they won't do that for sometime.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38867. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 19:34:34 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38859 Message: 38867

Why switch to trunking when you can steal the frequencies from others
who switch to trunking. SJPD does it right in my opinion.

Regarding the jammer, maybe Ron Coursey is back in town.

caladded@... wrote:
> Well, fortunately it doesn't happen too often. Jim, I am sure you were
> probably disgusted by the language and racist comments made by the disgusting person
> transmitting. I did notice dispatch put out info on the MDTs to switch to
> channel 9 . Now the idiot couldn't know that, I simply started hearing Mary and
> William units on 9 and it doesn't take a genius to figure out what they did.
> Hope they somehow catch the jerk
>
> I agree Motorola will probably use scare tactics, etc., to sell new
> equipment but its quite expensive for a dept. the size of San Joses to switch,
> hopefully they won't do that for sometime.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38868. Miliptas Structure Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 20:16:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38868 Message: 38868

Milpitas Fire is responding to a house fire at 1185 Nicholas Avenue
with a report of one patient suffering from facial burns.

Working on Milpitas Fire - 460.625

More to follow.

-C-

38869. Re: [bayscan] Miliptas Structure Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 20:18:24 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38868 Message: 38869

CLARIFICATION - this is a report of a house fire, but latest calls indicate fire has been extinguished with one burn victim.

No further updates unless conditions warrant.

-C-

On Apr 17, 2005, at 1:16 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

>
> Milpitas Fire is responding to a house fire at 1185 Nicholas Avenue
> with a report of one patient suffering from facial burns.
>
> Working on Milpitas Fire - 460.625
>
> More to follow.
>
> -C-

38870. MVA - Santa Clara City

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 21:54:03 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38870 Message: 38870

Santa Clara Fire working an MVA on SB 101 North of De La Cruz with four vehicles involved and one vehicle overturned.

ENGINE 8 (MEDICAL GROUP)
TRUCK 2
RESCUE 2
MEDIC 5
BATTALION 1

Working on Santa Clara Fire 2 - talkgroup 304

38871. Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 21:59:33 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38859 Message: 38871

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> Why switch to trunking when you can steal the frequencies from others
> who switch to trunking. SJPD does it right in my opinion.
>
> Regarding the jammer, maybe Ron Coursey is back in town.

You missed my point. I can use a $150 radio to try up any conventional system in the US. It's just a matter of figuring out the PL codes and repeater offsets, as this jerk was obviously able to do. Adding more channels doesn't solve this problem but going to a trunked system will since only a radio that's recognized as affiliated can transmit on a trunk system. You can also turn off a lost or stolen radio in seconds using software, something that's not possible with a conventional radio. I'm sure that motorola salesmen have a whole slew of recordings of these types of pirates to help sell their trunked systems.

Regards, Jim

38872. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 22:12:42 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38859 Message: 38872

I don't know where they're headed but SJPD has been buying XTS3000 portables for the last few years. I don't know what software load they've purchased but I can't imagine they would buy that expensive of a radio to stay on their conventional system. I'll try to remember to ask somebody who might know what SJPD intends.

38873. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 22:34:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38859 Message: 38873

I will double check , but my GFs neighbor is a dispatcher for SJPD , let me see what she says.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38874. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 22:39:40 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38859 Message: 38874

Gordon Arnold wrote:
> I don't know where they're headed but SJPD has been buying XTS3000
> portables for the last few years. I don't know what software load
> they've purchased but I can't imagine they would buy that expensive of
> a radio to stay on their conventional system. I'll try to remember to
> ask somebody who might know what SJPD intends.

Why not? The XTS 3000 was the top of the line replacement for the Saber
III at the time, and covers into T-band.

Don

38875. Working Structure Fire - Milpitas

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 22:40:39 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38875 Message: 38875

Milpitas Fire working a structure fire at 452 Murray Street. Smoke and flames seen from the garage of a residence with multiple calls received.

ENGINE 1
TRUCK 1
USAR 1
ENGINE 2
ENGINE 3
BATTALION 19
OES 2

Working on Milpitas Fire - 460.625

38877. San Jose Fire - Working Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 23:02:05 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37123 Message: 38877

San Jose Fire with a working structure fire at 2645 Cunningham at
Wonderama Drive.

Full 1st Alarm Response

USAR 16
USAR TENDER 16
ENGINE 16
ENGINE 21
BATTALION 2
MED 30
ENGINE 2
TRUCK 2
BATTALION 1

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

38879. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 23:20:28 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38859 Message: 38879

I got your point the first time. The deal is I can count the number of police jammers in the last decade on one hand. I don't think it is that big of a problem. What is a big problem is complicated trunk systems that really don't work as well as conventional radios. Less is more. Worse yet, having to be relegated to 800Mhz signals that go nowhere.

Coursey was none too happy being busted in the early AM. Then again, when you play rap music over the SJPD repeater, it is best not to play that music so loud that it can be heard outside your house.

Peter Schleminger doesn't jam the SFPD any more since he OD. Well, he did jam from the grave for a while, but only on ham repeaters.

The guy jamming San Mateo was a bit harder to find since he did his jamming mobile. The FCC eventually caught the guy. An extra class ham too. I forget his callsign.

Three notorious jammers 10 years. I'd take my chances with conventional radios.

Jim Cooke wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>Why switch to trunking when you can steal the frequencies from others
>>who switch to trunking. SJPD does it right in my opinion.
>>
>>Regarding the jammer, maybe Ron Coursey is back in town.
>
>
> You missed my point. I can use a $150 radio to try up any conventional
> system in the US. It's just a matter of figuring out the PL codes and
> repeater offsets, as this jerk was obviously able to do. Adding more
> channels doesn't solve this problem but going to a trunked system will
> since only a radio that's recognized as affiliated can transmit on a
> trunk system. You can also turn off a lost or stolen radio in seconds
> using software, something that's not possible with a conventional
> radio. I'm sure that motorola salesmen have a whole slew of recordings
> of these types of pirates to help sell their trunked systems.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38880. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-17 23:57:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38859 Message: 38880

I have to agree with Gary. I didn't' mention, but I did ask about San Jose going trunked at least twice in the last 8-9 years and was told NO by two different very reliable sources, one being the SJPD Dispatcher, the 2nd a Firefighter for SJFD. Remember SJPD picked up Santa Clara Police channels when they went trunked and are now SJPD 1 and 2. SJFD as also added new frequencies in the last few years. Doubt you'll see any trunking there for some time to come.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38881. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 00:19:46 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38859 Message: 38881

I'll toss my two shillings in on this discussion. I would be absolutely floored if San Jose migrated to a trunked system within the next decade. The publicity has been bad enough with the new CAD system having difficulties. But moreover, the bad press that other jurisdictions have had with their efforts to move to trunked systems has done the manufacturers no favors. I think San Jose's attitude, at least from folks on the front lines, is one in which they say if it ain't broke, don't fix it!

Cheers all!

-C-

On Apr 17, 2005, at 4:57 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> I have to agree with Gary. I didn't' mention, but I did ask about San
> Jose
> going trunked at least twice in the last 8-9 years and was told NO by
> two
> different very reliable sources, one being the SJPD Dispatcher, the
> 2nd a
> Firefighter for SJFD. Remember SJPD picked up Santa Clara Police
> channels when they
> went trunked and are now SJPD 1 and 2. SJFD as also added new
> frequencies in the
> last few years. Doubt you'll see any trunking there for some time to
> come.
>
> ED
>

38882. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 04:21:32 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 38859 Message: 38882

Jim Cooke wrote:

>You missed my point. I can use a $150 radio to try up any conventional
>system in the US. It's just a matter of figuring out the PL codes and
>repeater offsets, as this jerk was obviously able to do. Adding more
>channels doesn't solve this problem but going to a trunked system will
>since only a radio that's recognized as affiliated can transmit on a
>trunk system. You can also turn off a lost or stolen radio in seconds
>using software, something that's not possible with a conventional
>radio. I'm sure that motorola salesmen have a whole slew of recordings
>of these types of pirates to help sell their trunked systems.
>
>Regards, Jim
>

Actually you can shut off radios on a conventional system. Our new
radios have a feature that if stolen we can shut them off over the
radio. The radio has to be on to do it, but it can be done. Each radio
has it's on ID code on the system. All of this on analog conventional.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38883. San Luis Reservoir

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 04:28:44 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38883 Message: 38883

I was at the San Luis Reservoir today. It's near HWY 152 and HWY 5 in Merced County.

Very cool place.

Anyway wondering if anyone knows the freqs there?

I'll probably be going back

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38884. Re:New Kern system

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 04:40:19 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38859 Message: 38884

Since we're on the subject.. Has anyone done any tests on how far your system can be heard outside of kern?

Mike Derryberry wrote:

>Jim Cooke wrote:
>
>
>
>>You missed my point. I can use a $150 radio to try up any conventional
>>system in the US. It's just a matter of figuring out the PL codes and
>>repeater offsets, as this jerk was obviously able to do. Adding more
>>channels doesn't solve this problem but going to a trunked system will
>>since only a radio that's recognized as affiliated can transmit on a
>>trunk system. You can also turn off a lost or stolen radio in seconds
>>using software, something that's not possible with a conventional
>>radio. I'm sure that motorola salesmen have a whole slew of recordings
>>of these types of pirates to help sell their trunked systems.
>>
>>Regards, Jim
>>
>>
>>
>
>Actually you can shut off radios on a conventional system. Our new
>radios have a feature that if stolen we can shut them off over the
>radio. The radio has to be on to do it, but it can be done. Each radio
>has it's on ID code on the system. All of this on analog conventional.
>
>Mike
>
>
>

38885. Re: [bayscan] Re:New Kern system

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 04:42:46 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 38859 Message: 38885

Ben wrote:

>Since we're on the subject.. Has anyone done any tests on how far your
>system can be heard outside of kern?
>

The only time I have had a chance to listen out of county was during the testing I went to Pismo Beach on Hwy 166 thru Cuyama Valley. I was able to hear the VHF Fire channels into Cuyama with no problem. I lost the UHF channels about 15-20 miles into Santa Barbara County. I will have to do some checking once the new system goes on line this week.

Cutover to the new system starts Tuesday morning and we are counting the hours :-)

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

38886. Tracking radios ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 04:53:01 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 38886 Message: 38886

Ok, hijacking this thread :-)

> Each radio has it's on ID code on the system.

I googled around a little bit, but I haven't been able to find any information about the way analog radios identify themselves. Is this something you can decode with a tap, or does it fall under the same kind of thing as decoding an MDT: you're not meant to hear it, so it's uncool to try.

Anyone done this?

/jordan

38887. Re: [bayscan] Re:New Kern system

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 04:56:38 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38859 Message: 38887

It will be a very nice birthday present :-)

Mike Derryberry wrote:

>Ben wrote:
>
>
>
>>Since we're on the subject.. Has anyone done any tests on how far your
>>system can be heard outside of kern?
>>
>>
>>
>
>The only time I have had a chance to listen out of county was during the
>testing I went to Pismo Beach on Hwy 166 thru Cuyama Valley. I was able
>to hear the VHF Fire channels into Cuyama with no problem. I lost the
>UHF channels about 15-20 miles into Santa Barbara County. I will have to
>do some checking once the new system goes on line this week.
>
>Cutover to the new system starts Tuesday morning and we are counting the
>hours :-)
>
>Mike
>
>
>

38888. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 05:02:02 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38859 Message: 38888

This is probably one of those systems that has that funny data burst at
the start of each transmission. If so, what kind of radio has that feature?

I recall hearing traffic over the SJPD repeater that leads me to assume
there is some sort of "panic" button on the radios too.

Mike Derryberry wrote:
> Jim Cooke wrote:
>
>
>>You missed my point. I can use a $150 radio to try up any conventional
>>system in the US. It's just a matter of figuring out the PL codes and
>>repeater offsets, as this jerk was obviously able to do. Adding more
>>channels doesn't solve this problem but going to a trunked system will
>>since only a radio that's recognized as affiliated can transmit on a
>>trunk system. You can also turn off a lost or stolen radio in seconds
>>using software, something that's not possible with a conventional
>>radio. I'm sure that motorola salesmen have a whole slew of recordings
>>of these types of pirates to help sell their trunked systems.
>>
>>Regards, Jim
>>
>
>
> Actually you can shut off radios on a conventional system. Our new
> radios have a feature that if stolen we can shut them off over the
> radio. The radio has to be on to do it, but it can be done. Each radio
> has it's on ID code on the system. All of this on analog conventional.
>
> Mike
>

38889. Re: [bayscan] Tracking radios ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 05:02:26 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 38886 Message: 38889

Jordan Hayes wrote:

> Ok, hijacking this thread :-)
>
>>Each radio has it's on ID code on the system.
>
> I googled around a little bit, but I haven't been able to find any
> information about the way analog radios identify themselves. Is this
> something you can decode with a tap, or does it fall under the same kind
> of thing as decoding an MDT: you're not meant to hear it, so it's uncool
> to try.

Try a Google on "MDC-1200."

You can start at http://batlabs.com/mdc1200.html

38890. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 05:20:42 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 38859 Message: 38890

gary wrote:

>This is probably one of those systems that has that funny data burst at
>the start of each transmission. If so, what kind of radio has that feature?
>
>I recall hearing traffic over the SJPD repeater that leads me to assume
>there is some sort of "panic" button on the radios too.
>

The radios are Motorola, but I don't recall the model number right off hand. There is a burst of data (about 1/2 second worth) when it is first keyed up. I shows a radio ID on our radio consoles and we have the capability to "test" each radio individually and disable the transmit. There is a 3rd option we can do, but I don't remember what it is. The only thing, we can only turn off the radio if the radio itself is turned on so it can receive the disable code, unlike a trunked system that you can lock out altogether.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

38891. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 05:25:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38859 Message: 38891

I now recall our company radios (moto 800 conventional) had that feature.
If I keyed up the dispatcher would know it was me even if I don't say a word.
BUT I also knew , through a co-worker, a way to disable
it.....disconnecting a wire , which some of the wise guys would do just so they could goof
off from time to time .

I take the 5th as to who the wise guys were LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38892. Re: Capitol Theater, San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 05:59:06 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38824 Message: 38892

Do you happen to know the PL tone they use?

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Tim <sfbayhooligan@y...> wrote:
>
> The Capitol Theater Drive-In off of Hillcap Ave in
> San Jose uses MURS #4 --154.570MHz-- for operations.
> Comms were pretty mundane -- entrance booth #3 needs
> more change, how much extra should we charge the dude
> that wants a third enchilada & not just the normal
> two, etc. but for a scanner-geek there watching a
> movie, it could be interesting to hear what's going on
> around you.
>
> They also broadcast the audio from their 6 screens
> onto the FM Broadcast band. 102.7MHz was the freq for
> the movie I saw.
>
>
>
>
>
> _____
> Do you Yahoo!?
> Plan great trips with Yahoo! Travel: Now over 17,000 guides!
> http://travel.yahoo.com/p-travelguide

38893. Re: San Luis Reservoir

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 06:32:36 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38883 Message: 38893

From Kelty (2004):

San Luis Reservoir SRA: brown - 856.9375 PL D115
DWR Los Banos Repeater: 151.235 PL 114.8
San Luis Dam Pumping/Gen Plant: 453.900 PL ?

SRA = State Recreation Area
DWR = (Calif) Dept of Water Resources

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mobile11@a... wrote:
>
> I was at the San Luis Reservoir today. It's near HWY 152 and HWY
5 in
> Merced County.
>
> Very cool place.
>
> Anyway wondering if anyone knows the freqs there?
>
> I'll probably be going back
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38894. RE: San Luis Reservoir

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 12:12:57 UTC
From: deputy1393
Topic: 38883 Message: 38894

Hi Paul,
State Park Rangers use DPR Brown 856.9375 / DPL115. Busy channel
with lots of traffic stops and rescues on the lake when it gets
windy there. Also check www.radioreference.com web site for Merced
County TRS. It's an old Motorola Type I system that's on Mt. Bullion
in Mariposa Co. Hope that helps.
Jeff---

Message: 24
Date: Mon, 18 Apr 2005 00:28:44 EDT
From: Mobile11@...
Subject: San Luis Reservoir

I was at the San Luis Reservoir today. It's near HWY 152 and HWY 5
in
Merced County.

Very cool place.

Anyway wondering if anyone knows the freqs there?

I'll probably be going back

Paul - KF6YYI

38895. Re: [bayscan] Tracking radios ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 13:34:13 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38886 Message: 38895

http://www.controlsignal.com/products/ID1201.htm

Don Root wrote:
> Jordan Hayes wrote:
>
>
>>Ok, hijacking this thread :-)
>>
>>
>>>Each radio has it's on ID code on the system.
>>
>>I googled around a little bit, but I haven't been able to find any
>>information about the way analog radios identify themselves. Is this
>>something you can decode with a tap, or does it fall under the same kind
>>of thing as decoding an MDT: you're not meant to hear it, so it's uncool
>>to try.
>
>
> Try a Google on "MDC-1200."
>
> You can start at http://batlabs.com/mdc1200.html
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38896. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 16:40:09 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38859 Message: 38896

At 11:14 AM 4/17/2005, you wrote:
>I heard some of this also. This exactly the ammunition that Motorola
>and others use to convince agencies to switch to trunked systems. If
>the radio can't affiliate, it can't transmit. Heck, while you're at
>it, you might as well spend the money on full time encryption so
>those pesky citizens won't know what's happening either. Homeland
>Security will pay for it all so it won't really cost anything. :(

I found out (accidentally) it's incredibly easy to transmit on a trunked system. I won't divulge how, but simply with a trunked two-way radio programmed to receive the trunked system.

38897. Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 16:53:22 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38859 Message: 38897

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike Derryberry <miked911@p...> wrote:
> gary wrote:
>
> >This is probably one of those systems that has that funny data
burst at
> >the start of each transmission. If so, what kind of radio has that
feature?
> >
> >I recall hearing traffic over the SJPD repeater that leads me to
assume
> >there is some sort of "panic" button on the radios too.
> >
>
> The radios are Motorola, but I don't recall the model number right
off
> hand. There is a burst of data (about 1/2 second worth) when it is
first
> keyed up. I shows a radio ID on our radio consoles and we have the
> capability to "test" each radio individually and disable the
transmit.
> There is a 3rd option we can do, but I don't remember what it is.
The
> only thing, we can only turn off the radio if the radio itself is
turned
> on so it can receive the disable code, unlike a trunked system that
you
> can lock out altogether.
>
> Mike
>
> --
>
> miked911@p...
> Mike Derryberry
>
> Dispatcher II
> Kern County Sheriff's Department
> Bakersfield, CA

Mike,
We have a similar system in Sonoma County. We had to get all our
older HT1000's modified to be compatible with the system. Our
dispatchers can set the system so only a radio with the correct ID
will key the repeater. That feature is disabled because we have too
many weak signal areas where the correct ID isn't always received or

is garbled. I don't know if San Jose has a similar system but they may also have the universal lockout turned off since this knothead's transmissions went on for quite a while.

In our system, the radio test feature only works with radios that are already checked out to the system. If I'm using a non-S.O. radio, the dispatcher's only option to kill the radio is to turn on the ID-Only feature so the pirate radio can't key the repeater. Even with the ID-Only feature turned on, the radio would still work on simplex as long as it had the right PL. We found this out several years ago when someone with a darn good radio and antenna would key up on simplex every time there was a transmission and cover the radio traffic for about a 10 mile radius in the south county. This went on for several days and then stopped. We never did catch the guy.

Regards, Jim

38898. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 18:01:58 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38859 Message: 38898

Jim Cooke wrote:

> I don't know if San Jose has a similar system but they
> may also have the universal lockout turned off since this knothead's
> transmissions went on for quite a while.

Just from listening to SJPD traffic, it's clear that some radios have a key-up or key-down data burst and some don't. I *think* it's the difference between the car radios and the handpacks, but I really don't know.

In any case, it's not clear whether this particular jammer was using a police radio or a modified ham radio. Some observations:

There was no key-up or key-down data burst.

From my location in south San Jose, the signal strength on the input was similar to what I get from units in the affected districts. The signal strength was nearly constant, consistent with a fixed location, and not a handpack.

Attempts by the jammer to speak "over" patrol units was not usually successful--indicating the received signal strength at the repeater input was weaker than most car radios.

The audio quality was different from the usual--the level was lower and it didn't sound like there was any mic gain applied. I did not have my equipment set up to check the deviation to compare.

It sounded at one point like there was two different speakers, and there were voices in the background.

It didn't take him very long to react to the switch to SJPD 9, though that was announced by MDT only.

My DF gear is 140-170 MHz only, so I wasn't able to jump on this--but I'm certain there were others who did.

-Johnathan

38899. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 18:08:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38859 Message: 38899

you say it didn't take him long to " react " to the switch to channel 9 ?
Did you hear traffic from him on 9 ? To be honest I didn't monitor long
enough to see if he made the switch or not. I was pretty disgusted listening to
the jerk. I agree ,did sound like more than one person and I did hear
background noise as well.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38900. Re: [bayscan] RE: San Luis Reservoir

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 18:29:34 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38883 Message: 38900

Thanks for the info.

I know this has nothing to do about radio's but,

FYI There is a flashing light at the guard shack.It can bee seen from HWY 152 which is about 2-3 miles from the shack. It rotates and has 2 colors. Yellow and Red. Yellow means winds 15-30 mph (which was yesterday) and RED meaning 30-50 mph (Lake Closed-Get Off the Lake). Interesting...Never seen anything like that before.

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38901. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 18:36:40 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38859 Message: 38901

caladded@... wrote:

> you say it didn't take him long to " react " to the switch to channel 9 ?
> Did you hear traffic from him on 9 ? To be honest I didn't monitor long
> enough to see if he made the switch or not. I was pretty disgusted listening to
> the jerk. I agree ,did sound like more than one person and I did hear
> background noise as well.

Yes, he did follow to SJPD 9, but didn't transmit for very long afterwards.

He could have found out about the switch over any number of ways; the simplest that he had a scanner and heard it just like I did.

I forgot to mention--part of his transmissions consisted of audio feedback squeal. This would indicate he had a separate receiver tuned the channel and not just a single transceiver.

Yeah, his commentary was pretty juvenile, though I estimate him to be in his late-20s, early-30s.

Unfortunately, I don't think this was a stolen police radio (one would hope so, then one could wait for the battery to run out.) I'd hate to think he was a ham, but it does take some (minimal) amount of knowledge to free-band a radio and figure out how to key up SJPD repeaters.

-Johnathan, AE6HO

38902. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 18:59:52 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 38859 Message: 38902

When did all this happen?

On 4/18/05, Johnathan Corgan <Johnathan.Corgan@...> wrote:
>
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>
> > you say it didn't take him long to " react " to the switch to channel 9
> ?
> > Did you hear traffic from him on 9 ? To be honest I didn't monitor long
> > enough to see if he made the switch or not. I was pretty disgusted
> listening to
> > the jerk. I agree ,did sound like more than one person and I did hear
> > background noise as well.
>
> Yes, he did follow to SJPD 9, but didn't transmit for very long
> afterwards.
>
> He could have found out about the switch over any number of ways; the
> simplest that he had a scanner and heard it just like I did.
>
> I forgot to mention--part of his transmissions consisted of audio
> feedback squeal. This would indicate he had a separate receiver tuned
> the channel and not just a single transceiver.
>
> Yeah, his commentary was pretty juvenile, though I estimate him to be in
> his late-20s, early-30s.
>
> Unfortunately, I don't think this was a stolen police radio (one would
> hope so, then one could wait for the battery to run out.) I'd hate to
> think he was a ham, but it does take some (minimal) amount of knowledge
> to free-band a radio and figure out how to key up SJPD repeaters.
>
> -Johnathan, AE6HO
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38903. Re: [bayscan] Re: SJPD 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 19:08:34 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 38859 Message: 38903

Brian C. wrote:

> When did all this happen?

Late Saturday night.

-Johnathan

38904. FS: Cushcraft 800 MHz Yagis

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 17:45:35 UTC
From: bc250
Topic: 38904 Message: 38904

I've been reading Bayscan for quite a while, and the thread about yagis reminded me about a batch of antennas that I've been meaning to offer here. I bought them several years ago for a project that never got off the ground. They are Cushcraft PC-8210N 824-896 MHz welded aluminum yagis, with 10 elements and 11 dBd gain. They are about 4 ft long by 8" tall at the widest element, and they weigh about 4 lbs.

http://www.cushcraft.com/details.asp?style_id=6&catid=123&group_id=14

They're unused in the original boxes, and I would like to get $45 for each. I live in SJ, so I can meet anyone who lives in the south bay who wants one, or I can ship them easily. Please contact me off-list if you're interested.

Thanks,

Will

38905. Re: [bayscan] FS: Cushcraft 800 MHz Yagis

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 20:08:52 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38904 Message: 38905

hmmmm......lol

bc250 wrote:

>
>I've been reading Bayscan for quite a while, and the thread about
>yagis reminded me about a batch of antennas that I've been meaning to
>offer here. I bought them several years ago for a project
>that never got off the ground. They are Cushcraft PC-8210N 824-896 MHz
>welded aluminum yagis, with 10 elements and 11 dBd gain. They are
>about 4 ft long by 8" tall at the widest element, and they weigh about
>4 lbs.
>
>http://www.cushcraft.com/details.asp?style_id=6&catid=123&group_id=14
>
>They're unused in the original boxes, and I would like to get $45 for
>each. I live in SJ, so I can meet anyone who lives in the south bay
>who wants one, or I can ship them easily. Please contact me off-list
>if you're interested.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Will
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38906. BC-246T Close Call Hit / Fry's Loss Prevention

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 21:56:44 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 38906 Message: 38906

Took my BC-246T to Fry's Saturday and picked up 467.85. It sounded like loss prevention, or employee monitoring. I never managed to pick up the CTCSS, but I think it was 79.7 or 67.0.

If someone could confirm, that would be great...

38907. RE: [bayscan] BC-246T Close Call Hit / Fry's Loss Prevention

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 22:00:37 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38906 Message: 38907

Which Fry's? I'm frequently near the Sunnyvale and Palo Alto stores.

> Took my BC-246T to Fry's Saturday and picked up 467.85. It
> sounded like loss prevention, or employee monitoring. I
> never managed to pick up the CTCSS, but I think it was 79.7 or 67.0.
>
> If someone could confirm, that would be great...

38908. Re: BC-246T Close Call Hit / Fry's Loss Prevention

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 22:06:18 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 38906 Message: 38908

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian" <radioscanner@g...> wrote:
> Which Fry's? I'm frequently near the Sunnyvale and Palo Alto stores.

Duh! Apologies for the mistake. Fry's Sunnyvale, a couple of blocks
off of Lawrence Expressway.

> > Took my BC-246T to Fry's Saturday and picked up 467.85. It
> > sounded like loss prevention, or employee monitoring. I
> > never managed to pick up the CTCSS, but I think it was 79.7 or
67.0.
> >
> > If someone could confirm, that would be great...

38909. Re: FS: Cushcraft 800 MHz Yagis

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 22:54:23 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38904 Message: 38909

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "bc250" <bc250@y...> wrote:

> http://www.cushcraft.com/details.asp?style_id=6&catid=123&group_id=14
>
> They're unused in the original boxes, and I would like to get $45 for
> each. I live in SJ, so I can meet anyone who lives in the south bay
> who wants one, or I can ship them easily. Please contact me off-list
> if you're interested.

I can hear Ben drooling from here. :)

38910. Shooting - EPA more to come ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 22:56:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38910 Message: 38910

HMA in his 20s shot at 1228 Westminister, had a prior shooting at this address or VERY close to it about a week to 10 days ago. In that instance , additional shootings occured within a few hours.....obviously gang-related..... You can BET I will be keeping an ear on EPAPD constantly for the next several hours

EPAPD 488.3875

Menlo Fire 153.89

if needed
LE TAC 3/ 488.5375
GREEN / 488.8875
SMSO/ TG 13840

1556 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38911. Re: [bayscan] Re: FS: Cushcraft 800 MHz Yagis

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 22:58:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38904 Message: 38911

I can SEE Ben drooling from here and thinking (hmmm , maybe I could get LAFD ??) LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38912. Re: [bayscan] RE: San Luis Reservoir

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 23:13:09 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 38883 Message: 38912

Don't forget that at the base of the damn is the Los Banos station for CDF Merced Mariposa Unit. And not too far is Santa Nella so Merced County Fire is another one you want to listen to.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38913. Re: FS: Cushcraft 800 MHz Yagis

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 23:19:38 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38904 Message: 38913

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> I can SEE Ben drooling from here and thinking (hmmm , maybe I could get LAFD
> ??) LOL

Wow ... how sad are we that we would want to go on a space shuttle mission just to see how much DX'ing we could do? ;)

38914. WF in EPA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-18 23:57:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38914 Message: 38914

255 Wisteria , 2 story Single family dwelling , E2 with heavy fire showing on arrival. Engines 2 with 1-3/4" leading in , E1backing up with 2nd line

153.89
156.105

1657 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38915. EPA update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-19 02:25:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 31106 Message: 38915

EPA officers just got another call where the earlier shooting occured. a Mother reported her 18 y.o. son was leaving after telling her he loved her and had shoved a shotgun down his pants leg. Sounds like he was on a mission to me ! EPAPD caught up with him at Laurel and Newbridge and have him AND the shotgun in custody. Probably preventing another tragedy

EPAPD 488.3875

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38916. Re: [bayscan] EPA update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-19 02:52:26 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 31106 Message: 38916

Not to be overly maudlin, but thank goodness they intercepted the boy!

Thanks for the updates, ED!

On Apr 18, 2005, at 7:25 PM, caladded@... wrote:

> EPAPD caught up with him at Laurel and Newbridge and have him AND the
> shotgun in custody. Probably preventing another tragedy

38917. SJPD 3 search

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-19 05:19:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38917 Message: 38917

SJPD looking for a guy jumping fences around McKee and Checkers
460.200
Mary units asking for air support or a unit with a FLIR

2219hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38918. Re: [bayscan] City Cops on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-19 16:55:43 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 38857 Message: 38918

what dates do SFPD are on tv??

Anthony

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38919. Re: City Cops on TV

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-19 17:18:30 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38857 Message: 38919

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, AMP6352@A... wrote:
> what dates do SFPD are on tv??
>
> Anthony

It looks like the message posted at
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/message/38858 has all the dates
and times.

Regards, Jim

38920. Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 00:41:31 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38920 Message: 38920

http://www.hamsexy.com

Take a gander at the 2005 Hooptiethon.
In short, it's a white crown vic, with about 15 antennas (all mag mount), a full light bar and arrow stick, and a gumball light - on the middle of the trunk.

And the archives is good for a laugh. :)

38921. Re: [bayscan] Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 01:34:44 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38920 Message: 38921

EVAN!!!!! This is hysterical! Man, did I need a laugh at this point - thanks for passing this on.

Hamsexy!

Cheers!

-C-

On Apr 19, 2005, at 5:41 PM, Evan wrote:

>
>
> http://www.hamsexy.com
>
> Take a gander at the 2005 Hooptiethon.
> In short, it's a white crown vic, with about 15 antennas (all mag
> mount), a full light bar and arrow stick, and a gumball light - on the
> middle of the trunk.
>
> And the archives is good for a laugh. :)

38922. Re: [bayscan] Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 01:57:02 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38920 Message: 38922

yeah, saw these guys last year and their site just kills me. so many pictures... reminds me of a few vehicles i saw 10 years ago driven by REACT members. (i've seen many useful REACT groups, and trust me, this wasn't one of them).

thanks for posting this one evan! i'd forgotten all about the site!

-g-

> EVAN!!!!! This is hysterical! Man, did I need a laugh at this point -
> thanks for passing this on.
>
> Hamsexy!
>
> Cheers!
>
> -C-
>
> On Apr 19, 2005, at 5:41 PM, Evan wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> http://www.hamsexy.com
>>
>> Take a gander at the 2005 Hooptiethon.
>> In short, it's a white crown vic, with about 15 antennas (all mag
>> mount), a full light bar and arrow stick, and a gumball light - on the
>> middle of the trunk.
>>
>> And the archives is good for a laugh. :)
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

38923. Re: [bayscan] Wow... Water break / cupertino

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 01:39:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38923 Message: 38923

would be the pits in an electrical storm !

County E1 and T1 with a big H2O break somewhere on Pruneridge in Cupertino.....several thousand gallons flooding street/sidewalks 154.25

1838 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38924. Re: [bayscan] Wow... Water break / cupertino

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 02:04:55 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 38923 Message: 38924

LOL!!!! Imagine a lightning strike on one of that Ford Escort. Good gravy - that is hysterical!

Okay - gotta catch my breath.

-C-

On Apr 19, 2005, at 6:39 PM, caladded@... wrote:

> would be the pits in an electrical storm !

38925. CHP Unit IDs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 02:31:42 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38925 Message: 38925

Hey guys,

I don't know if I've raised this question before or not, but I'm wondering what some of the letters stand for in the prefix unit IDs for CHP officers. I already know a standard patrol officer looks like:

[Office #][Beat #] -- Ex: "34-20" is a Marin County CHP officer (34) patrolling from Seminary Dr. to around Freitas Pky. on Hwy-101 (beat 20 & 120), and "34-115" is a normal patrol officer covering from Hwy 101 along Hwy 580 to the Richmond San Rafael Bridge (beat 15 & 115). (Just in case you guys like speeding in Marin, now you know who'll be chasing you :P)

I'm curious about what the letter prefixes mean in the ID for the more specialized units. I was wondering what COZEEP means again, I remember it has something to do with highway construction/maintenance crews. (Is it an officer that hangs out with them and does speed enforcement to get people to slow down?) On the radio, they sound like "34 COZEEP 1" or "34 COZEEP 2", and sometimes dispatch pronounces it so it sounds like 'code z,' which I remember caused some confusion a while back.

I was also wondering if someone knew what "Edward" units were for. I hear "34 Edward 2" (34e2?) a lot checking registration on 'cal commercial' plates and hanging out by the Richmond San Rafael Bridge toll plaza. Do they do commercial enforcement on big rigs? I also remember reading something that said they were like "highway violence prevention" units somewhere, but I have no idea what that means pertaining to a law enforcement officer or where I heard it so I doubt that's accurate. This one's got me really curious.

The rest of them are easy:

D - David -- Detective.
M - Mary -- Motorcycle unit. "34-20 Mary"
S - Sam -- Sergeant. "34s1"
V - Victor -- Roving? There's a roving patrol unit in West Marin County that supplements the two local Sheriff's deputies there called "34-46 Victor."

That's all I've heard. Could someone remind me what COZEEP units are, and does anyone have any idea (or I'm even for educated guesses since it's got me really curious) what the Edward units are for?

Thank you very much for lookin that over, and if you don't pay attention to callsigns maybe you know something new about the CHP guys you listen to in your county. :)

-Inigo

38926. RE: [bayscan] CHP Unit IDs -- COZEEP

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 02:54:10 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38925 Message: 38926

Yes, it is COZEEP (co-zEp), an acronym of Construction Zone Enhancement Enforcement Program. Basically, it's a contract between Caltrans and the CHP for the CHP to provide special enforcement. In this case, it is specifically within construction zones--with commercial contractors. Maintenance Zone Enhancement Enforcement Program (MAZEEP) also exists, which is enforcement specifically with Caltrans maintenance crews. (Speaking from first-hand knowledge, they are quite handy to have present with you.)

These special units are easily identifiable as they sit stationary with their rear-facing lights on. And, yes, they are on overtime--this is voluntary duty in addition to their regular work schedule.

Here's chapter 2 of the Caltrans Construction Manual, part of which talks about COZEEP. It is in PDF format.
http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/construc/manual2001/chapter2/chp2_2.pdf

- Brian

38927. Re: [bayscan] Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 03:00:28 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38920 Message: 38927

Where are these funny photographs? All I see is usual collection of vehicles I see at the Livermore swap meet. ;-)

Now some guy walking around with a QRP rig and a huge antenna strapped to him doing CW would be funny, er not that anyone on this list does that. [double ;-)]

If you want to do a ham web site that is even funnier, I know someone who brought a non-techie to the old Foothill flea market. Bored with the ham and computer stuff, she decided to take photos of well er um eh does anyone remember the old John Belushi plumber routine on Saturday Night Live.....The photographs, shall we say, cracked me up.

Evan wrote:
>
> http://www.hamsexy.com
>
> Take a gander at the 2005 Hooptiethon.
> In short, it's a white crown vic, with about 15 antennas (all mag
> mount), a full light bar and arrow stick, and a gumball light - on the
> middle of the trunk.
>
> And the archives is good for a laugh. :)
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38928. RE: [bayscan] CHP Unit IDs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 03:02:56 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38925 Message: 38928

> The rest of them are easy:
>
> D - David -- Detective.
> M - Mary -- Motorcycle unit. "34-20 Mary"
> S - Sam -- Sergeant. "34s1"
> V - Victor -- Roving? There's a roving patrol unit in West
> Marin County that supplements the two local Sheriff's
> deputies there called "34-46 Victor."

Also T-Tom units. (I'm hearing 91-T1 as I type: 42.08.)

- Brian

38929. Crashes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 03:13:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38929 Message: 38929

Not sure whats up , but I have heard on the scanner or read on the
CHP webpage an unusual amount of bad crashes today. As everyone knows , the
weather around here is fine , not sure about the rest of the state. But I am
aware of no less than 5 fatal crashes from Shasta to Modesto to Fresno to Napa
and now one in Santa Clara (read on)

671 Kiely @ Ruth vehicle into tree and building
Engine 3
Engine 7
Medic 5
Truck 2
Rescue 2
Battalion 1
Engine 5 and 2 AMR rigs

1st patient to VMC Code 3 major trauma // 2nd victim , male 70s is 10-55
both were trapped , T2 using the Jaws.
TGs 16 and 304
incident is pretty much over now

2012 BC1 asking for Hazmat 9 for lighting the scene

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38930. Re: [bayscan] CHP Unit IDs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 03:15:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38925 Message: 38930

so what is a TOM unit and (sorry , know this was covered a long time ago) what is a " clear " unit

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38931. Re: [bayscan] CHP Unit IDs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 04:04:30 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 38925 Message: 38931

a clear unit is a special unit usually a motorcycle that is funded by a special grant, I think federal, to keep the highways clear. Same program as the FSP. They figure it's cheaper to get more cops out there and a few tow truck drivers at the ready than to build wider freeways.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 19, 2005 8:15 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP Unit IDs

>
> so what is a TOM unit and (sorry , know this was covered a long
> time ago) what is a " clear " unit
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38932. Re: CHP Unit IDs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 04:31:39 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38925 Message: 38932

How about Tom and Edward? I seem to remember hearing Tom was "Traffic"
but I don't know what this ensues. Any thoughts?

Thanks for all the interest guys!

-Inigo

38933. Chase 42.440

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 05:07:51 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38933 Message: 38933

Chase now 42.440 near 3-com speed 120 mph!!!!

10:07 pm

Robert
BayScan

38934. Re: Crashes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 05:30:54 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 38929 Message: 38934

And another -- 10:25 p.m. Stevens Creek / Lawrence offramp from NB 280. CHP Ruby 42.5/42.28 SJ Fire Command 4 153.980. This one is a rescue of female in overturn.

Doug

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Not sure whats up , but I have heard on the scanner or read on the
> CHP webpage an unusual amount of bad crashes today. As everyone knows , the
> weather around here is fine , not sure about the rest of the state. But I am
> aware of no less than 5 fatal crashes from Shasta to Modesto to Fresno to Napa
> and now one in Santa Clara (read on)
>
> 671 Kiely @ Ruth vehicle into tree and building
> Engine 3
> Engine 7
> Medic 5
> Truck 2
> Rescue 2
> Battalion 1
> Engine 5 and 2 AMR rigs
>
> 1st patient to VMC Code 3 major trauma // 2nd victim , male 70s is 10-55
> both were trapped , T2 using the Jaws.
> TGs 16 and 304
> incident is pretty much over now
>
> 2012 BC1 asking for Hazmat 9 for lighting the scene
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38935. Re: [bayscan] CHP Unit IDs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 06:12:43 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 38925 Message: 38935

Brian wrote:

>>The rest of them are easy:
>>
>>D - David -- Detective.
>>M - Mary -- Motorcycle unit. "34-20 Mary"
>>S - Sam -- Sergeant. "34s1"
>>V - Victor -- Roving? There's a roving patrol unit in West
>>Marin County that supplements the two local Sheriff's
>>deputies there called "34-46 Victor."
>>
>>
>
>Also T-Tom units. (I'm hearing 91-T1 as I type: 42.08.)
>

A "Tom" unit is a training car. 1 officer doing in-field training and 1 training officer. Always a 2 man unit, but the trainee does all the work (driving, talking on the radio, and report writing)

A "David" unit indeed is a detective, but is also any unit that primarily works in the office. The PAO (public affairs officer) is also a "David" unit.

I have also heard "Movie" units, as in Movie1, Movie2 ... they were over time units working a movie filming.

There are also "Robert" units, as in Robert1, Robert2 ... primarily overtime units working special projects.

Both of the above are always prefaced with the office id .. as in 69Movie1 .. Bakersfield office, movie unit 1

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38936. Fwd: san anselmo hot prowl 0306

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 10:21:37 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38936 Message: 38936

>
>
> requesting k9, 0315
>
>
> Begin forwarded message:
>
>> From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
>> Date: Wed Apr 20, 2005 03:08:17 US/Pacific
>> Subject: san anselmo hot prowl 0306
>>
>> 54448
>> "suspect left other shoe in house"
>> perimeters being established
>>
>>
>> ========================
>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>
>

38937. Re: [bayscan] Wow... Water break / cupertino

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 13:57:47 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 38923 Message: 38937

Just checked the log...non-injury accident, car vs. fire hydrant on
Wolfe Rd and Pruneridge Av. Guess who won?

Nothing more than that though.

Mike

On 4/19/05, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
> would be the pits in an electrical storm !
>
> County E1 and T1 with a big H2O break somewhere on Pruneridge in
> Cupertino.....several thousand gallons flooding street/sidewalks
> 154.25
>
> 1838 hours
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

--
Mike
KD6FTR

38938. Re: Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 16:03:57 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 38920 Message: 38938

>
>
>Now some guy walking around with a QRP rig and a huge antenna strapped
>to him doing CW would be funny, er not that anyone on this list does
>that. [double ;-)]
>

No? Check out

38939. Richmond fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 17:52:41 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38939 Message: 38939

Richmond was just dispatched to a commercial fire on Cutting Blvd.
First unit on scene states one story commercial building iwth heavy
smoke showing.

Traffic is on Richmond TRS with fireground on Tac 10. Didn't catch the
address on Cutting and I'll leave it to Ed to do a rundown on the units
on scene since all fire trucks look alike to me. :)

Regards, Jim

38940. Re: [bayscan] Richmond fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 17:56:50 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38939 Message: 38940

adress?
On Wednesday, Apr 20, 2005, at 10:52 US/Pacific, Jim Cooke wrote:

>
>
> Richmond was just dispatched to a commercial fire on Cutting Blvd.
> First unit on scene states one story commercial building iwth heavy
> smoke showing.
>
> Traffic is on Richmond TRS with fireground on Tac 10. Didn't catch the
> address on Cutting and I'll leave it to Ed to do a rundown on the units
> on scene since all fire trucks look alike to me. :)
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38941. Re: [bayscan] Richmond fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 18:15:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38939 Message: 38941

all the fire trucks look alike !!!!! Sorry Jim, Can't get Richmond TRS

the little ones are Engines the longer ones are Trucks LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38942. Re: Richmond fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 19:47:01 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38939 Message: 38942

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> all the fire trucks look alike !!!!! Sorry Jim, Can't get
Richmond TRS
>
> the little ones are Engines the longer ones are Trucks LOL
>
> ED

Sorry, never did get the address but it sounds like they knocked it down in about 10 minutes. Ed, I'm suprised you can't get Richmond - it comes in really well up here in Petaluma.

Little ones and big ones are about as much as I know about fire engines, that's for sure. Oh, yeah, some are red and some are yellow. :)

Regards, Jim

38943. Re: [bayscan] Re: Richmond fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-20 21:33:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38939 Message: 38943

YELLOW ugh !!! hate anything other than red or
red/white.....although white with red stripe is ok LOL

I will try again with Richmond. you know freqs can be so funny. SFs'
system is so tempermental it seems..... I can get Sonoma from here but NOT
SF , probably with the damn TRS ,,,,,,,prior to that I could get SF with no
problem.

I will be in Napa area within the next week ,can get Richmond from there
anyway. they had a pretty darn spectatular fire early this morning with the
pallets etc , pretty good video on both 2 and 5 this morning. Pretty much run my
CoCo BC7 and CoCo units (7 Engines 1 Truck)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38944. Wrecked SJPD Cruiser on 280 (West Valley)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 00:41:57 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 38944 Message: 38944

I was just tipped off to an accident on 280 in West San Jose involving
a SJPD Cruiser...

Anyone know what's up?

CT

38945. Re: Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 01:05:56 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38920 Message: 38945

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Cortland Richmond <cortlandka5s@n...>
wrote:

> No? Check out

Umm.. I'll try. :)

38946. Re: Wrecked SJPD Cruiser on 280 (West Valley)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 01:08:46 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38944 Message: 38946

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Craig" <iawireman@y...> wrote:
>
> I was just tipped off to an accident on 280 in West San Jose involving
> a SJPD Cruiser...
>
> Anyone know what's up?

http://cad.chp.ca.gov/ii.asp?Center=GGCC&LogNumber=1649D0420

Incident: 1649 Type: Traffic Collision - No Details Location: SB
I280 JNO SARATOGA AV Zoom Map: 853 1B Info as of: 4/20/2005 6:07:15 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
5:44PM - CORRECTION ON VEH;S//VEH LINE 17 TO CM VEH LINE 19 TO
CAMPBELL TOW
5:43PM - 1039 BOBS TOW
5:41PM - VEH LINE 17 AND 19 BOTH TO CM TOW
5:40PM - PER R3 ALL LANES SHOULD BE OPEN SOON
5:23PM - FSP:VEHICLE IN CENTER DIVIDER
5:06PM - MINOR 1181 SJ PD IS 1097 WILL HANDLE 1185 FOT THE PV
5:04PM - 1039 C/M TOW ON PRI W/FLAT BED
5:01PM - LANES 1, 2 AND 3 ARE BLOCKED/REQ 1 1185 FLTBED ON PRIO PLS
4:57PM - PER SJ PD PD VEH VS 3 OTHER VEH NO SERIOUS INJ S
4:52PM - SEVERAL VEHS BLKING VISUAL ONLY

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
5:02PM - CHP Unit On Scene

38947. Re: [bayscan] Wrecked SJPD Cruiser on 280 (West Valley)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 00:54:08 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 38944 Message: 38947

this is from the CHP CAD website:

http://cad.chp.ca.gov/

pete
--
pete geniella | photojournalist
http://www.petegeniella.com

Incident: 1649 Type: Traffic Collision - No Details Location: SB
I280 JNO SARATOGA AV Zoom Map: 853 1B Info as of: 4/20/2005 5:51:31
PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
5:44PM - CORRECTION ON VEH;S//VEH LINE 17 TO CM VEH LINE 19 TO CAMPBELL TOW
5:43PM - 1039 BOBS TOW
5:41PM - VEH LINE 17 AND 19 BOTH TO CM TOW
5:40PM - PER R3 ALL LANES SHOULD BE OPEN SOON
5:23PM - FSP:VEHICLE IN CENTER DIVIDER
5:06PM - MINOR 1181 SJ PD IS 1097 WILL HANDLE 1185 FOT THE PV
5:04PM - 1039 C/M TOW ON PRI W/FLAT BED
5:01PM - LANES 1, 2 AND 3 ARE BLOCKED/REQ 1 1185 FLTBED ON PRIO PLS
4:57PM - PER SJ PD PD VEH VS 3 OTHER VEH NO SERIOUS INJ S
4:52PM - SEVERAL VEHS BLKING VISUAL ONLY

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
5:02PM - CHP Unit On Scene
5:09PM - CHP Unit On Scene

38948. mera 46064 2151

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 04:52:25 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38948 Message: 38948

mvpd

80year old farsi speaking subject is refusing to leave a residence.
r.p. wants her to go back to san jose and she won't leave.

query if officer speaks the language: "of course not!"

nina
==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38949. New Kern County Radio System

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 06:42:33 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 38949 Message: 38949

Well we finished 90% of the radio cut-over today. Fire moved to their new VHF channels yesterday and it went pretty well. There were a few small problems found that were remedied fairly quickly. All in all the fire move went smoothly.

We started at 0900 this morning moving people around. We had to vacate some of the old channels to move units to the new system. That made for an interesting day. We normally have 3 dispatch channels and a countywide administrative channel. We started out by eliminating 1 of the dispatch channels and moving those units to the administrative channel. It made for extra traffic on the remaining dispatch channels for a while, but went very smoothly. We had moved everyone around to their new channels almost 2 hours ahead of schedule, and everything worked.

We got calls from units testing the new radios in known "bad" areas and everywhere they tried was vastly improved. I spent most of the day on the phone explaining to people how to switch their radios to the new system. They went to the radio orientation class and I didn't ... go figure ..... I just sat there and played with the radios for about 20 minutes and learned how to do pretty much everything.

One nice thing about the new system is that we don't hear the data burst at the start of each transmission anymore. It is still heard on my scanners, but now in my ear at work.

One thing to note ... for those who listen to our system, The channels are labeled East Disp, West Disp, Metro Disp, East Tac, West Tac, Metro Tac and admin. One would think that to hear all the dispatch traffic just listen to the 3 dispatch channels. Not so for now .... The West Disp channel is getting a little modification so for the next couple of months we are dispatching on the West Tac channel and they are using the West Disp channel for car to car. We have reversed them till an additional repeater is put in.

That's about it ... any questions or comments, fell free to ask .... however please do not ask for PL tones or repeater input frequencies as I have been asked by my employer (the County of Kern) not to release that info.

Happy scanning ... my feed radios listed on my sig line have been reprogrammed if you want to take a listen.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

38950. Re: mera 46064 2151

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 06:53:46 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38948 Message: 38950

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>
> mvpd
>
> 80year old farsi speaking subject is refusing to leave a residence.
> r.p. wants her to go back to san jose and she won't leave.
>
> query if officer speaks the language: "of course not!"
>
> nina

Where are all those Iranian officers when you need them? :) I wouldn't want to go back to San Jose either

Regards, Jim

38951. Re: New Kern County Radio System

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 06:59:41 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38949 Message: 38951

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike Derryberry <miked911@p...> wrote:
> Well we finished 90% of the radio cut-over today. Fire moved to
their
> new VHF channels yesterday and it went pretty well. There were a
few
> small problems found that were remedied fairly quickly. All in all
the
> fire move went smoothly.

<<SNIP>>

Thanks for the details, Mike. It's always interesting to hear how a big radio switch goes. As for the people who didn't know how to work the radios, how many times a day to get someone who "forgot" how to log on to their MDC? :)

> That's about it ... any questions or comments, fell free to
ask ....
> however please do not ask for PL tones or repeater input
frequencies as
> I have been asked by my employer (the County of Kern) not to
release
> that info.

I can understand the repeater inputs but why not the PL tones? Anyone with one of the newer RS or Uniden scanners will have the PL tones pegged in about 10 minutes and they will get published anyway.

Regards, Jim

38952. Re: [bayscan] Re: New Kern County Radio System

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 07:12:01 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 38949 Message: 38952

Jim Cooke wrote:

>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike Derryberry <miked911@p...> wrote:
>
>
>>That's about it ... any questions or comments, fell free to
>>
>>
>ask ....
>
>
>>however please do not ask for PL tones or repeater input
>>
>>
>frequencies as
>
>
>>I have been asked by my employer (the County of Kern) not to
>>
>>
>release
>
>
>>that info.
>>
>>
>
>I can understand the repeater inputs but why not the PL tones? Anyone
>with one of the newer RS or Uniden scanners will have the PL tones
>pegged in about 10 minutes and they will get published anyway.
>
>Regards, Jim
>

Hi Jim ... regarding the PL tones, you know, I know and the radio techs all know how easy it is to get them and that they will be on the net very soon. I was asked not to I was asked not to release them, so I have to honor that request, since I like my job and have a house payment every month. :-)

My guess is that within a month the PL tones will be all over the place on the net and I can just point people to those sites and still not get into trouble at work.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://war.str3am.com:7300/

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://war.str3am.com:7460/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38953. Re: New Kern County Radio System

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 09:25:40 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38949 Message: 38953

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike Derryberry <miked911@p...> wrote:
> Jim Cooke wrote:
>
> >--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike Derryberry <miked911@p...>
wrote:
> >
> >
> >>That's about it ... any questions or comments, fell free to
> >>
> >>
> >ask ....
> >
> >
> >>however please do not ask for PL tones or repeater input
> >>
> >>
> >frequencies as
> >
> >
> >>I have been asked by my employer (the County of Kern) not to
> >>
> >>
> >release
> >
> >
> >>that info.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >I can understand the repeater inputs but why not the PL tones?
Anyone
> >with one of the newer RS or Uniden scanners will have the PL tones
> >pegged in about 10 minutes and they will get published anyway.
> >
> >Regards, Jim
> >
>
> Hi Jim ... regarding the PL tones, you know, I know and the radio
techs
> all know how easy it is to get them and that they will be on the
net
> very soon. I was asked not to I was asked not to release them, so I
have
> to honor that request, since I like my job and have a house payment
> every month. :-)

>
> My guess is that within a month the PL tones will be all over the
place
> on the net and I can just point people to those sites and still not
get
> into trouble at work.
>
> Mike

Mike,

Understood - you have to what the boss tells you. I just checked
RadioReference.com and the inputs and PL tones for most of the new
fire system are already there. :)

Regards, Jim

38954. Re: Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 15:43:59 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 38920 Message: 38954

Message: 3 Date: Wed, 20 Apr 2005 09:03:57 -0700 From: Cortland Richmond <cortlandka5s@...> Subject: Re: Wow...

>>
>>
>>Now some guy walking around with a QRP rig and a huge antenna strapped
>>to him doing CW would be funny, er not that anyone on this list does
>>that. [double ;-)]
>>
>
>
Trying again (grin)

No? Check out
http://www.qsl.net/hfpack/images/W3FF_4.jpg and
http://www.al7fs.us/PA190022.JPG

Cortland
KA5S

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38955. Re: Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 15:56:59 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 38920 Message: 38955

> From: gary <yahoogroups@...>
>
> Now some guy walking around with a QRP rig and a huge antenna strapped
> to him doing CW would be funny, er not that anyone on this list does
> that. [double ;-)]

PS: Here's an even better one -- not that I used it outside the shootout!

http://www.qsl.net/hfpack/images/shootout_ka5s_loop.jpg

Cortland
KA5S

38956. FWD: Re: New Kern County Radio System w/ pl's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 16:04:25 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 38956 Message: 38956

Dan Rollman wrote:

>>From the Southern California Frequency Directory
>(www.CaliforniaScanner.com), which includes the new Kern County Fire VHF
>frequencies:
>
>Ch1 Dispatch [PL 167.9]
>155.8800 R
>Ch2 Command - Countywide [PL 167.9] 153.7850
>R
>Ch3 Command - North Battalions 3 & 6 [PL 167.9] 155.6250
>R
>Ch4 Command - West Battalions 2 & 4 [PL 167.9] 154.8600 R
>Ch5 Command - East Battalions 1 & 7 [PL 167.9] 151.1000 R
>Ch6 Bakersfield Fire - Ch1 [PL 103.5] 154.0700
>Ch7 Bakersfield Fire - Ch2 [PL 103.5] 153.9500
>TAC 1 Tactical - Countywide [PL 167.9] 159.4725
>S
>TAC 2 Tactical - Countywide [PL 167.9] 151.4525
>S
>TAC 3 Tactical - North Battalions 3 & 6 [PL 167.9] 158.7375
>S
>TAC 4 Tactical - West Battalions 2 & 4 [PL 167.9] 154.4450
>S
>TAC 5 Tactical - East Battalions 1 & 7 [PL 167.9] 151.1375
>S
>
>Mike Derryberry is SCFD's Kern County Coordinator.
>
>Dan
>www.CaliforniaScanner.com
>
>
>
>
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: socalscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:socalscan@yahoogroups.com] On
>>Behalf Of Warrior
>>Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2005 11:31 AM
>>To: socalscan@yahoogroups.com
>>Subject: Re: [SoCalScan] New Kern County Radio System
>>
>>
>>where can i find the new Kern County Freqs at ??
>>

>>Mike Derryberry wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>Well we finished 90% of the radio cut-over today. Fire moved to their
>>>new VHF channels yesterday and it went pretty well. There were a few
>>>small problems found that were remedied fairly quickly. All in all the
>>>fire move went smoothly.
>>>
>>>We started at 0900 this morning moving people around. We had to vacate
>>>some of the old channels to move units to the new system. That made for
>>>an interesting day. We normally have 3 dispatch channels and a
>>>countywide administrative channel. We started out by eliminating 1 of
>>>the dispatch channels and moving those units to the administrative
>>>channel. It made for extra traffic on the remaining dispatch channels
>>>for a while, but went very smoothly. We had moved everyone around to
>>>their new channels almost 2 hours ahead of schedule, and everything
>>>
>>>
>>worked.
>>
>>
>>>We got calls from units testing the new radios in known "bad" areas and
>>>everywhere they tried was vastly improved. I spent most of the day on
>>>the phone explaining to people how to switch their radios to the new
>>>system. They went to the radio orientation class and I didn't ... go
>>>figure ..... I just sat there and played with the radios for about 20
>>>minutes and learned how to do pretty much everything.
>>>
>>>One nice thing about the new system is that we don't hear the data burst
>>>at the start of each transmission anymore. It is still heard on my
>>>scanners, but now in my ear at work.
>>>
>>>One thing to note ... for those who listen to our system, The channels
>>>are labeled East Disp, West Disp, Metro Disp, East Tac, West Tac, Metro
>>>Tac and admin. One would think that to hear all the dispatch traffic
>>>just listen to the 3 dispatch channels. Not so for now .... The West
>>>Disp channel is getting a little modification so for the next couple of
>>>months we are dispatching on the West Tac channel and they are using the
>>>West Disp channel for car to car. We have reversed them till an
>>>additional repeater is put in.
>>>
>>>That's about it ... any questions or comments, fell free to ask ....
>>>however please do not ask for PL tones or repeater input frequencies as
>>>I have been asked by my employer (the County of Kern) not to release
>>>that info.
>>>
>>>Happy scanning ... my feed radios listed on my sig line have been
>>>reprogrammed if you want to take a listen.
>>>

>>>Mike
>>>
>>>
>
>
>
>
>---
>To unsubscribe, send a blank e-mail to: socalscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>Off-topic discussions should be moved to SoCalScan-Discussion
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38957. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 17:14:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38920 Message: 38957

At 08:43 AM 4/21/2005, you wrote:
>No? Check out
><http://www.qsl.net/hfpack/images/W3FF_4.jpg>http://www.qsl.net/hfpack/images/W3FF_4.jpg
>and
><http://www.al7fs.us/PA190022.JPG>http://www.al7fs.us/PA190022.JPG

Wow.. qsl.net sure is speedy.. Takes me back to the days of 1200 baud
modems. My web browser says I'm getting 350 B/s. Wouldn't be a bad speed
with a K in front of it...

I think I can type at 350 B/S.

38958. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wow...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 20:19:39 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38920 Message: 38958

Ditto on the slow speed at QSL.net, but of course, it's free.

So what kind of poles are you using for that loop?

I have two receive only loop antennas that I use with a Wellbrook ALA100
amp. This one is 11ft on the diagonal, made from fiberglass poles
purchased from Tap Plastics.. In the photo, it is nulling a beacon near
an undisclosed location in the Nevada desert.
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/bigassant.jpg
The other loop is similar, but only 4ft on the diagonal, using
fiberglass tent poles.
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/loop1.jpg
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/loop2.jpg
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/loop3.jpg
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/loop4.jpg
http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/loop5.jpg
The wire is flexweave from DavisRF. The wire connectors are speaker
terminals from Parts Express.

At the moment, none of my loops are wearable. ;-)

Cortland Richmond wrote:
>> From: gary <yahoogroups@...>
>>
>>Now some guy walking around with a QRP rig and a huge antenna strapped
>>to him doing CW would be funny, er not that anyone on this list does
>>that. [double ;-)]
>
>
>
> PS: Here's an even better one -- not that I used it outside the shootout!
>
> http://www.qsl.net/hfpack/images/shootout_ka5s_loop.jpg
>
> Cortland
> KA5S
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

38959. Fairfax, Marin County Code 777

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 23:19:16 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 38959 Message: 38959

Code 777 = Countywide Roadblock
Code 33 = Emergency Radio Traffic only

-- In effect on the Fairfax, San Anselmo & Sheriff channels in Marin for a stolen (10851) Burgandy Toyota Tacoma out of the Albertsons parking lot in Fairfax (this is like a block from my house). RP left the keys in the truck...

MERA TGs:

45616 Sheriff Dispatch "CONTROL 1" (Patch to 39.24 MHz)
62800 Police Department Mutual Aid Channel (Patch to CTRL 2 39.52 Mhz)
49648 Fairfax PD Dispatch 1
54448 San Anselmo PD Dispatch 1
52048 San Rafael PD Dispatch 1
47248 Novato PD Dispatch 1

The rest you can find on radioreference.com and its MERA info is very accurate now adays (I submitted some stuff).

4:19 pm

-Inigo

38960. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wrecked SJPD Cruiser on 280 (West Valley)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-21 23:25:54 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 38944 Message: 38960

Thanks for all the replies guys! Usually I would look at the CHP cad, but I was in SF and not at my computer when my brother called me about this. I had to send that message via cell phone internet. Something I don't reccomend unless you have a really good phone (mine sucks).

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38961. Chase CoCo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 00:08:00 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 38961 Message: 38961

Just heard the tail end of a good car chase that ended in a foot chase..Code 33 was just lifted.4 Suspects Detained. Star 2 was calling the foot pursuit. Units calling for code3 for a k-9 dog bite. Not sure why the car was being chased.
Sorry i didnt post earlier.. i was on the road..

155.3100

-Bayard
1707

38962. Re: [bayscan] Chase CoCo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 00:16:27 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 38961 Message: 38962

update: Sounds like the 4 suspects bailed out of the vehicle however the car and its driver continued and has escaped. Units are in the are attempting to locate the vehicle. AMR arrived for the suspect with a dog bite to the arm and face.

----- Original Message -----
From: "stfucode3" <Charger@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2005 5:08 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Chase CoCo

>
>
> Just heard the tail end of a good car chase that ended in a foot
> chase..Code 33 was just lifted.4 Suspects Detained. Star 2 was calling
> the foot pursuit. Units calling for code3 for a k-9 dog bite. Not sure
> why the car was being chased.
> Sorry i didnt post earlier.. i was on the road..
>
> 155.3100
>
>
> -Bayard
> 1707
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38963. Re: [bayscan] Chase CoCo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 00:21:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38961 Message: 38963

WHERE ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38964. Redwood City ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 00:24:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38964 Message: 38964

anyone know if Redwood City is still on the TRS ? I have them as TG 17680
but heard nothing for a good 30 minutes last night and tried their old freq ,
483.4125 and nothing either ???

Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38965. Re: [bayscan] Chase CoCo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 00:34:15 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 38961 Message: 38965

I was not sure of the location in earlier posts.. I have heard the vehicle they are looking for was last seen in the area of Knull Valley Road. I hope im spelling that right. I'm not familiar with CoCo area. Units now advising its been 20+ min since they last saw the car.. CHP to be notified. The car was a Honda and appeared to be following a Blue BMW. Unk if the BMW was related or not.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2005 5:21 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Chase CoCo

>
> WHERE ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38966. Reno Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 00:51:46 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 38966 Message: 38966

Is there anyone familiar with Washoe County Nevada's EDACS system? I see on RadioReference.com that there are multiple sites listed. Can these sites be combined together or do they need to be in different banks. I have the BC780 so I know I can't have 2 trunking systems within the same bank but this is the same system just different sites. Thanks.....

Danny Monge - AD6SJ
IRLP Node # 5040
Echolink # 152401

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.2 - Release Date: 4/21/2005

38967. News.. I dont know what to say

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 01:11:26 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 38967 Message: 38967

SEATTLE (Reuters) - Seattle police launched an investigation on Friday to determine how a patient undergoing emergency heart surgery caught on fire at a local hospital in 2003.

The male patient, who was not identified, went up in flames after alcohol poured on his skin was ignited by a surgical instrument.

The patient died after the surgery but that was due to heart failure and not the fire, said Dr. Robert Caplan, medical quality director of Virginia
Mason.

Caplan said fires are known to occur in operating rooms although they wereextremely rare.

The two-year-old incident became publicly known after an anonymous letter sent to the media mentioned it as a sign of unsafe health care at the hospital, and said the patient burned to death.

Caplan strongly disputed its contents. "That letter is factually incorrect," he said.

38968. RE: [bayscan] Redwood City ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 01:50:32 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38964 Message: 38968

I haven't heard them on the TRS either. I seem to recall hearing that RWC gave up on it, but I'm not sure.

- Brian

38969. Re: [bayscan] Redwood City ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 02:08:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38964 Message: 38969

Might have , I will hold one scanner on the old freq during the Giants game , give them plenty of time to say " something" . Will post findings

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38970. Re: [bayscan] Reno Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 02:13:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38966 Message: 38970

Last time I was in Reno I used the Red peak freqs , not sure if your situation would call for a different site. I got the talkgroups for Reno PD and FD , Sparks FD and PD, Washoe S/O and Nevada HP all through that site and received them well .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38971. Re: News.. I dont know what to say

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 02:37:02 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 38967 Message: 38971

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> SEATTLE (Reuters) - Seattle police launched an investigation on
> Friday to determine how a patient undergoing emergency heart surgery
> caught on fire at a local hospital in 2003.

I personally like the SWAT monkey. Better be careful, he may put some of our SWAT members here out of business.. ;)

PHOENIX (Reuters) - Send in the SWAT monkey.

It's not an order police commanders are accustomed to giving, but that could change if an Arizona police department follows through on a proposal to train a capuchin monkey for high-risk police operations.

A Special Weapons and Tactics veteran from Mesa, Arizona, a suburb of Phoenix, has researched the possibility of landing a $100,000 federal grant to fund a pilot program to train one monkey.

"Everybody laughs about it until they really start thinking about it," Sean Truelove told the East Valley Tribune, a local newspaper. "It could change the way we do business."

Major city police departments in the United States use paramilitary SWAT teams for hostage situations and in situations involving heavily armed criminals.

Truelove, who declined an interview request from Reuters, told the newspaper that the idea came to him in a dream about 18 months ago.

The test monkey could be trained to unlock doors and search buildings for police on command, Truelove was quoted as saying by the newspaper.

The capuchin monkey is considered one of the smartest primates, known by many for its decades-long association with organ grinders. The monkeys weigh three to eight pounds (1.3 kg to 3.5 kg) and live for 15 to 20 years.

Capuchin monkeys, native to southern central America, have been used to help disabled people, and are able to perform such tasks as retrieving items, serving food and opening and closing doors.

The Mesa, Arizona police department issued a statement saying: "We have always encouraged our department members to seek creative and innovative ways to improve public safety in our community."

But the department also said the idea of training a capuchin SWAT monkey had not been cleared by the department's executive ranks.

A representative from the nation's largest association of SWAT officers also could not resist poking a little fun at the proposal.

"I've always heard you can train a monkey to do anything," said Steve Smith, a board member of the National Tactical Officers Association when reached at a convention in Nashville. "Does this mean he's going to have on little black fatigues?"

38972. Re: [bayscan] Re: News.. I dont know what to say

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 02:48:52 UTC
From: gaffit@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 38967 Message: 38972

```
----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan" <evan@...>
>
> I personally like the SWAT monkey. Better be careful, he may put some
> of our SWAT members here out of business.. ;)
>
> Truelove, who declined an interview request from Reuters, told the
> newspaper that the idea came to him in a dream about 18 months ago.

I think he's been watching too much TV. Check these videos out:

http://www.trunkmonkey.com

Later,
Todd...
```

38973. Re: Redwood City ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 02:57:51 UTC
From: Daryl Jones
Topic: 38964 Message: 38973

Try 488.7875.

caladded@... wrote:
> Might have , I will hold one scanner on the old freq during the Giants game ,
> give them plenty of time to say " something" . Will post findings

38974. Re: [bayscan] Reno Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 05:53:36 UTC
From: tk
Topic: 38966 Message: 38974

Each EDACS site is a different system for scanner trunking.
One per bank due to the Logical channel asignments.

Danny Monge wrote:

>Is there anyone familiar with Washoe County Nevada's EDACS system? I see on
>RadioReference.com that there are multiple sites listed. Can these sites be
>combined together or do they need to be in different banks. I have the BC780
>so I know I can't have 2 trunking systems within the same bank but this is
>the same system just different sites. Thanks.......
>
>
>
>Danny Monge - AD6SJ
>IRLP Node # 5040
>Echolink # 152401
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

38975. Re: Redwood City ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 06:26:35 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 38964 Message: 38975

Word is on one of the RR forums that RC was not happy with the digital system (dead spots and missed comms). They went back to analog (on their old ch2 freq below) until it is fixed. When that might be...?

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Daryl Jones <daryl@t...> wrote:
> Try 488.7875.
>
>
> caladded@a... wrote:
> > Might have , I will hold one scanner on the old freq during the Giants game ,
> > give them plenty of time to say " something" . Will post findings

38976. Re: [bayscan] Re: Redwood City ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 06:29:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38964 Message: 38976

yeah, they are on 488.7875 alright . thanks

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38977. RAT/ETS Packs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 07:59:35 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 38977 Message: 38977

http://www.trac-asia.com/cashtrac.htm

Be sure to watch the video.

San Francisco is listed, and since there aren't too
many companies making such things, plus out of
compatibility/mutual aid concerns, it's likely that
this is the same specific system in use in other
counties around here, and probably most of the USA.

We've had a little discussion over the exact freq
before. Kelty claims something around 216 or 219MHz.
That'd be a good choice in terms of having the
low-power signal transmitting on a freq in a
relatively low noise-floor spectrum area. Yet I
sat right outside of a San Mateo bank that had an "ETS
activation," and didn't hear anything on Kelty's
claimed freq, while San Mateo PD was inside the bank,
trying to figure out which pack it was (i.e. it was
still on the air while I was outside the bank).

Studying the length of the 3-antenna array on
police cars, especially in comparison to the
173.075MHz 4-antenna Lo/Jack array on other police
cars, based on antenna length, my guestimate would be
that the RAT/ETS
beacon operates somewhere within a 10 or 15MHz window
centered on 190MHz, even though I know that's in the
VHF television & wireless mics band.

Tim

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

38978. using BC245xlt for SF trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 10:54:29 UTC
From: Ross Mutzelburg
Topic: 38978 Message: 38978

I will be visiting the US on vacation during May and would like to use my BC245xlt to listen to SFFD. I'm fairly new to trunking as there are not many systems here in Brisbane (Australia).

Could someone tell me the base and offset frequencies for this system for the 245xlt, or point me in the right direction of where to find out.

Thanks in advance.

Ross

38979. Re: [bayscan] using BC245xlt for SF trunking

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 10:56:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38978 Message: 38979

www.radioreference.com

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38980. furture changes to 800mhz

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 13:10:08 UTC
From: Merlin Willis, N6OFY
Topic: 38980 Message: 38980

caught this on a email group I belong to.

Most everything emergency managers should
know and be prepared for concerning the FCC's
800 MHz rebanding process coming to your town
very soon....

800 MHz TA releases
Reconfiguration Handbook

800 MHZ BAND
RECONFIGURATION HANDBOOK (58 Page PDF)
April 21, 2005

http://www.800ta.org/PDFs/handbook.pdf

Quick Reference Guide (12 Page PDF)
800 MHz Band Reconfiguration
April 21, 2005

http://www.800ta.org/PDFs/guide.pdf

73

38981. Re: [bayscan] RAT/ETS Packs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 19:35:37 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38977 Message: 38981

Did you search one frequency or band scan the around 266 to 219Mhz? I'd
also suggest doing this in AM mode or CW if you have it rather than NFM.

The trouble with eyeballing antennas is you don't know if they are a
half wave or a 5/8th.

Tim wrote:
>
> http://www.trac-asia.com/cashtrac.htm
>
> Be sure to watch the video.
>
> San Francisco is listed, and since there aren't too
> many companies making such things, plus out of
> compatibility/mutual aid concerns, it's likely that
> this is the same specific system in use in other
> counties around here, and probably most of the USA.
>
> We've had a little discussion over the exact freq
> before. Kelty claims something around 216 or 219MHz.
> That'd be a good choice in terms of having the
> low-power signal transmitting on a freq in a
> *relatively* low noise-floor spectrum area. Yet I
> sat right outside of a San Mateo bank that had an "ETS
> activation," and didn't hear anything on Kelty's
> claimed freq, while San Mateo PD was inside the bank,
> trying to figure out which pack it was (i.e. it was
> still on the air while I was outside the bank).
>
> Studying the length of the 3-antenna array on
> police cars, especially in comparison to the
> 173.075MHz 4-antenna Lo/Jack array on other police
> cars, based on antenna length, my guestimate would be
> that the RAT/ETS
> beacon operates somewhere within a 10 or 15MHz window
> centered on 190MHz, even though I know that's in the
> VHF television & wireless mics band.
>
>
> Tim

38982. OT Big Loop (was Wow...)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 20:12:24 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 38982 Message: 38982

>
>
>Message: 5
> Date: Thu, 21 Apr 2005 13:19:39 -0700
> From: gary <yahoogroups@...>
>
>So what kind of poles are you using for that loop?
>
>I have two receive only loop antennas that I use with a Wellbrook ALA100
>amp. This one is 11ft on the diagonal, made from fiberglass poles
>. . .
>At the moment, none of my loops are wearable. ;-)
>

"Wearable" is a relative term. I had two 3 inch PVC tuning 90 degree curved bends in a backpack with 20 ft fiberglass (not carbon fiber) collpasible fishing poles, minus tip sections, pushed into them. Holding this up was one thing; putting it *on* was another; lots of mechanical moment, not to mention wind load. An LDG tuner with battery was in the back-pack and some number 20 stranded wire ran along the poles and acress the gap. The loop was less than 50 feet in circumference, and would have done better on 15 meters than the 20m frequency that Shootout used. I tried it ONCE for an individual Field Day "mobile" operation -- and gave that up as too much work (grin).

FWIW, a 20 meter *dipole* a V of two non-carbon poles, fed at the center with teflon twisted pair and ends taped down along the poles, did very well compared to the the other antennas, but since the owner was on the event staff (I simply got drafted) her results are not posted.

For NDB's etc. I've been using an EMCO 6511 20Hz-5MHz loop with an HP 8447A preamp but that is too close to my computer and other powerline noise sources in the cabin.

Cortland
KA5S
Santa Rosa

38983. Humor On CHP Radio

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 20:26:43 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38983 Message: 38983

A Redwood City unit was recently dispatched to check on ducks wandering the onramp to SB 101 from Hillsdale.

When an officer reported that they were "10-98, UTL", you could clearly hear his partner giving a "quack" in the backgroud. Dispatch repeated the words in acknowledgment, as usual, and you could hear people quacking in the background again. The dispatcher starting laughing over the air.

Pretty funny.

- Brian

38984. Box 0919, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 22:41:55 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38984 Message: 38984

Pier 19, Embarcadero

Working fire, High winds in the City

38985. Re: Box 0919, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 22:44:17 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38984 Message: 38985

Pier 19, Embarcadero Working fire
Embarcadero by Green Streets

38986. Re: Box 0919, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 22:51:49 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38984 Message: 38986

Fire Underneath pier at end of pier. Fireboat working on fire.

38987. Re: [bayscan] Box 0919, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 22:51:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38984 Message: 38987

pier unsafe for rigs , backing E2 off the pier..........

Milt , who do they have on this ?? 28 2 16 13 ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38988. Re: [bayscan] Re: Box 0919, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 22:58:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38984 Message: 38988

Milt , what does RC2 Div2 E14 etc have ?? bad crash or something ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38990. Re: Box 0919, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 23:02:17 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38984 Message: 38990

They have a cliff rescue of sorts with a victum

38991. Re: [bayscan] Re: Box 0919, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 23:11:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38984 Message: 38991

oh.....cliff rescue , brings back memories.....

hearing they special called U1 and an HT also ..... Pier fires bring back memories. Lets see .....Piers 47 45 39 33 27 7(5th alarm) 30/32(5th alarm) 70 48 50 , plenty over the years

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38993. Re: Box 0919, San Francisco

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-22 23:19:01 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38984 Message: 38993

pier 40 too

38994. Lifelight to St Francis High, Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 01:11:13 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38994 Message: 38994

I just heard a call for a dissecting aortic aneurism at St. Francis High, Grant Rd, Mountain View. I wonder how they can tell that this is the problem without medical imaging.

156.075 Calcord
154.025 Mtn View Fire
856.4375 Med Ctrl 91
130.05 Stanford Helipad

- Brian
6:10pm

38995. Re: [bayscan] Lifelight to St Francis High, Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 01:18:40 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38994 Message: 38995

that's right by El Camino Hospital... perhaps St Francis is just the LZ?

On 4/22/05, Brian <radioscanner@...> wrote:
>
>
> I just heard a call for a dissecting aortic aneurism at St. Francis High,
> Grant Rd, Mountain View. I wonder how they can tell that this is the
> problem
> without medical imaging.
>
> 156.075 Calcord
> 154.025 Mtn View Fire
> 856.4375 Med Ctrl 91
> 130.05 Stanford Helipad
>
>
> - Brian
> 6:10pm
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38996. RE: [bayscan] Lifelight to St Francis High, Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 01:21:42 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 38994 Message: 38996

> that's right by El Camino Hospital... perhaps St Francis is
> just the LZ?

That could be it. I just heard Medic 420 (the rig dispatched to the same location) say that they were heading to El Camino's ICU with Lifelight's crew. Strange that they chose El Camino.

- Brian

38997. Re: [bayscan] Lifelight to St Francis High, Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 01:26:25 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38994 Message: 38997

perhaps previous work-up and classic symptoms...
On Friday, Apr 22, 2005, at 18:18 US/Pacific, Gordon Arnold wrote:

>
> that's right by El Camino Hospital... perhaps St Francis is just the
> LZ?
>
> On 4/22/05, Brian <radioscanner@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> I just heard a call for a dissecting aortic aneurism at St. Francis
>> High,
>> Grant Rd, Mountain View. I wonder how they can tell that this is the
>> problem
>> without medical imaging.
>>
>> 156.075 Calcord
>> 154.025 Mtn View Fire
>> 856.4375 Med Ctrl 91
>> 130.05 Stanford Helipad
>>
>>
>> - Brian
>> 6:10pm
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

38998. Re: [bayscan] Lifelight to St Francis High, Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 01:45:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 38994 Message: 38998

yeah, it was St.Francis high football field for the LZ , Mt.View E2 was on standby there.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

38999. Re: Lifelight to St Francis High, Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 05:46:00 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38994 Message: 38999

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian" <radioscanner@g...> wrote:
> I just heard a call for a dissecting aortic aneurism at St. Francis
High,
> Grant Rd, Mountain View. I wonder how they can tell that this is
the problem
> without medical imaging.
>
> 156.075 Calcord
> 154.025 Mtn View Fire
> 856.4375 Med Ctrl 91
> 130.05 Stanford Helipad
>
>
> - Brian
> 6:10pm

Brian,
It depends on where the tear in the aorta is located. If it's in the aortic arch, you can usually hear it with a stethoscope if you've been trained what to listen for. The patient usually has an awful look that's different from a normal MI, with severe, excruciating pain, low blood pressure, low pulse but none of the grey pallor and profuse diaphoresis you see with a normal MI. All I can say is that once you've seen one of these unfortunate people, it usually jumps out at you the next time you see one. I hope things turned out OK for the patient.

Regards, Jim

39000. Re: Baker-to-Vegas race, live feed?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 07:26:25 UTC
From: Harry Marnell
Topic: 39000 Message: 39000

Radio Lady wrote:
>
> We're headed up there at O:dark:30, whats-his-name is running.. (is
> your brother running with the LAPD Retired team again, harry?)

I have no idea

>
> LAPD Communications Div has a mixed team, male/female team #125.
>
> Frequency list at http://www.bakervegas.com/pdf/FreqList.pdf
> Team list at http://www.bakervegas.com/pdf/StTimes_Final.pdf
>
> The last few years there have been more and more APRS-equipped teams,
> with live tracking online, but I don't have a URL handy for this
> year. Anybody have it?

Yea, I think it's this "java" one:
http://www.b2vtracking.com/

There is some ham radio and APRS stuff for the race at the Bay Area "Nor
Calif Support" site at
http://www.baker2vegas.org/ Also see
http://members.dslextreme.com/users/baker2vegas/03.html

hm

39001. San Jose CAD system implementation review document

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 07:41:59 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39001 Message: 39001

An interesting look into the CAD system switch by San Jose back in June of '04:

http://www.sanjoseca.gov/clerk/Agenda/02_15_05docs/02_15_05_9.1a.pdf

-Johnathan

39002. mera 52048 1334

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 08:35:29 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39002 Message: 39002

possible hot prowl --

sorry don't know location

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39003. Re: [bayscan] mera 52048 1334

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 08:36:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39002 Message: 39003

1334 hours OR 0134 hours Nina ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39004. Re: [bayscan] mera 52048

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 08:38:22 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39002 Message: 39004

whoops nice catch, ed. it *is*kinda late, after all!! 0134
On Saturday, Apr 23, 2005, at 01:36 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

>
> 1334 hours OR 0134 hours Nina ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39005. Re: [bayscan] mera 52048

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 08:40:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39002 Message: 39005

ok, thought so ....it is late , kinda quiet.........time to crash (
uh, don't mean 11-80 either :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39006. Re: [bayscan] mera 52048

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 08:43:36 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39002 Message: 39006

things are just warming up here, sounds like.

nice full moon, too....

On Saturday, Apr 23, 2005, at 01:40 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

>
> ok, thought so ....it is late , kinda quiet.........time to
> crash (
> uh, don't mean 11-80 either :-)
>
> ED
>
>

39007. Chase Benicia

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 10:49:14 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39007 Message: 39007

PD Chasing a possible 10851, Hwy 80 .. Request for CHP denied. Solano CHP states they have NO units avalible. Contra Costa CHP will send 1-2 units with extended ETA. Units state they lost the vehicle on one of the Benecia off ramps..

155.7750

0340

39008. Novato Emergency Landing?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 22:01:39 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39008 Message: 39008

I just heard over Marin S.O. somthing about incident command being set up for air plane comming into Novato airport with serious mechanical problems.. Any one else hear any thing on this?

39009. Re: [bayscan] Novato Emergency Landing?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-23 23:57:36 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39008 Message: 39009

hmm, there was a plane crash in petaluma around that time -- pilot
walked away... engine sputtered on take off ... he kept going, landed
in a field outside of the airport.

On Saturday, Apr 23, 2005, at 15:01 US/Pacific, stfucode3 wrote:

>
>
> I just heard over Marin S.O. somthing about incident command being set
> up for air plane comming into Novato airport with serious mechanical
> problems.. Any one else hear any thing on this?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39010. 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 01:29:38 UTC
From: edacsfan
Topic: 39010 Message: 39010

landing zone for possible officer down

39011. Re: [bayscan] 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 02:02:23 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39010 Message: 39011

any more info on this?

----- Original Message -----
From: "edacsfan" <edacsfan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 6:29 PM
Subject: [bayscan] 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

>
>
> landing zone for possible officer down
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39012. Re: [bayscan] 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 02:36:11 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 39010 Message: 39012

I have an unconfirmed report that the officer was being given CPR at the
scene,
doesnt look good...

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bayard Culley" <Charger@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 7:02 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

>
> any more info on this?
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "edacsfan" <edacsfan@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 6:29 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch
>
>
>>
>>
>> landing zone for possible officer down
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

39013. Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 02:36:51 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 39010 Message: 39013

I was on my way back to Antioch when this went down, was out of range at time of 11-99. What I have gathered so far is a Pittsburg Officer was shot in Antioch, condition unknown but he was talking. I beleive, and again this is not fact, only what I beleive what happened. The Pittsburg Officer was chasing or at least looking for robbery suspect(s) in an area that borders with Antioch.
I was able to pick up area law enforcement just after the shooting and you could probably understand the traffic on the Pitt. Antioch, CCSO, CHP and every other agency in Contra Costa. I even heard Rio Vista PD in Antioch setting up a perimiter.
Antioch PD has taken two suspects into custody and Pitt. sounds like the are sure its them. FBI just went on scene.

More later, going to dinner.

Dennis

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bayard Culley" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> any more info on this?
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "edacsfan" <edacsfan@y...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 6:29 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch
>
>
> > >
> > > landing zone for possible officer down
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Yahoo! Groups Links
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

39014. www.firescan.net feature

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 03:49:04 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 39014 Message: 39014

I've just added the ability for users to get RSS 2.0 feeds for each channel's index of who's been paged.

Matthew Kaufman
matthew@...

39015. Pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 04:30:46 UTC
From: Nick in Fresno
Topic: 6809 Message: 39015

Sounds like someone in San Mateo County had a pursuit that they just lost sight of. Just copied CHP putting it out to Redwood City units to BOL for the vehicle.

- Dark Green Toyota Camery
- Last Seen w/b Hwy 92
- Unknown want on the vehicle

Nick in Fresno - 21:30 Hours

39016. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 04:35:27 UTC
From: Nick in Fresno
Topic: 39010 Message: 39016

Any update on this officer???

I'll check KTVU @ 22:00 hours for anything

Nick in Fresno - 21:35 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dennis" <dhalbasch@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 19:36
Subject: [bayscan] Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

>
>
> I was on my way back to Antioch when this went down, was out of
> range at time of 11-99. What I have gathered so far is a Pittsburg
> Officer was shot in Antioch, condition unknown but he was talking. I
> beleive, and again this is not fact, only what I beleive what
> happened. The Pittsburg Officer was chasing or at least looking for
> robbery suspect(s) in an area that borders with Antioch.
> I was able to pick up area law enforcement just after the shooting
> and you could probably understand the traffic on the Pitt. Antioch,
> CCSO, CHP and every other agency in Contra Costa. I even heard Rio
> Vista PD in Antioch setting up a perimiter.
> Antioch PD has taken two suspects into custody and Pitt. sounds
> like the are sure its them. FBI just went on scene.
>
> More later, going to dinner.
>
> Dennis
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bayard Culley" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>> any more info on this?
>>
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "edacsfan" <edacsfan@y...>
>> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 6:29 PM
>> Subject: [bayscan] 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch
>>
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > landing zone for possible officer down

>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39017. Re: [bayscan] Pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 04:38:28 UTC
From: Nick in Fresno
Topic: 6809 Message: 39017

- Last three on the plate of "262"

Nick in Fresno - 21:32 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: "Nick in Fresno" <cop4christ@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 21:30
Subject: [bayscan] Pursuit

>
> Sounds like someone in San Mateo County had a pursuit that they just lost
> sight of. Just copied CHP putting it out to Redwood City units to BOL for
> the vehicle.
>
> - Dark Green Toyota Camery
> - Last Seen w/b Hwy 92
> - Unknown want on the vehicle
>
> Nick in Fresno - 21:30 Hours
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39018. Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 05:04:19 UTC
From: Dennis
Topic: 39010 Message: 39018

KTVU had footage that clearly showed CPR being done on the Officer
When loaded into helo.

Dennis

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "edacsfan" <edacsfan@y...> wrote:
>
> landing zone for possible officer down

39019. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 05:41:51 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39010 Message: 39019

How did KTVU get there that fast?? Sure wish someone had audio of that whole incident,it was really amazing, kind of like the Cops show. My thoughts and prayers are with that officer.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dennis" <dhalbasch@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 10:04 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

>
>
> KTVU had footage that clearly showed CPR being done on the Officer
> When loaded into helo.
>
> Dennis
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "edacsfan" <edacsfan@y...> wrote:
>>
>> landing zone for possible officer down
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39020. Pacifica pursuit 2243 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 05:43:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39020 Message: 39020

Pacifica now on footbail off 280 to Poincetta drive in DC , unknown reason

Green 488.8875

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39021. Re: [bayscan] Pacifica pursuit 2250 hours UPDATE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 05:51:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39021 Message: 39021

AMA in his 20s wearing all black. K9 on scene , DCPD units also on scen assisting. setting up perimeter

488.8875

Also, South San Francisco has called a Phase 1 Tactical Alert for a large party with Gang members at 250 Gateway , Embassy Suites hotel.

SSFPD 488.4625
Tac 1 488.8625

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39022. Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 08:11:51 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39010 Message: 39022

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> How did KTVU get there that fast?? Sure wish someone had audio of
that
> whole incident,it was really amazing, kind of like the Cops show.
My
> thoughts and prayers are with that officer.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Dennis" <dhalbasch@n...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 10:04 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch
>
>
> >
> >
> > KTVU had footage that clearly showed CPR being done on the
Officer
> > When loaded into helo.
> >
> > Dennis
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "edacsfan" <edacsfan@y...> wrote:
> >>
> >> landing zone for possible officer down

Nothing more I can find in the nedia except the officer was wounded and airlifted to John Muir. No word on his condition at the hospital but hoping for the best. At least the two scumbags are in custody. There were apparently about 15 shots exchanged in the incident.

Regards, Jim

39023. Re: Novato Emergency Landing?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 08:14:58 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39008 Message: 39023

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>
> I just heard over Marin S.O. somthing about incident command being
set
> up for air plane comming into Novato airport with serious mechanical
> problems.. Any one else hear any thing on this?

We did have a non-injury plane crash up here in Petaluma at the same time. The only thing I heard in Marin was Marin's air unit assisting the Coast Guard in finding an EPIRB that was set off in the San Rafael area. Turned out to be an accidental activation on a yacht in the the San Rafael Yacht Harbor.

Regards, Jim

39024. Bank Robberies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 13:26:09 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39024 Message: 39024

I was watching the news and missed the report about the WOMAN bank robber, where was the last one (Sat in the PM?) and the area that she has been working?

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39025. Re: [bayscan] Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 17:56:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39010 Message: 39025

How did KTVU get there that fast ? good chance it was a stringer
and not KTVU staff.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39026. Pittsburg Police Officer Wounded In Shootout

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 17:57:06 UTC
From: Nick in Fresno
Topic: 39026 Message: 39026

POSTED: 8:32 am PDT April 24, 2005

PITTSBURG -- A Pittsburg police officer was critically wounded Saturday evening trying to arrest two suspected armed robbers, authorities said.

Police received a report of a robbery at the Raleys Market at 1375 Buchanan Road around 5:50 p.m., Capt. William Zbacnik said. The suspects were reported to have fled in a white compact car after allegedly robbing the market and a Wells Fargo bank counter in the store.

Minutes after receiving the initial call, according to Zbacnik, a second call reported that a white compact car had crashed into a parked car at Woodland and Norine drives. Witnesses reported to police that two suspects exited the vehicle, one of them allegedly dropping a gun momentarily before picking it up.

A pair of Pittsburg police officers searched for the suspects on the Delta De Anza trail near the Antioch border when one of the officers heard a noise in the brush and spotted the suspects, according to Zbacknik.

The officer, who had his gun drawn, ordered the suspects to surrender. As he yelled the command, several shots rang out, and the officer was hit twice.

Zbacnik reported that both officers exchanged fire but the suspects were not wounded. The injured officer was taken to John Muir Medical Center where he is in critical condition.

The suspects were arrested for attempted homicide and robbery, according to Zbacnik. They are being held at the Antioch Jail.

Copyright 2005 by KTVU.com and Bay City News. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Cooke" <jjcooke@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 1:11
Subject: [bayscan] Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
>> How did KTVU get there that fast?? Sure wish someone had audio of
> that
>> whole incident,it was really amazing, kind of like the Cops show.
> My
>> thoughts and prayers are with that officer.

>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Dennis" <dhalbasch@n...>
>> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Saturday, April 23, 2005 10:04 PM
>> Subject: [bayscan] Re: 11-99 coco so 155.19 pitsburg/antioch
>>
>>
>> >
>> >
>> > KTVU had footage that clearly showed CPR being done on the
> Officer
>> > When loaded into helo.
>> >
>> > Dennis
>> >
>> >
>> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "edacsfan" <edacsfan@y...> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> landing zone for possible officer down
>
> Nothing more I can find in the nedia except the officer was wounded
> and airlifted to John Muir. No word on his condition at the hospital
> but hoping for the best. At least the two scumbags are in custody.
> There were apparently about 15 shots exchanged in the incident.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39027. female armed robber

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 18:04:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39027 Message: 39027

the story about the female bank robber is she has hit 2 banks within grocery stores , the last 2 in Felton and Scotts Valley in Santa Cruz County. white female in her 30s or 40s .....bit unusual , don't hear that description for many crimes. Most recent , in Scotts Valley , was a Wells Fargo within a Safeway Store.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39028. Can someone identify this freq? 155.625

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 21:10:40 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39028 Message: 39028

Hearing EBRP helo eagle 6 coming up on this freq. Its usually lodi area gaming or pd of some type.

39029. Presidio HAZMAT Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 21:22:58 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39029 Message: 39029

Presidio fire responding to the Presidio Rec center for a hazerdous materials incident.

GGNRA TRS Talkgroups:

16 - Park Police Ch. 1
80 - Presidio FD

14:21

-Inigo

39030. Re: Can someone identify this freq? 155.625

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 22:10:10 UTC
From: neilbell_99
Topic: 39028 Message: 39030

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> Hearing EBRP helo eagle 6 coming up on this freq. Its usually lodi
area
> gaming or pd of some type.

It is CoCo Sheriff east county tactical ch 3 tone = 136.5

39031. Bad crash in 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 22:43:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39031 Message: 39031

N/B 280 by the Doran Bridge / all lanes blocked / one DOA
one vehicle on fire (San Mateo/Hillsborough area)
Engines 17 217 27 Truck 21 Battalions 5 and 8 2 AMR rigs

153.785
156.015

1542 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39032. RE: [bayscan] Bad crash in 280

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-24 22:56:39 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39031 Message: 39032

CHP CAD also has this incident #1209, dispatched at 3:27 PM

SFChuck

-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of caladded@...
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 3:43 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Bad crash in 280

N/B 280 by the Doran Bridge / all lanes blocked / one DOA
one vehicle on fire (San Mateo/Hillsborough area)
Engines 17 217 27 Truck 21 Battalions 5 and 8 2 AMR rigs

153.785
156.015

1542 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39033. CH-B22

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 01:31:23 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39033 Message: 39033

Both SFFD and CHP H-30 are working a cliff rescue and switched to ch B-22 anyone know the freq of this channel

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39034. Re: [bayscan] CH-B22

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 01:34:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39033 Message: 39034

157.100 its Marine channel 22 GEORGE is firefeeds SFFD
working ?? not getting it , using Matts.....I prefer firefeeds

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39035. Re: [bayscan) Cliff Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 01:43:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39035 Message: 39035

Victim is apparently DOA / taking to China Beach to Medics to
confirm.

157.100

A2/ 14832
A7/14992

1843 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39036. Re: [bayscan] CH-B22

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 02:01:06 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39033 Message: 39036

using who's?

caladded@... wrote:

>157.100 its Marine channel 22 GEORGE is firefeeds SFFD
>working ?? not getting it , using Matts.....I prefer firefeeds
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39037. Re: [bayscan] CH-B22

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 02:22:04 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39033 Message: 39037

No, F&^king comcast was having problems with the speed and I was only getting dail-up speeds for three days which kicked FF off line, now the YAHOO'S (Firefeeds)can't seem to find my login info and my Password is not working (again)....
I sent three e-mails asking for help and when someone did reply it was to tell me I was off line (Duaaaaaaa) so now I'm on thier S*&t list. "what else is new"

I like getting paid for my feed but now I'm fed up with the B.S. and would be willing to move it to someones server, and let someone else host the feed, but I'm not going through all the B.S. of setup a web site and paying for it and domian name (thats cost a little bit of money that I don't have)
That's my story and I'm sticking to it.....

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 18:34
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CH-B22

157.100 its Marine channel 22 GEORGE is firefeeds SFFD
working ?? not getting it , using Matts.....I prefer firefeeds

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39038. Re: [bayscan] Reno Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 02:20:46 UTC
From: Brian Verhalen
Topic: 38966 Message: 39038

Sorry for the delay in responding, was away at a wedding & am just getting
to reading my email.

RadioReference has the Washoe freqs, though some of the TG's are off or not
correct, but nothing serious.

Try this site, http://www.mjw.com/scanner/index.htm & click on the
Washoe link. The owner of the site was the manager at the Radio Shack
listed at the bottom of his home page (he has since left & gone into
business for himself) & helped me when I bought my 96 last year. Apparently
has not dropped the RS ads from his site yet.
The best site for the Washoe EDACS would be the Reno Metro site.
I have a few lists if you are interested but most of it is P96 files for
the Pro96. Contact me off list if you are interested.
Brian

At 05:51 PM 4/21/2005, you wrote:
>Is there anyone familiar with Washoe County Nevada's EDACS system? I see on
>RadioReference.com that there are multiple sites listed. Can these sites be
>combined together or do they need to be in different banks. I have the BC780
>so I know I can't have 2 trunking systems within the same bank but this is
>the same system just different sites. Thanks.......
>
>
>
>Danny Monge - AD6SJ
>IRLP Node # 5040
>Echolink # 152401
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this outgoing message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.2 - Release Date: 4/21/2005
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *

> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39039. Re: [bayscan] CH-B22

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 02:50:46 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 39033 Message: 39039

Here in Marin over this past weekend Comcast was having SERIOUS isses not
just with speed but the f*&king connection :)

I was on and off-line on Friday night quite a bit but its working now and
the speeds are better then ever.

Robert
BayScan

At 07:22 PM 4/24/2005, you wrote:
>No, F&^king comcast was having problems with the speed and I was only
>getting dail-up speeds for three days which kicked FF off line, now the
>YAHOO'S (Firefeeds)can't seem to find my login info and my Password is not
>working (again)....
>I sent three e-mails asking for help and when someone did reply it was to
>tell me I was off line (Duaaaaaaa) so now I'm on thier S*&t list. "what
>else is new"
>
>I like getting paid for my feed but now I'm fed up with the B.S. and
>would be willing to move it to someones server, and let someone else host
>the feed, but I'm not going through all the B.S. of setup a web site and
>paying for it and domian name (thats cost a little bit of money that I
>don't have)
>That's my story and I'm sticking to it.....
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 18:34
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] CH-B22
>
>
> 157.100 its Marine channel 22 GEORGE is firefeeds SFFD
> working ?? not getting it , using Matts.....I prefer firefeeds
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>--
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>
><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39040. mera felony stop chp violet

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 03:24:12 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39040 Message: 39040

arizona plate sourth novato off

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39041. Re: [bayscan] CH-B22

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 03:28:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39033 Message: 39041

all their BS ??? sounds familiar LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39042. Re: [bayscan] CH-B22

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 03:06:38 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39033 Message: 39042

you want to host my feed ???
----- Original Message -----
From: Robert W. Sanford
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 19:50
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CH-B22

Here in Marin over this past weekend Comcast was having SERIOUS isses not
just with speed but the f*&king connection :)

I was on and off-line on Friday night quite a bit but its working now and
the speeds are better then ever.

Robert
BayScan

At 07:22 PM 4/24/2005, you wrote:
>No, F&^king comcast was having problems with the speed and I was only
>getting dail-up speeds for three days which kicked FF off line, now the
>YAHOO'S (Firefeeds)can't seem to find my login info and my Password is not
>working (again)....
>I sent three e-mails asking for help and when someone did reply it was to
>tell me I was off line (Duaaaaaaa) so now I'm on thier S*&t list. "what
>else is new"
>
>I like getting paid for my feed but now I'm fed up with the B.S. and
>would be willing to move it to someones server, and let someone else host
>the feed, but I'm not going through all the B.S. of setup a web site and
>paying for it and domian name (thats cost a little bit of money that I
>don't have)
>That's my story and I'm sticking to it.....
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 18:34
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] CH-B22
>
>
> 157.100 its Marine channel 22 GEORGE is firefeeds SFFD
> working ?? not getting it , using Matts.....I prefer firefeeds
>
> ED
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>--
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
>
><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39043. Re: Can someone identify this freq? 155.625

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 04:53:45 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 39028 Message: 39043

It's also the Jail channels for the Main Detention Facility (Martinez)
and Marsh Creek Detention Facility (Clayton)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "neilbell_99" <neilbell@a...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> > Hearing EBRP helo eagle 6 coming up on this freq. Its usually lodi
> area
> > gaming or pd of some type.
>
> It is CoCo Sheriff east county tactical ch 3 tone = 136.5

39044. Re: [bayscan] Re: Can someone identify this freq? 155.625

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 05:17:45 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39028 Message: 39044

ok next question would be,. anyone hear what was up today as to why
eagle was on that channel?

kma371 wrote:

>It's also the Jail channels for the Main Detention Facility (Martinez)
>and Marsh Creek Detention Facility (Clayton)
>
>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "neilbell_99" <neilbell@a...> wrote:
>
>
>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Hearing EBRP helo eagle 6 coming up on this freq. Its usually lodi
>>>
>>>
>>area
>>
>>
>>>gaming or pd of some type.
>>>
>>>
>>It is CoCo Sheriff east county tactical ch 3 tone = 136.5
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39045. Re: [bayscan] Re: Can someone identify this freq? 155.625

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 05:22:39 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39028 Message: 39045

eagle?

On Sunday, Apr 24, 2005, at 22:17 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:

>
> ok next question would be,. anyone hear what was up today as to why
> eagle was on that channel?
>
> kma371 wrote:
>
>> It's also the Jail channels for the Main Detention Facility (Martinez)
>> and Marsh Creek Detention Facility (Clayton)
>>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "neilbell_99" <neilbell@a...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Hearing EBRP helo eagle 6 coming up on this freq. Its usually lodi
>>>>
>>>>
>>> area
>>>
>>>
>>>> gaming or pd of some type.
>>>>
>>>>
>>> It is CoCo Sheriff east county tactical ch 3 tone = 136.5
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39046. Re: [bayscan] Re: Can someone identify this freq? 155.625

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 05:25:29 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39028 Message: 39046

never mind, sorry...

On Sunday, Apr 24, 2005, at 22:22 US/Pacific, nina zhito wrote:

>
> eagle?
>
> On Sunday, Apr 24, 2005, at 22:17 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
>
>>
>> ok next question would be,. anyone hear what was up today as to why
>> eagle was on that channel?
>>
>> kma371 wrote:
>>
>>> It's also the Jail channels for the Main Detention Facility
>>> (Martinez)
>>> and Marsh Creek Detention Facility (Clayton)
>>>
>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "neilbell_99" <neilbell@a...> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Hearing EBRP helo eagle 6 coming up on this freq. Its usually lodi
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> area
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> gaming or pd of some type.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> It is CoCo Sheriff east county tactical ch 3 tone = 136.5
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39047. mera code 33 46064

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 05:51:21 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39047 Message: 39047

now can ɪeduce with LOL.

!!!!!

39048. The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 06:10:45 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 39048 Message: 39048

hello all

I just have a quick question regarding police procedure. I was watching the 10 o'clock news on Sunday night. They reported that the officer that was involved in the Pittsburgh shooting was alone when he was shot. However they went on to say that there officers had a visual on the cop when he was shot. Is this normal police procedure to have one cop searching for an armed gunman? Shouldn't they search for a ARMED suspect in at least teams of two!!

Just a thought

God bless the officer and his family

Regards, Kyle

39049. Re: mera code 33 46064

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 06:11:51 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39047 Message: 39049

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> now can reduce with LOL.
>
> !!!!!

Huh? lol

-Inigo

39050. Re: [bayscan] Re: mera code 33 46064

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 06:18:44 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39047 Message: 39050

"can reduce with LOL" was transmitted. how i interpret that is that
the erratic driving, FTY, and subsequent code 33 was due to the driver
being a LOL ("little old lady") ...

nina

On Sunday, Apr 24, 2005, at 23:11 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>> now can reduce with LOL.
>>
>> !!!!!
>
> Huh? lol
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39051. Re: mera code 33 46064

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 06:20:07 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39047 Message: 39051

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> > now can reduce with LOL.
> >
> > !!!!!
>
> Huh? lol
>
> -Inigo

Maybe just a Little Old Lady in the felony car stop that Nina posted earlier?

Regards, Jim

39052. Re: [bayscan] Re: mera code 33 46064

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 06:21:55 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39047 Message: 39052

separate stops, jim.

"affirm" on the little old lady, tho...

On Sunday, Apr 24, 2005, at 23:20 US/Pacific, Jim Cooke wrote:

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
>>> now can reduce with LOL.
>>>
>>> !!!!!
>>
>> Huh? lol
>>
>> -Inigo
>
> Maybe just a Little Old Lady in the felony car stop that Nina posted
> earlier?
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39053. Re: The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 06:30:44 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39048 Message: 39053

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kdawg122786" <redsfannumber01@a...>
wrote:
>
> hello all
>
> I just have a quick question regarding police procedure. I was
> watching the 10 o'clock news on Sunday night. They reported that
the
> officer that was involved in the Pittsburgh shooting was alone when
he
> was shot. However they went on to say that there officers had a
visual
> on the cop when he was shot. Is this normal police procedure to
have
> one cop searching for an armed gunman? Shouldn't they search for a
> ARMED suspect in at least teams of two!!
>
> Just a thought
>
> God bless the officer and his family
>
> Regards, Kyle

This is always tricky because you get into an automatic crossfire situation if you're literally shoulder to shoulder. The officers that were doing the searching probably had a field of fire worked out between them if they came upon the suspects. It appears that this officer was unlucky enough to both come up on two suspects who opened fire without warning and at least some of the shots hit him in an area not coverted by his body armor. Having more officers more closely spaced probably wouldn't have changed the outcome and you could have easily gotten into a crossfire situation with all the adrenaline pumping.

The latest news is that the officer, Larry Lasater, is in critical condition and on life support. His wife is expecting thier first baby in a month. A very sad situation that doesn't sound like it's going to get better. :(

Regards, Jim

39054. Hayward PD Activity last night (Sunday) - ? 6-8 units

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 15:50:39 UTC
From: sixstrrzr
Topic: 39054 Message: 39054

Anybody know what was up in Hayward last night 11:00 pm? Must have had 6-8 PD units, one CHP Helo, cornered suspect in a house. They were having radio problems, kept switching from Channel 3 to the main & back.

39055. Re: [bayscan] Reno Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 17:07:20 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 38966 Message: 39055

Thanks, this is a great site for Reno freqs. I'll redo the talk groups I have on my next set of days off. I haven't had a chance to test or compare them to the RadioReference talk groups yet, I should be running over the hill tonight I hope.

----- Original Message -----
From: Brian Verhalen
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 7:20 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Reno Freqs

Sorry for the delay in responding, was away at a wedding & am just getting
to reading my email.

RadioReference has the Washoe freqs, though some of the TG's are off or not
correct, but nothing serious.

Try this site, http://www.mjw.com/scanner/index.htm & click on the
Washoe link. The owner of the site was the manager at the Radio Shack
listed at the bottom of his home page (he has since left & gone into
business for himself) & helped me when I bought my 96 last year. Apparently
has not dropped the RS ads from his site yet.
The best site for the Washoe EDACS would be the Reno Metro site.
I have a few lists if you are interested but most of it is P96 files for
the Pro96. Contact me off list if you are interested.
Brian

At 05:51 PM 4/21/2005, you wrote:
>Is there anyone familiar with Washoe County Nevada's EDACS system? I see on
>RadioReference.com that there are multiple sites listed. Can these sites be
>combined together or do they need to be in different banks. I have the BC780
>so I know I can't have 2 trunking systems within the same bank but this is
>the same system just different sites. Thanks.......
>
>
>
>Danny Monge - AD6SJ
>IRLP Node # 5040
>Echolink # 152401
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>No virus found in this outgoing message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.

>Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.2 - Release Date: 4/21/2005
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.2 - Release Date: 4/21/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.2 - Release Date: 4/21/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39056. Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 20:24:56 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39056 Message: 39056

A couple years ago, I bought an RS PRO-2052 scanner, exclusively for listening to Alameda County trunking systems. I wish now I'd gotten the Uniden instead.

The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs are as broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause the audio to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the dispatch and miss the address, type of incident, etc.

Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will eliminate the problem I'm having?

SFChuck

39057. Embarcadero Building 2 Evacuated >> Any news?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 22:06:07 UTC
From: bush_sh1t
Topic: 39057 Message: 39057

Anyone have any news?

39058. Re: Bank Robbery-- SF Mission District--police a tracking device

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 23:08:56 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39058 Message: 39058

sounds like the bank robbers tossed the tracking device near the bank.
a citizen pickied it up, took it into apet store with her, and
realized what it was when she saw all the police officers in the area.
there may have been a female accomplice to the robbery. police have
detained a BM, w/missing teeth, beanie, matching the description of
the suspect. the suspect used a note saying he had a gun, but didn't
show a gun.

On 4/25/05, pete g::.. <pgeniella@...> wrote:
> SFPD mission units are in pursuit of a bank robbery suspect using some
> sort of radio tracking device that was placed with $600 cash.
>
> SFPD A4
> TG #12944
>

39059. Bank Robbery-- SF Mission District--police a tracking device

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 23:03:19 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39058 Message: 39059

SFPD mission units are in pursuit of a bank robbery suspect using some sort of radio tracking device that was placed with $600 cash.

SFPD A4
TG #12944

39060. PRO-2051

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-25 23:18:48 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 38744 Message: 39060

I picked up one of those Pro-2051's today since they are so cheap ($150) right now. Anyway I was wondering if anyone can recommend the best software for programming it?
I also have a BC250 so if I could use the same software that would be awesome.

So far Im impressed with how its receiving the SF system here in Marin with just an 800 MHz rubber duck on it.

Robert
BayScan

39061. Working Fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 00:34:52 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35990 Message: 39061

301 Sacrmento St

A-1 (14800)
A-11(15120)

17:34 hours

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39062. Re: [bayscan] Working Fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 00:40:31 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 35990 Message: 39062

Grease fire at the Royal Exchange fire out, Now just shuting down the sprinkler

17:40
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2005 17:34
Subject: [bayscan] Working Fire in SF

301 Sacrmento St

A-1 (14800)
A-11(15120)

17:34 hours

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39063. Re: [bayscan] Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 00:46:19 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39056 Message: 39063

I think the 2052 is a Uniden OEM for RS.

Broad filters don't cause the RF to be clipped, rather the opposite is
true. If you can find a schematic and get specs on your IF, it is
possible to swap it. That is, if you can find someone who will sell
you one IF. When I changed the IF on my AR8000, I got it sampled by
Murata. The smallest minimum order I could find was 100 units.

Chuck Hoffman wrote:
> A couple years ago, I bought an RS PRO-2052 scanner, exclusively for
> listening to Alameda County trunking systems. I wish now I'd gotten the
> Uniden instead.
>
> The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs are as
> broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause the audio
> to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the dispatch
> and miss the address, type of incident, etc.
>
> Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will
> eliminate the problem I'm having?
>
> SFChuck
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39064. Protests Wednesday 5PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 02:06:44 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39064 Message: 39064

http://moveonpac.org/event/events/index.html?action_id=4
I hope this doesn't get misconstrued as a plug for the protest. If you enter your zipcode, you can find where the action will be locally.

Just looking at the list, I expect the San Rafael protest to cause some police action since it will mess up traffic. The San Jose and San Francisco protests have a reasonable number of registrants, so I expect there will be some action there as well.

Does the FPS protect the 9th District courthouse? I have 417.2 417.25 417.425 412.4 418.075

Perhaps the FBI?

39065. Re: [bayscan] Re: The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 04:17:12 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39048 Message: 39065

Are you sure there wasn't another officer with the one that was shot? I was listening on Co Co SO east and it sure sounded like a guy was there unless it was the victim officer who was yelling shots fired! Does anyone know the condition of the officer? I haven't heard a thing since Sunday morning when he was reported to be in critical condition.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Cooke" <jjcooke@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 24, 2005 11:30 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kdawg122786" <redsfannumber01@a...>
> wrote:
>>
>> hello all
>>
>> I just have a quick question regarding police procedure. I was
>> watching the 10 o'clock news on Sunday night. They reported that
> the
>> officer that was involved in the Pittsburgh shooting was alone when
> he
>> was shot. However they went on to say that there officers had a
> visual
>> on the cop when he was shot. Is this normal police procedure to
> have
>> one cop searching for an armed gunman? Shouldn't they search for a
>> ARMED suspect in at least teams of two!!
>>
>> Just a thought
>>
>> God bless the officer and his family
>>
>> Regards, Kyle
>
> This is always tricky because you get into an automatic crossfire
> situation if you're literally shoulder to shoulder. The officers that
> were doing the searching probably had a field of fire worked out
> between them if they came upon the suspects. It appears that this
> officer was unlucky enough to both come up on two suspects who opened
> fire without warning and at least some of the shots hit him in an
> area not coverted by his body armor. Having more officers more
> closely spaced probably wouldn't have changed the outcome and you

> could have easily gotten into a crossfire situation with all the
> adrenaline pumping.
>
> The latest news is that the officer, Larry Lasater, is in critical
> condition and on life support. His wife is expecting thier first baby
> in a month. A very sad situation that doesn't sound like it's going
> to get better. :(
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39066. Fwd: [Norcalonlinescanning] Notice of Firefighter Fatalities-Chico, CA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 04:17:51 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39066 Message: 39066

Begin forwarded message:

> From: "fire_explorers_mod" <fire_explorers_mod@...>
> Date: Mon Apr 25, 2005 21:14:21 US/Pacific
> To: Norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: [Norcalonlinescanning] Notice of Firefighter
> Fatalities-Chico, CA
> Reply-To: Norcalonlinescanning@yahoogroups.com
>
>
> The U.S. Fire Administration has received notice of the following
> firefighter fatalities:
>
> Name: Brian Bruns
> Rank: Pilot
> Age: 47
> Gender: Male
> Status: Career
> Funeral Arrangements: Pending
>
> [and]
>
> Name: Paul Cockrell
> Rank: Pilot
> Age: 52
> Gender: Male
> Status: Career
> Funeral Arrangements: 04/28/2005 at 1000 hrs, New Hope Community
> Church,
> Clovis, California
>
> [and]
>
> Name: Tom Lynch
> Rank: Pilot
> Age: 41
> Gender: Male
> Status: Career
> Funeral Arrangements: 04/25/05 at 1300 hrs Lawncrest Cemetery
>
>
> Date of Incident: 04/20/2005
> Time of Incident: 1900 hrs
> Date of Death: 04/20/2005
>

> Fire Department Name: Aero Union Corporation (AUC)
> Fire Department Address: 100 Lockheed Ave., Chico, California 95973
> Fire Department Phone: 530-230-1900
> Fire Department Chief: President & CEO Terry Unsworth
>
> Cause of Death: Three pilots; Captains Brian Bruns, Paul Cockrell,
> and Tom
> Lynch died when the aircraft they were operating, a P-3 Orion
> airtanker,
> crashed from a cause still to be determined in a remote part of
> California.
> The pilots were undergoing training/qualification flight checks in
> preparation for the upcoming fire season.
>
> Memorial Fund Contact and Address: Make checks payable to: AUC in
> trust of
> Tanker 26 Families, 100 Lockheed Ave., Chico, California 95973.
>
>
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> ☐ To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Norcalonlinescanning/
>
> ☐ To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> Norcalonlinescanning-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> ☐ Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>

==========

zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39067. Re: PRO-2051

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 04:19:05 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 38744 Message: 39067

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@c...> wrote:
>
> I picked up one of those Pro-2051's today since they are so cheap
> ($150) right now. Anyway I was wondering if anyone can recommend the
> best software for programming it?
> I also have a BC250 so if I could use the same software that would be
> awesome.
>
> So far Im impressed with how its receiving the SF system here in
Marin
> with just an 800 MHz rubber duck on it.
>
> Robert
> BayScan

None that I know of yet. Since it's made by Uniden and is basically a BCT8 with 1000 channels, maybe Butel would be the company that would come up with something for it.

Regards, Jim

39068. Re: [bayscan] Re: The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 04:28:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39048 Message: 39068

The officer is brain dead and will be taken off life-support once they have have prepared to remove tissue and organs for transplants. He and his wife made a decision awhile back to become organ donors. Like his mother said , he continues to be a HERO !!! God Bless Officer Lasater , he is saving lives as he passes away.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39069. Re: [bayscan] Re: The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 04:31:53 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39048 Message: 39069

Thanks for passing this on. My thoughts are with the family. What a tragedy.

-C-

On Apr 25, 2005, at 9:28 PM, caladded@... wrote:

>
> The officer is brain dead and will be taken off life-support once they
> have
> have prepared to remove tissue and organs for transplants. He and his
> wife made
> a decision awhile back to become organ donors. Like his mother said ,
> he
> continues to be a HERO !!! God Bless Officer Lasater , he is saving
> lives as he
> passes away.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39070. Re: The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 06:07:00 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39048 Message: 39070

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> The officer is brain dead and will be taken off life-support once they have
> have prepared to remove tissue and organs for transplants. He and his wife made
> a decision awhile back to become organ donors. Like his mother said , he
> continues to be a HERO !!! God Bless Officer Lasater , he is saving lives as he
> passes away.
>
> ED

Another good person gone because of the human trash in our society. It would be nice if his killers actually got justice but that's just a faint hope in California.

I'm glad they made the decision to donate his organs. When my son Patrick was injured in a traffic accident at 19, he was in the same position as Officer Laseter, brain dead and being maintained on a respirator. He was a big, strong kid and the only injury was to his brain stem but it was enough to kill him. We donated his organs and there are eleven people who are either alive or living better lives today because of Patrick's death. It doesn't make the death any easier to take but at least it makes it less futile. My deepest sympathies to the family, friends, and fellow offficers.

Regards, Jim

39071. Re: [bayscan] Re: The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 06:46:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39048 Message: 39071

Wow, to be able to help 11 people thru the tragedy of your sons' death Jim is really something. It shows just how GOOD people can be even in their time of sorrow.

I also wanted to mention that this past Saturday, the Aquatic center in American Canyon was dedicated and renamed for Lance Corporal Phillip West, USMC, who gave his life fighting for all of us.L/Cpl West had just helped save some of his fellow Marines in an attack while his unit was serving in Iraq this past December. My nephew Matt just graduated from basic training in San Diego and is now a private in the USMC Reserve. He is on break and was able to attend as was the Brig. General from USMC Camp Pendleton. My Nephew Matt will be returning to San Diego and going to Camp Pendleton for Weapons training in early May. Having known Matt from the time he was Just ONE HOUR old, I can't tell you how proud I am that he and I have the same last name (I have no kids) To be fair I am also very proud of his sister who is one year younger than Matt.

Philip and Matt were schoolmates in the Napa County area and both graduated from Vintage High School in 2003. EIGHT brave young men from the 2003 and 2004 classes signed up with the Marine Corps. Matt signed up for 6 years.......2 years Reserve then 4 active.

Rest in peace L/Cpt Phillip West and Officer Larry Lasater.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39072. Palo Alto incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 10:03:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39072 Message: 39072

if anyone is up .....Palo Alto PD running a SWAT incident on
the 900 block of North California.....near Embarcadeo /101.

subject fired 4/5 rounds and maybe suicidal and now sitting on backporch.
wife is outside but 2 very (90s) elderly couple including the invalid wife
still inside.

PAPD presently has evacuated several adjoining houses and is being
assisted by.....

Menlo Park (3 units)
Stanford (2 units)
Mountain View (3-4 units)
CHP (Helo , probably H32) I can hear the helo from my house

on PAPD Primary 482.6125

0303 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39073. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 10:20:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39072 Message: 39073

now going FULL SWAT , 2 officers getting the van . Los Altos sending 2 officers also. some " movement" in backyard. additional houses being evacuated by Mt.View officers (4).

CHP helo had to split , another call ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39074. Marina Fire Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 11:09:49 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39074 Message: 39074

I was in San Mateo the other day. I saw a Red Hummer H2 going down El Camino. on the side it said "Marina Fire Rescue". It didn't have a lightbar or anything but roof racks on it. No lights that I could see. There isn't any town called "Marina" around and it did have a fire type logo with a star of life in it. Underneath it said "So.San Francisco Bay". I caught up to it in traffic to see all that.

Anyone know where this is from???

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39075. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 11:27:25 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39072 Message: 39075

any update?
On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 03:20 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

>
> now going FULL SWAT , 2 officers getting the van . Los Altos sending 2
> officers also. some " movement" in backyard. additional houses being
> evacuated by
> Mt.View officers (4).
>
> CHP helo had to split , another call ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39076. Re: PRO-2051

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 13:10:08 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 38744 Message: 39076

Robert,

Can you get Oakland and ALCO with this new scanner?

Milt

39077. Re: Palo Alto incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 14:01:17 UTC
From: ofc53
Topic: 39072 Message: 39077

The arrest/react team arrested the guy without incident. Code 4.

-Chris

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> any update?
> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 03:20 US/Pacific, caladded@a... wrote:
>
> >
> > now going FULL SWAT , 2 officers getting the van . Los Altos
sending 2
> > officers also. some " movement" in backyard. additional houses being
> > evacuated by
> > Mt.View officers (4).
> >
> > CHP helo had to split , another call ??
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> =======================
> zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604

39078. SF Firefeeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 14:33:04 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 36810 Message: 39078

SF Firefeeds is now back on line

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39079. Cat call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 14:42:55 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39079

0742 PDT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39080. Re: Cat call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 14:45:10 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39080

0742 PDT
SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos Almaden Rd.
Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree. Working with animal control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve the situation.
Stay tuned as details come in.
Brian in Campbell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39081. Mountain Lion (0748 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 14:50:01 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39081

All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of Harwood
Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something about requesting them
code 3 if they have it.
Brian

On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> 0742 PDT
> SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos Almaden Rd.
> Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree. Working with animal
> control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve the situation.
> Stay tuned as details come in.
> Brian in Campbell
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39082. Re: Mountain Lion (0751 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 14:52:22 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39082

Now asking for units with "AR's, slug guns and shot guns to move into the inner perimeter".

On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of Harwood
> Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something about requesting them
> code 3 if they have it.
> Brian
>
> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >
> > 0742 PDT
> > SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos Almaden Rd.
> > Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree. Working with animal
> > control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve the situation.
> > Stay tuned as details come in.
> > Brian in Campbell
> >
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39083. Re: Mountain Lion (0756 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 14:58:21 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39083

The area this is occuring is just East of Leigh High School. Los Gatos-Alamden/Willow Drive/Roxanne Dr./Rucker Drive.

On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> Now asking for units with "AR's, slug guns and shot guns to move into the
> inner perimeter".
>
> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >
> > All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of Harwood
> > Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something about requesting them
> > code 3 if they have it.
> > Brian
> >
> > On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
> > >
> > > 0742 PDT
> > > SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos Almaden
> > > Rd. Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree. Working with
> > > animal control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve the
> > > situation.
> > > Stay tuned as details come in.
> > > Brian in Campbell
> > >
> > >
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39084. Re: Mountain Lion (0758 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 14:58:55 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39084

Command now saying the are going to take the animal out.

On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> Now asking for units with "AR's, slug guns and shot guns to move into the
> inner perimeter".
>
> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >
> > All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of Harwood
> > Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something about requesting them
> > code 3 if they have it.
> > Brian
> >
> > On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
> > >
> > > 0742 PDT
> > > SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos Almaden
> > > Rd. Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree. Working with
> > > animal control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve the
> > > situation.
> > > Stay tuned as details come in.
> > > Brian in Campbell
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39085. Re: Mountain Lion (0801 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 15:02:17 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39085

2 shots taken with AR-15. Animal down---appears to be 10-55 (dead).
Brian

On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> Command now saying the are going to take the animal out.
>
> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >
> > Now asking for units with "AR's, slug guns and shot guns to move into
> > the inner perimeter".
> >
> > On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
> > >
> > > All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of
> > > Harwood Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something about
> > > requesting them code 3 if they have it.
> > > Brian
> > >
> > > On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > 0742 PDT
> > > > SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos Almaden
> > > > Rd. Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree. Working with
> > > > animal control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve the
> > > > situation.
> > > > Stay tuned as details come in.
> > > > Brian in Campbell
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39086. Perp Search in Oakland Tuesday morning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 15:55:20 UTC
From: Steve Collier
Topic: 39079 Message: 39086

Did anyone catch the perp search in Oakland early this morning (1:45 AM) around 36th and Market?

I caught it in progress but don't know for what the perp was wanted. Oakland and Emeryville had canines and CHP was bringing in a helicopter. Pretty resource intensive but again I didn't catch the reason.

Steve

39087. Re: [bayscan] Re: Mountain Lion (0801 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 16:38:01 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39079 Message: 39087

did fish and game ever get there?

On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 08:02 US/Pacific, Brian C. wrote:

>
> 2 shots taken with AR-15. Animal down---appears to be 10-55 (dead).
> Brian
>
> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>>
>> Command now saying the are going to take the animal out.
>>
>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>>>
>>> Now asking for units with "AR's, slug guns and shot guns to move into
>>> the inner perimeter".
>>>
>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
>>>>
>>>> All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of
>>>> Harwood Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something about
>>>> requesting them code 3 if they have it.
>>>> Brian
>>>>
>>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> 0742 PDT
>>>>> SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos Almaden
>>>>> Rd. Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree. Working
>>>>> with
>>>>> animal control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve the
>>>>> situation.
>>>>> Stay tuned as details come in.
>>>>> Brian in Campbell
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

39088. Re: [bayscan] Re: Mountain Lion (0801 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 16:45:24 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39088

Nina,
Yes, the did. There was one supervisor on scene and another responding code 3 from South County when the lion was "taken out".
Brian

On 4/26/05, nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:
>
>
> did fish and game ever get there?
>
> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 08:02 US/Pacific, Brian C. wrote:
>
> >
> > 2 shots taken with AR-15. Animal down---appears to be 10-55 (dead).
> > Brian
> >
> > On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >>
> >> Command now saying the are going to take the animal out.
> >>
> >> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >>>
> >>> Now asking for units with "AR's, slug guns and shot guns to move into
> >>> the inner perimeter".
> >>>
> >>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of
> >>>> Harwood Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something about
> >>>> requesting them code 3 if they have it.
> >>>> Brian
> >>>>
> >>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>> 0742 PDT
> >>>>> SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos Almaden
> >>>>> Rd. Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree. Working
> >>>>> with
> >>>>> animal control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve the
> >>>>> situation.
> >>>>> Stay tuned as details come in.
> >>>>> Brian in Campbell
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>

> >>>
> >>
> >
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39089. Re: [bayscan] Re: Mountain Lion (0801 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 17:07:51 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39079 Message: 39089

did you pick up any tactical traffic about their decision making?
On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 09:45 US/Pacific, Brian C. wrote:

>
> Nina,
> Yes, the did. There was one supervisor on scene and another
> responding code
> 3 from South County when the lion was "taken out".
> Brian
>
> On 4/26/05, nina zhito <photozhito@...> wrote:
>>
>>
>> did fish and game ever get there?
>>
>> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 08:02 US/Pacific, Brian C. wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> 2 shots taken with AR-15. Animal down---appears to be 10-55 (dead).
>>> Brian
>>>
>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Command now saying the are going to take the animal out.
>>>>
>>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> Now asking for units with "AR's, slug guns and shot guns to move
>>>>> into
>>>>> the inner perimeter".
>>>>>
>>>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of
>>>>>> Harwood Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something
>>>>>> about
>>>>>> requesting them code 3 if they have it.
>>>>>> Brian
>>>>>>
>>>>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@gmail.com > wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> 0742 PDT
>>>>>>> SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos
>>>>>>> Almaden
>>>>>>> Rd. Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree.

>>>>>>> Working
>>>>>>> with
>>>>>>> animal control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> situation.
>>>>>>> Stay tuned as details come in.
>>>>>>> Brian in Campbell
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39090. Re: [bayscan] Re: Mountain Lion (0801 hrs)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 17:18:05 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39079 Message: 39090

Nina,
No, sorry, I did not.
Brian

On 4/26/05, nina zhito <photozhito@comcast.net> wrote:
>
>
> did you pick up any tactical traffic about their decision making?
> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 09:45 US/Pacific, Brian C. wrote:
>
> >
> > Nina,
> > Yes, the did. There was one supervisor on scene and another
> > responding code
> > 3 from South County when the lion was "taken out".
> > Brian
> >
> > On 4/26/05, nina zhito <photozhito@comcast.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> did fish and game ever get there?
> >>
> >> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 08:02 US/Pacific, Brian C. wrote:
> >>
> >>>
> >>> 2 shots taken with AR-15. Animal down---appears to be 10-55 (dead).
> >>> Brian
> >>>
> >>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> Command now saying the are going to take the animal out.
> >>>>
> >>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Now asking for units with "AR's, slug guns and shot guns to move
> >>>>> into
> >>>>> the inner perimeter".
> >>>>>
> >>>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> All the action is around 1770 Los Gatos Almaden Rd just West of
> >>>>>> Harwood Roads. Now having Fish and Game responding. Something
> >>>>>> about
> >>>>>> requesting them code 3 if they have it.
> >>>>>> Brian

> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@... > wrote:
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>> 0742 PDT
> >>>>>>> SJPD 7 (460.475) working a mountain lion call on Los Gatos
> >>>>>>> Almaden
> >>>>>>> Rd. Sounds like they have a mtn. lion caught up in a tree.
> >>>>>>> Working
> >>>>>>> with
> >>>>>>> animal control and other agencies to figure out how to resolve
> >>>>>>> the
> >>>>>>> situation.
> >>>>>>> Stay tuned as details come in.
> >>>>>>> Brian in Campbell
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>
> >> Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com <http://www.ninazhito.com>
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39091. Los Gatos PD pusuit STOLEN POLICE CAR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:10:04 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39091 Message: 39091

1109 hours
10851 vehicle (stolen) NB Hwy 17. Has stolen the POLICE CAR
Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39092. re: mtn lion release

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:02:20 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39092 Message: 39092

bcn advises that an 80-pound mountain lion was shot this morning in west san jose
after a homeowner called police to report that the large cat was in a tree in
her backyard. according to police, the homeowner, living in the 1700 block of Los Gatos Almaden Road,
called police at 6:30 a.m. to report that her two dogs had a mountain lion
cornered in a tree in her backyard. the big cat had been in the tree since about 5 a.m., police said.

police officers and the california department of fish and game arrived at the residence. after assessing the size of mountain lion, a lieutenant with the department of fish and game decided that the cat needed
to be killed because tranquilizers from a tranquilizer gun would not take
immediate affect.

san jose police sgt. nick muyo said the lieutenant was concerned that if the animal was shot with the tranquilizer gun, an agitated 80-pound
mountain lion could start roaming the residential neighborhood during busy
morning hours.

officers shot the cat around 8 a.m., according to police.

39093. NB17 approach Camden, STLOEN PD VEHICLE!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:11:04 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39093 Message: 39093

LGPD at 110 miles per hour....

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39094. LGPD on 492.9125

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:11:50 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39094 Message: 39094

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39095. LGPD now on Camden Ave.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:13:11 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39095 Message: 39095

Camden and HWY 17....Campbell PD and LGPD in foot pursuit after suspect dumped vehicle.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39096. Pursuit update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:15:15 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39096 Message: 39096

Los Gatos, 482.9125 and Campbell PD, 482.4625 now chasing suspect in handcuffs who 10851'd the police vehicle. LOT"s of PD in the area of Camden Ave. and Hwy 17.
Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39097. 1117 hours update (10851 PD Vehicle)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:19:19 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39097 Message: 39097

Campbell PD and Los Gatos now searching area by U-Hall lot at Hwy 17/ Camden Ave./ and White Oaks.
LGPD = 482.9125
Campbell = 482.4625
Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39098. 1125 hours update on PD 10851

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:27:10 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39098 Message: 39098

Agencies committed....
LGPD 482.9125
Campbell PD 482.4625
CHP 42.50
SO 155.700
SJPD 460.475
1460 Cutner is the C.P. (command post).
Sher riff helo now also en route.
Brian

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39099. 10851 Police vehicle detail BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:28:27 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39099 Message: 39099

All traffic on the LGPD 10851 on BAYMACS, 482.3375

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39100. 1130 hrs. update, 10851 PD vehicle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:29:50 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39100 Message: 39100

CHP now chasing suspect on foot with handcuffs.
CHP 42.50
BAYMACS 482.3375

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39101. Los Gatos detail on BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:32:54 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39101 Message: 39101

All traffic for the 10851 police vehicle now on BAYMACS....
155.475
460.025
482.3375
867.5125
Brian in Campbell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39102. Re: 10851 Police vehicle detail BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:33:09 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39099 Message: 39102

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> All traffic on the LGPD 10851 on BAYMACS, 482.3375

Thanks for the update, Brian. Boy, that's got to be embarassing to have one of your own units stolen by a guy in cuffs. It sounds like someone is going to get a few days off for this one. <g>

Regards, Jim

39103. Re: 1135 hours update on PD 10851

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:34:44 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 39098 Message: 39103

BAYMACS 482.3375 also active

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> Agencies committed....
> LGPD 482.9125
> Campbell PD 482.4625
> CHP 42.50
> SO 155.700
> SJPD 460.475
> 1460 Cutner is the C.P. (command post).
> Sher riff helo now also en route.
> Brian
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39104. CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:38:46 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39104 Message: 39104

SJPD "regular" traffic now going to Channel 8 = 460.525.
CHP does NOT have BAYMACS now asking for CHP unit to command post.
ALL agencies using BAYMACS now coordinated thru Los Gatos PD. PGPD handling
all BAYMACS dispatch traffic.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39105. Re: mtn lion release

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:40:40 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39092 Message: 39105

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> bcn advises that an 80-pound mountain lion was shot this morning
in
> west san jose
> after a homeowner called police to report that the large cat was in
a
> tree in
> her backyard. according to police, the homeowner, living in the
1700
> block of Los Gatos Almaden Road,
> called police at 6:30 a.m. to report that her two dogs had a
mountain
> lion
> cornered in a tree in her backyard. the big cat had been in the
tree
> since about 5 a.m., police said.
>
> police officers and the california department of fish and game
> arrived at the residence. after assessing the size of mountain
lion, a
> lieutenant with the department of fish and game decided that the
cat
> needed
> to be killed because tranquilizers from a tranquilizer gun would
not
> take
> immediate affect.
>
> san jose police sgt. nick muyo said the lieutenant was concerned
> that if the animal was shot with the tranquilizer gun, an agitated
> 80-pound
> mountain lion could start roaming the residential neighborhood
during
> busy
> morning hours.
>
> officers shot the cat around 8 a.m., according to police.

Nina,

Unfortunately, this makes sense. The tranquilizer dart dosage is kept deliberately low so the animal won't go into respiratory arrest. This also means it takes a while for the tranquilizer to take effect and the cat would have been a little cranked off getting shot. According to the news, this was all happening while children were walking to

school on the street a few hundred yards from where the cat was. Once the cat started to run, there's no telling where he'd stop when he finally drops, assuming the dart hit him correctly to begin with.

We had one of these up in Cloverdale a few years ago and it was sad to have to shoot the cat because she was a beautiful, healthy animal. F&G was on scene there also and couldn't tell us for certain how long it would be before the tranquilizer took effect and there were just too many people around to take the risk of a human injury. :(

Regards, Jim

39106. Re: [bayscan] Re: 1135 hours update on PD 10851

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:44:32 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39098 Message: 39106

cp is 1460 white oak , k9 tracking

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39107. Re: [bayscan] Marina Fire Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:45:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39074 Message: 39107

well, there is a Marina near Monterey on highway 1 . they have a set up like Sunnyvale . Marina DPS

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39108. Re: The Shooting of the Pittsburg police officer

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:48:59 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39048 Message: 39108

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Wow, to be able to help 11 people thru the tragedy of your sons' death Jim is
> really something. It shows just how GOOD people can be even in their time of
> sorrow.
>
> I also wanted to mention that this past Saturday, the Aquatic
> center in American Canyon was dedicated and renamed for Lance Corporal Phillip
> West, USMC, who gave his life fighting for all of us.L/Cpl West had just helped
> save some of his fellow Marines in an attack while his unit was serving in
> Iraq this past December. My nephew Matt just graduated from basic training in San
> Diego and is now a private in the USMC Reserve. He is on break and was able
> to attend as was the Brig. General from USMC Camp Pendleton. My Nephew Matt
> will be returning to San Diego and going to Camp Pendleton for Weapons training
> in early May. Having known Matt from the time he was Just ONE HOUR old, I
> can't tell you how proud I am that he and I have the same last name (I have no
> kids) To be fair I am also very proud of his sister who is one year younger than
> Matt.
>
> Philip and Matt were schoolmates in the Napa County area and both graduated
> from Vintage High School in 2003. EIGHT brave young men from the 2003 and
> 2004 classes signed up with the Marine Corps. Matt signed up for 6 years.......2
> years Reserve then 4 active.
>
> Rest in peace L/Cpt Phillip West and Officer Larry Lasater.
>
>
>
> ED

Thank you, Ed. It was at least something we could do to make the situation a little more bearable. I heard on the news that Officer Lasater was pronounced this morning and his organs harvested. Hopefully others will get a chance at life because of this tragic event.

Good luck to Matt and my sympathy for Lance Corporal West and his family. So many of our brave men and women have already been killed defending that shithole of a country. I hope we get out of there before Matt is stuck going over and putting his life on the line for people who obviously don't want us there. :(

Regards, Jim

39109. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:46:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39072 Message: 39109

suspect in custody 5am , no one injuried (old couple ok) other than some bruises to suspect has he resisted

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39110. CHP helo on scene, 1150 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:52:15 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39110 Message: 39110

CHP helo (helo 32) now on scene. Again, all traffic on BAYMACS...
155.475
460.025
482.3375
867.5125
Brian in Campbell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39111. Re: [bayscan] Re: 1135 hours update on PD 10851

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:55:40 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39098 Message: 39111

jwpottberg wrote:

> BAYMACS 482.3375 also active

Interesting, they have BAYMACS repeated on to SJPD 07 (460.475 MHz) in both directions, with non-involved San Jose District A and T units moved to SJPD 08. As far as I knew, all the SJPD units have BAYMACS as channel 10, so why take up an extra channel?

-Johnathan

39112. Suspect wants, 10851 PD vehicle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:56:50 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39099 Message: 39112

Suspect wanted for (3) 10851's prior to taking the police vehicle today!!

On 4/26/05, Jim Cooke <jjcooke@...> wrote:
>
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> > All traffic on the LGPD 10851 on BAYMACS, 482.3375
>
> Thanks for the update, Brian. Boy, that's got to be embarassing to have
> one of your own units stolen by a guy in cuffs. It sounds like someone
> is going to get a few days off for this one. <g>
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39113. Re: [bayscan] Re: 10851 Police vehicle detail BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 19:04:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39099 Message: 39113

Wondering if the guy is REAL skinny and slipped cuffs from behind to in front of him. Unless they cuffed him in front which would surprized me

Brian -------was the stolen car from Los Gatos PD ???

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39114. Re: [bayscan] Marina Fire Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 19:06:18 UTC
From: regult@aol.com
Topic: 39074 Message: 39114

In a message dated 4/26/2005 4:11:54 AM Pacific Daylight Time,
Mobile11@... writes:

> I was in San Mateo the other day. I saw a Red Hummer H2 going down El
> Camino. on the side it said "Marina Fire Rescue". It didn't have a
> lightbar or
> anything but roof racks on it. No lights that I could see. There isn't any
> town
> called "Marina" around and it did have a fire type logo with a star of life
>
> in it. Underneath it said "So.San Francisco Bay". I caught up to it in
> traffic
> to see all that.
>
> Anyone know where this is from???

I know this won't help much, but last year I saw that same vehicle driving
around the San Mateo area, so your sighting isn't an isolated incident. I forget
exactly where I saw it but I did think that it was odd and I wasn't able to
find any Marina fire department around either.

Jeff

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39115. 10851 update, 1216 hours, CODE 4!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 19:17:23 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39115 Message: 39115

SUSPECT 10-15 at U-Hall yard, Camden and Hwy 17.

CODE 4 !!!!!!!!!!!
Brian in Campbell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39116. Re: [bayscan] Re: 10851 Police vehicle detail BAYMACS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 19:18:26 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39099 Message: 39116

Ed,
Yes, 10851 is a Los Gatos PD marked vehicle.
Brian

On 4/26/05, caladded@... <caladded@...> wrote:
>
>
> Wondering if the guy is REAL skinny and slipped cuffs from behind to in
> front
> of him. Unless they cuffed him in front which would surprized me
>
> Brian -------was the stolen car from Los Gatos PD ???
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39117. incident at USPS facility in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 18:57:53 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39117 Message: 39117

i don't know any details other than there was a minor explosion around 1100 at the US Postal facility on Mendell at Newhall. Police, HazMat, EMS, Dept. of Public Health, and Fire have set up a command center and there some sort of large operation going on there. I think i am missing a channel so i am not hearing all the details.

Mendell St Command SFFD A6
Police & Fire Tac SFFD B4 13584 (aka SAFEVT 1 (Pub Safety 1)

39118. Re: incident at USPS facility in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 19:09:00 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39117 Message: 39118

it sounds like they are about to deploy a robot of some sort and ATF
is now on scene

Mendell St Command SFFD A6 14960
Fire Tac is A10 15088
Police & Fire Tac SFFD B4 13584

On 4/26/05, pete g::.. <pgeniella@...> wrote:
> i don't know any details other than there was a minor explosion around
> 1100 at the US Postal facility on Mendell at Newhall. Police, HazMat,
> EMS, Dept. of Public Health, and Fire have set up a command center and
> there some sort of large operation going on there. I think i am
> missing a channel so i am not hearing all the details.
>
> Mendell St Command SFFD A6
> Police & Fire Tac SFFD B4 13584 (aka SAFEVT 1 (Pub Safety 1)
>

39119. Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 20:15:04 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39056 Message: 39119

Chuck Hoffman wrote

>The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs are as
>broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause the audio
>to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the dispatch
>and miss the address, type of incident, etc.
>
>Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will
>eliminate the problem I'm having?
>
Posted 13:12 PDT

In FM modes, clipped audio is a sign of either being off frequency or receiver deviation being narrower than the signal being heard. Being off frequency may also show up as reduced sensitivity.

As for 860 MHz filters, filters, you can get cellular duplexers for very little at the Livermore, "Foothills" and other Amateur Radio swap meets. The high-frequency side works well as a filter if overload from nearby out-of-band transmitters is a problem..

Cortland
KA5S

39120. Re: incident at USPS facility in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 20:00:10 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39117 Message: 39120

everyone's been sent on their way, "the device isn't a bomb"

On 4/26/05, pete g::.. <pgeniella@...> wrote:
> it sounds like they are about to deploy a robot of some sort and ATF
> is now on scene
>
> Mendell St Command SFFD A6 14960
> Fire Tac is A10 15088
> Police & Fire Tac SFFD B4 13584
>
> On 4/26/05, pete g::.. <pgeniella@...> wrote:
> > i don't know any details other than there was a minor explosion around
> > 1100 at the US Postal facility on Mendell at Newhall. Police, HazMat,
> > EMS, Dept. of Public Health, and Fire have set up a command center and
> > there some sort of large operation going on there. I think i am
> > missing a channel so i am not hearing all the details.
> >
> > Mendell St Command SFFD A6
> > Police & Fire Tac SFFD B4 13584 (aka SAFEVT 1 (Pub Safety 1)
> >
>

39121. RE: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 20:26:03 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39056 Message: 39121

I phrased my query badly. When I said ☐audio clipping☐ I really meant desense. What I☐m looking for is something to place in the feedline that will work like a tuned cavity bandpass to prevent out-of-band signals from getting into the front end and desensing the receiver.

SFChuck

-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Cortland Richmond
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2005 1:15 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Chuck Hoffman wrote

>The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs are as
>broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause the
audio
>to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the dispatch
>and miss the address, type of incident, etc.
>
>Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will
>eliminate the problem I'm having?
>
Posted 13:12 PDT

In FM modes, clipped audio is a sign of either being off frequency or receiver deviation being narrower than the signal being heard. Being off frequency may also show up as reduced sensitivity.

As for 860 MHz filters, filters, you can get cellular duplexers for very little at the Livermore, "Foothills" and other Amateur Radio swap meets. The high-frequency side works well as a filter if overload from nearby out-of-band transmitters is a problem..

Cortland
KA5S

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39122. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 20:41:36 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39056 Message: 39122

Ditto on the IF being too narrow. Some scanners have trouble on analog cellular which has more deviation than standard NFM. Er, not that I ever scanned analog cellular.

I think these cell phone duplexers are called circulators. Are they just high pass & low pass filters? And what is the corner frequency?

Cortland Richmond wrote:
> Chuck Hoffman wrote
>
>
>>The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs are as
>>broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause the audio
>>to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the dispatch
>>and miss the address, type of incident, etc.
>>
>>Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will
>>eliminate the problem I'm having?
>>
>
> Posted 13:12 PDT
>
> In FM modes, clipped audio is a sign of either being off frequency or
> receiver deviation being narrower than the signal being heard. Being
> off frequency may also show up as reduced sensitivity.
>
> As for 860 MHz filters, filters, you can get cellular duplexers for
> very little at the Livermore, "Foothills" and other Amateur Radio swap
> meets. The high-frequency side works well as a filter if overload from
> nearby out-of-band transmitters is a problem..
>
> Cortland
> KA5S
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

39123. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 20:45:48 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39056 Message: 39123

what is a corner frequency?
On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 13:41 US/Pacific, gary wrote:

>
> Ditto on the IF being too narrow. Some scanners have trouble on analog
> cellular which has more deviation than standard NFM. Er, not that I
> ever
> scanned analog cellular.
>
> I think these cell phone duplexers are called circulators. Are they
> just
> high pass & low pass filters? And what is the corner frequency?
>
> Cortland Richmond wrote:
>> Chuck Hoffman wrote
>>
>>
>>> The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs
>>> are as
>>> broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause
>>> the audio
>>> to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the
>>> dispatch
>>> and miss the address, type of incident, etc.
>>>
>>> Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will
>>> eliminate the problem I'm having?
>>>
>>

39124. Re: [bayscan] 10851 update, 1216 hours, CODE 4!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 21:07:08 UTC
From: Nick Caldwell
Topic: 39115 Message: 39124

that only took 30 emails

On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> SUSPECT 10-15 at U-Hall yard, Camden and Hwy 17.
>
> CODE 4 !!!!!!!!!!!
> Brian in Campbell
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

39125. Re: [bayscan] 10851 update, 1216 hours, CODE 4!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 23:22:36 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 39115 Message: 39125

i counted 48

In a message dated 4/26/2005 2:11:27 PM Pacific Daylight Time,
kg6nmp@... writes:

that only took 30 emails

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39126. SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 23:40:00 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39126 Message: 39126

I'm picking up a lot of encrypted traffic on SJPD 11 453.65. Something important must be happening -- they won't shut up! :-)

Is Ch 11 453.65 always encrypted now?

- Brian

39127. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 23:42:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39126 Message: 39127

you mean SJPD 17 ? Others have said its encrypted at times , I am out
of range of it :-(

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39128. RE: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-26 23:47:41 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39126 Message: 39128

> you mean SJPD 17 ?

I guess so. Beat's me. :-)

- Brian

39129. AMR 453.100

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 00:05:54 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39129 Message: 39129

Ok so i've discovered an obvious frequency, 453.100 is a simulcast of AMR's dispatch channel 856.437. Is there a frequency for the Rig to Hospital that is simulcast from 857.437?

CT

39130. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 00:56:38 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39126 Message: 39130

Brian wrote:

>>you mean SJPD 17 ?
>
>
> I guess so. Beat's me. :-)

SJPD 17 is routinely used by the MERGE and Metro units to coordinate surveillance, drug busts, and vice details. It's not usually encrypted, although frequently you'll hear one person encrypted and the rest of a team in the clear. Often times these same activities are coordinated on SJPD 11, an regular analog frequency.

While these provide some of the most fascinating and informative listening from SJPD, I normally refrain from commenting on activities in progress due to the potential (however slight) for officer safety issues.

You're right, though--this one has been a long-running, all-encrypted event, whatever it is. Not very common.

-Johnathan

39131. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 01:06:47 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39126 Message: 39131

Johnathan Corgan wrote:

> You're right, though--this one has been a long-running, all-encrypted
> event, whatever it is. Not very common.

A couple units are now in the clear--this is a surveillance/perimeter
operation.

-Johnathan

39132. Something's happening on San Jose 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 02:32:02 UTC
From: philosopher_dave
Topic: 39132 Message: 39132

Something is going on at Scott and Buena Vista in San Jose, but I tuned in late. Does anyone know what's going on?

460.275 San Jose PD 2

Dave Peterson

39133. Re: Something's happening on San Jose 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 02:42:06 UTC
From: philosopher_dave
Topic: 39132 Message: 39133

A bit more information:

It's a 415 Weapon's call. They are considering evacuating something.

An ambulance was called to stand by.

Star One is observing the house. (I don't know what house.)

There is a command post, so it's pretty serious

> Dave Peterson

39134. Re: [bayscan] Something's happening on San Jose 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 02:43:05 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39132 Message: 39134

philosopher_dave wrote:

> Something is going on at Scott and Buena Vista in San Jose, but I tuned in late. Does
> anyone know what's going on?

I tuned in just a bit too late myself, but I gather from comments that
there was a gun fight on San Carlos Ave. between two suspects, who ran
on foot. They eventually got one 10-15; the other is apparently holed up
in building on Buena Vista. They've evacuated nearby residences and are
preparing to enter the structure. Lot's of F, N, and VCET units on
scene with an inner and outer perimeter.

-Johnathan

39135. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 02:43:37 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39056 Message: 39135

The corner frequency is a filter parameter. If the filter passes frequencies from say A to B, then A and B are the corner frequencies. It is often the frequency where the power is cut in half.

nina zhito wrote:
> what is a corner frequency?
> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 13:41 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>
>
>>Ditto on the IF being too narrow. Some scanners have trouble on analog
>>cellular which has more deviation than standard NFM. Er, not that I
>>ever
>> scanned analog cellular.
>>
>>I think these cell phone duplexers are called circulators. Are they
>>just
>>high pass & low pass filters? And what is the corner frequency?
>>
>>Cortland Richmond wrote:
>>
>>>Chuck Hoffman wrote
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs
>>>are as
>>>broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause
>>>the audio
>>>to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the
>>>dispatch
>>>and miss the address, type of incident, etc.
>>>>
>>>Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will
>>>eliminate the problem I'm having?
>>>>
>>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

39136. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 02:51:02 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 39056 Message: 39136

Would that not also be what "they" refer to as 3db down.
J.B.

AD6WX

Credo Quia Absurdum Est
Credo Ut Intelligam

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39137. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 02:52:34 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39056 Message: 39137

thanks for trying gary, i still don't completely understand. by power,
you mean the power of the transmission / strength of the signal /
amplitude?

sorry if this is too clueless...

On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 19:43 US/Pacific, gary wrote:

>
> The corner frequency is a filter parameter. If the filter passes
> frequencies from say A to B, then A and B are the corner frequencies.
> It
> is often the frequency where the power is cut in half.
>
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>> what is a corner frequency?
>> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 13:41 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Ditto on the IF being too narrow. Some scanners have trouble on
>>> analog
>>> cellular which has more deviation than standard NFM. Er, not that I
>>> ever
>>> scanned analog cellular.
>>>
>>> I think these cell phone duplexers are called circulators. Are they
>>> just
>>> high pass & low pass filters? And what is the corner frequency?
>>>
>>> Cortland Richmond wrote:
>>>
>>>> Chuck Hoffman wrote
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs
>>>>> are as
>>>>> broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause
>>>>> the audio
>>>>> to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the
>>>>> dispatch
>>>>> and miss the address, type of incident, etc.
>>>>>
>>>>> Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will
>>>>> eliminate the problem I'm having?

39138. Re: [bayscan] AMR 453.100

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 04:01:39 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39129 Message: 39138

yep, this is the old 'control 9' from back in the early 90's and late 80's. after converting to the conventional 800 system back in the early 90's, this frequency was dormant for a while. later on they began placing all BLS and private contractors on this freq. now it's part of a larger plan and re-channeling of the county EMS radio system in the works. no word on if and when the several new 800 channels will be added, but the link i'm giving to the plan explains the full details of what they're trying to accomplish.

http://www.clerkrecorder.org/scc/assets/docs/648188Enhancement%20Plan%20FINAL%20July%202004.pdf

enjoy...

-g-

> Ok so i've discovered an obvious frequency, 453.100 is a simulcast of
> AMR's dispatch channel 856.437. Is there a frequency for the Rig to
> Hospital that is simulcast from 857.437?
>
> CT

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39139. Re: [bayscan] Something's happening on San Jose 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 02:54:10 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39132 Message: 39139

f = federal??
n = narctotics??
vcet = ???
On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 19:43 US/Pacific, Johnathan Corgan wrote:

> Lot's of F, N, and VCET units on
> scene with an inner and outer perimeter.
>

39140. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 03:47:00 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39056 Message: 39140

Generally the corner is 3db down in power, but IFs are often specified
at 6db and 60db points.

I do audio, so dB is always a voltage ratio to me, bot RF tends to use
power most of the time as far as I can tell.

I got a Kenwood CW filter at Livermore that I put in my shortwave radio.
I measured the frequency response by sweeping the frequency and
measuring the audio output level, and got this plot:

http://www.lazygranch.com/images/radio/cwfilter.gif

This filter is specs at 250Hz bandwidth at the 6db point. Now if that is
a power spec, then that would be 3db in voltage ratio, so maybe the
filter meets spec.

john Beatty wrote:
> Would that not also be what "they" refer to as 3db down.
> J.B.
>

39141. Re: [bayscan] Re: Wish I hadn't...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 03:57:47 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39056 Message: 39141

It is a power ratio relative to the passband, which is the 0db point (no attenuation). The graph I put in the other post should help.

What you would do in a lab to test the filter is put a RF generator on one side, and a power meter on the other side. The frequency of the RF generator is set in the middle of the passband, i.e. a point where the filter should not attenuate the signal. You read the power meter. Then adjust the frequency to a point where the power meter reads 3db lower. You really don't know the frequency, so you just watch the meter until it drops 3db, then you read the frequency off the generator.

The key here is the absolute levels don't matter, but just the ratio of the levels.

nina zhito wrote:
> thanks for trying gary, i still don't completely understand. by power,
> you mean the power of the transmission / strength of the signal /
> amplitude?
>
> sorry if this is too clueless...
>
>
>
> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 19:43 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>
>
>>The corner frequency is a filter parameter. If the filter passes
>>frequencies from say A to B, then A and B are the corner frequencies.
>>It
>>is often the frequency where the power is cut in half.
>>
>>
>>nina zhito wrote:
>>
>>>what is a corner frequency?
>>>On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 13:41 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Ditto on the IF being too narrow. Some scanners have trouble on
>>>>analog
>>>>cellular which has more deviation than standard NFM. Er, not that I
>>>>ever
>>>> scanned analog cellular.
>>>>
>>>>I think these cell phone duplexers are called circulators. Are they

>>>>just
>>>>high pass & low pass filters? And what is the corner frequency?
>>>>
>>>>Cortland Richmond wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Chuck Hoffman wrote
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The PRO-2052 just is not very sensitive. And the front end and IFs
>>>>>>are as
>>>>>>broad as a barn. It seems like virtually any RF signal will cause
>>>>>>the audio
>>>>>>to be clipped. It is annoying as hell when I only hear half the
>>>>>>dispatch
>>>>>>and miss the address, type of incident, etc.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Does anyone know of a narrow pass filter for the 860 band that will
>>>>>>eliminate the problem I'm having?
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39142. RE: [bayscan] Something's happening on San Jose 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 04:11:10 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39132 Message: 39142

I'll take a stab and say that F & N refer to different areas of the Western Patrol Division. Have a look at the SJPD beat map in the Files section. http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/files/Maps/

I have no idea what VCET is.

>
>
> f = federal??
> n = narctotics??
> vcet = ???
> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 19:43 US/Pacific, Johnathan Corgan wrote:
>
> > Lot's of F, N, and VCET units on
> > scene with an inner and outer perimeter.
> >

39143. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 04:14:17 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39126 Message: 39143

> this one has been a long-running, all-encrypted
> event, whatever it is. Not very common.

barbie says: signals intelligence is *hard*

:-)

39144. Re: [bayscan] Something's happening on San Jose 2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 04:15:49 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39132 Message: 39144

nina zhito wrote:
> f = federal??
> n = narctotics??
> vcet = ???

Sorry. The incident took place in District F (or Frank as they say).
District N (Nora) is adjacent and they use a common channel. So Frank
and Nora units would be the beat units in those districts.

VCET is the Violent Crime Enforcement Team in San Jose.

I had to leave so I didn't get to follow this until conclusion, but it
was beginning to sound like they didn't find anyone in the structure.

-Johnathan

39145. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 04:51:27 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39126 Message: 39145

Now is this encrypted or just APCO?

Jordan Hayes wrote:
>>this one has been a long-running, all-encrypted
>>event, whatever it is. Not very common.
>
>
> barbie says: signals intelligence is *hard*
>
> :-)
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39146. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 05:03:10 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39126 Message: 39146

gary wrote:

> Now is this encrypted or just APCO?

SJPD 17 is modulated with P25 (except for station ID); it is sometimes
also encrypted, most of the time not. Tonight there was an extended
detail that was entirely encrypted, which doesn't happen very often.

-Johnathan

39147. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 04:56:51 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39126 Message: 39147

no, barbie says: " sig int is *interesting*...."

On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 21:14 US/Pacific, Jordan Hayes wrote:

>
>> this one has been a long-running, all-encrypted
>> event, whatever it is. Not very common.
>
> barbie says: signals intelligence is *hard*
>
> :-)
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39148. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 05:06:42 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 39126 Message: 39148

At 09:51 PM 4/26/2005, you wrote:

>Now is this encrypted or just APCO?

SJPD 17 is APCO P25, WITH encryption

Although it's usually part-time encryption.

OT, I also hear that Trish retired recently and suddenly as SJ's comm manager.

Any word on a replacement ?

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.3 - Release Date: 4/25/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39149. Re: [bayscan] SJPD Ch 11 Encrypted?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 05:32:16 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39126 Message: 39149

KG6VXT wrote:

> OT, I also hear that Trish retired recently and suddenly as SJ's comm manager.

From her posting to the NAPCO group on 4/12:

"So that everyone is aware of this before the rumor mill starts
generating incorrect information:

"I will be retiring from City Service effective at the end of June
2005.

"After 29 years in the land-mobile radio field. 26 years of which has
been in Public Safety, with better than 5 years in an acting position
as Communications Manager, I wish to say that having both a private
interest, and a career in land-mobile radio communications has been
personally rewarding to me and of constructive benefit to our
customer Departments, and City residents."

"Trish"

> Any word on a replacement ?

Not that I've heard.

39150. do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 05:37:32 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39150 Message: 39150

a very basic question:

assume you have two identical scanners A and B, (basic non trunking nondigital simple scanners).

i am trying to figure out why B isn't working:
can receive 154.310 on A but not on B.

1) channel is not locked out on B
2) neighboring freqs can be received on B

i have not yet:
3 tried to put 154.310 in another bank / channel on B
4) tried to put another receivable freq in the channel that 154.310 occupies on B...

what might be going on?

39151. soco 2312

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 06:12:41 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39151 Message: 39151

vhicle fire near gas pumps at reinhardts truck stop..

39152. Re: [bayscan] soco 2312

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 06:38:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39151 Message: 39152

thats ALL we need , the gas station goes up in flames ....then the
PRICES go up again :~)

what town is that Nina ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39153. East Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 06:47:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39153 Message: 39153

Gotta quit watching TV and pay more attention to the scanners LOL

EPA is working " something" with a Command Post set up and CHP H30 circling overhead ? Will update if I can

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39154. EPA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 06:49:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 9440 Message: 39154

ok, this is around the 900 block of Newbridge.....have had some shootings in this area very recently.....

488.3875

2347 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39155. Re: [bayscan] do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 06:50:30 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39150 Message: 39155

Experiments:

1)Take off the antenna on scanner B and see if there is a birdie on that frequency.

2) Put some distance between the two scanners in the event that they are interfering with each other, or perhaps A interferes with B. This has to do with the mixers in the radios leaking RF.

nina zhito wrote:
> a very basic question:
>
> assume you have two identical scanners A and B, (basic non trunking
> nondigital simple scanners).
>
> i am trying to figure out why B isn't working:
> can receive 154.310 on A but not on B.
>
> 1) channel is not locked out on B
> 2) neighboring freqs can be received on B
>
> i have not yet:
> 3 tried to put 154.310 in another bank / channel on B
> 4) tried to put another receivable freq in the channel that 154.310
> occupies on B...
>
> what might be going on?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39156. Re: [bayscan] soco 2312 / duh 154.310

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 06:37:18 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39151 Message: 39156

On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 23:12 US/Pacific, nina zhito wrote:

>
> vhicle fire near gas pumps at reinhardts truck stop..
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39157. Re: cell/smrs duplexers for scanner filter

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 08:36:46 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39157 Message: 39157

Chuck Hoffman wrote:

>What I?m looking for is something to place in the feedline that will work like a tuned cavity bandpass to prevent out-of-band signals from getting into the front end and desensing the receiver.
>

I misspoke (mistyped?) when I said "cellular," however, 800 MHz trunking duplexers may also be found at swap meets. You'll be looking for ones with 806?821 MHz and 851-866 MHz sections. Cellular filters are meant for 824-849 and 869 ? 890 MHz..

Check out http://www.sinctech.com/catalog/resources/pdf/P4460E-DI.pdf

Cortland
KA5S

39158. Re: [bayscan] soco 2312

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 10:50:32 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39151 Message: 39158

petaluma, altho this info is too late to be of any use / interest. it
was a non event... (but had spectacular potential).

On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 23:38 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

>
> thats ALL we need , the gas station goes up in flames ....then
> the
> PRICES go up again :~)
>
> what town is that Nina ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39159. Re: [bayscan] Re: cell/smrs duplexers for scanner filter

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 16:03:33 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39157 Message: 39159

sorry, missed this thread. what are you trying to block or pass in your
system and what's the problem?

-g-

>
> Chuck Hoffman wrote:
>
>>What I?m looking for is something to place in the feedline that will work
>> like a tuned cavity bandpass to prevent out-of-band signals from getting
>> into the front end and desensing the receiver.
>>
>
> I misspoke (mistyped?) when I said "cellular," however, 800 MHz trunking
> duplexers may also be found at swap meets. You'll be looking for ones
> with 806?821 MHz and 851-866 MHz sections. Cellular filters are meant
> for 824-849 and 869 ? 890 MHz..
>
> Check out http://www.sinctech.com/catalog/resources/pdf/P4460E-DI.pdf
>
> Cortland
> KA5S
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39160. Re: [bayscan] do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 15:47:03 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39150 Message: 39160

um, why wouldn't the birdie show up on both radios?

On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 23:50 US/Pacific, gary wrote:

>
> Experiments:
>
> 1)Take off the antenna on scanner B and see if there is a birdie on
> that
> frequency.
>
> 2) Put some distance between the two scanners in the event that they
> are
> interfering with each other, or perhaps A interferes with B. This has
> to
> do with the mixers in the radios leaking RF.
>
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>> a very basic question:
>>
>> assume you have two identical scanners A and B, (basic non trunking
>> nondigital simple scanners).
>>
>> i am trying to figure out why B isn't working:
>> can receive 154.310 on A but not on B.
>>
>> 1) channel is not locked out on B
>> 2) neighboring freqs can be received on B
>>
>> i have not yet:
>> 3 tried to put 154.310 in another bank / channel on B
>> 4) tried to put another receivable freq in the channel that 154.310
>> occupies on B...
>>
>> what might be going on?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39161. Duplexers for scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 15:49:21 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39161 Message: 39161

I would look at a NOTCH FILTER or a DCI Cavity filter, Duplexers are not cheap (the set I have for my repeater cost over $1,200.00)and are big

Chuck Hoffman wrote:
>
>>What I'm looking for is something to place in the feedline that will work
>> like a tuned cavity bandpass to prevent out-of-band signals from getting
>> into the front end and desensing the receiver.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39162. Mountain View F4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 17:22:13 UTC
From: John Drake
Topic: 39162 Message: 39162

I'm receiving a mix of traffic from Sunnyvale Fire F2 (482.7125 PL 141.3)and Sunnyvale PD F1 (482.9625 PL 141.3) on Mountain View PD F4 (483.2125). The signal is only present when both of the Sunnyvale channels are transmitting at the same time.

Anyone else receiving this or is there something wrong with my trusty old Uniden BC895?

JD

Timestamp: April 27 2005 - 10:21 (Pacific Daylight Time)

39163. Re: [bayscan] Duplexers for scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 18:35:24 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 39161 Message: 39163

What freq range do they cover?

Mike//KD6FTR

On 4/27/05, Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
>
>
> I would look at a NOTCH FILTER or a DCI Cavity filter, Duplexers are not cheap (the set I have for my repeater cost over $1,200.00)and are big
>
> Chuck Hoffman wrote:
> >
> >>What I'm looking for is something to place in the feedline that will work
> >> like a tuned cavity bandpass to prevent out-of-band signals from getting
> >> into the front end and desensing the receiver.

39164. Re: [bayscan] do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 20:34:51 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39150 Message: 39164

Just an FYI, birdies are signals present in the scanner without an antenna attached. Technically you should have a 50 ohm load on the input to make really sure the signal isn't external.

Different scanners have different birdies. The birdies generally come from the mixers, and scanners can have different mixer frequencies or number of mixers. Another kind of birdie is due to the microprocessor clock causing interference. This isn't a birdie in the "old school" sense, but the effect is the same.

Somewhat on the same topic, any time you heard something strange on a scanner, it's always good to try the same frequency on another scanner. This is because what you may be hearing is a birdie, or worse yet, a wandering birdie. The wandering birdies are caused by using cheap ceramic resonators rather than crystals to set the microprocessor clock frequency.

nina zhito wrote:
> um, why wouldn't the birdie show up on both radios?
>
> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 23:50 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>
>
>>Experiments:
>>
>>1)Take off the antenna on scanner B and see if there is a birdie on
>>that
>>frequency.
>>
>>2) Put some distance between the two scanners in the event that they
>>are
>>interfering with each other, or perhaps A interferes with B. This has
>>to
>> do with the mixers in the radios leaking RF.
>>
>>
>>nina zhito wrote:
>>
>>>a very basic question:
>>>
>>>assume you have two identical scanners A and B, (basic non trunking
>>>nondigital simple scanners).
>>>
>>>i am trying to figure out why B isn't working:
>>>can receive 154.310 on A but not on B.
>>>

>>>1) channel is not locked out on B
>>>2) neighboring freqs can be received on B
>>>
>>>i have not yet:
>>>3 tried to put 154.310 in another bank / channel on B
>>>4) tried to put another receivable freq in the channel that 154.310
>>>occupies on B...
>>>
>>>what might be going on?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

39165. 800Mhz Nextel/Public Safety re-banding info

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 21:13:51 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 39064 Message: 39165

Some good documents to review ahead of the re-structuring of 800mhz for public safety.

Master website:

http://www.800ta.org

Quick Guide
http://www.800ta.org/PDFs/guide.pdf

Master re-banding handbook
http://www.800ta.org/PDFs/handbook.pdf

Seems that some of the older trunk tracking scanners with non-flashable firmware won't be
compatible with the new band plan channel assignments, or new frequency bands.

Should be interesting to see how the scanner manufacturers deal with this and the 700mhz band.

Save your pennies for new equipment.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.4 - Release Date: 4/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39166. Re: [bayscan] do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 21:16:23 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39150 Message: 39166

hi gary, thanks.

i didn't realize that the antenna had to be off! cripes. i appreciate
your patience.

when you write, << Different scanners have different birdies>> should
i assume that identical scanner models would birdie at the same
frequency if there is one there? in other words wouldn't the mixing
products be the same between virtually identical units and thereby
cause the problem on both? or is there significant variability
between components in virtually identical receivers?

so, let's say it *is* a birdie: how do i work around it, so i can pick
up this freq on that scanner? does something have to be replaced?

nina

On Wednesday, Apr 27, 2005, at 13:34 US/Pacific, gary wrote:

>
> Just an FYI, birdies are signals present in the scanner without an
> antenna attached. Technically you should have a 50 ohm load on the
> input
> to make really sure the signal isn't external.
>
> Different scanners have different birdies. The birdies generally come
> from the mixers, and scanners can have different mixer frequencies or
> number of mixers. Another kind of birdie is due to the microprocessor
> clock causing interference. This isn't a birdie in the "old school"
> sense, but the effect is the same.
>
> Somewhat on the same topic, any time you heard something strange on a
> scanner, it's always good to try the same frequency on another scanner.
> This is because what you may be hearing is a birdie, or worse yet, a
> wandering birdie. The wandering birdies are caused by using cheap
> ceramic resonators rather than crystals to set the microprocessor clock
> frequency.
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>> um, why wouldn't the birdie show up on both radios?
>>
>> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 23:50 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Experiments:
>>>

>>> 1)Take off the antenna on scanner B and see if there is a birdie on
>>> that
>>> frequency.
>>>
>>> 2) Put some distance between the two scanners in the event that they
>>> are
>>> interfering with each other, or perhaps A interferes with B. This has
>>> to
>>> do with the mixers in the radios leaking RF.
>>>
>>>
>>> nina zhito wrote:
>>>
>>>> a very basic question:
>>>>
>>>> assume you have two identical scanners A and B, (basic non trunking
>>>> nondigital simple scanners).
>>>>
>>>> i am trying to figure out why B isn't working:
>>>> can receive 154.310 on A but not on B.
>>>>
>>>> 1) channel is not locked out on B
>>>> 2) neighboring freqs can be received on B
>>>>
>>>> i have not yet:
>>>> 3 tried to put 154.310 in another bank / channel on B
>>>> 4) tried to put another receivable freq in the channel that 154.310
>>>> occupies on B...
>>>>
>>>> what might be going on?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> ========================
>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39167. Re: [bayscan] do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 21:40:35 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39150 Message: 39167

Identical scanners should have the same birdies.

If you have a birdie on the scanner, you can't receive any signal on
that frequency because the birdie will win.

A few scanners have selectable IFs so you can move the birdies. This
seems like a poor solutions since better construction can eliminate the
birdies.

Some scanners publish the birdies in the manual.

nina zhito wrote:
> hi gary, thanks.
>
> i didn't realize that the antenna had to be off! cripes. i appreciate
> your patience.
>
> when you write, << Different scanners have different birdies>> should
> i assume that identical scanner models would birdie at the same
> frequency if there is one there? in other words wouldn't the mixing
> products be the same between virtually identical units and thereby
> cause the problem on both? or is there significant variability
> *between* components in virtually identical receivers?
>
> so, let's say it *is* a birdie: how do i work around it, so i can pick
> up this freq on that scanner? does something have to be replaced?
>
> nina
>
>
>
>
> On Wednesday, Apr 27, 2005, at 13:34 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>
>
>>Just an FYI, birdies are signals present in the scanner without an
>>antenna attached. Technically you should have a 50 ohm load on the
>>input
>>to make really sure the signal isn't external.
>>
>>Different scanners have different birdies. The birdies generally come
>>from the mixers, and scanners can have different mixer frequencies or
>>number of mixers. Another kind of birdie is due to the microprocessor
>>clock causing interference. This isn't a birdie in the "old school"
>>sense, but the effect is the same.
>>

>>Somewhat on the same topic, any time you heard something strange on a
>>scanner, it's always good to try the same frequency on another scanner.
>>This is because what you may be hearing is a birdie, or worse yet, a
>>wandering birdie. The wandering birdies are caused by using cheap
>>ceramic resonators rather than crystals to set the microprocessor clock
>>frequency.
>>
>>nina zhito wrote:
>>
>>>um, why wouldn't the birdie show up on both radios?
>>>
>>>On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 23:50 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Experiments:
>>>>
>>>>1)Take off the antenna on scanner B and see if there is a birdie on
>>>>that
>>>>frequency.
>>>>
>>>>2) Put some distance between the two scanners in the event that they
>>>>are
>>>>interfering with each other, or perhaps A interferes with B. This has
>>>>to
>>>> do with the mixers in the radios leaking RF.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>nina zhito wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>a very basic question:
>>>>>
>>>>>assume you have two identical scanners A and B, (basic non trunking
>>>>>nondigital simple scanners).
>>>>>
>>>>>i am trying to figure out why B isn't working:
>>>>>can receive 154.310 on A but not on B.
>>>>>
>>>>>1) channel is not locked out on B
>>>>>2) neighboring freqs can be received on B
>>>>>
>>>>>i have not yet:
>>>>>3 tried to put 154.310 in another bank / channel on B
>>>>>4) tried to put another receivable freq in the channel that 154.310
>>>>>occupies on B...
>>>>>
>>>>>what might be going on?
>>>>>
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>==========
>>>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
>>> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39168. Re: [bayscan] Duplexers for scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-27 21:06:30 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39161 Message: 39168

VHF)139 to 150
----- Original Message -----
From: Mike McRoberts
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2005 11:35
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Duplexers for scanner

What freq range do they cover?

Mike//KD6FTR

On 4/27/05, Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
>
>
> I would look at a NOTCH FILTER or a DCI Cavity filter, Duplexers are not cheap (the set
I have for my repeater cost over $1,200.00)and are big
>
> Chuck Hoffman wrote:
> >
> >>What I'm looking for is something to place in the feedline that will work
> >> like a tuned cavity bandpass to prevent out-of-band signals from getting
> >> into the front end and desensing the receiver.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39169. mera brandishing? 1742

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 00:43:37 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39169 Message: 39169

47248

mom reports 10 year old son threatening her with a pistol

third subject is another son, in another part of the house

39170. Re: [bayscan] mera brandishing? 1742

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 01:20:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39169 Message: 39170

novato ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39171. Re: [bayscan] do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 00:34:13 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39150 Message: 39171

okay, sounds like it is not a birdie, since one scanner works and the
other doesn't.

is this what intermodulation is, i wonder?

On Wednesday, Apr 27, 2005, at 14:40 US/Pacific, gary wrote:

>
> Identical scanners should have the same birdies.
>
> If you have a birdie on the scanner, you can't receive any signal on
> that frequency because the birdie will win.
>
> A few scanners have selectable IFs so you can move the birdies. This
> seems like a poor solutions since better construction can eliminate the
> birdies.
>
> Some scanners publish the birdies in the manual.
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>> hi gary, thanks.
>>
>> i didn't realize that the antenna had to be off! cripes. i
>> appreciate
>> your patience.
>>
>> when you write, << Different scanners have different birdies>> should
>> i assume that identical scanner models would birdie at the same
>> frequency if there is one there? in other words wouldn't the mixing
>> products be the same between virtually identical units and thereby
>> cause the problem on both? or is there significant variability
>> *between* components in virtually identical receivers?
>>
>> so, let's say it *is* a birdie: how do i work around it, so i can
>> pick
>> up this freq on that scanner? does something have to be replaced?
>>
>> nina
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> On Wednesday, Apr 27, 2005, at 13:34 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Just an FYI, birdies are signals present in the scanner without an

>>> antenna attached. Technically you should have a 50 ohm load on the
>>> input
>>> to make really sure the signal isn't external.
>>>
>>> Different scanners have different birdies. The birdies generally come
>>> from the mixers, and scanners can have different mixer frequencies or
>>> number of mixers. Another kind of birdie is due to the microprocessor
>>> clock causing interference. This isn't a birdie in the "old school"
>>> sense, but the effect is the same.
>>>
>>> Somewhat on the same topic, any time you heard something strange on a
>>> scanner, it's always good to try the same frequency on another
>>> scanner.
>>> This is because what you may be hearing is a birdie, or worse yet, a
>>> wandering birdie. The wandering birdies are caused by using cheap
>>> ceramic resonators rather than crystals to set the microprocessor
>>> clock
>>> frequency.
>>>
>>> nina zhito wrote:
>>>
>>>> um, why wouldn't the birdie show up on both radios?
>>>>
>>>> On Tuesday, Apr 26, 2005, at 23:50 US/Pacific, gary wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Experiments:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1)Take off the antenna on scanner B and see if there is a birdie on
>>>>> that
>>>>> frequency.
>>>>>
>>>>> 2) Put some distance between the two scanners in the event that
>>>>> they
>>>>> are
>>>>> interfering with each other, or perhaps A interferes with B. This
>>>>> has
>>>>> to
>>>>> do with the mixers in the radios leaking RF.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> nina zhito wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> a very basic question:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> assume you have two identical scanners A and B, (basic non
>>>>>> trunking
>>>>>> nondigital simple scanners).

>>>>>>
>>>>>> i am trying to figure out why B isn't working:
>>>>>> can receive 154.310 on A but not on B.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 1) channel is not locked out on B
>>>>>> 2) neighboring freqs can be received on B
>>>>>>
>>>>>> i have not yet:
>>>>>> 3 tried to put 154.310 in another bank / channel on B
>>>>>> 4) tried to put another receivable freq in the channel that
>>>>>> 154.310
>>>>>> occupies on B...
>>>>>>
>>>>>> what might be going on?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> ========================
>>>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>>>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> ========================
>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39172. Re: [bayscan] mera brandishing? 1742

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 01:29:30 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39169 Message: 39172

yes, sorry...
On Wednesday, Apr 27, 2005, at 18:20 US/Pacific, caladded@aol.com wrote:

>
> novato ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39173. RE: [bayscan] do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 02:06:33 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39150 Message: 39173

> okay, sounds like it is not a birdie, since one scanner works
> and the other doesn't.

This is only true if both radios are the same exact model. This would not be conclusive if they are different models. Are they?

- Brian

39174. Re: Duplexers for scanner

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 03:18:39 UTC
From: Cortland
Topic: 39161 Message: 39174

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
>
> I would look at a NOTCH FILTER or a DCI Cavity filter, Duplexers are not cheap (the set I have for my repeater cost over $1,200.00)and are big

800 MHz *mobile* duplexers are relatively small, and the ones are for trunked systems are necesarily broadband, so tuning isn't required. I thnk you could get one for $30 or so at Livermore. Granted, it would only pass 800 MHz.

Cortland
KA5S

39175. Re: [bayscan] do channels fail?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 02:37:59 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39150 Message: 39175

theoretically the same model.
On Wednesday, Apr 27, 2005, at 19:06 US/Pacific, Brian wrote:

>
>> okay, sounds like it is not a birdie, since one scanner works
>> and the other doesn't.
>
> This is only true if both radios are the same exact model. This would
> not
> be conclusive if they are different models. Are they?
>
> - Brian
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39176. VC-32A

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 04:40:19 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 39176 Message: 39176

2115 EX1F 134.5, ? , ? ,120.5 KSFO

39177. Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 05:46:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17356 Message: 39177

Palo Alto SWAT team getting ready to make entry ....

482.6125 area of Colorado ave / Ross

2246 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39178. fps at the 9th district

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 06:35:35 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39178 Message: 39178

A few FPS were present at the protest at the 9th district court today. They only frequency used as 417.2Mhz. There was an insane amount of SFPD. I'm guessing 50 cars. Total overkill. Only one Black Bloc showed up, which of course is one too many in my book. [The 12-galaxies guy showed up if anyone is keeping score.]

39179. Laura Bush in town

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 06:48:57 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39179 Message: 39179

Laura Bush
Chipman School,401 Pacific,Alameda
Thursday 4/28
some time between 9 and 11AM

No notam for the event.

39180. Explosion/fire Hayward Cherryland/ San Lorenzo area

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 11:40:56 UTC
From: sixstrrzr
Topic: 39180 Message: 39180

Explosion woke me up 3:05 am 4/28...sky red 1 block away. Alco 17.680 reports Hampton Rd. IC, 2 strucures...heard possible coroner call.

Meekland blocked off to thru traffic.

4:30 am 17.680 reports fire out.

NFI at this time...

39181. Re: [bayscan] mera brandishing? 1742

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 13:31:59 UTC
From: WLucas9925@aol.com
Topic: 39169 Message: 39181

Hello:

I have notice a lot of "mera?" What does that stay for..... Or does it mean anythng?

thanks

wilson

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39182. Re: mera brandishing? 1742

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 13:37:59 UTC
From: Fast Eddie
Topic: 39169 Message: 39182

Marin Emergency Radio Authority

New Digital Trunked Radio System

My Pro 96 picks it up nice.

WLucas9925@a... wrote:
> Hello:
>
> I have notice a lot of "mera?" What does that stay for..... Or does
it mean
> anythng?
>
> thanks
>
> wilson
>

39183. sccso (155.7 P25) 10-56A Barley Hill, Los Altos Hills

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 15:19:56 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 39183 Message: 39183

4 units on scene...1 10-15 asking for Code 3 paramedics....Mike 0820 hrs

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39184. San Jose Fire - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 17:07:01 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37485 Message: 39184

San Jose Fire working a rescue response for subject possibly trapped in a green Miyata after an MVA on SB I880 just south of NB US 101. Call came in via CHP.

BATTALION 1
USAR COMPANY 5
ENGINE 5
MED 30
RESCUE MEDIC 29

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
CHP - SAN JOSE - 42.500

-C-

39185. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Rescue Response

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 17:11:40 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 37485 Message: 39185

880 IC advising one patient, AMR on scene, extrication in progress.

-C-

On Apr 28, 2005, at 10:07 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

> San Jose Fire working a rescue response for subject possibly trapped in
> a green Miyata after an MVA on SB I880 just south of NB US 101. Call
> came in via CHP.
>
> BATTALION 1
> USAR COMPANY 5
> ENGINE 5
> MED 30
> RESCUE MEDIC 29
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
> CHP - SAN JOSE - 42.500
>
> -C-

39186. FIREMARS 2 Activity

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 18:18:26 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 39186 Message: 39186

For the first time since I programmed it in a year or two ago, I'm actually hearing the FIREMARS 2 repeater (866.9125/156.7Hz) in use right now.

"TI Command" just talked REACH 3 in to their Landing Zone a couple minutes ago, and I'm hearing sporadic one-way traffic on it.

I'm assuming it's an exercise taking place on Treasure Island, and that some or all of the FIREMARS 2 traffic is patched.

I'm hearing the repeater quite well on a BC-796 tapped into the standard AM/FM antenna on my car in Sunnyvale.

Tim

39187. Re: [bayscan] FIREMARS 2 Activity

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 19:17:31 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39186 Message: 39187

Tim,
I also heard a helo talking to Treasure Island about a "LZ" on White 1,
154.280.
Brian in Campbell

On 4/28/05, Tim <sfbayhooligan@...> wrote:
>
> For the first time since I programmed it in a year or two ago, I'm
> actually hearing the FIREMARS 2 repeater (866.9125/156.7Hz) in use
> right now.
>
> "TI Command" just talked REACH 3 in to their Landing Zone a couple
> minutes ago, and I'm hearing sporadic one-way traffic on it.
>
> I'm assuming it's an exercise taking place on Treasure Island, and
> that some or all of the FIREMARS 2 traffic is patched.
>
> I'm hearing the repeater quite well on a BC-796 tapped into the
> standard AM/FM antenna on my car in Sunnyvale.
>
>
> Tim
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39188. CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 20:37:03 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38977 Message: 39188

Now before everyone starts on a rampage of jokes/cynical comments, this is a real question I have.

I have a Cobra 19 which I've rarely hear any transmissions. I have it as a base unit at home (in west san jose) with a mobile magmount on a 20 inch piece of metal by the window (I'm in a condo). I tune it to Ch 17, 18, or 19 but the whole evening will go by and I think over past few weeks, I only heard one conversation. I don't monitor every day but I'm certain the radio works OK as I can hear background interference.

Although CB is not as congested as in the 1970s, I expect to hear something. Bill at www.bills2way.com said CB is very popular in the bay area of people passing traffic information but I have yet to hear any. What channel would truckers use? I heard it is 17 for Calif.

Anyone monitor CB radio? You can either email me privately if too embarassed or say you heard it from your cousin...

Mike
mikeyht220@...

39189. Re: [bayscan] CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 21:11:00 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 38977 Message: 39189

At 01:37 PM 4/28/2005, you wrote:

>Now before everyone starts on a rampage of jokes/cynical comments, this is a
>real question I have.

And since you've opened up the subject.

Where can you get plain 1/4 wave 11 meter metal ground plane base antennas
anymore ?

I want to get a cheap 1/4 wave and cut it to 42mhz to listen to CHP over
distance, but
I can't find any of the el-cheapo 1/4 or 1/2 wave (that are not fancy
fiberglass coaxial sleeve) base antennas anymore.

And no, I don't have time to make one from parts.

Any suggestions ?

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.4 - Release Date: 4/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39190. RE: [bayscan] CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 21:18:44 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 38977 Message: 39190

I have a Cushcraft AR-10 (Ringo) ten meter antenna that has been modified to operate on 47 MHz (Red Cross). It can easily be lengthened slightly to operate on 42 MHz. If you are interested, let me know.

Chuck Hoffman
chuck94560@...
(510) 468-3642

-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of KG6VXT
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2005 2:11 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CB radio monitoring

At 01:37 PM 4/28/2005, you wrote:

>Now before everyone starts on a rampage of jokes/cynical comments, this is a
>real question I have.

And since you've opened up the subject.

Where can you get plain 1/4 wave 11 meter metal ground plane base antennas anymore ?

I want to get a cheap 1/4 wave and cut it to 42mhz to listen to CHP over distance, but
I can't find any of the el-cheapo 1/4 or 1/2 wave (that are not fancy fiberglass coaxial sleeve) base antennas anymore.

And no, I don't have time to make one from parts.

Any suggestions ?

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.4 - Release Date: 4/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39191. San Jose Fire - HAZMAT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 21:20:48 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39191 Message: 39191

Report of up to 1/2 gallon of HCL spilled at manufacturing facility
with workers unable to enter room due to fumes.

Ascent Manufacturing at 1099 North Fifth Street at Younger.

Responding Units:

USAR COMPANY 5
E5
HIT 29
BATTALION 1

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

39192. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - HAZMAT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 21:24:26 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39191 Message: 39192

FIFTH STREET IC advising HIT 29 that an employee accidentally spilled a quantity of HCL on the floor. HIT 29 advises that if the spill is contained it is okay to absorb. HIT 29 still en route.

Final update on this incident unless conditions warrant.

-C-

On Apr 28, 2005, at 2:20 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Report of up to 1/2 gallon of HCL spilled at manufacturing facility
> with workers unable to enter room due to fumes.
>
> Ascent Manufacturing at 1099 North Fifth Street at Younger.
>
> Responding Units:
>
> USAR COMPANY 5
> E5
> HIT 29
> BATTALION 1
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

39193. Re: [bayscan] CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 21:26:53 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 38977 Message: 39193

there a CB shop in San Leandero on E14st across from Bayfair mail(I think it's called Clyde's corner), or try glen's in San Carlos
----- Original Message -----
From: KG6VXT
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2005 14:11
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CB radio monitoring

At 01:37 PM 4/28/2005, you wrote:

>Now before everyone starts on a rampage of jokes/cynical comments, this is a
>real question I have.

And since you've opened up the subject.

Where can you get plain 1/4 wave 11 meter metal ground plane base antennas anymore ?

I want to get a cheap 1/4 wave and cut it to 42mhz to listen to CHP over distance, but
I can't find any of the el-cheapo 1/4 or 1/2 wave (that are not fancy fiberglass coaxial sleeve) base antennas anymore.

And no, I don't have time to make one from parts.

Any suggestions ?

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.10.4 - Release Date: 4/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39194. marine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 23:22:53 UTC
From: kg6dke
Topic: 39194 Message: 39194

Friends:

Anyone have a list of marine freqs for the SF Bay Area? Or a site where I can find them?

Thanx in advance.

39195. Re: marine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 23:32:10 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 39194 Message: 39195

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kg6dke" <kg6dke@y...> wrote:
> Friends:
>
> Anyone have a list of marine freqs for the SF Bay Area? Or a site
> where I can find them?

Inside your radio? ;)

I know the Pro-96 and BC-246 have marine channels as part of their
preprogrammed search bands...

39196. Re: [bayscan] Re: marine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 23:39:18 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39194 Message: 39196

SF Marine channels are the same as all over the country anything particular ?

USCG around here use 21 and 22 / 157.05 157.10
16 is 156.800

do a search bet 156 and 157 most are in that range

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39197. Marine freqs.....type marine frequencies into google eom

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-28 23:44:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39197 Message: 39197

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39198. Re: [bayscan] Re: marine

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 00:17:46 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39194 Message: 39198

caladded@... wrote:
> SF Marine channels are the same as all over the country anything particular ?
>
> USCG around here use 21 and 22 / 157.05 157.10
> 16 is 156.800
>
> do a search bet 156 and 157 most are in that range

I believe he is looking for a utilization chart (vessel tracking, port operations, bridges, etc.). Those tend to be specific to the area.

I don't have such a listing.

39199. Mrs. Bush

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 00:45:40 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 39199 Message: 39199

Anyone know if or when she departed the Bay Area?

39200. Mrs. Bush

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 00:46:40 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 39199 Message: 39200

Anyone know if or when she departed the Bay Area?
or when she is scheduled to depart?

39201. MERA: Foot pursuit in San Rafael

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 02:40:40 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39201 Message: 39201

Foot pursuit in San Rafael about 10 ago they have a perimeter set up now. Guy got away under a freeway offramp overpass next to a soundwall by Pacheco & the Central San Rafael off. Subject isnt't really wanted for anything so they're breaking off the majority of units on the search. They might have found him now by his house...

52048 - San Rafael PD Dispatch 1

39202. RE: Mrs Bush

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 06:35:14 UTC
From: Wyatt Earp
Topic: 39202 Message: 39202

Is that who was here. i was hearing that traffic on Alameda PD as well as Alco S.O. Tac 8 today around those times listed 9-12ish.. Was wondering who was in town. I heard "ALCO 1" talking to dispatch this is the S.O. boat that patrols the bay... I also heard talk of a "command post". Heard Alameda city PD on thier Tac 7. not sure if it was related but sure seemed like it. Talk of street closures and whatnot. Geez if I had known i could have looked for the plane arriving or departing Oak.. I work right behind the airport right in the flight path. The S.O. boat was patroling the waters at the end of the runway.. was interesting to listen to as it lasted..

39203. Re: [bayscan] RE: Mrs Bush

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 06:55:40 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39202 Message: 39203

I posted the event yesterday. Granted, not much advance notice, but
everything was kept hush hush to er um keep the well-wishers away. Yeah,
that's it. I posted it as soon as I found out.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/message/39179

I guess the first lady can arrive without a notam being generated. That
makes her hard to track.

Wyatt Earp wrote:
> Is that who was here. i was hearing that traffic on Alameda PD as well
> as Alco S.O. Tac 8 today around those times listed 9-12ish.. Was
> wondering who was in town. I heard "ALCO 1" talking to dispatch this
> is the S.O. boat that patrols the bay... I also heard talk of
> a "command post". Heard Alameda city PD on thier Tac 7. not sure if it
> was related but sure seemed like it. Talk of street closures and
> whatnot. Geez if I had known i could have looked for the plane arriving
> or departing Oak.. I work right behind the airport right in the flight
> path. The S.O. boat was patroling the waters at the end of the runway..
> was interesting to listen to as it lasted..
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39204. Re: [bayscan] CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 07:17:24 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 38977 Message: 39204

I carry a CB with me on long drives. I know I'm a HAM but, still like radio's and we all started somewhere. From what I was told by some of the truckers around the channels go something like this:

Channel 17 is for North/South traffic
Channel 19 is for East/West traffic

Channel 6 well.....It's still a total loss! Even today!

Channel 9 Hello,Hello,....Echo! anyone there?

I think Bill was trying to make a sale cause I for 1 don't hear zilch on CB. Well, mabey some skip on Sideband from the midwest . even then just a few moments.

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39205. Re: [bayscan] CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 10:05:54 UTC
From: Leo G. Divinagracia III
Topic: 38977 Message: 39205

Mobile11@... wrote:

>
> I carry a CB with me on long drives. I know I'm a HAM but, still like
> radio's and we all started somewhere. From what I was told by some of the truckers
> around the channels go something like this:
>
> Channel 17 is for North/South traffic
> Channel 19 is for East/West traffic
>
> Channel 6 well........It's still a total loss! Even today!
>
> Channel 9 Hello,Hello,....Echo! anyone there?
>
>
> I think Bill was trying to make a sale cause I for 1 don't hear zilch on CB.
> Well, mabey some skip on Sideband from the midwest . even then just a few
> moments.
>

for 4 wheeling, CBs is like king. ch 4 is the primary and customery
channel.

curious thing is that i bought ham handheld transmitter and it can do
the TECH class license freqs but not CB or FRS, which does NOT require a
license.

anyways, if you find a caravan of 4wds in the freeway or a road which
may lead to a 4wd area, tune your scanner into cb ch4... or FRS ch4
(sub 4) since they are showing up more and more these days.

myself, when i travel (even when not 4 wheeling), i bring my handheld CB
as well. came in handy a few years ago when leaving vegas, southbbound
I-15 was clogged for hours. and i broke into ch19 and found that a
massive pile ups with several deaths occured... :(

39206. Re: [bayscan] CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 16:12:50 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38977 Message: 39206

At 03:05 AM 4/29/2005, you wrote:
>curious thing is that i bought ham handheld transmitter and it can do
>the TECH class license freqs but not CB or FRS, which does NOT require a
> license.

Well, the common ham radio is 2m (144 mhz) and 440 (440 mhz). CB is what,
27 mhz? Quite a broad spectrum. Also, FRS requires different type of FCC
approval from a ham radio. This would increase the price of the radio.

Of course most 440 ham radios CAN do FRS when modified, but that would of
course be illegal. :)

39207. Re: Part 90/95 radios vs ham (was CB radio monitoring)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 17:10:41 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38977 Message: 39207

>Well, the common ham radio is 2m (144 mhz) and 440 (440 mhz). CB is what,
>27 mhz? Quite a broad spectrum. Also, FRS requires different type of FCC
>approval from a ham radio. This would increase the price of the radio.

FRS (462MHz) and CB (27MHz) radios are Part 95 Acceptance. These can be modified to operate on ham bands but not the other way around because ham radio equipment are not Type Accepted except factory made (i.e. Icom) which require Part 15 (unintended radiators).

Public safety and industrial radios are Part 90 which requires tolerances tighter than ham gear and I've heard getting type acceptance on Part 90 is a lot of work (which adds expense). Probably the same for Part 95 but yet FRS and CBs are made by the millions so cost per radio is quite cheap. There are some businesses that use FRS instead of a real Part 90 radio (to them they all look the same, why pay $$$$ when you can get two for real cheap at walmart), but that's a different story.

The real diehard scanner fans use Part 90 type radios (Motorola, BK, etc) for general scanning because the performance is very good.

Mike

39208. Re: [bayscan] Re: Part 90/95 radios vs ham (was CB radio monitoring)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 17:36:16 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 38977 Message: 39208

also, when it comes to FRS, keep in mind that FRS is narrowband and modified ham rigs are operating in wideband. a wideband FR transmission will sound muted or very soft on the audio side through an FRS rig (unless the front-end in the frs radio is cheap and just demod's everything it hears, regardless of it's wide/narrow status).

-g-

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39209. Re: CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 18:57:57 UTC
From: Paul - KF6YYI
Topic: 38977 Message: 39209

Heck, I think I was in that back-up on I-15. I was in one where a Semi and RV tangled it up oustide of Baker, CA and well lets just say the Semi won. The RV had a boat on the back and from what I heard 5 people in the RV didn't make it. The Back-Up was for hours and everyone was just parked on the road and outside talking and walking around. Thank goodness it was in Jan. If it was during the summer the heat can get up to 118 out on I-15 in the Mojave Desert. There is no where to go out there either. By the time the traffic started going it was 3 hours later and when we drove by the accident scene there were yellow tarps all over the place and just a heep of debree where the RV was. You could make out some sort of trailer where the boat used to be. Didn't have my CB then only Nextel. It was ironic. I called my friend who was at home in Portland Oregon and he went on the internet to check the CHP page to find out what was up.

Anyway back to the subject. The CB around the bay area used to be really busy until at least for me the late 80's / early 90's. I blame it on Pagers,Cell Phones, Internet. But Hey, now's the perfect time to use the CB.......There's know one on it! 40+ channels. The FCC gave up on CB years ago. So it isn't enforced at all. Yes, I know. But hey I see a reverse going on. People are fed up with prices of all the cell phones,Internet so they are using cheap comms. Hence CB,FRS,MURS,HAM and so on. That's my thoughts but hey just this is Bayscan and I'll be wrong or 1 uped....LOL

Paul - KF6YYI
Old CB - KBVH5266

39210. Re: [bayscan] Re: Part 90/95 radios vs ham (was CB radio monitoring)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 19:26:04 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38977 Message: 39210

Some say the Pro-96 sounds better on APCO 25 than a "real" moto radio.

Mike Wright wrote:
> The real diehard scanner fans use Part 90 type radios (Motorola, BK, etc)
> for general scanning because the performance is very good.
>
> Mike

39211. RE: [bayscan] Re: CB radio monitoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 19:32:56 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 38977 Message: 39211

>blame it on Pagers,Cell Phones, Internet. But Hey, now's the perfect
>time to use the CB.......There's no one on it! 40+ channels.

by golly, we can have ch 16 as the Bayscan Call Channel. Perhaps designate the other channels like the marine band for us Bayscan members. We would be the only ones using it. Mike

39212. multi vehicle accident in Tibruon

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 20:51:36 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39212 Message: 39212

Some nasty multi vehicle accident in Tiburon on Main Street involving a vehicle that took out numerous vehicles and also went into a building.
If it was not such a nightmare driving into downtown Tiburon from here I would take a ride and shoot some pics.

One critical patient, REACH 1 is overhead now and they are going to have a number of patients sounds like.

Tiburon PD-39.889
Fire-46.500
CALCORD-156.075
Marin SO-39.240

1:51 PM

Robert
BayScan

39213. Re: [bayscan] Re: Part 90/95 radios vs ham (was CB radio monitoring)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 21:27:15 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 38977 Message: 39213

may i ask, what is meant by part 90 vs 95, and the concepts of
tolerance and acceptance?

nina

On Friday, Apr 29, 2005, at 10:10 US/Pacific, Mike Wright wrote:

>> Well, the common ham radio is 2m (144 mhz) and 440 (440 mhz). CB is
>> what,
>> 27 mhz? Quite a broad spectrum. Also, FRS requires different type of
>> FCC
>> approval from a ham radio. This would increase the price of the radio.
>
> FRS (462MHz) and CB (27MHz) radios are Part 95 Acceptance. These can be
> modified to operate on ham bands but not the other way around because
> ham
> radio equipment are not Type Accepted except factory made (i.e. Icom)
> which
> require Part 15 (unintended radiators).
>
> Public safety and industrial radios are Part 90 which requires
> tolerances
> tighter than ham gear and I've heard getting type acceptance on Part
> 90 is a
> lot of work (which adds expense). Probably the same for Part 95 but
> yet FRS
> and CBs are made by the millions so cost per radio is quite cheap.
> There are
> some businesses that use FRS instead of a real Part 90 radio (to them
> they
> all look the same, why pay $$$$ when you can get two for real cheap at
> walmart), but that's a different story.
>
> The real diehard scanner fans use Part 90 type radios (Motorola, BK,
> etc)
> for general scanning because the performance is very good.
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

39214. School lockdown

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-29 21:44:55 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39214 Message: 39214

Remember: when burritos are outlawed, only outlaws will have burritos.

http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/burrito_lockdown

School Mistakes Huge Burrito for a Weapon

A call about a possible weapon at a middle school prompted police to put armed officers on rooftops, close nearby streets and lock down the school. All over a giant burrito.

Someone called authorities Thursday after seeing a boy carrying something long and wrapped into Marshall Junior High.
The drama ended two hours later when the suspicious item was identified as a 30-inch burrito filled with steak, guacamole, lettuce, salsa and jalapenos and wrapped inside tin foil and a white T-shirt.

"I didn't know whether to laugh or cry," school Principal Diana Russell said.

State police, Clovis police and the Curry County Sheriff's Department arrived at the school shortly after 8:30 a.m. They searched the premises and determined there was no immediate danger.

In the meantime, more than 30 parents, alerted by a radio report, descended on the school. Visibly shaken, they gathered around in a semi-circle, straining their necks, awaiting news.

"There needs to be security before the kids walk through the door," said Heather Black, whose son attends the school.
After the lockdown was lifted but before the burrito was identified as the culprit, parents pulled 75 students out of school, Russell said.

Russell said the mystery was solved after she brought everyone in the school together in the auditorium to explain what was going on.

"The kid was sitting there as I'm describing this (report of a student with a suspicious package) and he's thinking, 'Oh, my gosh, they're talking about my burrito.'"

Afterward, eighth-grader Michael Morrissey approached her.

"He said, 'I think I'm the person they saw,'" Russell said.

The burrito was part of Morrissey's extra-credit assignment to create commercial advertising for a product.

"We had to make up a product and it could have been anything. I made up a restaurant that specialized in oddly large burritos," Morrissey said.

After students heard the description of what police were looking for, he and his friends began to make the connection. He then took the burrito to the office.

"The police saw it and everyone just started laughing. It was a laughter of relief," Morrissey said.

"Oh, and I have a new nickname now. It's Burrito Boy."

39215. Re: Scanner vs LMR

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 00:48:53 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 38977 Message: 39215

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> Some say the Pro-96 sounds better on APCO 25 than a "real" moto radio.

I can say that the audio of my PRO-96 is comparable to our XTS5000 portables for the MERA digital system
>
>
> Mike Wright wrote:
> > The real diehard scanner fans use Part 90 type radios (Motorola, BK, etc)
> > for general scanning because the performance is very good.
> >
> > Mike

The only problem with most LMR (Part 90) transceivers for monitoring is the limited scan lists. Our XTS5000 radios only allow for 16 talkgroups in the scan list. The XTS3000 only allows for 10 TGs in scan list. My Kenwood TK-350 portables will scan from 2 to all 32 channels. My 210 ch BK VHF H/T can scan only one 14 ch group at a time, or all 15 groups at once.

I can also say that the sensitivity of my PRO-96 is quite good. Much better than any other scanner I've owned.

39216. Re: [bayscan] Re: Part 90/95 radios vs ham (was CB radio monitoring)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 01:20:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 38977 Message: 39216

At 10:10 AM 4/29/2005, you wrote:

>The real diehard scanner fans use Part 90 type radios (Motorola, BK, etc)
>for general scanning because the performance is very good.

That's me. :)

Bendix King VHF 210 channel VHF (also used as a two-way), Yaesu FT-60 ham radio as ham radio and scanner, Motorola STX 800 mhz HT, and a GE MPS UHF T-Band.

Evan

39217. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 01:38:36 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39104 Message: 39217

The VHF portion of BAYMACS is NALEMARS, which I believe is channel 5
in CHP handhelds.

On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> SJPD "regular" traffic now going to Channel 8 = 460.525.
> CHP does NOT have BAYMACS now asking for CHP unit to command post.
> ALL agencies using BAYMACS now coordinated thru Los Gatos PD. PGPD handling
> all BAYMACS dispatch traffic.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

39218. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 02:51:19 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39104 Message: 39218

CHP did not have BAYMACS for the 10851 V.C. police vehicle in Los Gatos/Campbell earlier this week. That is why the CP asked for a CHP officer so they could relay information on their own radios. I know this as I heard it first hand while listening to the detail.
Brian in Campbell

On 4/29/05, Gordon Arnold <gordonkarnold@...> wrote:
>
> The VHF portion of BAYMACS is NALEMARS, which I believe is channel 5
> in CHP handhelds.
>
> On 4/26/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >
> > SJPD "regular" traffic now going to Channel 8 = 460.525.
> > CHP does NOT have BAYMACS now asking for CHP unit to command post.
> > ALL agencies using BAYMACS now coordinated thru Los Gatos PD. PGPD
> handling
> > all BAYMACS dispatch traffic.
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39219. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 03:01:27 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39104 Message: 39219

Brian C. wrote:

> CHP did not have BAYMACS for the 10851 V.C. police vehicle in Los
> Gatos/Campbell earlier this week. That is why the CP asked for a CHP officer
> so they could relay information on their own radios. I know this as I heard
> it first hand while listening to the detail.
> Brian in Campbell

CHP is not a BAYMACS participant.

Don

39220. Re: [bayscan] Re: mtn lion release

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 03:20:44 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39092 Message: 39220

As follow-on to what Jim wrote, the public's perception of a tranquilizer dart's mechanism of action has been skewed by popular media's depiction that an animal drops within seconds of being shot. As Jim noted in passing, it is a difficult shot. Even if the shot were perfect, the instant efficacy that is shown on TV and in the movies is not the case.

39221. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 03:24:18 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39104 Message: 39221

On 4/29/05, Don Root <k6cdo@...> wrote:
> CHP is not a BAYMACS participant.

Don,
What does that mean, to not be a BAYMACS participant? Is there a PL
required to access the system on the NALEMARS side?

39222. Re: [bayscan] Re: mtn lion release

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 03:30:37 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 39092 Message: 39222

Right... so have the guy with the AR-15 at the ready in case something goes wrong.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gordon Arnold" <gordonkarnold@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2005 8:20 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: mtn lion release

> As follow-on to what Jim wrote, the public's perception of a
> tranquilizer dart's mechanism of action has been skewed by popular
> media's depiction that an animal drops within seconds of being shot.
> As Jim noted in passing, it is a difficult shot. Even if the shot were
> perfect, the instant efficacy that is shown on TV and in the movies is
> not the case.
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39223. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 03:49:39 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 39104 Message: 39223

At 08:24 PM 4/29/2005, you wrote:

>On 4/29/05, Don Root <k6cdo@...> wrote:
> > CHP is not a BAYMACS participant.
>
>Don,
>What does that mean, to not be a BAYMACS participant? Is there a PL
>required to access the system on the NALEMARS side?

CHP has CLEMARS in their extenders, but I don't believe they have NLEMARS,
which strikes me as quite odd since they do VIP protection and are the
"state police" agency for California.

Either that, or the officers have no idea that NLEMARS is BAYMACS.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.0 - Release Date: 4/29/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39224. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 03:52:27 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39104 Message: 39224

Gordon Arnold wrote:

> On 4/29/05, Don Root <k6cdo@...> wrote:
>
>>CHP is not a BAYMACS participant.
>
>
> Don,
> What does that mean, to not be a BAYMACS participant?

I'm sorry, I do not discuss system access information.

Don

39225. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 03:58:02 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39104 Message: 39225

Sorry, I hope you know I wasn't asking for the how-to. Rather, I was speculating as to what kept NALEMARS in the CHP portables from accessing BAYMACS. Can you comment within those parameters?

39226. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 04:09:34 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 39104 Message: 39226

That was obvious... but not to the 9/11 self important yahoo's... everyone is a potential terrorist and don't you forget it! Besides "they" monitor bayscan for just such information. AND be sure to forget the fact that most illegal transmissions are from lost/stolen radios. Like the SF cop that left his radio in the trunk of his car and took it in for service. How long do we have to keep hearing from these people and when can we all come out of our bomb shelters???

----- Original Message -----
From: "Gordon Arnold" <gordonkarnold@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2005 8:58 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

> Sorry, I hope you know I wasn't asking for the how-to. Rather, I was
> speculating as to what kept NALEMARS in the CHP portables from
> accessing BAYMACS. Can you comment within those parameters?
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39227. Re: [bayscan] Re: Part 90/95 radios vs ham (was CB radio monitoring)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 06:16:54 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 38977 Message: 39227

Ham radios nearly always have crappy specs out of band.

Getting back to the other question, parts 95 (and I suppose 90 though I never looked) are FCC specs. Parts 15 is often quoted. It refers to unlicensed radios, or transmitters in general to be more correct.

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 10:10 AM 4/29/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>The real diehard scanner fans use Part 90 type radios (Motorola, BK, etc)
>>for general scanning because the performance is very good.
>
>
> That's me. :)
>
> Bendix King VHF 210 channel VHF (also used as a two-way), Yaesu FT-60 ham
> radio as ham radio and scanner, Motorola STX 800 mhz HT, and a GE MPS UHF
> T-Band.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39228. Now that BAIRscan is gone...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 15:26:43 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 39228 Message: 39228

...all of the super secret business frequencies need to be posted here...

Sony has opened a SonyStyle store in the Valley Fair Mall. They are using FRS radios, 467.8125 with tone of 67.0.

I hope no terrorists disrupt their operations... ;)

39229. How many BC-246T users out there?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 15:27:32 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 39229 Message: 39229

I think 'caled' has one, anyone else?

Do folks have any 'interesting' close call hits to share?

Thanks...

39230. RE: [bayscan] Now that BAIRscan is gone...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 15:31:24 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 39228 Message: 39230

>Sony has opened a SonyStyle store in the Valley Fair Mall. They are
>using FRS radios, 467.8125 with tone of 67.0.
>
>I hope no terrorists disrupt their operations... ;)

well, they are not supposed to use FRS (those freq are for families, not business). Perhaps someone should make a citizen's arrest. Why a business would use cheapy FRS instead of a real radio, but then many figure FRS, XTS... what's the difference so just get the cheapest set.

Mike

39231. Frequency list for business band monitors...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 15:38:10 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 39231 Message: 39231

http://www.motorola.com/governmentandenterprise/contentdir/en_US/Files/ProductInformation/cls_series_userguide.pdf

Check out page 39 for the 56 'exclusive' business band frequencies...

http://www.motorola.com/governmentandenterprise/contentdir/en_US/Files/ProductInformation/xtn_series_userguide.pdf

Check out page 25 for VHF frequencies...

39232. Hurt When Cars Crash into Hair Salon

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 17:04:55 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 39232 Message: 39232

Here is the story about that call I posted yesterday. There is also video there:

Hurt When Cars Crash into Hair Salon

Posted: April 29, 2005 at 4:19 p.m.

TIBURON (BCN) -- Tiburon police said the woman struck by a vehicle that crashed through a hair salon Friday afternoon is being treated for head and lower body injuries at John Muir Medical Center.

Capt. Dave Hutton said six people were injured when the throttle of a Cadillac reportedly got stuck and the car drove through the parking lot of the Tiburon Playhouse movie theater and struck a parked BMW, pushing it into Lancer's Hair Salon on Juanita Lane around 1:19 p.m. The Cadillac also came to rest inside the hair salon.

The 75-year-old driver of the Cadillac and his wife, two people inside the hair salon and another pedestrian in the parking lot were also injured.

The woman now at John Muir Medical Center was hit by the car, rolled onto its hood and was pinned between the Cadillac and the BMW when both vehicles crashed through the hair salon, Hutton said.

The driver and his wife were able to give statements to police, Hutton said.

http://www.kron.com/Global/story.asp?S=3280795

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39233. Re: Now that BAIRscan is gone...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 18:02:29 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 39228 Message: 39233

You are allowed to use FRS for business purposes.

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/family/

See last sentence in the first paragraph.

Ed
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Mike Wright" <mikeyht220@h...> wrote:
> >Sony has opened a SonyStyle store in the Valley Fair Mall. They are
> >using FRS radios, 467.8125 with tone of 67.0.
> >
> >I hope no terrorists disrupt their operations... ;)
>
> well, they are not supposed to use FRS (those freq are for families,
not
> business). Perhaps someone should make a citizen's arrest. Why a
business
> would use cheapy FRS instead of a real radio, but then many figure FRS,
> XTS... what's the difference so just get the cheapest set.
>
> Mike

39234. Mountain View - Vehicle Into Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 18:37:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39234 Message: 39234

Mountain View Fire responding to 2630 West El Camino for a vehicle into structure at the Longs Drugstore at 11:33 a.m.

ENGINE 3
TRUCK 1
RESCUE 1
BATTALION 18
PALO ALTO ENGINE 5

-C-

39235. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View - Vehicle Into Structure

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 18:40:02 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39234 Message: 39235

Negative injuries - Mountain View Engine 3 to handle.

-C-

On Apr 30, 2005, at 11:37 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Mountain View Fire responding to 2630 West El Camino for a vehicle into
> structure at the Longs Drugstore at 11:33 a.m.
>
> ENGINE 3
> TRUCK 1
> RESCUE 1
> BATTALION 18
> PALO ALTO ENGINE 5
>
> -C-

39236. Re: [bayscan] Re: Now that BAIRscan is gone...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 18:47:45 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39228 Message: 39236

```
Ed Tobiasz wrote:
> You are allowed to use FRS for business purposes.
>
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/family/
>
> See last sentence in the first paragraph.

I don't believe the FCC would consider Sony Corporation's retail
communications to qualify for the Personal Radio Services.

From the Industrial / Business Radio Pool page:
http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/ind&bus/index.html

"Licensees in the Industrial/Business Radio Pool use radio to
support business operations. Their communications systems are
used for support of day-to-day business activities, such as
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
dispatching and diverting personnel or work vehicles,
coordinating the activities of workers and machines on location,
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
or remotely monitoring and controlling equipment."
```

39237. Fw: MOUNTAIN LION SPOTTED IN SAN JOSE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 20:22:27 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 39237 Message: 39237

Another one they can now kill... Maybe homeland security should be notified...

> SAN JOSE (BCN)
> Police are cautioning the public to watch children and pets
> following a Mountain Lion sighting this morning in San Jose.
> The animal was spotted about 11 a.m. today in the north end of
> Alum Rock Park near Rock Canyon road and Penitencia creek.
> "This cat's bigger than the one that was shot and killed on
> Tuesday," said Sgt. Nick Muyo of the San Jose Police Department.
> An 80-pound mountain lion was killed early Tuesday morning in
> west San Jose after a homeowner called police to report that the large cat
> was in a tree in her backyard.
> The animal seen today is believed to be between 80 and 100
> pounds, Muyo said. The mountain lion was seen at least two residential
> backyards and the sighting was confirmed by a digital photograph, Muyo
> said.
> However, in a search of the neighborhood police were unable to find the
> animal.
>

39238. Re: Now that BAIRscan is gone...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-04-30 23:06:45 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 39228   Message: 39238

That being said, a frequency coordinator (ITA) filed a NPRM with the
FCC asking that FRS not be allowed to be used in a business
environment. The FCC replied that it was OK to use in a business
environment and denied the request.

I'll look up the notice for you if you want to read it.

I personally disagree with the notice, one more brick taken out of the
wall of responsible radio operation.

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Don Root <k6cdo@c...> wrote:
> Ed Tobiasz wrote:
> > You are allowed to use FRS for business purposes.
> >
> > http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/family/
> >
> > See last sentence in the first paragraph.
>
> I don't believe the FCC would consider Sony Corporation's retail
> communications to qualify for the Personal Radio Services.
>
> From the Industrial / Business Radio Pool page:
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/ind&bus/index.html
>
> "Licensees in the Industrial/Business Radio Pool use radio to
> support business operations. Their communications systems are
> used for support of day-to-day business activities, such as
> ^^
> dispatching and diverting personnel or work vehicles,
> coordinating the activities of workers and machines on location,
> ^^^
> or remotely monitoring and controlling equipment."

39239. Re: [bayscan] Re: Part 90/95 radios vs ham (was CB radio monitoring)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 01:06:04 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 38977 Message: 39239

Nina,

FCC rules are located in Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations. This FCC web page http://wireless.fcc.gov/rules.html has links to multiples parts (of CFR 47) including the aforementioned 15, 90, and 95.

Gordon

39240. New Feed Address

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 03:24:12 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 39240 Message: 39240

I have moved my feeds to a much cheaper server so I can have more listeners. Please note the new locations. If you link to my feeds from your webpages, please update the link.

Kern County Sheriff
http://216.66.69.100:3074/listen.pls

Kern County Scanning (Police, Sheriff, Fire, Highway Patrol)
http://216.66.69.100:3070/listen.pls

Within the next 30 (hopefully sooner) I will have a 3rd feed up for just Fire Departments in my county and surrounding counties.

Thanks for listening and enjoy ... If you have time, listen for a bit and let me know how it sounds.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://216.66.69.100:3074/listen.pls

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://216.66.69.100:3070/listen.pls

39241. Re: mtn lion release

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 06:08:54 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39092 Message: 39241

So imagine you're the IC and you have to make a choice between killing thr animal when it's up in a tree and no danger to anyone or you try to tranquilize it and then end up with cops running all over the neighborhood plugging away with their AR-15's trying to get the cat as it runs. Most cops don't practice for big game hunting on the range and no IC in their right mind would make that kind of decision.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bryan C. Carmody" <nbaynews2@m...> wrote:
> Right... so have the guy with the AR-15 at the ready in case something goes
> wrong.
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Gordon Arnold" <gordonkarnold@g...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, April 29, 2005 8:20 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: mtn lion release
>
>
> > As follow-on to what Jim wrote, the public's perception of a
> > tranquilizer dart's mechanism of action has been skewed by popular
> > media's depiction that an animal drops within seconds of being shot.
> > As Jim noted in passing, it is a difficult shot. Even if the shot were
> > perfect, the instant efficacy that is shown on TV and in the movies is
> > not the case.
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >

39242. Re: mtn lion release

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 06:25:57 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39092 Message: 39242

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Cooke" <jjcooke@y...> wrote:
> So imagine you're the IC and you have to make a choice between
> killing thr animal when it's up in a tree and no danger to anyone or
> you try to tranquilize it and then end up with cops running all over
> the neighborhood plugging away with their AR-15's trying to get the
> cat as it runs. Most cops don't practice for big game hunting on the
> range and no IC in their right mind would make that kind of decision.
>
> Regards, Jim
>

Very good, valid point. That doesn't make it any less sad that the poor thing was in the wrong place at the wrong time, but I think they made the right decision.
My 2 cents,

-Inigo

39243. Re: [bayscan] New Feed Address

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 06:27:06 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39240 Message: 39243

Mike, great audio on those links, both came up quickly and sound fine! Only thing is I can't figure out how to bookmark the links, will just paste in the urls or write them out and open from Internet Explorer. Thanks for the great feeds.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike Derryberry" <miked911@...>
To: "LiveScannerAudio" <LiveScannerAudio@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 30, 2005 8:24 PM
Subject: [bayscan] New Feed Address

>I have moved my feeds to a much cheaper server so I can have more
> listeners. Please note the new locations. If you link to my feeds from
> your webpages, please update the link.
>
> Kern County Sheriff

> h
t
tp:
//216.66.69.100:3074/listen.pls
>
> Kern County Scanning (Police, Sheriff, Fire, Highway Patrol)
> http://216.66.69.100:3070/listen.pls
>
> Within the next 30 (hopefully sooner) I will have a 3rd feed up for just
> Fire Departments in my county and surrounding counties.
>
> Thanks for listening and enjoy ... If you have time, listen for a bit
> and let me know how it sounds.
>
> Mike
>
> --
>
> miked911@...
> Mike Derryberry
>
> Dispatcher II
> Kern County Sheriff's Department
> Bakersfield, CA
>
> Listen to my department:
> http://216.66.69.100:3074/listen.pls
>
> Listen to Kern County Scanning:

> http://216.66.69.100:3070/listen.pls
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39244. San Jose Fire - Rescue Working

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 19:36:42 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39244 Message: 39244

Confirmed rescue working - exact location unknown (missed it) involving
a bus. MULTIPLE INJURIES.

JACKSON IC

Partial List of Equipment:

MED 30
ENGINE 31
RESCUE MEDIC 31
BATTALION 2
TRUCK COMPANY 2
AMR x 3
AMR SUPERVISOR

Working on San Jose Command 4 - 153.980

-C-

39245. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Rescue Working

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 19:38:24 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39244 Message: 39245

This incident is working at South Jackson Ave & Cinderella Lane.

On May 1, 2005, at 12:36 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Confirmed rescue working - exact location unknown (missed it) involving
> a bus. MULTIPLE INJURIES.
>
> JACKSON IC
>
> Partial List of Equipment:
>
> MED 30
> ENGINE 31
> RESCUE MEDIC 31
> BATTALION 2
> TRUCK COMPANY 2
> AMR x 3
> AMR SUPERVISOR
>
> Working on San Jose Command 4 - 153.980
>
> -C-

39246. San Jose Fire - Major Natural Gas Leak

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 19:42:13 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39246 Message: 39246

San Jose Fire is on scene at Willow Street and Meridian Avenue for natural gas leak that is blowing off manhole covers. There is also a smoke investigation in progress in the area.

CP is located at 915 Meridian Avenue. Evacuations in progress between Minnesota and Willow.

PARTIAL LIST OF UNITS:

COUNTY HAZMAT 2 (Mutual Aid)
HIT 29
TASK FORCE (?)

SMOKE INVESTIGATION UNITS:

TRUCK 29
BATTALION 29

San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

More to follow.

-C-

39247. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Major Natural Gas Leak

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 19:52:00 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39246 Message: 39247

IC is requesting a HAZMAT certified BC from the Santa Clara County
Overhead Team at 12:51 p.m.

On May 1, 2005, at 12:42 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> San Jose Fire is on scene at Willow Street and Meridian Avenue for
> natural gas leak that is blowing off manhole covers. There is also a
> smoke investigation in progress in the area.
>
> CP is located at 915 Meridian Avenue. Evacuations in progress between
> Minnesota and Willow.
>
> PARTIAL LIST OF UNITS:
>
> COUNTY HAZMAT 2 (Mutual Aid)
> HIT 29
> TASK FORCE (?)
>
> SMOKE INVESTIGATION UNITS:
>
> TRUCK 29
> BATTALION 29
>
> San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>
> More to follow.
>
> -C-

39248. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Major Natural Gas Leak

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 20:51:18 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39246 Message: 39248

Just returned from this incident. Per SJFD Deputy Chief four manhole covers were blown approximately two feet in the air after ignition of an unknown flammable vapor inside the sewer system. There were no injuries, but this incident continues on San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115. At this time, San Jose Fire has one member from the IDT Team en route as this promises to be a long investigation.

Partial list of units on scene.

COUNTY HAZMAT 2
COUNTY BATTALION 7
ENGINE 6
HOSE 6
HIT 29 A & B
BATTALION 29
BATTALION 1
BATTALION 10
TRUCK COMPANY 29
MED 30
AMR UNIT
AMR SUPERVISOR

-C-

On May 1, 2005, at 12:52 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> IC is requesting a HAZMAT certified BC from the Santa Clara County
> Overhead Team at 12:51 p.m.
>
>
> On May 1, 2005, at 12:42 PM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>> San Jose Fire is on scene at Willow Street and Meridian Avenue for
>> natural gas leak that is blowing off manhole covers. There is also a
>> smoke investigation in progress in the area.
>>
>> CP is located at 915 Meridian Avenue. Evacuations in progress between
>> Minnesota and Willow.
>>
>> PARTIAL LIST OF UNITS:
>>
>> COUNTY HAZMAT 2 (Mutual Aid)
>> HIT 29
>> TASK FORCE (?)
>>
>> SMOKE INVESTIGATION UNITS:

>>
>> TRUCK 29
>> BATTALION 29
>>
>> San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>>
>> More to follow.
>>
>> -C-

39249. RE: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Major Natural Gas Leak

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 21:02:03 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39246 Message: 39249

> Just returned from this incident. Per SJFD Deputy Chief four
> manhole covers were blown approximately two feet in the air
> after ignition of an unknown flammable vapor inside the sewer
> system.

This reminds me of something similar that happened to us in Foster City. We opened a valve that hadn't been exercised in years. The resulting pressure shot a nearby man hole cover up 15+ feet in the air. A sewage tsunami engulfed the street. Fortunately I wasn't in the area at the time; some other guys weren't so lucky. Can you say "stinky"...?

I think I may know what SJFD's "unknown flammable vapor" was... hah

- Brian

39250. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Major Natural Gas Leak

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 21:16:25 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39246 Message: 39250

That is flat out nasty! Water pollution plant supervisor is making contact with the IC on this call. That is one place I have never had a desire to see - the sewer system. Being a bit on the claustrophobic side, plus the smell, would freak me out.

YUCK!

-C-

On May 1, 2005, at 2:02 PM, Brian wrote:

> This reminds me of something similar that happened to us in Foster
> City. We
> opened a valve that hadn't been exercised in years. The resulting
> pressure
> shot a nearby man hole cover up 15+ feet in the air. A sewage tsunami
> engulfed the street. Fortunately I wasn't in the area at the time;
> some
> other guys weren't so lucky. Can you say "stinky"...?
>
> I think I may know what SJFD's "unknown flammable vapor" was... hah
>
> - Brian

39251. Re: [bayscan] New Feed Address

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 00:49:14 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry
Topic: 39240 Message: 39251

Jim Gammon wrote:

>Mike, great audio on those links, both came up quickly and sound fine! Only
>thing is I can't figure out how to bookmark the links, will just paste in
>the urls or write them out and open from Internet Explorer. Thanks for the
>great feeds.
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Mike Derryberry" <miked911@...>
>To: "LiveScannerAudio" <LiveScannerAudio@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Saturday, April 30, 2005 8:24 PM
>Subject: [bayscan] New Feed Address
>
>
>
>
>>I have moved my feeds to a much cheaper server so I can have more
>>listeners. Please note the new locations. If you link to my feeds from
>>your webpages, please update the link.
>>

To bookmark them, just delete the listen.pls and they will then
bookmark. The suffix just opens the player, without it you get directed
to the directory page and can select listen.

Thanks for the input.

Mike

--

miked911@...
Mike Derryberry

Dispatcher II
Kern County Sheriff's Department
Bakersfield, CA

Listen to my department:
http://216.66.69.100:3074/listen.pls

Listen to Kern County Scanning:
http://216.66.69.100:3070/listen.pls

39252. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 05:02:27 UTC
From: John Gleichweit
Topic: 39104 Message: 39252

That's a rather arrogant statement from someone who probably has no clue about the internal workings of the state's communications systems. If you don't know, which you should, having been on this list as long as you have, Don is one of the top-level comm people for OES, and has probably forgotten more about the state's comm equipment and policies than you'll ever know. If he says he ain't gonna tell, then you don't need to know.

On Fri, 29 Apr 2005 21:09:34 -0700, "Bryan C. Carmody" <nbaynews2@...> wrote:

>That was obvious... but not to the 9/11 self important yahoo's... everyone
>is a potential terrorist and don't you forget it! Besides "they" monitor
>bayscan for just such information. AND be sure to forget the fact that most
>illegal transmissions are from lost/stolen radios. Like the SF cop that left
>his radio in the trunk of his car and took it in for service. How long do we
>have to keep hearing from these people and when can we all come out of our
>bomb shelters???

--
John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT, CCNA, MCSE
IPN-CAL023 N6FOG UP Fresno Sub MP183.5 ECV1852
List Owner x6, Moderator x4.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.0 - Release Date: 4/29/2005

39253. Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 08:28:17 UTC
From: Nick in Fresno
Topic: 39253 Message: 39253

THIS IS WHY NOT JUST ANYBODY SHOULD BE ABLE TO HAVE CODE THREE PRIVILEGES. I HAVE TO ADMIT, I JUST LOVE TO STIR THIS SUBJECT UP WITH THOSE OF YOU WHO THINK YOU ARE ENTITLED TO RUN CODE 3 FOR THE WATER COMPANY, MEDIA, MORTUARIES, SEARCH & RESCUE OR WHATEVER ELSE IT IS THAT YOU DO. THIS EMAIL AND PICTURES IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF WHAT CAN GO WRONG WHEN TRAINED PROFESSIONALS ARE DRIVING CODE 3 AND MAKE A MISTAKE. COULD YOU IMAGINE HOW MANY MORE OF THESE WE WOULD HAVE IF WE ALLOWED ALL OF YOU WHO FEEL YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO DRIVE CODE THREE BECAUSE YOU KNOW THE SHERIFF OR CHIEF OF POLICE IN YOUR NECK OF THE WOODS.

NICK IN FRESNO - 1:20 HOURS

April, 2005 (Fresno Police Department - Fresno, CA)

The next time you have a code 3 run, think about this. Two different Fresno PD Central units were responding to a Code 3 assist for another officer who had a subject leg bail on them. The first officer was, running code 3, going towards an intersection, against a red light. The second officer was running code 3, going towards the same intersection, with a green light. The first officer ran the red light @ 60 mph and t-boned the second officer going through the same intersection @ 80 mph.

Both officers had been enroute to help the original officer calling for code 3 fill. This accident happened at 3:00am, so there was not a lot of other traffic on the road at the time. Both officers survived, but the officer who was t-boned had to be extracted by the fire department and have metal rods put into his legs. I have not heard whether or not he is going to return to duty or not.

I guess this is a good example of why it is a good idea to clear intersection on code 3 runs. I have uploaded pictures of the second vehicle that was t-boned to the this forum, under "Photos." Please pass this on to other law enforcement friends & family as it is a good reminder/refresher about our responsibilities, when running code 3.

Nick in Fresno

39254. Re: [bayscan] Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 13:05:40 UTC
From: John Gleichweit
Topic: 39253 Message: 39254

On Mon, 2 May 2005 01:28:17 -0700, "Nick in Fresno"
<cop4christ@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>The first officer ran the red light @ 60 mph

This is the whole essence of the story. If the cop was going 60
through a red light code 3, then he was going 60 MPH faster then he
should have been. The procedure for ALL Fire and EMS agencies in
Fresno County is to STOP at the red light and proceed when clear. This
was not a code 3 issue, this is a stupid cop issue.

--
John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT, CCNA, MCSE
IPN-CAL023 N6FOG UP Fresno Sub MP183.5 ECV1852
List Owner x6, Moderator x4.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.0 - Release Date: 4/29/2005

39255. Re: [bayscan] Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 13:34:26 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39253 Message: 39255

the same thing happened here in SF a few years ago when two Patrol cars ran into each other at an Intersection (both going code three and one officer died, his name is Officer John Cook) The sad part was I heard the whole thing and had to do my job and get a Crew on site to get tape for the station (sometimes my job sucks) but hell I work for the Media.
----- Original Message -----
From: John Gleichweit
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 02, 2005 06:05
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

On Mon, 2 May 2005 01:28:17 -0700, "Nick in Fresno"
<cop4christ@...> wrote:

>The first officer ran the red light @ 60 mph

This is the whole essence of the story. If the cop was going 60
through a red light code 3, then he was going 60 MPH faster then he
should have been. The procedure for ALL Fire and EMS agencies in
Fresno County is to STOP at the red light and proceed when clear. This
was not a code 3 issue, this is a stupid cop issue.

--
John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT, CCNA, MCSE
IPN-CAL023 N6FOG UP Fresno Sub MP183.5 ECV1852
List Owner x6, Moderator x4.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.0 - Release Date: 4/29/2005

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39256. Re: [bayscan] CHP without BAYMACS/ SJPD update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 14:12:15 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39104 Message: 39256

You may want to drink the kool aid, but I want to know everything.

John Gleichweit wrote:
> That's a rather arrogant statement from someone who probably has no
> clue about the internal workings of the state's communications
> systems. If you don't know, which you should, having been on this list
> as long as you have, Don is one of the top-level comm people for OES,
> and has probably forgotten more about the state's comm equipment and
> policies than you'll ever know. If he says he ain't gonna tell, then
> you don't need to know.

39257. what burned in San Francisco Overnight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 15:05:06 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 39257 Message: 39257

On Ch.2, news ticker reports a fire in the Haight, no injuries. Does anybody know what burned and how many alarms?

Thanks,

39258. Re: [bayscan] Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 15:15:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39253 Message: 39258

At 06:05 AM 5/2/2005, you wrote:

>This is the whole essence of the story. If the cop was going 60
>through a red light code 3, then he was going 60 MPH faster then he
>should have been. The procedure for ALL Fire and EMS agencies in
>Fresno County is to STOP at the red light and proceed when clear. This
>was not a code 3 issue, this is a stupid cop issue.

Very correct. Almost every agency I know of their policy is stop and
proceed when safe. As a matter of fact, I can't think of any agency that
doesn't have that policy.

Evan

39259. Re: [bayscan] what burned in San Francisco Overnight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 15:16:59 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39257 Message: 39259

no big deal, some wires burned in walls of 973 haight sts
----- Original Message -----
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 02, 2005 08:05
Subject: [bayscan] what burned in San Francisco Overnight

On Ch.2, news ticker reports a fire in the Haight, no injuries. Does
anybody know what burned and how many alarms?

Thanks,

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39260. Re: [bayscan] what burned in San Francisco Overnight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 16:06:00 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39257 Message: 39260

bcn advises that:

15 people, including three children, were displaced this morning in
a two-alarm
fire at 973 Haight St.
The fire at the three-story residential building required 65
firefighters to control the fire by 1:01 a.m., according to the fire
department. The fire was reported around 12:08 a.m.
The blaze, which caused about $200,000 worth of damage to the
building and its contents, also damaged two nearby structures, the fire
department reported. The structure at 967 Haight St. suffered $10,000
worth
of damage from exposure to the flames and the blaze also caused about
$400,000 worth of damage to the structure at 981 Haight St.
The fire is under investigation at this time. The Red Cross is
providing shelter to some of the victims of the fire.

On Monday, May 2, 2005, at 08:05 US/Pacific, sffdmedicwanttobe wrote:

> On Ch.2, news ticker reports a fire in the Haight, no injuries. Does
> anybody know what burned and how many alarms?
>
> Thanks,
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39261. Re: [bayscan] what burned in San Francisco Overnight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 16:13:34 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39257 Message: 39261

At 09:06 AM 5/2/2005, you wrote:
>bcn advises that:
>
> 15 people, including three children, were displaced this morning in
>a two-alarm
>fire at 973 Haight St.
> The fire at the three-story residential building required 65
>firefighters to control the fire by 1:01 a.m., according to the fire
>department. The fire was reported around 12:08 a.m.
> The blaze, which caused about $200,000 worth of damage to the
>building and its contents, also damaged two nearby structures, the fire
>department reported. The structure at 967 Haight St. suffered $10,000
>worth
>of damage from exposure to the flames and the blaze also caused about
>$400,000 worth of damage to the structure at 981 Haight St.
> The fire is under investigation at this time. The Red Cross is
>providing shelter to some of the victims of the fire.

Wow.. certainly "no big deal"...

39262. Re: [bayscan] what burned in San Francisco Overnight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 16:25:16 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39257 Message: 39262

i took some mediocre photos of the haight street fire:

photoblog.petegeniella.com

On 5/2/05, Evan Platt <evan@...> wrote:
> At 09:06 AM 5/2/2005, you wrote:
> >bcn advises that:
> >
> > 15 people, including three children, were displaced this morning in
> >a two-alarm
> >fire at 973 Haight St.
> > The fire at the three-story residential building required 65
> >firefighters to control the fire by 1:01 a.m., according to the fire
> >department. The fire was reported around 12:08 a.m.
> > The blaze, which caused about $200,000 worth of damage to the
> >building and its contents, also damaged two nearby structures, the fire
> >department reported. The structure at 967 Haight St. suffered $10,000
> >worth
> >of damage from exposure to the flames and the blaze also caused about
> >$400,000 worth of damage to the structure at 981 Haight St.
> > The fire is under investigation at this time. The Red Cross is
> >providing shelter to some of the victims of the fire.
>

39263. re: Mtn lion release

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-01 19:38:42 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 39263 Message: 39263

Jim's active imagination unforunately doesn't
display too much respect for law enforcement officers
training & discretion if he believes that they'll be
running all over the place "plugging away" with their
ARs.

Tim

From: "Jim Cooke" <jjcooke@...>
Subject: Re: mtn lion release

So imagine you're the IC and you have to make a choice
between
killing thr animal when it's up in a tree and no
danger to anyone or
you try to tranquilize it and then end up with cops
running all over
the neighborhood plugging away with their AR-15's
trying to get the
cat as it runs. Most cops don't practice for big game
hunting on the
range and no IC in their right mind would make that
kind of decision.

Regards, Jim

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

39264. Re: Mtn lion release

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 21:07:34 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39263 Message: 39264

OK, Tim, having been in law enforcement for 26 years, you tell me what would happen if the cat got out of the tree and started running toward houses or people. You must have tons of experience I don't have so tell me what you would have done.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Tim <sfbayhooligan@y...> wrote:
> Jim's active imagination unforunately doesn't
> display too much respect for law enforcement officers
> training & discretion if he believes that they'll be
> running all over the place "plugging away" with their
> ARs.
>
>
> Tim
>
>
> From: "Jim Cooke" <jjcooke@y...>
> Subject: Re: mtn lion release
>
> So imagine you're the IC and you have to make a choice
> between
> killing thr animal when it's up in a tree and no
> danger to anyone or
> you try to tranquilize it and then end up with cops
> running all over
> the neighborhood plugging away with their AR-15's
> trying to get the
> cat as it runs. Most cops don't practice for big game
> hunting on the
> range and no IC in their right mind would make that
> kind of decision.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
> http://mail.yahoo.com

39265. Re: what burned in San Francisco Overnight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-02 22:49:54 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 39257 Message: 39265

Thanks for the info folks.

Milt

39266. veg fire cotati 154.310 2218

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-03 05:18:51 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39266 Message: 39266

stony point road
flames seen...

39267. PRO-2051 UPDATE ANNOUNCEMENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-03 08:18:37 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 39267 Message: 39267

In case anyone you know purchased one of these and wanted the software for it like I do, this is the latest from the developer of the software:

Personally I like many things about it but dont like the fact that there is no alpha tagging but the sensitivity is great and it has a nice audio output, also I like the display lighting.. For only $150.00 a pretty decent radio.

PRO-2051 UPDATE ANNOUNCEMENT

We have been working very hard to meet our April Deadline for completion of the software for the PRO-2051. However when we originally received the Computer Instructions (Protocol), we discovered that the entire protocol was not sent to us. We immediately wrote Radio Shack and requested the remainder of the doc, but it didn't arrive until the 15th.

Consequentially we are still working on it. We are also experiencing some problems where we are unable to send certain data to the radio, as it will not accept some of the commands. We are attempting to resolve these issues, but must have further assistance from the manufacturer in order to solve them.

Unfortunately this has delayed our projected April 30th release date. It depends on how quickly we can get the rest of the information from the manufacturer as to when we can complete our software support.

To further complicate our projected time frame we are going to Dayton Hamvention and taking an extended trip in order to arrive at Dayton the days of May 19th-21st, so we may not be able to finish our software until after we get back to the office.

We have a number of PRE-Orders in the file, and these have not been billed. If you do not wish to continue your wait, that is understandable. If you cannot wait please email us. Otherwise, we will continue to keep your orders in "queue" until such time as the software is completed and ready to ship.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39268. Low Jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-03 09:47:59 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39268 Message: 39268

ROFL this is ammusing.. Marin SO searching for 10851 using low jack.. they are getting hits near spencer with the arrow pointing towards teh bay? stloen car in the ocean lol.. units are very confused .. driving around all over the place looking for the car. I did not get the make/model of the vehicle..

tag 45616

39269. Re: veg fire cotati 154.310 2218

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-03 18:39:21 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39266 Message: 39269

>
>
> Date: Mon, 2 May 2005 22:18:51 -0700
> From: nina zhito <photozhito@...>
>
>
>stony point road
>flames seen...
>

Shortly after this was dispatched I heard or thought I heard a report on one of my scanners (agency unknown) of someone having seen flares. I HOPE that was a boater somewhere and not a fire-starter.

Cortland
KA5S

39270. Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-03 20:27:13 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 39253 Message: 39270

>The procedure for ALL Fire and EMS agencies in
> >Fresno County is to STOP at the red light and proceed when clear.

The key part of the sentence is *Fire and EMS*...
Most cops seem to consider themselves exempt from a lot of things,
anyways. I know a bit about this - I'm a fire/medic, and I see it
happen.

It seems as though fire and EMS agencies have MORE experience running
code 3 responses than law enforcement does (and have less code 3
collisions, too). Code 3 responses are quite different than code 3
pursuits.

39271. Re: Hurt When Cars Crash into Hair Salon

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-03 20:32:09 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 39232 Message: 39271

>...the throttle of a Cadillac reportedly got stuck...

> The 75-year-old driver of the Cadillac and his wife...

Put those two together and the investigating officer can probably
easily figure out what happened...

39272. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-03 21:13:06 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39253 Message: 39272

This is true. Every response I run on (Med8/Med7) the quick response vehicle it is Code3. Average of 5-8 calls a day. Thats a min. of 20 times a week. Most cops roll code3 maybe once a shift unless you work in SF or Oakland. However take into account that the police cars go much faster and accelerate much quicker then fire/ems vehicles. It is much easier to loose control of a cop car then it is a firetruck. Trust me.. I rode along last night in a city off the Delta (not gonna say where) and the cop i was with fish tailed his car on the way to a 211. I thought for sure we were gonna crash.. I think he was trying to show off.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Erik" <esvanr@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2005 1:27 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

> >The procedure for ALL Fire and EMS agencies in
>> >Fresno County is to STOP at the red light and proceed when clear.
>
> The key part of the sentence is *Fire and EMS*...
> Most cops seem to consider themselves exempt from a lot of things,
> anyways. I know a bit about this - I'm a fire/medic, and I see it
> happen.
>
> It seems as though fire and EMS agencies have MORE experience running
> code 3 responses than law enforcement does (and have less code 3
> collisions, too). Code 3 responses are quite different than code 3
> pursuits.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39273. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-03 22:33:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39253 Message: 39273

I have to agree. In the SFPD crash in the Mission District awhile back in which an SFPD Officer was killed , they BLEW a red light . I don't care if they were going code 3 , they were going too fast and didn't slow at the intersection Bad Judgment call.

You probably hear from time to time , Fire units " announcing" they are approaching certain intersections.....Intersections where they know other apparatus may be also approaching from on the other streeet.....safety, safety , safety.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39274. (no subject)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 00:14:11 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 39274 Message: 39274

Greetings
This link "may" be of interest to everyone who owns a RS scanner, no matter what model it is. http://support.radioshack.com/productinfo/ProductResults.asp?Name=Radio_Scanners&ID=004001001

I have found it to be a handy companion stored in my "favorite" folder. It has the entire line up of scanner4s from the PRO-20 to current, including manuals, schematics / blow ups of parts, part lists, features, etc.
Hope someone may find it useful. Til later; I'm out...

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39275. Subject matter/line

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 00:20:54 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 39275 Message: 39275

It just tees me off to no end when people forget to put the subject in the subject line.
Now I'm guilty! My humble apologies to all those offended!
I'm out..

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39276. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 01:01:33 UTC
From: Richard Tidd
Topic: 39253 Message: 39276

Per PD driver traing:
Code 1 means at your convince
Code 2 means proceed directly there, without delay
Code 3 means expedite your arrival time

For the PD/FD etc, going Code 2 or Code 3 does not
mean they can blow through intersections or do other
dangerous things.

The PD/FD etc is really asking for permission of the
motorists on the road to go through an intersection or
through traffic fast but with extreme caution. We are
to pull over to the right if safe to do so.

They are to slow down & see if it is clear to proceed
through an intersection. If not, they are to stop &
wait till it is safe to proceed. Hopefully, motorists
see the lights & hear the siren to allow then through
the intersection etc.

Sirens are to be OFF when stopped & waiting to proceed
in traffic. This is so motorists do not try to get out
of the way while stopped at an intersection etc
causing more trouble & to not be annoying etc.

Richard Tidd

--- caladded@... wrote:
> I have to agree. In the SFPD crash in the Mission
> District awhile back in
> which an SFPD Officer was killed , they BLEW a red
> light . I don't care if they
> were going code 3 , they were going too fast and
> didn't slow at the
> intersection Bad Judgment call.
>
> You probably hear from time to time , Fire
> units " announcing" they are
> approaching certain
> intersections........Intersections where they know
> other
> apparatus may be also approaching from on the other
> streeet.....safety, safety ,
> safety.
>
> ED

>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been
> removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
>
>
>
>

Thank You from, Richard Tidd
richtidd@... = Primary E-mail
SMS = richtidd@...
or SMS from www.vtext.com

39277. Re: [bayscan] Subject matter/line

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 01:11:49 UTC
From: Smokey Behr
Topic: 39275 Message: 39277

Fifty lashes with a wet noodle for you!

--
John "Smokey Behr" Gleichweit FF1/EMT, CCNA, MCSE
IPN-CAL023 N6FOG UP Fresno Sub MP183.5
List Owner x6, Moderator x4.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Steve" <thecxbum@...>
To: "Steve Streit" <thecxbum@...>
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2005 5:20 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Subject matter/line

> It just tees me off to no end when people forget to put the subject in the
subject line. Now I'm guilty! My humble apologies to all those offended!
> I'm out..
>
>
>
>
> Steve
> (TheCxBum)
> Newark, CA
> San Francisco Bay Area

39278. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 01:57:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39253 Message: 39278

what " training " manual are you refering to ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39279. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 02:53:25 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39253 Message: 39279

The fire trucks can change the traffic lights, so they do have a slight advantage. There is a GE optical system that most cities use, though in areas of high pollution they have RF systems. [The optical sensors get dirty.] I think Sunnyvale is one of those systems where the fire trucks have a transmitter that can change the traffic light.

39280. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 03:07:12 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39253 Message: 39280

yes it is.. i wish they would give the MED QRV's opticom... Opticom is the infered device mounted on the top of the units that changes the lights green.

----- Original Message -----
From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2005 7:53 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

> The fire trucks can change the traffic lights, so they do have a slight
> advantage. There is a GE optical system that most cities use, though in
> areas of high pollution they have RF systems. [The optical sensors get
> dirty.] I think Sunnyvale is one of those systems where the fire trucks
> have a transmitter that can change the traffic light.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39281. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 03:13:27 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 39253 Message: 39281

Richard Tidd wrote:

>Per PD driver traing:
>Code 1 means at your convince
>Code 2 means proceed directly there, without delay
>Code 3 means expedite your arrival time
>
>
To which "PD" are you referring? Code 3 generally means, "Emergency. Respond with red lights and siren."

>For the PD/FD etc, going Code 2 or Code 3 does not
>mean they can blow through intersections or do other
>dangerous things.
>
>
I sorta agree. 21807 VC states, "The provisions of Section 21806 shall not operate to relieve the driver of an authorized emergency *vehicle* from the duty to drive with due regard for the safety of all persons and property."

>The PD/FD etc is really asking for permission of the
>motorists on the road to go through an intersection or
>through traffic fast but with extreme caution. We are
>to pull over to the right if safe to do so.
>
>
I disagree. Vehicle Code section 21806 is not a suggestion or a request for permission. It is the obligation of every driver and pedestrian to yield the right of way to an emergency vehicle responding with red lights and siren. Many people have had their license taken and a DMV Mandantory Retest form handed to them.

I almost did this the other day in Cupertino responding to a Code-3 fill request. The driver wanted to pull to the LEFT (read only a concrete-curbed median there).

>They are to slow down & see if it is clear to proceed
>through an intersection. If not, they are to stop &
>wait till it is safe to proceed. Hopefully, motorists
>see the lights & hear the siren to allow then through
>the intersection etc.
>
>Sirens are to be OFF when stopped & waiting to proceed
>in traffic. This is so motorists do not try to get out
>of the way while stopped at an intersection etc

>causing more trouble & to not be annoying etc.
>
>
What agency requires this? This sounds more like what I see AMR Units doing when they come upon a crowded intersection and no way to get through.

I hope I'm not coming across harshly. I'm not disagreeing just to disagree. I just see things a little differently I guess.

Mike

39282. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 03:26:50 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39253 Message: 39282

Thanks for remembering the name. I couldn't think of the right search
words to get a good hit out of google. Perhaps 3M makes them now:
http://www.3m.com/us/safety/tcm/solutions/pia_opticomPCS-NY.jhtml

Bayard Culley wrote:
> yes it is.. i wish they would give the MED QRV's opticom... Opticom is the
> infered device mounted on the top of the units that changes the lights
> green.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2005 7:53 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics
>
>
>
>>The fire trucks can change the traffic lights, so they do have a slight
>>advantage. There is a GE optical system that most cities use, though in
>>areas of high pollution they have RF systems. [The optical sensors get
>>dirty.] I think Sunnyvale is one of those systems where the fire trucks
>>have a transmitter that can change the traffic light.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

39283. OFF TOPIC / HIGH RISE FIRE - LAFD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 04:36:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39283 Message: 39283

Fire on 18th floor of high rise condo or apts , 2170 Century Park East
.....lots of evacuations , setting up Helicopters for possible roof rescue.

sorry, don't know of any on-line LAFD feeds , wish I did

2136 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39284. Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 04:49:06 UTC
From: ofc53
Topic: 39253 Message: 39284

> The key part of the sentence is *Fire and EMS*...
> Most cops seem to consider themselves exempt from a lot of things,
> anyways. I know a bit about this - I'm a fire/medic, and I see it
> happen.

Now hold on...let's not generalize everything. Every profession has young "hot shots" who are new to the profession and may taint the profession's image. I'll be the first to say law enforcement has its share of "village idiots." However, let's not let a few bad apples speak for everyone. I'm sure fire/medics have their share too (like the AMR driver who pulled in front of my friend from Palo Alto PD on his way to work on a motorcycle. Turned into an 11-80 and kept him in the hospital for a very very long time). Yet, I don't feel comfortable making the assumption that "most fire/ems...etc. etc."

> It seems as though fire and EMS agencies have MORE experience running
> code 3 responses than law enforcement does (and have less code 3
> collisions, too). Code 3 responses are quite different than code 3
> pursuits.

I think this is an apples vs. oranges issue. The driving dynamics and characteristics of fire and medic rigs is way different than that of a police car. Fire has MORE experience driving fire rigs, EMS has MORE experience driving medic rigs AND cops have MORE experience driving police cars. If you want a comparison you could say cops spend the majority driving during their 10 hour shift. Unless they're in a busy city, fire and ems spends most of the shift in quarters right? The common thread is all three areas are required to drive within their abilities and their training and to drive responsibly. I'm not familiar with fire/ems requirements but in our agency we get 10 hours of intensive emergency/code 3/pursuit driving every 6 months.

I'm not trying to stir the pot or argue, I just want to keep things fair and balanced....

My 2 cents worth,

Chris

39285. Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 05:56:55 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 39253 Message: 39285

And their frequency is 465.6125. I keep this in my scanner (with ATT on) and get a heads up when Code 3 traffic is close - you will hear little digital bursts about every second...

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>... I think Sunnyvale is one of those systems where the fire trucks
> have a transmitter that can change the traffic light.

39286. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 06:15:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39253 Message: 39286

Chris, as usual, as very good points. I remember a "lead-footed" driver of a SFFD rig many years ago who got into more than his share of crashes. Although this wasn't young hotshot driver that Chris mentions, he still was a problem considering the number of crashes he got into. I personally was at THREE of these, while they weren't real bad , 2 of them sent people to hospitals. As mentioned it is an apple/oranges discussion which isn't fair to anyone. The other thing is speed, as I recall, the Max speed in SF was 35 mph for fire rigs, not sure if that is still the case. Now SFPD certainly can't hold down their speed in many situations and certainly not pursuits. The higher the speed, the more likely a crash will occur, especially as the pursuit progresses.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39287. Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 16:24:30 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39253 Message: 39287

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> what " training " manual are you refering to ?

Who mentioned a training manual? Please Ed, quote a bit of what /who you are replying to. On a thread this long, it would really help to know.

Thanks.

Evan

39288. Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 16:27:01 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39253 Message: 39288

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
> And their frequency is 465.6125. I keep this in my scanner (with ATT
> on) and get a heads up when Code 3 traffic is close - you will hear
> little digital bursts about every second...

Ok, I'm curious now.. How does this system work? I can't imagine the antenna for the rig is directional? And I've got to imagine, it's about 1/10th a watt?

I'm just curious how it knows which way to turn green, and when? I mean as we're always talking about the potential 'terrorists' <grin> - imagine cranking out 50 watts on that frequency - would every light everywhere go green or red?

39289. Re: Low Jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 16:48:03 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39268 Message: 39289

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> ROFL this is ammusing.. Marin SO searching for 10851 using low jack
..
> they are getting hits near spencer with the arrow pointing towards
teh
> bay? stloen car in the ocean lol.. units are very confused ..
driving
> around all over the place looking for the car. I did not get the
> make/model of the vehicle..

My guess is intermod or something. I somehow doubt a car submerged in the bay would transmit - once that car went underwater, I'm pretty sure Lo-Jack would cease to work. :)

BTW, I think Lo-Jack is in our building where I work in Pleasanton, I'll have to do some more research. I see white vans with the antenna setup there all the time.

Evan

39290. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 17:07:28 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39253 Message: 39290

Evan wrote:

> Ok, I'm curious now.. How does this system work? I can't imagine the
> antenna for the rig is directional? And I've got to imagine, it's
> about 1/10th a watt?
>
> I'm just curious how it knows which way to turn green, and when? I
> mean as we're always talking about the potential 'terrorists' <grin> -
> imagine cranking out 50 watts on that frequency - would every light
> everywhere go green or red?

In Santa Clara County, there are four allocations on 465.6125 MHz:

KD48547 County of Santa Clara 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D
WNVC742 Sunnyvale, City of 60 MO 2W 16K0F2D
WNVC743 County of Santa Clara 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D
WNVC744 Santa Clara, Cit of 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D

So each allocation is for 50 or 60 mobile units of 2 watts maximum power, FM modulated data emissions. My (purely speculative) guess would be these would use some simple form of FSK data encoding.

A 50 watt carrier on that frequency would probably *disable* the functionality by desensing the receivers at the intersections. Not harmful from a traffic control perspective but very bad for code 3 responders (and those that are depending on their timely arrival on scene.)

Nice idea, though, for putting that frequency in a scan bank, to get warning of code 3 traffic nearby. Of course, I think that's what sirens are for. :-)

-Johnathan

39291. Re: [bayscan] Re: Low Jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 17:27:11 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39268 Message: 39291

Evan wrote:

>>bay? stloen car in the ocean lol.. units are very confused ..

> My guess is intermod or something. I somehow doubt a car submerged in
> the bay would transmit - once that car went underwater, I'm pretty
> sure Lo-Jack would cease to work. :)

The VHF frequency that Lo-Jack transmits on (173.015 MHz) is very subject to reflections from mountains, buildings, overpasses, even billboards.

At the direction finding receiver, the combination of a direct line-of-site signal and a strong reflection can result in faulty bearings that appear completely unrelated to either. Practice is essential to figure this out when it is happening.

By the way, that's another interesting frequency to put in a scan bank. Once a Lo-Jack transmitter is activated it sends a digital data burst every second. (Non-activated units only do this every 60 seconds, if I recall correctly.)

I've actually heard this twice now.

-Johnathan

39292. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 16:41:38 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39253 Message: 39292

shhhhhhh. don't give 'em any ideas.

On Wednesday, May 4, 2005, at 09:27 US/Pacific, Evan wrote:

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "jwpottberg" <jwpottberg@c...> wrote:
>> And their frequency is 465.6125. I keep this in my scanner (with ATT
>> on) and get a heads up when Code 3 traffic is close - you will hear
>> little digital bursts about every second...
>
> Ok, I'm curious now.. How does this system work? I can't imagine the
> antenna for the rig is directional? And I've got to imagine, it's
> about 1/10th a watt?
>
> I'm just curious how it knows which way to turn green, and when? I
> mean as we're always talking about the potential 'terrorists' <grin> -
> imagine cranking out 50 watts on that frequency - would every light
> everywhere go green or red?
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39293. Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 17:48:32 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39253 Message: 39293

ED wrote

>I have to agree. In the SFPD crash in the Mission District awhile back in
>which an SFPD Officer was killed , they BLEW a red light . I don't care if they
>were going code 3 , they were going too fast and didn't slow at the
>intersection Bad Judgment call.
>
> You probably hear from time to time , Fire units " announcing" they are
>approaching certain intersections.....Intersections where they know other
>apparatus may be also approaching from on the other
>streeet.....safety, safety , safety.
>
More than ten years ago I heard an ambulance radio the Burleson (TX) FD
that they were running code through that town with a heart attack
victim. Shortly thereafter there was a Fire dispatch when the ambulance
T-boned a slowly moving Cadillac at a red light (guy was on his way home
from a private club). The patient was OK -- with acid reflux, not a
heart attack at all -- but as I recall, the guy in the Cadillac wasn't.

Cortland
KA5S

39294. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 18:09:19 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39253 Message: 39294

god please kill me... enough with this &*&@#^ thread..... what does
this have to do with scanners? :-D

Cortland Richmond wrote:

>ED wrote
>
>
>
>>I have to agree. In the SFPD crash in the Mission District awhile back in
>>which an SFPD Officer was killed , they BLEW a red light . I don't care if they
>>were going code 3 , they were going too fast and didn't slow at the
>>intersection Bad Judgment call.
>>
>> You probably hear from time to time , Fire units " announcing" they are
>>approaching certain intersections.....Intersections where they know other
>>apparatus may be also approaching from on the other
>>streeet.....safety, safety , safety.
>>
>>
>>
>More than ten years ago I heard an ambulance radio the Burleson (TX) FD
>that they were running code through that town with a heart attack
>victim. Shortly thereafter there was a Fire dispatch when the ambulance
>T-boned a slowly moving Cadillac at a red light (guy was on his way home
>from a private club). The patient was OK -- with acid reflux, not a
>heart attack at all -- but as I recall, the guy in the Cadillac wasn't.
>
>Cortland
>KA5S
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39295. Community Warning

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 18:48:26 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 39295 Message: 39295

ALL SAN FRANCISCO FIRE DEPARTMENT STREET BOXES (the red boxes on the corners to call the fire department with) ARE NOT WORKING. SOME SORT OF CABLE PROBLEM

39296. Re: [bayscan] Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 19:31:59 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 39253 Message: 39296

More likely than not, these are for the VTA buses. Most of the buses have a small transmitter on the roof that matches the receiver device on the traffic control standards.
I've been to several of the County Fire Stations and have never seen anything similar on any of their rigs. As far as the SO goes, we don't have anything like these in our cars. This would be great to have though.
Mike

On 5/4/05, Johnathan Corgan <Johnathan.Corgan@...> wrote:
>
> Evan wrote:
>
> > Ok, I'm curious now.. How does this system work? I can't imagine the
> > antenna for the rig is directional? And I've got to imagine, it's
> > about 1/10th a watt?
> >
> > I'm just curious how it knows which way to turn green, and when? I
> > mean as we're always talking about the potential 'terrorists' <grin> -
> > imagine cranking out 50 watts on that frequency - would every light
> > everywhere go green or red?
>
> In Santa Clara County, there are four allocations on 465.6125 MHz:
>
> KD48547 County of Santa Clara 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D
> WNVC742 Sunnyvale, City of 60 MO 2W 16K0F2D
> WNVC743 County of Santa Clara 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D
> WNVC744 Santa Clara, Cit of 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D
>
> So each allocation is for 50 or 60 mobile units of 2 watts maximum
> power, FM modulated data emissions. My (purely speculative) guess would
> be these would use some simple form of FSK data encoding.
>
> A 50 watt carrier on that frequency would probably *disable* the
> functionality by desensing the receivers at the intersections. Not
> harmful from a traffic control perspective but very bad for code 3
> responders (and those that are depending on their timely arrival on
> scene.)
>
> Nice idea, though, for putting that frequency in a scan bank, to get
> warning of code 3 traffic nearby. Of course, I think that's what sirens
> are for. :-)
>
> -Johnathan
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--
Mike
KD6FTR

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39297. so co tanker fire 1205

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 19:07:21 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39297 Message: 39297

petaluma blvd north 101 off

tanker fire flames seen

petaluma fd

freqs?? dont know

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39298. Re: so co tanker fire 1205

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 19:10:39 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39297 Message: 39298

154.025

On Wednesday, May 4, 2005, at 12:07 US/Pacific, nina zhito wrote:

> petaluma blvd north 101 off
>
> tanker fire flames seen
>
> petaluma fd
>
> freqs?? dont know
>
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39299. Re: [bayscan] Community Warning // SFFD street boxes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 20:34:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39299 Message: 39299

Its unusual for the SFFD to get a call of a Fire from ONLY the
street boxes ,,,,,very rare........almost always they get telephone calls as
well. It does happen, but rare........and NO this is NOT because of cellphones,
it has been true for many,many years. BUT it still is a disadvantage in
isolated neighborhoods like warehouse areas. I reported a working fire many years ago
where no phones were around and the only way we could report the fire was the
street box.

Brian , any update on this ?? are they back on line yet ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39300. Re: Low Jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 19:20:12 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 39268 Message: 39300

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
<Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:

> >>bay? stloen car in the ocean lol.. units are very confused ..

Sometimes, the signal travels very far. If the direction seemed to
be the Bay, it could have been a Lo/Jack in the East Bay Hills.

2 months ago, San Mateo SO & SMPD spent about an hour on a Lo/Jack
wild goose chase on SR-35 (Skyline Drive) & 280. They found out the
next day that the car had actually been in the Oakland Hills.

> The VHF frequency that Lo-Jack transmits on (173.015 MHz) is very
> subject to reflections from mountains, buildings, overpasses, even
> billboards.
>
> At the direction finding receiver, the combination of a direct
> line-of-site signal and a strong reflection can result in faulty
> bearings that appear completely unrelated to either. Practice is
> essential to figure this out when it is happening.
>
> By the way, that's another interesting frequency to put in a scan bank.

I don't know what you're hearing on 173.015, but Lo/Jack uses
173.075MHz.

> Once a Lo-Jack transmitter is activated it sends a digital data burst
> every second. (Non-activated units only do this every 60 seconds, if I
> recall correctly.)

Why do you think non-activated units send out any signals at all?

> -Johnathan

http://www.lojack.com/

39301. Redwood City Phase 1 Tac Alert

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 19:44:46 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 39301 Message: 39301

About an hour ago, San Mateo County SO initiated a Phase 1 Tac Alert on behalf of Redwood City PD, who are expecting problems this afternoon at Sequoia High School (El Camino Real & Broadway Ave).

Apparently there was some sort of disturbance/altercation involving several hundred people that occured at the school yesterday, and they have reason to suspect it'll be continued this afternoon when school lets out.

Woodside High School requested police (SMSO) presence during their lunch period today, so I don't know if the issue is inter-school warfare between Sequoia HS & Woodside HS, or if everything is just inner-school anxiety as graduation/summer recess near.

The San Mateo County law enforcement mutual aid channel ("Green") 488.8875 should be the main one to monitor. As for now, Redwood PD's main freq of 488.7875/162.2 might be the one to monitor. One of the best freqs to listen to right now if you're close enough is 452.2625 -- a repeater lcoated at Sequoia HS & licensed to the Sequoia-Union High School District.

Tim
1243 hours

39302. Re: [bayscan] Community Warning // SFFD street boxes

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 20:43:33 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 39299 Message: 39302

Still down. They are making anouncements regarding this over the PD chanels
as if the average citizen can hear them.
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2005 1:34 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Community Warning // SFFD street boxes

> Its unusual for the SFFD to get a call of a Fire from ONLY the
> street boxes ,,,,,very rare........almost always they get telephone calls
> as
> well. It does happen, but rare........and NO this is NOT because of
> cellphones,
> it has been true for many,many years. BUT it still is a disadvantage in
> isolated neighborhoods like warehouse areas. I reported a working fire
> many years ago
> where no phones were around and the only way we could report the fire was
> the
> street box.
>
> Brian , any update on this ?? are they back on line yet ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39303. Re: [bayscan] Re: Low Jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 20:57:27 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39268 Message: 39303

Tim wrote:

> Sometimes, the signal travels very far. If the direction seemed to
> be the Bay, it could have been a Lo/Jack in the East Bay Hills.
>
> 2 months ago, San Mateo SO & SMPD spent about an hour on a Lo/Jack
> wild goose chase on SR-35 (Skyline Drive) & 280. They found out the
> next day that the car had actually been in the Oakland Hills.

Yep, sounds like some of the transmitter hunts I've done :-)

> I don't know what you're hearing on 173.015, but Lo/Jack uses
> 173.075MHz.

Oops, my typo.

> Why do you think non-activated units send out any signals at all?

I have (somewhere on the my computer, digging for it now) a technical manual for the vehicle transmitter, and seem to recall this factoid from that document. Wish I could find it to confirm.

But as I have Lo/Jack in my own vehicle, I do hear it periodically transmit with full signal strength on the LCD on my 796D if I leave it on that channel for any period of time. I supposed it could be a base station transmitter in the area. I don't know how the system-to-vehicle downlink works.

-Johnathan

39304. Traffic signal radios (was: Reality check...)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-04 21:05:43 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39253 Message: 39304

Mike McRoberts wrote:

> More likely than not, these are for the VTA buses. Most of the buses have a
> small transmitter on the roof that matches the receiver device on the
> traffic control standards.
> I've been to several of the County Fire Stations and have never seen
> anything similar on any of their rigs. As far as the SO goes, we don't have
> anything like these in our cars. This would be great to have though.

Could be. But the WNVC743 license is has the licensee named as "County
of Santa Clara (Central Fire District)." That would weigh toward the
original assumption.

VTA does have an automatic vehicle location system as well on every bus,
which transmits GPS coordinates (I think) and whatever else on a 489/491
MHz frequency pair. This pops up on my Scout frequency recorder
whenever I'm driving in a lane next to one of them.

-Johnathan

39305. Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 00:28:02 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39253 Message: 39305

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:

> Who mentioned a training manual? Please Ed, quote a bit of what /who
> you are replying to. On a thread this long, it would really help to
> know.

Ok, sorry to bring this to the list, but I've just basically been insulted by Ed with some low blows (which I won't mention in the list as they're very immature), and one of my replies to Ed was that previously when I POLITELY asked Ed to quote a bit of what he was talking about, he said he didn't know how. I told him I'd try and find out if he would be aggreable to doing so. He said he'd think about it. So I found out it was as simple as highlighting the text he wanted to quote, then hitting reply. Ed still has maybe quoted ONCE, if that.

So when I told Ed that the last time I asked him politely to quote, that about half a dozen (read: 6) people e-mailed me offlist agreeing that it would help. Ed said flat out "B.S.". So right now, I'd appreciate anyone backing me up who agrees. I won't post Ed's e-mail here to the list unless he gives his OK, but he basically stated that I'm the ONLY one who seems to have a problem with him not quoting, and hate having to go back through the thread to find out who / what he's talking about.

So - if you agree, please stand up and let the group know. Otherwise, I'll shutup on this topic. But I'd appreciate any of the people who e-mailed me offlist (and maybe others who kept quiet) to 'go public' now.

Thanks.

(I'm sure now I'll be put on moderation - but I guess when you run a group, you can 'squelch' those who don't agree with you...)

Evan

39306. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 00:42:08 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39253 Message: 39306

I agree it would be very helpful if EVERYONE would just include the text of the last email...Yes it is very annoying when people don't include the text of it... I don't know of any email program that doesn't auto copy the text of the email you're replying to when you hit the reply button.. maybe i'm wrong....

Lets all just get along now and not start a flame war pllease......

2 cents

Evan wrote:

>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Who mentioned a training manual? Please Ed, quote a bit of what /who
>>you are replying to. On a thread this long, it would really help to
>>know.
>>
>>
>
>Ok, sorry to bring this to the list, but I've just basically been
>insulted by Ed with some low blows (which I won't mention in the list
>as they're very immature), and one of my replies to Ed was that
>previously when I POLITELY asked Ed to quote a bit of what he was
>talking about, he said he didn't know how. I told him I'd try and find
>out if he would be aggreable to doing so. He said he'd think about it.
>So I found out it was as simple as highlighting the text he wanted to
>quote, then hitting reply. Ed still has maybe quoted ONCE, if that.
>
>So when I told Ed that the last time I asked him politely to quote,
>that about half a dozen (read: 6) people e-mailed me offlist agreeing
>that it would help. Ed said flat out "B.S.". So right now, I'd
>appreciate anyone backing me up who agrees. I won't post Ed's e-mail
>here to the list unless he gives his OK, but he basically stated that
>I'm the ONLY one who seems to have a problem with him not quoting, and
>hate having to go back through the thread to find out who / what he's
>talking about.
>
>So - if you agree, please stand up and let the group know. Otherwise,
>I'll shutup on this topic. But I'd appreciate any of the people who
>e-mailed me offlist (and maybe others who kept quiet) to 'go public' now.
>
>Thanks.

>
>(I'm sure now I'll be put on moderation - but I guess when you run a
>group, you can 'squelch' those who don't agree with you...)
>
>Evan
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39307. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 00:56:56 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39253 Message: 39307

Ben wrote:

> I agree it would be very helpful if EVERYONE would just include the text
> of the last email...Yes it is very annoying when people don't include
> the text of it... I don't know of any email program that doesn't auto
> copy the text of the email you're replying to when you hit the reply
> button.. maybe i'm wrong....

Even though we should include the relevant portions of a prior email,
please prune away the text you are *not* responding to, including all
the extra junk Yahoo adds. As has been done with this email reply.

-Johnathan

39308. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 00:57:44 UTC
From: MIKE NOBAG
Topic: 39253 Message: 39308

Hi Evan,

Don't feel alone, I have in the past also asked Ed to do the same thing, and I got the same answer that you did. May I add that the comment that I made turned into a giant flame war between Ed and myself. Oh ya, it also landed me on the moderation list for a few months, and I am sure that this will also, but if it takes going the list owner again so be it.

Your not the only one who is tired of some of Ed's mood swings.

nobag@...<mailto:nobag@...>
Mike (BikerMike)
CA.
U.S.A.
Owner:N.A.C.P.S.
Owner:U.P.I.P.
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan<mailto:evan@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2005 5:28 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com<mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com>, "Evan"
<evan@e<mailto:evan@e>...> wrote:

> Who mentioned a training manual? Please Ed, quote a bit of what /who
> you are replying to. On a thread this long, it would really help to
> know.

Ok, sorry to bring this to the list, but I've just basically been insulted by Ed with some low blows (which I won't mention in the list as they're very immature), and one of my replies to Ed was that previously when I POLITELY asked Ed to quote a bit of what he was talking about, he said he didn't know how. I told him I'd try and find out if he would be aggreable to doing so. He said he'd think about it. So I found out it was as simple as highlighting the text he wanted to quote, then hitting reply. Ed still has maybe quoted ONCE, if that.

So when I told Ed that the last time I asked him politely to quote, that about half a dozen (read: 6) people e-mailed me offlist agreeing that it would help. Ed said flat out "B.S.". So right now, I'd appreciate anyone backing me up who agrees. I won't post Ed's e-mail here to the list unless he gives his OK, but he basically stated that I'm the ONLY one who seems to have a problem with him not quoting, and hate having to go back through the thread to find out who / what he's talking about.

So - if you agree, please stand up and let the group know. Otherwise,
I'll shutup on this topic. But I'd appreciate any of the people who
e-mailed me offlist (and maybe others who kept quiet) to 'go public' now.

Thanks.

(I'm sure now I'll be put on moderation - but I guess when you run a
group, you can 'squelch' those who don't agree with you...)

Evan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
Service<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39309. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 01:56:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39253 Message: 39309

At 05:57 PM 5/4/2005, you wrote:
>Hi Evan,
>
>Don't feel alone, I have in the past also asked Ed to do the same thing,
>and I got the same answer that you did. May I add that the comment that I
>made turned into a giant flame war between Ed and myself. Oh ya, it also
>landed me on the moderation list for a few months, and I am sure that this
>will also, but if it takes going the list owner again so be it.
>
>Your not the only one who is tired of some of Ed's mood swings.

Well Mike - Ed put me on moderation. Please feel free to copy this post to the list as I doubt Ed will let it go through:

Ed put me on moderation. Quote "Not because you disagree with me but because you stir $h1t up."

I have created a yahoo group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayareascanning . I don't have the time to moderate it. Nor do I think I need to.

I will put new 'unknown' users on moderation. I will only put people on moderation for abuse - spam, adult, etc. - not simply because they disagree with me.

I invite others to join - Ed will not be moderator. If this group ends up being successful, I will look for a co-moderator.

If enough people show interest, I'll move it off Yahoo Groups and over to another server - one that has the speed Yahoo lacks.

39310. Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 01:30:05 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39310 Message: 39310

Of interest to us scanner geeks...

http://www.nuspectra.com/vbcam/large.aspx

is a controllable camera by the bay. VERY good resolution, VERY good optical zoom (you can read a license plate). We should compile a list of these for monitoring, although this one wouldn't really see anything of interest (i.e. it doesn't have a good enough view of the bridge or a major freeway.)

I'll work on a web page with these, if anyone has any to contribute, let me know.

Evan

39311. Re: [bayscan] Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 02:15:47 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39310 Message: 39311

that would be a cool camera to mount on the top of my mast... :-)

Evan wrote:

>Of interest to us scanner geeks...
>
>http://www.nuspectra.com/vbcam/large.aspx
>
>is a controllable camera by the bay. VERY good resolution, VERY good
>optical zoom (you can read a license plate). We should compile a list
>of these for monitoring, although this one wouldn't really see
>anything of interest (i.e. it doesn't have a good enough view of the
>bridge or a major freeway.)
>
>I'll work on a web page with these, if anyone has any to contribute,
>let me know.
>
>Evan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39312. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 02:20:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39253 Message: 39312

oh good god.. not another group..... so we have :-(

bayscan
scannorcal
norcalfire
norcalonlinescanning
cencalfire
scanningcencal
scansacramento
eldoradoscanning
and now.

bayareascanning

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 05:57 PM 5/4/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Hi Evan,
>>
>>Don't feel alone, I have in the past also asked Ed to do the same thing,
>>and I got the same answer that you did. May I add that the comment that I
>>made turned into a giant flame war between Ed and myself. Oh ya, it also
>>landed me on the moderation list for a few months, and I am sure that this
>>will also, but if it takes going the list owner again so be it.
>>
>>Your not the only one who is tired of some of Ed's mood swings.
>>
>>
>
>Well Mike - Ed put me on moderation. Please feel free to copy this post to
>the list as I doubt Ed will let it go through:
>
>Ed put me on moderation. Quote "Not because you disagree with me but
>because you stir $h1t up."
>
>I have created a yahoo group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayareascanning .
>I don't have the time to moderate it. Nor do I think I need to.
>
>I will put new 'unknown' users on moderation. I will only put people on
>moderation for abuse - spam, adult, etc. - not simply because they disagree
>with me.
>
>I invite others to join - Ed will not be moderator. If this group ends up

>being successful, I will look for a co-moderator.
>
>If enough people show interest, I'll move it off Yahoo Groups and over to
>another server - one that has the speed Yahoo lacks.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39313. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 02:30:10 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39253 Message: 39313

Never even heard of these two groups - LOL!

On May 4, 2005, at 7:20 PM, Ben wrote:

> scansacramento
> eldoradoscanning

39314. Re: Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 02:22:33 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39310 Message: 39314

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> that would be a cool camera to mount on the top of my mast... :-)

Men grow old, and the only thing that changes is the price of their toys. :)

There was a website on the east coast that showed a map of the freeways, and you could see colors indicating the traffic speed there, i.e. one color is 0-30, another is 3-50, etc... and clicking on an area would show a live cam. Great idea, I think I've seen it offered here in Cali as a 'service' (aka $$$) but this had it for free.

Movie 1984 ring a bell? ;)

39315. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 02:39:22 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39253 Message: 39315

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/SCANsacramento/

Craig Rose wrote:

>Never even heard of these two groups - LOL!
>
>On May 4, 2005, at 7:20 PM, Ben wrote:
>
>
>
>>scansacramento
>>eldoradoscanning
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39316. Re: [bayscan] Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 03:05:25 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39310 Message: 39316

I spotted a California license plate 3TOA244 5CTY649.

Affordable Self Storage Incorporated 1-800-856-3688
901 Illinois St, San Francisco

Evan wrote:
> Of interest to us scanner geeks...
>
> http://www.nuspectra.com/vbcam/large.aspx
>
> is a controllable camera by the bay. VERY good resolution, VERY good
> optical zoom (you can read a license plate). We should compile a list
> of these for monitoring, although this one wouldn't really see
> anything of interest (i.e. it doesn't have a good enough view of the
> bridge or a major freeway.)
>
> I'll work on a web page with these, if anyone has any to contribute,
> let me know.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39317. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 03:13:39 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39310 Message: 39317

http://traffic.tann.net/ has a similar thing, though it only shows a
regional traffic camera (EB 92 at "Substation A") on the San Jose map
and they are not clickable.

On 5/4/05, Evan <evan@...> wrote:
> There was a website on the east coast that showed a map of the
> freeways, and you could see colors indicating the traffic speed there,
> i.e. one color is 0-30, another is 3-50, etc... and clicking on an
> area would show a live cam. Great idea, I think I've seen it offered
> here in Cali as a 'service' (aka $$$) but this had it for free.

39318. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 03:46:58 UTC
From: Nick Caldwell
Topic: 39253 Message: 39318

Yeah but out of that list, Bayscan is the only one I find more annoying than useful... I wouldn't mind another group that did it right.

Nick

On 5/4/05, Ben <ben96cal@...> wrote:
> oh good god.. not another group..... so we have :-(
>
> bayscan
> scannorcal
> norcalfire
> norcalonlinescanning
> cencalfire
> scanningcencal
> scansacramento
> eldoradoscanning
> and now.
>
> bayareascanning

39319. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 03:56:27 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39253 Message: 39319

ahem..... :-)

Nick Caldwell wrote:

>Yeah but out of that list, Bayscan is the only one I find more
>annoying than useful... I wouldn't mind another group that did it
>right.
>
>Nick
>
>
>On 5/4/05, Ben <ben96cal@...> wrote:
>
>
>>oh good god.. not another group..... so we have :-(
>>
>>bayscan
>>scannorcal
>>norcalfire
>>norcalonlinescanning
>>cencalfire
>>scanningcencal
>>scansacramento
>>eldoradoscanning
>>and now.
>>
>>bayareascanning
>>
>>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39320. mera 58448 struc fire?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 04:37:40 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39320 Message: 39320

2137

poss struc fire

#1 via casitas

39321. Re: [bayscan] mera 58448 struc fire?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 04:43:12 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39320 Message: 39321

Where is that?

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39322. Re: [bayscan] mera 58448 struc fire?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 04:44:22 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39320 Message: 39322

twin cities...

just hearing now that fd is saying "negative" but searching the area....

sigh

On Wednesday, May 4, 2005, at 21:43 US/Pacific, Mobile11@... wrote:

>
>
> Where is that?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39323. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 04:57:32 UTC
From: Tmanjoke
Topic: 39253 Message: 39323

Evan I agree with you,
BUt Iam tired of this thread.
take it off list or something please.

Tracey
--- Evan <evan@...> wrote:
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
>
> > Who mentioned a training manual? Please Ed, quote a bit of what
> /who
> > you are replying to. On a thread this long, it would really help to
>
> > know.
>
> Ok, sorry to bring this to the list, but I've just basically been
> insulted by Ed with some low blows (which I won't mention in the list
> as they're very immature), and one of my replies to Ed was that
> previously when I POLITELY asked Ed to quote a bit of what he was
> talking about, he said he didn't know how. I told him I'd try and
> find
> out if he would be aggreable to doing so. He said he'd think about
> it.
> So I found out it was as simple as highlighting the text he wanted to
> quote, then hitting reply. Ed still has maybe quoted ONCE, if that.
>
> So when I told Ed that the last time I asked him politely to quote,
> that about half a dozen (read: 6) people e-mailed me offlist agreeing
> that it would help. Ed said flat out "B.S.". So right now, I'd
> appreciate anyone backing me up who agrees. I won't post Ed's e-mail
> here to the list unless he gives his OK, but he basically stated that
> I'm the ONLY one who seems to have a problem with him not quoting,
> and
> hate having to go back through the thread to find out who / what he's
> talking about.
>
> So - if you agree, please stand up and let the group know. Otherwise,
> I'll shutup on this topic. But I'd appreciate any of the people who
> e-mailed me offlist (and maybe others who kept quiet) to 'go public'
> now.
>
> Thanks.
>
> (I'm sure now I'll be put on moderation - but I guess when you run a
> group, you can 'squelch' those who don't agree with you...)

>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new resources site!
http://smallbusiness.yahoo.com/resources/

39324. Re: [bayscan] mera 58448 struc fire?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 04:47:59 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39320 Message: 39324

Incident Command Advising all units will be avalible .. Fire is out. Holding
CMD E14

----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2005 9:37 PM
Subject: [bayscan] mera 58448 struc fire?

> 2137
>
> poss struc fire
>
> #1 via casitas
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39325. Re: Traffic triggers (was Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 06:19:24 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 39253 Message: 39325

I don't know about the other freqs, but 465.6125 is defintely used (or was used as of a few months ago the last time I noticed it) by Sunnyvale fire units running Code 3 (and probably local AMR units). I can't confirm they actually do anything to the signals (never was in the right place at the right time to verify this), but that is what I assume they do. All I know is when I hear it, there is definitely Code 3 Fire/Med traffic in the area. By leaving ATT set on the channel, I can detect it getting stronger/weaker and thus coming toward/going away from me. And I hear it before I hear the sirens.

I have always had the same question another poster had concerning directionality and how the signal would know which way to turn green. (Is there a switch in the rig to set it North/South vs East/West???)

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Mike McRoberts <kd6ftr@g...> wrote:
> More likely than not, these are for the VTA buses. Most of the buses have a
> small transmitter on the roof that matches the receiver device on the
> traffic control standards.
> I've been to several of the County Fire Stations and have never seen
> anything similar on any of their rigs. As far as the SO goes, we don't have
> anything like these in our cars. This would be great to have though.
> Mike
>
> On 5/4/05, Johnathan Corgan <Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> >
> > Evan wrote:
> >
> > > Ok, I'm curious now.. How does this system work? I can't imagine the
> > > antenna for the rig is directional? And I've got to imagine, it's
> > > about 1/10th a watt?
> > >
> > > I'm just curious how it knows which way to turn green, and when? I
> > > mean as we're always talking about the potential 'terrorists'
<grin> -

> > > imagine cranking out 50 watts on that frequency - would every
light
> > > everywhere go green or red?
> >
> > In Santa Clara County, there are four allocations on 465.6125
MHz:
> >
> > KD48547 County of Santa Clara 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D
> > WNVC742 Sunnyvale, City of 60 MO 2W 16K0F2D
> > WNVC743 County of Santa Clara 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D
> > WNVC744 Santa Clara, Cit of 50 MO 2W 16K0F2D
> >
> > So each allocation is for 50 or 60 mobile units of 2 watts
maximum
> > power, FM modulated data emissions. My (purely speculative)
guess would
> > be these would use some simple form of FSK data encoding.
> >
> > A 50 watt carrier on that frequency would probably *disable* the
> > functionality by desensing the receivers at the intersections.
Not
> > harmful from a traffic control perspective but very bad for code
3
> > responders (and those that are depending on their timely arrival
on
> > scene.)
> >
> > Nice idea, though, for putting that frequency in a scan bank, to
get
> > warning of code 3 traffic nearby. Of course, I think that's what
sirens
> > are for. :-)
> >
> > -Johnathan
>
>
> --
> Mike
> KD6FTR

39326. Re: Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 10:50:48 UTC
From: Dave
Topic: 39310 Message: 39326

...and they both passed smog...
(http://smogcheck.ca.gov/vehtests/pubtstqry.aspx)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> I spotted a California license plate 3TOA244 5CTY649.
>
> Affordable Self Storage Incorporated 1-800-856-3688
> 901 Illinois St, San Francisco
>
> Evan wrote:
> > Of interest to us scanner geeks...
> >
> > http://www.nuspectra.com/vbcam/large.aspx
> >
> > is a controllable camera by the bay. VERY good resolution, VERY good
> > optical zoom (you can read a license plate). We should compile a list
> > of these for monitoring, although this one wouldn't really see
> > anything of interest (i.e. it doesn't have a good enough view of the
> > bridge or a major freeway.)
> >
> > I'll work on a web page with these, if anyone has any to contribute,
> > let me know.
> >
> > Evan
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

39327. Re: [bayscan] Re: Low Jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 14:34:49 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 39268 Message: 39327

>At the direction finding receiver, the combination of a direct
>line-of-site signal and a strong reflection can result in faulty
>bearings that appear completely unrelated to either. Practice is
>essential to figure this out when it is happening.

A couple of RF consultants I met at IWCE said doppler systems are not very useful. They have had better results using a spectrum analyzer and a handheld yagi antenna. They've used this to track down interfering transmitters on Part 90 freq (one was a Wingard antenna booster on RV).

I have the lo-jack freq (173.075) in my mobile scanner and occasionally hear a data burst (strong signal). If a low-jack activated stolen car is nearby then I know I am near a 10851, but I may not do anything (could get in the way of the police).

Mike

39328. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 15:41:36 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39310 Message: 39328

That is interesting. It even gives out make, model, VIN and location of
the test.

Perry Mason: "Mr. 5CTY649, where were you on the afternoon of 3/17/2004?"
Mr. 5CTY649: "At Naz Auto Service in San Francisco."

Dave wrote:
> ...and they both passed smog...
> (http://smogcheck.ca.gov/vehtests/pubtstqry.aspx)
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>I spotted a California license plate 3TOA244 5CTY649.
>>
>>Affordable Self Storage Incorporated 1-800-856-3688
>>901 Illinois St, San Francisco
>>
>>Evan wrote:
>>
>>>Of interest to us scanner geeks...
>>>
>>>http://www.nuspectra.com/vbcam/large.aspx
>>>
>>>is a controllable camera by the bay. VERY good resolution, VERY good
>>>optical zoom (you can read a license plate). We should compile a list
>>>of these for monitoring, although this one wouldn't really see
>>>anything of interest (i.e. it doesn't have a good enough view of the
>>>bridge or a major freeway.)
>>>
>>>I'll work on a web page with these, if anyone has any to contribute,
>>>let me know.
>>>
>>>Evan
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39329. Re: [bayscan] Re: Low Jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 15:52:07 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39268 Message: 39329

Does the spectrum analyzer do anything else other than detect the signal strength? I'm guessing they are prepared for QRM not exactly falling on a channel in a radio, but maybe there is some other trick done with the spectrum analyzer.

Mike Wright wrote:
>>At the direction finding receiver, the combination of a direct
>>line-of-site signal and a strong reflection can result in faulty
>>bearings that appear completely unrelated to either. Practice is
>>essential to figure this out when it is happening.
>
>
> A couple of RF consultants I met at IWCE said doppler systems are not very
> useful. They have had better results using a spectrum analyzer and a
> handheld yagi antenna. They've used this to track down interfering
> transmitters on Part 90 freq (one was a Wingard antenna booster on RV).
>
> I have the lo-jack freq (173.075) in my mobile scanner and occasionally hear
> a data burst (strong signal). If a low-jack activated stolen car is nearby
> then I know I am near a 10851, but I may not do anything (could get in the
> way of the police).
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39330. Re: Transmitter Hunting (was Low Jack)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 15:51:50 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39268 Message: 39330

Mike Wright wrote:

> A couple of RF consultants I met at IWCE said doppler systems are not very
> useful. They have had better results using a spectrum analyzer and a
> handheld yagi antenna. They've used this to track down interfering
> transmitters on Part 90 freq (one was a Wingard antenna booster on RV).

I have a few years experience hunting VHF HI band transmitters with a Doppler RDF setup. Like any other tool, it is useful insofar as one understands its strengths and weaknesses.

Dopplers add a degree of convenience and automation to signal finding, and are particularly useful when the transmitter source is intermittent (like jammers). But, they are subject to multi-path error (there are techniques to identify when this is happening but not to correct the error), one must keep moving to "average out" close-in reflectors, and they can be defeated in several ways using modulation techniques. It also takes a long time to become familiar with "what the Doppler is telling you."

Usually I'll supplement things with periodic Yagi bearings (if the signal is continuous or predictable.) There have been times, though, when I've been able to just "follow the Doppler" right to a source.

SJPD does routine training on channel 9 with the bank bag rat traps. They've got some really talented transmitter hunters.

There is a very active group of amateur radio transmitter hunters in the Fremont area, who stage hunts of varying difficulty every two weeks. I'm not active with them much anymore but you can get a feel for what it is like by reading the hunt stories at:

http://www.aeinet.com/kd6dx

You don't need a ham radio license to join in the fun.

-Johnathan

39331. Re: [bayscan] Re: Low Jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 17:45:06 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39268 Message: 39331

A friend of mine designed and built a really awesome DF rig consisting
of a rotating triband quad, a slipring assembly, and a polar
oscilloscope. I flew second-seat with him on many t-hunts. The system
was featured on the cover of 73 magazine maybe 10 years ago and was
the best DF system I had ever seen or could imagine. The 'scope
painted a picture that made it easy to distinguish direct, reflected,
and phantom signals... I think it got banned from the SoCal t-hunts
because it truly made it no contest. :-D

On 5/5/05, Mike Wright <mikeyht220@...> wrote:
> A couple of RF consultants I met at IWCE said doppler systems are not very
> useful. They have had better results using a spectrum analyzer and a
> handheld yagi antenna. They've used this to track down interfering
> transmitters on Part 90 freq (one was a Wingard antenna booster on RV).

39332. Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 17:53:22 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 3309 Message: 39332

Sunnyvale DPS doing a search in area of Sunnyvale/ Olive .... looking for a jeep cherokee . " might" be a 211 , checking " tapes" K9s and Detectives on scene

482.9625
1053 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39333. Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 18:01:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36115 Message: 39333

This was a Bank 211 . Two BMAs one bald and heavyset the second one
with an afro . Driving 95/97 Grand Jeep Cherokee ......color not given

482.9625

1101

Bank of Santa Clara , 107 E. El Camino

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39334. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 18:41:05 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36115 Message: 39334

211?? clear text please...

caladded@... wrote:

> This was a Bank 211 . Two BMAs one bald and heavyset the second one
>with an afro . Driving 95/97 Grand Jeep Cherokee ......color not given
>
>482.9625
>
>1101
>
> Bank of Santa Clara , 107 E. El Camino
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39335. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 18:41:45 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 36115 Message: 39335

A 211 is armed robbery. This was a bank holdup.
Brian

On 5/5/05, Ben <ben96cal@...> wrote:
>
> 211?? clear text please...
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>
> > This was a Bank 211 . Two BMAs one bald and heavyset the second one
> >with an afro . Driving 95/97 Grand Jeep Cherokee ......color not given
> >
> >482.9625
> >
> >1101
> >
> > Bank of Santa Clara , 107 E. El Camino
> >
> >ED
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39336. RE: [bayscan] Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 19:05:40 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 36115 Message: 39336

☐211☐ refers to a section of the California Penal Code. Police dispatchers often use penal code section numbers in their communications, e.g., 187 is homicide, 211 is robbery, 240 is assault, 415 is disturbance (often used for fighting or family bickering), 459 is burglary. Vehicle codes are also used, e.g., 10851 is stolen vehicle, 20001 is hit-and-run with injuries, 20002 is hit-and-run with no injuries, etc.

You can look up the penal and vehicle codes here:
http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/calaw.html

Chuck Hoffman
chuck94560@...
(510) 468-3642

-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2005 11:41 AM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale incident

211?? clear text please...

caladded@... wrote:

> This was a Bank 211 . Two BMAs one bald and heavyset the second one
>with an afro . Driving 95/97 Grand Jeep Cherokee ......color not given
>
>482.9625
>
>1101
>
> Bank of Santa Clara , 107 E. El Camino
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39337. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 20:25:07 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 36115 Message: 39337

Chuck Hoffman wrote:

> ?211? refers to a section of the California Penal Code. Police dispatchers
> often use penal code section numbers in their communications, e.g., 187 is
> homicide, 211 is robbery, 240 is assault, 415 is disturbance (often used for
> fighting or family bickering), 459 is burglary. Vehicle codes are also
> used, e.g., 10851 is stolen vehicle, 20001 is hit-and-run with injuries,
> 20002 is hit-and-run with no injuries, etc.

And this is one area that is uniform statewide among agencies because it
is California state law sections. It's not all penal code, though, for
example when someone has "11550 priors" it is referring to section 11550
of the Health and Safety code:

http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=hsc&group=11001-12000&file=11550-11555

-Johnathan

39338. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 20:35:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36115 Message: 39338

Let me explain something. We have gone over this before code vrs plain English. For me and some others, a lot of codes are just as common as English. i.e. I have known what 211 means for probably 35+ years. For me to get into a conversation with several others who know the codes as well as I do, using codes is easy and in some many cases I feel more comfortable using them. Compare it to those who are bilingual, most probably prefer one language over the other because they are more comfortable with it. Its not that I am trying to say I am better than those who don't know the codes, but because I have been around them for so long, its just as easy to say (and actually easier to type) the codes that to spell out the definition of codes.

As for as 211 , since its a penal code, it really should be widely known among scanner users since ALL police agencies use it and its not specific to just a few depts.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39339. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 21:04:15 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36115 Message: 39339

Everyone doesn't know codes. everyone knows english so please use
english... :-)

caladded@... wrote:

>Let me explain something. We have gone over this before code vrs plain
>English. For me and some others, a lot of codes are just as common as English. i.e.
>I have known what 211 means for probably 35+ years. For me to get into a
>conversation with several others who know the codes as well as I do, using codes is
>easy and in some many cases I feel more comfortable using them. Compare it to
>those who are bilingual, most probably prefer one language over the other
>because they are more comfortable with it. Its not that I am trying to say I am
>better than those who don't know the codes, but because I have been around them
>for so long, its just as easy to say (and actually easier to type) the codes
>that to spell out the definition of codes.
>
> As for as 211 , since its a penal code, it really should be widely known
>among scanner users since ALL police agencies use it and its not specific to
>just a few depts.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39340. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 21:19:04 UTC
From: philosopher_dave
Topic: 36115 Message: 39340

I agree with Ed. Let me add a bit. The issue of whether or not to use codes comes up every month or so.
Perhaps I'm missing something, but isn't this group for people who use scanners?
When listening to a scanner, one hears codes all the time. How can anybody listen to a scanner and get anything out of it without learning some codes? It's not possible. It follows that anyone participating in a group devoted to scanners should have a familiarity with common codes. Sure, it's jargon. And jargon is perfectly acceptable within a specialized group. Some clarification is certainly required where the codes vary widely from one juristiction to another, but something like 211 is universal. (Anybody who watched Adam 12 knows what a 211 is). What should you do if you don't know the code?
One option is to grumble to the group. Another is to bookmark a list of codes. When you run across one you don't know, do like my mother used to say, "Look it up." And when you look it up, you'll learn something. Here's a suggested URL:

http://www.bearcat1.com/radioca.htm

I don't know all the codes I hear, or all the codes mentioned in this group, so I'm a frequent visitor to this web site.

Hope this helps,
Dave P.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> Everyone doesn't know codes. everyone knows english so please use
> english... :-)
>
> caladded@a... wrote:
>
> >Let me explain something. We have gone over this before code vrs
plain
> >English. For me and some others, a lot of codes are just as common
as English. i.e.
> >I have known what 211 means for probably 35+ years. For me to get
into a
> >conversation with several others who know the codes as well as I
do, using codes is
> >easy and in some many cases I feel more comfortable using them.
Compare it to
> >those who are bilingual, most probably prefer one language over
the other
> >because they are more comfortable with it. Its not that I am
trying to say I am
> >better than those who don't know the codes, but because I have
been around them
> >for so long, its just as easy to say (and actually easier to type)
the codes

> >that to spell out the definition of codes.
> >
> > As for as 211 , since its a penal code, it really should be
widely known
> >among scanner users since ALL police agencies use it and its not
specific to
> >just a few depts.
> >
> >ED
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

39341. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 21:26:28 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 36115 Message: 39341

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> Everyone doesn't know codes. everyone knows english so please use
> english... :-)

I agree 100%. Not everyone on this list has memorized the penal code or vehicle code or H&S (Health & Safety). I often get confused about the longer codes (23152, 10851). I think as a rule, we should either post the description, or the code and the description.

But remember, you're arguing with Ed. Every other way is wrong. You'll never win. Banned, maybe even.

39342. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 21:35:25 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 36115 Message: 39342

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "philosopher_dave"
<davidandlynne@s...> wrote:
> I agree with Ed. Let me add a bit. The issue of whether or not
>to use codes comes up every month or so.

And it will probably come up every month or so until the end of time.

> Perhaps I'm missing something, but isn't this group for people
>who use scanners?

Yes... But not everyone who is on this group or listens to a scanner
is a 10 year scanner veteran. Some people here joined when they were
given their first scanner a month ago.

>When listening to a scanner, one hears codes all
>the time. How can anybody listen to a scanner and get anything
>out of it without learning some codes? It's not possible.

You're right. However once again, we have newbies. And they'll learn
if we say "211 (armed robbery) at the Bank..."

> It follows that anyone participating in a group devoted to
> scanners should have a familiarity with common codes.

Yes, but once again, people join here to learn. And if we keep up the
attitude of "&*$# the moron who doesn't know every penal and vehicle
code", we'll lose any member who joined to learn.

> What should you do if you don't know the code?
> One option is to grumble to the group.

And for the person who's reading this group perhaps via notification
on a mobile device? Or at a work e-mail, where reading e-mail is OK,
but logging on to yahoo groups and searching the archives isn't?

Let me also make one statment:

Many of you who are these so called 'code heads' yell at other people
when they don't list the frequency or talkgroup, i.e. if someone posts
"Sunnyvale PD working a structure fire...." you'll be the first to
jump down that persons throat with "POST THE FREQUENCY!! POST THE
FREQUENCY!!"

On the same lines that you can google a penal code, you can google the
frequency.

So if we're not going to post what the code means, let's stop posting
the frequency and talkgroup.

39343. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 21:43:07 UTC
From: philosopher_dave
Topic: 36115 Message: 39343

OK Evan. You make some excellent points. I committed the either-or fallacy. As you suggest, one can put both the code and it's meaning. That is certainly preferable. But, I submit, it is not the end of the world if someone forgets and just gives the code. One can usually figure out what it is with the resource previously mentioned.

Dave P.
(a relative newbie, myself)

39344. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 21:52:16 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 36115 Message: 39344

Evan wrote:

> I agree 100%. Not everyone on this list has memorized the penal code
> or vehicle code or H&S (Health & Safety). I often get confused about
> the longer codes (23152, 10851). I think as a rule, we should either
> post the description, or the code and the description.

Well, my comment wasn't really arguing whether we should use codes or not on the list. What I was getting at was that, unlike 10- and 11-codes, which vary widely all over the place between agencies we listen to, the codes that relate to state law are uniform.

So investing time in learning the 10- codes of an agency doesn't make a lot of sense, and using plain text here is more useful, but learning the penal code numbers is a long term investment as they are universally the same. Same for the vehicle codes (10851, 23152, 20002, etc.)

As someone said, jargon is useful as a shorthand. Using it lessens the amount of typing or speaking we do. I'd rather type 20001 than "hit and run with injuries." Worst case would be having to type both of them all the time.

This is a community of scanner listeners. Jargon naturally arises within a community. It's not meant to exclude or be elitist; it evolves because of its utility in communication. Part of joining a community is to absorb these things. Asking what 211 means like Nina did is perfectly acceptable, just as she did a week ago when she asked me what F, N, and VCET units were in my post.

-Johnathan

39345. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 22:04:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 36115 Message: 39345

thanks Dave , you said it VERY well

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39346. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 22:14:21 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 36115 Message: 39346

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "philosopher_dave"
<davidandlynne@s...> wrote:
> OK Evan. You make some excellent points. I committed the either-or
fallacy. As you
> suggest, one can put both the code and it's meaning. That is
certainly preferable. But, I
> submit, it is not the end of the world if someone forgets and just
gives the code. One can
> usually figure out what it is with the resource previously mentioned.

Thank you. :)

I agree, habits will be hard to break, but if someone posts a code,
and then someone asks what that code is, the correct response is
'XXXXX code means blah blah blah', not 'Look it up on google, idiot.'
as has been done here all too often.

Evan

39347. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 22:52:28 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 36115 Message: 39347

I think Evan has hit the nail on the head. This discussion seems to revolve around courtesy and inclusion versus elitism and snobbery in the trappings of "codes versus plain language." If we want to welcome new people, like that lady who recently introduced herself on one of the other lists, answering newbies' questions rather than condemning them is what we must do.

In case you're wondering, and since one's ethos appears relevant to how his or her posts are received, the Penal code (PC), Welfare & Institutions Code (WIC), and Health & Safety Code (H&S) are like a second language to me.

On 5/5/05, Evan <evan@...> wrote:
>if someone posts a code and then someone asks what that code is,
> the correct response is 'XXXXX code means blah blah blah',
>not 'Look it up on google, idiot.'

39348. anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 23:26:57 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 39348 Message: 39348

anyone know the specail Freqs Santa Rosa PD or SO are useing this evening
for the event ??

Anthony

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39349. Re: [bayscan] anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-05 23:29:45 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39348 Message: 39349

what event???
----- Original Message -----
From: AMP6352@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2005 16:26
Subject: [bayscan] anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

anyone know the specail Freqs Santa Rosa PD or SO are useing this evening
for the event ??

Anthony

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39350. Re: anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 00:12:49 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39348 Message: 39350

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
> what event???

It's May 5th... I've got a guess... but just a guess.... :)

39351. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 00:23:22 UTC
From: gaffit@sbcglobal.net
Topic: 39310 Message: 39351

----- Original Message ----- :
> > ...and they both passed smog...
> > (http://smogcheck.ca.gov/vehtests/pubtstqry.aspx)
> >
All I get is a 404 error msg on that link...Anyone else?

Later,
Todd...

PS: Enjoyed playing around with the camera too...Fun when someone else has control and moves the camera - I kept moving it back - whoever else was controlling it today was having fun too ;-)

39352. Re: Bay Area web controllable cameras

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 02:29:35 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39310 Message: 39352

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, <gaffit@s...> wrote:
> All I get is a 404 error msg on that link...Anyone else?

Works fine for me... make sure your web browser isn't adding the
parenthesis... http://smogcheck.ca.gov/vehtests/pubtstqry.aspx

Evan

39353. Re: [bayscan] Codes and Freqs (was Re: Sunnyvale incident)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 02:37:44 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 36115 Message: 39353

I learned how to tie a tie thanks to Google. Searching for "police code 211" got me my answer just as fast as "how to tie a tie" did 5 minutes before racing out the door in a suit to a wedding. Heck, even Google corrects me if it thinks I'm wrong "did you mean THIS?" and I humbly think..oh yeah I DID mean that.

I also agree that we need to be helpful to the new people on the list. However, we need to help those that are truely new to the hobby and not spoonfeed those that have been around for some years. Some people on this list are NOT new and it drives me nuts when they ask what a standard code is like 211 just to stir trouble and start threads like this on the list. The same people will then turn around on the fire lists and belt out acronyms like MVA, TGU, BTU, LNF, TC, etc. I keep almost every single e-mail since my first address back in 95 (makes for a great archive to search against...). That makes it easy for me to search who posted what when and where and for how long they've been around. Helps out a lot in moderating these lists to know if a person has been around, or if the new subscriber is just a spammer. I've seen many people "grow" into the hobby, and I see many spoonfed for years.

If I'm going to join a hobby I will put some work into it to at least understand the lingo of the hobby. Scanner listening involves law enforcement and fire of all things. By nature, you will learn FAST what a 211 is or you're going to miss out on some exciting parts of your hobby. The dispatcher is not going to spoonfeed "a bank robbery is occuring at this time" out of your scanner speaker. You need to dedicate some time to your hobby enough that you don't miss the stuff that you wanted to hear in the first place. How long did it take for you to realize a bunch of DTMF tones and 2-tones on the fire channel means a lot of equipment is about to get dispatched so I better pay attention? I enjoy the challenge of learning more about my hobby.

The patience on this list is so thin I can't believe it. I just purchased a Nikon D70 camera and I joined the respective yahoo group, which has 2769 members on it (2000 MORE than Bayscan's current 769). I get 70-100 e-mails a day through that list alone. I simply read what I'm interested in and ignore the rest. I am new to the Digital SLR hobby, and I am humbly going to learn enough so I'm not asking stupid questions 3 years later on how to focus my camera. It's expected you put a little work into your hobby enough to not ask how to focus your camera 3 years later, or what the heck a 211 is 3 years into your scanning hobby. On top of that, the patience on the Nikon list is amazing. The same questions are asked over and over and over and people still answer them. A lot of these questions are answered in the camera manual. READ THE MANUAL and stop wasting our time. If you took the time to read the manual and learn some simple stuff about your hobby, then you will prove

that you are truely interested in the hobby and not somebody who just wants to be spoonfed.

I guess after dedicating so much of my time to maintaining a huge scanner website, moderating and contributing to a lot of scanner lists, and writing monthly articles in a national scanning magazine for new people, I get sick and tired of those that keep mooching and asking for another spoonful.

Jake
I don't even apologize for possibly being offtopic on this list anymore...

39354. Re: [bayscan] Codes and Freqs (was Re: Sunnyvale incident)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 03:46:29 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36115 Message: 39354

I'd like to officially like to plead guilty to having a spoon up my butt
and graciasly apologize..

Jake H wrote:

>I learned how to tie a tie thanks to Google. Searching for "police code
>211" got me my answer just as fast as "how to tie a tie" did 5 minutes
>before racing out the door in a suit to a wedding. Heck, even Google
>corrects me if it thinks I'm wrong "did you mean THIS?" and I humbly
>think..oh yeah I DID mean that.
>
>I also agree that we need to be helpful to the new people on the list.
>However, we need to help those that are truely new to the hobby and not
>spoonfeed those that have been around for some years. Some people on
>this list are NOT new and it drives me nuts when they ask what a
>standard code is like 211 just to stir trouble and start threads like
>this on the list. The same people will then turn around on the fire
>lists and belt out acronyms like MVA, TGU, BTU, LNF, TC, etc. I keep
>almost every single e-mail since my first address back in 95 (makes for
>a great archive to search against...). That makes it easy for me to
>search who posted what when and where and for how long they've been
>around. Helps out a lot in moderating these lists to know if a person
>has been around, or if the new subscriber is just a spammer. I've seen
>many people "grow" into the hobby, and I see many spoonfed for years.
>
>If I'm going to join a hobby I will put some work into it to at least
>understand the lingo of the hobby. Scanner listening involves law
>enforcement and fire of all things. By nature, you will learn FAST what
>a 211 is or you're going to miss out on some exciting parts of your
>hobby. The dispatcher is not going to spoonfeed "a bank robbery is
>occuring at this time" out of your scanner speaker. You need to dedicate
>some time to your hobby enough that you don't miss the stuff that you
>wanted to hear in the first place. How long did it take for you to
>realize a bunch of DTMF tones and 2-tones on the fire channel means a
>lot of equipment is about to get dispatched so I better pay attention? I
>enjoy the challenge of learning more about my hobby.
>
>The patience on this list is so thin I can't believe it. I just
>purchased a Nikon D70 camera and I joined the respective yahoo group,
>which has 2769 members on it (2000 MORE than Bayscan's current 769). I
>get 70-100 e-mails a day through that list alone. I simply read what I'm
>interested in and ignore the rest. I am new to the Digital SLR hobby,
>and I am humbly going to learn enough so I'm not asking stupid questions
>3 years later on how to focus my camera. It's expected you put a little
>work into your hobby enough to not ask how to focus your camera 3 years

>later, or what the heck a 211 is 3 years into your scanning hobby. On
>top of that, the patience on the Nikon list is amazing. The same
>questions are asked over and over and over and people still answer them.
>A lot of these questions are answered in the camera manual. READ THE
>MANUAL and stop wasting our time. If you took the time to read the
>manual and learn some simple stuff about your hobby, then you will prove
>that you are truely interested in the hobby and not somebody who just
>wants to be spoonfed.
>
>I guess after dedicating so much of my time to maintaining a huge
>scanner website, moderating and contributing to a lot of scanner lists,
>and writing monthly articles in a national scanning magazine for new
>people, I get sick and tired of those that keep mooching and asking for
>another spoonful.
>
>Jake
>I don't even apologize for possibly being offtopic on this list anymore...
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39355. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale incident (now codes)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 03:51:54 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 36115 Message: 39355

There is always the Kelty Code book.

Can't we all just get along?

39356. Oak Riot

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:12:16 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 39356 Message: 39356

KRON police responding to 700 people riot, Bulletin

39357. Correction: Richmond Riot

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:15:55 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 39357 Message: 39357

Correction: Not OAKLAND

Richmond 23rd & Ream? is area KRON reports.

39358. Re: Sunnyvale incident (now codes)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:20:43 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 36115 Message: 39358

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> There is always the Kelty Code book.
>
> Can't we all just get along?

I agree with both sides, however I fail to see the huge resistance - and even REFUSAL to post both the code and plain text. I for one type 70 words per minute. Even typing 30 WPM, it takes, what, 3 extra seconds to type "211 - bank robbery"? Even if you hunt and peck, it can't take five seconds to type out bank robbery.

My life isn't scanners. It's a hobby.

And when you're monitoring something, there's clues over the air. I often get confused on 23152 v.s. 10851 - 23152 is DUI, 10851 is stolen vehicle. So for example if I heard "23152, NB 680 JNO Stoneridge. Driver all over the road, seen holding a beer can." So if I put this on bayscan as "23152, NB 680 JNO Stoneridge" and nothing more, I might not be clued in on the fact that this is a DUI.

And as I mentioned, I may not be in a position to read a book or google for a code depending on where I'm reading bayscan.

So why the resistance and flat out refusal to post the plain text along with the code?

39359. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:23:49 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36115 Message: 39359

Thank you Jonathan AND Jake, I agree with you both completely and it was very eloquently put. I've been listening to public safety on scanners for under 6 months, and I already know 90% of the penal code, vehicle code, regionally relevant health and safety codes (11357 hahahaha), and my ENTIRE local PD 10-code by heart... and I'm 17! I'm not saying this to brag and put other people down who are new to scanning, I just want to clarify that it has to do more with how intrigued you are with listening and how much effort you want to put into figuring out the system of communication your local law enforcement uses, and less to do with how new you are. When I heard my local PD I thought to myself "Holy sh*t I'm listening to my local PD!!!" Thence, I immediately started printing out every list of codes I could find, and wrote down anything I couldn't immediately identify with google (like unit ID numbers).

For me it was like learning a rudimentary new language, but having learned it I can immediately appreciate how useful it is in practice and WHY law enforcement uses it as a shorthand. I love participating in this group because it's a great resource to get minute-by-minute updates on where the "action" is to listen to around the bay, but we have to stop bitching every other week about how someone isn't spelling everything out. I know if I hear a pursuit somewhere I want to listen to it, not type for five minutes about it on bayscan. As a result, I might write a really quick message about it because I want everyone else who happens to be within range not to miss it, but I myself might miss it if I spell the whole thing out to everyone. It just takes too long. I know other people here have been in the same situation, and I always appreciate a heads up on a good chase if I happen to be online and listening. I'd rather hear about it, and have to google a code, or look in "Police Call", or take the list of penal codes I printed out out of my desk drawer and look at it... you get the picture. I'd rather go through that little effort to figure out what's going on then belittle the poster who under most circumstances was trying to do me a favor and taking their time to let ME know about something I might enjoy listening to. That just seems rude and ungrateful to me.

I'd be happy to help newer people (or older people who were just slower to catch on than I was), and I REALLY hope that other older members of the group (many of whom have been listening to their scanners longer than I've been alive) will extend me the same courtesy when I have a question, so the last thing I would ever want to do is bitch at somebody for not being specific enough when they're posting information for my benefit. And what really makes this issue so funny THIS TIME, is that the original poster said "211 at a bank."

What crime usually happens at a bank... requiring a lot of police presence and a search for a subject? Using your intuition and listening to the on-going event (or just using google) will help you a lot more to understand in the short term what asking about on the group will do in the long term.

Really, it's in your best interest to have the codes at least printed out somewhere as a reference because you'll be able to find out what happened a lot quicker if someone neglects to elaborate in their first post. Thanks for appologizing Ben, I see you did while I was typing all that. I wish we could all just get along and talk about the more interesting and enlightening facts about public safety (like how to build our own concealed optical traffic light-changer LOL!!!), rather than griping about how someone didn't use proper online etiquette every day. :-)

-Inigo

P.S. - Since I mentioned the online etiquette griping... I'm really happy I don't recieve group emails like everyone else, because I'd hang myself from hearing Evan complain about ED all the time! You both seem like good people, and it just seems like a lot of off-topic mellow drama and I for one don't care! (The worst I've ever seen Ed sound in a post is grumpy, and I don't see why people would take it so personally.) I beg you: Work your personal differences out by yourself and don't repeatedly email over 700 people to bitch about ONE. Thank you.

39360. SJFD - Smoke Investigation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:30:01 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39360 Message: 39360

San Jose Fire is working a "smoke investigation" at 2930 Huff
(apartment # withheld), or is that Puff, for report of smoke from a
window. Second caller to fire states that it smells like marijuana.
Believe it or not this call has the following units either on scene or
en route:

ENGINE 10
TRUCK 4
RESCUE MEDIC 4
ENGINE 4
BATTALION 10

-C-

39361. Re: Correction: Richmond Riot

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:30:35 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39357 Message: 39361

STARR 1 is overhead. Advises they also have a sideshow starting on 20th & Lincoln. Cops are yelling "FAN OUT FAN OUT!" I'll try and record some of this... Richmond PD 1 - TG 02-021

-Inigo

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "aviationplusphoto" <aviationplusphoto@y...> wrote:
> Correction: Not OAKLAND
>
> Richmond 23rd & Ream? is area KRON reports.

39362. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:35:31 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36115 Message: 39362

My only point would be.. Not everyone is a PD nut. being a fire nut i can resite everything fire.. but of course fire uses plain text, which btw LE dispatch centers are slowly starting to go to... So for those of us who don't eat and breathe pd we don't, nor should we have to memorize 10 or 11 codes which are different for every dept....

Like Evan stated so eloquently.. why the big refusal to just use plain text and or both?

inigo4688 wrote:

>Thank you Jonathan AND Jake, I agree with you both completely and it
>was very eloquently put. I've been listening to public safety on
>scanners for under 6 months, and I already know 90% of the penal
>code, vehicle code, regionally relevant health and safety codes
>(11357 hahahaha), and my ENTIRE local PD 10-code by heart... and I'm
>17! I'm not saying this to brag and put other people down who are new
>to scanning, I just want to clarify that it has to do more with how
>intrigued you are with listening and how much effort you want to put
>into figuring out the system of communication your local law
>enforcement uses, and less to do with how new you are. When I heard
>my local PD I thought to myself "Holy sh*t I'm listening to my local
>PD!!!" Thence, I immediately started printing out every list of codes
>I could find, and wrote down anything I couldn't immediately identify
>with google (like unit ID numbers).
>
>For me it was like learning a rudimentary new language, but having
>learned it I can immediately appreciate how useful it is in practice
>and WHY law enforcement uses it as a shorthand. I love participating
>in this group because it's a great resource to get minute-by-minute
>updates on where the "action" is to listen to around the bay, but we
>have to stop bitching every other week about how someone isn't
>spelling everything out. I know if I hear a pursuit somewhere I want
>to listen to it, not type for five minutes about it on bayscan. As a
>result, I might write a really quick message about it because I want
>everyone else who happens to be within range not to miss it, but I
>myself might miss it if I spell the whole thing out to everyone. It
>just takes too long. I know other people here have been in the same
>situation, and I always appreciate a heads up on a good chase if I
>happen to be online and listening. I'd rather hear about it, and have
>to google a code, or look in "Police Call", or take the list of penal
>codes I printed out out of my desk drawer and look at it... you get
>the picture. I'd rather go through that little effort to figure out
>what's going on then belittle the poster who under most circumstances
>was trying to do me a favor and taking their time to let ME know
>about something I might enjoy listening to. That just seems rude and

>ungrateful to me.
>
>I'd be happy to help newer people (or older people who were just
>slower to catch on than I was), and I REALLY hope that other older
>members of the group (many of whom have been listening to their
>scanners longer than I've been alive) will extend me the same
>courtesy when I have a question, so the last thing I would ever want
>to do is bitch at somebody for not being specific enough when they're
>posting information for my benefit. And what really makes this issue
>so funny THIS TIME, is that the original poster said "211 at a bank."
>
>What crime usually happens at a bank... requiring a lot of police
>presence and a search for a subject? Using your intuition and
>listening to the on-going event (or just using google) will help you
>a lot more to understand in the short term what asking about on the
>group will do in the long term.
>
>Really, it's in your best interest to have the codes at least printed
>out somewhere as a reference because you'll be able to find out what
>happened a lot quicker if someone neglects to elaborate in their
>first post. Thanks for appologizing Ben, I see you did while I was
>typing all that. I wish we could all just get along and talk about
>the more interesting and enlightening facts about public safety (like
>how to build our own concealed optical traffic light-changer LOL!!!),
>rather than griping about how someone didn't use proper online
>etiquette every day. :-)
>
>-Inigo
>
>P.S. - Since I mentioned the online etiquette griping... I'm really
>happy I don't recieve group emails like everyone else, because I'd
>hang myself from hearing Evan complain about ED all the time! You
>both seem like good people, and it just seems like a lot of off-topic
>mellow drama and I for one don't care! (The worst I've ever seen Ed
>sound in a post is grumpy, and I don't see why people would take it
>so personally.) I beg you: Work your personal differences out by
>yourself and don't repeatedly email over 700 people to bitch about
>ONE. Thank you.
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

39363. Re: Oak Riot

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:33:58 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39356 Message: 39363

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "aviationplusphoto"
<aviationplusphoto@y...> wrote:
> KRON police responding to 700 people riot, Bulletin

I live by the Sunnyvale Town Center and by Murphy Street.. I'm at work in Pleasanton. Should I put on my bullet proof vest before going by murphy street?

And keeping somewhat on topic....

I drive down east washington avenue in sunnyvale past the town center and murphy. And on friday saturday and sunday nights, they have people just hanging out on the street.. And quite often drunk or just plain idiots on the curb standing VERY close to the street or often flat out IN THE street. And I don't want to be rude and honk my horn... but "Security" (who knows who - yellow raincoat with SECURITY silkscreened on it) is just standing there looking stupid.

Part of me says "Honk the horn. Get the moron to move."
The other part of me says "Murphy street - bad idea. They probably are carrying AK-47's."

39364. Re: [bayscan] SJFD - Smoke Investigation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:33:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39360 Message: 39364

Got it right Craig ....its Huff , these maybe the apartments that burned during the Santana Row Fire , same street.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39365. Re: anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:44:12 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39348 Message: 39365

Anthony asked:

>anyone know the specail Freqs Santa Rosa PD or SO are useing this evening
>for the event ??
>
>
I heard some Cinco de Mayo activity on Sheriff ch 4 and talk about going
to tactical (5, I think) frequencies. SR has lots of tac freqs; try
searching 460-460.9875.

Cortland
9:43 PM

39366. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:48:41 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 36115 Message: 39366

Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:

> Like Evan stated so eloquently.. why the big refusal to just use plain
> text and or both?

I never tried to discourage that, I just don't think it's fair to slam people when they forget, or when they don't have time, which I said in my previous post. Ed might feel differently then I do, in which case he'll continue to use codes only and laugh demonically knowing the fire nuts won't immediately know what's going on. :-) I understand where you're coming from now, and I think we should all just help each other as much as possible so the guy who knows about LE codes will explain them to the fire guys and the fire guys will explain the different strike teams and their roles that are talking on the fireground channel of a working fire. Alas, not everyone's going to do that so let's cut our losses and stop caring so much when they don't.

-Inigo

39367. Re: bayscan] anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:55:40 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39367 Message: 39367

>
>
>From: AMP6352@...
> anyone know the specail Freqs Santa Rosa PD or SO are useing this evening
> for the event ??
>
Whoops! I think the activity I'm hearing is farther South; does SR have
a 24 th street? San Pablo Dam road sounds like down South, all right, as
well.

Cortland
KA5S
9:55PM

39368. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 05:00:26 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 36115 Message: 39368

*Ok agreed lets sing cumbaya, and I'm going to blow this thread up for good =)

<barney theme>
I like you
You like me
We?re a happy family
With a great big hug and
A scanner from me to you
Won?t you say you'll 10 code me too?

I like you
You like me
We?re best friends like friends
Like friends should be
With a great big hug
And a scanner from me to you
Won?t you say you like me too?*

inigo4688 wrote:

>Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Like Evan stated so eloquently.. why the big refusal to just use
>>
>>
>plain
>
>
>>text and or both?
>>
>>
>
>I never tried to discourage that, I just don't think it's fair to slam
>people when they forget, or when they don't have time, which I said in
>my previous post. Ed might feel differently then I do, in which case
>he'll continue to use codes only and laugh demonically knowing the fire
>nuts won't immediately know what's going on. :-) I understand where
>you're coming from now, and I think we should all just help each other
>as much as possible so the guy who knows about LE codes will explain
>them to the fire guys and the fire guys will explain the different
>strike teams and their roles that are talking on the fireground channel
>of a working fire. Alas, not everyone's going to do that so let's cut
>our losses and stop caring so much when they don't.
>

>-Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39369. Re: [bayscan] Re: bayscan] anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 05:01:48 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39367 Message: 39369

sideshow riot in richmond...

Cortland Richmond wrote:

>>From: AMP6352@...
>> anyone know the specail Freqs Santa Rosa PD or SO are useing this evening
>> for the event ??
>>
>>
>>
>Whoops! I think the activity I'm hearing is farther South; does SR have
>a 24 th street? San Pablo Dam road sounds like down South, all right, as
>well.
>
>Cortland
>KA5S
>9:55PM
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39370. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 04:58:36 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 36115 Message: 39370

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
> I never tried to discourage that, I just don't think it's fair to slam
> people when they forget, or when they don't have time, which I said in
> my previous post. Ed might feel differently then I do, in which case
> he'll continue to use codes only and laugh demonically knowing the fire
> nuts won't immediately know what's going on. :-) I understand where
> you're coming from now, and I think we should all just help each other
> as much as possible so the guy who knows about LE codes will explain
> them to the fire guys and the fire guys will explain the different
> strike teams and their roles that are talking on the fireground channel
> of a working fire. Alas, not everyone's going to do that so let's cut
> our losses and stop caring so much when they don't.

Well, I'm glad most everyone is willing to help and reply with the plain text. But some people will be stuck in their ways and refuse to change.. But no more ranting for me.

39371. Somona County

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 05:00:05 UTC
From: Hole in the Head Press
Topic: 39371 Message: 39371

Sonoma SO on 453.550 (Tactical 4) working various groups of folks at 3rd and Dutton and
assisting the PD clearing the parking lot at the Safeway on Mendocino at 2150 hours. Henry 1 also
buzzing round helping both the SO and PD. Possible rock thowers and some flag wavers mentioned.

--
Sam Stokes, KG6WYZ, Publisher
Second Edition, Rings of Supersonic Steel
Air Defenses of the U.S. Army 1950-1979
An Introductory History & Site Guide
http://www.holeintheheadpress.com

39372. Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 05:00:57 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 36115 Message: 39372

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> *Ok agreed lets sing cumbaya, and I'm going to blow this thread up
for good =)
>
> <barney theme>
> I like you
> You like me
> We're a happy family
> With a great big hug and
> A scanner from me to you
> Won't you say you'll 10 code me too?
>
> I like you
> You like me
> We're best friends like friends
> Like friends should be
> With a great big hug
> And a scanner from me to you
> Won't you say you like me too?*

Would everyone be more scared if they knew Ben typed those from memory?

I recall a 'cartoon' that showed to like scientists talking, and one
said "Oh no.. evidence that the dinasours were purple. Keep this to
yourself."

39373. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sunnyvale incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 05:01:41 UTC
From: Jake H
Topic: 36115 Message: 39373

LOL NOW we're talkin'! Fire nuts vs LE nuts. Ben you are a fire nut for sure (said in good nature of course ;). I think this is a good ending; common understanding to this thread now. Well said Inigo. Good night!
Jake - fire nut

inigo4688 wrote:

> Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
>
> > Like Evan stated so eloquently.. why the big refusal to just use
> plain
> > text and or both?
>
> I never tried to discourage that, I just don't think it's fair to slam
> people when they forget, or when they don't have time, which I said in
> my previous post. Ed might feel differently then I do, in which case
> he'll continue to use codes only and laugh demonically knowing the fire
> nuts won't immediately know what's going on. :-) I understand where
> you're coming from now, and I think we should all just help each other
> as much as possible so the guy who knows about LE codes will explain
> them to the fire guys and the fire guys will explain the different
> strike teams and their roles that are talking on the fireground channel
> of a working fire. Alas, not everyone's going to do that so let's cut
> our losses and stop caring so much when they don't.
>
> -Inigo
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39374. Re: bayscan] anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 05:07:49 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39367 Message: 39374

Here are the Santa Rosa PD Freqs I have, I haven't been up there in a while though (Nina help! :-)):

Ch. 1 - Dispatch: 460.375 (PL 127.3)
Ch. 2 - Records: 460.05 (PL 127.3)
Ch. 3 - Tactical: 460.025 (PL 127.3)
Ch. 4 - Tactical: 460.20 (Unsure if there's a PL on these)
Ch. 5 - Special use/Tactical?: 460.50

39375. Cinco activity around...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 05:37:28 UTC
From: Wyatt Earp
Topic: 39375 Message: 39375

S.J.P.D. is active with many plates and ID's being run. SJSU is also active with many calls. Also I believe The Riot in Richmond is winding down.. At one point I heard CHP were sending units from Marin with 2 officers per car. Wondering if S.J. will get rowdy later tonight?

39376. Re: RF-based traffic signal preemption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 05:41:25 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 39253 Message: 39376

The Emtrac radio-based traffic signal pre-emption system is the most common RF-type system, at least here in the Bay Area. Here's a link to them: http://www.emtracsystems.com/home.html

In Marin, many of the major thoroughfares (like urban Sir Francis Drake Blvd) have Emtrac receivers at the signals. San Rafael has deployed the system, too. The Emtrac transmitters have directional controls (N-S, E-W) in the cab, and most units have an electronic compass module for the *Auto* mode.

The RF-based systems seem to be falling out of favor, and the strobe light-based systems (3M Opticom) seem to be on the increase. My dept has been using Opticom since the mid '80s. Depts like Las Vegas Fire have been using Opticom since the '70s.

The Opticom system is generally less expensive (short and long term). It is easier to add vehicles to the system, compared with Emtrac. Opticom also (from my experience) allows for better multiple control (multi-directional responses) of a single intersection.

39377. Shooting Castro Valley ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 06:26:10 UTC
From: Wyatt Earp
Topic: 39377 Message: 39377

2 vics shot. one pretty bad off. supervising officer asking that one officer ride along with vic.. From what I know this usually only occurs if there is a possibility the vic may die?? (any PD out there feel free to correct or validate this) Anyhow, CHP Helo overhead looking for suspect(s) Possibly hispanic or Philipino males in a green pickup with a camper shell...ok now saying hispanic male about 5'6 .. 90's style dodge pickup... This is on or around center street

39378. Re: [bayscan] Re: Oak Riot

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 06:30:39 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39356 Message: 39378

FWIW, I've been on Murphy street and heard gun fire nearby.

The best Sunnyvale Town Center PD call I ever heard was an attempted stabbing in the parking lot. The woman wore a cross under her clothing and it blocked the knife. That sure beats BVM sightings. [Hey, sorry about the code, make that Blessed Virgin Mary sightings.]

Evan wrote:
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "aviationplusphoto"
> <aviationplusphoto@y...> wrote:
>
>>KRON police responding to 700 people riot, Bulletin
>
>
> I live by the Sunnyvale Town Center and by Murphy Street.. I'm at work
> in Pleasanton. Should I put on my bullet proof vest before going by
> murphy street?
>
> And keeping somewhat on topic....
>
> I drive down east washington avenue in sunnyvale past the town center
> and murphy. And on friday saturday and sunday nights, they have people
> just hanging out on the street.. And quite often drunk or just plain
> idiots on the curb standing VERY close to the street or often flat out
> IN THE street. And I don't want to be rude and honk my horn... but
> "Security" (who knows who - yellow raincoat with SECURITY silkscreened
> on it) is just standing there looking stupid.
>
> Part of me says "Honk the horn. Get the moron to move."
> The other part of me says "Murphy street - bad idea. They probably are
> carrying AK-47's."
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39379. Re: [bayscan] Re: RF-based traffic signal preemption

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 06:41:47 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39253 Message: 39379

Given the propensity for cities to fail to keep up with FCC licensing, I could see optical systems being more popular. Perhaps the "window" cleaning isn't as much of an issue.

I had heard that at one time motorcycles had flashing headlights, and these lights could trigger the opticon sensor. This could be urban legend.

When the Opticon issue came up the last time, I found quite a few patent on-line should anyone want more details on how the system works.

Getting back to cities not keeping up with licensing, I recall from lunch with Kelty that the city of Tracy either had a license stolen or stole one from another city that let their renewal slip.

Erik wrote:
> The Emtrac radio-based traffic signal pre-emption system is the most
> common RF-type system, at least here in the Bay Area. Here's a link to
> them: http://www.emtracsystems.com/home.html

39380. Re: [bayscan] Re: Oak Riot

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 06:59:54 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39356 Message: 39380

At 11:30 PM 5/5/2005, you wrote:
>FWIW, I've been on Murphy street and heard gun fire nearby.

I remember one night driving past it, (I live about 2 blocks from there) and as soon as I stopped my car at my house heard a dozen sirens. Turned on PD, and there was a report of a stabbing by a guy with a gun.... missed it by >< that much.

>The best Sunnyvale Town Center PD call

Hey, they ain't no PD! :) "WAVE" Security is their name, technically (Walking And Village Entertainment). Heck, at one time I more or less had radio privileges and a callsign on their channel - off hours at least. Some nice guys there though. Kinda funny, they have a autopatch system (telephone hooked up to a radio - so you can dial out or get calls in) and my home phone number is VERY close to their number. Never got a accidental call for them though.

Evan

39381. Re: [bayscan] Re: Oak Riot

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 07:27:35 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39356 Message: 39381

I think what I heard was a real PD call, however I am quite familiar
with the phone patch at the mall. The phone number could be obtained by
shall we say "social engineering", then one could call security and hear
ones voice over the scanner. I could say more, but I don't know the
statute of limitations. ;-)

OK, two words: protein spill

Evan Platt wrote:
> At 11:30 PM 5/5/2005, you wrote:
>
>>FWIW, I've been on Murphy street and heard gun fire nearby.
>
>
> I remember one night driving past it, (I live about 2 blocks from there)
> and as soon as I stopped my car at my house heard a dozen sirens. Turned on
> PD, and there was a report of a stabbing by a guy with a gun.... missed it
> by >< that much.
>
>
>>The best Sunnyvale Town Center PD call
>
>
> Hey, they ain't no PD! :) "WAVE" Security is their name, technically
> (Walking And Village Entertainment). Heck, at one time I more or less had
> radio privileges and a callsign on their channel - off hours at least. Some
> nice guys there though. Kinda funny, they have a autopatch system
> (telephone hooked up to a radio - so you can dial out or get calls in) and
> my home phone number is VERY close to their number. Never got a accidental
> call for them though.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39382. Re: [bayscan] Re: anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 12:24:16 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 39348 Message: 39382

-----Original Message-----
From: Cortland Richmond <cortlandka5s@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thu, 05 May 2005 21:44:12 -0700
Subject: [bayscan] Re: anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Anthony asked:

>anyone know the specail Freqs Santa Rosa PD or SO are useing this evening
>for the event ??
>
>
I heard some Cinco de Mayo activity on Sheriff ch 4 and talk about going
to tactical (5, I think) frequencies. SR has lots of tac freqs; try
searching 460-460.9875.

Cortland
9:43 PM

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39383. Re: [bayscan] Re: anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 12:24:38 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 39348 Message: 39383

-----Original Message-----
From: Cortland Richmond <cortlandka5s@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thu, 05 May 2005 21:44:12 -0700
Subject: [bayscan] Re: anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Anthony asked:

>anyone know the specail Freqs Santa Rosa PD or SO are useing this evening
>for the event ??
>
>
I heard some Cinco de Mayo activity on Sheriff ch 4 and talk about going
to tactical (5, I think) frequencies. SR has lots of tac freqs; try
searching 460-460.9875.

Cortland
9:43 PM

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39384. Re: [bayscan] Re: bayscan] anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 12:43:39 UTC
From: AMP6352@AOL.COM
Topic: 39367 Message: 39384

thanks so much keep in touch

Anthony

-----Original Message-----
From: inigo4688 <inigo.markle@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Fri, 06 May 2005 05:07:49 -0000
Subject: [bayscan] Re: bayscan] anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Here are the Santa Rosa PD Freqs I have, I haven't been up there in a
while though (Nina help! :-)):

Ch. 1 - Dispatch: 460.375 (PL 127.3)
Ch. 2 - Records: 460.05 (PL 127.3)
Ch. 3 - Tactical: 460.025 (PL 127.3)
Ch. 4 - Tactical: 460.20 (Unsure if there's a PL on these)
Ch. 5 - Special use/Tactical?: 460.50

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39385. RE: [bayscan] OT: DMV Smog Check Website

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 13:47:10 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39310 Message: 39385

Wow! The first vehicle I ever owned is still listed in there. Dang I feel old... hah!

>
>
> ----- Original Message ----- :
> > > ...and they both passed smog...
> > > (http://smogcheck.ca.gov/vehtests/pubtstqry.aspx)
> > >

39386. Re: [bayscan] Re: bayscan] anyone know the Freqs Santa Rosa PD is useing tonight

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 15:31:42 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39367 Message: 39386

hi inigo, that's what i have, too... petaluma is about as far north as
i go for now... ;<

On Thursday, May 5, 2005, at 22:07 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

> Here are the Santa Rosa PD Freqs I have, I haven't been up there in a
> while though (Nina help! :-)):
>
> Ch. 1 - Dispatch: 460.375 (PL 127.3)
> Ch. 2 - Records: 460.05 (PL 127.3)
> Ch. 3 - Tactical: 460.025 (PL 127.3)
> Ch. 4 - Tactical: 460.20 (Unsure if there's a PL on these)
> Ch. 5 - Special use/Tactical?: 460.50
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39387. Morgan Hill Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 18:23:11 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 39387 Message: 39387

Santa Clara County Fire calling a 2nd alarm at 17675 Woodland @
Llgas west of Morgan Hill. Sorry, missed exact type of structure fire

M/A CDF
South Santa Clara County Fire
San Jose

1121 hours

Ed

154.25 Dispatch
154.145 Command 13
151.445 CDF

39388. Cinco de Mayo Celebrations Get Rowdy in Richmond

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 20:45:42 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39388 Message: 39388

Here is one re-cap of went on last night in Richmond, I also heard stuff going down in Oakland also:

Cinco de Mayo Celebrations Get Rowdy in Richmond
May 6, 2005 11:28 am US/Pacific

Some Cinco de Mayo revelers got out of hand in Richmond Thursday night, as hundreds of partiers came out to celebrate the holiday.

Police say as many as 1500 people were involved on the melee on 23rd St. Bottles and rocks were thrown -- some at police -- and car windows were smashed, including some vehicles at a dealership. Police shut down a mile of the roadway as they tried to shut the party down.

No serious injuries were reported, but several people were arrested.

39389. Re: [bayscan] Cinco de Mayo Celebrations Get Rowdy in Richmond

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-06 20:52:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39388 Message: 39389

Both KGO and KTVU mentioned they expect the celebration to continue thru the weekend. So those who can get Richmond might want to monitor them tonight and tomorrow night . RPD is supposed to double their forces tonight and I wouldn't be surprized for them to have some other depts assisting. So CoCo S/O might be interesting as well

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39390. Santa Clara fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-07 08:39:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39390 Message: 39390

Anyone up ?

Santa Clara City working a 2nd alarm at 2050 Jackson Court @ Main street.
Fire in a 2 story Six-plex apartment building . Apartment 3 on 1st floor fully involved.

TG 304
main - 272 (or 153.965)

Engines 4-5-3 Truck 2 Rescue 2 Hazmat 9 Battalion 1

2nd) Engines 1 and 6 Truck 10

0139 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39391. [SJFD] Full First Smoke Investigation 13:18

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-07 20:19:20 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39391 Message: 39391

E14 T14 E10 E4 T1 B1 B10 M30

Norwalk Dr. x Keily

Smoke coming from a 1st floor laundry room in an apartment complex.

Command 3 154.115

39392. Re: [bayscan] [SJFD] Full First Smoke Investigation 13:25

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-07 20:25:17 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39392 Message: 39392

Turns out to be a washing machine burning up, E14 can handle cancelling all incoming units.
EOM

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39393. Possible Vehicle Into Water

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-07 20:57:42 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39393 Message: 39393

CDF and Santa Clara County Fire responding to a report of vehicle over the embankment and possibly in the water at Anderson Reservoir (1:54 p.m.) in Morgan Hill area. This was reported via cell phone with sketchy information.

COUNTY ENGINE 13
SOUTH COUNTY ENGINE 1
BATTALION 1611

Working on CDF - 151.445

-C-

39394. Re: [bayscan] Possible Vehicle Into Water

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-07 22:02:47 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39393 Message: 39394

Unfounded

On May 7, 2005, at 1:57 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> CDF and Santa Clara County Fire responding to a report of vehicle over
> the embankment and possibly in the water at Anderson Reservoir (1:54
> p.m.) in Morgan Hill area. This was reported via cell phone with
> sketchy information.
>
> COUNTY ENGINE 13
> SOUTH COUNTY ENGINE 1
> BATTALION 1611
>
> Working on CDF - 151.445
>
> -C-

39395. Coast Guard Open House - May 21 at Station Golden Gate

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-07 23:04:08 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39395 Message: 39395

Coast Guard Open House - May 21 at Station Golden Gate

U.S. Coast Guard Station Golden Gate will be holding their annual open house on Saturday, May 21 from 10:00 to 3:00. Proceeds from the sale of hats, shirts and patches will go into the station morale fund. The event kicks off Safe Boating Week and includes rescue demonstrations and boat tours as well as a BBQ, face painting and Kid Care ID.

Station Golden Gate is located near the north end of the Golden Gate Bridge (Marin side) on Horseshoe Cove. Exit Highway 101 onto Alexander Avenue and take the first left as you head down toward Sausalito proper and follow the Coast Guard signs. For more info, contact:

USCG Station Golden Gate
435 Murray Circle, Sausalito, CA 94965
(415) 331-8247

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39396. Mt. Hamilton crash

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 03:43:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39396 Message: 39396

Report of a vehicle over the side on Mt. Hamilton road , unknown exact location

Engine 2
Truck 2
Battalion 2
Engine 31
Medic 31

SJPD Air 2
Calstar

CDF

151.445
2043 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39397. Re: [bayscan] Mt. Hamilton crash- NON INJURY EOM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 03:45:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39397 Message: 39397

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39398. Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 18:32:23 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39398 Message: 39398

m/a Mountain View & Sunnyvale.

Handled on the blue, 153.845.
E4 on scene, no update yet. 237 IC.

1129 hrs. Not sure if i'll be able to update, just about out the door.

Evan

39399. Re: Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 18:32:42 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39398 Message: 39399

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> m/a Mountain View & Sunnyvale.
>
> Handled on the blue, 153.845.
> E4 on scene, no update yet. 237 IC.
>
> 1129 hrs. Not sure if i'll be able to update, just about out the
door.

No extrications, all pt's out of vehicles.

1131 hrs

39400. Re: Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 18:38:51 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39398 Message: 39400

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
> No extrications, all pt's out of vehicles.

Sorry, had to add this.. Unless I misheard this on Sunnyvale FD:
"Vehicle was disabled by the concrete barrier."

Umm no, correction: Vehicle was disabled by the DRIVER plowing the car into the concrete barrier. The concrete barrier was a innocent bystander. I don't know who won, but I have a guess. :)

BTW: This appears to one accident about a half a mile apart.

39401. Working Structure - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 20:14:35 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39401 Message: 39401

San Jose Fire working a confirmed structure at 2110 Nottoway Avenue at 1:09 p.m.

C side of single family structure fully involved.

ENGINE 21
PATROL 21
ENGINE 3
ENGINE 16
USAR 16
USAR TENDER 16
MED 30
TRUCK 2
LIGHT UNIT 2
BATTALION 1
BATTALION 2

Incident working on Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

39402. Re: [bayscan] Re: Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 20:37:08 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39398 Message: 39402

The pt was taken Code 3 to VMC with major Head Trauma.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2005 11:38 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
>> No extrications, all pt's out of vehicles.
>
> Sorry, had to add this.. Unless I misheard this on Sunnyvale FD:
> "Vehicle was disabled by the concrete barrier."
>
> Umm no, correction: Vehicle was disabled by the DRIVER plowing the car
> into the concrete barrier. The concrete barrier was a innocent
> bystander. I don't know who won, but I have a guess. :)
>
> BTW: This appears to one accident about a half a mile apart.
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39403. Re: [bayscan] Shooting Castro Valley ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 20:41:40 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39377 Message: 39403

dying declaration time =)

----- Original Message -----
From: "Wyatt Earp" <radiophanatic_71@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2005 11:26 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Shooting Castro Valley ...

>2 vics shot. one pretty bad off. supervising officer asking that one
> officer ride along with vic.. From what I know this usually only occurs
> if there is a possibility the vic may die?? (any PD out there feel free
> to correct or validate this) Anyhow, CHP Helo overhead looking for
> suspect(s) Possibly hispanic or Philipino males in a green pickup with
> a camper shell...ok now saying hispanic male about 5'6 .. 90's style
> dodge pickup... This is on or around center street
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39404. Re: [bayscan] Re: Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 20:40:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39398 Message: 39404

Just wondering , when did you post the update about the victim going to VMC
?? crash was around 1130 , got this update at 1340 ??

yahoo up to it old tricks ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39405. SJPD - Stabbing & More

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 22:13:49 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39405 Message: 39405

San Jose PD working a stabbing at 819 State Street, Victim has five stab wounds to the upper body.

Second crime scene is in front of 148 Alma at the Alma Liquors for unknown circumstances involving a lot of blood at the scene.

Third scene on First Street and Oak for a subject down with unknown wounds. Details sketchy, but there appear to be at least two victims and at least two suspects.

Partial list of units working these scenes:

57L1
51L2
51S2
51S6
51L6
56L2
8783
5L10

Working on San Jose PD channel 6 - 460.325

39406. Re: [bayscan] SJPD - Stabbing & More

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 22:16:34 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39405 Message: 39406

Hearing now that this is most likely gang related.

Nothing further unless conditions warrant.

-C-

On May 8, 2005, at 3:13 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> San Jose PD working a stabbing at 819 State Street, Victim has five
> stab wounds to the upper body.
>
> Second crime scene is in front of 148 Alma at the Alma Liquors for
> unknown circumstances involving a lot of blood at the scene.
>
> Third scene on First Street and Oak for a subject down with unknown
> wounds. Details sketchy, but there appear to be at least two victims
> and at least two suspects.
>
> Partial list of units working these scenes:
>
> 57L1
> 51L2
> 51S2
> 51S6
> 51L6
> 56L2
> 8783
> 5L10
>
> Working on San Jose PD channel 6 - 460.325
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39407. Re: [bayscan] Re: Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 23:06:12 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39398 Message: 39407

At 01:40 PM 5/8/2005, you wrote:
>Just wondering , when did you post the update about the victim going to VMC
>?? crash was around 1130 , got this update at 1340 ??
>
>yahoo up to it old tricks ??

AFAICT by the headers Bayard sent it at 1:40. He may have been working but
only he can answer that.

39408. Re: [bayscan] Shooting Castro Valley ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-08 23:33:58 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39377 Message: 39408

At 11:26 PM 5/5/2005, you wrote:
>2 vics shot. one pretty bad off. supervising officer asking that one
>officer ride along with vic.. From what I know this usually only occurs
>if there is a possibility the vic may die?? (any PD out there feel free
>to correct or validate this)

AFAIK, there'd be no reason to necessitate a peace officers presence,
unless it was a concern of safety for the medics, i.e. subject may want to
die, and decide to fight the medics. Then again, I've been wrong before. :)

39409. Re: [bayscan] Shooting Castro Valley ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-09 00:41:27 UTC
From: troyboym@aol.com
Topic: 39377 Message: 39409

Dying declaration.

In a message dated 5/8/2005 4:36:26 PM Pacific Standard Time,
evan@... writes:
AFAIK, there'd be no reason to necessitate a peace officers presence,
unless it was a concern of safety for the medics, i.e. subject may want to
die, and decide to fight the medics. Then again, I've been wrong before. :)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39410. Re: Castro Valley shooting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-09 04:28:58 UTC
From: Wyatt Earp
Topic: 39410 Message: 39410

Thats it!! Thank you Sir.. I could not recal the actual name of the procedure.

39411. Re: [bayscan] Re: Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-09 05:07:31 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39398 Message: 39411

I didnt see anyone had updated this.. I posted late =) cuz i was owkring on
Med 8 yesterday so i could not post then. Thought you guys might want to
know what the outcome was.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2005 1:40 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Traffic Accident w/ rollover, E/B 237 before 101

> Just wondering , when did you post the update about the victim going to
> VMC
> ?? crash was around 1130 , got this update at 1340 ??
>
> yahoo up to it old tricks ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39412. Re: [bayscan] Shooting Castro Valley ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-09 05:11:09 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39377 Message: 39412

A dying declaration is admissable in court. I found this online. This could be a rason why the officer was with the patient in the back of the ambualce. Also Evans reason could also be a possibilty.

Dying Declaration
n. Statement made by a mortally injured person, indicating who has injured them and/or the circumstances surrounding their injury. The injured is aware that he/she is about to die and while the declaration is hearsay, it is admissible since it is believed that the dying person does not have any reason to lie.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan Platt" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2005 4:33 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Shooting Castro Valley ...

> At 11:26 PM 5/5/2005, you wrote:
>>2 vics shot. one pretty bad off. supervising officer asking that one
>>officer ride along with vic.. From what I know this usually only occurs
>>if there is a possibility the vic may die?? (any PD out there feel free
>>to correct or validate this)
>
> AFAIK, there'd be no reason to necessitate a peace officers presence,
> unless it was a concern of safety for the medics, i.e. subject may want to
> die, and decide to fight the medics. Then again, I've been wrong before.
> :)
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39413. Re: [bayscan] Shooting Castro Valley ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-09 05:28:27 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39377 Message: 39413

At 10:11 PM 5/8/2005, you wrote:
>Dying Declaration
>n. Statement made by a mortally injured person, indicating who has injured
>them and/or the circumstances surrounding their injury. The injured is aware
>that he/she is about to die and while the declaration is hearsay, it is
>admissible since it is believed that the dying person does not have any
>reason to lie.

Ahh.. Yes, I remember that now from an episode of Law & Order. :)

39414. Fully involved police car?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-09 18:00:15 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39414 Message: 39414

Some days ago, on one of the fire channels I can hear (don't know which one it was), a responding engine reported a " fully involved police car." Anyone know what was going on? Beside the obvious, of course.

Cortland
KA5S

39415. Re: Hostage situation in Fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 01:55:10 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 39415 Message: 39415

Setting up some type of "distraction."
Stagging something or another on the 2nd floor.
Location is "Economy Inn"

Steve <thecxbum@...> wrote:
Hostage situation in Fremont. Location, Mowry Ave / I 880. Details unknown, I just tuned in....

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

Yahoo! Mail
Stay connected, organized, and protected. Take the tour

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39416. Re: [bayscan] Fully involved police car?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 03:54:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39414 Message: 39416

I didn't catch the story , but recall hearing that on Sonoma County Fire couple nights ago

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39417. Fremont incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 04:57:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39417 Message: 39417

SWAT incident code 4 / suspect in custody . Motel is on Farwell Court

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39418. Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-09 19:34:58 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39253 Message: 39418

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> I have created a yahoo group http://groups.yahoo.com/group
>bayareascanning .

I am disbanding this group. I created it in an attempt to spite Ed, but a 'competing' group would more spite Robert, which is not my intent.

My offer to create a group still stands. I'll host it on my mail server running on my computer, so the group will generally be faster than yahoogroups, but not 100% reliable (I have to reboot my computer every once in a while).

So I'm open to suggestions... I'd rather not have a group which is already duplicated by 40 other yahoo groups.

Evan

39419. Hostage situation in Fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 01:33:55 UTC
From: Steve
Topic: 39415 Message: 39419

Hostage situation in Fremont. Location, Mowry Ave / I 880. Details unknown, I just tuned in....

Steve
(TheCxBum)
Newark, CA
San Francisco Bay Area

Yahoo! Mail
Stay connected, organized, and protected. Take the tour

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39420. Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 06:42:35 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39253 Message: 39420

```
--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> > I have created a yahoo group http://groups.yahoo.com/group
> >bayareascanning .
>
> I am disbanding this group. I created it in an attempt to spite Ed,
> but a 'competing' group would more spite Robert, which is not my
> intent.
>
> My offer to create a group still stands. I'll host it on my mail
> server running on my computer, so the group will generally be faster
> than yahoogroups, but not 100% reliable (I have to reboot my computer
> every once in a while).
>
> So I'm open to suggestions... I'd rather not have a group which is
> already duplicated by 40 other yahoo groups.
>
> Evan

How's about we just let Ed be Ed and go about posting things related,
at least most of the time, to scanning in the Bay Area? It seems we all
have our little quirks and it might be better for all concerned if we
just learned to live with them.

Just MHO, of course. :)

Regards, Jim

P.S. I never heard anything about any S.O. or PD unit being burned up
here so I'm at loss about that one.
```

39421. Pro 96/2096 Tip

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 07:03:11 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39421 Message: 39421

If you have one of these two units and have been disappointed with the VHF performance when using a roof mounted antenna, I may have found a cheap solution. I have a dipole on a 30 foot mast and my Pro 96 couldn't receive any of the local NWS stations unless I used the attenuator. Lake and Mendocino Counties, which used to come in just fine with my old Pro 2046, were either non-existent or just above the noise floor. It seemed pretty obvious that the receiver was being overloaded by that better antenna since it worked fine on the NWS channels with the standard rubber duck. Thinking that it might be commercial FM that was overloading the front end, I blew $6 on a Radio Shack FM trap (http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=15-577). You may also need to spend a few more bucks on BNC to F adaptors if you're not already using F terminations on your antenna cable and a three foot length of cable with F connectors at both ends, assuming you're not like me and have tons of that stuff left over from previous TV installs. :)

Well, folks, the difference was like night and day. I can receive all the NWS stations loud and clear without the attenuator including the Ukiah station on 162.525 that I wasn't able to receive at all previously. Lake and Mendocino now come in like gangbusters. My UHF performance also seems slighlty improved and I haven't noticed any degradation on low band or 800 mHz trunked systems. The air band also seems to be about the same although it's deaf from about 108 mHz to about 115 mHz, a side effect of the FM trap. If you have a "hot" receiver like the Pro 96/2096, the FM trap might be worth a try, especially for those of you how live closer to the City, where the overload problems must be much worse.

Regards, Jim

39422. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 07:25:14 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39253 Message: 39422

I'll join any group that doesn't do fire. Oh, those calls about people who can't breathe make me lose my breath. I'm trying to think of the Jerry Garcia quote, but it goes something like "The Grateful Dead are like licorice. Not everyone likes licorice, but those who do like it like it a lot." That is how fire goes. You get a dumpster fire, it gets 20 posts. People into fire are really really into fire to the point where it makes me do the Spock eyebrow raising routine.

I think we trying to do a group for breaking incidents at that didn't fly. Still, I thought that was a good idea.

Jim Cooke wrote:
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
>
>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
>>
>>>I have created a yahoo group http://groups.yahoo.com/group
>>>bayareascanning .
>>
>>I am disbanding this group. I created it in an attempt to spite Ed,
>>but a 'competing' group would more spite Robert, which is not my
>>intent.
>>
>>My offer to create a group still stands. I'll host it on my mail
>>server running on my computer, so the group will generally be faster
>>than yahoogroups, but not 100% reliable (I have to reboot my computer
>>every once in a while).
>>
>>So I'm open to suggestions... I'd rather not have a group which is
>>already duplicated by 40 other yahoo groups.
>>
>>Evan
>
>
> How's about we just let Ed be Ed and go about posting things related,
> at least most of the time, to scanning in the Bay Area? It seems we all
> have our little quirks and it might be better for all concerned if we
> just learned to live with them.
>
> Just MHO, of course. :)
>
> Regards, Jim
>
> P.S. I never heard anything about any S.O. or PD unit being burned up

> here so I'm at loss about that one.
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39423. Re: [bayscan] Pro 96/2096 Tip

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 07:44:03 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39421 Message: 39423

That is the beacon side of the air band you are losing, which is not a
big deal to most people.

IIRC you are in the north bay. I'm trying to think of what FM station
could be causing the interference and the only one I can come up with is
the transmitter site in the Marin headlands at N37 51 03.6 W122 29 52.8.
The FCC database has KDFC, KISQ, KLLC, KSAN, and KSOL located there.

Jim Cooke wrote:
> If you have one of these two units and have been disappointed with
> the VHF performance when using a roof mounted antenna, I may have
> found a cheap solution. I have a dipole on a 30 foot mast and my Pro
> 96 couldn't receive any of the local NWS stations unless I used the
> attenuator.
<snip>

39424. Oakland search

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 09:26:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 25706 Message: 39424

OPD doing a search around 30th and MLK after an SF-CHP unit (32-12) chase of a Camaro and a Transam.....most likely running together and doing over 100 mph. one in custody and one outstanding. CHP helo enroute. Lost the Camaro , got the transam which actually came from BEHIND the CHP unit and passed him @ 110 mph :-) . probably teens or early 20s considering the dumb game they were playing.

CHP 42.12 not sure which TG for OPD

0225 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39425. Re: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 12:42:39 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39253 Message: 39425

LOL!!! I am still trying to remember the last time I say a dumpster fire posted. Now, that thar Dead quote just makes me raise more than an eyebrow. ;^)

On May 10, 2005, at 12:25 AM, gary wrote:

> I'll join any group that doesn't do fire. Oh, those calls about people
> who can't breathe make me lose my breath. I'm trying to think of the
> Jerry Garcia quote, but it goes something like "The Grateful Dead are
> like licorice. Not everyone likes licorice, but those who do like it
> like it a lot." That is how fire goes. You get a dumpster fire, it gets
> 20 posts. People into fire are really really into fire to the point
> where it makes me do the Spock eyebrow raising routine.

39426. Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 13:28:13 UTC
From: Nick in Fresno
Topic: 39426 Message: 39426

Um Evan, I am not sure what you mean by saying you created this group? Last time I checked, I thought Robert created all the groups? In any case, take it easy and settle down... People need to stop being so offended about what all is said here. It is easy to hit the erase button, so lets not go dumping a good thing (Even though I question whether or not you even have the power to do so in the first place?). If you don't like something someone says, either reply with your opinion or just delete it(IT'S NOT THAT HARD SO FIGURE IT OUT). Who cares if some topics go off topic everyonce in a while. For the most part we are on topic and usually when someone brings something up off topic, most of us enjoy it because it deal with some sort of public safety issue, which is really what this group is all about if you think about it. Yeah, yeah, yeah...I know "Only scanning" but come on, if this forum was really only strictly about scanning, it would not have half the members and participation it does. I mean really, which topic do you really enjoy better: what talk group ALCO is adding to their 800MHz trunking system this week, or a pursuit that ends up with an officer involved shooting and vehicle fire as result. It's never going to be perfect and people will have different opinions than you do about various topics, last I heard, that's life. If this scanning forum is really getting you that worked up, then maybe it's time to take a break from the whole Bayscan thing for a while (Although I hate to think that anyone is that wrapped up in this). Remember, it's a Scanning and Ham Radios are a hobby not a way of life. Just think, at least we're not talking about politics or religion...Talk about a difference of opinion, I think we'd have to issue a Code 33 on Bayscan if that ever happened...

Relax...Go have a Coke and a smile,

Nick in Fresno - 06:26 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: "Evan" <evan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2005 12:34
Subject: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
>> I have created a yahoo group http://groups.yahoo.com/group
>>bayareascanning .
>
> I am disbanding this group. I created it in an attempt to spite Ed,
> but a 'competing' group would more spite Robert, which is not my
> intent.
>

> My offer to create a group still stands. I'll host it on my mail
> server running on my computer, so the group will generally be faster
> than yahoogroups, but not 100% reliable (I have to reboot my computer
> every once in a while).
>
> So I'm open to suggestions... I'd rather not have a group which is
> already duplicated by 40 other yahoo groups.
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39427. Re: Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 13:35:33 UTC
From: Nick in Fresno
Topic: 39426 Message: 39427

Whoops, sorry that last post was just supposed to go to Evan...Oh well

Nick in Fresno - 06:35 Hours

----- Original Message -----
From: "Nick in Fresno" <cop4christ@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2005 6:28
Subject: Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

> Um Evan, I am not sure what you mean by saying you created this group?
> Last
> time I checked, I thought Robert created all the groups? In any case, take
> it easy and settle down... People need to stop being so offended about
> what
> all is said here. It is easy to hit the erase button, so lets not go
> dumping
> a good thing (Even though I question whether or not you even have the
> power
> to do so in the first place?). If you don't like something someone says,
> either reply with your opinion or just delete it(IT'S NOT THAT HARD SO
> FIGURE IT OUT). Who cares if some topics go off topic everyonce in a
> while.
> For the most part we are on topic and usually when someone brings
> something
> up off topic, most of us enjoy it because it deal with some sort of public
> safety issue, which is really what this group is all about if you think
> about it. Yeah, yeah, yeah...I know "Only scanning" but come on, if this
> forum was really only strictly about scanning, it would not have half the
> members and participation it does. I mean really, which topic do you
> really
> enjoy better: what talk group ALCO is adding to their 800MHz trunking
> system
> this week, or a pursuit that ends up with an officer involved shooting and
> vehicle fire as result. It's never going to be perfect and people will
> have
> different opinions than you do about various topics, last I heard, that's
> life. If this scanning forum is really getting you that worked up, then
> maybe it's time to take a break from the whole Bayscan thing for a while
> (Although I hate to think that anyone is that wrapped up in this).
> Remember,
> it's a Scanning and Ham Radios are a hobby not a way of life. Just think,
> at

> least we're not talking about politics or religion...Talk about a
> difference
> of opinion, I think we'd have to issue a Code 33 on Bayscan if that ever
> happened...
>
> Relax...Go have a Coke and a smile,
>
> Nick in Fresno - 06:26 Hours
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Evan" <evan@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, May 09, 2005 12:34
> Subject: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3
> fanatics)
>
>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
>>> I have created a yahoo group http://groups.yahoo.com/group
>>>bayareascanning .
>>
>> I am disbanding this group. I created it in an attempt to spite Ed,
>> but a 'competing' group would more spite Robert, which is not my
>> intent.
>>
>> My offer to create a group still stands. I'll host it on my mail
>> server running on my computer, so the group will generally be faster
>> than yahoogroups, but not 100% reliable (I have to reboot my computer
>> every once in a while).
>>
>> So I'm open to suggestions... I'd rather not have a group which is
>> already duplicated by 40 other yahoo groups.
>>
>> Evan
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39428. Re: Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 14:53:35 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39426 Message: 39428

Nick - what a faux pas ;^)

On May 10, 2005, at 6:35 AM, Nick in Fresno wrote:

> Whoops, sorry that last post was just supposed to go to Evan...Oh well

39429. Re: Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 17:37:01 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39426 Message: 39429

did i just see this?

Nick in Fresno wrote:

>Um Evan, I am not sure what you mean by saying you created this group? Last
>time I checked, I thought Robert created all the groups? In any case, take
>it easy and settle down... People need to stop being so offended about what
>all is said here. It is easy to hit the erase button, so lets not go dumping
>a good thing (Even though I question whether or not you even have the power
>to do so in the first place?). If you don't like something someone says,
>either reply with your opinion or just delete it(IT'S NOT THAT HARD SO
>FIGURE IT OUT). Who cares if some topics go off topic everyonce in a while.
>For the most part we are on topic and usually when someone brings something
>up off topic, most of us enjoy it because it deal with some sort of public
>safety issue, which is really what this group is all about if you think
>about it. Yeah, yeah, yeah...I know "Only scanning" but come on, if this
>forum was really only strictly about scanning, it would not have half the
>members and participation it does. I mean really, which topic do you really
>enjoy better: what talk group ALCO is adding to their 800MHz trunking system
>this week, or a pursuit that ends up with an officer involved shooting and
>vehicle fire as result. It's never going to be perfect and people will have
>different opinions than you do about various topics, last I heard, that's
>life. If this scanning forum is really getting you that worked up, then
>maybe it's time to take a break from the whole Bayscan thing for a while
>(Although I hate to think that anyone is that wrapped up in this). Remember,
>it's a Scanning and Ham Radios are a hobby not a way of life. Just think, at
>least we're not talking about politics or religion...Talk about a difference
>of opinion, I think we'd have to issue a Code 33 on Bayscan if that ever
>happened...
>
>Relax...Go have a Coke and a smile,
>
>Nick in Fresno - 06:26 Hours
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Evan" <evan@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Monday, May 09, 2005 12:34
>Subject: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3
>fanatics)
>
>
>
>

>>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>I have created a yahoo group http://groups.yahoo.com/group
>>>bayareascanning .
>>>
>>>
>>I am disbanding this group. I created it in an attempt to spite Ed,
>>but a 'competing' group would more spite Robert, which is not my
>>intent.
>>
>>My offer to create a group still stands. I'll host it on my mail
>>server running on my computer, so the group will generally be faster
>>than yahoogroups, but not 100% reliable (I have to reboot my computer
>>every once in a while).
>>
>>So I'm open to suggestions... I'd rather not have a group which is
>>already duplicated by 40 other yahoo groups.
>>
>>Evan
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39430. Help Save Hanger One!!! --- FAF Moffett Field, Ca (OT)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 17:47:46 UTC
From: blueangel_78
Topic: 39430 Message: 39430

Friends, Aerospace Enthusiasts, Former Moffetteers,
Shipmates and Silicon
Valley History Fans,

I have it on good authority that one of the ultimate
solutions being
proposed by the Navy (with NASA's tacit concurrence)
to solve the PCB,
Asbestos and Lead Paint issues related to historic
Hangar One at Moffett
Field, is to completely demolish this unique building
and icon of Silicon
Valley. They feel it is their best option for
completely ridding themselves
of these pesky problems so they don't have to deal
with them again in the
future. It may sound ludicrous, but the environmental
types promoting this
action don't seem to be particularly worried about its
historic value or
potential future use as a museum. The reason for
their haste is that the
temporary paint job the Navy put on the exterior in
2003 (at a cost of over
$2 Million), to encapsulate the PCBs and keep them
from leaching into the
storm sewer, is due to exceed its usefullness within
the next 2 years, so
they want to move forward with a final solution ASAP.
Aside from costing
over $30 Million, the demolition solution would
absolutely kill any efforts
by the California Air and Space Center (CASC) or any
other organization
interested in using this wonderful (and irreplaceable)
building to preserve
the history of the Navy, NASA and the area, or use it
to promote aerospace
education.

I urge you to attend the next meeting of the Navy
sponsored Moffett Field
Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) on Thursday May 12th
at 5:00 p.m. in the
Mountain View City Hall (4th Floor Gallery) at 500

Castro Street in Mountain
View. The RAB will be also be conducting a bus tour
of the EPA cleanup
sites at Moffett Field before the meeting including
Hangar One. Please also
pass this along to anyone you think would be
interested in helping save
Hangar One.

If enough concerned citizens and organizations voice
their opposition to the
demolition option, the Navy (and NASA) may be
persuaded to choose one of
their other options. The other options range from
continued encapsulation
with paint, to trying to just remove the toxic
materials from the hangar's
sheathing and framework (sandblasting etc), to totally
removing the exterior
sheathing and conducting a cleanup of the framework.
All of these other
options at least offer the CASC or others the
opportunity to restore the
hangar to its previous appearance and reuse it for
historical or educational
purposes, but demolition should not be one of their
options.

If you cannot attend, you can also voice your
opposition by writing to the
RAB in care of the Navy's Environmental Office of
their Southwest Division,
or NASA's Environmental Office at:
NASA Ames Research Center
Office of Safety and Quality Assurance (QE)
Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000
Attn: Sandra Olliges

I hope to see you there,
Carl Honaker
Former NAS Moffett Field Executive Officer
Life Member and former President of the Moffett Field
Historical Society

39431. Re: [bayscan] Help Save Hanger One!!! --- FAF Moffett Field, Ca (OT)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 17:54:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39430 Message: 39431

There is also talk of cutting out the 129th Rescue Wing of the California Air National Guard from Moffett as well as other cuts to California military bases . More jobs lost !! Was bad enough losing the Navy there .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39432. search / prowler

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 18:03:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39432 Message: 39432

SJPD 8 / 460.525

Didn't catch description , SJPD has an attempted burglary suspect
jumping fences in the area of 101/ Bernal. District Yellow and Adam units
searching. Suspect has no shoes.sorry, haven't heard description yet.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39433. Re: Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 18:06:01 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39426 Message: 39433

At 10:37 AM 5/10/2005, you wrote:
>did i just see this?

Nope. You saw nothing. :-)

39434. Re: [bayscan] Help Save Hanger One!!! --- FAF Moffett Field, Ca (OT)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 18:45:33 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39430 Message: 39434

FYI, the BRAC list comes out Friday.

caladded@... wrote:
> There is also talk of cutting out the 129th Rescue Wing of the California Air
> National Guard from Moffett as well as other cuts to California military
> bases . More jobs lost !! Was bad enough losing the Navy there .
>
> ED

39435. Re: Pro 96/2096 Tip

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 18:47:02 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39421 Message: 39435

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> That is the beacon side of the air band you are losing, which is not
a
> big deal to most people.
>
> IIRC you are in the north bay. I'm trying to think of what FM station
> could be causing the interference and the only one I can come up with
is
> the transmitter site in the Marin headlands at N37 51 03.6 W122 29
52.8.
> The FCC database has KDFC, KISQ, KLLC, KSAN, and KSOL located there.
>
> Jim Cooke wrote:
> > If you have one of these two units and have been disappointed with
> > the VHF performance when using a roof mounted antenna, I may have
> > found a cheap solution. I have a dipole on a 30 foot mast and my
Pro
> > 96 couldn't receive any of the local NWS stations unless I used the
> > attenuator.
> <snip>

Gary,

I'm not sure where the FM transmitter overload is coming from either. We've got KZST at 100.1 mHz and KXFX at 101.7, both of which have 46 watt repeaters located about two miles from my home in Petaluma. KZST's main transmitter in Santa Rosa isn't all that far away either and it's 6 kW. Whatever the case, it was enough to cause a major desensing of the front end of the Pro 96 on VHF when hooked up to my outdoor antenna. I've also got a Pro 2096 and it had exactly the same performance before I installed the FM trap so it wasn't just a bum radio. I figured six bucks was worth it for an experiment and it turned out very well.

Regards, Jim

39436. Re: Help Save Hanger One!!! --- FAF Moffett Field, Ca (OT)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 18:58:16 UTC
From: b52hbuff2001
Topic: 39430 Message: 39436

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> FYI, the BRAC list comes out Friday.

Well, here's what everying is 'sweating over'

http://www.g2mil.com/2005.htm

Just remember this is the list they'll probably draw from, as Gary
points out, we won't know who gets picked until later.

39437. Re: [bayscan] Re: Pro 96/2096 Tip

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 19:01:55 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39421 Message: 39437

You're the second person who posted such a problem. [The other is on the GRE list.] I know someone in Vegas with a J-pole cut for the civil aviation band who uses a 2096 without any problems. You would think the j-pole would be a worse case scenario.

If it was just one transmitter, you can make a filter with a BNC T connector and an unterminated coax line on one side of the T. I think you cut the unterminated line to 1/4 wave of the interfering signal, compensating for the velocity factor of the coax. [I'm sure someone will verify the wire length.] This puts a deep null at that frequency.

Jim Cooke wrote:
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
>
>>That is the beacon side of the air band you are losing, which is not
>
> a
>
>>big deal to most people.
>>
>>IIRC you are in the north bay. I'm trying to think of what FM station
>>could be causing the interference and the only one I can come up with
>
> is
>
>>the transmitter site in the Marin headlands at N37 51 03.6 W122 29
>
> 52.8.
>
>>The FCC database has KDFC, KISQ, KLLC, KSAN, and KSOL located there.
>>
>>Jim Cooke wrote:
>>
>>>If you have one of these two units and have been disappointed with
>>>the VHF performance when using a roof mounted antenna, I may have
>>>found a cheap solution. I have a dipole on a 30 foot mast and my
>
> Pro
>
>>>96 couldn't receive any of the local NWS stations unless I used the
>>>attenuator.
>>
>><snip>
>
>

> Gary,
>
> I'm not sure where the FM transmitter overload is coming from either.
> We've got KZST at 100.1 mHz and KXFX at 101.7, both of which have 46
> watt repeaters located about two miles from my home in Petaluma. KZST's
> main transmitter in Santa Rosa isn't all that far away either and it's
> 6 kW. Whatever the case, it was enough to cause a major desensing of
> the front end of the Pro 96 on VHF when hooked up to my outdoor
> antenna. I've also got a Pro 2096 and it had exactly the same
> performance before I installed the FM trap so it wasn't just a bum
> radio. I figured six bucks was worth it for an experiment and it turned
> out very well.
>
> Regards, Jim
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39438. Re: [bayscan] Re: Help Save Hanger One!!! --- FAF Moffett Field, Ca (OT)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 19:31:55 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39430 Message: 39438

Great , Great link , thanks for posting it. My nephew recently graduated from USMC/ MCRD - San Diego , now they are talking about closing it. although the training was 13 weeks , not 11 as posted. Be interesting come Friday !

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39439. moderation

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 19:35:07 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39439 Message: 39439

Ok since some of you cannot control yourselves Im putting the group into moderation until things calm down.

Robert
BayScan

39440. San Jose Fire - MVA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 21:39:01 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39440 Message: 39440

San Jose Fire working MVA at Virginia and King for MVA involving two vehicles on top of another vehicle (2:37 p.m.).

VIRGINIA IC

USAR 16
USAR TENDER 16
ENGINE 2
MED 30
BATTALION 2

No entrapment - checking for number of patients.

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

39441. pursuit / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 23:09:56 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 39441 Message: 39441

S/O unit around White / Mc Kee , tan pickup truck one HMA , blowing signals 50 mph

156.210

1609 hours

39442. Re: [bayscan] pursuit / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 23:18:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39441 Message: 39442

Now on Park Victoria in Milpitas....possible dui driver/ 70-80 mph
, passing Yosemite (1617 hours) ....Now N/B 680 towards Newark/Fremont
etc

Milpitas pd 482.8625
SCCO 156.210
CHP 42.50

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39443. aww over :(

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 23:20:19 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39443 Message: 39443

4:20 pm

Lost visual and heavy traffic....

Terminating persuit.

Robert
BayScan

39444. Re: pursuit / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 23:18:47 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39441 Message: 39444

OOOO good one recording it now..

4:17 pm

Robert
BayScan

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
> S/O unit around White / Mc Kee , tan pickup truck one HMA , blowing
> signals 50 mph
>
> 156.210
>
> 1609 hours

39445. Foster City PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-10 23:41:37 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 36664 Message: 39445

Foster City up to something on Tac 2 / 488.7125 on C/P on
Polaris IAO Beachpark - Foster City Blvd. unsure what
yet ......numerous units setting perimeter and some mobile

1641 hours
Ed

39446. Jail rammed in Martinez today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 04:05:20 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39446 Message: 39446

I dont know if anyone heard this one earlier:

http://www.bayscan.net/bol510.wav

Seems they rammed the facility and threw a fire bomb at it.

Robert
BayScan

39447. Re: [bayscan] Jail rammed in Martinez today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 04:43:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39446 Message: 39447

KRON just mentioned it , did you catch what time Robert ? KRON has a crew on the way..... they had a spokesperson on the phone who said there was substantial damage. No inmates got out. Suspect drove a White Van , possibly a Ford....

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39448. Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 05:09:20 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 39426 Message: 39448

You must not have read his post if you think that is what he said.
Look at the first line.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Nick in Fresno" <cop4christ@s...>
wrote:
> Um Evan, I am not sure what you mean by saying you created this
group? Last
> time I checked, I thought Robert created all the groups? >

> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Evan" <evan@e...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, May 09, 2005 12:34
> Subject: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you
Code 3
> fanatics)
>
>
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
**************************> >> I have created a yahoo group
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayareascanning. I am disbanding this
group. I created it in an attempt to spite Ed,

39449. Re: Jail rammed in Martinez today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 05:14:29 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 39446 Message: 39449

The broadcast (which was on CLERS) said 1817 hours. There would be no way inmates could have gotten out if they rammed that door, because there is still 2 doors behind that one. I work next door and will check out the damage if they don't show it on the news and give you guys a report.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> KRON just mentioned it , did you catch what time Robert ? KRON has a crew on
> the way..... they had a spokesperson on the phone who said there was
> substantial damage. No inmates got out. Suspect drove a White Van , possibly a
> Ford....
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39450. Re: Jail rammed in Martinez today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 05:18:30 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39446 Message: 39450

The log from Scanner Recorder says:
6:43 pm
Date Time Duration Relative Time

2005/05/10, 18:41:28, 000003.9, 00:00:00
2005/05/10, 18:41:33, 000005.9, 00:00:03

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kma371" <kma371@y...> wrote:
> The broadcast (which was on CLERS) said 1817 hours. There would be
no
> way inmates could have gotten out if they rammed that door, because
> there is still 2 doors behind that one. I work next door and will
> check out the damage if they don't show it on the news and give you
> guys a report.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > KRON just mentioned it , did you catch what time Robert ? KRON
has a
> crew on
> > the way..... they had a spokesperson on the phone who said
there
> was
> > substantial damage. No inmates got out. Suspect drove a White
Van ,
> possibly a
> > Ford....
> >
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39451. Re: [bayscan] Re: Jail rammed in Martinez today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 05:21:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39446 Message: 39451

ok, KRON called it breaking news, guess I should consider the source , it was 3 hours when they mentioned it.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39452. Re: Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 05:15:03 UTC
From: Mrred1
Topic: 39426 Message: 39452

NEEDS to STOP people, let this die
----- Original Message -----
From: kma371
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2005 22:09
Subject: Enough of this already...: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you Code 3 fanatics)

You must not have read his post if you think that is what he said.
Look at the first line.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Nick in Fresno" <cop4christ@s...>
wrote:
> Um Evan, I am not sure what you mean by saying you created this
group? Last
> time I checked, I thought Robert created all the groups? >

> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Evan" <evan@e...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, May 09, 2005 12:34
> Subject: [bayscan] Quoting text (was Re: Reality check for all you
Code 3
> fanatics)
>
>
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
**************************> >> I have created a yahoo group
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayareascanning. I am disbanding this
group. I created it in an attempt to spite Ed,

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39453. Mountain View Drill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 20:12:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39453 Message: 39453

Mountain View running drills (possibly live burns) on Carmelita , just off Grant road and a few blocks north of Station 2 and El Camino Hospital.

Mountain View Units
Engine 3
Engine 4
Engine 5
Truck 1
Rescue 1
Battalion 18

Palo alto Units

Truck 6

Santa Clara County Units

Truck 14

1311 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39454. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View Drill- freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 20:18:21 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39454 Message: 39454

Darn ,,,,,sorry about that .

if your close , They are using their Tac channel

158.865

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39455. Re: Jail rammed in Martinez today

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 21:39:57 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 39446 Message: 39455

I may have confused my self. The broadcast was probably 1841 hours
like you said...but the dispatcher said the incident occured at 1817
hours. I'd have to listen to it again but I can't at work right now..

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@c...> wrote:
> The log from Scanner Recorder says:
> 6:43 pm
> Date Time Duration Relative Time
> --
> 2005/05/10, 18:41:28, 000003.9, 00:00:00
> 2005/05/10, 18:41:33, 000005.9, 00:00:03
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "kma371" <kma371@y...> wrote:
> > The broadcast (which was on CLERS) said 1817 hours. There would
be
> no
> > way inmates could have gotten out if they rammed that door,
because
> > there is still 2 doors behind that one. I work next door and
will
> > check out the damage if they don't show it on the news and give
you
> > guys a report.
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > > KRON just mentioned it , did you catch what time Robert ? KRON
> has a
> > crew on
> > > the way..... they had a spokesperson on the phone who said
> there
> > was
> > > substantial damage. No inmates got out. Suspect drove a White
> Van ,
> > possibly a
> > > Ford....
> > >
> > >
> > > ED
> > >
> > >
> > > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39456. Mt. View chemical fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 22:15:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39456 Message: 39456

Chemical fire @ 1290 Terra Bella / Shoreline / E1-2-3 T1 RS1 BC18

report of flames showing , BC 18 calling a 2nd alarm enroute

154.025 requesting AMR also
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39457. Fire in Mtn View

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 22:18:35 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 36169 Message: 39457

2 alarm fire in Mountain View. Terra Bella @ Shoreline. Hearing about one victim with burns to hands.

E1, E2, E3, T1, Rescue 1, Medic 412R.

- Brian
3:18pm

39458. Re: [bayscan] Mt. View chemical fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 22:27:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39456 Message: 39458

2nd alarm Units ....

Moffett E5
Palo Alto E5 E4 Truck 6 Battalion 6
Sunnyvale also sending a Truck company

Sounds like they will cancel one of the 2nd alarm trucks ....

fire seems to be out/ handled by sprinklers....still some problem with the chemicals.

1526 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39459. Re: Mt. View chemical fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 22:34:22 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39456 Message: 39459

Business should be BD Biosciences Clontech.

- Brian

39460. Re: [bayscan] Re: Mt. View chemical fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 22:43:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39456 Message: 39460

Brian , did you catch what the chemicals involved are ?? I heard something about idp or something like that ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39461. RE: [bayscan] Re: Mt. View chemical fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 22:47:58 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39456 Message: 39461

> Brian , did you catch what the chemicals involved are ?? I
> heard something
> about idp or something like that ??

No, I sure didn't. And I'm staying well away... ;-)

- Brian

39462. chasse NOW, ALCO SO...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 00:49:26 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39462 Message: 39462

Started out somewhere in Castro Valley area I believe... has been going on for over 10 mins now and no idea what the want is.

ALCO SO TRS...

SO East (Black), not sure why it didn't start on West... don't have the TG (on my MTX, sorry)

-g-

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39463. Re: [bayscan] chasse NOW, ALCO SO...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 00:50:39 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39462 Message: 39463

Field Sup. now updating saying that subject is possibly 5150 and they
dealt with him 'the other day'.

-g-

> Started out somewhere in Castro Valley area I believe... has been going on
> for over 10 mins now and no idea what the want is.
>
> ALCO SO TRS...
>
> SO East (Black), not sure why it didn't start on West... don't have the TG
> (on my MTX, sorry)
>
> -g-
>
> ----------
>
>
> Greg Stramback
> ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
> 510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39464. Re: [bayscan] chasse NOW, ALCO SO...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 00:59:49 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39462 Message: 39464

It could be an off duty chp sgt following a truck.. They are on 680 now near dublin. H30 backing out along with chp ground units. Dublin PD taking over. truck pulled over for a minute on alcosta offramp. now back moving again

vector@... wrote:

>Started out somewhere in Castro Valley area I believe... has been going on
>for over 10 mins now and no idea what the want is.
>
>ALCO SO TRS...
>
>SO East (Black), not sure why it didn't start on West... don't have the TG
>(on my MTX, sorry)
>
>-g-
>
>----------
>
>
>Greg Stramback
>ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
>510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39465. Re: [bayscan] chase NOW, ALCO SO...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 00:55:58 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39462 Message: 39465

Another unit went ahead and has plans to deploy stop-strips. Chase now on
Dougherty Rd.

Pulled up the TG on the scanner... 16016.

-g-

> Field Sup. now updating saying that subject is possibly 5150 and they
> dealt with him 'the other day'.
>
> -g-
>
>
>> Started out somewhere in Castro Valley area I believe... has been going
>> on
>> for over 10 mins now and no idea what the want is.
>>
>> ALCO SO TRS...
>>
>> SO East (Black), not sure why it didn't start on West... don't have the
>> TG
>> (on my MTX, sorry)
>>
>> -g-
>>
>> ----------
>>
>>
>> Greg Stramback
>> ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
>> 510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ----------
>

>
> Greg Stramback
> ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
> 510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39466. freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 03:02:19 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39466 Message: 39466

anybody know what system and freqs novato's buck center for research on aging uses?

thanks in advance... striking out with my usual sources....

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39467. Re: [bayscan] freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 03:47:33 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39466 Message: 39467

Interesting, how do you know they use radios? Did you see some employee using one?

----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 8:02 PM
Subject: [bayscan] freqs?

anybody know what system and freqs novato's buck center for research on aging uses?

thanks in advance... striking out with my usual sources....

==========

zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

39468. Re: [bayscan] freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 04:50:39 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39466 Message: 39468

From the FCC database
WPQB286 464.8125 469.8125
WPWC341 467.85 467.875 467.9
All have expired in May. Either they went nextel or are transmitting
illegally.

nina zhito wrote:
> anybody know what system and freqs novato's buck center for research on
> aging uses?
>
> thanks in advance... striking out with my usual sources....
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39469. Re: [bayscan] freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 05:27:10 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39466 Message: 39469

thanks gary!
On Wednesday, May 11, 2005, at 21:50 US/Pacific, gary wrote:

> From the FCC database
> WPQB286 464.8125 469.8125
> WPWC341 467.85 467.875 467.9
> All have expired in May. Either they went nextel or are transmitting
> illegally.
>
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>> anybody know what system and freqs novato's buck center for research
>> on
>> aging uses?
>>
>> thanks in advance... striking out with my usual sources....
>> ========================
>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com

(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39470. Re: [bayscan] freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 05:26:27 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39466 Message: 39470

jim, just a guess...the security there is significant...

nina
On Wednesday, May 11, 2005, at 20:47 US/Pacific, Jim Gammon wrote:

> Interesting, how do you know they use radios? Did you see some
> employee
> using one?
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 8:02 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] freqs?
>
>
>
> anybody know what system and freqs novato's buck center for research on
> aging uses?
>
> thanks in advance... striking out with my usual sources....
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39471. Re: [bayscan] freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 06:25:06 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39466 Message: 39471

OK, thanks Nina. Let us know if you hear anything on the Frequ's Gary provided. Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 10:26 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] freqs?

jim, just a guess...the security there is significant...

nina
On Wednesday, May 11, 2005, at 20:47 US/Pacific, Jim Gammon wrote:

> Interesting, how do you know they use radios? Did you see some
> employee
> using one?
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 8:02 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] freqs?
>
>
>
> anybody know what system and freqs novato's buck center for research on
> aging uses?
>
> thanks in advance... striking out with my usual sources....
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

39472. Re: [bayscan] freqs?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 06:28:07 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39466 Message: 39472

Just an FYI, security is often not listed under the name of the organization, i.e. facility, but is often some rent-a-cop outfit. In other words, The Buck Center might be customer 123 of Atlas Security as an example. These security firms do their best to hide the identity of the clients on the radio, so figuring this out generally requires getting a look at the security guard to see a badge.

nina zhito wrote:
> jim, just a guess...the security there is significant...
>
> nina
> On Wednesday, May 11, 2005, at 20:47 US/Pacific, Jim Gammon wrote:
>
>
>>Interesting, how do you know they use radios? Did you see some
>>employee
>>using one?
>>----- Original Message -----
>>From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
>>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>>Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 8:02 PM
>>Subject: [bayscan] freqs?
>>
>>
>>
>>anybody know what system and freqs novato's buck center for research on
>>aging uses?
>>
>>thanks in advance... striking out with my usual sources....
>>=========================
>>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39473. Re: [bayscan] freqs? (hiding identity)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 06:37:12 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39466 Message: 39473

Yep, Atlas is actually a prime example of this, and I know they've been doing it for over 10 years. They also used 2 and 3 letter alphas for fixed post designations (desk posts, or stationed guards). They've always had the money and had nice vehicles. Must do pretty good business.

Oh, the whole reason for this much security is that they were often targets of other security companies listening into their freq. The companies would hear the calls and try to jump them. They'd use this tactic to steal the client by portraying themselves as a faster responding agency.

-g-

> Just an FYI, security is often not listed under the name of the
> organization, i.e. facility, but is often some rent-a-cop outfit. In
> other words, The Buck Center might be customer 123 of Atlas Security as
> an example. These security firms do their best to hide the identity of
> the clients on the radio, so figuring this out generally requires
> getting a look at the security guard to see a badge.
>
>
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>> jim, just a guess...the security there is significant...
>>
>> nina
>> On Wednesday, May 11, 2005, at 20:47 US/Pacific, Jim Gammon wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Interesting, how do you know they use radios? Did you see some
>>>employee
>>>using one?
>>>----- Original Message -----
>>>From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
>>>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>>>Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2005 8:02 PM
>>>Subject: [bayscan] freqs?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>anybody know what system and freqs novato's buck center for research on
>>>aging uses?
>>>
>>>thanks in advance... striking out with my usual sources....
>>>========================
>>>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com

>>> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> ========================
>> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39474. Saving Moffett Fields Hangar One

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 09:21:32 UTC
From: aviationplusphoto
Topic: 39474 Message: 39474

Please show your support for Preserving Hangar One !

Friends, Aerospace Enthusiasts, Former Moffetteers,
Shipmates and Silicon
Valley History Fans,

I have it on good authority that one of the ultimate
solutions being
proposed by the Navy (with NASA's tacit concurrence)
to solve the PCB,
Asbestos and Lead Paint issues related to historic
Hangar One at Moffett
Field, is to completely demolish this unique building
and icon of Silicon
Valley. They feel it is their best option for
completely ridding themselves
of these pesky problems so they don't have to deal
with them again in the
future. It may sound ludicrous, but the environmental
types promoting this
action don't seem to be particularly worried about its
historic value or
potential future use as a museum. The reason for
their haste is that the
temporary paint job the Navy put on the exterior in
2003 (at a cost of over
$2 Million), to encapsulate the PCBs and keep them
from leaching into the
storm sewer, is due to exceed its usefullness within
the next 2 years, so
they want to move forward with a final solution ASAP.
Aside from costing
over $30 Million, the demolition solution would
absolutely kill any efforts
by the California Air and Space Center (CASC) or any
other organization
interested in using this wonderful (and irreplaceable)
building to preserve
the history of the Navy, NASA and the area, or use it
to promote aerospace
education.

I urge you to attend the next meeting of the Navy
sponsored Moffett Field
Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) on Thursday May 12th
at 5:00 p.m. in the

Mountain View City Hall (4th Floor Gallery) at 500
Castro Street in Mountain
View. The RAB will be also be conducting a bus tour
of the EPA cleanup
sites at Moffett Field before the meeting including
Hangar One. Please also
pass this along to anyone you think would be
interested in helping save
Hangar One.

If enough concerned citizens and organizations voice
their opposition to the
demolition option, the Navy (and NASA) may be
persuaded to choose one of
their other options. The other options range from
continued encapsulation
with paint, to trying to just remove the toxic
materials from the hangar's
sheathing and framework (sandblasting etc), to totally
removing the exterior
sheathing and conducting a cleanup of the framework.
All of these other
options at least offer the CASC or others the
opportunity to restore the
hangar to its previous appearance and reuse it for
historical or educational
purposes, but demolition should not be one of their
options.

If you cannot attend, you can also voice your
opposition by writing to the
RAB in care of the Navy's Environmental Office of
their Southwest Division,
or NASA's Environmental Office at:
NASA Ames Research Center
Office of Safety and Quality Assurance (QE)
Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000
Attn: Sandra Olliges

I hope to see you there,
Carl Honaker
Former NAS Moffett Field Executive Officer
Life Member and former President of the Moffett Field
Historical Society

39475. RE: [bayscan] Re: Mt. View chemical fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-11 23:03:57 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39456 Message: 39475

At 03:47 PM 5/11/2005, you wrote:
>No, I sure didn't. And I'm staying well away... ;-)

Agreed. As much as it's probably a good photo shoot, when everyone comes in bunny suits, that's my clue to stay away. :)

39476. Re: [bayscan] Re: pursuit / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 14:48:27 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 39441 Message: 39476

Did you guys hear how calm the deputy sounded? He's one of our younger ones too. Great job by him. I'm impressed that he called the pursuit before the Sgt did.
Mike

On 5/10/05, Robert Sanford <bayscan@...> wrote:
>
> OOOO good one recording it now..
>
> 4:17 pm
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Ed" <caladded@a...> wrote:
> > S/O unit around White / Mc Kee , tan pickup truck one HMA , blowing
> > signals 50 mph
> >
> > 156.210
> >
> > 1609 hours
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--
Mike
KD6FTR

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39477. SJPD DUI Checkpoint Sat. Night

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 16:30:29 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39477 Message: 39477

http://cbs5.com/localwire/localfsnews/bcn/2005/05/12/n/HeadlineNews/DUI-CHECKPOINT/resources_bcn_html

More fun on SJPD 09 (usually), on 460.1000 MHz PL 136.5

-Johnathan

39478. Re: [bayscan] Re: pursuit / San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 20:23:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39441 Message: 39478

Yeah, he sure did sound calm Mike. he didn't sound like a new guy.

Another interesting chase the other night by a SF/CHP unit that got into Oakland. Camaro and transAm involved. At one point the TransAm got behind the CHP unit and then PASSED him at 110 !! CHP actually asked OPD to take over the pursuit which I never hear the CHP doing. Vehicle was lost a few seconds later but OPD found it on MLK@ 30th with one in custody and one outstanding.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39479. Apple Computer explosion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 20:41:42 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39479 Message: 39479

1:40PM
Some type of explosion at Apple Computer in Cupertino. SCC Fire responding
on Command 2 = 155.985.
More to follow......
Brian in Campbell

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39480. Re: Apple Computer explosion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 20:44:14 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39479 Message: 39480

1:43PM
Units en route......
Appears that workers working on/in transformer area when it blew. Multiple calls with a "fire ball" seen shortly after in the sky. Unknown how many injuries at this time.
Brian

On 5/12/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> 1:40PM
> Some type of explosion at Apple Computer in Cupertino. SCC Fire
> responding on Command 2 = 155.985.
> More to follow......
> Brian in Campbell
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39481. Re: Apple Computer explosion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 20:47:13 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 39479 Message: 39481

1:45PM
Oil in turbine caused fire. NO injuries.
No further updates unless needed.
Brian

On 5/12/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
> 1:43PM
> Units en route......
> Appears that workers working on/in transformer area when it blew.
> Multiple calls with a "fire ball" seen shortly after in the sky. Unknown how
> many injuries at this time.
> Brian
>
>
> On 5/12/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
> >
> > 1:40PM
> > Some type of explosion at Apple Computer in Cupertino. SCC Fire
> > responding on Command 2 = 155.985.
> > More to follow......
> > Brian in Campbell
> >
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39482. Re: [bayscan] Re: Apple Computer explosion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 20:52:51 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39479 Message: 39482

streaming on feed 3
www.mattlogic.com

Brian C. wrote:

>1:43PM
> Units en route......
> Appears that workers working on/in transformer area when it blew. Multiple
>calls with a "fire ball" seen shortly after in the sky. Unknown how many
>injuries at this time.
> Brian
>
>
> On 5/12/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@...> wrote:
>
>
>>1:40PM
>> Some type of explosion at Apple Computer in Cupertino. SCC Fire
>>responding on Command 2 = 155.985.
>> More to follow......
>> Brian in Campbell
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39483. Re: Apple Computer explosion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 20:55:56 UTC
From: breigel
Topic: 39479 Message: 39483

It had a nice bang to it, with a small cloud of smoke.

Luckily the transformer is at the far end of the parking lot from other buildings... and not
near my car!

SCCo Engine 1 is handling (Truck 1 also arrived/departed)

- Brad (at Apple)

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> 1:45PM
> Oil in turbine caused fire. NO injuries.
> No further updates unless needed.
> Brian
>
> On 5/12/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> >
> > 1:43PM
> > Units en route......
> > Appears that workers working on/in transformer area when it blew.
> > Multiple calls with a "fire ball" seen shortly after in the sky. Unknown how
> > many injuries at this time.
> > Brian
> >
> >
> > On 5/12/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> > >
> > > 1:40PM
> > > Some type of explosion at Apple Computer in Cupertino. SCC Fire
> > > responding on Command 2 = 155.985.
> > > More to follow......
> > > Brian in Campbell
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39484. soco vehicle vs house 1616

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-12 23:17:55 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39484 Message: 39484

extrication assignment, veh vs. house, natural gas leak,

2821 apple valley road, santa rosa
154.3100

==========

zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39485. strange traffic on Richmond trunked system

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 04:47:27 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39485 Message: 39485

At around 21:34 tonight, Thursday, 05/12/05, I turned on the Richmond system and caught someone saying that they found the lo jack signal around some nursery. They said the name but I didn't get it. Then, dispatch said they had determined that the lo jack signal was actually an air compresser. Did anyone else hear that? Is there any way an air compresser could transmit the right kind of signal on the lo jack frequency? I for one doubt it. Very strange!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39486. Re: [bayscan] strange traffic on Richmond trunked system

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 05:01:19 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39485 Message: 39486

Jim Gammon wrote:

> At around 21:34 tonight, Thursday, 05/12/05, I turned on the Richmond system and caught someone saying that they found the lo jack signal around some nursery. They said the name but I didn't get it. Then, dispatch said they had determined that the lo jack signal was actually an air compresser. Did anyone else hear that? Is there any way an air compresser could transmit the right kind of signal on the lo jack frequency? I for one doubt it. Very strange!

Actually, the construction industry is a big fan of lo-jack. Consider how easy it is to hook an air compressor, or a bobcat on a trailer up to the back of your typical pickup truck and leave a construction site.

Don

39487. Re: [bayscan] strange traffic on Richmond trunked system

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 05:24:17 UTC
From: Tmanjoke
Topic: 39485 Message: 39487

The Large compressors that you see. They are tan and on trailer of
their own. Worth many thousands of dollars.
The construction industry have been using Lo-jack for many years.
Well i advance of when you or I could by them off the self.

Tracey
--- Don Root <k6cdo@...> wrote:
> Jim Gammon wrote:
>
> > At around 21:34 tonight, Thursday, 05/12/05, I turned on the
> Richmond system and caught someone saying that they found the lo jack
> signal around some nursery. They said the name but I didn't get it.
> Then, dispatch said they had determined that the lo jack signal was
> actually an air compresser. Did anyone else hear that? Is there any
> way an air compresser could transmit the right kind of signal on the
> lo jack frequency? I for one doubt it. Very strange!
>
> Actually, the construction industry is a big fan of lo-jack.
> Consider
> how easy it is to hook an air compressor, or a bobcat on a trailer up
> to
> the back of your typical pickup truck and leave a construction site.
>
> Don
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Find what you need with new enhanced search.
http://info.mail.yahoo.com/mail_250

39488. Re: [bayscan] strange traffic on Richmond trunked system

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 05:36:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39485 Message: 39488

Yeah, I heard an Oakland CHP units talking about it on the bronze , could actually hear the beeping in the background. He said it was S/E of a Colorspot nursery on Garden Tract road in North Richmond . (they had a 2 alarm pallet fire on that street recently). Once CHP dispatch told the officer that it was an air compressor , he went 10-8 leaving it for dayshift. I imagine it could be in some warehouse in the area. I am not familiar with that area but get a feeling its heavily industrial......would be to hard to tract with businesses closed. Sure was different .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39489. strange traffic on Richmond Trunked System

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 05:40:44 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39489 Message: 39489

Thanks guys, I never considered the compresser was mobile, just part of the nursery. What you say makes sense.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39490. Re: [bayscan] strange traffic on Richmond Trunked System

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 05:44:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39489 Message: 39490

they had an episode on COPS where they were buying hot merchandise .....and this one dude actually sold them a LARGE bulldozer.....I don't know the numbers i.e. D6 D7 etc But it was HUGE. gotta be worth plenty...
my friend who digs holes for a living purchased a new " CAT" had a scoop in the front and a backhoe in the back.....went for around 100K.....makes sense to do the lojack thing.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39491. Morse code vs text messaging

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 09:16:18 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 39491 Message: 39491

I thought I would pass this along it should be funny, I don't want to start a debate but I bet the code guys win:

(Courtesy of Larry Griggs W7MCO)

Tomorrow, Friday May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature a message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text messaging team and a Morse code team.

The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken Miller K6CTW.

Chip and Ken do a lot of contests and DXpeditions. Joe Drago, props manager for the show is KF6OCP.

This should result in some excellent PR for ham radio.

Danny Monge - AD6SJ
IRLP Node # 5040
Echolink # 152401

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39492. Re: [bayscan] Morse code vs text messaging

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 15:32:34 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39491 Message: 39492

At 02:16 AM 5/13/2005, you wrote:
>I thought I would pass this along it should be funny, I don't want to start
>a debate but I bet the code guys win:
>
>(Courtesy of Larry Griggs W7MCO)
>
>Tomorrow, Friday May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature a
>message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text messaging team
>and a Morse code team.
>
>The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken Miller K6CTW.
>
>Chip and Ken do a lot of contests and DXpeditions. Joe Drago, props manager
>for the show is KF6OCP.
>
>This should result in some excellent PR for ham radio.

Hey the Text messaging guys may win - if they're allowed to send "What R U
Up 2 LOL" unless ... there's now a shorthand morse code for LOL ... :-)

39493. Re: [bayscan] Morse code vs text messaging

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 15:44:14 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39491 Message: 39493

CW uses some of the same shorthand
(w0web)
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 08:32
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Morse code vs text messaging

At 02:16 AM 5/13/2005, you wrote:
>I thought I would pass this along it should be funny, I don't want to start
>a debate but I bet the code guys win:
>
>(Courtesy of Larry Griggs W7MCO)
>
>Tomorrow, Friday May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature a
>message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text messaging team
>and a Morse code team.
>
>The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken Miller K6CTW.
>
>Chip and Ken do a lot of contests and DXpeditions. Joe Drago, props manager
>for the show is KF6OCP.
>
>This should result in some excellent PR for ham radio.

Hey the Text messaging guys may win - if they're allowed to send "What R U
Up 2 LOL" unless ... there's now a shorthand morse code for LOL ... :-)

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39494. Re: [bayscan] Morse code vs text messaging

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 17:20:58 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39491 Message: 39494

this was done recently, leno is playing catch up:

http://engadget.com/entry/1234000463042528/

Morse code trumps SMS in head-to-head speed texting combat

Posted May 6, 2005, 1:45 PM ET by Barb Dybwad

Morse code

Okay, the world title for fastest text messaging is still raging in the streets. The victor in the most recent contest was a bit of a dark horse — 93-year-old telegraph operator Gordon Hill delivered a resounding ass-whoopin' to his rival, 13-year-old Brittany Devlin, using Morse Code. Of course, Mr. Hill does have nigh on 80 years of practice under his belt, which was enough to help him triumph even despite Brittany's liberal use of texting slang (Mr. Hill transmitted the chosen phrase verbatim). The showdown was sponsored by the Powerhouse Museum in Sydney, Australia, and the rivals had to transmit the following message: "Hey, girlfriend, you can text all your best pals to tell them where you are going and what you are wearing." Damn straight! Screw the T9, Engadget's switching over to Morse from now on.

On 5/13/05, Scanner 4 <scanner4@comcast.net> wrote:
> CW uses some of the same shorthand
> (w0web)
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Evan Platt
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 08:32
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Morse code vs text messaging
>
> At 02:16 AM 5/13/2005, you wrote:
> >I thought I would pass this along it should be funny, I don't want to start
> >a debate but I bet the code guys win:
> >
> >(Courtesy of Larry Griggs W7MCO)
> >
> >Tomorrow, Friday May 13th, the Tonight Show with Jay Leno will feature a
> >message sending/receiving contest between a cell phone text messaging team
> >and a Morse code team.
> >
> >The Morse code team will consist of Chip Margelli K7JA and Ken Miller K6CTW.
> >
> >Chip and Ken do a lot of contests and DXpeditions. Joe Drago, props manager

> >for the show is KF6OCP.
> >
> >This should result in some excellent PR for ham radio.
>
> Hey the Text messaging guys may win - if they're allowed to send "What R U
> Up 2 LOL" unless ... there's now a shorthand morse code for LOL ... :-)
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

39495. morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 20:21:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39495 Message: 39495

Santa Clara S.O. rolling on a reporting man shot in head with weapon lying nearby. Santa Teresa and Richmond......said to be by some golf course.......RP states subject has been there since 0830 , as to WHY he waited 5 hours to call ??????????????

156.210
151.445 . Fire and medics staging

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39496. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 20:27:03 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39495 Message: 39496

because a hole in one is something to be savored?
On Friday, May 13, 2005, at 13:21 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

> Santa Clara S.O. rolling on a reporting man shot in head with weapon
> lying
> nearby. Santa Teresa and Richmond......said to be by some golf
> course.......RP states subject has been there since 0830 , as to WHY
> he waited 5 hours to
> call ??????????????
>
> 156.210
> 151.445 . Fire and medics staging
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39497. Re: morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 20:28:50 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39495 Message: 39497

Confirmed 10-55.

This would be near Coyote Creek Golf Club.

39498. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 20:31:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39495 Message: 39498

oh Nina ;-(thats bad.. :-)

I am thinking , maybe this guy saw him from a distance and
thought he was sleeping until coming upon him again now and looking
closer.....SCCO is confirming the guy is 10-55 (DOA)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39499. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 20:40:29 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39495 Message: 39499

Actually, wouldn't 10-55 be dead on the scene rather than arrival?

10-55 seems to be a pretty commonly used code. I recall that one Star Trek episode where Bones said "He's 10-55, Jim!"

caladded@... wrote:
> oh Nina ;-(thats bad.. :-)
>
>
> I am thinking , maybe this guy saw him from a distance and
> thought he was sleeping until coming upon him again now and looking
> closer.....SCCO is confirming the guy is 10-55 (DOA)
>
> ED

39500. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 20:46:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39495 Message: 39500

Kind of means the same I figure..........

BTW ,GARY ?? you know who Jack Gerritsen is ? lot of talk about him on socalscan.......calling him Jammin jack do you know the story on this guy ? email me directly please

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39501. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 20:58:42 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39495 Message: 39501

caladded@... wrote:

> BTW ,GARY ?? you know who Jack Gerritsen is ? lot of talk about him on
> socalscan.......calling him Jammin jack do you know the story on this guy ?
> email me directly please

It's a pretty well known story. You can google all the FCC enforcement
activity by using the following search string

site:fcc.gov gerritsen

-Johnathan

39502. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call now Gerritsen

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 21:27:04 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39495 Message: 39502

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/06/100/

39503. Sonoma County and SR PD seeking escaped inmate

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 21:42:09 UTC
From: Cortland
Topic: 39503 Message: 39503

Inital call est 2:10. from J unit transporting prisoners.
SD and Santa Rosa PD, 453.725.

Cortland

39504. Re: [bayscan Gerritsen

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 22:23:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39504 Message: 39504

thanks Gary, guys sounds like a real space cadet

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39505. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call now Gerritsen

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 22:41:13 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39495 Message: 39505

gary wrote:

> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/05/06/100/

It is a bizarre case, not your run-of-the-mill jammer, for sure. What kind of compulsion drives this behavior, to the point of such destructive consequences to his life? (Not to mention the negative impact to everyone else along the way, of course.)

Some sort of psychiatric disorder is involved, I'd guess. Take away the radios, lock him up, and get him a good shrink!

-Johnathan

39506. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 23:28:26 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39495 Message: 39506

Ed, go look at www.qrz.com ad you'll get the whole story (Jack G)
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 13:46
Subject: Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

Kind of means the same I figure..........

BTW ,GARY ?? you know who Jack Gerritsen is ? lot of talk about him on
socalscan.......calling him Jammin jack do you know the story on this guy ?
email me directly please

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39507. Working Structure - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 23:36:55 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39401 Message: 39507

Full 1st alarm dispatched to 10040 Kenilworth at Highwood Drive for a working residential structure fire.

ENGINE COMPANY 2
TRUCK COMPANY 2
ENGINE 16
BATTALION 2
ENGINE COMPANY 19
USAR COMPANY 16
MED 30
BATTALION 1

Working San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

39508. Re: [bayscan] Working Structure - San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 23:38:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39401 Message: 39508

This is a confirmed working structure with Engine 2 on scene unable to confirm that the structure has been cleared - setting up a search, with next due to lay a line from the hydrant around the corner from the structure. Engine Company 2 with two out.

-C-

On May 13, 2005, at 4:36 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Full 1st alarm dispatched to 10040 Kenilworth at Highwood Drive for a
> working residential structure fire.
>
> ENGINE COMPANY 2
> TRUCK COMPANY 2
> ENGINE 16
> BATTALION 2
> ENGINE COMPANY 19
> USAR COMPANY 16
> MED 30
> BATTALION 1
>
> Working San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

39509. Re: [bayscan] Working Structure - San Jose 16:55

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-13 23:57:47 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39509 Message: 39509

Fire under control.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39510. Host two feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 00:42:12 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 39510 Message: 39510

I'm looking for someone whe has a web site that will do streaming
audio,

I'm fed up with FireFeeds.com and I'm now willing to send it out from
FREE but I need a host for S.F.F.D. & O.F.D.

so who is willing to help???
Reply to scanner4@...

39511. Re: Apple Computer explosion

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 01:05:30 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 39479 Message: 39511

For future info, you can hear Apple Cupertino security on 464.7875
PL D074 (when they aren't using Nextel's).

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "breigel" <breigel@y...> wrote:
> It had a nice bang to it, with a small cloud of smoke.
>
> Luckily the transformer is at the far end of the parking lot from
other buildings... and not
> near my car!
>
> SCCo Engine 1 is handling (Truck 1 also arrived/departed)
>
> - Brad (at Apple)
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Brian C." <bdchristensen@g...>
wrote:
> > 1:45PM
> > Oil in turbine caused fire. NO injuries.
> > No further updates unless needed.
> > Brian
> >
> > On 5/12/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> > >
> > > 1:43PM
> > > Units en route......
> > > Appears that workers working on/in transformer area when it
blew.
> > > Multiple calls with a "fire ball" seen shortly after in the
sky. Unknown how
> > > many injuries at this time.
> > > Brian
> > >
> > >
> > > On 5/12/05, Brian C. <bdchristensen@g...> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > 1:40PM
> > > > Some type of explosion at Apple Computer in Cupertino. SCC
Fire
> > > > responding on Command 2 = 155.985.
> > > > More to follow......
> > > > Brian in Campbell
> > > >
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39512. Re: [bayscan] Host two feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 01:51:23 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 39510 Message: 39512

At 05:42 PM 5/13/2005, you wrote:

>I'm looking for someone whe has a web site that will do streaming
>audio,
>
>I'm fed up with FireFeeds.com and I'm now willing to send it out from
>FREE but I need a host for S.F.F.D. & O.F.D.
>
>so who is willing to help???
>Reply to scanner4@...

www.scannerbuff.net

His stuff is supposed to be in a data center and can handle a lot of users,
not a dsl line.

I think that's Teamspeak though.

Otherwise it's stuff like Live365, Shoutcast, and some of the other free
windoze streamers.

Try a google search.

I like the Teamspeak stuff.. especially since you can do text chat at the
same time, and it only takes 9 kbps of
bandwidth to listen to decent audio.

Freaks them out at Starbucks when I listen to an LAPD pursuit on WiFi while
sitting outside sipping a mocha. ;-)

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.9 - Release Date: 5/12/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39513. Re: [bayscan] Host two feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 05:37:28 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry
Topic: 39510 Message: 39513

Try (http://www.bean-net.com/services.html) this is who I use for my 2 and soon to be 3 feeds. I am only paying 55cents per listener.

Mike

scan_boss <scanner4@...> wrote:
I'm looking for someone whe has a web site that will do streaming
audio,

I'm fed up with FireFeeds.com and I'm now willing to send it out from
FREE but I need a host for S.F.F.D. & O.F.D.

so who is willing to help???
Reply to scanner4@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39514. Incident in Richmond?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 05:58:57 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 39514 Message: 39514

Did anyone here if this is anything or just a minor incident?

COMMUNITY WARNING SYSTEM MESSAGE

From: Contra Costa County Health Services

ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-706013+0045-133534-
This is an advisory for Richmond, North Richmond and San Pablo. An incident at the Chevron-Texaco Refinery in Richmond could affect individuals with respiratory sensitivities. Most people will not be affected. Eye, skin, nose or throat irritation may be possible for some people in the immediate vicinity of Chevron-Texaco. If people experience any irritation, advise them to go inside and rinse any irritated area of their body with water. Handle this as advisory information only. (CHVADM)ABNNNN

For more information contact:
Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262

EDIS-05-13-05 2233 PDT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39515. so co structure fire 0314

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 10:16:36 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39515 Message: 39515

redcom 154.310

bat 1, med eng 6. med eng 7, 7572, truck 1
1825 san ramon way, santa rosa

control 2

39516. Re: [bayscan] so co structure fire 0314

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 10:18:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39515 Message: 39516

hey Nina ,,,,,,,there was a call of a possible suicide on 101 up in Sonoma County ..... Bi-centinnial way or something a couple hours ago Did you catch that ?? they sent 3 engines , i am too far away to hear much ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39517. Re: [bayscan] so co structure fire 0314

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 10:20:57 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39515 Message: 39517

hey ed, you heard more than me!
hope the poor s.o.b is okay.

On Saturday, May 14, 2005, at 03:18 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

> hey Nina ,,,,,,,there was a call of a possible suicide on 101 up in
> Sonoma
> County ..... Bi-centinnial way or something a couple hours ago Did
> you catch
> that ?? they sent 3 engines , i am too far away to hear much ?
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39518. Re: [bayscan] Host two feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 10:42:12 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39510 Message: 39518

I'm not going to pay for someone to listen (.55 cents per listener)
----- Original Message -----
From: Michael Derryberry
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 22:37
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Host two feeds

Try (http://www.bean-net.com/services.html) this is who I use for my 2 and soon to be 3 feeds. I am only paying 55cents per listener.

Mike

scan_boss <scanner4@...> wrote:
I'm looking for someone whe has a web site that will do streaming
audio,

I'm fed up with FireFeeds.com and I'm now willing to send it out from
FREE but I need a host for S.F.F.D. & O.F.D.

so who is willing to help???
Reply to scanner4@...

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39519. Re: [bayscan] Host two feeds

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 16:56:58 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39510 Message: 39519

I'll stream the OFD feed gladly :-)

Scanner 4 wrote:

>I'm not going to pay for someone to listen (.55 cents per listener)
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Michael Derryberry
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 22:37
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Host two feeds
>
>
> Try (http://www.bean-net.com/services.html) this is who I use for my 2 and soon to be 3 feeds. I am only paying 55cents per listener.
>
> Mike
>
>
> scan_boss <scanner4@...> wrote:
> I'm looking for someone whe has a web site that will do streaming
> audio,
>
> I'm fed up with FireFeeds.com and I'm now willing to send it out from
> FREE but I need a host for S.F.F.D. & O.F.D.
>
> so who is willing to help???
> Reply to scanner4@...
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

>--
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39520. Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 18:03:43 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39495 Message: 39520

I tried QRZ.com and couldn't find the story. I did a name search and found
his callsign and address, interesting that it was a Foster City address not
some place in L.A.
----- Original Message -----
From: "Scanner 4" <scanner4@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 4:28 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call

> Ed, go look at www.qrz.com ad you'll get the whole story (Jack G)
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 13, 2005 13:46
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] morgan hill call
>
>
> Kind of means the same I figure...........
>
> BTW ,GARY ?? you know who Jack Gerritsen is ? lot of talk about him on
> socalscan.......calling him Jammin jack do you know the story on this
> guy ?
> email me directly please
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> --
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>

> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39521. Re: [bayscan] jammin jack

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 18:57:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39521 Message: 39521

Now I can't remember who sent me the correct URL , but I did get the story.....thanks to whomever it was (sorry) Seems the guy was jamming Hams as well as PD , FD, whatever , went to jail , got out and did the same again and is facing 11 years in jail.....he is 68 years old . Must have mental problems I figure.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39522. AIRCRAFT DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 21:20:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39522 Message: 39522

Possible aircraft down south end of San Marin Airport - South Santa Clara County.

ENGINE 1
ENGINE 2
TRAINING 1606
PREVENTION 1621
BATTALION 1611

Single engine aircraft reported down with smoke and flames.

Working on CDF - 151.445

-C-

39523. Re: [bayscan] AIRCRAFT DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 21:21:23 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39522 Message: 39523

UPDATE - preliminary report is that all occupants are out and there is
NO fire.

On May 14, 2005, at 2:20 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Possible aircraft down south end of San Marin Airport - South Santa
> Clara County.
>
> ENGINE 1
> ENGINE 2
> TRAINING 1606
> PREVENTION 1621
> BATTALION 1611
>
> Single engine aircraft reported down with smoke and flames.
>
> Working on CDF - 151.445
>
> -C-

39524. Re: [bayscan] AIRCRAFT DOWN

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 21:27:23 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39522 Message: 39524

Last update - non injury.

Nothing further on this unless conditions warrant.

___|___
-------x-x-( )-x-x-------

craig allyn rose photography

aviation // emergency services

On May 14, 2005, at 2:21 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> UPDATE - preliminary report is that all occupants are out and there is
> NO fire.
>
> On May 14, 2005, at 2:20 PM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>> Possible aircraft down south end of San Marin Airport - South Santa
>> Clara County.
>>
>> ENGINE 1
>> ENGINE 2
>> TRAINING 1606
>> PREVENTION 1621
>> BATTALION 1611
>>
>> Single engine aircraft reported down with smoke and flames.
>>
>> Working on CDF - 151.445
>>
>> -C-

39525. Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 21:51:06 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 39525 Message: 39525

last AT 1.45 AM That right AM night i saw CDF copter 106
fly over my house then today i saw Copter 106
fly over my house again this time with another Gray
huey . Following 106 I did not thing CDf did not any gray Hueys
now at 2.49 pm a gray huey is fly over both time they were
Paralling the power lines (hitch-hetchy lines 500 KV lines)

any ideas
what is goning on

39526. Re: [bayscan] Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 22:02:15 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39525 Message: 39526

I dunno who they huey is but 106 has been doing training all week long.

mikeheight wrote:

>last AT 1.45 AM That right AM night i saw CDF copter 106
>fly over my house then today i saw Copter 106
>fly over my house again this time with another Gray
>huey . Following 106 I did not thing CDf did not any gray Hueys
>now at 2.49 pm a gray huey is fly over both time they were
>Paralling the power lines (hitch-hetchy lines 500 KV lines)
>
>any ideas
>what is goning on
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39527. Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 22:09:35 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 39525 Message: 39527

---i ben thing that gray huey is a contact Helo

In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> I dunno who they huey is but 106 has been doing training all week long.
>
> mikeheight wrote:
>
> >last AT 1.45 AM That right AM night i saw CDF copter 106
> >fly over my house then today i saw Copter 106
> >fly over my house again this time with another Gray
> >huey . Following 106 I did not thing CDf did not any gray Hueys
> >now at 2.49 pm a gray huey is fly over both time they were
> >Paralling the power lines (hitch-hetchy lines 500 KV lines)
> >
> >any ideas
> >what is goning on
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

39528. Re: [bayscan] Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-14 22:38:33 UTC
From: Dave C.
Topic: 39525 Message: 39528

Huh? English?

PS: trim your posts, please.

On Sat, May 14, 2005 at 10:09:35PM -0000, mikeheight wrote:
> ---i ben thing that gray huey is a contact Helo

39529. Re: [bayscan] Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 00:17:12 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39525 Message: 39529

I was in the Fremont Lowes (buyblue.org) and the chopper was over the store making enough racket to be heard inside. I went outside to check it out. I'd say more of a charcoal than a gray chopper and I'm inclined to agree that it is a Huey.

That particular stretch of land has been used for helicopter training in the past.

mikeheight wrote:
> last AT 1.45 AM That right AM night i saw CDF copter 106
> fly over my house then today i saw Copter 106
> fly over my house again this time with another Gray
> huey . Following 106 I did not thing CDf did not any gray Hueys
> now at 2.49 pm a gray huey is fly over both time they were
> Paralling the power lines (hitch-hetchy lines 500 KV lines)
>
> any ideas
> what is goning on

39530. Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 01:20:48 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 39525 Message: 39530

i thing that they are test something inside as they came over
my house i looked inside with my binoculars the side doors were open
i saw some kind of rack inside with equipment inside i cound not
tell what kind of equipment it was i was just alitte to far away. it
had no markings on the outside it looked like army green to me i do
thing it was army i thing that army no loner has Hueys i thing they
replace them all with Blackhawks the marines still fly the Heuy i do
not thing it was army or marine (no marking of any type) maybe a new
CDF
copter being flight tested because i saw it flying early today with
copter 106 or could be testing some kind equipment for CDF
my guess is a good as anybodys. gary any ideas.

mike..

tbayscan@yahoogrofly heuysups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> I was in the Fremont Lowes (buyblue.org) andhing it was army the
chopper was over the
> store making enough racket to be heard inside. I went outside to check
> it out. I'd say more of a charcoal than a gray chopper and I'm inclined
> to agree that it is a Huey.
>
> That particular stretch of land has been used for helicopter
training in
> the past.
>
>
>
> mikeheight wrote:
> > last AT 1.45 AM That right AM night i saw CDF copter 106
> > fly over my house then today i saw Copter 106
> > fly over my house again this time with another Gray
> > huey . Following 106 I did not thing CDf did not any gray Hueys
> > now at 2.49 pm a gray huey is fly over both time they were
> > Paralling the power lines (hitch-hetchy lines 500 KV lines)
> >
> > any ideas
> > what is goning on

39531. Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 01:47:52 UTC
From: ofc53
Topic: 39525 Message: 39531

> i thing that they are test something inside as they came over
> my house i looked inside with my binoculars the side doors were open
> i saw some kind of rack inside with equipment inside i cound not
> tell what kind of equipment

The mind control mother ship has finally returned to summon those with foil on their windows to get them ready for their ride on the comet.

-Chris

39532. Re: [bayscan] Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 01:52:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39525 Message: 39532

interesting comments Chris.....in the last few days I have seen 2 different shows on Area 51.....makes me want to load up on aluminum foil :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39533. Re: [bayscan] Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 02:56:14 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39525 Message: 39533

http://www.fas.org/man/dod-101/sys/ac/uh-1.htm
The Huey is still in action. I saw one in the static displays at Nellis
last year.

It has been much debated, but the conclusion is you wear the shiny side
of the foil on the outside. Regarding near death experiences, don't head
for the light. It's a trick. Go for the darkness.

caladded@... wrote:
> interesting comments Chris.....in the last few days I have seen 2
> different shows on Area 51.....makes me want to load up on aluminum foil
> :-)
>
> ED

39534. alart 2 SFO 737 inbound elec problem

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 03:35:08 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 39534 Message: 39534

alaska 737 inbound Elec problem

453.770

39535. Re: [bayscan] Incident in Richmond?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 05:17:16 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39514 Message: 39535

Just after I got that I got a "woops we goofed" EDIS message from CoCoHS
stating there was no incident.

On 5/13/05, Robert W. Sanford <bayscan@...> wrote:
>
> Did anyone here if this is anything or just a minor incident?
>
>
> COMMUNITY WARNING SYSTEM MESSAGE
>
> From: Contra Costa County Health Services
>
> ABZCZC-CIV-CEM-706013+0045-133534-
> This is an advisory for Richmond, North Richmond and San Pablo. An
> incident at
> the Chevron-Texaco Refinery in Richmond could affect individuals with
> respiratory sensitivities. Most people will not be affected. Eye, skin,
> nose or
> throat irritation may be possible for some people in the immediate
> vicinity of
> Chevron-Texaco. If people experience any irritation, advise them to go
> inside
> and rinse any irritated area of their body with water. Handle this as
> advisory
> information only. (CHVADM)ABNNNN
>
>
> For more information contact:
> Contra Costa Health Services Tel: (925) 260-6262
>
> EDIS-05-13-05 2233 PDT
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39536. working fire in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 08:11:36 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 23345 Message: 39536

233 grant st, near union square

SFFD A1 14800
SFFD A11 Tac 15120

initial report is dryer fire in the basement

39537. Bay 2 Breakers Freq's

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 14:45:57 UTC
From: scan_boss
Topic: 39537 Message: 39537

PD is on PD A-9(13104)

Red Cross on 47.420

hams for Red Cross are on:
442.075 (pl100.0)East net
147.105 (pl 103.5 if used) West Net

Fire will use stardard TG's and are NOT part of the event and will
only handle call after the race, Private EMS (I think King Amer.)
will be on site at the polo fields

39538. Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:02:55 UTC
From: ofc53
Topic: 39525 Message: 39538

> It has been much debated, but the conclusion is you wear the shiny side
> of the foil on the outside. Regarding near death experiences, don't
head
> for the light. It's a trick. Go for the darkness.

Based on my years of training and experience, I would agree: Shiny
side out is definately the way to go.

I actually went on one of these calls a few years back. A 5149 (and
two thirds) called and was ranting and raving. Yes, he had foil on
his windows. However, the voices kept coming so being the keen
observer, I told him that he had the dull side facing out. If he
wanted the full protection that foil affords, I told him to switch it
to shiny side out. It was like the best advice he heard in weeks.
Everyone left happy.

-Chris

39539. Re: [bayscan] Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:08:45 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39525 Message: 39539

Sad, but intensely entertaining.

On May 15, 2005, at 10:02 AM, ofc53 wrote:

>
>> It has been much debated, but the conclusion is you wear the shiny
>> side
>> of the foil on the outside. Regarding near death experiences, don't
> head
>> for the light. It's a trick. Go for the darkness.
>
>
> Based on my years of training and experience, I would agree: Shiny
> side out is definately the way to go.
>
> I actually went on one of these calls a few years back. A 5149 (and
> two thirds) called and was ranting and raving. Yes, he had foil on
> his windows. However, the voices kept coming so being the keen
> observer, I told him that he had the dull side facing out. If he
> wanted the full protection that foil affords, I told him to switch it
> to shiny side out. It was like the best advice he heard in weeks.
> Everyone left happy.
>
> -Chris
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39540. hillucinations: was fremont airplane

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:20:12 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39525 Message: 39540

I did a ride along with AMR a couple years back. We were doing a 5150 john george transport. The guy was billigerant and having halucinations. guy was on lsd and thought his g/f was an evil donkey and decided to come at her with an axe). we had to 4 point him.. the guy tried spitting in one of the medics faces... I don't know if that happened alot to the particular medic in question but he whipped a small toy frog out of one of the overhead bins, placed it on the guys chest. When we got to JG the guy kept screaming "get the evil frog away from me, get it off me. Suffice to say he kinda put the nail in the coffin on how long he was going to be staying at JG.... :-)

ofc53 wrote:

>>It has been much debated, but the conclusion is you wear the shiny side
>>of the foil on the outside. Regarding near death experiences, don't
>>
>>
>head
>
>
>>for the light. It's a trick. Go for the darkness.
>>
>>
>
>
>Based on my years of training and experience, I would agree: Shiny
>side out is definately the way to go.
>
>I actually went on one of these calls a few years back. A 5149 (and
>two thirds) called and was ranting and raving. Yes, he had foil on
>his windows. However, the voices kept coming so being the keen
>observer, I told him that he had the dull side facing out. If he
>wanted the full protection that foil affords, I told him to switch it
>to shiny side out. It was like the best advice he heard in weeks.
>Everyone left happy.
>
>-Chris
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39541. Re: [bayscan] hillucinations: was fremont airplane

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:22:59 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39525 Message: 39541

JG?

Ben wrote:
> I did a ride along with AMR a couple years back. We were doing a 5150
> john george transport.

39542. Re: [bayscan] hillucinations: was fremont airplane

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:32:43 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39525 Message: 39542

John George Psychiatric facility for alameda county in San Leandro

gary wrote:

>JG?
>
>Ben wrote:
>
>
>>I did a ride along with AMR a couple years back. We were doing a 5150
>>john george transport.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39543. Re: [bayscan] hillucinations: was fremont airplane

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:33:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39525 Message: 39543

At 10:20 AM 5/15/2005, you wrote:
>I did a ride along with AMR a couple years back. We were doing a 5150
>john george transport. The guy was billigerant and having
>halucinations. guy was on lsd and thought his g/f was an evil donkey
>and decided to come at her with an axe). we had to 4 point him.. the
>guy tried spitting in one of the medics faces... I don't know if that
>happened alot to the particular medic in question but he whipped a small
>toy frog out of one of the overhead bins, placed it on the guys chest.
>When we got to JG the guy kept screaming "get the evil frog away from
>me, get it off me. Suffice to say he kinda put the nail in the coffin on
>how long he was going to be staying at JG.... :-)

One of my ride alongs I narrowly missed having... ahem.. chunks blown
on me by literally inches. Yechh.

39544. Re: [bayscan] Re: Strange Helicopter Activity over fremont

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:34:47 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39525 Message: 39544

At 10:02 AM 5/15/2005, you wrote:
>Based on my years of training and experience, I would agree: Shiny
>side out is definately the way to go.
>
>I actually went on one of these calls a few years back. A 5149 (and
>two thirds) called and was ranting and raving. Yes, he had foil on
>his windows. However, the voices kept coming so being the keen
>observer, I told him that he had the dull side facing out. If he
>wanted the full protection that foil affords, I told him to switch it
>to shiny side out. It was like the best advice he heard in weeks.
>Everyone left happy.

Hey I once got one of those packages with a cell phone antenna booster that you place under your battery and a radiation stopper you place over your cell phone earpiece.. I confused the two, and put the booster over the earpiece and the blocker under the battery..
Needless to say, I couldn't get a signal, and within 30 minutes I had enough radiation to glow in the dark, and within a week grew a 3rd arm.

39545. Re: [bayscan] hillucinations: was fremont airplane

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:35:20 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39525 Message: 39545

At 10:32 AM 5/15/2005, you wrote:
>John George Psychiatric facility for alameda county in San Leandro

Had me confused too, I thought maybe John George was some code ala
Adam Henry. :)

39546. Foil

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:40:20 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39546 Message: 39546

Now that Shinny side out ok got it, (I'm feeling much better now, but the big green cat won't stay in the other room???)

Anything else I should know???

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39547. Re: [bayscan] Foil

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:54:50 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39546 Message: 39547

At 10:40 AM 5/15/2005, you wrote:
>Now that Shinny side out ok got it, (I'm feeling much better now,
>but the big green cat won't stay in the other room???)
>
>Anything else I should know???

Yeah.. that white van parked across the street - don't worry about
it, it's fine. Just ignore it. :)

39548. Re: [bayscan] Foil

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 17:56:27 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39546 Message: 39548

Scanner 4 wrote:

> Now that Shinny side out ok got it, (I'm feeling much better now, but the big green cat won't stay in the other room???)
>
> Anything else I should know???

Give it the frog to play with?

39549. Re: [bayscan] Foil

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 18:02:18 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39546 Message: 39549

At 10:56 AM 5/15/2005, you wrote:

> > Anything else I should know???
>
>Give it the frog to play with?

Don't squeeze the Charmin.

You don't tug on superman's cape
You don't spit into the wind
You don't pull the mask of the ol' lone ranger
And you don't mess around with Jim.

39550. Re: [bayscan] Foil

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 18:00:29 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39546 Message: 39550

you mean the THREE white vans, and the elephant and I don't speak after he tried and put the moves on the Orange Ape (She is still pissed)
Should I worry about the disc over my house??? (could it be the sun)
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan Platt
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 15, 2005 10:54
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Foil

At 10:40 AM 5/15/2005, you wrote:
>Now that Shinny side out ok got it, (I'm feeling much better now,
>but the big green cat won't stay in the other room???)
>
>Anything else I should know???

Yeah.. that white van parked across the street - don't worry about
it, it's fine. Just ignore it. :)

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39551. Re: [bayscan] Foil

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 21:43:07 UTC
From: John Drake
Topic: 39546 Message: 39551

Scanner 4 wrote:
> Now that Shinny side out ok got it, (I'm feeling much better now, but the big green cat won't stay in the other room???)
>
> Anything else I should know???

Use Titanium foil. It has "getter" properties.

JD

39552. Re: [bayscan] Foil

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-15 22:18:15 UTC
From: Dave C.
Topic: 39546 Message: 39552

On Sun, May 15, 2005 at 02:43:07PM -0700, John Drake wrote:
>
>
> Scanner 4 wrote:
> > Now that Shinny side out ok got it, (I'm feeling much better now, but the big green cat won't stay in the other room???)
> >
> > Anything else I should know???
>
> Use Titanium foil. It has "getter" properties.

Hahaha!

</ex-wafer-fab tech>

39553. Good maps for upcoming fire season

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 01:23:42 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39553 Message: 39553

Hey for all you CDF buffs, I found a good site to download CDF maps and what not to better get an understanding of station locations, unit locations, SRA locations, watersheds, and fuel conditions.

http://frap.cdf.ca.gov/data/frapgisdata/select.asp

CT

39554. Re: [bayscan] Good maps for upcoming fire season

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 02:02:08 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39553 Message: 39554

Great resource, Craig. Thanks for passing it on!

----
craig allyn rose photography
2147 Newhall Street, #522
Santa Clara, CA 95050

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

408.772.7279 - mobile 24/7
408.243.7826 - office

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

On May 15, 2005, at 6:23 PM, Craig wrote:

> Hey for all you CDF buffs, I found a good site to download CDF maps and
> what not to better get an understanding of station locations, unit
> locations, SRA locations, watersheds, and fuel conditions.
>
> http://frap.cdf.ca.gov/data/frapgisdata/select.asp
>
> CT
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39555. Morse code vs text messaging

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 03:15:54 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39491 Message: 39555

here is a link to a video clip of the Jay Leno show in which a contest between Morse Code and text Messaging

http://www.qrq.org.uk/

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39556. Sunnyvale drill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 04:38:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39556 Message: 39556

Sunnyvale Police running a drill on channel 3/ 483.1625 sounded real enough
first time , about to run another one in a few minutes
fun monitoring

2138 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39557. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale drill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 04:43:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39556 Message: 39557

anyone up on this ....GOOD drill 11-99 Officer shot DRILL

2142

again its a DRILL
483.1625

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39558. Farfield PD pursuit...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 05:36:42 UTC
From: Wyatt Earp
Topic: 39558 Message: 39558

90 MPH E.B. 80 #4 lane. FFPD chasing a car. Passing west Texas off ramp now. H-32 CHP asking for discription. White Pick up White male driver

39559. Update #1 Fairfield PD pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 05:38:54 UTC
From: Wyatt Earp
Topic: 39559 Message: 39559

New unit is the lead car. saying now this is a Hispanic male adult.
Taking the Airbase pkwy. Eastbound..E.B. pacific now..CHP in route. H-
32 overhead

39560. Fairfield PD Pursuit Update #2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 05:43:10 UTC
From: Wyatt Earp
Topic: 39560 Message: 39560

Suspect Blew an intersection and Hit another car at High speed. Asking for 2 Helos now and Fire and Ambulances..Was doing about 70-80.. Officers pursuing called a TC at an intersection. Need fire ASAP f or extracation.. PD shutting down all areas now..

39561. Update Final. Pusuit Fairfield PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 06:09:11 UTC
From: Wyatt Earp
Topic: 39561 Message: 39561

This will be the final unless more developes. Not much radio traffic now but sounded like only one Helo was called and Fire arrived on scene. Vehicle was confirmed stolen (10851) out of Vacaville. H-32 was overhead for a while.Now gone. Was curious to find out where they might get the Helo's?? U.C. Davis? or CALSTAR I know UC Davis has a couple.. Well hopefully there is an O.K. ending for the innocent parties involved..

39562. Re: [bayscan] Fairfield PD Pursuit Update #2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 06:14:07 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39560 Message: 39562

you know the reason why he ran?
----- Original Message -----
From: "Wyatt Earp" <radiophanatic_71@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 15, 2005 10:43 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Fairfield PD Pursuit Update #2

> Suspect Blew an intersection and Hit another car at High speed. Asking
> for 2 Helos now and Fire and Ambulances..Was doing about 70-80..
> Officers pursuing called a TC at an intersection. Need fire ASAP f or
> extracation.. PD shutting down all areas now..
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39563. Re: [bayscan] Bay to Breakers - Red Cross

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 06:35:56 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 39563 Message: 39563

> Date: Sun, 15 May 2005 14:45:57 -0000
> From: "scan_moss" <scanner4@...>
> Subject: Bay 2 Breakers Freq's
>
> PD is on PD A-9(13104)
>
> Red Cross on 47.420

Red Cross was also on their 866.2875MHz Bay Area repeater system, talking about needing more glucose at one of the first aid stations, and BS'ing.

This was the first time I've heard them use it in the year or two I've had it in my radio, other than their occasional multi-day long dead carriers. No CTCSS or DCS, nor any FCC ID heard.

It's good to finally know that having it in my scanners isn't totally wasting a channel -- I assume it'll get heavy use when the next Big One occurs.

Tim

Discover Yahoo!
Get on-the-go sports scores, stock quotes, news and more. Check it out!
http://discover.yahoo.com/mobile.html

39564. Re: [bayscan] Fairfield PD Pursuit Update #2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 07:13:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39560 Message: 39564

what streets ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39565. Re: [bayscan] Fairfield PD Pursuit Update #2

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 07:16:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39560 Message: 39565

Darn yahoo ? I only got the update 2 not the other posts , disregard my question about what streets.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39566. Interesting pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 09:33:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39566 Message: 39566

Sunnyvale just had an interesting call. One of their alert patrol officers observed 2 vehicles casing some commercial businesses near Fire Station 2 at Arques/ Wolfe. As he started to follow the White RX7 took off but he got the pickup truck stopped and had one in custody with burglary tools. Another officer spotted the RX7 and the chase was on going up Central Expressway at 110mph , on to Middlefield , slightly into Mt.View then back and up Mary and lost near Fremont. Officers spread out and spotted him on Homestead , once again over 100 mph . a Sunnyvale Sgt called it off for speed reasons but Santa Clara immediatly picked up the chase and THEY lost him at Lawrence and Bollinger. At short time later the CHP had a vehicle spinout at The Alameda and 880 and it seems its the same vehicle. As I type they have set a perimeter along with some Santa Clara and San Jose units and looking for a helo.

Good Police work.......they are presently checking the "victim" building for anymore suspects.
for referance:
Sunnyvale 482.9625
Santa Clara PD TG 16
Santa Clara S/O 156.210 (earlier they would have used 155.700 P25) but have no dispatcher for that channel at this time.
San Jose PD 2/ 460.2750
CHP 42.50
0231 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39567. Re: [bayscan] Interesting pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 10:24:27 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39566 Message: 39567

lol good post ED. I was listening to this in my truck as I was driving. Busy night! 3 DUI crash's on 101 in marin on my way down, not very serious, and Marin SO looking for suspect in 242 near Marin city. Oh yea and I heard the tail end of Code 33 traffic on Haward. They had 2 at gun point but i dont know why. Suspects taken into custody w/o incident.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2005 2:33 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Interesting pursuit

> Sunnyvale just had an interesting call. One of their alert patrol
> officers
> observed 2 vehicles casing some commercial businesses near Fire Station 2
> at
> Arques/ Wolfe. As he started to follow the White RX7 took off but he got
> the
> pickup truck stopped and had one in custody with burglary tools. Another
> officer spotted the RX7 and the chase was on going up Central Expressway
> at 110mph ,
> on to Middlefield , slightly into Mt.View then back and up Mary and lost
> near
> Fremont. Officers spread out and spotted him on Homestead , once again
> over
> 100 mph . a Sunnyvale Sgt called it off for speed reasons but Santa Clara
> immediatly picked up the chase and THEY lost him at Lawrence and
> Bollinger. At
> short time later the CHP had a vehicle spinout at The Alameda and 880 and
> it seems
> its the same vehicle. As I type they have set a perimeter along with some
> Santa Clara and San Jose units and looking for a helo.
>
> Good Police work.......they are presently checking the "victim" building
> for
> anymore suspects.
> for referance:
> Sunnyvale 482.9625
> Santa Clara PD TG 16
> Santa Clara S/O 156.210 (earlier they would have used 155.700 P25) but
> have
> no dispatcher for that channel at this time.
> San Jose PD 2/ 460.2750
> CHP 42.50
> 0231 hours

>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39568. Re: [bayscan] Update Final. Pusuit Fairfield PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 12:01:18 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 39561 Message: 39568

UC Davis doesnt have their LifeFlight helo's anymore, their program went out of service last year sometime i think??? just fyi =)
-boulrog-

-----Original Message-----
From: Wyatt Earp <radiophanatic_71@...>
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Mon, 16 May 2005 06:09:11 -0000
Subject: [bayscan] Update Final. Pusuit Fairfield PD

This will be the final unless more developes. Not much radio traffic now but sounded like only one Helo was called and Fire arrived on scene. Vehicle was confirmed stolen (10851) out of Vacaville. H-32 was overhead for a while.Now gone. Was curious to find out where they might get the Helo's?? U.C. Davis? or CALSTAR I know UC Davis has a couple.. Well hopefully there is an O.K. ending for the innocent parties involved..

Yahoo! Groups Links

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39569. Re: [bayscan] Update Final. Pusuit Fairfield PD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 21:33:08 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39561 Message: 39569

When i worked as a medic in Fairfield for Medic Ambulance we used REACH out of concord off Hwy 4 alot as well as REACH out of Santa Rosa, if UCD was not avalible. So i assume since life flight is no more (which i didnt know until just nbow) those would be the next two choppers they would use.
----- Original Message -----
From: <Boulrog@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2005 5:01 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Update Final. Pusuit Fairfield PD

> UC Davis doesnt have their LifeFlight helo's anymore, their program went
> out of service last year sometime i think??? just fyi =)
> -boulrog-
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Wyatt Earp <radiophanatic_71@...>
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Mon, 16 May 2005 06:09:11 -0000
> Subject: [bayscan] Update Final. Pusuit Fairfield PD
>
>
> This will be the final unless more developes. Not much radio traffic
> now but sounded like only one Helo was called and Fire arrived on
> scene. Vehicle was confirmed stolen (10851) out of Vacaville. H-32 was
> overhead for a while.Now gone. Was curious to find out where they might
> get the Helo's?? U.C. Davis? or CALSTAR I know UC Davis has a couple..
> Well hopefully there is an O.K. ending for the innocent parties
> involved..
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39570. San Jose Airport Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 21:57:53 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39570 Message: 39570

Anyone catch the details regarding San Jose Fire working an incident involving two patients that may have sustained injuries aboard an aircraft that has landed at SJC? I caught the middle of the event and it sounds like San Jose Fire responded on this as an MCI.

BATTALION 29
RESCUE 20
ENGINE 20
MED 30
ENGINE 5
RESCUE MEDIC 29
USAR 5
USAR TENDER 5

Working San Jose Command 4 - 153.980

---
craig allyn rose photography
2147 Newhall Street, #522
Santa Clara, CA 95050

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

408.772.7279 - mobile 24/7
408.243.7826 - office

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

39571. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Airport Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 22:02:31 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39570 Message: 39571

Okay, looks like these injuries were related to severe turbulence encountered by the aircraft while inbound SJC. SJC Tower just broadcast a SIGMET (Significant Meteorological Information) for occasional severe turbulence from 25,000 to 28,000 feet, with multiple reports from aircraft.

I can vouch for the wind conditions - blowing pretty hard about three miles from the airport here in Santa Clara.

On May 16, 2005, at 2:57 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Anyone catch the details regarding San Jose Fire working an incident
> involving two patients that may have sustained injuries aboard an
> aircraft that has landed at SJC? I caught the middle of the event and
> it sounds like San Jose Fire responded on this as an MCI.
>
> BATTALION 29
> RESCUE 20
> ENGINE 20
> MED 30
> ENGINE 5
> RESCUE MEDIC 29
> USAR 5
> USAR TENDER 5
>
> Working San Jose Command 4 - 153.980

39572. San Jose Airport Incident - Follow Up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 22:43:45 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39572 Message: 39572

Final word on this one from me.

San Jose Fire is still working this on COMMAND 4 (153.980) with at least one AMR rig departing the airport CODE 3 with two, including one passenger in c-spine precautions and a second passenger suffering from injured ribs and leg pain. SJFD is working on two additional patients now, making the total from this incident five.

This one might make the news this evening - maybe KNTV if they have their act together.

-C-

Okay, looks like these injuries were related to severe turbulence encountered by the aircraft while inbound SJC. SJC Tower just broadcast a SIGMET (Significant Meteorological Information) for occasional severe turbulence from 25,000 to 28,000 feet, with multiple reports from aircraft.

I can vouch for the wind conditions - blowing pretty hard about three miles from the airport here in Santa Clara.

On May 16, 2005, at 2:57 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Anyone catch the details regarding San Jose Fire working an incident
> involving two patients that may have sustained injuries aboard an
> aircraft that has landed at SJC? I caught the middle of the event and
> it sounds like San Jose Fire responded on this as an MCI.
>
> BATTALION 29
> RESCUE 20
> ENGINE 20
> MED 30
> ENGINE 5
> RESCUE MEDIC 29
> USAR 5
> USAR TENDER 5
>
> Working San Jose Command 4 - 153.980

39573. SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 20:28:10 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 39573 Message: 39573

An article by James Dalessandro in the May 2005
issue of SAN francisco magazine compares & contrasts
the 1906 earthquake to a near identical event occuring
today.

Page 68 mentions some specific concerns, one of
which is city & county of SF radio communications --
not enough 800MHz radios to hand out to all the
off-duty public safety personnel called back to
service.

The author's fix for this is "Make sure the police
department keeps its "redundant" 400-megahertz system
and provides units to all off-duty personnel, perhaps
with funds from Homeland Security grants."

The wording suggest that SFPD does still have &
maintain their old radio system network & a cache of
handhelds for such a contingency.

I'm under the impression that most of SFPD's old
400MHz radios were given over to SF OES, which retuned
many of them so that they'd function in the 440MHz ham
band, and thus are not at all ready to be handed out
to
police, fire & EMS personnel immediately after the big
earthquake.

Do SFPD/FD/EMS still even maintain their old UHF
system repeaters?

Tim

Yahoo! Mail Mobile
Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Check email on your mobile phone.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/learn/mail

39574. RE: [bayscan] SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-16 23:57:07 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39573 Message: 39574

What Dalessandro has identified is a problem that besets virtually every public safety agency in the country. Jurisdiction budgeteers will not stand for spending more money than it takes to cover day-to-day operations. That☐s why there always has been ☐ and always will be ☐ a place for volunteer communicators with their own facilities to augment jurisdictional systems in times of emergency.

Chuck Hoffman
chuck94560@...
(510) 468-3642

-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Tim
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2005 1:28 PM
To: Bayscan
Subject: [bayscan] SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

An article by James Dalessandro in the May 2005
issue of SAN francisco magazine compares & contrasts
the 1906 earthquake to a near identical event occuring
today.

Page 68 mentions some specific concerns, one of
which is city & county of SF radio communications --
not enough 800MHz radios to hand out to all the
off-duty public safety personnel called back to
service.

The author's fix for this is "Make sure the police
department keeps its "redundant" 400-megahertz system
and provides units to all off-duty personnel, perhaps
with funds from Homeland Security grants."

The wording suggest that SFPD does still have &
maintain their old radio system network & a cache of
handhelds for such a contingency.

I'm under the impression that most of SFPD's old
400MHz radios were given over to SF OES, which retuned
many of them so that they'd function in the 440MHz ham
band, and thus are not at all ready to be handed out
to
police, fire & EMS personnel immediately after the big
earthquake.

Do SFPD/FD/EMS still even maintain their old UHF
system repeaters?

Tim

Yahoo! Mail Mobile
Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Check email on your mobile phone.
http://mobile.yahoo.com/learn/mail

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39575. Pursuit - Milpitas 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 00:03:39 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39575 Message: 39575

Northbound Dempsey, occupied by two males...now possibly on 680 direction unknown...one at gunpoint on Calaveras on the west side of 680

Chuck Hoffman
chuck94560@...
(510) 468-3642

39576. Re: [bayscan] SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 00:24:31 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39573 Message: 39576

> Do SFPD/FD/EMS still even maintain their old UHF
> system repeaters?

PD, a definite NO. But the lowband radios are still working and in many of the cars and at the substations as a backup (certainly better than nothing).

FD / EMS, unknown, but I wouldn't count on it.

-g-

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39577. Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 00:55:28 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39573 Message: 39577

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Tim <sfbayhooligan@y...> wrote:
> An article by James Dalessandro in the May 2005
> issue of SAN francisco magazine compares & contrasts
> the 1906 earthquake to a near identical event occuring
> today.

<...>

> The author's fix for this is "Make sure the police
> department keeps its "redundant" 400-megahertz system
> and provides units to all off-duty personnel, perhaps
> with funds from Homeland Security grants."

Nice, except the channels were given up to be reused by
other agencies looking to expand their existing systems.

Don

39578. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 01:09:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39573 Message: 39578

I remember a couple years ago the system failed and they went to 800 conventional . I would be surprized if SFFD didn't have a backup.....

Tony ??? Milt ?? I figure one of you guys know ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39579. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 01:25:12 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39573 Message: 39579

in the event of a system failure SFFD will go to Conventional 800mz and PD goes to its OLD low band radios which are still in the cars.
The old T-band fire freq's went to San Mateo & Marin counties for there new digital systems
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Cc: sffire@...
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2005 18:09
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

I remember a couple years ago the system failed and they went to 800 conventional . I would be surprized if SFFD didn't have a backup.....

Tony ??? Milt ?? I figure one of you guys know ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39580. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 03:18:29 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39573 Message: 39580

So you need to surrender VHF and UHF frequencies, but not lowband?

Scanner 4 wrote:
> in the event of a system failure SFFD will go to Conventional 800mz and PD goes to its OLD low band radios which are still in the cars.
> The old T-band fire freq's went to San Mateo & Marin counties for there new digital systems
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: caladded@...
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Cc: sffire@...
> Sent: Monday, May 16, 2005 18:09
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?
>
>
> I remember a couple years ago the system failed and they went to 800
> conventional . I would be surprized if SFFD didn't have a
> backup.....
>
> Tony ??? Milt ?? I figure one of you guys know ??
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39581. BPD Code33 Man with gun

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 03:55:08 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39581 Message: 39581

Berkley PD lookintg for 2 BMA in black with a gun at the Jack in The Box near 7th street.

BPD 460.1750

39582. Re: [bayscan] BPD Code33 Man with gun

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 04:14:55 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39581 Message: 39582

stfucode3 wrote:

> Berkley PD lookintg for 2 BMA in black with a gun at the Jack in The
> Box near 7th street.
>
> BPD 460.1750

What is the output PL for this frequency? Is it 162.2?

This frequency is also SJPD channel 13, which is a tactical simplex channel using PL 186.2. I've got this set as my squelch tone, yet right now I'm periodically hearing BPD break through. Or at least I think it is; if I set it the PL to 162.2 it comes through much more frequently and sounds like the same dispatcher.

-Johnathan

39583. Mon 21:31 10-33L Hunt on SJPD 7 460.475

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 04:31:00 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 39583 Message: 39583

Sounds like they have a good shot on this one.

39584. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 04:33:52 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39573 Message: 39584

while i don't have a 'quotable' answer from the FCC rules, i believe that the FCC only would require a surrender of the _primary_ radio systems, and not necessarily secondary like the lowband system.

btw... SFPD was doing simulcasting of "all" calls and other broadcast and high-incident type messages for a while a few years back. i haven't been paying attention lately... do they do this anymore?

-g-

> So you need to surrender VHF and UHF frequencies, but not lowband?
>
> Scanner 4 wrote:

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39585. Re: [bayscan] Mon 21:31 10-33L Hunt on SJPD 7 460.475

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 04:35:37 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39583 Message: 39585

Doug Owens wrote:

> Sounds like they have a good shot on this one.

Sure didn't take them long to find it--unoccupied.

-Johnathan

39586. Re: Mon 21:31 10-33L Hunt on SJPD 7 460.475

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 04:39:11 UTC
From: Doug Owens
Topic: 39583 Message: 39586

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Johnathan Corgan
<Johnathan.Corgan@g...> wrote:
> Doug Owens wrote:
>
> > Sounds like they have a good shot on this one.
>
> Sure didn't take them long to find it--unoccupied.
>
> -Johnathan

Nope it sure didn't -- nice work by the PD officers. But, it is too
bad the thief was not recovered as well!

Doug

39587. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 05:19:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39573 Message: 39587

As far as SFPD rebroadcasting on low -- band, don't think so. Last time (maybe 6 months ago) I was in the city, I didn't hear that and still have the lowband channels in my scanner.

As far as giving up frequencies..... they don't do it with high band, as Santa Clara Fire still simulcast channel 1 on 153.965 and Sacramento Metro Fire still broadcast on 154.19 and Sac City Fire on 153.89.
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39588. Re: [bayscan] BPD Code33 Man with gun

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 04:36:57 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39581 Message: 39588

yes i have 162.2

----- Original Message -----
From: "Johnathan Corgan" <Johnathan.Corgan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2005 9:14 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] BPD Code33 Man with gun

> stfucode3 wrote:
>
>> Berkley PD lookintg for 2 BMA in black with a gun at the Jack in The
>> Box near 7th street.
>>
>> BPD 460.1750
>
> What is the output PL for this frequency? Is it 162.2?
>
> This frequency is also SJPD channel 13, which is a tactical simplex
> channel using PL 186.2. I've got this set as my squelch tone, yet right
> now I'm periodically hearing BPD break through. Or at least I think it
> is; if I set it the PL to 162.2 it comes through much more frequently
> and sounds like the same dispatcher.
>
> -Johnathan
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39589. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 05:36:32 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39573 Message: 39589

does anyone have the old SF lowband freq's handy?

On 5/16/05, caladded@aol.com <caladded@...> wrote:
> As far as SFPD rebroadcasting on low -- band, don't think so. Last time
> (maybe 6 months ago) I was in the city, I didn't hear that and still have the
> lowband channels in my scanner.

39590. Re: [bayscan] Re: Mon 21:31 10-33L Hunt on SJPD 7 460.475

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 05:54:24 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39583 Message: 39590

Doug Owens wrote:

> Nope it sure didn't -- nice work by the PD officers. But, it is too
> bad the thief was not recovered as well!

I've heard some car thieves will steal a car, park it somewhere, wait a day or so to see if Lo Jack gets activated, and only then come back to grab it for good.

-Johnathan

39591. Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 06:09:14 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39573 Message: 39591

> does anyone have the old SF lowband freq's handy?

45.10 (2nd division: Mission, Ingleside, Taraval)
45.14 (Citywide)
45.58 (1st division: Potrero, Park, Northern)
45.46 (3rd division: Richmond)

Per this site: http://www.keller.com/dan/SFPD/SFPoliceCodes.html

Lot's of cool info, including what SFPDs unit IDs mean (which I was
pretty lost on the first time I brought my scanner to the city).

-Inigo

39592. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 07:08:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39573 Message: 39592

SURE>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

channel 1. 45.10 Taraval , Ingelside , Richmond

channel 2. 45.14 Mission / Bayview

channel 3. 45.46 Park / Northern

channel 4. 45.58 Central / Southern

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39594. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 07:18:49 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39573 Message: 39594

Sorry , meant " Keller" .....anyway, the URL you posted is a bit outdated although a lot of the information is still current. I noticed it listed Sgts as " 60 " units .....they are 100 units and it listed POTRERO station and its BAYVIEW now.....the URL is probably a good 7 years old or more.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39595. Palo Alto ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 07:44:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39595 Message: 39595

Palo Alto PD and FD responding to Antonios' Nut house (coffee house) at 301 California on a medical call. White transvestite in dress in front drooling on him(or is it her ?) self. Palo Alto ???

new one for this area LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39596. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 08:03:46 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39595 Message: 39596

I think that is a bar. More to the point, a dive bar. They are famous
for violating the non-smoking laws. It's diagonal from Keeble and
Schucat (a place in Palo Alto I suspect more people know.]

caladded@... wrote:
> Palo Alto PD and FD responding to Antonios' Nut house (coffee house) at 301
> California on a medical call. White transvestite in dress in front drooling
> on him(or is it her ?) self. Palo Alto ???
>
> new one for this area LOL
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39597. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 08:20:18 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39595 Message: 39597

This place is foul! they serve peanuts and everyone throws there shells on the ground. Then you walk around all night in spilled beer and penut shells.. gee yum.. health inspectors must love this..

----- Original Message -----
From: "gary" <yahoogroups@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 1:03 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto ?

>I think that is a bar. More to the point, a dive bar. They are famous
> for violating the non-smoking laws. It's diagonal from Keeble and
> Schucat (a place in Palo Alto I suspect more people know.]
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>> Palo Alto PD and FD responding to Antonios' Nut house (coffee house) at
>> 301
>> California on a medical call. White transvestite in dress in front
>> drooling
>> on him(or is it her ?) self. Palo Alto ???
>>
>> new one for this area LOL
>>
>> ED
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>

39598. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 08:16:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39595 Message: 39598

yeah, the camera place , I know the intersection.....giving up my age here Gary ..but ....what is a " dive " bar.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39599. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 08:24:59 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39595 Message: 39599

Oh ok, one of those places..... but the peanut shells on the floor aren't that bad.....Had dinner at one in Ventura during a Goldwing convention in 96 . Lot of fun. got into a Peanut fight etc .....bunch of us 40/50 years olds (US) acting like teenagers....still was fun

You guys see the crash at my local Safeway in Palo Alto ?? 100mph .....2.5 hours to extract one victim.....and I slept thru it (damn !!)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39600. Re: SFPD Keller Site/Potrero Hill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 08:32:06 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39573 Message: 39600

Yeah I noticed the thing about Bayview being called Potrero, it was the only resource I could find the info at though. I didn't realize there used to be a Potrero Hill station, but I could see why. I have a family friend on 20th and Connecticut St. and we visited his house for the first time, and it was kinda sketchy up there. I was monitoring A3 & A4 and there was a stabbing & a few blocks away just while I was there. It's probably nothing compared to bayview & Hunters Point but still enough for this sheltered white boy.

-Inigo

39601. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 08:33:44 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 39595 Message: 39601

I hope that wasn't a Safeway truck that was going a hundred miles an hour, geez, I can't get mine past 58 on this side of the Nevada border

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 1:24 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto ?

"You guys see the crash at my local Safeway in Palo Alto ?? 100mph
.............2.5 hours to extract one victim.......and I slept thru it (damn !!)"

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.10 - Release Date: 5/13/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.10 - Release Date: 5/13/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39602. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Keller Site/Potrero Hill

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 08:34:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39573 Message: 39602

yeah, its still fairly good site though. Some of Potreo hill is nice, real good views and nice weather. You have to know the area well.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39603. Re: [bayscan] Palo Alto ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 08:47:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39595 Message: 39603

No, it wasn't a Safeway truck. It was a passenger vehicle (and I do mean WAS .....as in USED TO BE) rolling around 100 down Middlefield and somehow lost control , wiped out several trees and crashed into at least 2 vehicles in the parking lot of Midtown Safeway. Couple of the TV stations showed PAPD Still photos and that car was about as 100% totaled as you can image. One dead and the other took PAFD 2.5 hours to extract. I am only wondering if they special called more than one set of jaws for this one. I have been in that Safeway 100s of times and was shocked to see it on the screen. lucky it didn't happen at a different time of day or the death toll could have been a lot higher than one.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39604. Pursuit Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 09:38:16 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39604

2 black Males Black mustang high rates of speed Virgina st. Units are in pursuit. Code33

460.1750

39605. Re: Pursuit Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 09:39:43 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39605

Wow.. footbail.. 2 BMA.. SHOTS FIRED .. near 6th street.. H-30 now
enroute..

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> 2 black Males Black mustang high rates of speed Virgina st. Units
are
> in pursuit. Code33
>
> 460.1750

39606. Re: Pursuit Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 09:40:01 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39606

11-99 OFFICER DOWN

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> 2 black Males Black mustang high rates of speed Virgina st. Units
are
> in pursuit. Code33
>
> 460.1750

39607. Re: Pursuit Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 09:47:59 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39607

Offier "i've been shot.. i've been hit twice."

CHP H-30 now overhead.. Suspects have run into an aparment complex off Delawre St. 3 suspects detained.. Still chasing one. Srg. reguesting all units ghave M4-A1 (assult rifes).

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> 11-99 OFFICER DOWN
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > 2 black Males Black mustang high rates of speed Virgina st. Units
> are
> > in pursuit. Code33
> >
> > 460.1750

39608. Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 10:09:04 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 39573 Message: 39608

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, gary <yahoogroups@l...> wrote:
> So you need to surrender VHF and UHF frequencies, but not lowband?

Yeah: with the mass exodus, er.. migration to VHF Hi, UHF and 800 MHz,
VHF lowband is quite free in many areas. VHF/UHF/800 MHz is more
valuable for larger radio *systems*. There seems to be little
incentive to retain or re-use lowband for most agencies.

Lowband can work quite well between 110 watt mobiles and base stations.

39609. Re: [bayscan] Re: Pursuit Berkley

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 10:21:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39604 Message: 39609

update ????????? I can get Oakland CHP but BPD is weak

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39610. Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 10:28:20 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39610

CHP H-30 has contacted Richmond PD for their dog team. (not sure how many dogs that is). They are enroute Code-3 ETA 10min, to help with search. Officer was taken to highland. No word on condition however one of the Srg. was with the officer in the back of the ambulance relaying suspect info etc. So I assume he is talking and breathing =) Thanks god. Im not listening to CHP so if ytyou can update anything from them thoat would be great. H-30 is working off BPD Freq.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> Offier "i've been shot.. i've been hit twice."
>
> CHP H-30 now overhead.. Suspects have run into an aparment complex off
> Delawre St. 3 suspects detained.. Still chasing one. Srg. reguesting
> all units ghave M4-A1 (assult rifes).
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > 11-99 OFFICER DOWN
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > > 2 black Males Black mustang high rates of speed Virgina st. Units
> > are
> > > in pursuit. Code33
> > >
> > > 460.1750

39611. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 10:31:57 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39604 Message: 39611

your probably getting better information from BPD channel . CHP had several units go 97 at the CP is all I heard plus one unit had an off duty BPD female officer with them for some reason. did you catch the original call as to why the pursuit started ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39612. Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 10:35:55 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39612

UCPD detained 5 people. Any one got their freq? H-30 advised only 20
min. left and then they run out of fuel. They will be out of service
re-fueling for 20min. =\

Requesting BPD units now take suspects who arei 10-15 (in custody)
Code3 to Highland Emergency Dept. for the officer to I.D. them.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> CHP H-30 has contacted Richmond PD for their dog team. (not sure
how
> many dogs that is). They are enroute Code-3 ETA 10min, to help
with
> search. Officer was taken to highland. No word on condition
however
> one of the Srg. was with the officer in the back of the ambulance
> relaying suspect info etc. So I assume he is talking and breathing
=)
> Thanks god. Im not listening to CHP so if ytyou can update
anything
> from them thoat would be great. H-30 is working off BPD Freq.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > Offier "i've been shot.. i've been hit twice."
> >
> > CHP H-30 now overhead.. Suspects have run into an aparment
complex
> off
> > Delawre St. 3 suspects detained.. Still chasing one. Srg.
reguesting
> > all units ghave M4-A1 (assult rifes).
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > > 11-99 OFFICER DOWN
> > >
> > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...>
wrote:
> > > > 2 black Males Black mustang high rates of speed Virgina st.
> Units
> > > are
> > > > in pursuit. Code33
> > > >
> > > > 460.1750

39614. Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 10:41:07 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39614

Im not gonna reply from my email anymore.. good game yahoo taking 5 hours to post... So you may see some double post from me.. but im gonna post on the news group so the info comes across quick =).

yes.. i heard this one from the beginning I think.. I heard a BPD officer
state that he saw a black mustang just come off Hwy 80 onto University at a
high rate of speed. Then right after that he said they turned onto Virgina
Street and bailed out the car.. He put out a description of the suspects and
then moments later called shots fired, 11-99.

---

your probably getting better information from BPD channel . CHP had several
units go 97 at the CP is all I heard plus one unit had an off duty BPD female
officer with them for some reason. did you catch the original call as to why
the pursuit started ??

ED

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> CHP H-30 has contacted Richmond PD for their dog team. (not sure how
> many dogs that is). They are enroute Code-3 ETA 10min, to help with
> search. Officer was taken to highland. No word on condition however
> one of the Srg. was with the officer in the back of the ambulance
> relaying suspect info etc. So I assume he is talking and breathing =)
> Thanks god. Im not listening to CHP so if ytyou can update anything
> from them thoat would be great. H-30 is working off BPD Freq.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > Offier "i've been shot.. i've been hit twice."
> >
> > CHP H-30 now overhead.. Suspects have run into an aparment complex
> off
> > Delawre St. 3 suspects detained.. Still chasing one. Srg.

reguesting
> > all units ghave M4-A1 (assult rifes).
> >
> >
> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> > > 11-99 OFFICER DOWN
> > >
> > > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...>
wrote:
> > > > 2 black Males Black mustang high rates of speed Virgina st.
> Units
> > > are
> > > > in pursuit. Code33
> > > >
> > > > 460.1750

39615. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 10:39:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39604 Message: 39615

UCPD is trunked.......but I don't have the information on them, sorry

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39616. Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 11:05:44 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39616

Sounds like they are trying to sort out some sort of possible kidnapping that occured after the suspects bailed and shot the officer. One suspect they have detained ran into an aparment of someone he knew. He then put a gun to their head and took the occupant hostage. BPD has both the possible suspect and possible former hostage in custody. Possibly an interesting addition to the gammit of crimes these dumb people have commited already. This info is still unconfirmed.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> UCPD is trunked.......but I don't have the information on them, sorry
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39618. Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 11:46:31 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39604 Message: 39618

Ok im going to bed.. gonna catch the top of the KTVU news at 5am and see what they have to say.

Search is still going on. 1 suspect is outstanding. A BMA in his 20's, 6foot tall, white shirt.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> Sounds like they are trying to sort out some sort of possible
> kidnapping that occured after the suspects bailed and shot the
> officer. One suspect they have detained ran into an aparment of
> someone he knew. He then put a gun to their head and took the occupant
> hostage. BPD has both the possible suspect and possible former hostage
> in custody. Possibly an interesting addition to the gammit of crimes
> these dumb people have commited already. This info is still
> unconfirmed.
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> > UCPD is trunked.......but I don't have the information on them, sorry
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39619. Vallejo PD foot pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 11:50:22 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39619 Message: 39619

but before i go to bed .. Code 33 on Vallejo 1. I am hearing VPD looking for 2 suspects that ran through the ship yard (I missed the exact location). Dono why they are wanted. 2 BMA's with blue jeans and a white shirt.

39620. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 12:53:04 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39573 Message: 39620

gary wrote:

> So you need to surrender VHF and UHF frequencies, but not lowband?

No, not in those terms. It was a discussion topic in the development of the regional 800 MHz plan, and shows the spirit of cooperation between public safety radio system administrators. Those jurisdictions who found the money to totally replace their systems (e.g. Alameda County and San Francisco) consolidated onto 800, and gave up their VHF and UHF assignments in favor of smaller agencies who could fund a one or two channel expansion, but not a consolidated system. Once the 800 MHz Regional Plan was adopted by the FCC, it became a requirement.

Issues with VHF-Lowband include the limited availability of radios (none of the "high-end" manufacturers offer equipment any more).

39621. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berekley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 14:57:00 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39621 Message: 39621

> UCPD is trunked.......but I don't have the information

http://radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=515

> BFD Staging.. I do not have there freq.

http://radioreference.com/modules.php?name=RR_D&ctid=183#cid-5792

#1 154.19 [131.8]

/jordan

39622. Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 16:02:50 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39573 Message: 39622

Tim posted (excerpts snipped from original post):

> The author's fix for this is "Make sure the police
>department keeps its "redundant" 400-megahertz system
>and provides units to all off-duty personnel, perhaps
>with funds from Homeland Security grants."
>[SNIP]
> Do SFPD/FD/EMS still even maintain their old UHF
>system repeaters?
>
>

I noticed that some former SF frequencies were being coordinated for Sonoma County cities a few years ago (see NAPCO logs). Petaluma and Cotati, for example, are now on UHF channels formerly assigned to SF. Don't know how many remain coordinated for use in San Francisco

Cortland

39623. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 19:37:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39573 Message: 39623

Don, I don't understand then , ALCO still uses 154.07 for M/A Fire ,
Sacramento Metro Fire still has 154.19 and Sac City Fire still has 153.89, they
didn't give them up ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39624. Structure Fire Santa Rosa

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 22:46:21 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39624 Message: 39624

LINCOLN St. House Fire

REDCOM 154.3100
Control 3 154.1450

39625. Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 23:07:51 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39573 Message: 39625

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Don, I don't understand then , ALCO still uses 154.07 for M/A Fire,

Note: Mutual Aid.

> Sacramento Metro Fire still has 154.19 and Sac City Fire still has
153.89, they didn't give them up ??

Since no one made a trunking pager, these channesl were retained for
personnel alerting (paid call, volunteers, buffs).

Don

39626. WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT - YOU HAVE BEEN ADVISED

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 23:12:59 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39626 Message: 39626

San Jose Fire and Police working to a train v. pedestrian in the area of Monterey Road near Blossom Hill Road. Report from Union Pacific crew is that the victim has been cut in half. Searching for body at this time. PD confirms brain matter on front of engine.

Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115

USAR COMPANY 13
TRUCK COMPANY 18
ENGINE 18
BATTALION 13

Working on San Jose PD - 460.525

PD UNITS

61X5
61X2
6X10
61X4
5X10

-C-

39627. CDF SCU Stations opening up

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 23:22:00 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39627 Message: 39627

Don't know if anyone heard the morning reports this morning, but the winter season appears behind us and CDF SCU is now mostly open.

Alma, Del Puerto, Morgan Hill (Station 11), Almaden and Castle Rock are now all open probably to train the seasonals.

Sunol has now activated E1684 and all dozers are being staffed.

CT

39628. Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 23:25:38 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39626 Message: 39628

SJPD having trouble locating body parts. One half has been located
about 1/4 mile south of Ford Road. The other half is still outstanding.

Last update on this - uh - incident unless development warrant.

-C-

On May 17, 2005, at 4:12 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> San Jose Fire and Police working to a train v. pedestrian in the area
> of Monterey Road near Blossom Hill Road. Report from Union Pacific crew
> is that the victim has been cut in half. Searching for body at this
> time. PD confirms brain matter on front of engine.
>
> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>
> USAR COMPANY 13
> TRUCK COMPANY 18
> ENGINE 18
> BATTALION 13
>
> Working on San Jose PD - 460.525
>
> PD UNITS
>
> 61X5
> 61X2
> 6X10
> 61X4
> 5X10
>
> -C-

39629. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache? for DON

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-17 23:43:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39629 Message: 39629

Ok and Santa Clara retained 153.965 and I know they have volunteers so same reason.....so Don , your saying they CAN retain VHF , if requested to the FCC ??
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39630. san jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 00:19:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39630 Message: 39630

Fire at 202 south 20th numerous calls possibly 2 buildings

154.115

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39631. san jose 2nd alarm now

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 00:29:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39631 Message: 39631

202- South 20th at San Antonio

Engine 8 16 3 USAR 16 Truck 1 Battalions 1 & 2 Med 30

Engines 1 - 2 Truck 3 Battalion 10 Hit 29 Air 6

fully involved garage extending into regular structure

154.115

1728 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39632. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD Old 460MHz System radio cache? for DON

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 01:34:47 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39629 Message: 39632

caladded@... wrote:

> Ok and Santa Clara retained 153.965 and I know they have volunteers so same
> reason.....so Don , your saying they CAN retain VHF , if requested to the
> FCC ??

Nothing in the FCC rules mandates that a channel be relinquished when
new spectrum is obtained - as long as the existing spectrum remains in use.

In the case of some of the agencies that received 800 MHz channels, they
agreed as a part of the Regional Planning process that they would give
up the VHF/UHF holdings when the 800 MHz systems were brought on-line.

Don

39633. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 01:38:10 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39604 Message: 39633

Berkeley won' allow their cops tohave doggies. Too bad, you'd think
theywould learn!
----- Original Message -----
From: "stfucode3" <Charger@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 3:28 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

> CHP H-30 has contacted Richmond PD for their dog team. (not sure how
> many dogs that is). They are enroute Code-3 ETA 10min, to help with
> search. Officer was taken to highland. No word on condition however
> one of the Srg. was with the officer in the back of the ambulance
> relaying suspect info etc. So I assume he is talking and breathing =)
> Thanks god. Im not listening to CHP so if ytyou can update anything
> from them thoat would be great. H-30 is working off BPD Freq.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>> Offier "i've been shot.. i've been hit twice."
>>
>> CHP H-30 now overhead.. Suspects have run into an aparment complex
> off
>> Delawre St. 3 suspects detained.. Still chasing one. Srg. reguesting
>> all units ghave M4-A1 (assult rifes).
>>
>>
>> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>> > 11-99 OFFICER DOWN
>> >
>> > --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>> > > 2 black Males Black mustang high rates of speed Virgina st.
> Units
>> > are
>> > > in pursuit. Code33
>> > >
>> > > 460.1750
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>

39634. Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 01:44:36 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39626 Message: 39634

were you out there taking pictures Craig??
----- Original Message -----
From: "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 4:25 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

> SJPD having trouble locating body parts. One half has been located
> about 1/4 mile south of Ford Road. The other half is still outstanding.
>
> Last update on this - uh - incident unless development warrant.
>
> -C-
>
> On May 17, 2005, at 4:12 PM, Craig Rose wrote:
>
>> San Jose Fire and Police working to a train v. pedestrian in the area
>> of Monterey Road near Blossom Hill Road. Report from Union Pacific crew
>> is that the victim has been cut in half. Searching for body at this
>> time. PD confirms brain matter on front of engine.
>>
>> Working on San Jose Command 3 - 154.115
>>
>> USAR COMPANY 13
>> TRUCK COMPANY 18
>> ENGINE 18
>> BATTALION 13
>>
>> Working on San Jose PD - 460.525
>>
>> PD UNITS
>>
>> 61X5
>> 61X2
>> 6X10
>> 61X4
>> 5X10
>>
>> -C-
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>

39635. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 02:05:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39604 Message: 39635

BPD no dogs , considering the liberal politicians , guess that shouldn't surprize anyone , I am sure some would want them to NOT have guns too

Update on the officer as of the KRON 4pm news. They didn't release the officers name , but has been with BPD for 3 years . one of the rounds hit his badge and then vest. He is listed as in fair condition and should recover fully :-) One guy in custody will be charged with 664/187 of a Police Officer ((Attempted Murder))

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39636. Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 02:07:12 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39604 Message: 39636

Well that's cool Ed, he will probably get six months.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 7:05 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Berkley 11-99 Officer Down

> BPD no dogs , considering the liberal politicians , guess that
> shouldn't
> surprize anyone , I am sure some would want them to NOT have guns too
>
> Update on the officer as of the KRON 4pm news. They didn't release
> the
> officers name , but has been with BPD for 3 years . one of the rounds hit
> his
> badge and then vest. He is listed as in fair condition and should recover
> fully :-) One guy in custody will be charged with 664/187 of a
> Police
> Officer ((Attempted Murder))
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39637. Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 02:22:09 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39626 Message: 39637

Absolutely not! I heard the call go out and my stomach turned. That kind of thing is just not my cup o' tea. One of the SJPD supervisors was explaining that there would be four commuter trains needing to come through and the other supervisor said - "Well, that's kinda hard considering we have a one-mile long crime scene."

YUCK!

-C-

On May 17, 2005, at 6:44 PM, Jim Gammon wrote:

> were you out there taking pictures Craig??

39638. Marin Pursuit!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 03:14:15 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39638 Message: 39638

Originated in San Rafael (Terra Linda near Northgate Mall), unknown wants. Unknown vehicle description. He took off on northbound 101 in the #1 lane at 100 mph. Passed through Novato and he's now presently passing the dumps north of Novato at about 90 mph, coming up on the county line. CHP is planning on taking over, 20 min ETA for H-30 and San Rafael PD will trail after that using their nextels patched to the secondary dispatch.

20:15 Hrs

-Inigo

P.S. I'm recording it all for Robert's site.

39639. Re: Marin Pursuit!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 03:36:41 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39638 Message: 39639

Code 4 on Santa Rosa Ave. about 20 minutes after I started listening
with one 10-15 (in custody).

-Inigo

39640. Re: Marin Pursuit!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 03:20:14 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39638 Message: 39640

San Rafael units:

3x11
3L14
3L34
3L31
3L25

They're still trailing 2 CHP, currently passing the Petaluma Outlets (mall). CHPs going to set up a spike strip... Petaluma PD offering to help set up a spike strip and trying to get Rohnert Park DPS to do one. Going up Cotati grade... unable to tell if the first spike strip worked. Suspect still going at least 90.

20:21

-Inigo

39641. San Jose Fire - Second Alarm Images

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 04:12:07 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39630 Message: 39641

For you fire buffs - a few shots from the second alarm structure fire at 202 South 20th Street in San José this evening. Missed most of the action due to traffic :^(

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/south20th/s20th_001.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/south20th/s20th_002.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/south20th/s20th_005.jpg

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/south20th/s20th_007.jpg

Cheers!

---
craig allyn rose photography
2147 Newhall Street, #522
Santa Clara, CA 95050

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

408.772.7279 - mobile 24/7
408.243.7826 - office

- emergency, nature, aviation, travel and urban themes -

39642. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Second Alarm Images

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 04:22:38 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39630 Message: 39642

craig,

nice photos and nice site. thanks for sharing.

pete

---
pete geniella | photojournalist
http://www.petegeniella.com

On 5/17/05, Craig Rose <craigarose@...> wrote:
> For you fire buffs - a few shots from the second alarm structure fire
> at 202 South 20th Street in San José this evening. Missed most of the
> action due to traffic :^(

39643. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Fire - Second Alarm Images

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 04:46:15 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39630 Message: 39643

thanks pete - i really like your work as well! the kennedy shot is wonderful.

cheers!

On May 17, 2005, at 9:22 PM, pete g:.. wrote:

> nice photos and nice site. thanks for sharing.

39644. Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 05:58:45 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39626 Message: 39644

Glad to hear it Craig. I didn't really think you were that kind of
ambulance chaser.
----- Original Message -----
From: "Craig Rose" <craigarose@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 7:22 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

> Absolutely not! I heard the call go out and my stomach turned. That
> kind of thing is just not my cup o' tea. One of the SJPD supervisors
> was explaining that there would be four commuter trains needing to come
> through and the other supervisor said - "Well, that's kinda hard
> considering we have a one-mile long crime scene."
>
> YUCK!
>
> -C-
>
> On May 17, 2005, at 6:44 PM, Jim Gammon wrote:
>
>> were you out there taking pictures Craig??
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39645. American medical response frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 06:02:16 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 39645 Message: 39645

Hello all

I am just wondering what the American medical response frequency is. I live in Antioch.

Thanks everyone
Kyle

39646. 2.0 "earthquake" at Cupertino Quarry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 06:56:46 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 39630 Message: 39646

Well, maybe not anything to do with scanners but a webpage on recent earthquakes for San Francisco at http://quake.wr.usgs.gov/recenteqs/Maps/SF_Bay.html

had this line item,
MAG DATE LOCAL-TIME LAT LON DEPTH LOCATION
y/m/d h:m:s deg deg km
2.0Q 2005/05/16 11:58:02 37.322N 122.109W 0.0 1 km (0 mi) SW of Quarry near Cupertino, CA(Probable quarry explosion)

FYI,
Mike

39647. RE: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 14:07:14 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39626 Message: 39647

So ~that's~ why Ayala wants a reduction in bail ... (Sorry, I couldn't resist.)

- Brian

39648. Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 14:37:20 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39626 Message: 39648

<throws a flag>

Unnecessary usage of body part this early in the morning....

Brian wrote:

>So ~that's~ why Ayala wants a reduction in bail ... (Sorry, I couldn't
>resist.)
>
>- Brian
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39649. Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 14:44:43 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39626 Message: 39649

LOL!!!

On May 18, 2005, at 7:37 AM, Ben wrote:

> <throws a flag>
>
> Unnecessary usage of body part this early in the morning....

39650. Re: [bayscan] 2.0 "earthquake" at Cupertino Quarry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 15:44:31 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 39630 Message: 39650

It is highly likely that the quarry was blasting again. Hansen does that regularly and when they do they alert County Comm of it way ahead of time. I wasn't monitoring my radio (SO Ch 2) in the office yesterday so I don't know if the County Comm broadcast anything.
Mike

On 5/17/05, Mike Wright <mikeyht220@...> wrote:
>
> Well, maybe not anything to do with scanners but a webpage on recent
> earthquakes for San Francisco at
> http://quake.wr.usgs.gov/recenteqs/Maps/SF_Bay.html
>
> had this line item,
> MAG DATE LOCAL-TIME LAT LON DEPTH LOCATION
> y/m/d h:m:s deg deg km
> 2.0Q 2005/05/16 11:58:02 37.322N 122.109W 0.0 1 km (0 mi) SW of Quarry
> near Cupertino, CA(Probable quarry explosion)
>
> FYI,
> Mike
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

--
Mike
KD6FTR

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39651. Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 16:13:26 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39626 Message: 39651

OMGLMAO!
----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2005 7:37 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] WARNING - GRAPHIC INCIDENT

> <throws a flag>
>
> Unnecessary usage of body part this early in the morning....
>
> Brian wrote:
>
>>So ~that's~ why Ayala wants a reduction in bail ... (Sorry, I couldn't
>>resist.)
>>
>>- Brian
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39652. SF City Hall

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 17:24:57 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39652 Message: 39652

Some kind of Bomb at City Hall

PD-a-14 (13264)

SO- A-8(16080)

Time 10:20

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39653. San Jose Meth Lab

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 20:28:08 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39653 Message: 39653

Santa Clara County Sheriff's Department, San Jose PD & Fire are working a possible meth lab incident with a suspect outstanding. CP has been set at Garlough Drive and Colemen Road. This is very close to Coleman Road and Santa Teresa Boulevard in the southern end of the city.

Incident is working on San Jose PD 7 - 460.475
San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115
County Sheriff - ?

-C-

39654. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Meth Lab

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 20:51:30 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39653 Message: 39654

Sounds as though SJPD is involved in a search that came about after the
SO was involved in serving an eviction notice and inadvertently
discovering a meth lab.

Partial list of SJPD units working this incident.

5700
5036
2303
8512
8511
5A10
5T10
57T4

Multiple beat units from Patrol are also working this caper.

-C-

On May 18, 2005, at 1:28 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Santa Clara County Sheriff's Department, San Jose PD & Fire are working
> a possible meth lab incident with a suspect outstanding. CP has been
> set at Garlough Drive and Colemen Road. This is very close to Coleman
> Road and Santa Teresa Boulevard in the southern end of the city.
>
> Incident is working on San Jose PD 7 - 460.475
> San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115
> County Sheriff - ?
>
> -C-

39655. Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 21:23:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 4194 Message: 39655

Hearing of a major power outage in downtown Oakland, BART involved
as well. around 14,000 customers out of power.

1423 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39656. Re: Marin Pursuit!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 21:29:30 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39638 Message: 39656

Hey guys, I got a few emails requesting the audio for this chase. I sent it to Robert Sanford and he's going to post it on his webpage http://www.bayscan.net . If any one else wants it or wants to post it I'd be happy to make it available to them, just be advised the file is 8.1 megs so it's too big to email.

-Inigo

39657. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Meth Lab

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 21:40:03 UTC
From: Johnathan Corgan
Topic: 39653 Message: 39657

Craig Rose wrote:

> Sounds as though SJPD is involved in a search that came about after the
> SO was involved in serving an eviction notice and inadvertently
> discovering a meth lab.

Hmm.

I drive through that intersection (Sta. Teresa & Coleman) twice every day.

I used to take CalTrain to work and would wait at the stop on Monterey road between Ford Rd. & Blossom Hill.

They're also working a missing persons case at the Costco on Almaden & Blossom hill. I shop there every weekend.

Coincidence?

I THINK NOT...

Am I the hidden link between these crime scenes?

You decide!

<slow day, too much caffeine, too much crap happening near my house>

-Johnathan

39658. Re: San Jose Meth Lab

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 21:47:41 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39653 Message: 39658

That just goes to show... don't forget to pay the rent on your meth lab.

-Inigo

39659. Re: [bayscan] San Jose Meth Lab

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 21:48:39 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39653 Message: 39659

This may very well be a case in which you will need to fashion that
shiny-side-out foil hat for your own personal protection and the
well-being of others.

It is the only way to stop the madness!

;^)

On May 18, 2005, at 2:40 PM, Johnathan Corgan wrote:

> Coincidence?
>
> I THINK NOT...
>
> Am I the hidden link between these crime scenes?
>
> *You* decide!

39660. Re: [bayscan] Re: San Jose Meth Lab

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 21:51:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39653 Message: 39660

going 10-15 with the perp on the coleman caper

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39661. Re: Oakland

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 22:38:06 UTC
From: Bangers with Nikons
Topic: 4194 Message: 39661

Bomb squad just blew up a suspicious package in front of the Oakland Federal Building at 1301 Clay Street. All clear signal just given.

39662. Re: [bayscan] American medical response frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 23:18:59 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 39645 Message: 39662

CoCo AMR 935.6875 dispatch
488.4375 - 488.6125 - 488.6625 for ambulance to hospital, I haven't heard anything from the second or third 488 freq but that is what I have in my scanner.

Danny Monge - AD6SJ
IRLP Node # 5040
Echolink # 152401
Oakley, Ca,

----- Original Message -----
From: kdawg122786
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 11:02 PM
Subject: [bayscan] American medical response frequency

Hello all

I am just wondering what the American medical response frequency is. I
live in Antioch.

Thanks everyone
Kyle

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.12 - Release Date: 5/17/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39663. CHP Officer down - N/B SR17 between Summit and Redwood Estates

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 23:39:21 UTC
From: Mike McRoberts
Topic: 39663 Message: 39663

Multiple agencies on scene.
Santa Cruz Co SO
Scotts Valley PD
Santa Clara Co SO
Los Gatos PD
CHP
Estimates at 20-25 total units
Apparently the CHP officer was out on an 11-82 (Veh Accident / Prop Dmg
Only) when he was struck by passing vehicle.
Officer to be airlifted from CDF Alma Sta.
This will likely be my final.
Mike @ SCCSO

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39664. Re: [bayscan] CHP Officer down - N/B SR17 between Summit and Redwood Estates

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-18 23:44:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39663 Message: 39664

E4s paramedic going with officer in Santa Cruz AMR rig to Alma to
met lifeflight .....to Stanford from there. Will update if I get further.

1644 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39665. Re: [bayscan] 2.0 "earthquake" at Cupertino Quarry

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 00:05:28 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39630 Message: 39665

There is usually some sort of activity at the Cupertino quarry since they do blast there to mine whatever it is they mine for. Watsonville has one too.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39666. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Pursuit!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 01:23:33 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39638 Message: 39666

I'd love to hear it but have never been able to negotiate getting on the yahoo group to get it. Do you have an FTP site where someone can go download it? Thanks, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2005 2:29 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Marin Pursuit!!!

> Hey guys, I got a few emails requesting the audio for this chase. I
> sent it to Robert Sanford and he's going to post it on his webpage
> http://www.bayscan.net . If any one else wants it or wants to post it
> I'd be happy to make it available to them, just be advised the file is
> 8.1 megs so it's too big to email.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39667. Re: [bayscan] Re: Marin Pursuit!!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 02:56:53 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39638 Message: 39667

The files reside on bayscan.net, not the bayscan yahoogroup files section.

Just my humble opinion, but I notice quite a few sound files with scanner audio are not efficiently encoded. Not just on bayscan.net, but in general. For instance, the longchase.wav file is sampled at 24khz. Most NFM has little energy beyond 4kHz, so you can sample at 11025 without a problem. It goes from 9.8Mbtyes to 6Mbytes.

The longchase.wav file has a bit of clipping, though not bad. I've seen lots of file on the net that never reach the maximum value because you would need to feed the audio into a sound editor and then normalize it. [Normalize means to find the largest audio peak and scale all the values in the file such that this peak is amplified to reach full scale.

Nearly all scanner files on the net have 120Hz and 60Hz hum. You really can't get around this unless you transformer couple the scanner to the PC. What I do is just filter off the sound beneath 300Hz.

bayscan.net sits on a server with an unusual philosophy. There is no limit to the bandwidth used or the amount of storage, all for $10 a month. That's quite a deal. However, if bayscan.net gets lots of traffic, the server limits the number of users who can download at one time (in simple English, not netspeak). So if you can't reach website, you need to try again.

Jim Gammon wrote:
> I'd love to hear it but have never been able to negotiate getting on the
> yahoo group to get it. Do you have an FTP site where someone can go
> download it? Thanks, Jim
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2005 2:29 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: Marin Pursuit!!!
>
>
>
>>Hey guys, I got a few emails requesting the audio for this chase. I
>>sent it to Robert Sanford and he's going to post it on his webpage
>>http://www.bayscan.net . If any one else wants it or wants to post it
>>I'd be happy to make it available to them, just be advised the file is
>>8.1 megs so it's too big to email.
>>
>>-Inigo
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39668. Re: American medical response frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 05:20:14 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 39645 Message: 39668

You forgot one...Med 2. All three are used, Med 3 and Med 4 mainly
for BLS traffic.

Med 1 488.4375 (Just AMR unit to Sheriff Dispatch) 136.5 PL
Med 2 488.9125 (Medic to Hospital) 136.5
Med 3 488.6125 ""
Med 4 488.6625 ""

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> CoCo AMR 935.6875 dispatch
> 488.4375 - 488.6125 - 488.6625 for ambulance to hospital, I haven't
heard anything from the second or third 488 freq but that is what I
have in my scanner.
>
>
> Danny Monge - AD6SJ
> IRLP Node # 5040
> Echolink # 152401
> Oakley, Ca,
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: kdawg122786
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 11:02 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] American medical response frequency
>
>
> Hello all
>
> I am just wondering what the American medical response frequency
is. I
> live in Antioch.
>
> Thanks everyone
> Kyle
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------
>

> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.12 - Release Date: 5/17/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39669. Re: [bayscan] Re: American medical response frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 05:30:38 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 39645 Message: 39669

Ok, good to know, I'll add that one also. When I hear anything at all I hear medic to hospital on 488.4375 though, I've never heard anything with Sheriff dispatch on that one.

----- Original Message -----
From: kma371
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2005 10:20 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: American medical response frequency

You forgot one...Med 2. All three are used, Med 3 and Med 4 mainly for BLS traffic.

Med 1 488.4375 (Just AMR unit to Sheriff Dispatch) 136.5 PL
Med 2 488.9125 (Medic to Hospital) 136.5
Med 3 488.6125 ""
Med 4 488.6625 ""

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> CoCo AMR 935.6875 dispatch
> 488.4375 - 488.6125 - 488.6625 for ambulance to hospital, I haven't heard anything from the second or third 488 freq but that is what I have in my scanner.
>
>
> Danny Monge - AD6SJ
> IRLP Node # 5040
> Echolink # 152401
> Oakley, Ca,
>
>
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: kdawg122786
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 11:02 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] American medical response frequency
>
>
> Hello all
>
> I am just wondering what the American medical response frequency is. I
> live in Antioch.
>
> Thanks everyone

> Kyle
>
>
>
>
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.12 - Release Date: 5/17/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.12 - Release Date: 5/17/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.12 - Release Date: 5/17/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39670. MERA Chase file

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 07:20:55 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39670 Message: 39670

I uploaded that file to my site at:

http://www.bayscan.net or you can it directly by going to:

hyyp://www.bayscan.net/firstmerachase.wav

Thanks to Inigo to recording that chase.

Robert
BayScan

39671. whoops

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 07:28:36 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39671 Message: 39671

Of course I meant:

http://www.bayscan.net/firstmerachase.wav

Robert
BayScan

39672. Fw: [w6pw] BPL, support H.Res 230

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 13:17:17 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39672 Message: 39672

Subject: [w6pw] BPL, support H.Res 230

From: "Nick Ruark" <nbruark@...>
Subject: Support H. Res 230 - If you thought the 800 MHz debacle was bad, BPL is worse

BPL is an illogical, deliberately misrepresented, and vastly over-hyped spectrum destructive technology....but the FCC and BPL proponents continue to irresponsibly insist it will be a major "third pipe", competing with DSL and cable. If they have their way, HF shortwave radio broadcasts from around the world, ham and CB radio, and many military and Public Safety radio frequencies and systems will be rendered useless.

Please lend your support to House Resolution 230. While non-binding, the resolution urges the FCC to re-examine the Order it rendered in ET Docket No. 04-37 it adopted on October 14, 2004 on Access Broadband-Over-Powerline, or BPL.

Full text of H. Res 230
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/HTML/plc/filings/hres230/HRes230.pdf

You may contact your Representative here:
http://www.house.gov/writerep

and the House Committee on Energy and Commerce here:
http://energycommerce.house.gov/107/feedback.htm

Nick Ruark

Flawed BPL is no broadband panacea

By Joe Barr
Tuesday May 17, 2005

Broadband over power lines has been in the news again recently. At one time BPL was seen as the best way to bring affordable Internet access to poor and rural America: an answer to the technology gap between the haves and the have-nots. Now, thanks primarily to boosters like Michael Powell and Kevin Martin, Powell's successor at the FCC, it's back for another go at the broadband access market. But BPL remains a flawed and controversial technology. Proponents in Texas are pushing a pro-BPL bill past confused legislators in Austin at the same time their counterparts in Washington, D.C., are considering a measure to rescind "BPL-friendly" rule changes made at the FCC last fall.

If you listen to the marketing that surrounds BPL, you can understand why it has supporters. Proponents say that BPL will finally bring broadband access to the poor, the underserved, and to rural areas. They also suggest that BPL will enhance the coming "smart grid" technology which someday will replace our antiquated power systems. The third BPL talking point is that once the technology is flourishing, consumers will benefit from increased competition in the marketplace. Lastly, BPL proponents admit that there is a possibility of interference being generated, but that it will be minor and mainly affect only amateur radio operators who live within close proximity to a BPL-equipped power line. Unfortunately, three of the four talking points are untrue, and the fourth is far from certain.

The reality behind the talking points

There are two basic types of BPL: Access BPL and In-house BPL. Access BPL carries broadband traffic into homes or buildings over existing power lines. In-house BPL -- like the popular X10 home automation product line -- works entirely within the framework of the home or building's internal electrical system. All the buzz in the news these days is about Access BPL.

Access BPL is a "last mile" technology. That means that broadband traffic is carried by other means -- optical fiber, for example -- all the way from the ISP to the neighborhood being served. At that point, broadband traffic is "injected" into the power lines that serve the neighborhood.

Once the broadband traffic has been injected into a power line, all that a customer needs to access the Internet is a BPL modem connected to any available electrical outlet served by that power line. From the ISP to the injection point, there is nothing new or unique. From the modem to the routers, computers, and LANs in the house or business connected to BPL, there is nothing new. BPL only exists on that "last mile" of the power line, between the injection point and the BPL modem. (Mostly -- one BPL provider is working on a hybrid solution, using BPL on medium voltage lines, then using wireless 802.11 communications between the power lines and consumers.)

For a more detailed technical explanation, see the paper entitled "Technical Considerations for Broadband Powerline (BPL) Communication," by Robert G. Olsen: http://www.pserc.org/ecow/get/publicatio/2005public/olsen_bpl_paper_feb2005.pdf

Remember the first of the three BPL talking points, the one about bringing affordable Internet access to America's poor and rural areas? It's not true. Perhaps it was at one time, during its infancy, while BPL was still on the drawing board. That was before experimenters learned that transformers can eat the broadband traffic at points between the power plant and its final destination. Now that we know the signal has to carried by other means in order to get it into the neighborhoods being served, a large chunk of the original cost savings have disappeared, and it's clear that the BPL is no panacea for the

digital divide.

That conclusion doesn't come just from those opposing BPL, but from within the industry as well. Karen George, market research director for Primen, a Colorado subsidiary of the utility industry's Electric Power Research Institute, told the San Diego Union-Tribune last December that while the actual costs for adding BPL to existing power grids are still unknown, rural deployments will cost more than urban. That means the same demographics that drive the existing broadband delivery systems apply to BPL as well: optimal profits will come from operations in the areas with the highest population density, and lower profits -- or losses -- will come from operations outside those areas.

Smart grid technology? Nobody even knows for sure just what that phrase means just yet, other than that our existing power infrastructure is not it. Simple meter reading and control communications are handled by BPL on frequencies where it doesn't cause interference with the HF or VHF bands, so if that's your definition of a smart grid, relax. It's here today, and Access BPL for the Internet is not required for its operation. But visionary smart grid technology is a phenomenon whose birth date still lies on the far side of the event horizon. Driven by the catastrophic Blackout of 2003, "smart grid" has emerged as a collective, curative buzzword.

But even if it were real and available today, there is nothing intrinsic to Access BPL that would allow it to carry data any faster or more reliably between different points of the grid than existing broadband carriers.

There is some merit to the BPL lobby's third talking point: increased competition among broadband providers would be good for consumers. The only catch to this one is that BPL must first prove to be at least as fast, at least as reliable, and at least as cost-efficient as existing broadband carriers. If and when that happens, we can all celebrate, because everyone except monopolies know that competition is a good thing for consumers. The problem is that BPL is a long way from being faster, more reliable, or cheaper than conventional broadband access.

But the real killer in BPL -- its fatal flaw -- is the interference it causes. This interference is not, as former FCC chairman Michael Powell and other BPL cheerleaders have claimed, minor. Nor is it just a "possible risk." It is a certainty.

When a signal is injected onto a long, unshielded wire at radio frequencies, that wire becomes an antenna, and it radiates the signal. A power line is a long, unshielded wire. When broadband traffic is injected onto a power line, that signal is broadcast. It interferes directly with radio communications operating at or near the same frequencies the power company uses. I say frequencies, because BPL today uses multiple carrier frequencies to try to raise its level of reliability.

The HF frequency spectrum -- from 3MHz to 30MHz -- and the VHF spectrum - 30MHz to 80MHz -- are the two that would suffer the most interference from Access BPL. These spectrums are used by thousands of public safety agencies: police departments, fire departments, and emergency medical services. They are also used by the military, by government entities at all levels, by ships and planes, and by many other licensed users. The communications of all of these critical functions would be subjected to the interference generated by Access BPL.

BPL performance

There are three problems with Access BPL from a technical perspective: harmful interference, slow speed, and poor reliability. Vendors have tried BPL pilots all over the country -- unsuccessfully. Companies like AT&T and Nortel Networks tried BPL and gave up on it for one reason or another. Other pilots have shut down in the face of complaints of interference. In Texas, TXU shut down its pilot near DFW following complaints from the American Radio Relay League (ARRL). Similar complaints were filed in Iowa, leading Alliant Energy to end its pilot months ahead of its announced schedule. Both firms say there were reasons for the shutdowns other than the issue of interference.

When a power line becomes a radio antenna, it does more than just broadcast the broadband traffic; it also receives radio transmissions. The internal "noise" from those signals appears to cause additional problems for BPL's performance. Tests conducted by amateur radio operators, voluntarily working with customers at BPL pilot sites, have shown that mobile radio transmissions made while parked in the street near a BPL power line -- and using as little as 5 watts of power -- impede the flow of data from the Internet to the home.

According to Ed Hare, the ARRL Laboratory Manager, "The testing of susceptibility done by amateurs did show that as little as 5 watts of power had an impact on the systems tested, but there is no way of knowing whether this would occur on all BPL systems. ARRL has offered to BPL manufacturers and electric utilities to do more thorough testing of this problem, but so far, this is not something that they want to look at closely. Until that more thorough testing happens, the unencouraging results seen so far will have to serve as the red flag that BPL may not work well near licensed radio transmitters."

Carol Arneson and Robert Herbst, both senior managers at business consulting firm Virchow, Krause & Company, LLP, recently wrote a study on BPL entitled "Broadband Over Powerline: A midterm grade." The grades they awarded are as follows:

* A in Politics
* B in Self-Promotion
* D in Technical Performance
* D in Business Model Demonstration
* D in Market Identification
* F in Pilot to Implementation Transition

Giving equal weight to each category, BPL earned a D+ overall. The study notes that the interference issue has largely been ignored in pilots, and that data rates have slipped from early projections of 100Mbps to as low as 10Mbps. They stop short of declaring the technology dead, saying "there is interest and potential niche applications." But they also warn that BPL technologies today are not investment-grade.

BPL legislation stumbles in Texas

It appears that at least one large power conglomerate in Texas agrees with the Broadband over Powerline report's assessment. It's sometimes difficult to follow the BPL action in Texas, even if you have a program. Public committee hearings on SB 1748 were reportedly orchestrated in such a way that concerned citizens who came to Austin from around the state were not able to testify. Even if you did manage to testify, it appears that the committee misrepresented who was for or against the bill. One person who testified on behalf of EFF-Austin claims that her position was misrepresented in the committee's final report. Stranger still, TXU -- the firm reported to have been cozying up to the committee chairman and at least one other member of the committee -- was listed as having testified against it.

Senator Troy Fraser is chairman of the Texas Senate's Business and Commerce committee. He is also the author of SB 1748, "An act entitled relating to the development and provision of broadband over electric delivery systems and the development of enhanced electric delivery systems." Senator Ken Armbrister is also a member of that committee. TXU is conglomerate of electric power related holdings.

TXU recently made it possible for Fraser and Armbrister to attend the Masters golf tournament in Augusta, Ga., by providing them with passes to the event. Since that coziness was made public, Fraser has said he wrote a check to TXU to cover the face value of the passes, which was $300 per day. Of course, street prices for the tickets were much higher, with two-day badges reportedly going for $1,750. And dinner for all his guests the night before the opening day of the tournament was picked up by TXU lobbyist Mark Malone, as was a meeting at the sponsor's tent the next day.

Something happened to the bill along the way, and it didn't make it out of committee without two significant changes. Originally, it allowed electric companies to charge existing customers for their investment in BPL, relieving the companies of shouldering any risk in their venture. That wording was removed from the bill. The second change was the addition of the following section: "Sec. 43.152. COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL LAW. BPL operators are required to comply with all applicable federal laws, including laws protecting licensed spectrum users from interference by BPL systems."

Those changes are why TXU ended up speaking against it. TXU has since assured me that it is still behind BPL in general, just not the version of the bill that came out of committee. Apparently either TXU

doesn't have enough confidence in BPL to risk investing its own money in it, or else it feels there is no cure for those interference blues -- or both.

When I noticed that EFF-Austin's Adina Levin on the final committee report as speaking in favor of the bill, I queried her as to why EFF-Austin was supporting Access BPL. She replied:

I didn't sign the testimony card FOR the bill -- if the record says so, they misread the card. I did not get a chance to see the committee substitute before testifying.

EFF-Austin and SaveMuniWireless aren't in favor of BPL or any specific broadband technology. We're in favor of multiple options to increase access to broadband. We're in favor of enabling cities and towns to make choices that are right for the area. And we're against a state ban on the ability of cities and towns to support broadband access.

At the hearing, a representative of a power company testified to the problems in a recent pilot that did not deliver commercial-quality results because broadband performance was inconsistent. If this pilot and other pilots continue not to deliver good results, then BPL won't gain traction in the market.

Clearly interference

In order to learn more about the interference generated by Access BPL, and the techniques being used to try to mitigate that interference, I spoke with Ed Hare, the ARRL Laboratory Manager. Hare has visited 10 different BPL pilot installations to gain firsthand experience with issues of interference. I wanted to learn how BPL providers could tune their systems in order to avoid interfering with critical communications. Hare began by giving a little background information on how most BPL systems work:

The exact mechanism can vary from system to system, but for the most part what they are doing with these systems is some form of multiple-carrier transmission, where they are sending information on a power line on multiple carriers spread across the frequency range, with the expectation that at least some of them will get to the other end in reasonably strong signal levels, so they can properly demodulate the signal and get all of the digital data that's been sent.

Then Hare proceeded to describe the most commonly used method of mitigation of the resulting interference, called "notching."

To protect licensed users, what they usually do is to turn off the carriers in the spectrum they are trying to protect. So, for example, they didn't have carriers operating in any of the amateur bands, then in theory, if those signals were protected enough, they could operate without interfering with that particular part of the spectrum.

In our experience in a number of different BPL systems, BPL that starts off at the FCC limits, with a typical 30db of notching, has not done enough to fully protect that spectrum. In the notched spectrum, we can still hear BPL signals at levels that might be 10, 20, or more times stronger than other signals that are on the band. In the amateur radio service, for example, if we're trying to communicate with a station that is not extremely strong, those BPL signals would cover up many of the signals on the band.

Hare's description of the cause of the interference -- using the same frequencies for carriers to transmit BPL data that others are using -- and the apparently ineffectual job at undoing that interference using the notching technique, paints a far different picture of BPL interference than the one provided by the BPL lobby, or by the FCC, for that matter. I asked Hare about that disparity. He said, "The FCC has stated that the likelihood of interference from BPL is very low, or words to that effect. We've looked at their own test data, and the ARRL does not believe that their own test data supports that statement."

The raw data from those test results (available as a large PDF file on the ARRL site) -- as measured by the FCC itself -- clearly shows levels of harmful interference in the HF band generated by BPL pilot tests. When the FCC was asked to comment on the apparent discrepancy between its own tests and its Rule and Order, spokesperson Bruce Romano said, "Those issues are under reconsideration."

On the same day that I spoke with Ed Hare, the U.K.'s Office of Communications (OFCOM) telecommunications regulatory agency released the results of a recently completed study it did on a BPL pilot in Scotland. According to the ARRL's BPL news page, OFCOM:

... concluded that Amperion BPL equipment deployed in a field trial in Scotland "as tested is not and cannot be FCC Part 15 compliant above 30 MHz." Ofcom today released a study, "Amperion PLT Measurements in Crieff," which summarizes measurements it took at the site in Scotland. PLT is another term for BPL. Ofcom's investigation also demonstrated the limitations of Amperion's "notching" capabilities to mitigate interference to radio reception. ARRL CEO Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, says Ofcom's study reflects what the League and others have known all along about BPL.

To date, the only real success that BPL has achieved in mitigating interference has come in getting the FCC to relax its rules on the harmful interference it does generate. And now that relaxation of the rules is coming under close scrutiny. Michael Ross, a Representative from Arkansas, has introduced a resolution in the U.S. House of Representatives calling for a "full and complete analysis" of the radio interference created by Access BPL with an eye towards undoing last October's rule changes.

Conclusion

After having spent some considerable time researching this story, I'm left primarily with a sense of deja vu. BPL today is like the very worst of the dot com era: mythological, deliberately misstated, and majorly overhyped technology that is being used in ways it was never designed to be in the first place.

The FCC's role in the BPL soap opera has been less than stellar. I asked Dave Sumner, ARRL's CEO and Secretary, if the FCC stance on BPL seemed out of the ordinary, based on his dealings with them over the years. He replied:

Yes, it does. Historically the FCC has a reasonably good record of basing its decisions on sound technical analysis. However, Chairman Powell was cheerleading for BPL before the facts were in and continued to do so even after there was clear evidence that radio interference would be a major problem. Now that he has left the FCC we're hopeful that more sober leadership will recognize the need for reconsideration.

If you find it hard to believe that Michael Powell, the FCC, and the BPL lobby have been less than honest about the amount and severity of radio interference generated by BPL, all you have to do is to look and listen here: http://www.io.com/~nielw/BPL
or here: http://ve3hls.tripod.com/noise/utilities.html
or here: http://www.vk1od.net/bpl/QueanbeyanBplTrial.htm

In the interest of full disclosure, I am both a newly licensed amateur radio operator and a member of the ARRL.

Source:
http://internet.newsforge.com/article.pl?sid=05/05/16/2040240

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39673. RE: [bayscan] Fw: [w6pw] BPL, support H.Res 230

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 14:13:08 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 39672 Message: 39673

>over-hyped spectrum destructive technology....but the FCC and
>BPL proponents continue to irresponsibly insist it will be a
>major "third pipe", competing with DSL and cable.

On hamsexy.com (I think or was it batlounge?) there is a discussion and someone mentioned the whole BPL thing was put together so they can claim "competition" with DSL and cable. Other than that, it was never intended to be used. So they say on those forum boards.....

My Gripe Of The Month with all this is it seems the knowledge of physics is becoming lost and many people in the industry including LMR don't even know the specific frequencies they operate on.

Mike

39674. Re: [bayscan] whoops , chase

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 15:33:12 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39671 Message: 39674

that was great, thanks robert, thanks inigo...

On Thursday, May 19, 2005, at 00:28 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:

> Of course I meant:
>
> http://www.bayscan.net/firstmerachase.wav
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39675. Re: [bayscan] whoops(marin chase)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 19:41:13 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39675 Message: 39675

did I hear that right " if we lose the radio we'll go to dispatch # 2 on the NEXTEL" ???
----- Original Message -----
From: Robert Sanford
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 00:28
Subject: [bayscan] whoops

Of course I meant:

http://www.bayscan.net/firstmerachase.wav

Robert
BayScan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39676. Re: [bayscan] whoops(marin chase)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 19:45:01 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39675 Message: 39676

i wondered that too! at 100 mph!!
On Thursday, May 19, 2005, at 12:41 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

> did I hear that right " if we lose the radio we'll go to dispatch # 2
> on the NEXTEL" ???
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Robert Sanford
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 00:28
> Subject: [bayscan] whoops
>
>
> Of course I meant:
>
> http://www.bayscan.net/firstmerachase.wav
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39677. Re: [bayscan] whoops(marin chase)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 19:50:26 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39675 Message: 39677

to find out that the mobiles can talk to dispatch from Santa Rosa on the new MERA system, that's kind of cool.
thanks for the link Robert
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 12:41
Subject: Re: [bayscan] whoops(marin chase)

did I hear that right " if we lose the radio we'll go to dispatch # 2 on the NEXTEL" ???
----- Original Message -----
From: Robert Sanford
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 00:28
Subject: [bayscan] whoops

Of course I meant:

http://www.bayscan.net/firstmerachase.wav

Robert
BayScan

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39678. Re: [bayscan] whoops(marin chase)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 20:11:26 UTC
From: Stroh
Topic: 39675 Message: 39678

I heard this whole chase go down from the beginning here in Terra
Linda and was really impressed that the San Rafael officer chasing
this guy was heard crystal clear on MERA all the way up to Santa Rosa.
I also heard Novato PD come on during the fiasco and say an officer
had been chasing the same guy\suspect earlier in the day but he had
got away. I do know San Rafael PD has a second "dispatch 2" talkgroup
on MERA but I'm unaware that they are able to "patch it" into Nextel.

Perhaps someone on the group knows the more of the inside scoop of
MERA can elaborate? This is all new to me.

-Roger

On 5/19/05, Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
> did I hear that right " if we lose the radio we'll go to dispatch # 2 on the NEXTEL" ???
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Robert Sanford
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 00:28
> Subject: [bayscan] whoops
>
>
> Of course I meant:
>
> http://www.bayscan.net/firstmerachase.wav
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>

39679. Marin Chase - Thank You

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 22:26:18 UTC
From: qualoptics@aol.com
Topic: 39679 Message: 39679

The Sergeant (3X11) calling the chase for San Rafael is my good buddy Jim. Jim and I have been friends for fifteen years and were even in the same police academy class eight years ago. During the chase, he was riding in the passenger seat with an officer who is a great driver. He called me when it was over to tell me about it and I couldn't wait to hear the recording. Thanks a bunch Inigo for posting updates on the chase, recording and allowing the rest of us to hear it. I sent a copy of the chase to Jim, as he wanted to hear it too. Take care, Patrick

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39680. Re: [bayscan] whoops(marin chase)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 22:42:25 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39675 Message: 39680

At 12:41 PM 5/19/2005, you wrote:
>did I hear that right " if we lose the radio we'll go to dispatch #
>2 on the NEXTEL" ???

Could be - the Nextel talkgroup is a great feature. Not something I'd
recommend a PS agency rely on however.. :)

39681. Re: [bayscan] whoops(marin chase)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 22:53:07 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39675 Message: 39681

At 12:41 PM 5/19/2005, you wrote:
>did I hear that right " if we lose the radio we'll go to dispatch #
>2 on the NEXTEL" ???

Yep, that's what he said.

39682. Re: [bayscan] whoops(marin chase)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 22:55:30 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39675 Message: 39682

okay, so what does that mean? i was just envisoning the challenge of
talking into the cell phone whilst driving...

what am i missing?
On Thursday, May 19, 2005, at 15:53 US/Pacific, Evan Platt wrote:

> At 12:41 PM 5/19/2005, you wrote:
>> did I hear that right " if we lose the radio we'll go to dispatch #
>> 2 on the NEXTEL" ???
>
>
> Yep, that's what he said.
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39683. 2nd alarm Mountain view 16:05

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 23:06:26 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39683 Message: 39683

Tyrella Ave.

Mountain view fire 154.025

Requested Mutual Aid on red net 33.960. 1 Chief from Palo Alto and 2 engines and 1 truck from Sunnyvale.

EOM

39684. Re: [bayscan] switching to nextel...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 23:20:46 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39675 Message: 39684

it means they switched to a nextel talkgroup on their nextel phones.

it's the equivalent of using a portable radio on a channel or talkgroup with multiple other users. i won't go into the 'performance' of nextel in push-to-talk mode, especially while driving.

there's no need to put the phone up to your ear like you're on a phone call. you can hold and use it just like a portable radio.

-g-

> okay, so what does that mean? i was just envisoning the challenge of
> talking into the cell phone whilst driving...
>
> what am i missing?

39685. Re: [bayscan] switching to nextel...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-19 23:32:58 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39675 Message: 39685

thanks greg for the helpful info...

On Thursday, May 19, 2005, at 16:20 US/Pacific, vector@... wrote:

> it means they switched to a nextel talkgroup on their nextel phones.
>
> it's the equivalent of using a portable radio on a channel or talkgroup
> with multiple other users. i won't go into the 'performance' of
> nextel in
> push-to-talk mode, especially while driving.
>
> there's no need to put the phone up to your ear like you're on a phone
> call. you can hold and use it just like a portable radio.
>
> -g-
>
>
>> okay, so what does that mean? i was just envisoning the challenge of
>> talking into the cell phone whilst driving...
>>
>> what am i missing?
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39686. Jumper off the Golden Gate

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 03:17:14 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39686 Message: 39686

Just heard a report for a person on the bridge and by the time CHP arrived they bridge had thrown the marker down. There was also a witness that is being brought to the other side.
No real news since this happens a least once a month but thought I would post it anyway.
Just heard Coast Guard 97.

8:16 pm

Marin CHP-42.160
Bridge-482.7375

Robert
BayScan

39687. 3rd alarm San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 05:49:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 25411 Message: 39687

Must have had my scanner turned down

San Jose with a 3rd alarm at Remuda at Zanker.....reported originally by
Engine 5 . Commecial area . no other details

fire is contained by not under control (2248 hours)

154.115 Command 3
155.88 Tac 5

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39688. Re: [bayscan] Jumper off the Golden Gate

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 05:51:52 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39686 Message: 39688

I heard this one also Rober.. What is "the marker"?
----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 8:17 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Jumper off the Golden Gate

> Just heard a report for a person on the bridge and by the time CHP
> arrived they bridge had thrown the marker down. There was also a
> witness that is being brought to the other side.
> No real news since this happens a least once a month but thought I
> would post it anyway.
> Just heard Coast Guard 97.
>
> 8:16 pm
>
> Marin CHP-42.160
> Bridge-482.7375
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39689. Re: [bayscan] Jumper off the Golden Gate

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 08:30:45 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 39686 Message: 39689

They will drop a "marker" which is basically a floating smoke marker in the area where the person jumped from since the currents are so strong by the gate. That makes is much easier for the Coast Guard to locate the body. I have seen them and they move very quickly in those intense currents by the Golden Gate.

Robert
BayScan

At 10:51 PM 5/19/2005, you wrote:
>I heard this one also Rober.. What is "the marker"?
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 8:17 PM
>Subject: [bayscan] Jumper off the Golden Gate
>
>
> > Just heard a report for a person on the bridge and by the time CHP
> > arrived they bridge had thrown the marker down. There was also a
> > witness that is being brought to the other side.
> > No real news since this happens a least once a month but thought I
> > would post it anyway.
> > Just heard Coast Guard 97.
> >
> > 8:16 pm
> >
> > Marin CHP-42.160
> > Bridge-482.7375
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yaho
ogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39690. Re: [bayscan] Jumper off the Golden Gate

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 09:15:07 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39686 Message: 39690

very cool.. i didnt know that.. thanks Robert

----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert W. Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 1:30 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Jumper off the Golden Gate

> They will drop a "marker" which is basically a floating smoke marker in
> the
> area where the person jumped from since the currents are so strong by the
> gate. That makes is much easier for the Coast Guard to locate the body. I
> have seen them and they move very quickly in those intense currents by the
> Golden Gate.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
> At 10:51 PM 5/19/2005, you wrote:
>>I heard this one also Rober.. What is "the marker"?
>>----- Original Message -----
>>From: "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@...>
>>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>>Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 8:17 PM
>>Subject: [bayscan] Jumper off the Golden Gate
>>
>>
>> > Just heard a report for a person on the bridge and by the time CHP
>> > arrived they bridge had thrown the marker down. There was also a
>> > witness that is being brought to the other side.
>> > No real news since this happens a least once a month but thought I
>> > would post it anyway.
>> > Just heard Coast Guard 97.
>> >
>> > 8:16 pm
>> >
>> > Marin CHP-42.160
>> > Bridge-482.7375
>> >
>> > Robert
>> > BayScan
>> >
>> >
>> >

>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>----------
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
>> *
>> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>> *
>> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
>> *
>> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yah
oogroups.com
>>
>> *
>> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
>> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39691. Re: American medical response frequency

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 17:19:25 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 39645 Message: 39691

When you hear the unit say "Control 9 AMR blah blah blah requesting L19" the person who responds is in the Sheriff Comm Center.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> Ok, good to know, I'll add that one also. When I hear anything at all I hear medic to hospital on 488.4375 though, I've never heard anything with Sheriff dispatch on that one.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: kma371
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2005 10:20 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Re: American medical response frequency
>
>
> You forgot one...Med 2. All three are used, Med 3 and Med 4 mainly
> for BLS traffic.
>
> Med 1 488.4375 (Just AMR unit to Sheriff Dispatch) 136.5 PL
> Med 2 488.9125 (Medic to Hospital) 136.5
> Med 3 488.6125 ""
> Med 4 488.6625 ""
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Danny Monge" <ad6sj@s...> wrote:
> > CoCo AMR 935.6875 dispatch
> > 488.4375 - 488.6125 - 488.6625 for ambulance to hospital, I haven't
> heard anything from the second or third 488 freq but that is what I
> have in my scanner.
> >
> >
> > Danny Monge - AD6SJ
> > IRLP Node # 5040
> > Echolink # 152401
> > Oakley, Ca,
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: kdawg122786
> > To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> > Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2005 11:02 PM

> > Subject: [bayscan] American medical response frequency
> >
> >
> > Hello all
> >
> > I am just wondering what the American medical response
frequency
> is. I
> > live in Antioch.
> >
> > Thanks everyone
> > Kyle
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > No virus found in this outgoing message.
> > Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> > Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.12 - Release Date:
5/17/2005
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> --

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms
of Service.
>
>
>
>
> --

>
>

> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.12 - Release Date:
5/17/2005
>
> ----------
>
> No virus found in this outgoing message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.308 / Virus Database: 266.11.12 - Release Date:
5/17/2005
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39692. sf 2 alarm / arson?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 18:40:16 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39692 Message: 39692

bcn advises that:

The San Francisco Fire Department reports that one person has been
detained for suspicious behavior following a two-alarm fire in the
South of
Market district this morning.
According to the department, the blaze was reported at 4:01 a.m.
at 66 Mint St, and the fire was declared under control by 4:52 a.m.
No injuries were reported, and the American Red Cross is finding
shelter for six people who were displaced.
The cause of the fire is under investigation, but arson may have
been a factor.

AaronSalter0704a05/20/05

CONTACT: San Francisco Fire Department (415) 558-3268

39693. Re: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 19:17:47 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39692 Message: 39693

Nina, who is BCN????or what is BCN???
----- Original Message -----
From: nina zhito
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 11:40
Subject: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?

bcn advises that:

The San Francisco Fire Department reports that one person has been
detained for suspicious behavior following a two-alarm fire in the
South of
Market district this morning.
According to the department, the blaze was reported at 4:01 a.m.
at 66 Mint St, and the fire was declared under control by 4:52 a.m.
No injuries were reported, and the American Red Cross is finding
shelter for six people who were displaced.
The cause of the fire is under investigation, but arson may have
been a factor.

AaronSalter0704a05/20/05

CONTACT: San Francisco Fire Department (415) 558-3268

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39694. Re: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 20:33:30 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39692 Message: 39694

scanner buffs or fire buffs. i think

Scanner 4 wrote:

>Nina, who is BCN????or what is BCN???
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: nina zhito
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 11:40
> Subject: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?
>
>
> bcn advises that:
>
> The San Francisco Fire Department reports that one person has been
> detained for suspicious behavior following a two-alarm fire in the
> South of
> Market district this morning.
> According to the department, the blaze was reported at 4:01 a.m.
> at 66 Mint St, and the fire was declared under control by 4:52 a.m.
> No injuries were reported, and the American Red Cross is finding
> shelter for six people who were displaced.
> The cause of the fire is under investigation, but arson may have
> been a factor.
>
> AaronSalter0704a05/20/05
>
> CONTACT: San Francisco Fire Department (415) 558-3268
>
>
>
>--
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39695. Re: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 20:21:32 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39692 Message: 39695

bay city news. local news wire.

http://baycitynews.com

i get the feed from KPIX, otherwise you need to be a subscriber ($$):

http://www2.cbs5.com/localwire/

pete

---
pete geniella | photojournalist
http://www.petegeniella.com

On 5/20/05, Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
> Nina, who is BCN????or what is BCN???
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: nina zhito
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 11:40
> Subject: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?
>
>
> bcn advises that:
>
> The San Francisco Fire Department reports that one person has been
> detained for suspicious behavior following a two-alarm fire in the
> South of
> Market district this morning.
> According to the department, the blaze was reported at 4:01 a.m.
> at 66 Mint St, and the fire was declared under control by 4:52 a.m.
> No injuries were reported, and the American Red Cross is finding
> shelter for six people who were displaced.
> The cause of the fire is under investigation, but arson may have
> been a factor.
>
> AaronSalter0704a05/20/05
>
> CONTACT: San Francisco Fire Department (415) 558-3268
>
>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39696. Re: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 20:55:50 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39692 Message: 39696

Thank you
----- Original Message -----
From: pete g::..
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 13:21
Subject: Re: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?

bay city news. local news wire.

http://baycitynews.com

i get the feed from KPIX, otherwise you need to be a subscriber ($$):

http://www2.cbs5.com/localwire/

pete

---
pete geniella | photojournalist
http://www.petegeniella.com

On 5/20/05, Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
> Nina, who is BCN????or what is BCN???
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: nina zhito
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 11:40
> Subject: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?
>
>
> bcn advises that:
>
> The San Francisco Fire Department reports that one person has been
> detained for suspicious behavior following a two-alarm fire in the
> South of
> Market district this morning.
> According to the department, the blaze was reported at 4:01 a.m.
> at 66 Mint St, and the fire was declared under control by 4:52 a.m.
> No injuries were reported, and the American Red Cross is finding
> shelter for six people who were displaced.
> The cause of the fire is under investigation, but arson may have
> been a factor.
>
> AaronSalter0704a05/20/05
>
> CONTACT: San Francisco Fire Department (415) 558-3268
>

>
>
> ---
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39697. crash on San Mateo Coast

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 21:37:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39697 Message: 39697

Half Moon Bay Fire and S.M.County Sheriffs on scene Purisma and
Verde road on the coast near Highway 1 , south of Half Moon Bay

Vehicle hit power pole (which is coming down) and went into creek. S/O
units advising one DOA.....not sure if more victims. Battalion 10 requesting
more volunteers for manpower

Engine 40 Engine 41 Engine 217 Battalion 10

Control 1 / 153.89
Control 3/ 155.895
Sheriffs/ TG 13840
CHP / 42.080

1436 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39698. Re: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-20 21:51:22 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39692 Message: 39698

sorry, bcn = bay city news....
On Friday, May 20, 2005, at 12:17 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

> Nina, who is BCN????or what is BCN???
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: nina zhito
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 11:40
> Subject: [bayscan] sf 2 alarm / arson?
>
>
> bcn advises that:
>
> The San Francisco Fire Department reports that one person
> has been
> detained for suspicious behavior following a two-alarm fire in the
> South of
> Market district this morning.
> According to the department, the blaze was reported at 4:01
> a.m.
> at 66 Mint St, and the fire was declared under control by 4:52 a.m.
> No injuries were reported, and the American Red Cross is
> finding
> shelter for six people who were displaced.
> The cause of the fire is under investigation, but arson may
> have
> been a factor.
>
> AaronSalter0704a05/20/05
>
> CONTACT: San Francisco Fire Department (415) 558-3268
>
>
>
> --
> -------
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
> a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
>
> b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of

> Service.
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39699. Amateur radiio Testing (Ham Cram)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 00:25:22 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39699 Message: 39699

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39700. Fw: ham

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 00:29:30 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39700 Message: 39700

Subject: ham

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39701. Re: [bayscan] Fw: ham

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 00:39:02 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39700 Message: 39701

At 05:29 PM 5/20/2005, you wrote:

>Subject: ham

Sterrrrike two! ;)

Hopefully the third time's the charm.... <grin>

Evan

39702. Re: [bayscan] Fw: ham

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 00:31:07 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39700 Message: 39702

sorry I'm try to paste a PDF document to this e-mail but I'm not doing a good job of it.
----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 17:29
Subject: [bayscan] Fw: ham

Subject: ham

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39703. Re: [bayscan] Fw: ham

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 01:17:28 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39700 Message: 39703

At 05:31 PM 5/20/2005, you wrote:
>sorry I'm try to paste a PDF document to this e-mail but I'm not
>doing a good job of it.

Attachments are blocked from the list.. Gonna have to put it in the
file section. :)

39704. media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 01:49:19 UTC
From: Bryan C. Carmody
Topic: 39704 Message: 39704

Having trouble getting meida encoder to work correctly. It is bringing up my router as the ip address 192.168.1.102 insted of the ip for the comcast. Any thoughts on why? What to do? Ben? Trying to broadcast MERA.

39705. Re: [bayscan] MERA Chase file

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 01:44:12 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39670 Message: 39705

Hi Robert. I started to download the file from the link in this email. It was going at a fantastic rate of 2.8 KBP. It was going to take an hour and something so I canceled out. I had to leave at the time, last night, but just downloaded the file from your second email on this which only took maybe 1.5 minutes. Why was the first one so slow? Haven't listened to the file yet but thanks, looking forward to it! Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 12:20 AM
Subject: [bayscan] MERA Chase file

>I uploaded that file to my site at:
>
> http://www.bayscan.net or you can it directly by going to:
>
> hyyp://www.bayscan.net/firstmerachase.wav
>
> Thanks to Inigo to recording that chase.
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39706. Antenna Mast Tri-Pods/Antenna anchoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 03:11:51 UTC
From: John Gotti
Topic: 39706 Message: 39706

I'm currently moving and was looking into getting an antenna mast Tri-pod from Radio Shack for the new place. Anyone use them? are they sturdy? Not sure if thats the way to go. i want to anchor my 20 ft mast either on the roof or anchor it to the side of the house. Chimmeny is not an option as it is on the opposite side of the house from where I plan to set up my new monitoring station. Anyhow its a single story residence. any and all suggestions and ideas are welcome thanks...

39707. Re: [bayscan] Antenna Mast Tri-Pods/Antenna anchoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 03:20:28 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39706 Message: 39707

the tripod is fine, but putting a 20 foot mast is about the limit for that thing without guying the mast. i'd avoid putting more than one antenna on it as well.

be sure you ground it as well.

-g-

> I'm currently moving and was looking into getting an antenna mast Tri-
> pod from Radio Shack for the new place. Anyone use them? are they
> sturdy? Not sure if thats the way to go. i want to anchor my 20 ft mast
> either on the roof or anchor it to the side of the house. Chimmeny is
> not an option as it is on the opposite side of the house from where I
> plan to set up my new monitoring station. Anyhow its a single story
> residence. any and all suggestions and ideas are welcome thanks...
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39708. Re: [bayscan] Antenna Mast Tri-Pods/Antenna anchoring

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 03:26:38 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39706 Message: 39708

> I'm currently moving and was looking into getting an
> antenna mast Tri-pod from Radio Shack for the new place.
> Anyone use them? are they sturdy?

I have a few of them, and have them anchored to 2 4x4s made out of Trex.
They barely move in the heavy weather we've had over the last few years.
What are you going to put on top of the 20' mast? I have a 10' mast
that has a 10' antenna on it (Diamond X6000A) and I think that's about
as high as I'd like to go up without guy wires ...

/jordan

39709. RIOT .....Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 04:01:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39709 Message: 39709

Palo Alto calling a CODE 20 HELP call from neighboring agencies for a RIOT in downtown on University.

482.6125 MAIN PAPD Extremely busy

Mutual Aid from
Stanford
Menlo Park
East Palo Alto
Mountain View
Sunnyvale
Los Altos
Sheriffs

2100 hours

** I am hearing lots of sirens from my place

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39710. Re: [bayscan] RIOT .....Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 04:07:36 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39709 Message: 39710

Forming lines of Officers both on foot and with patrol
vehicles.....trying to keep them off University right now

Not sure what sparked this.....maybe anti-war demo ??
Still hearing more sirens coming up 101.

2108 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39711. Re: [bayscan] RIOT .....Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 04:09:49 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39709 Message: 39711

At 09:01 PM 5/20/2005, you wrote:
>Palo Alto calling a CODE 20 HELP call from neighboring agencies for a RIOT
>in downtown on University.
>
>482.6125 MAIN PAPD Extremely busy
>
>Mutual Aid from
>Stanford
>Menlo Park
>East Palo Alto
>Mountain View
>Sunnyvale
>Los Altos
>Sheriffs
>
>2100 hours

Hey Ben, how about a stream for us poor saps at work here in RF Hell? :)

39712. Re: [bayscan] RIOT .....Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 04:14:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39709 Message: 39712

Requesting Mountain View SWAT team (ofc Chris and friends)
to act as Arrest Teams.....

Streets involved mainly downtown on University between Middlefield and
the tracks ...north to Lytton and south to Hamilton.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39713. Re: RIOT .....Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 04:51:04 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 39709 Message: 39713

Any update on the incident?

39714. RE: [bayscan] Re: RIOT .....Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 04:53:09 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39709 Message: 39714

> Any update on the incident?

They're shutting down everything downtown off University. SCSO is using
156.21 P25. They're focusing on a coffee shop -- don't know why.

- Brian

39715. Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 04:53:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 17356 Message: 39715

Mt.View SWAT team was told to hold at Mt.View but now they want them to respond to Civic Center @ 250 Hamilton

4 Sgts with min of 6 officers each forming teams to move people out. Stanford with 6 more Deputys rolling also.

so far no Helo

2152 hours

Giving order to disperse at some coffee shop called Jungle "something" I think on University.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39716. Re: [bayscan] Re: RIOT .....Palo Alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 04:54:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39709 Message: 39716

156.210 is not P25 and its not on this incident ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39717. Palo alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 05:21:55 UTC
From: Ed
Topic: 4566 Message: 39717

Situation under control.....mutual aid units now leaving
city..... PAPD mobile squads patroling downtown area still

Brian.....were they (S/O) units on channel 2 or 3 ?? they are
P25 ?

482.6125

Ed

39718. Re: [bayscan] media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 05:27:17 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39704 Message: 39718

what is your true IP?? try www.firefeeds.com/ip to get your true IP address
----- Original Message -----
From: Bryan C. Carmody
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 18:49
Subject: [bayscan] media encoder

Having trouble getting meida encoder to work correctly. It is bringing up my
router as the ip address 192.168.1.102 insted of the ip for the comcast. Any
thoughts on why? What to do? Ben? Trying to broadcast MERA.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39719. re: MERA encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 06:09:30 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 39719 Message: 39719

>what is your true IP?? try www.firefeeds.com/ip to get your true IP address

I have that.

>The 192.168.1.102 is your internal lan ip address. If that is showing up
>in the bottom portion of your WME box then that is correct.. You need to
>insert your Gateway Ip address off your router's config into the media
>encoder html if you're going to be making a webpage out of it.

It doesnt seem like I can insert the true IP anywhere it seems that ME is generating it. Where do I change this setting.

>You will also need to open up a port on your router for the port to stream >the feed..
Most router's its called port forwarding on the router menu..
>lemme know if you need any help.. shouldn't take that long to troubleshoot..
>ben

Heres the router info.

Port forwarding can be used to set up public services on your network. When users from the Internet make certain requests on your router, they will be redirected to the specified IP.
Service Port Range Protocol IP Address [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input]
Well-known Ports
(Commonly Used Ports)7
21
23
25
53
79
80
110
119
161
162(Echo)
(FTP)
(TELNET)
(SMTP)
(DNS)
(finger)
(HTTP)
(POP3)
(NNTP)
(SNMP)
(SNMP Trap) [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input] [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input] [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input] [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input] [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input] [input] ~

[input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input] [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input] [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input] [input] ~ [input] TCPUDPBoth 192.168.1. [input]
[input]

[input] [input] [input]

Also... to make things really complicated I am trying this in two different places. Right now I'm at work trying this on a Windows ME machine, Using media encoder 7.1 and there are three routers staged together. Here the computer is generating http://KRIS'S:1554 as the url address... obviously inserting the computer "name" and not even the local ip address. Doh!

Thanks for the help so far

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39720. Re: [bayscan] media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 05:28:54 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39704 Message: 39720

going through your routor 192.168.1.102 is and LAN ip and not a WAN comcast starts with 67.XX.X.XX
----- Original Message -----
From: Bryan C. Carmody
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 18:49
Subject: [bayscan] media encoder

Having trouble getting meida encoder to work correctly. It is bringing up my router as the ip address 192.168.1.102 insted of the ip for the comcast. Any thoughts on why? What to do? Ben? Trying to broadcast MERA.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39721. Amateur Radio Testing

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 06:12:18 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39721 Message: 39721

ONE DAY HAM TEST :

Sunday June 26th 2005
8:30 to 4:00
San Francisco County Fair Building Rec.Room

9th Ave & Lincoln Way (just inside Golden Gate Park)

Parking can be a pain Get there early

Bring $20.00 cash and TWO ID's (one with photo) and Pen or Pencil

For more information contact Dave Gomberg
dave1@...

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39722. Re: [bayscan] Palo alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 07:04:06 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 4566 Message: 39722

http://www.indybay.org/news/2005/05/1736866.php
Two words: alpha hotels

39723. Re: [bayscan] Palo alto

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 07:35:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 4566 Message: 39723

Sounds like the blackbloc may have had some there (aka CRIMINALS)
didn't make too much trouble , had it under control in around 90 minutes

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39724. Sat May 21 - MAD Exercise, Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 07:47:46 UTC
From: Erik
Topic: 39724 Message: 39724

FYI: There is a fire service multi-agency drill (MAD) exercise today in Marin. The main site is in the Ross Valley area. Radio activity: fire lowband, VHF highband (White Fire chs especially), MERA, and amateur/RACES.

39725. [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 09:29:54 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39725 Message: 39725

If interested the KFOG KAABBOOM is Tomorrow at Pier 32 in San Francisco. It's a all day music event. In the evening there will be a 30 minute or so Fireworks Event. There is expected to 350,000 people attending watching and so on. Along with the Coast Guard there will be local law enforcement helping to keep boaters away from the Fireworks Barge. During the show the Fireworks can accidentally shoot the wrong way or explode early and may hurt bystanders.

Some of the Local Law Enforcement showing up with their boats are : Alameda County Sheriff (ALCO 1) San Francisco Police (Marine 1,2) Richmond PD (PATROL 32) Contra Coast Sheriff, San Mateo County Sheriff, City of Alameda PD, Marin Sheriff (Mission City), GGNRA, and Coast Guard Auxiliary Vessels. The SFPD will have their Command Post set up for the event.

Not sure what Talk Group SFPD will be using but some of the Marine Radio freqs that will be used are :

Marine Channel 6
Marine Channel 21A, 22A, 23A, 81A, 83A and 143.280 (Auxiliary Mt.Diablo Repeater)

For those who want to see it but can't get there try the Pan Tilt Camera and aim it at the Bay Bridge.

http://www.nuspectra.com/vbcam/large.aspx
(http://www.nuspectra.com/vbcam/large.aspx)

And more info about the show:

http://www.kfog.com/Events/kaboom/2005/default.asp
(http://www.kfog.com/Events/kaboom/2005/default.asp)

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39726. Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 10:36:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39725 Message: 39726

Nice post Paul.....any idea on the fireworks start
time ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39727. Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 11:11:34 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39725 Message: 39727

From KFOG's web page

"The event begins at 4 p.m. on San Francisco Piers 30-32,
just south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, with an expo area of food,
drinks, interactive sponsor exhibits and continuous performances for families
on the Children's Stage. At 4:30 p.m., the free live concert begins with an
incredible line-up of talent: The Wallflowers, the John Butler Trio and
Kathleen Edwards. The extraordinary fireworks display will cap the event at 9 p.m."

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39728. Re: [bayscan] Sat May 21 - MAD Exercise, Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 15:17:26 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39724 Message: 39728

time?
On Saturday, May 21, 2005, at 00:47 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

> FYI: There is a fire service multi-agency drill (MAD) exercise today in
> Marin. The main site is in the Ross Valley area. Radio activity: fire
> lowband, VHF highband (White Fire chs especially), MERA, and
> amateur/RACES.
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39729. Re: [bayscan] Sat May 21 - MAD Exercise, Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 15:57:47 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 39724 Message: 39729

I will be based out of Phoenix lake, I will be broadcasting slow scan
on 147.57, Packet on 144.91 , APRS on 144.35
and our station to station will be on 147.670.
Watch the mutual aid channels White fire 1,2,3 etc.
Drill starts at noon, goes to 16:00.
J.B.

nina zhito <photozhito@comcast.net> wrote:
time?
On Saturday, May 21, 2005, at 00:47 US/Pacific, Erik wrote:

> FYI: There is a fire service multi-agency drill (MAD) exercise today in
> Marin. The main site is in the Ross Valley area. Radio activity: fire
> lowband, VHF highband (White Fire chs especially), MERA, and
> amateur/RACES.
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

Credo Quia Absurdum Est
Credo Ut Intelligam

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39730. Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 16:27:33 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39725 Message: 39730

Does anyone know what's going on at a North Beach park at Columbus and Mason
from 12:30 to 15:30? It involves politicians and so-forth. I don't think
it's connected with the KFOG event as it's over before that starts.

----- Original Message -----
From: <Mobile11@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 21, 2005 4:11 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

>
>
> From KFOG's web page
>
> "The event begins at 4 p.m. on San Francisco Piers 30-32,
> just south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, with an expo area of
> food,
> drinks, interactive sponsor exhibits and continuous performances for
> families
> on the Children's Stage. At 4:30 p.m., the free live concert begins with
> an
> incredible line-up of talent: The Wallflowers, the John Butler Trio and
> Kathleen Edwards. The extraordinary fireworks display will cap the event
> at 9 p.m."
>
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39731. Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 16:35:50 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39725 Message: 39731

if you mean Columbus and POWELL.....thats Washington
Square..... but couldn't tell you what it is ...

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39732. North Beach

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 16:49:43 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39732 Message: 39732

No, it's definitely Columbus and Mason at the Joe Dimaggio North Beach
Playground.
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 21, 2005 9:35 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

> if you mean Columbus and POWELL.....thats Washington
> Square..... but couldn't tell you what it is ...
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39733. Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 16:42:34 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39725 Message: 39733

http://www.sfgov.org/site/mayor_index.asp?id=32063

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Friday, May 20, 2005
Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications
415-554-6131

*** MEDIA ADVISORY ***

MAYOR NEWSOM'S SCHEDULE OF PUBLIC EVENTS FOR
SATURDAY, MAY 21 - MONDAY, MAY 23

Saturday, May 21

10:45am
Mayor Newsom to attend grand opening of Joe DiMaggio Park
Lombard Street (at Mason and Powell Streets)

On 5/21/05, Jim Gammon <jgammon1@...> wrote:
> Does anyone know what's going on at a North Beach park at Columbus and Mason
> from 12:30 to 15:30? It involves politicians and so-forth. I don't think
> it's connected with the KFOG event as it's over before that starts.

39734. Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 16:54:37 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39725 Message: 39734

Very interesting. Thanks. I am playing in a band there but not until 12:30
and the party goes until 15:30. Hope that's the time or else I will be
late. Thanks, Jim

----- Original Message -----
From: "pete g:::.." <pgeniella@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 21, 2005 9:42 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

> http://www.sfgov.org/site/mayor_index.asp?id=32063
>
> FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
> Friday, May 20, 2005
> Contact: Mayor's Office of Communications
> 415-554-6131
>
> *** MEDIA ADVISORY ***
>
> MAYOR NEWSOM'S SCHEDULE OF PUBLIC EVENTS FOR
> SATURDAY, MAY 21 - MONDAY, MAY 23
>
> Saturday, May 21
>
> 10:45am
> Mayor Newsom to attend grand opening of Joe DiMaggio Park
> Lombard Street (at Mason and Powell Streets)
>
>
> On 5/21/05, Jim Gammon <jgammon1@...> wrote:
>> Does anyone know what's going on at a North Beach park at Columbus and
>> Mason
>> from 12:30 to 15:30? It involves politicians and so-forth. I don't
>> think
>> it's connected with the KFOG event as it's over before that starts.
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>

39735. RE: [bayscan] media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-21 21:34:03 UTC
From: Brad Paulus
Topic: 39704 Message: 39735

You might want to see if your firewall is blocking that port. Sometimes
your NAT tables/rules can be wrong, or Comcast may be blocking that port.

Regards,

Brad

_____

From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of
Scanner 4
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 10:27 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] media encoder

what is your true IP?? try www.firefeeds.com/ip to get your true IP address
----- Original Message -----
From: Bryan C. Carmody
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 18:49
Subject: [bayscan] media encoder

Having trouble getting meida encoder to work correctly. It is bringing up
my
router as the ip address 192.168.1.102 insted of the ip for the comcast.
Any
thoughts on why? What to do? Ben? Trying to broadcast MERA.

--
Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

_____

Yahoo! Groups Links

* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> .

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39736. Re: KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 00:53:29 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 39725 Message: 39736

Fireworks: Usually Between 21:00-21:30 hrs (9pm-9:30pm)

39737. Re: KFOG 104.5 KAABOOM!!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 00:54:24 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 39725 Message: 39737

Kfog website says: 21:00 hrs

39738. Here Comes Summer!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 17:03:37 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39738 Message: 39738

Morning all!

For you fire buffs - here's a clear indication that summer is on the way. San Jose Fire extinguished a vegetation fire at McKee and 101 yesterday. No big deal, right? Well, not exactly. Battalion 1, 2 10 and 29 all responded due to the wind conditions and the way embers were blowing into an adjacent neighborhood. A little early season structure protection!

Here are a couple of shots (sans flames - traffic sucked and I got there late - as usual) from the incident:

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/people/people_image_030.htm

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/people/people_image_033.htm

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/tools/tools_image_048.htm

http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/law/tools/lawtools_image_035.htm

Cheers!

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

39739. RE: [bayscan] Here Comes Summer!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 17:15:09 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39738 Message: 39739

Nice photos, Craig! Thanks for sharing.

- Brian

39740. Re: [bayscan] Here Comes Summer!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 17:17:00 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39738 Message: 39740

Sorry for the broken URLs - here are tiny versions:

http://tinyurl.com/bl26w

http://tinyurl.com/aw6yn

http://tinyurl.com/9c9rf

http://tinyurl.com/cxrfz

-C-

On May 22, 2005, at 10:03 AM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Morning all!
>
> For you fire buffs - here's a clear indication that summer is on the
> way. San Jose Fire extinguished a vegetation fire at McKee and 101
> yesterday. No big deal, right? Well, not exactly. Battalion 1, 2 10 and
> 29 all responded due to the wind conditions and the way embers were
> blowing into an adjacent neighborhood. A little early season structure
> protection!
>
> Here are a couple of shots (sans flames - traffic sucked and I got
> there late - as usual) from the incident:
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/people/
> people_image_030.htm
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/people/
> people_image_033.htm
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/fire/tools/
> tools_image_048.htm
>
> http://www.andromedafilms.com/carosephoto/emergency/law/tools/
> lawtools_image_035.htm
>
> Cheers!
>
>
> --
> craig allyn rose photography
>
> http://www.emergencyphoto.com
> http://www.carosephoto.com
>

> craig@...
>
> - emergency, nature, aviation,
> travel and urban themes -
> --
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

39741. Re: [bayscan] Here Comes Summer!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 17:21:11 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39738 Message: 39741

Absolutely! They should get more and more dramatic as we get into the hot weather. I was in the east hills yesterday and I haven't seen the vegetation this high in years.

On May 22, 2005, at 10:15 AM, Brian wrote:

> Nice photos, Craig! Thanks for sharing.

39742. Re: [bayscan] media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 18:06:05 UTC
From: Stroh
Topic: 39704 Message: 39742

Hey Bryan, your router hides your actual IP address purposly. Try logging into the router and go to "status" or something simlar to that (that's what it is on my linksys) and you will see your true IP address.
-Roger

On 5/20/05, Bryan C. Carmody <nbaynews2@...> wrote:
>
> Having trouble getting meida encoder to work correctly. It is bringing up
> my
> router as the ip address 192.168.1.102 <http://192.168.1.102> insted of
> the ip for the comcast. Any
> thoughts on why? What to do? Ben? Trying to broadcast MERA.
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39743. Re: [bayscan] Here Comes Summer!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 18:09:14 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39738 Message: 39743

Was up in Coarsegold yesterday and I have to say that the land is dry up there! Will be a busy season indeed!

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39744. CDF - Morgan Hill - Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 19:15:17 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39744 Message: 39744

Structure and vehicle fire - 30 x 30 foot outbuilding, mobile home, and multiple tractors and one big rig on fire with additional structure threatened. Gasoline tank also possibly threatened. This incident is working near 10750 Monterey Highway north of Morgan Hill.

SOUTH COUNTY ENGINE 1
SOUTH COUNTY ENGINE 2
SOUTH COUNTY ENGINE 3
BATTALION 1601
COUNTY FIRE - ENGINE 13
COUNTY FIRE - ENGINE 12

Monitor CDF - 151.445
TAC 9 - 151.385

-C-

39745. HERE WE GO..... Morgan Hill fire 1214 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 19:16:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39745 Message: 39745

South County Engines 1,2 County Engines 12,13 rolling on several
outbuildings , tractors , mobilehome burning on 10175 Monterey , north of Morgan Hill

Request 3 more Engines and 2 Watertenders

151.445
151.385 Tac 9

1216 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39746. Re: [bayscan] CDF - Morgan Hill - Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 19:17:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39744 Message: 39746

Craigs its Yours.....unless you want to go take photos ,then I
will take it :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39747. Re: [bayscan] CDF - Morgan Hill - Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 19:20:11 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39744 Message: 39747

Grab it Ed - I am outta here - got the call. Thanks!!!

On May 22, 2005, at 12:17 PM, caladded@... wrote:

> Craigs its Yours.....unless you want to go take photos
> ,then I
> will take it :-)
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39748. Re: CDF - Morgan Hill - Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 19:43:28 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39744 Message: 39748

San Jose E27 responding for Mutual Aid coverage of South County Station 2.

39749. Re: [bayscan] Re: CDF - Morgan Hill - Fire update 1254 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 19:55:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39749 Message: 39749

Fire knocked down, destroyed 30x30 trailer , 6 tractors , damaged a 7th one
and couple other outbuildings.....

major overhaul.....around 3 hours

additional units
E1671
E1673
E171

Watertender 1
Watertender 2

Dozer 1640

1255 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39750. A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 23:04:38 UTC
From: kdawg122786
Topic: 39750 Message: 39750

Hello all

I am writing to get something straight that no one can give me
a clear answer on. I live in Antioch. Can I receive any trunked
frequencies from my location. I have a pro 95. I have herd conflicting
answers to that question. Please set me straight. If I can what
counties would, they be. Alameda? Anything else?

Thanks a lot
Kyle

39751. Re: A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 23:07:51 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39750 Message: 39751

The only way you can tell for sure is by entering the control channels into your Pro-95 yourself and seeing which ones come in clear enough to trunk track the system. That's how we all figured out which trunking systems we could recieve, and that's why no one can give you a clear answer.

-Inigo

39752. Muir Beach Surf Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 23:19:33 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39752 Message: 39752

Marin County:

RP reported 2 subjects swept into the water south of the main beach near the rocks by a rougue wave, associated with an orange raft (much easier to see I'm sure). Muir Beach IC now reports he sees someone making their way across the rocks with an orange raft.

"Henry 1" (Sonoma County Sheriff Helicopter) enroute with an extended ETA from over Lake Mendocino.

"REACH 3" (Medical chopper) enroute from the Concord Airport (KCCR).

US Coast Guard Helicopter also enroute from CGAS San Francisco.

Responding Units:

Muir Beach Volunteers (E660 & friends)
E1585
Medic 33
Rescue 9

-and a few others I couldn't catch-

It seems everyone's now out of the water but Woodacre (Marin Co. Fire Dispatch) is continuing all units except Henry 1 who's needed back in Lake Co. It seems now everyone can cancel due to no medical aid required. Everyone's in good shape. Good news!

Associated Freqs:

37.10 MHz PL 136.5 - Marin County Fire (Control 4) - "Woodacre"

MERA Talkgroups:

24080 - Control H2 (Currently patched to Control 4)
24144 - Tactical H4
24176 - Command H5

16:17 Hours

-Inigo

39753. Re: [bayscan] Muir Beach Surf Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-22 23:24:18 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39752 Message: 39753

Cool post very informative...Thanks

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39754. Re: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 00:07:08 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39750 Message: 39754

there's nothing really around you that is trunked... With an outdoor antenna, you MIGHT pick up sac but thats a long shot. everything is vhf up by you.

kdawg122786 wrote:

>Hello all
>
> I am writing to get something straight that no one can give me
>a clear answer on. I live in Antioch. Can I receive any trunked
>frequencies from my location. I have a pro 95. I have herd conflicting
>answers to that question. Please set me straight. If I can what
>counties would, they be. Alameda? Anything else?
>
>Thanks a lot
>Kyle
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39755. Re: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 00:04:14 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39750 Message: 39755

maybe Vacaville or Travis.....from Antioch .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39756. Re: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 00:14:48 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39750 Message: 39756

> maybe Vacaville or Travis...

Has anyone confirmed whether Travis' system is encrypted or not?

39757. Re: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 00:25:06 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39750 Message: 39757

An educated guess would be since the functions of the various talk groups are noted on radioreference.com, then the signals are in the clear. I'm assuming the "mode E" notation for the police TGs means they are encrypted.

http://www.radioreference.com/modules.php?name=TRSDB&sid=2611

Jordan Hayes wrote:
>>maybe Vacaville or Travis...
>
>
> Has anyone confirmed whether Travis' system is encrypted or not?
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39758. Re: [bayscan] media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 00:49:32 UTC
From: Nick Caldwell
Topic: 39704 Message: 39758

Or:
www.whatismyip.com

Nick

On 5/22/05, Stroh <roger.stroh@...> wrote:
> Hey Bryan, your router hides your actual IP address purposly. Try logging
> into the router and go to "status" or something simlar to that (that's what
> it is on my linksys) and you will see your true IP address.
> -Roger

39759. Re: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 00:59:34 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39750 Message: 39759

You might pick up BART depending on what's between you and Baypoint, the end
of the BART line.

----- Original Message -----
From: "kdawg122786" <redsfannumber01@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 22, 2005 4:04 PM
Subject: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

> Hello all
>
> I am writing to get something straight that no one can give me
> a clear answer on. I live in Antioch. Can I receive any trunked
> frequencies from my location. I have a pro 95. I have herd conflicting
> answers to that question. Please set me straight. If I can what
> counties would, they be. Alameda? Anything else?
>
> Thanks a lot
> Kyle
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39760. Re: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 01:01:27 UTC
From: john Beatty
Topic: 39750 Message: 39760

You may get MERA (on a good day)

Jim Gammon <jgammon1@...> wrote:You might pick up BART depending on what's between you and Baypoint, the end
of the BART line.

----- Original Message -----
From: "kdawg122786"
To:
Sent: Sunday, May 22, 2005 4:04 PM
Subject: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

> Hello all
>
> I am writing to get something straight that no one can give me
> a clear answer on. I live in Antioch. Can I receive any trunked
> frequencies from my location. I have a pro 95. I have herd conflicting
> answers to that question. Please set me straight. If I can what
> counties would, they be. Alameda? Anything else?
>
> Thanks a lot
> Kyle
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links

Credo Quia Absurdum Est
Credo Ut Intelligam

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39761. Re: [bayscan] Muir Beach Surf Rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 02:09:26 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39752 Message: 39761

great post, thanks....
On Sunday, May 22, 2005, at 16:19 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

> Marin County:
>
> RP reported 2 subjects swept into the water south of the main beach
> near the rocks by a rougue wave, associated with an orange raft (much
> easier to see I'm sure). Muir Beach IC now reports he sees someone
> making their way across the rocks with an orange raft.
>
> "Henry 1" (Sonoma County Sheriff Helicopter) enroute with an extended
> ETA from over Lake Mendocino.
>
> "REACH 3" (Medical chopper) enroute from the Concord Airport (KCCR).
>
> US Coast Guard Helicopter also enroute from CGAS San Francisco.
>
> Responding Units:
>
> Muir Beach Volunteers (E660 & friends)
> E1585
> Medic 33
> Rescue 9
>
> -and a few others I couldn't catch-
>
> It seems everyone's now out of the water but Woodacre (Marin Co. Fire
> Dispatch) is continuing all units except Henry 1 who's needed back in
> Lake Co. It seems now everyone can cancel due to no medical aid
> required. Everyone's in good shape. Good news!
>
> Associated Freqs:
>
> 37.10 MHz PL 136.5 - Marin County Fire (Control 4) - "Woodacre"
>
> MERA Talkgroups:
>
> 24080 - Control H2 (Currently patched to Control 4)
> 24144 - Tactical H4
> 24176 - Command H5
>
> 16:17 Hours
>
> -Inigo
>

>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39762. Re: [bayscan] media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 03:49:10 UTC
From: Stroh
Topic: 39704 Message: 39762

And that too. :-) Thanks Nick...quite handy.
-Roger

On 5/22/05, Nick Caldwell <kg6nmp@...> wrote:
>
> Or:
> www.whatismyip.com <http://www.whatismyip.com>
>
> Nick
>
> On 5/22/05, Stroh <roger.stroh@...> wrote:
> > Hey Bryan, your router hides your actual IP address purposly. Try
> logging
> > into the router and go to "status" or something simlar to that (that's
> what
> > it is on my linksys) and you will see your true IP address.
> > -Roger
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39763. Re: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 05:22:08 UTC
From: Danny Monge
Topic: 39750 Message: 39763

I can't get Vacaville from Oakley with an outdoor antenna so that one is most likely out.
On my Pro-95 here in Oakley I can get Alco and Sac from my front room, that's about all.

----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 22, 2005 5:04 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

maybe Vacaville or Travis.....from Antioch .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 266.11.15 - Release Date: 5/22/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 266.11.15 - Release Date: 5/22/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39764. Re: A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 05:57:14 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39750 Message: 39764

I believe the original poster was using a Pro-95, so both MERA and Travis' trunking systems won't work for him because they're APCO25 digital.

> Has anyone confirmed whether Travis' system is encrypted or not?

As per radio reference I believe base security is encrypted. I finally got to listen to this system briefly while hanging out with some friends up on the hills adjacent to Mt. Tam, and it's quite busy; mostly with traffic from the guys loading the C-5s, and the mechanics working on the C-5s and KC-10s.

(They were actually a lot of fun to listen to:
Mechanic1: "Hey the APU on this C-5 is shot..."
Mechanic2: "SH*T!")

I didn't hear any of the classic R2D2 noises to indicate encryption on any talkgroups, but my scanner could have been filtering them out. I don't know. I was using a Pro-96.

-Inigo

39765. Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:11:20 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39765 Message: 39765

I dont know what Alarm this is at right now.. But Vallejo is
requesting 5 engines, 2 trucks to respond directly into the scene and
3 Engines, a water tender for station coverage.

Apperantly Benicia, Fairfield, Suisun City, Suisun District and
Cordilla have already sent all their resources.

Location is Sacremento St. @ Virgina (downtown Vallejo)

VFD 482.9875
VFD 483.0625
Solano Fire 156.000
Benecia 155.9400

39766. Re: [bayscan] Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:15:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39766

Can you get Vallejo fire ? do you have any idea of whats is burning ?

I heard the M/A too as I can get Solano but NOT VAllejo

they said its a 3rd alarm

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39767. Re: [bayscan] Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:19:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39767

Hearing Vacaville E71 T74 going to the fire , American Canyon E211 is going to cover Vallejo E22.

staging at Sonoma and Virginia.....this could be commercial

using Vallejo Fire 482.9875 for incoming units

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39768. Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:20:47 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39765 Message: 39768

Small List of Units involved

VFD E-1,T-1,E-2,T-2,E-3,E-4,E-5,E6,E-7,E-8,BC
County Resources B33,E71,T74
Fiarfield E35,E34
Benecia E-1,R-31
Medic Ambulance 2 Units

Staging area is Sonoma @ Virgina

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> I dont know what Alarm this is at right now.. But Vallejo is
> requesting 5 engines, 2 trucks to respond directly into the scene
and
> 3 Engines, a water tender for station coverage.
>
> Apperantly Benicia, Fairfield, Suisun City, Suisun District and
> Cordilla have already sent all their resources.
>
> Location is Sacremento St. @ Virgina (downtown Vallejo)
>
> VFD 482.9875
> VFD 483.0625
> Solano Fire 156.000
> Benecia 155.9400

39769. Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:22:45 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39765 Message: 39769

It comes in very broken (stupid hills in the way) I get Solano clear
as a bell.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> Can you get Vallejo fire ? do you have any idea of whats is
burning ?
>
> I heard the M/A too as I can get Solano but NOT VAllejo
>
> they said its a 3rd alarm
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39770. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:25:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39770

I am on Bens' feed to get it clearer (Solano) he doesn't have Vallejo on the feed :-(he may not be able to get it.

(why couldn't I be visit my brother who lives in American Canyon,,,,,,,next door to Vallejo. GRRRRRR

btw , Vallejo numbers their units E21 22 23 etc etc now.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39771. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:27:55 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39765 Message: 39771

Cont. units involved

OES 244,E33,E34,T37,E71 (Redirected Station Coverage)
T74 (Canceled)

Vallejo poping mutipal medical calls right now. IC requesting units to
respond NOT to the fire now but to the medical calls.

----- Original Message -----
From: "stfucode3" <Charger@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:20 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

> Small List of Units involved
>
> VFD E-1,T-1,E-2,T-2,E-3,E-4,E-5,E6,E-7,E-8,BC
> County Resources B33,E71,T74
> Fiarfield E35,E34
> Benecia E-1,R-31
> Medic Ambulance 2 Units
>
> Staging area is Sonoma @ Virgina
>
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
>> I dont know what Alarm this is at right now.. But Vallejo is
>> requesting 5 engines, 2 trucks to respond directly into the scene
> and
>> 3 Engines, a water tender for station coverage.
>>
>> Apperantly Benicia, Fairfield, Suisun City, Suisun District and
>> Cordilla have already sent all their resources.
>>
>> Location is Sacremento St. @ Virgina (downtown Vallejo)
>>
>> VFD 482.9875
>> VFD 483.0625
>> Solano Fire 156.000
>> Benecia 155.9400
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>

39772. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:29:40 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39765 Message: 39772

Oh yea.. for got to put the 2's next to the engine numbers.. (I used to work for Medic Ambulance in Vallejo.. I should know better =)

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:25 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

>I am on Bens' feed to get it clearer (Solano) he doesn't have Vallejo on
>the
> feed :-(he may not be able to get it.
>
> (why couldn't I be visit my brother who lives in American
> Canyon,,,,,,,next
> door to Vallejo. GRRRRRR
>
> btw , Vallejo numbers their units E21 22 23 etc etc now.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39773. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:33:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39773

I am wondering if all these medical calls are due to drifting
smoke,,,,,,,,,,,seems funny they would get so many all at once

Did you for sure hear a Vallejo Truck 2? I know they were wanting to staff a
2nd truck but didn't know if they had done it (my Mom and Dad lived in
Vallejo prior to passing away 10/13 years ago ...so I got interesting in the VFD.)

btw , our of interest...........American Canyon now has a Truck in their
station (11) don't know if its staffed or cross-staffed.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39774. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:42:37 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39765 Message: 39774

yea i heard Truck 2 on VFD. I dont know anything about American Cannyon because they are Napa County resource as im sure you know, so I never ran any calls with them. I still have no idea what is burning but it sounds like surround and drowned.

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:33 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

> I am wondering if all these medical calls are due to drifting
> smoke,,,,,,,,,,,seems funny they would get so many all at once
>
> Did you for sure hear a Vallejo Truck 2? I know they were wanting to
> staff a
> 2nd truck but didn't know if they had done it (my Mom and Dad lived in
> Vallejo prior to passing away 10/13 years ago ...so I got interesting in
> the VFD.)
>
> btw , our of interest...........American Canyon now has a Truck in their
> station (11) don't know if its staffed or cross-staffed.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39775. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 08:46:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39775

OK, I knew they had a 2nd truck, just didn't know if they got the money to staff it......maybe they do..........I know they got a Hosetender in Station 3 , recently saw it.....that baby comes from Chief Don Parkers days with Oakland Fire...since he was either a BC or Capt when the firestorm hit in 91 and they came in REAL handy.

Yeah,,,surround and drown.....bet its commercial, pretty close to the waterfront.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39776. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 09:29:59 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39765 Message: 39776

vallejo is in. 482.9875. whether i get it is another story.....

caladded@... wrote:

>OK, I knew they had a 2nd truck, just didn't know if they got the money to
>staff it......maybe they do..........I know they got a Hosetender in Station 3 ,
>recently saw it............that baby comes from Chief Don Parkers days with
>Oakland Fire...since he was either a BC or Capt when the firestorm hit in 91
>and they came in REAL handy.
>
>Yeah,,,surround and drown.......bet its commercial, pretty close to the
>waterfront.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39777. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 09:31:41 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39777

yeah Ben , its seems to be in too many hills , makes getting it from most areas real tough.....thanks for putting it in anyway, I will log on for awhile and see if you (we) get lucky.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39778. Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 13:14:51 UTC
From: sffdmedicwanttobe
Topic: 39765 Message: 39778

Vallejo has two trucks. Only one truck is in service. The second truck is fully equipped and is used as a spare- Seagrave 100' TT. Front line is a Spartan/LTI 100' TT

39779. vallejo fire arson?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 14:15:45 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39779 Message: 39779

bay city news advises... that arson is believed to be the cause of a vallejo three-alarm fire this morning that caused an estimated $1 million in damages....

CONTACT: Vallejo fire spokesman Bill Tweedy (510) 333-8433

39780. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 14:54:10 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39780

kind of one I though about the trucks ....thanks Milt.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39781. fire seson is alco working three fire in the pass

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 18:08:35 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 39781 Message: 39781

alco working three fire in the pass
tac 14 48880
white 154.28

slow burning at the top of hill

39782. Re: [bayscan] fire seson is alco working three fire in the pass

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 18:22:36 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39781 Message: 39782

location?

mikeheight wrote:

>alco working three fire in the pass
>tac 14 48880
>white 154.28
>
>slow burning at the top of hill
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39783. Re: [bayscan] fire seson is alco working three fire in the pass

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 18:56:45 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39781 Message: 39783

What "pass" ? Altamont?

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39784. Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 20:28:40 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39784 Message: 39784

3493 working a structure fire...didn't catch where

Chuck Hoffman
(510) 468-3642
chuck94560@...

39785. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 20:53:22 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39784 Message: 39785

could be anywhere in alco since 3493 is the rescue

Chuck Hoffman wrote:

>3493 working a structure fire...didn't catch where
>
>Chuck Hoffman
>(510) 468-3642
>chuck94560@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39786. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 20:51:15 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39784 Message: 39786

Do you at least have the city / county / frequency / agencies or anything?

-g-

> 3493 working a structure fire...didn't catch where
>
> Chuck Hoffman
> (510) 468-3642
> chuck94560@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39787. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 20:54:00 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39784 Message: 39787

163rd and East 14th in Castro Valley .....2nd alarm

fire is knocked down / primary search is clear

Talkgroup is 17680 (Tac 6)
partial list

Engines 3451 3452 3453 3449 3442 Trucks 3472 3474 Rescue 3493
Battalions 3411 3412 3409

1353 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39788. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:03:07 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39784 Message: 39788

that would be in san leandro, not castro valley.

caladded@... wrote:

> 163rd and East 14th in Castro Valley .....2nd alarm
>
> fire is knocked down / primary search is clear
>
>Talkgroup is 17680 (Tac 6)
>partial list
>
>Engines 3451 3452 3453 3449 3442 Trucks 3472 3474 Rescue 3493
>Battalions 3411 3412 3409
>
>1353 hours
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39789. RE: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 20:59:44 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39784 Message: 39789

No, sorry. All I caught was what I reported then the audio was covered
by my primary scanner.

-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
Of vector@...
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 1:51 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Do you at least have the city / county / frequency / agencies or
anything?

-g-

> 3493 working a structure fire...didn't catch where
>
> Chuck Hoffman
> (510) 468-3642
> chuck94560@...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39790. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:05:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39784 Message: 39790

Nope,,,,,its Castro Valley ....they wanted law enforcement assistance
and they got the Sheriffs. Besides, I checked the map, Castro Valley for sure.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39791. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:12:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39784 Message: 39791

hmmm, double checked , my thomas brothers map is off
,.....shows San Leandro in one color and this area in another color , but when I checked it does show San Leandro.....must be Unincorporated San Leandro.....bit confusing , close to Castro Valley border.....but they did dispatch
the Sheriffs.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39792. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:15:00 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39784 Message: 39792

> that would be in san leandro, not castro valley.

That's a San Leandro zip code [94578], but it's Castro Valley. The line
on 14th is at 150th.

39793. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:16:39 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39784 Message: 39793

heh... not to be an ingrade or anything... but it's technically San Leandro. More specifically, the unincorporated area of San Leandro. I'm on 165th and E14th. Yes, ACSO is the primary responding agency out here, but all the mail to all the apartments around here say San Leandro on the addresses.

-g-

PS... anybody have the final _final_ list of talkgroups and their proper designations for ALCO fire? I still have an older list showing TG 17680 as Tac 3, not Tac 6. What's the latest and greatest?

> Nope,,,,,its Castro Valley ....they wanted law enforcement
> assistance
> and they got the Sheriffs. Besides, I checked the map, Castro Valley for
> sure.
>
> ED
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39794. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:17:03 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39784 Message: 39794

Even I know that E14th does not go through Castro Valley....and once it out of San Leandro it turns into Mission
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 14:12
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

hmmm, double checked , my thomas brothers map is off
,.......shows San Leandro in one color and this area in another color , but when I checked it does show San Leandro........must be Unincorporated San Leandro........bit confusing , close to Castro Valley border..........but they did dispatch
the Sheriffs.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39795. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:44:51 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39784 Message: 39795

geez what a mess.............. guess next time I will post its EITHER one or the other

ALCO Fire

Control 1 / 18480
Control 2 / 43728
Control 3/ 35280 Never hear anything on this
Control 4/ 35312 " " " "
Tac 5/ 17648
Tac 6/ 17680
Tac 7/ 17712
Tac 8/ 17744

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39796. ALCO Talkgroups

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 21:48:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 22534 Message: 39796

I checked RR and it seems to have all the correct TGs for Alco Fire

www.radioreferance.com

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39797. Re: Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 22:22:34 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39784 Message: 39797

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> geez what a mess.............. guess next time I will post its
EITHER one or
> the other

... Or just say you're not sure if you're not sure. Ben stated it's
San Leandro, you then replied back and once again said "No, it's not."

39798. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 22:38:59 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39784 Message: 39798

I lived in Castro valley for 18 years. I "think* i would know what city
I lived in...

caladded@... wrote:

>Nope,,,,,its Castro Valley ....they wanted law enforcement assistance
>and they got the Sheriffs. Besides, I checked the map, Castro Valley for sure.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39799. RE: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 22:38:22 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39784 Message: 39799

Jesus H. Christ! I think I☐ll just keep the dispatches to myself from now on.

-----Original Message-----
From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf Of Ben
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 3:39 PM
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

I lived in Castro valley for 18 years. I "think* i would know what city I lived in...

caladded@... wrote:

>Nope,,,,,its Castro Valley ....they wanted law enforcement assistance
>and they got the Sheriffs. Besides, I checked the map, Castro Valley for sure.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Yahoo! Groups Links
* To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

* To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
<mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>

* Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of
<http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/> Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39800. Re: [bayscan] Re: Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 22:38:29 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39784 Message: 39800

well, everybody agrees that it's confusing so shall we leave it at that?

please guys???

On Monday, May 23, 2005, at 15:22 US/Pacific, Evan wrote:

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
>> geez what a mess.............. guess next time I will post its
> EITHER one or
>> the other
>
> ... Or just say you're not sure if you're not sure. Ben stated it's
> San Leandro, you then replied back and once again said "No, it's not."
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39801. Re: [bayscan] fire seson is alco working three fire in the pass

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 22:50:27 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39781 Message: 39801

Ben mentioned the "pass" and from viewing last fire season's posts, he's quick to post fires on the Altamont Pass.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39802. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 22:57:29 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39765 Message: 39802

I would assume that call back off duty personel upstaffed the truck for an event like this.

----- Original Message -----
From: "sffdmedicwanttobe" <sffire@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 6:14 AM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

> Vallejo has two trucks. Only one truck is in service. The second
> truck is fully equipped and is used as a spare- Seagrave 100' TT.
> Front line is a Spartan/LTI 100' TT
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39803. Re: [bayscan] fire seson is alco working three fire in the pass

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:03:15 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39781 Message: 39803

that wasn't me =) lol

Craig T. wrote:

>Ben mentioned the "pass" and from viewing last fire season's posts, he's quick to post fires on the Altamont Pass.
>
>CT
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39804. Re: [bayscan] fire seson is alco working three fire in the pass

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:01:40 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39781 Message: 39804

BIG TIME WHOOPS THERE BEN!! Sorry!

But yes, the "pass" was the Altamont, as I am reviewing the dispatches on CDF SCU on firescan.net.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39805. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:05:18 UTC
From: Terry Taylor
Topic: 39784 Message: 39805

For all you non-residents..... (vbg) that is the un-incorporated Ashland
District, San Leandro
zip code and Fire/LE by the SO, traffic by CHP.

Scanner 4 wrote:

>Even I know that E14th does not go through Castro Valley....and once it out of San Leandro
it turns into Mission
>
>
>

39806. fireseason

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:11:05 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39781 Message: 39806

any bayscan people going to the cdf media meeting in sacto this weds?

nina

39807. Re: [bayscan] fireseason

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:14:45 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39781 Message: 39807

Wish I could make it, but I am stuck in San Jose with my 11th graders!
ARGH!!! You going?

On May 23, 2005, at 4:11 PM, nina zhito wrote:

> any bayscan people going to the cdf media meeting in sacto this weds?
>
> nina
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39808. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:30:59 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39784 Message: 39808

absolutely.. and before the consolidation was Eden consolidated sta 2 @
164th @ E14th. with 3E2, 3E22, 3U2.. wow now we're going back. :-)

Terry Taylor wrote:

>For all you non-residents..... (vbg) that is the un-incorporated Ashland
>District, San Leandro
>zip code and Fire/LE by the SO, traffic by CHP.
>
>
>Scanner 4 wrote:
>
>
>
>>Even I know that E14th does not go through Castro Valley....and once it out of San
Leandro it turns into Mission
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39809. Re: [bayscan] fireseason

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:25:45 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39781 Message: 39809

yah! happy to share what i learn.

On Monday, May 23, 2005, at 16:14 US/Pacific, Craig Rose wrote:

> Wish I could make it, but I am stuck in San Jose with my 11th graders!
> ARGH!!! You going?
>
> On May 23, 2005, at 4:11 PM, nina zhito wrote:
>
>> any bayscan people going to the cdf media meeting in sacto this weds?
>>
>> nina
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39810. Re: [bayscan] Structure fire - 1325 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:45:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39784 Message: 39810

ok, mistakes were made ....now DROP IT . enough is enough

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39811. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:48:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39811

yeah, I do remember seeing both trucks parked in station 1 , not sure where its stationed now as I am not up there very often.

I would have been surprized if they had the money to staff both of them. seems only San Jose is actually increasing the size of their dept, with maybe a few exceptions I can't think of ....oh, American Canyon as previously mentioned.....but no more.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39812. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:49:07 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39765 Message: 39812

Hey ED.. I heard E211 last night. Im not sure who they were. Do you or anyone else know?

----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 4:48 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

> yeah, I do remember seeing both trucks parked in station 1 , not sure
> where
> its stationed now as I am not up there very often.
>
> I would have been surprized if they had the money to staff both of
> them. seems only San Jose is actually increasing the size of their dept,
> with
> maybe a few exceptions I can't think of ....oh, American Canyon as
> previously mentioned.....but no more.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39813. RE: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:52:56 UTC
From: Paul E. Olson
Topic: 39765 Message: 39813

Engine 211 is Benicia from station 12.

paul

> -----Original Message-----
> From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of Bayard Culley
> Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 4:49 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo
>
> Hey ED.. I heard E211 last night. Im not sure who they were. Do you or
> anyone else know?
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <caladded@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 4:48 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo
>
>
> > yeah, I do remember seeing both trucks parked in station 1 , not sure
> > where
> > its stationed now as I am not up there very often.
> >
> > I would have been surprized if they had the money to staff both
> of
> > them. seems only San Jose is actually increasing the size of their
> dept,
> > with
> > maybe a few exceptions I can't think of ....oh, American Canyon
> as
> > previously mentioned.....but no more.
> >
> > ED
> >
> >
> > [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39814. SAN JOSE PD US MARSHALL ASSIST

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:53:52 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39814 Message: 39814

San Jose PD District William units on an Assist with a US Marshall on an ATL for a suspect with a $500,000 warrant out of Santa Clara Co.

Near Alum Rock and White

SJPD 1 460.200

CT

39815. Re: [bayscan] fireseason

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:54:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39781 Message: 39815

Much appreciated!

On May 23, 2005, at 4:25 PM, nina zhito wrote:

> yah! happy to share what i learn.

39816. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-23 23:57:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39816

Yeah, Engine 211 is the 2nd Engine out of American Canyon. One of their fire fighters lives next door to my brother, so I will find out for sure but I believe they have the following .....all at their only station (11) on James at Donaldson. they are building a ton of new homes on the other side of Highway 29 (Sonoma Blvd.) that is on the East side. I am sure that is where they are getting the money for new rigs and if they have hired any more FFs.

Engine 11
Engine 211
Engine 311 (believe type 3)
Rescue 11
Rescue 211
Watertender 11
Truck 11
Battalion 11

Obviously they do not staff all of them, I will ask to find out how many are staffed when I get a chance.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39817. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 00:00:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39817

Kelty show Benicia rigs are in the 3000 range although it does show a station 12 in Benicia . Don't know for sure , but I heard E211 on Napa Countys' freq , not Solanos.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39818. RE: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 00:10:34 UTC
From: Paul E. Olson
Topic: 39765 Message: 39818

That's true; Benicia engines/stations were/are numbered in the 1X range, Vallejo in the 20's, Cordelia in the 30's etc. And Benicia had Engine 11 and engine 12 based out of the respective numbered stations. But several months ago it seems as if engine 12 was renumbered E-211. I don't know why; I think that it's the same apparatus. Benicia still has E-11 numbered as such. Anybody know why?

Benicia would have been one of the first called for Vallejo mutual aid.

I can believe that American Canyon also has an E-211. Two different counties.

paul

> -----Original Message-----
> From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of caladded@...m
> Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 5:01 PM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo
>
> Kelty show Benicia rigs are in the 3000 range although it does show a
> station
> 12 in Benicia . Don't know for sure , but I heard E211 on Napa Countys'
> freq , not Solanos.
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39819. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 00:18:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39819

? Got me. As why Benicia isn't going along with the program is anybody's guess.....Hayward is the same way, they switched to the Alameda County system and was in the 3600 range, but switched back to single digits..... I emailed them and asked why and was told that for mutual aid they would use the 3600 stuff..... seems like a pain in the butt to me to use 2 different numbering systems, but that's me?

The other Solano stuff I heard last night seemed to match the newer listings i.e. E47 from Suisun City, E71 and T74 from Vacaville, E35 and 36 from Fairfield, E31 from Cordelia.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39820. Milpitas - Structure Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 00:27:52 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39820 Message: 39820

Milpitas fire working a single family, one-story, structure fire at
2189 Lacey Drive.

LACEY COMMAND

E2
E3
B19
E1
T1
USAR 1

Working on Milpitas Fire - 460.625

-C-

39821. milpitas

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 00:28:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39821 Message: 39821

sounds like lazy street ? 2179 lazy , working fire

460.625

1728 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39822. Re: [bayscan] Milpitas - Structure Fire

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 00:35:33 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39820 Message: 39822

This is on Lacey Drive cross of Falcato Drive. They have a knockdown on the fire and now checking for extension.

On May 23, 2005, at 5:27 PM, Craig Rose wrote:

> Milpitas fire working a single family, one-story, structure fire at
> 2189 Lacey Drive.
>
> LACEY COMMAND
>
> E2
> E3
> B19
> E1
> T1
> USAR 1
>
> Working on Milpitas Fire - 460.625
>
> -C-

39823. The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 00:55:55 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39823 Message: 39823

REACH 3
REACH 1
CALSTAR 1

Are all out on seperate incidents right now. REACH 3 is landing at the Bolinas fire station in Marin County for a cardiac arrest patient, REACH 1 is enroute to Marinwood (near San Rafael), also Marin County, for a gunshot wound patient, LZ is the Miller Creek School w/ a 20 min ETA. I just heard CALSTAR 1 in the east bay talking to Fulton on their company freq too for an MVA somewhere, their ground contact is Quint 12 (if that helps... I know Walnut Creek has some Quints).

Associated Freqs:

156.075 - CALCORD
37.10 PL 136.5 - Control 4 (Marin County FD Dispatch)
46.20 PL 82.5 - Control 16 (San Rafael FD Dispatch)

MERA TG 24080 (Control 4), 26416 (Control 16)

868.3875 PL 71.9 - CALSTAR North

124.30 (AM) - Golden Gate Traffic (REACH 3 making traffic advisories, may also start talking to REACH 1 once he gets closer)

Also listen for the medics on the choppers talking to John Muir Medical Center with patient reports on - 155.28.

-Inigo

39824. Re: [bayscan] The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 00:59:17 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39823 Message: 39824

> REACH 3
> CALSTAR 1

I also heard CALSTAR calling REACH 3 ON FACSFAC/BEAVER 124.125!

That's an odd one, to be sure.

I didn't hear a response, and I also didn't hear them make contact with
the FACSFAC controller.

/jordan

39825. Re: The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 01:05:08 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39823 Message: 39825

Just a little more info:

REACH 3 & CALSTAR 1 are based out of the Concord/Buchanan Field airport (KCCR)

REACH 1 is based out of Sonoma County airport (KSTS)

On Golden Gate Traffic 124.3 they're using their N-number FAA callsigns. They are:

REACH 3 - N24RX
REACH 1 - N613AC
CALSTAR 1 - N623MB

-Inigo

39826. Re: The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 01:07:27 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39823 Message: 39826

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
> I just heard CALSTAR 1 in the east bay
> talking to Fulton on their company freq too for an MVA somewhere,
> their ground contact is Quint 12 (if that helps... I know Walnut
> Creek has some Quints).

Not to nitpick, but it's Felton - CDF Felton ECC who they're talking to to be precise. It's not technically a 'company' frequency, it's a statewide frequency that's shared among a number of different agencies.

> 868.3875 PL 71.9 - CALSTAR North

That's a new one for me. Did you mean 867.5375? That you would hear identified as "Vacca", i.e. "Felton, Calstar 1 on Vacca". This is shared with Loma, same frequency, different PL. Only other frequency I'm aware is used is one ID'd as ... Heck, I forget the name now, but there's only one or two flight nurses who know about it or use it.

Evan

39827. Re: The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 01:08:33 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39823 Message: 39827

Whoa Jordan! Maybe he was dialing for 124.3 and got Beaver by accident?
I could imagine that'd piss off the FACSFAC controllers if their freq
was getting used as a part time civilian air-air channel. :P

-Inigo

39828. Re: The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 01:10:27 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39823 Message: 39828

I meant Felton ECC Evan, it was a typo. And my freq is correct, they must have changed the PL. I hear Calstar 1 talking to Felton on it quite frequently.

-Inigo

39829. 124.300

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 02:43:23 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 19973 Message: 39829

I ran Scanner Recoder on 124.300 all day today and got some very interesting communications between the various choppers in the air space around the Bay area.

Here is the file:

http://www.bayscan.net/124.300.wav

Robert
BayScan

39830. correction on 124.300

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 02:44:11 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39830 Message: 39830

That file was one recorded a while back, not today.

Robert
BayScan

39831. Re: [bayscan] The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 03:12:41 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39823 Message: 39831

got any more info on this shooting in marin?

----- Original Message -----
From: "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 5:55 PM
Subject: [bayscan] The medical helicopters are out and about!

> REACH 3
> REACH 1
> CALSTAR 1
>
> Are all out on seperate incidents right now. REACH 3 is landing at
> the Bolinas fire station in Marin County for a cardiac arrest
> patient, REACH 1 is enroute to Marinwood (near San Rafael), also
> Marin County, for a gunshot wound patient, LZ is the Miller Creek
> School w/ a 20 min ETA. I just heard CALSTAR 1 in the east bay
> talking to Fulton on their company freq too for an MVA somewhere,
> their ground contact is Quint 12 (if that helps... I know Walnut
> Creek has some Quints).
>
> Associated Freqs:
>
> 156.075 - CALCORD
> 37.10 PL 136.5 - Control 4 (Marin County FD Dispatch)
> 46.20 PL 82.5 - Control 16 (San Rafael FD Dispatch)
>
> MERA TG 24080 (Control 4), 26416 (Control 16)
>
> 868.3875 PL 71.9 - CALSTAR North
>
> 124.30 (AM) - Golden Gate Traffic (REACH 3 making traffic advisories,
> may also start talking to REACH 1 once he gets closer)
>
> Also listen for the medics on the choppers talking to John Muir
> Medical Center with patient reports on - 155.28.
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>

>
>
>
>
>

39832. Re: CALSTAR Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 03:15:19 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39823 Message: 39832

Didn't mean to bitch at you Evan. I forget which peak the North Site uses, but I found the freq in this forum originally (it's been a lot more active recently, it depends where geographically the helicopter is - i.e. if they're north bay they'll use that one)and it was like Vollomer or Vacca or something. I didn't know Vacca was being used on the same freq as Loma too, I hadn't heard that. I also heard "CALSTAR South" was on Loma Prieta, on 867.5375 PL Tone 67.0. I'm probably a lot more likely to hear Mt. Vacca from my location in central Marin County, and the north freq comes in a lot clearer than the south one on Loma Prieta so that would substantiate my suspicion. We could probably find out if we dug way back in the list archives but I'm too tired right now lol.

-Inigo

39833. Re: CALSTAR Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 03:42:44 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39823 Message: 39833

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
> Didn't mean to bitch at you Evan.

No sweat, you gotta have a thick skin here. :)

I forget which peak the North Site
> uses, but I found the freq in this forum originally (it's been a lot
> more active recently, it depends where geographically the helicopter
> is - i.e. if they're north bay they'll use that one)and it was like
> Vollomer or Vacca or something. I didn't know Vacca was being used on
> the same freq as Loma too, I hadn't heard that. I also heard "CALSTAR
> South" was on Loma Prieta, on 867.5375 PL Tone 67.0. I'm probably a lot
> more likely to hear Mt. Vacca from my location in central Marin County,
> and the north freq comes in a lot clearer than the south one on Loma
> Prieta so that would substantiate my suspicion. We could probably find
> out if we dug way back in the list archives but I'm too tired right now
> lol.

There's Loma, Vacca and Vollmer. They're on (you guessed it) Loma Prieta, Mt. Vacca, and Mt. Vollmer. Vollmer (that was the name I was trying to remember) is rarely used. Loma and Vacca are more commonly used. As Loma and Vacca are the same frequency, you'll generally hear them call the site, i.e. "Calstar 1 Felton on Vacca". I haven't monitored Vollmer as generally not many flight nurses were aware of it, however it should be programmed in the radio in each helicopter (I have the master list somewhere). If they've been using it instead of Vacca lately, there may be a problem with the repeater on Vacca, or the link from Felton ECC to Vacca. (Keep in mind - Felton ECC is obviously in Felton - so they cannot hit the repeater on Mt. Vacca - there's a radio type link in Calstar 1's quarters so when Felton keys the radio in their dispatch, it transmits to the repeater via that link.

39834. REACH 3 Landing in Bolinas... AGAIN!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 04:29:13 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39834 Message: 39834

Again! Jeeze busy day for those guys. Same pilots, same ground contact unit; Chief 219. Kinda funny. LZ is going to be the Bolinas Fire Station again for a shortness of breath patient.

37.10 PL 136.5 - Control 4
156.075 - CALCORD

21:30 hrs

-Inigo

39835. Re: [bayscan] Re: The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 04:51:00 UTC
From: Don Root
Topic: 39823 Message: 39835

Evan wrote:

>>868.3875 PL 71.9 - CALSTAR North
>
>
> That's a new one for me. Did you mean 867.5375? That you would hear
> identified as "Vacca", i.e. "Felton, Calstar 1 on Vacca". This is
> shared with Loma, same frequency, different PL. Only other frequency
> I'm aware is used is one ID'd as ... Heck, I forget the name now, but
> there's only one or two flight nurses who know about it or use it.

868.3875 is the third CMARS channel. The relay is in the Oakland Hills.

39836. Re: A quick question Reguarding trunked frequencies

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 05:23:28 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 39750 Message: 39836

Not everything. Try Travis and BART's trunked systems. You should
be able to pick those up just fine.

Bill

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> there's nothing really around you that is trunked... With an
outdoor
> antenna, you MIGHT pick up sac but thats a long shot. everything
is vhf
> up by you.
>
> kdawg122786 wrote:
>
> >Hello all
> >
> > I am writing to get something straight that no one can give
me
> >a clear answer on. I live in Antioch. Can I receive any trunked
> >frequencies from my location. I have a pro 95. I have herd
conflicting
> >answers to that question. Please set me straight. If I can what
> >counties would, they be. Alameda? Anything else?
> >
> >Thanks a lot
> >Kyle
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

39837. Re: [bayscan] 124.300

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 05:56:20 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 19973 Message: 39837

So Robert, send the current file, not the one from the Old West Gunroom robery a couple years back! My goodness! Oh well, it is an interesting file. I remember trying to get home that day, almost got tackled by El Cerrito's finest!

----- Original Message -----
From: "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 7:43 PM
Subject: [bayscan] 124.300

>I ran Scanner Recoder on 124.300 all day today and got some very
> interesting communications between the various choppers in the air
> space around the Bay area.
>
> Here is the file:
>
> http://www.bayscan.net/124.300.wav
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39838. Re: [bayscan] REACH 3 Landing in Bolinas... AGAIN!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 05:16:27 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39834 Message: 39838

reach flew out a guy detoxing out a couple nights ago. of course his systolic bp was 120, but still...
On Monday, May 23, 2005, at 21:29 US/Pacific, inigo4688 wrote:

> Again! Jeeze busy day for those guys. Same pilots, same ground contact
> unit; Chief 219. Kinda funny. LZ is going to be the Bolinas Fire
> Station again for a shortness of breath patient.
>
> 37.10 PL 136.5 - Control 4
> 156.075 - CALCORD
>
> 21:30 hrs
>
> -Inigo
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39839. Solano S/O pursuit

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 06:08:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39839 Message: 39839

I only WISH more freqs were THIS good.

Just monitored a Solano County S.O. unit in a pursuit , when I first heard them they were E/B Business 80 @ Arden (yep.....Sacramento) they went into the city and caught the dudes , but I am a GOOD 125 miles from this incident and the whole thing came in loud and crystal clear.....sweet

155.49

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39840. Re: [bayscan] 124.300

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 15:06:06 UTC
From: Brian C.
Topic: 19973 Message: 39840

Hi all,
What is 124.300 used for here in the Bay Area.
Thanks,
Brian in Campbell

On 5/23/05, Jim Gammon <jgammon1@...> wrote:
>
> So Robert, send the current file, not the one from the Old West Gunroom
> robery a couple years back! My goodness! Oh well, it is an interesting
> file. I remember trying to get home that day, almost got tackled by El
> Cerrito's finest!
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Robert Sanford" <bayscan@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 7:43 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] 124.300
>
>
> >I ran Scanner Recoder on 124.300 all day today and got some very
> > interesting communications between the various choppers in the air
> > space around the Bay area.
> >
> > Here is the file:
> >
> > http://www.bayscan.net/124.300.wav
> >
> > Robert
> > BayScan
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39841. Re: [bayscan] 124.300

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 15:48:20 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 19973 Message: 39841

> What is 124.300 used for here in the Bay Area.

Kind of a heads-up for low-level VFR over the bay. It's called "Golden Gate Traffic" and is mostly used by the news/traffic helicopters to keep each other appraised of where they are. CHP, other LE agencies, the medical helos, and to a certain extent USCG tend to play along. Sometimes it's a good way to hear breaking news; othertimes, not so much ;)

39842. School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 17:21:27 UTC
From: seyfer
Topic: 39842 Message: 39842

Has anyone heard anything about a school bus accident on 101 near SF?

39843. Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 17:26:19 UTC
From: seyfer
Topic: 39842 Message: 39843

Actually now I hear it's near the San Antonio Rd. exit in Palo
Alto/Mt. View.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "seyfer" <seyfer@y...> wrote:
> Has anyone heard anything about a school bus accident on 101 near SF?

39844. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:14:54 UTC
From: Dave C.
Topic: 39842 Message: 39844

I don't know anything about it, but I just drove through there.
There was a schoolbus hooked up to a tow truck on the right-hand
shoulder, southbound lanes. I had heard on the radio that the
#2 lane was blocked, and the Northbound lanes were also moving
as slow as whale snot, so maybe something spilled over the K-walls,
but I refuse to rubberneck so I don't konw for sure.

On Tue, May 24, 2005 at 05:26:19PM -0000, seyfer wrote:
>
> Actually now I hear it's near the San Antonio Rd. exit in Palo
> Alto/Mt. View.

> > Has anyone heard anything about a school bus accident on 101 near SF?
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

39845. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:19:48 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39842 Message: 39845

CHP webpage showed a schoolbus crash around 0930 with " a min of 4 kids injuried" ..... but the website does not always get updated ..... Sorry I missed this one.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39846. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:43:29 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39842 Message: 39846

School bus with 40 kids onboard was involved in an accident this
morning. tow truck cam and hooked it up and left. kids weren't
transferred to another bus...

caladded@... wrote:

>CHP webpage showed a schoolbus crash around 0930 with " a min of 4 kids
>injuried" ..... but the website does not always get updated
>..... Sorry I missed this one.
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39847. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:42:46 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39842 Message: 39847

hey Ben ....re: read your post LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39848. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:51:13 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39842 Message: 39848

whoops

caladded@... wrote:

>hey Ben ....re: read your post LOL
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39849. Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:49:22 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39842 Message: 39849

At 11:42 AM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>hey Ben ....re: read your post LOL

As opposed to ..."a min of 4 kids injuried".

He who lives in glass house... :)

39850. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:50:45 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39842 Message: 39850

although some of the kids might thing riding up 101 being pulled by a big two like " Great America tow" might be fun LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39851. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 18:53:35 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39842 Message: 39851

hey Evan ..... bug out.....it wasn't meant for you AND if you would READ the thing I was refering to " the kids were't transfered to another bus"

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39852. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 19:20:00 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 39842 Message: 39852

Hey KIDS CALM DOWN IM OFF TODAY...

Robert
BayScan

At 11:53 AM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>hey Evan ..... bug out.....it wasn't meant for you AND if you would
>READ the thing I was refering to " the kids were't transfered to another
>bus"
>
>
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>----------
>Yahoo! Groups Links
> * To visit your group on the web, go to:
> *
> <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/
> *
> * To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
> *
> <mailto:bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com?subject=Unsubscribe>bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
>
> *
> * Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the
> <http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/>Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39853. Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 19:36:20 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39842 Message: 39853

At 11:53 AM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>hey Evan ..... bug out.....

Bug out? Sorry not familiar with that expression.

>it wasn't meant for you

Oh, I'm sorry, it must have ONLY been meant for Ben, and should have
been via private e-mail?

>AND if you would READ the thing I was refering to " the kids
>were't transfered to another bus"

Well, you didn't quote anything so I could only guess what part you
were referring to.

Regardless, I was making a joke. That smiley face at the end of my
post? It was a clue.

And you're the first to point out someone's mistake. But heaven forbid
someone point out YOUR mistake (err wait, Ed doesn't make mistakes),
it's not funny.

39854. Sac City VHF freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 19:46:03 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39854 Message: 39854

I never heard anything on their dispatch only vhf freq (153.890.. is it low powered? unlike Sac county (154.190)..

39855. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 19:54:59 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39842 Message: 39855

EVAN, will you stop stirring the pot
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 12:36
Subject: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

At 11:53 AM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>hey Evan ..... bug out.....

Bug out? Sorry not familiar with that expression.

>it wasn't meant for you

Oh, I'm sorry, it must have ONLY been meant for Ben, and should have
been via private e-mail?

>AND if you would READ the thing I was refering to " the kids
>were't transfered to another bus"

Well, you didn't quote anything so I could only guess what part you
were referring to.

Regardless, I was making a joke. That smiley face at the end of my
post? It was a clue.

And you're the first to point out someone's mistake. But heaven forbid
someone point out YOUR mistake (err wait, Ed doesn't make mistakes),
it's not funny.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39856. Re: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 20:02:41 UTC
From: Thomas S. OConnor
Topic: 39842 Message: 39856

Thank you...

Scanner 4 <scanner4@...> wrote:
EVAN, will you stop stirring the pot
----- Original Message -----
From: Evan
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 12:36
Subject: [bayscan] Re: School bus accident on 101?

At 11:53 AM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>hey Evan ..... bug out.....

Bug out? Sorry not familiar with that expression.

>it wasn't meant for you

Oh, I'm sorry, it must have ONLY been meant for Ben, and should have
been via private e-mail?

>AND if you would READ the thing I was refering to " the kids
>were't transfered to another bus"

Well, you didn't quote anything so I could only guess what part you
were referring to.

Regardless, I was making a joke. That smiley face at the end of my
post? It was a clue.

And you're the first to point out someone's mistake. But heaven forbid
someone point out YOUR mistake (err wait, Ed doesn't make mistakes),
it's not funny.

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39857. fast moving fire montray 151.250 cdf

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 20:12:32 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 39857 Message: 39857

fast moving fire montray 151.250 cdf
under tree fire running at 3 homes

39858. Re: [bayscan] Sac City VHF freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 20:13:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39854 Message: 39858

I think so Ben.....I have it in my scanner without a p/l and never hear them like I do Sac Metro.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39859. jumper

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 21:02:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 26964 Message: 39859

Presidio Engine 51 going to Fort Point on a reported jumper.....requesting a Medic Unit

GGB 482.7375

SFFD A2 - 14832

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39860. Re: [bayscan] jumper 1407 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 21:07:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39860 Message: 39860

he jumped.....being picked up by USCG to Marin side

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39861. Re: [bayscan] Re: The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 21:47:06 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39823 Message: 39861

COCO fire has a quint 12

Evan wrote:

>--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
>
>
>>I just heard CALSTAR 1 in the east bay
>>talking to Fulton on their company freq too for an MVA somewhere,
>>their ground contact is Quint 12 (if that helps... I know Walnut
>>Creek has some Quints).
>>
>>
>
>Not to nitpick, but it's Felton - CDF Felton ECC who they're talking
>to to be precise. It's not technically a 'company' frequency, it's a
>statewide frequency that's shared among a number of different agencies.
>
>
>
>>868.3875 PL 71.9 - CALSTAR North
>>
>>
>
>That's a new one for me. Did you mean 867.5375? That you would hear
>identified as "Vacca", i.e. "Felton, Calstar 1 on Vacca". This is
>shared with Loma, same frequency, different PL. Only other frequency
>I'm aware is used is one ID'd as ... Heck, I forget the name now, but
>there's only one or two flight nurses who know about it or use it.
>
>Evan
>
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

39862. Re: [bayscan] CoCos Quints

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 21:57:04 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39862 Message: 39862

Yep. CoCo has at least 5 Quints

1 6 12 14 83 / 12 is in Martinez and I believe I
recall hearing one in the 70 range.......maybe Pinole,Rodeo or Hercules

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39863. When duty calls ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 22:05:18 UTC
From: Robert W. Sanford
Topic: 39863 Message: 39863

When duty calls ...

Dispatchers at CHP's Vallejo office face waves of 9-1-1 calls - but not all are cries for help
By RACHEL RASKIN-ZRIHEN, Times-Herald staff writer
Vallejo Times Herald

Driving on a Bay Area freeway, you're suddenly stricken with a searing pain in your chest. You grab your cell phone and punch in 9-1-1.

Unfortunately, someone else dialed 9-1-1 on their cell phone just before you did, and they want the number of the nearest towing service. Or where the nearest pizza joint is.

So you wait in pain, all the while wondering if it will be the last time you wait for anything.

California Highway Patrol dispatchers say they're as frustrated with such emergency call delays as that person with the chest pains might experience. But, they complain, they're overloaded by calls, too many of which don't belong on their lines in the first place.

In the nine-county Bay Area, mobile phone 9-1-1 calls don't connect directly to a local police or fire department. Even if you live in Fremont, San Mateo or Pleasanton, calling 9-1-1 from a cell phone connects you to the California Highway Patrol's Golden Gate Division's office in Vallejo.

There, a handful of dispatchers field a huge volume of calls. And even if they're not of an emergency nature, dispatchers are instructed to handle them all, said Vallejo native Josie Hodson.

"We're everything to everyone," said Hodson, who retired from the CHP last month after 32 years. "It's chaos."

Hodson said CHP dispatchers not only deal with thousands of calls daily, they also must contend with personnel and equipment shortages. And they've had to combine officer radio coverage of large areas - with different allied agencies sharing assigned radio frequencies - endangering both officers and the public, she said.

"We're doubling radio frequencies," Hodson said. "San Francisco and Marin are doubled, and Napa and Contra Costa counties, and Solano and Sonoma counties are doubled. It clutters the frequencies. I've told officers to be extra cautious out there because they might not be heard."

The CHP also coordinates patrols of tow trucks along the area's freeways, getting disabled vehicles and other hazards off the roads, said CHP spokesman Wayne Ziese. Additionally, CHP dispatchers field non-emergency

"public calls" and those from allied agencies, all of which tie up dispatchers' lines while emergency calls stack up.

Freeway call box calls, which still come in despite the massive proliferation of cell phones, are contracted out, he said.

And the constant barrage of wireless 9-1-1 calls just adds to the mess, Hodson said.

"Our dispatchers are exhausted. The public is frustrated. They're swearing at us for being left on hold," Hodson said.

Ziese said that when cell phone technology became available about 20 years ago, the decision was made to route cell phone 9-1-1 calls to the CHP.

"No one envisioned we'd be getting 8 million calls to the CHP annually," he said.

The 9-1-1 system was implemented in 1970, said 13-year CHP veteran Diane Chupinski of Napa.

Hodson said CHP dispatchers never know what kind of call will drop into their line at any given moment.

"You never know what to expect," she said. "There are stabbings. Tons of domestic violence calls, which are very scary. The woman is usually screaming. There are break-ins and road rage, especially during the commute. And there are muggings, especially in San Francisco.

"It's just incredible. We have no idea what the next 9-1-1 call will be or what city it's going to be in."

What many people don't know is that when a cell phone 9-1-1 call is ringing in your ear, it may not be ringing at the CHP, said Chupinski, dispatch supervisor at CHP's Vallejo headquarters. It may actually be searching for an available satellite tower. You know you've connected to the CHP when you get either a dispatcher or a recording, she said.

When your cell phone 9-1-1 call gets through to CHP, the first thing you need to let the dispatcher know is where you are. Unlike 9-1-1 calls from land-line phones, which go directly to the local police or sheriff's department, the caller's location doesn't appear on a CHP dispatcher's computer screen.

Technology that narrows down a cell phone's location to within several hundred meters of the nearest tower is expected soon, Chupinski said. No one is certain when it might be widely available, though it was mandated in 2000, she said. Wireless firms have received extensions for implementing the latest technology as they claim they need time to allow their capabilities to catch up.

Meanwhile, wireless 9-1-1 callers need to tell dispatchers where they are so help can be summoned.

Unlike police and fire department dispatchers, CHP dispatchers don't dispense medical advice. They assess the problem and contact the appropriate allied agency, or transfer the call to that agency, depending on the circumstances.

"We've had women give birth on the side of the highway. We can't tell them how to breathe or push or anything. We send the ambulance," Chupinski said. That's why CHP dispatchers advise people to program local emergency and non-emergency numbers into their cell phones, or "use a land line for 9-1-1 if you can," she added.

Chupinski said local police departments can opt to have cell phone 9-1-1 calls routed directly to them, though very few have done so. San Francisco opted in two years ago, though any cell 9-1-1 call on or near a freeway or bridge in San Francisco still comes to CHP.

Chupinski said the growth of cell phone ownership outpaces dispatcher manpower to such an extent, San Francisco's move has made practically no difference to dispatchers' workload or stress level.

The situation is further complicated by the fact that thousands of non-emergency calls come into the CHP dispatch center monthly. Dealing with them ties up the lines, and there's no way of knowing if the call on hold is a more serious emergency than the call in the dispatcher's ear, Hodson said.

"We don't know what's on hold while we're dealing with junk calls," Hodson said. "It's why we have to work so fast, which causes stress injuries (to dispatchers)."

Hodson said one problem is what she calls the CHP's "crazy policy making us be Pac Bell or SBC, and give out 4-1-1 information. Police and sheriff's offices would never do that."

Hodson and other dispatchers complain they've been told to handle any call that comes in, emergency or not, and not to admonish callers if the call is not an appropriate use of 9-1-1.

"They told us it's not our job to educate the public," she said, adding that the CHP's own printed regulations seem to contradict this policy.

"The manual says 9-1-1 lines are reserved for emergency use only and that only emergency calls shall be taken on 9-1-1 lines, without exception," Hodson said.

CHP Golden Gate supervisor Susan Winters of Vacaville said that while there are too many nonemergency calls coming through the cell phone 9-1-1 lines, the dispatchers are exaggerating allegations that they're asked to do too much.

Winters said also that dispatchers are permitted to tell inappropriate callers that 9-1-1 is only for emergencies. However, interpreting what emergency means, isn't always clear, she said, and the "lecture" takes about as much time to dispense as transferring the call to the local police.

Winters, a 31-year CHP veteran, said dispatchers are complaining because they're unhappy with a soon-to-be-implemented return to a traditional work schedule.

"The dispatchers are disgruntled right now and are telling people that our policy is to turn them into telephone operators," Winters said. "The policy says we'll still handle nonemergency calls, but for clearly non-law enforcement-related calls, I can tell you to call 4-1-1 and disconnect."

Winters confirmed, though, that it's a thin, sometimes subjective line between an appropriate use of 9-1-1 and an inappropriate one.

"If someone calls for the Oakland Police Department's phone number, we have to get that for them, but some dispatchers were telling anyone who called for anything not involving the CHP to call information (4-1-1), and those people were told they can't do that," Winters said.

The CHP's recorded message for calls on hold used to include recruitment messages and a public service announcement about the proper use of 9-1-1, but complaints from the public prompted officials to drop the practice, Chupinski said.

"They thought the recording was delaying the call," she said, "but it wasn't."

Dispatcher Ellen McGrath of Vallejo said "it's a terrible, horrible problem. If you think of the person with the true emergency, they're waiting while I'm getting the number for someone who wants to order pizza while on the freeway in traffic. That's happened."

Orvis Wade of Suisun City, a CHP dispatcher for more than five years, said he's frustrated by the fact that an estimated 70 percent of wireless 9-1-1 calls should never have been made.

"I've had someone call for weather conditions in Tahoe and the next call was a father having a stroke, and they had to wait to get through," Wade said. "My favorite call was a woman who read me the riot act because she'd been on hold so long, when she'd been trying to report that someone had stolen her car cover in Pittsburg."

Funding for upgrading the wireless 9-1-1 system is another area of frustration.

Over the past decade, hundreds of millions of dollars have been collected from the Emergency Telephone Users surcharge, which applies a 0.65 percent tax on intrastate calls. That money was supposed to help upgrade the system, but, according to published reports, the state's elected officials have raided the account numerous times, diverting millions into the state's general fund. Hodson said she and others are insulted by that and by the lack of indignation by public safety officials.

"Where is the outrage?" Hodson demanded, asking why more isn't being done to get the funds back into the CHP communications centers.

"The dispatchers at the Golden Gate Division struggle to find batteries for the boxes that we plug into to trigger the system that makes the 9-1-1 computer function! The boxes themselves are often broken and we piece them together. Headsets are at a premium, and easily fall off our heads as they wear. Yet millions of 9-1-1 funds are directed to the black hole somewhere?" Hodson asked.

But when dispatchers say when they get a real emergency call and are able to help, it can make the job worth doing.

"I remember one call, when a woman from L.A. was visiting a friend in Lake Berryessa, and she called thinking he was having a heart attack," dispatcher McGrath recalled.

"She and her brother had put him in the car and were trying to take him to the nearest hospital, but they didn't know where that was. We tried directing her and at the same time arranged for a helicopter to meet them along the way and they took him to the hospital and saved him. That felt good."

- E-mail Rachel Raskin-Zrihen at RachelZ@... or call 553-6824.

Nonemergency calls

Too many wireless customers don't understand that misuse of 9-1-1 ties up dispatcher lines for real emergencies, CHP officials say.

Callers may ask why traffic is tied up or for directions to Pac Bell Park, and some make intentional crank calls, adding to an already overloaded system. Dispatchers also have to deal with babies and toddlers calling 9-1-1 accidentally while playing with cell phones.

The following are actual calls fielded by CHP Golden Gate Division dispatchers in recent months:

- A woman called complaining an employee at a window business wouldn't let her speak to a manager.

- A man called concerned that his 68-year-old sister was supposed to return from Reno and never made it. She'd been missing 3 1 2 weeks.

- A caller dialed 9-1-1 to complain about being shortchanged at a gas station.

- A woman called because her young children were fighting.

- A caller dialed 9-1-1 to complain that the dry cleaner messed up his clothes.

- A motorist called to report a van with a bumper sticker reading, "The only dope worth shooting is Bush." The caller regarded this as a direct threat against the president, and wanted the offending party arrested.

- A lady called to say she wanted to volunteer at the fire department.

- Someone called to say deli workers took too long to make a sandwich and wouldn't give a refund.

- A juvenile called complaining that his father wouldn't take him for fast food. He was hungry but didn't want what mom was fixing at home.

- A caller wanted to know if the CHP knew who knocked on her door the previous night.

Source: CHP Golden Gate Division dispatch personnel

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39864. Re: [bayscan] When duty calls ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 22:16:47 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39863 Message: 39864

its time to divert cell phone calls to their respective local agencies

Robert W. Sanford wrote:

>When duty calls ...
>
>Dispatchers at CHP's Vallejo office face waves of 9-1-1 calls - but not all
>are cries for help
>By RACHEL RASKIN-ZRIHEN, Times-Herald staff writer
>Vallejo Times Herald
>
>Driving on a Bay Area freeway, you're suddenly stricken with a searing pain
>in your chest. You grab your cell phone and punch in 9-1-1.
>
>Unfortunately, someone else dialed 9-1-1 on their cell phone just before
>you did, and they want the number of the nearest towing service. Or where
>the nearest pizza joint is.
>
>So you wait in pain, all the while wondering if it will be the last time
>you wait for anything.
>
>California Highway Patrol dispatchers say they're as frustrated with such
>emergency call delays as that person with the chest pains might experience.
>But, they complain, they're overloaded by calls, too many of which don't
>belong on their lines in the first place.
>
>In the nine-county Bay Area, mobile phone 9-1-1 calls don't connect
>directly to a local police or fire department. Even if you live in Fremont,
>San Mateo or Pleasanton, calling 9-1-1 from a cell phone connects you to
>the California Highway Patrol's Golden Gate Division's office in Vallejo.
>
>There, a handful of dispatchers field a huge volume of calls. And even if
>they're not of an emergency nature, dispatchers are instructed to handle
>them all, said Vallejo native Josie Hodson.
>
>"We're everything to everyone," said Hodson, who retired from the CHP last
>month after 32 years. "It's chaos."
>
>Hodson said CHP dispatchers not only deal with thousands of calls daily,
>they also must contend with personnel and equipment shortages. And they've
>had to combine officer radio coverage of large areas - with different
>allied agencies sharing assigned radio frequencies - endangering both
>officers and the public, she said.
>
>"We're doubling radio frequencies," Hodson said. "San Francisco and Marin

>are doubled, and Napa and Contra Costa counties, and Solano and Sonoma
>counties are doubled. It clutters the frequencies. I've told officers to be
>extra cautious out there because they might not be heard."
>
>The CHP also coordinates patrols of tow trucks along the area's freeways,
>getting disabled vehicles and other hazards off the roads, said CHP
>spokesman Wayne Ziese. Additionally, CHP dispatchers field non-emergency
>"public calls" and those from allied agencies, all of which tie up
>dispatchers' lines while emergency calls stack up.
>
>Freeway call box calls, which still come in despite the massive
>proliferation of cell phones, are contracted out, he said.
>
>And the constant barrage of wireless 9-1-1 calls just adds to the mess,
>Hodson said.
>
>"Our dispatchers are exhausted. The public is frustrated. They're swearing
>at us for being left on hold," Hodson said.
>
>Ziese said that when cell phone technology became available about 20 years
>ago, the decision was made to route cell phone 9-1-1 calls to the CHP.
>
>"No one envisioned we'd be getting 8 million calls to the CHP annually," he
>said.
>
>The 9-1-1 system was implemented in 1970, said 13-year CHP veteran Diane
>Chupinski of Napa.
>
>Hodson said CHP dispatchers never know what kind of call will drop into
>their line at any given moment.
>
>"You never know what to expect," she said. "There are stabbings. Tons of
>domestic violence calls, which are very scary. The woman is usually
>screaming. There are break-ins and road rage, especially during the
>commute. And there are muggings, especially in San Francisco.
>
>"It's just incredible. We have no idea what the next 9-1-1 call will be or
>what city it's going to be in."
>
>What many people don't know is that when a cell phone 9-1-1 call is ringing
>in your ear, it may not be ringing at the CHP, said Chupinski, dispatch
>supervisor at CHP's Vallejo headquarters. It may actually be searching for
>an available satellite tower. You know you've connected to the CHP when you
>get either a dispatcher or a recording, she said.
>
>When your cell phone 9-1-1 call gets through to CHP, the first thing you
>need to let the dispatcher know is where you are. Unlike 9-1-1 calls from
>land-line phones, which go directly to the local police or sheriff's
>department, the caller's location doesn't appear on a CHP dispatcher's
>computer screen.

>
>Technology that narrows down a cell phone's location to within several
>hundred meters of the nearest tower is expected soon, Chupinski said. No
>one is certain when it might be widely available, though it was mandated in
>2000, she said. Wireless firms have received extensions for implementing
>the latest technology as they claim they need time to allow their
>capabilities to catch up.
>
>Meanwhile, wireless 9-1-1 callers need to tell dispatchers where they are
>so help can be summoned.
>
>Unlike police and fire department dispatchers, CHP dispatchers don't
>dispense medical advice. They assess the problem and contact the
>appropriate allied agency, or transfer the call to that agency, depending
>on the circumstances.
>
>"We've had women give birth on the side of the highway. We can't tell them
>how to breathe or push or anything. We send the ambulance," Chupinski said.
>That's why CHP dispatchers advise people to program local emergency and
>non-emergency numbers into their cell phones, or "use a land line for 9-1-1
>if you can," she added.
>
>Chupinski said local police departments can opt to have cell phone 9-1-1
>calls routed directly to them, though very few have done so. San Francisco
>opted in two years ago, though any cell 9-1-1 call on or near a freeway or
>bridge in San Francisco still comes to CHP.
>
>Chupinski said the growth of cell phone ownership outpaces dispatcher
>manpower to such an extent, San Francisco's move has made practically no
>difference to dispatchers' workload or stress level.
>
>The situation is further complicated by the fact that thousands of
>non-emergency calls come into the CHP dispatch center monthly. Dealing with
>them ties up the lines, and there's no way of knowing if the call on hold
>is a more serious emergency than the call in the dispatcher's ear, Hodson
>said.
>
>"We don't know what's on hold while we're dealing with junk calls," Hodson
>said. "It's why we have to work so fast, which causes stress injuries (to
>dispatchers)."
>
>Hodson said one problem is what she calls the CHP's "crazy policy making us
>be Pac Bell or SBC, and give out 4-1-1 information. Police and sheriff's
>offices would never do that."
>
>Hodson and other dispatchers complain they've been told to handle any call
>that comes in, emergency or not, and not to admonish callers if the call is
>not an appropriate use of 9-1-1.
>
>"They told us it's not our job to educate the public," she said, adding

>that the CHP's own printed regulations seem to contradict this policy.
>
>"The manual says 9-1-1 lines are reserved for emergency use only and that
>only emergency calls shall be taken on 9-1-1 lines, without exception,"
>Hodson said.
>
>CHP Golden Gate supervisor Susan Winters of Vacaville said that while there
>are too many nonemergency calls coming through the cell phone 9-1-1 lines,
>the dispatchers are exaggerating allegations that they're asked to do too
>much.
>
>Winters said also that dispatchers are permitted to tell inappropriate
>callers that 9-1-1 is only for emergencies. However, interpreting what
>emergency means, isn't always clear, she said, and the "lecture" takes
>about as much time to dispense as transferring the call to the local police.
>
>Winters, a 31-year CHP veteran, said dispatchers are complaining because
>they're unhappy with a soon-to-be-implemented return to a traditional work
>schedule.
>
>"The dispatchers are disgruntled right now and are telling people that our
>policy is to turn them into telephone operators," Winters said. "The policy
>says we'll still handle nonemergency calls, but for clearly non-law
>enforcement-related calls, I can tell you to call 4-1-1 and disconnect."
>
>Winters confirmed, though, that it's a thin, sometimes subjective line
>between an appropriate use of 9-1-1 and an inappropriate one.
>
>"If someone calls for the Oakland Police Department's phone number, we have
>to get that for them, but some dispatchers were telling anyone who called
>for anything not involving the CHP to call information (4-1-1), and those
>people were told they can't do that," Winters said.
>
>The CHP's recorded message for calls on hold used to include recruitment
>messages and a public service announcement about the proper use of 9-1-1,
>but complaints from the public prompted officials to drop the practice,
>Chupinski said.
>
>"They thought the recording was delaying the call," she said, "but it wasn't."
>
>Dispatcher Ellen McGrath of Vallejo said "it's a terrible, horrible
>problem. If you think of the person with the true emergency, they're
>waiting while I'm getting the number for someone who wants to order pizza
>while on the freeway in traffic. That's happened."
>
>Orvis Wade of Suisun City, a CHP dispatcher for more than five years, said
>he's frustrated by the fact that an estimated 70 percent of wireless 9-1-1
>calls should never have been made.
>
>"I've had someone call for weather conditions in Tahoe and the next call

>was a father having a stroke, and they had to wait to get through," Wade
>said. "My favorite call was a woman who read me the riot act because she'd
>been on hold so long, when she'd been trying to report that someone had
>stolen her car cover in Pittsburg."
>
>Funding for upgrading the wireless 9-1-1 system is another area of
>frustration.
>
>Over the past decade, hundreds of millions of dollars have been collected
>from the Emergency Telephone Users surcharge, which applies a 0.65 percent
>tax on intrastate calls. That money was supposed to help upgrade the
>system, but, according to published reports, the state's elected officials
>have raided the account numerous times, diverting millions into the state's
>general fund. Hodson said she and others are insulted by that and by the
>lack of indignation by public safety officials.
>
>"Where is the outrage?" Hodson demanded, asking why more isn't being done
>to get the funds back into the CHP communications centers.
>
>"The dispatchers at the Golden Gate Division struggle to find batteries for
>the boxes that we plug into to trigger the system that makes the 9-1-1
>computer function! The boxes themselves are often broken and we piece them
>together. Headsets are at a premium, and easily fall off our heads as they
>wear. Yet millions of 9-1-1 funds are directed to the black hole
>somewhere?" Hodson asked.
>
>But when dispatchers say when they get a real emergency call and are able
>to help, it can make the job worth doing.
>
>"I remember one call, when a woman from L.A. was visiting a friend in Lake
>Berryessa, and she called thinking he was having a heart attack,"
>dispatcher McGrath recalled.
>
>"She and her brother had put him in the car and were trying to take him to
>the nearest hospital, but they didn't know where that was. We tried
>directing her and at the same time arranged for a helicopter to meet them
>along the way and they took him to the hospital and saved him. That felt
>good."
>
>- E-mail Rachel Raskin-Zrihen at RachelZ@... or call 553-6824.
>
>Nonemergency calls
>
>Too many wireless customers don't understand that misuse of 9-1-1 ties up
>dispatcher lines for real emergencies, CHP officials say.
>
>Callers may ask why traffic is tied up or for directions to Pac Bell Park,
>and some make intentional crank calls, adding to an already overloaded
>system. Dispatchers also have to deal with babies and toddlers calling
>9-1-1 accidentally while playing with cell phones.

>
>The following are actual calls fielded by CHP Golden Gate Division
>dispatchers in recent months:
>
>- A woman called complaining an employee at a window business wouldn't let
>her speak to a manager.
>
>- A man called concerned that his 68-year-old sister was supposed to return
>from Reno and never made it. She'd been missing 3 1 2 weeks.
>
>- A caller dialed 9-1-1 to complain about being shortchanged at a gas station.
>
>- A woman called because her young children were fighting.
>
>- A caller dialed 9-1-1 to complain that the dry cleaner messed up his
>clothes.
>
>- A motorist called to report a van with a bumper sticker reading, "The
>only dope worth shooting is Bush." The caller regarded this as a direct
>threat against the president, and wanted the offending party arrested.
>
>- A lady called to say she wanted to volunteer at the fire department.
>
>- Someone called to say deli workers took too long to make a sandwich and
>wouldn't give a refund.
>
>- A juvenile called complaining that his father wouldn't take him for fast
>food. He was hungry but didn't want what mom was fixing at home.
>
>- A caller wanted to know if the CHP knew who knocked on her door the
>previous night.
>
>Source: CHP Golden Gate Division dispatch personnel
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

39865. Re: [bayscan] When duty calls ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 22:38:47 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39863 Message: 39865

At 03:16 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>its time to divert cell phone calls to their respective local agencies

We're way past time. The FCC put in a mandate that cellular carriers be e-911 compliant years ago, but each year the deadline came, the carriers couldn't meet the goal, and the deadline was extended. And then again, they couldn't meet the goal, and the deadline was again extended. The problem is it's a whole mess of problems. The cell site needs to know who to transfer the call to - and then if there's a cell site that may cover say 4 cities, who gets the call? Right now in Sunnyvale if I call 911 from my Verizon phone, I get Sunnyvale PD. I have most PD's PSAP numbers programmed into my phone for the areas I travel, so it's not an issue. But it would be nice to call 911 and get the local PSAP wherever I am, but there's way too many variables. If I'm on the freeway, and I'm closer to a cell site for say Sunnyvale PD, do I get Sunnyvale PD? Or if I'm in Sunnyvale, and I'm close to a freeway cell site, do I get CHP?

39866. Re: [bayscan] When duty calls ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 23:07:16 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39863 Message: 39866

At 03:38 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>We're way past time. The FCC put in a mandate that cellular carriers
>be e-911 compliant years ago, but each year the deadline came, the
>carriers couldn't meet the goal, and the deadline was extended. And
>then again, they couldn't meet the goal, and the deadline was again
>extended. The problem is it's a whole mess of problems. The cell site
>needs to know who to transfer the call to - and then if there's a
>cell site that may cover say 4 cities, who gets the call? Right now
>in Sunnyvale if I call 911 from my Verizon phone, I get Sunnyvale PD.
>I have most PD's PSAP numbers programmed into my phone for the areas
>I travel, so it's not an issue. But it would be nice to call 911 and
>get the local PSAP wherever I am, but there's way too many variables.
>If I'm on the freeway, and I'm closer to a cell site for say
>Sunnyvale PD, do I get Sunnyvale PD? Or if I'm in Sunnyvale, and I'm
>close to a freeway cell site, do I get CHP?

And on another note.. WNP (Wireless Number Portability) came out
November 24th, 2003 - this gave people the ability to change their
number from say Cingular to Verizon. Prior to this date, people were
held hostage by their carrier if they had their cell phone number
printed on a business card. Because if you left Cingular, you lost your number.

Now, starting (IIRC) late 2001, carriers were allowed to charge a WNP
fee - a fee for the ability to change carriers and keep their
numbers. However they couldn't yet change carriers. The FCC also
allowed the carriers to charge a E-911 fee - a fee for the costs
incurred in upgrading their system. These are fees the FCC allows the
carrier to charge. And there's other fees carriers are allowed to
charge that are listed under "Taxes/Misc" that aren't government
imposed, just simply charges the carrier charges the customer.

But that's another rant I could go on for days on,...

Evane

39867. Re: [bayscan] Re: The medical helicopters are out and about!

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 23:45:11 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39823 Message: 39867

At 02:47 PM 5/24/2005, Ben wrote:
>COCO fire has a quint 12

I believe there's also a South County Quint 12, although I could be wrong.

Menlo Park and Mountain View Fire share a quint, but I believe it's Quint 2.

39868. Quints

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 23:50:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39868 Message: 39868

Palo Alto and Mountain View share a reserve truck that is a Quint

if your refering to South County (as in Belmont/San Carlos) , they do not have a Quint..... Santa Clara County has a Truck 12 , not sure if its a Quint .....its cross staffed by Engine 12 (Morgan Hill)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39869. Re: When duty calls ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-24 23:57:53 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39863 Message: 39869

>
>
>Message: 25
> Date: Tue, 24 May 2005 15:16:47 -0700
> From: Ben <ben96cal@...>
>its time to divert cell phone calls to their respective local agencies
>

Well sure! Past time, in fact. But HOW?

Mind you, as long as this has been a problem, we should by now each already have programmed local responder numbers into our cellphones.

Enhanced 911 rules require service providers be able to locate 911 callers within oh, about half a mile. This has turned out to be harder to do and more expensive than first thought. The E911 compliance date has been extended, and one recent petition asks the FCC to relax the acuracy requirements for those rural providers who can't triangulate on a caller when he's only being picked up on sites serving miles of road or a single mountaintop site 20 miles away.serving a whole valley.

Cortland
KA5S

39870. CDF dispatch - 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 00:04:13 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 39870 Message: 39870

EB 580 e. of Greenville - large task force. Vegetation?

Chuck Hoffman

39871. Re: [bayscan] CDF dispatch - 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 00:08:26 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39870 Message: 39871

CDF and ALCO working wildland fire EB 580 East of Greenville in the Livermore area. Report from ALCO - 1/4 acre, slow rate of spread, contained on one side by road, with several vehicles and two out buildings threatened.

BATTALION 1615
BATTALION 1616

COPTER 106
EAGLE 5

ENGINE 1660
ENGINE 1664
ENGINE 1575

TRANSPORT DOZER 1642

ENGINE 1673
ENGINE 1676

Working on CDF - 151.445

On May 24, 2005, at 5:04 PM, Chuck Hoffman wrote:

> EB 580 e. of Greenville - large task force. Vegetation?
>
> Chuck Hoffman
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39872. Re: [bayscan] CDF dispatch - 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 00:17:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39870 Message: 39872

At 05:04 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>EB 580 e. of Greenville - large task force. Vegetation?
>
>Chuck Hoffman

Is that what I just heard on SCU?

I work in Pleasanton in the 3rd floor, which you'd think would be great, but the RF God's don't like this building - too much metal in the windows and computers. Can BARELY pick up SCU. Thought I heard something, but couldn't make out what.Hmm.. My own personal extender from my car to my HT... Hmmmm.. :)

39873. Re: CDF dispatch - 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 00:34:27 UTC
From: mikeheight
Topic: 39870 Message: 39873

--- own personal extender
> from my car to my HT... Hmmmm.. :)
that could be done easy c crane digital fm transmitter

makes a great dIn bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 05:04 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
> >EB 580 e. of Greenville - large task force. Vegetation?
> >
> >Chuck Hoffman
>
>
> Is that what I just heard on SCU?
>
> I work in Pleasanton in the 3rd floor, which you'd think would be
> great, but the RF God's don't like this building - too much metal in
> the windows and computers. Can BARELY pick up SCU. Thought I heard
> something, but couldn't make out what.Hmm.. My own personal extender
> from my car to my HT... Hmmmm.. :)

39874. Re: [bayscan] Sac City VHF freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 00:41:30 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39854 Message: 39874

I hear 153.890 Sac City All the time in the North Bay. Powers over San Mateo County Control 1. I hear it also in San Francisco so bad that I just locked it out. Miss SMCO Control 1 though.

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39875. Re: [bayscan] Sac City VHF freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 00:54:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39854 Message: 39875

You know , now that you mention it Paul.....when I lived in the city I could hear it too.....of course thats a long time ago and 153.89 at the time was just Redwood City fire only so I couldn't care less.

Now If I could only get my old apt up on twin peaks .....with a Yagi I bet I could get Sac TRS .

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39876. Re: [bayscan] CDF dispatch - 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 01:41:59 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39870 Message: 39876

Evan:

Up there in pleasanton, you'll probably only hear dispatches on Tone 1. Here in Campell, I can hear tones 1, 4, and 5 But anything in the Central Valley i have to rely upon Firescan.net or Ben's feeds to hear dispatches and radio traffic there.

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39877. Re: [bayscan] CDF dispatch - 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 01:55:56 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39870 Message: 39877

At 06:41 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>Evan:
>
>Up there in pleasanton, you'll probably only hear dispatches on Tone
>1. Here in Campell, I can hear tones 1, 4, and 5 But anything in
>the Central Valley i have to rely upon Firescan.net or Ben's feeds
>to hear dispatches and radio traffic there.

It could be the location, but it's also this building. I'm less than a half mile off 680 & Stoneridge, opposite direction from the mall. Sitting in the parking lot, I can hear mall cops on my HT. Inside the building, 3rd floor - nada. Not that I WANT to hear mall cops, but you get the idea. :)

39878. Re: [bayscan] CDF dispatch - 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 01:58:57 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39870 Message: 39878

Your building must be metal frame with metal enclosed insulation. Crummy!

CT

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39879. Re: [bayscan] CDF dispatch - 1700 hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 02:48:51 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39870 Message: 39879

At 06:58 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>Your building must be metal frame with metal enclosed insulation. Crummy!

And metal windows. :)

Actually kinda funny story.. Brought my HT in one day, and started scanning VHF. Nothing. One of my coworkers, also a ham, then told me this place was a RF black hole. I almost gave up, but let it scan the UHF band. It stopped on a UHF frequency of a tow operation. And the first voice (aside from the dispatcher) is a buddy of myne who's a tow driver - wasnt' aware he was working down in this neighborhood.

Kinda funny. That's about all I've picked up in here though.

39880. mobile extenders (was Re: CDF Dispatch...)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 03:13:19 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39870 Message: 39880

yeah, i used to do it all the time with my dual-band mobile cross-banding onto a VHF itinerant (this was the early 90's before i even had a ham license... i know, shame on me). then it hit me (literally) that rebroadcasting had some legal issues attached to it.

won't be doing that again.

-g-

> --- own personal extender
>> from my car to my HT... Hmmmm.. :)
> that could be done easy c crane digital fm transmitter
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39881. Re: [bayscan] mobile extenders (was Re: CDF Dispatch...)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 03:17:16 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39870 Message: 39881

At 08:13 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>yeah, i used to do it all the time with my dual-band mobile cross-banding
>onto a VHF itinerant (this was the early 90's before i even had a ham
>license... i know, shame on me). then it hit me (literally) that
>rebroadcasting had some legal issues attached to it.
>
>won't be doing that again.

Is it the frequency being rebroadcast on that makes the legal issue a
issue? I mean heck, we rebroadcast audio on shoutcast/teamspeak /etc...

Evan

39882. Re: [bayscan] Sac City VHF freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 03:08:20 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39854 Message: 39882

Speaking of Sacramento, does anyone know the frequencies they will be using for the Sacramento Jazz Jubilee coming up this weekend? They seem to use a lot of 461--465 stuff but I don't have any specifics at this time and would like to listen. Thanks for any info.

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 12:46 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Sac City VHF freq

>I never heard anything on their dispatch only vhf freq (153.890.. is it
> low powered? unlike Sac county (154.190)..
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39883. Re: [bayscan] mobile extenders (was Re: CDF Dispatch...)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 04:05:36 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39870 Message: 39883

i believe on both questions, the answer is that RF radiated into free air for anyone to intercept is subject to regulation by the FCC. i don't agree with it, but i believe that's the spirit of the regulations. either there is no law / regulation specifically regarding it's rebroadcast over the internet or there hasn't been enough of a formal complaint about it yet to warrant one being made. personally, i think rebroadcasting it over the internet shouldn't be a big deal at all. what's somebody listening most likely over a 1000 miles away or at least of a distance to not take advantage of the information going to actually try to do?

so in more simple terms (because i think i just confused myself), you need to be licensed for the frequency you're broadcasting on, you can't be broadcasting offensive material, and you can't be rebroadcasting a public safety or other signal without express permission, licensing and due authority to do so.

-g-

> At 08:13 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>>yeah, i used to do it all the time with my dual-band mobile cross-banding
>>onto a VHF itinerant (this was the early 90's before i even had a ham
>>license... i know, shame on me). then it hit me (literally) that
>>rebroadcasting had some legal issues attached to it.
>>
>>won't be doing that again.
>
>
> Is it the frequency being rebroadcast on that makes the legal issue a
> issue? I mean heck, we rebroadcast audio on shoutcast/teamspeak /etc...
>
> Evan
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39884. Code33 for entry and a camp fire?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 04:25:58 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39884 Message: 39884

I have no clue whats going on.. Just caught the middle of this. Sound like Star 1 is over haed calling out a subject behind a residence buring somthing. It appears to be a camp fire. Code33 i assume due to deputies making entry into a house looking for two syspects. I have no idea what the want is. Anyone hearing this.. They are nto talking much (as i guess they are not suppost to due to the code =)

156.2100 Santa Clara County SO

39885. Re: Code33 for entry and a camp fire?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 04:38:49 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 39884 Message: 39885

bah .. well the house was clear, code4.. Code 33 was just lifited. And
I still dont know what the heck happened lol.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> I have no clue whats going on.. Just caught the middle of this.
Sound
> like Star 1 is over haed calling out a subject behind a residence
> buring somthing. It appears to be a camp fire. Code33 i assume due
to
> deputies making entry into a house looking for two syspects. I have
no
> idea what the want is. Anyone hearing this.. They are nto talking
much
> (as i guess they are not suppost to due to the code =)
>
> 156.2100 Santa Clara County SO

39886. Re: When duty calls ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 04:47:42 UTC
From: jwpottberg
Topic: 39863 Message: 39886

Until that time I dial "0", get my cell carrier operator, ask
for "City xyz emergency" and they connect me (I believe they have to
do this). MUCH faster than going through the CHP and avoids having
to have direct emergency numbers for all cities. (BTW, they won't
connect you to non-emergency police/fire/medical numbers.)

Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> its time to divert cell phone calls to their respective local
agencies
>
> Robert W. Sanford wrote:
>
> >When duty calls ...
> >
> >Dispatchers at CHP's Vallejo office face waves of 9-1-1 calls -
but not all
> >are cries for help...

39887. Re: [bayscan] Re: When duty calls ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 04:57:53 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39863 Message: 39887

At 09:47 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:
>Until that time I dial "0", get my cell carrier operator, ask
>for "City xyz emergency" and they connect me (I believe they have to
>do this). MUCH faster than going through the CHP and avoids having
>to have direct emergency numbers for all cities. (BTW, they won't
>connect you to non-emergency police/fire/medical numbers.)

I've sorted my phone book with PD - <City> so, I hit one key for phonebook, then PD (well, 73), then scroll to the city I want. Much more reliable than 0, waiting on a cellular operator to spell "Pleasanton", etc. I've more or less given up on calling CHP for freeway incidents unless it's something big. It often takes way too long to get a hold of someone, and the few times I've heard my BOL put out.... well, let's just say they should be charged with murdering my report. :) They DID put it out quickly, just incorrect and incomplete.
(Refresher for those that are keeping score or care <grin> it was a white ford explorer, full size amber light bar, partial plate with the last 3 of <something>. It came out as a "White Ford Explorer, no plate information."

Lacking the last 3 of the plate AND the light bar information, I'd disregard the BOL if I were an officer. However with that partial plate AND the fact that the truck had a light bar, that's more or less enough to go on.

39888. Its almost fire season... and...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 05:04:32 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39888 Message: 39888

I need someone who would be willing to set up a feed so the WHOLE scanning community can hear whats going on.. I'm looking for someone who can stream Oakland... Its easy to setup. Any help is appreciated...

39889. Re: [bayscan] Its almost fire season... and...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 05:08:47 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 39888 Message: 39889

At 10:04 PM 5/24/2005, you wrote:

>I need someone who would be willing to set up a feed so the WHOLE
>scanning community can hear whats going on.. I'm looking for someone who
>can stream Oakland... Its easy to setup. Any help is appreciated...

I'd be willing to stream Oakland as soon as my 2nd broadband line is installed
in about a week.

Does it work with DHCP and not a static IP address ?

Fire and PD, or just fire ??

I need an excuse for 1 more 800mhz yagi to annoy the neighbors.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 266.11.16 - Release Date: 5/24/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39890. lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 05:07:19 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39890 Message: 39890

hey guys.

what's a "friendly"?

officer: "i've got a friendly in lane 2"
??

thanks...

nina

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39891. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 05:15:40 UTC
From: Dave C.
Topic: 39890 Message: 39891

Undercover?

On Tue, May 24, 2005 at 10:07:19PM -0700, nina zhito wrote:
> hey guys.
>
> what's a "friendly"?
>
> officer: "i've got a friendly in lane 2"
> ??
>
> thanks...
>
> nina
>
> ========================
> zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>

39892. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 05:21:45 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39890 Message: 39892

ahh, good guess.

On Tuesday, May 24, 2005, at 22:15 US/Pacific, Dave C. wrote:

>
> Undercover?
>
>
> On Tue, May 24, 2005 at 10:07:19PM -0700, nina zhito wrote:
>> hey guys.
>>
>> what's a "friendly"?
>>
>> officer: "i've got a friendly in lane 2"
>> ??
>>
>> thanks...
>>
>> nina
>>
>> ========================
>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com

(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39893. Re: Sac City VHF freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 05:31:25 UTC
From: kma371
Topic: 39854 Message: 39893

I'll know once I'm there. I'm planning on attending.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
> Speaking of Sacramento, does anyone know the frequencies they will
be using
> for the Sacramento Jazz Jubilee coming up this weekend? They seem
to use a
> lot of 461--465 stuff but I don't have any specifics at this time
and would
> like to listen. Thanks for any info.
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Ben" <ben96cal@d...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 12:46 PM
> Subject: [bayscan] Sac City VHF freq
>
>
> >I never heard anything on their dispatch only vhf freq (153.890.. is it
> > low powered? unlike Sac county (154.190)..
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

39894. Re: [bayscan] Re: Sac City VHF freq

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 05:40:00 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39854 Message: 39894

OK, that's cool. I am going up Saturday morning early enough to make a
13:00 gig. I won't have much time to listen and they mainly talk about
needing various replentishments like more beer etc. or badge problems.
nevertheless, it's fun to check it out. Are you a ham? I will have an HT.
Write me off list if you want. Thanks, Jim
----- Original Message -----
From: "kma371" <kma371@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 10:31 PM
Subject: [bayscan] Re: Sac City VHF freq

> I'll know once I'm there. I'm planning on attending.
>
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Gammon" <jgammon1@s...> wrote:
>> Speaking of Sacramento, does anyone know the frequencies they will
> be using
>> for the Sacramento Jazz Jubilee coming up this weekend? They seem
> to use a
>> lot of 461--465 stuff but I don't have any specifics at this time
> and would
>> like to listen. Thanks for any info.
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "Ben" <ben96cal@d...>
>> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2005 12:46 PM
>> Subject: [bayscan] Sac City VHF freq
>>
>>
>> >I never heard anything on their dispatch only vhf freq (153.890.. is it
>> > low powered? unlike Sac county (154.190)..
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Yahoo! Groups Links
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39895. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 06:14:14 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39890 Message: 39895

Do you remember more of the conversation to help put "friendly" in context?

Generally the undercover cop is the UC (as in YOU SEE). Friendly is used
in military speak to mean "one of ours" or something to that effect.

nina zhito wrote:
> hey guys.
>
> what's a "friendly"?
>
> officer: "i've got a friendly in lane 2"
> ??
>
> thanks...
>
> nina

39896. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 06:28:57 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39890 Message: 39896

hey gary,

dang, no... that is just about *all* i caught: the transmission then switched out of soco to san rafael. appreciate the "UC" insight.

for some reason i thought they might be called zebra units up there ... can anybody knowledgeable about soco verify?

On Tuesday, May 24, 2005, at 23:14 US/Pacific, gary wrote:

> Do you remember more of the conversation to help put "friendly" in
> context?
>
> Generally the undercover cop is the UC (as in YOU SEE). Friendly is
> used
> in military speak to mean "one of ours" or something to that effect.
>
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>> hey guys.
>>
>> what's a "friendly"?
>>
>> officer: "i've got a friendly in lane 2"
>> ??
>>
>> thanks...
>>
>> nina
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39897. RE: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 06:38:38 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 39890 Message: 39897

Imagine for a moment you want to bust a drug dealer and record the evidence of the transaction.

You've got a couple options:
1) Put a body wire transmitter on an undercover officer and have him make the purchase
2) Put a body wire transmitter on someone who you've caught but "turned" to your side (typically by offering reduced prosecution in trade for the assistance) in order to "work your way up" to someone higher in the organization.

In case #1, a "UC" wears the "wire"
In case #2, a "friendly" wears the "wire"

Obviously this can happen in other cases... Perhaps you tail a "friendly" while someone else is in the car giving him directions to see where a supplier is. Perhaps you are doing surveillance on someone else and you see a "friendly" from another case show up. Who knows?

My knowledge doesn't come from being on either side of this, just as a long-time listener to particular channels.

Matthew Kaufman
matthew@...

39898. Re: Its almost fire season... and...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 07:24:58 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39888 Message: 39898

Ohhh PD and FD please! Please! Sideshow too for the drag races & Raiders games!!! Haha. Sorry I couldn't come through for you for this stream Ben, but I can't get a consistent CC from my house anyway and it's hard to understand when I get it - I'd love to listen to it on line and hear it clearer (through a yagi as opposed to my tiny 800 mhz antenna!), when you get it worked out. :)

39899. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 06:45:32 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39890 Message: 39899

useful observations, examples, thanks.

On Tuesday, May 24, 2005, at 23:38 US/Pacific, Matthew Kaufman wrote:

> Imagine for a moment you want to bust a drug dealer and record the
> evidence
> of the transaction.
>
> You've got a couple options:
> 1) Put a body wire transmitter on an undercover officer and have him
> make
> the purchase
> 2) Put a body wire transmitter on someone who you've caught but
> "turned"
> to your side (typically by offering reduced prosecution in trade for
> the
> assistance) in order to "work your way up" to someone higher in the
> organization.
>
> In case #1, a "UC" wears the "wire"
> In case #2, a "friendly" wears the "wire"
>
> Obviously this can happen in other cases... Perhaps you tail a
> "friendly"
> while someone else is in the car giving him directions to see where a
> supplier is. Perhaps you are doing surveillance on someone else and
> you see
> a "friendly" from another case show up. Who knows?
>
> My knowledge doesn't come from being on either side of this, just as a
> long-time listener to particular channels.
>
> Matthew Kaufman
> matthew@...
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39900. this will be interesting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 06:46:44 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39900 Message: 39900

happening tomorrow, weds...

9 A.M. SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT WILL CONDUCT AN
ANNUAL EXERCISE SIMULATING AN AIR CRASH. THE CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL,
U.S.
COAST GUARD, SAN MATEO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND OTHER EMERGENCY
RESPONSE
TEAMS WILL JOIN SAN FRANCISCO POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT AIRPORT
DIVISIONS IN
"RESCUING'' SIMULATED COMPANION ANIMALS FROM THE CARGO AREA OF THE
AIRCRAFT.
THIS EXERCISE IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.
TAXIWAY ECHO, ACCESSED VIA SECURITY GATE 117, END OF HANGAR ROAD,
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO
CONTACT: MICHAEL MCCARRON (650) 821-4000
==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39901. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 08:07:17 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39890 Message: 39901

this may vary from dept. to dept. .....but listening to some
of the undercover operations in my area .....the "bad guy" who wires the
wire to help the cops "catch bigger fish" is often referred to as the "CI "
((as in SEE EYE) C.I. standing for Civilian Informant ((most
of you have seen enough cop shows to know .... these guys are probably most
often referred to as a SNITCH (but not over the radio)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39902. Re: [bayscan] Re: Its almost fire season... and...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 08:09:02 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39888 Message: 39902

thats a good point if someone is going to stream Oakland...

I get a kick out of monitoring OPD during Raider games and muting
the Audio on the TV. The sideshows too would be quite interesting.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39903. Re: [bayscan] this will be interesting Airport drill SFO

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 08:14:42 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39903 Message: 39903

Great post Nina..... some freqs.....

SFIA Fire 453.775
TAC 9 866.9125 p/l 156.7
SFPD Airport / 860.4625 p/l 151.4
SFPD Airport / 859.4375 p/l 151.4

S.Mateo Sheriffs GREEN 488.8875
S.Mateo Fire Control 12 153.785
Fire White ONE 154.280

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39904. Sunnyvale Incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 13:21:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39904 Message: 39904

Sunnyvale Engine 3/Squad 3 with a downed wire call , upgraded to
possible structure fire @ 1124 Robin..... on arrival units had NO fire only
downed arcing wire.....PG&E called .....FIFTY minute eta (I have
ranted about PG&Es slow reponse before .....as most of you know)..
Well NOW they have working fire .....Engine 4 and Rescue 2 had
been released and now have been called backed and the IC has requested 6
patrol officers for manpower.they have used 2 dry chemical extinguisher and now are
stretching 1-3/4" lines with fire on the garage and 2nd floor

2 story single family dwelling @ 1129 South Bernardo at Remington is involved

0620 hours
482.7125

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39905. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Incident update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 13:29:37 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39905 Message: 39905

0625 hours.....Calling a 2nd alarm now , heavy fire

1st alarm
Engine 3
Squad 3
Engine 1
Truck 1
Engine 4
Medic 8
Battalion 3 (IC)

2nd alarm
Engine 2
Engine 5
Truck 2

Mutual aid being called to fill stations.....

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39906. Sunnyvale 0637

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 13:38:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39906 Message: 39906

Rescue 2 also on the 1st alarm

Mountain View sending one unit to cover in (missed number)

Roof of the building is fully involved.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39907. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale 0637

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 13:40:17 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39906 Message: 39907

what's the 10-20
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2005 06:38
Subject: [bayscan] Sunnyvale 0637

Rescue 2 also on the 1st alarm

Mountain View sending one unit to cover in (missed number)

Roof of the building is fully involved.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39908. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale 0637

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 13:43:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39906 Message: 39908

Was on my 1st post George :-) 1129 South Bernardo @ West Remington
it fairly close to Highway 85 / Fremont

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39909. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale 0648 UPDATE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 13:48:39 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39909 Message: 39909

PG& E Finally arrived about 8 minutes ago and cut the
power.....homeowners ought to sue their butts !!!!

this incident started before 6 am.....nearly an hour to repond ;-(

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39910. any marin people up? 0657

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 13:57:16 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39910 Message: 39910

contact me off list.

39911. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale 0705 UPDATE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 14:06:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39911 Message: 39911

KTVU , KNTV and KRON all had footage of this , KRON had their helo with an aerial shot.

Fire contained not under control.....no further updates at this point.
NO reported injuries at this time.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39912. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 15:11:53 UTC
From: gary
Topic: 39890 Message: 39912

The CI is the snitch and the UC is our guy. However I've heard the person being watched often referred to as "our friend." That is, when they are playing that 3 car tailing game, the cop in the lead car might say "I've got the eye on our friend" or "our friend is in lane two." So now that I think about it, "friend" usually refers to the alleged bad guy.

So now I wonder if "I've got a friendly in lane two" was perhaps "I've got our friend in lane two."

caladded@... wrote:
> this may vary from dept. to dept. .....but listening to some
> of the undercover operations in my area .....the "bad guy" who wires the
> wire to help the cops "catch bigger fish" is often referred to as the "CI "
> ((as in SEE EYE) C.I. standing for Civilian Informant ((most
> of you have seen enough cop shows to know .... these guys are probably most
> often referred to as a SNITCH (but not over the radio)
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39913. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 15:22:00 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39890 Message: 39913

hmmm.. interesting thought.

that would make sense, given how language and lingo (d)evolves...

On Wednesday, May 25, 2005, at 08:11 US/Pacific, gary wrote:

> The CI is the snitch and the UC is our guy. However I've heard the
> person being watched often referred to as "our friend." That is, when
> they are playing that 3 car tailing game, the cop in the lead car might
> say "I've got the eye on our friend" or "our friend is in lane two." So
> now that I think about it, "friend" usually refers to the alleged bad
> guy.
>
> So now I wonder if "I've got a friendly in lane two" was perhaps "I've
> got our friend in lane two."
>
>
> caladded@... wrote:
>> this may vary from dept. to dept. ....but listening
>> to some
>> of the undercover operations in my area ....the "bad guy" who
>> wires the
>> wire to help the cops "catch bigger fish" is often referred to as
>> the "CI "
>> ((as in SEE EYE) C.I. standing for Civilian Informant
>> ((most
>> of you have seen enough cop shows to know .... these guys are
>> probably most
>> often referred to as a SNITCH (but not over the radio)
>>
>> ED
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39914. RE: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 16:27:26 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 39890 Message: 39914

I'm sure it varies from department to department, because I've heard it both ways, now that I think about it. The "friendly" can vary from "the bad guy we're watching" to "the snitch we're watching" to, occasionally, "the 3rd party who isn't a snitch but who's cooperating with the investigation" I'm sure, depending on context and local practice. Some places use the "CI" term on the air a lot, some don't.

As the first person to reply on the thread inquired, it is all about context. Without more in this case, we'll probably never know for sure.

Matthew

39915. Re: [bayscan] Re: When duty calls ...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 16:37:10 UTC
From: Terry Taylor
Topic: 39863 Message: 39915

The cell carriers are VERY busily doing this now, my wife works as a drive tester for a cell carrier and has spent most of the last month doing E-911 testing for the new routing to the local call centers.

> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...>
> wrote:
> > its time to divert cell phone calls to their
> respective local
> agencies
> >

39916. Wher'es the mike? (was lingo)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 17:03:07 UTC
From: Cortland Richmond
Topic: 39916 Message: 39916

Heard what could be more than a small slip the other day by an agency I won't name; "XXX's (first and last name) mike is still on, he took it home with him."

Whoops.

Cortland
KA5S

39917. re: media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 17:04:45 UTC
From: Bryan Carmody
Topic: 39704 Message: 39917

OK...

I get the "true ip" thing. The address for media encoder will be http:// my ip address:8080 or whatever port I set up.

The router part is still what's confusing me. There is port forwarding and port triggering. Am i setting up forwarding or triggering?

For forwarding I need service port range_____ and _____, protocol (tcp,udp or both), and ip address for my server (192.168.1._____)

For triggering I need application_____ Trigger Port Range _____ and _____ , incomming port range _____ and _____

Thanks again for all the help guys I hope others can benefit from your efforts/information too.

BC

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39918. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 17:13:46 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39890 Message: 39918

good points, i wish i had been able to follow the transmissions more.

in my opinion, great to have all the possibilities raised and the ensuing discussions as eye/ear openers in anticipation of better undertstanding The Next Time.

On Wednesday, May 25, 2005, at 09:27 US/Pacific, Matthew Kaufman wrote:

> I'm sure it varies from department to department, because I've heard
> it both
> ways, now that I think about it. The "friendly" can vary from "the bad
> guy
> we're watching" to "the snitch we're watching" to, occasionally, "the
> 3rd
> party who isn't a snitch but who's cooperating with the investigation"
> I'm
> sure, depending on context and local practice. Some places use the
> "CI" term
> on the air a lot, some don't.
>
> As the first person to reply on the thread inquired, it is all about
> context. Without more in this case, we'll probably never know for sure.
>
> Matthew
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39919. RE: [bayscan] re: media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 17:23:29 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39704 Message: 39919

> For forwarding I need service port range_____ and _____,
> protocol (tcp,udp or both), and ip address for my server
> (192.168.1._____)

I tinkered with mine a while back. I used port forwarding, TCP/IP,
LAN/local address.

- Brian

39920. Re: [bayscan] this will be interesting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 17:50:32 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39900 Message: 39920

> 9 A.M. SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT WILL CONDUCT AN
> ANNUAL EXERCISE SIMULATING AN AIR CRASH.

Around 8:45 I saw a pair of REACH helos fly over the East Bay headed toward San Francisco. One of them just came back, headed toward Concord? USCG 6591 is about to touch down at SFO and 6505 has been there for a while.

10:50/PT

39921. Re: [bayscan] this will be interesting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 17:56:37 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39900 Message: 39921

> USCG 6591 is about to touch down at SFO and 6505 has been
> there for a while.

6591 was just tasked with checking out an "oil sheen" at 37-45-54N
122-38-44W which is about 10 miles WSW of the Golden Gate Bridge. It's
totally foggy on the bridge right now, so I doubt I'll get a visual.

10:56/PT

39922. Re: media encoder

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 18:02:35 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39704 Message: 39922

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Bryan Carmody <nbaynews2@m...> wrote:
> OK...
>
> I get the "true ip" thing. The address for media encoder will be
http:// my ip address:8080 or whatever port I set up.
>
> The router part is still what's confusing me. There is port
forwarding and port triggering. Am i setting up forwarding or triggering?
>
> For forwarding I need service port range_____ and _____, protocol
(tcp,udp or both), and ip address for my server (192.168.1._____)
>
> For triggering I need application__________ Trigger Port Range
_____ and _______ , incomming port range _____ and _____
>
> Thanks again for all the help guys I hope others can benefit from
your efforts/information too.

Although I can't help you on which one you need, perhaps I can provide some insight by explaining the difference:

You are on NAT - basically you don't have a public IP address, you have an internal private IP, probably 192.168.X.X. So do I - everyone can have the same private IP. But you have a public IP. So when traffic comes to your WAN (public) IP, your router needs to know what to do with it.

Port forwarding: Say you forward port 123 through 125. This means that any traffic on ports 123-125 will be forwarded back and forth to the computer you specify. This is the most common.

Port triggering: This means if your trigger port is say 123, and ports are 140-150, this means if a incoming packet of data is sent to port 123, your firewall will open port 140-150.

You'll probably want port forwarding, but I unfortunately can't help you on what ports. I personally have my computer on a DMZ with a software firewall - this means anything goes to my computer, the software firewall then handles it. The advantage of a software firewall (I use Sygate) is you have control by program.

And you'd be amazed at how many programs you run try to 'call home' - i.e. connect to their server and send information. A software firewall quickly puts an end to this invasion of privacy.

39923. Re: [bayscan] this will be interesting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 18:39:43 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39900 Message: 39923

> 6591 was just tasked with checking out an "oil sheen" at
> 37-45-54N 122-38-44W which is about 10 miles WSW of the
> Golden Gate Bridge. It's totally foggy on the bridge right
> now, so I doubt I'll get a visual.

6591 telling SanFranAir on 345.0 that they were unable to locate the sheen due to fog, but they've told Group about it.

The shadow of 6591 is featured in this News Release:

http://www.uscg.mil/d11/airstationsf/public/news/

11:33/PT

39924. Re: [bayscan] this will be interesting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 18:07:01 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39900 Message: 39924

out of curiousity, what are the freqs for the coast guard helos?

On 5/25/05, Jordan Hayes <jmhayes@...> wrote:
> > USCG 6591 is about to touch down at SFO and 6505 has been
> > there for a while.
>
> 6591 was just tasked with checking out an "oil sheen" at 37-45-54N
> 122-38-44W which is about 10 miles WSW of the Golden Gate Bridge. It's
> totally foggy on the bridge right now, so I doubt I'll get a visual.
>
> 10:56/PT
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39925. Re: [bayscan] this will be interesting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 18:56:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39900 Message: 39925

I hear them on Marine channels 21 and 22

157.05 157.10

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39926. Re: [bayscan] this will be interesting

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 19:05:35 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39900 Message: 39926

> what are the freqs for the coast guard helos?

Nearly everything the USCG does that's 'operational' these days is encrypted. Now that they are officially part of DHS, that part went quickly. On the 1st of this year, the USCG changed their primary air frequencies to get out of the 380MHz->400MHz band that is being deployed for a set of new Military LTR systems [Has anyone here caught any action on that band in the SF area?]. The new line up is:

345.0 OPS [this is where you'll mostly hear SanFranAir]
237.9 OPS #2 [never heard traffic here except for testing on 12/31]
326.15 Air-2-Ground PRIMARY
379.05 Air-2-Ground #2

39927. Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Incident update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 21:17:42 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 39905 Message: 39927

Medic 8 in on duty from 11am-11pm. Must have been Medic 7. =)
----- Original Message -----
From: <caladded@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2005 6:29 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Sunnyvale Incident update

> 0625 hours.....Calling a 2nd alarm now , heavy fire
>
> 1st alarm
> Engine 3
> Squad 3
> Engine 1
> Truck 1
> Engine 4
> Medic 8
> Battalion 3 (IC)
> ------------------------
>
> 2nd alarm
> Engine 2
> Engine 5
> Truck 2
>
> Mutual aid being called to fill stations..........
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39928. "CI" Lingo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 21:32:36 UTC
From: Tim
Topic: 39928 Message: 39928

For the San Mateo (County) Narcotics Task Force &
Vehicle Theft Task Force, as well as most other
federal, state, local & multi-agency law enforcement
special investigations units around the country, "CI"
stands for Confidential Informant.

_____
Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Small Business - Try our new Resources site
http://smallbusiness.yahoo.com/resources/

39929. cliff rescue

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 22:40:28 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39929 Message: 39929

Devils slide .....auto,, 200 feet down over the side

Half Moon Bay and North County Fire rolling along with CHP and SMSO

CHP 42.44
SMSO TG 13840
Fire 153.89 / 155.895

1541 hrs

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39930. Re: [bayscan] "CI" Lingo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 22:50:22 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39928 Message: 39930

At 02:32 PM 5/25/2005, you wrote:
> For the San Mateo (County) Narcotics Task Force &
>Vehicle Theft Task Force, as well as most other
>federal, state, local & multi-agency law enforcement
>special investigations units around the country, "CI"
>stands for Confidential Informant.

I love CSI. One of my favorite shows. One thing I liked more early on in first and second season is how they'd bring in a couple who just lost their baby, and work up a whole story about how the mother murdered the baby and then the father buried the baby, and then the young sister cleaned up the scene, and then the dog did the laundry, and.. you get the idea. Meanwhile, the mother and father are in tears being accused of such a crime. Then they find a hair fiber which then proves it was actually the maid who did the whole crime. "Oops, sorry! Our bad!"

I also love the episode where there's a hit and run outside. They start to photograph the scene. It starts raining. Grissom starts yelling "Hurry up! collect everything you can! Document everything! We have 30 seconds before our crime scene washes away!"

This is good to know that if a person ever wants to commit a crime, just do it in the rain.

Cops must have a tough job in Seattle. <grin>

39931. Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Helo Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 23:31:42 UTC
From: Mobile11@aol.com
Topic: 39931 Message: 39931

They talk on the UHF freqs but when local they use 21A - 157.050

When in Humbolt Bay area their primary is 83A - 157.175

and in Monterey Bay Area they talk on 23A - 157.150

Check the bottom of my freq page (needs some more work but it gets the message accross)

http://hometown.aol.com/kf6yyi (http://hometown.aol.com/kf6yyi)

Paul - KF6YYI

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39932. Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Helo Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 23:34:12 UTC
From: Jim Gammon
Topic: 39931 Message: 39932

What are the UHF frequ's? In the Mil air band??
----- Original Message -----
From: <Mobile11@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2005 4:31 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Helo Freqs

>
>
> They talk on the UHF freqs but when local they use 21A - 157.050
>
> When in Humbolt Bay area their primary is 83A - 157.175
>
> and in Monterey Bay Area they talk on 23A - 157.150
>
> Check the bottom of my freq page (needs some more work but it gets the
> message accross)
>
> _http://hometown.aol.com/kf6yyi_ (http://hometown.aol.com/kf6yyi)
>
>
>
> Paul - KF6YYI
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39933. San Jose/Mineta airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-25 23:53:32 UTC
From: Jim Hackett
Topic: 39933 Message: 39933

I have 124 and 120.7 Mhz but only ever hear the tower clear for
landings. Is there another freq they use for take-offs?

39934. Re: [bayscan] San Jose/Mineta airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 00:04:50 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39933 Message: 39934

At 04:53 PM 5/25/2005, you wrote:
>I have 124 and 120.7 Mhz but only ever hear the tower clear for
>landings. Is there another freq they use for take-offs?

http://www.fltplan.com/AirportInformation/KSJC.htm has all the
frequencies that I'm aware of.I believe 118.0 is departure.

Evan

39935. Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Helo Freqs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 00:44:30 UTC
From: Philip Humes KF6GNH
Topic: 39931 Message: 39935

Yes, in the mil air band. Since I just moved, I dont have them handy but I
think one of them is either 284 or 384 Mhz.
that is all i think i remember.
Philip Humes Santa Rosa
----- Original Message -----
From: "Jim Gammon" <jgammon1@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2005 4:34 PM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Helo Freqs

> What are the UHF frequ's? In the Mil air band??
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: <Mobile11@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2005 4:31 PM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Coast Guard Helo Freqs
>
>
>>
>>
>> They talk on the UHF freqs but when local they use 21A - 157.050
>>
>> When in Humbolt Bay area their primary is 83A - 157.175
>>
>> and in Monterey Bay Area they talk on 23A - 157.150
>>
>> Check the bottom of my freq page (needs some more work but it gets the
>> message accross)
>>
>> _http://hometown.aol.com/kf6yyi_ (http://hometown.aol.com/kf6yyi)
>>
>>
>>
>> Paul - KF6YYI
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39936. Re: San Jose/Mineta airport

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 00:53:23 UTC
From: Jim Hackett
Topic: 39933 Message: 39936

Thanks. I wasn't aware of that one. Thanks for the link.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Evan Platt <evan@e...> wrote:
> At 04:53 PM 5/25/2005, you wrote:
> >I have 124 and 120.7 Mhz but only ever hear the tower clear for
> >landings. Is there another freq they use for take-offs?
>
> http://www.fltplan.com/AirportInformation/KSJC.htm has all the
> frequencies that I'm aware of.I believe 118.0 is departure.
>
> Evan

39937. 2nd alarm San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 05:10:07 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 13136 Message: 39937

2207 hours

357 - South 18th st @ Williams

Single story house fire / 2 occupants rescued / AMR enroute Code 3

fire knocked down and cancelling 2nd alarm units

partial list

Engine 8
Engine 3
Engine 30
Engine 16
Engine ?? (maybe 1)

Truck 3
Truck 1

USAR 16
HIT 29
Air 6
Med 30

Battalion 2
Battalion 1
Battalion 10

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39938. Event in SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 09:16:37 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 39938 Message: 39938

There is something going on in SF right now, I heard them saying the command post will be set up at Jackson and Powell and that the event will take place on A-1 if I heard it correctly.
It sounds like they are clearing rooms out and I thought I heard them say something about getting a hostage negotiator there.

2:16 am

Robert
BayScan

39939. SF

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 09:27:16 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 34024 Message: 39939

thanks for the post Robert ..... sounds interesting.....sending Battalion 1 , Med 13 , RC1 also Fire on A1 and A11

PD looking for a K9

SFFD also has a MVA at Glendale & Market with a man trapped under the car.....E24 T12 RS2

0226 hrs

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39940. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 18:02:46 UTC
From: Fyrftr
Topic: 39765 Message: 39940

Currently Engine 12 is out of service and eng 211 is up from sta 11 to cover. Dont ask me why they dont temp renumber them ... its a chief thing ... ya know :)

caladded@... wrote:? Got me. As why Benicia isn't going along with the program is anybody's guess.....Hayward is the same way, they switched to the Alameda County system and was in the 3600 range, but switched back to single digits..... I emailed them and asked why and was told that for mutual aid they would use the 3600 stuff..... seems like a pain in the butt to me to use 2 different numbering systems, but that's me?

The other Solano stuff I heard last night seemed to match the newer listings i.e. E47 from Suisun City, E71 and T74 from Vacaville, E35 and 36 from Fairfield, E31 from Cordelia.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39941. Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 18:05:18 UTC
From: Fyrftr
Topic: 39765 Message: 39941

Oh and why we are talking about stupid chief tricks ... why 11 and 12 when we work m/a with E12 from Coco and e12 from AmCan And Vallejo the 20 series works with e27 out of greenwood ranch

caladded@... wrote:? Got me. As why Benicia isn't going along with the program is anybody's guess........Hayward is the same way, they switched to the Alameda County system and was in the 3600 range, but switched back to single digits....... I emailed them and asked why and was told that for mutual aid they would use the 3600 stuff..... seems like a pain in the butt to me to use 2 different numbering systems, but that's me?

The other Solano stuff I heard last night seemed to match the newer listings i.e. E47 from Suisun City, E71 and T74 from Vacaville, E35 and 36 from Fairfield, E31 from Cordelia.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39942. Bank Robbery-Rohnert Park

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 18:55:24 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39942 Message: 39942

Just occured (1145) at the Bank of the West on Commerce Blvd. One BMA with passenger in primer colored Buick Regal last seen southobound on Commerce. No weapon seen but perp handed teller a note. RP is trying to set up a perimiter now ans asking for assitance from surrounding agencies

RP DPS 154.100 PL 103.5
Cotati PD 460.225 PL 103.5
Santa Rosa PD 460.375 PL 127.3
Sonoma S.O. Ch 1 453.725 PL 131.8
Sonoma Sate University PD 153.740 PL 162.2

39943. Re: Bank Robbery-Rohnert Park-Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 19:06:08 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39942 Message: 39943

Correction - Robbery was at USBank, not Bank of the West. Appears two BMA's were involved in the robbery and were driving a faded green or brown late 80's Buick Regal, no hubcaps, with a cracked passenger side windshield, "possibly lowered". Rohnert Park has been very slow about setting up their perimiter so I would guess these guys are long gone.

39944. Re: Bank Robbery-Rohnert Park-1300 Update

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 20:03:22 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39942 Message: 39944

As of 1300, Rohnert Park has basically thrown in the towel and returned to normal traffic. Apparently, bank robbery isn't consider much a big deal up here any longer. They did give out three tickets for no seat belts after the holdup so the public safety is still being looked after in Rohnert Park. :)

Regards, Jim

39945. [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... (Was Re: Huge Fire Vallejo)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 21:02:25 UTC
From: Paul E. Olson
Topic: 39765 Message: 39945

So can somebody please explain this 4 digit numbering scheme that some agencies apparently use vs. E-11, E-12, etc. that other agencies are using? Is the 4 digit scheme a state thing vs. what Solano county, COCO, and others seem do be doing? Thanks.

paul

> -----Original Message-----
> From: bayscan@yahoogroups.com [mailto:bayscan@yahoogroups.com] On Behalf
> Of Fyrftr
> Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2005 11:05 AM
> To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Re: Huge Fire Vallejo
>
> Oh and why we are talking about stupid chief tricks ... why 11 and 12 when
> we work m/a with E12 from Coco and e12 from AmCan And Vallejo the 20
> series works with e27 out of greenwood ranch
>
> caladded@... wrote:? Got me. As why Benicia isn't going along with
> the program is anybody's
> guess........Hayward is the same way, they switched to the Alameda County
> system
> and was in the 3600 range, but switched back to single digits....... I
> emailed
> them and asked why and was told that for mutual aid they would use the
> 3600
> stuff..... seems like a pain in the butt to me to use 2 different
> numbering
> systems, but that's me?
>
> The other Solano stuff I heard last night seemed to match the newer
> listings
> i.e. E47 from Suisun City, E71 and T74 from Vacaville, E35 and 36 from
> Fairfield, E31 from Cordelia.
>

39946. Confirmed Rescue - SJFD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 22:09:47 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39946 Message: 39946

1290 Blossom Hill Road in front of Pioneer High School for a vehicle rollover. SJPD confirms two vehicle accident with one subject trapped in SUV resting on its side.

MED 30
ENGINE 13
USAR 13
USAR TENDER 13
BATTALION 13

Working on San Jose Fire Command 3 - 154.115

-C-

39947. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... (Was Re: Huge Fire Vallejo)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 22:08:27 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39947

OUCH.....hate to tell you this Paul, But it varies from agency to agency. If your not on yahoo group norcalfire.....I would suggest it as Jake has a great site including Fire Season 2005 which explains how CDF numbers their units? However its different from this county and this city and so forth.

Alameda County (and some others) number their Chiefs 01-20 followed by inspector/investigators 21-40. Then Engines 41-70, Trucks are 71-79. Type 3 Engines (Wildland) are in the 80s. Special stuff like Hazmat, Rescues in the 90s.....But again, even sometimes within the county it may vary a little.....

Santa Cruz County is different.....for instance 3112 is an Engine company out of city of Santa Cruz. In Alameda County a unit ending with 12 is a Battalion Chief.

What agencies are you MOST interested in and I am sure enough of us on this list can help you out.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39948. RE: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... (Was Re: Huge Fire Vallejo)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 22:15:50 UTC
From: Paul E. Olson
Topic: 39765 Message: 39948

I kind of guessed this was the case. Thanks.

paul

> OUCH.....hate to tell you this Paul, But it varies from agency to
> agency.
> If your not on yahoo group norcalfire.....I would suggest it as Jake has
> a
> great site including Fire Season 2005 which explains how CDF numbers their
> units? However its different from this county and this city and so forth.
>
> Alameda County (and some others) number their Chiefs 01-20 followed by
> inspector/investigators 21-40. Then Engines 41-70, Trucks are 71-79. Type
> 3 Engines
> (Wildland) are in the 80s. Special stuff like Hazmat, Rescues in the
> 90s.....But again, even sometimes within the county it may vary a
> little.....
>
> Santa Cruz County is different.....for instance 3112 is an Engine
> company out of city of Santa Cruz. In Alameda County a unit ending with
> 12 is a
> Battalion Chief.

39949. news article showing photo of CHP Vallejo dispatcher

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 22:36:32 UTC
From: Mike Wright
Topic: 39765 Message: 39949

this article was already posted on this subject and it had lengthy on-going thread from Bayscanners. Anyway, this article has a photo of dispatchers at the CHP's Golden Gate Division headquarters.

http://timesheraldonline.com/ci_2750974

abstract:
"The California Highway Patrol's Golden Gate Communications Center in Vallejo is staffed by exhausted dispatchers overwhelmed by 8 million calls annually, many originating from cellphones and 70% deemed unnecessary and/or non-emergency. Dispatchers are upset with what they say is management pressure to accommodate all calls, regardless of their nature, and not to admonish callers about inappropriate use of the emergency number." (Vallejo Times Herald)

39950. RE: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 22:54:28 UTC
From: Paul E. Olson
Topic: 39765 Message: 39950

Well, now that I think about it more, and since it is what got us started on this discussion in the first place, I do have another question. What specifically then, is the 3XXX numbering for Solano county that Kelty lists and that Ed originally referred to? (Kelty Golden Gate 2004 pp98). Who assigned them And what good are they if the various agencies don't use it?

Seems to me that a unique statewide designation scheme would be a good thing. Hey, but what do I know... I'm just a software engineer who enjoys monitoring as a hobby. :-)

paul

> OUCH.....hate to tell you this Paul, But it varies from agency to
> agency.
> If your not on yahoo group norcalfire.....I would suggest it as Jake has
> a
> great site including Fire Season 2005 which explains how CDF numbers their
> units? However its different from this county and this city and so forth.
>
> Alameda County (and some others) number their Chiefs 01-20 followed by
> inspector/investigators 21-40. Then Engines 41-70, Trucks are 71-79. Type
> 3 Engines
> (Wildland) are in the 80s. Special stuff like Hazmat, Rescues in the
> 90s.....But again, even sometimes within the county it may vary a
> little.....
>
> Santa Cruz County is different.....for instance 3112 is an Engine
> company out of city of Santa Cruz. In Alameda County a unit ending with
> 12 is a
> Battalion Chief.
>
> What agencies are you MOST interested in and I am sure enough
> of
> us on this list can help you out.

39951. Re: [bayscan] news article showing photo of CHP Vallejo dispatcher

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 22:57:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39765 Message: 39951

At 03:36 PM 5/26/2005, you wrote:
>this article was already posted on this subject and it had lengthy on-going
>thread from Bayscanners. Anyway, this article has a photo of dispatchers at
>the CHP's Golden Gate Division headquarters.
>
><http://timesheraldonline.com/ci_2750974>http://timesheraldonline.com/ci_2750974

Hey where's Linda?! ;)

I remember sitting in on dispatch once - can't recall the city... and
it was the day there was a somewhat decent quake. The dispatchers
look at me and they're like "Here it comes..."

and sure enough:
"911 Emergency?"
"Did we just have an earthquake?"
or
"My power just went out. Is that because of the earthquake?"
You can get the picture of the rest of the calls...

39952. Re: [bayscan] lingo?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:06:20 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39890 Message: 39952

All of these suggestions are good. May I suggest that since police work is still a testerone-driven profession... perhaps it was merely a way for the officer speaking to call someone (*ahem*) in lane 2 to the other officer's attention.
At least, that's the sort of thing I've heard (and was instructed to use during my BRIEF time as an explorer 15 years ago). I figure they teach the important things first, right?
Then I decided things were more fun on the red rather than blue side of the lightbar.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39953. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:10:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39953

I doubt you will find anyone who wouldn't like a statewide system, but its probably politics.....the same reason Hayward (and others) do not go along with the county system.

I noticed a few weeks ago Vallejo was using the 2 digit numbers for their rigs i.e Engine 25 Truck 21 . But when monitoring Solano County during the 3rd alarm in Vallejo , the mutual aid units were using 2 digits as well i.e Vacaville Engine 71 Truck 74 . They seem to be going by station numbers as opposed to the four digit numbers. I am not up on the systems in the area as someone else who lives in the area probably is (help out here someone)

BTW , the " politics" isn't just for Fire.... Daly City Police never did really get with the San Mateo system for their units however they at least do seem to when they do a pursuit or for mutual aid reasons.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39954. RE: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:10:55 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39765 Message: 39954

At 03:54 PM 5/26/2005, you wrote:
>Well, now that I think about it more, and since it is what got us started on
>this discussion in the first place, I do have another question. What
>specifically then, is the 3XXX numbering for Solano county that Kelty lists
>and that Ed originally referred to? (Kelty Golden Gate 2004 pp98). Who
>assigned them And what good are they if the various agencies don't use it?
>
>Seems to me that a unique statewide designation scheme would be a good
>thing. Hey, but what do I know... I'm just a software engineer who enjoys
>monitoring as a hobby. :-)

I believe that's the CDF numbering. The statewide numbering would be great, but imagine how many engines some departments have - I mean you'd have to have a 7 digit ID number for a engine!

Of course then do trucks have their own numbering seperate from engines? What about "Squads"? And one squad may be a utility for another agency.

Once I get my home DSL back up and running, I'll maybe create a page where we can track engine numbers for agencies. I'll have to see the easiest way to get it set up - i.e. least amount of work for everyone.

39955. Re: [bayscan] news article showing photo of CHP Vallejo dispatcher

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:11:34 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39955

You know , they ought to give a copy of that article to everyone when they buy a cellphone.....or include it in their cellphone bill.....but that makes too much sense.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39956. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:17:11 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39956

There will always be exceptions and we all simply must understand that

The Engine numbering I listed for ALCO for instance can cover all units in the county including the largest dept. (Oakland) as no one has more than 30 Engines (I believe OFD has 23)

But, it would not work for SFFD or SJFD, SF has 42 and SJFD has 31 and San Jose will be added more Engines in the next few years.

(plus their airport units will throw you if you first hear them as they are Engine 20A Engine20B Engine20C and Engine 20D)

And then there is LAFD and LA County Fire.......LOL......

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39957. RE: [bayscan] Confirmed Rescue - SJFD

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:23:30 UTC
From: Brian
Topic: 39946 Message: 39957

> Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2005 3:10 PM

I received this at 4:22 PM. Talk about a huge delay.

- Brian
4:23PM

39958. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s mean

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:32:39 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39765 Message: 39958

the first 2 digits designate the Fire agency, the third digit designates
what type of equip. it is. the last in most cases is the station #.
Before someone posts anything different, (like I said in most cases its
the station #)

0-39
40-49
chief Officers, training officers, ems officers, misc support people.
ie. mechanics, 40 hour capt's
Engines
50-59 Reserve Engines or Engines w/ dept. with more than 10 sta's
60-69 Reserve trucks or dept. w/ Engines with more than 20 sta's
70-79 Ladder trucks
80-89 type 4's
90-99 Specialized. Equipment ie. haz mat, air support, Boats etc.

Evan Platt wrote:

>At 03:54 PM 5/26/2005, you wrote:
>
>
>>Well, now that I think about it more, and since it is what got us started on
>>this discussion in the first place, I do have another question. What
>>specifically then, is the 3XXX numbering for Solano county that Kelty lists
>>and that Ed originally referred to? (Kelty Golden Gate 2004 pp98). Who
>>assigned them And what good are they if the various agencies don't use it?
>>
>>Seems to me that a unique statewide designation scheme would be a good
>>thing. Hey, but what do I know... I'm just a software engineer who enjoys
>>monitoring as a hobby. :-)
>>
>>
>
>
>I believe that's the CDF numbering. The statewide numbering would be
>great, but imagine how many engines some departments have - I mean
>you'd have to have a 7 digit ID number for a engine!
>
>Of course then do trucks have their own numbering seperate from
>engines? What about "Squads"? And one squad may be a utility for
>another agency.
>
>Once I get my home DSL back up and running, I'll maybe create a page
>where we can track engine numbers for agencies. I'll have to see the
>easiest way to get it set up - i.e. least amount of work for everyone.
>

>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39959. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s mean

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:54:41 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39765 Message: 39959

At 04:32 PM 5/26/2005, you wrote:

>the first 2 digits designate the Fire agency, the third digit designates
>what type of equip. it is. the last in most cases is the station #.
>Before someone posts anything different, (like I said in most cases its
>the station #)

Hey not picking on you and this is probably a bad example, but could we all try and keep the subjects as short as possible? Most e-mail programs truncate the subject when indexed (i.e. unless the message is opened) somewhere like 40 characters, so once we add RE: and [bayscan], for example, that cuts down the remaining characters. This thread for example now shows in my inbox as "Subject: Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s m" ..

This isn't a huge issue with this thread but for example, an older post I can't find right now was something like Pleasanton: Working Incident <location> and of course it trims off the location.

I'm using Eudora, wondering if others see this in other e-mail programs? AFAIK, it's not a setting I can change in Eudora.

Evan

39960. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s mean

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-26 23:59:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39960

what about type 3s ????? aren't they in the 80s ??

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39961. Win96 tables for MERA and San Mateo County trunked systems

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 00:03:23 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39961 Message: 39961

Hi everybody,
I've tried my darnedest to find the answer in the manual or on radioreference so as to not bother y'all, but I have struck out. Would somebody please tell me the correct table information for these UHF trunked systems? Radioreference.com <http://Radioreference.com> gives me the following Custom Frequency Table information: 1; Base: 482.0000; Spacing 12.5; Offset:380 and 2; Base: 488.0000; Spacing: 12.5; Offset: 560. Win96 asks for CH Lo and CH Hi data as well. Using the defaults of 380 and 759 is receiving only some of the system. I believe I understand why, what I don't know is the correct info for these fields.
On another Pro-2096 note; the manual says I only have to load the control channels (which I did for San Francisco), however, I am not hearing everything on the system with the banks set to "Open" mode.. So I'm trying again, loading all of the channels into the scanner to see if this works.
TIA,
Gordon

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39962. New Fire Equipment .....Gary , please disregard :-)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 00:07:33 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39962 Message: 39962

From The May/June Fire Apparatus Journal

SFFD is ordering 10 New Engines from American La France (one change is moving the hard suctions [used for drafting) to an interior area to allow more compartments.

Alameda County is ordering 3 Pierce Quantum Engines, all 1500 GPM, 500 gal Water and 20 gal foam tanks. One to Engine 3448 , one to Engine 3456, unknown for the 3rd rig.

Newark also ordering one Pierce Quantum Engine

Hayward has ordered a 2004 Seagrave Engine with a 2000gpm pump and a 750 tank.......for Engine 8

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39963. Re: [bayscan] Win96 tables for MERA and San Mateo County trunked systems

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 00:11:41 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39961 Message: 39963

> I've tried my darnedest to find the answer in the manual or on
> radioreference so as to not bother y'all, but I have struck out.

Did you try to load the system from Win96's link to RadioReference?

It's built-in now and has saved me a lot of typing :-)

File -> Web Import -> RadioReference.com

/jordan

39964. Re: [bayscan] Win96 tables for MERA and San Mateo County trunked systems

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 00:13:03 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39961 Message: 39964

For San Mateo , I have pretty much the same

Base 482.000 space 12.5 offset 380

and

Base 488.000 space 12.5 offset 560

but I am using Uniden scanners

I get numerous EMS talkgroups , several Sheriff talkgroups , Animal control , all with no problem

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39965. Re: [bayscan] New Fire Equipment

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 00:50:34 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 39962 Message: 39965

Thanks for this update!

On May 26, 2005, at 5:07 PM, caladded@... wrote:

> From The May/June Fire Apparatus Journal
>
> SFFD is ordering 10 New Engines from American La France (one change is
> moving
> the hard suctions [used for drafting) to an interior area to allow more
> compartments.
>
>
> Alameda County is ordering 3 Pierce Quantum Engines, all 1500 GPM, 500
> gal
> Water and 20 gal foam tanks. One to Engine 3448 , one to Engine 3456,
> unknown
> for the 3rd rig.
>
> Newark also ordering one Pierce Quantum Engine
>
> Hayward has ordered a 2004 Seagrave Engine with a 2000gpm pump and a
> 750
> tank.......for Engine 8
>
> ED

39966. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s mean

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 00:56:20 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39765 Message: 39966

type 3's are 60's

caladded@... wrote:

>what about type 3s ????? aren't they in the 80s ??
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39967. Re: [bayscan] New Fire Equipment .....Gary , please disregard :-)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 00:57:29 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39962 Message: 39967

where did you get that those 2 will be 3448 and 56? the new ones always
go to 12, 3 or 4 first then the rigs already there get rotated out

caladded@... wrote:

>>From The May/June Fire Apparatus Journal
>
>SFFD is ordering 10 New Engines from American La France (one change is moving
>the hard suctions [used for drafting) to an interior area to allow more
>compartments.
>
>
>Alameda County is ordering 3 Pierce Quantum Engines, all 1500 GPM, 500 gal
>Water and 20 gal foam tanks. One to Engine 3448 , one to Engine 3456, unknown
>for the 3rd rig.
>
>Newark also ordering one Pierce Quantum Engine
>
>Hayward has ordered a 2004 Seagrave Engine with a 2000gpm pump and a 750
>tank.......for Engine 8
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39968. Re: Win96 tables for MERA and San Mateo County TRS

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 00:57:35 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 39961 Message: 39968

MERA:

CH Low: CH High: Base: Offset: Step:
---
380 569 482.00 380 12.5
570 759 488.00 570 12.5

San Mateo:

CH Low: CH High: Base: Offset: Step:
---
380 559 482.00 380 12.5
560 759 488.00 560 12.5

San Mateo is just slightly different, with the second CH Low being 560, meaning the 1st channel high is 559, and it still goes to 2nd channel high at 759. I found that out searching radioreference.com forum threads because initially I didn't understand that channel feature on Win96 either.

-Inigo

39969. CHP Extender question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 01:02:05 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39969 Message: 39969

Hey all.. have a question on the 'technology' behind the extenders used by CHP? I may be wrong on some cases, so please correct me where I'm incorrect.

So if I understand... Each 'channel' in a CHP mobile radio scans both the mobile and the base, correct? So if a officer selects Ruby, the radio is scanning between base & mobile, so he'll hear base & mobile traffic?

A officer initiates the extender by putting the car in park? He'll then hear both the base and the mobile? Then what happens when another officer comes up to the scene? How does the second extender tell the first extender that its taking over? I monitor the extender frequency, and occasionally hear the <BEEP> that I'm guessing is the extender acitvating? Is there a chunk of data in there hidden?

So how then do the cars 'communicate' and determine which car should become the new extender? Say one car goes to a call. He's the extender. Another car arrives. Does he automatically become the extender? If then a 3rd car comes, is that car then the extender? Now let's say we're up to 8 cars - when car 8 leaves, is car 7 now the extender? How is this determined?

I'm guessing there's more to it than this - but I can imagine a problem if a officer makes a stop then say just out of range another officer makes a stop - and then his car becomes the extender - then say he leaves, but he's on such a fringe area, the other car doesn't get the signal that this car is leaving - I'm hoping there's not an officer now stuck without an extender when he's 2 feet away from his car?

Ramble... :)

Thanks.

Evan

39970. Re: [bayscan] Win96 tables for MERA and San Mateo County trunked systems

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 01:25:43 UTC
From: Gordon Arnold
Topic: 39961 Message: 39970

I hadn't. Just tried and it wanted money so I just gave them some. They're nice people. Now we'll see if my money was worth it. I'm not at home to upload the data. Thanks for the tip, though.

On 5/26/05, Jordan Hayes <jmhayes@...> wrote:
>
> > I've tried my darnedest to find the answer in the manual or on
> > radioreference so as to not bother y'all, but I have struck out.
>
> Did you try to load the system from Win96's link to RadioReference?
>
>
>

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39971. Re: [bayscan] CHP Extender question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 01:42:47 UTC
From: vector@value.net
Topic: 39969 Message: 39971

There's a neat page on CHP radio history....

http://www.mbay.net/~wb6nvh/chpradio.htm

Been around for several years, and explains the basics about the extenders.

> So if I understand... Each 'channel' in a CHP mobile radio scans both
> the mobile and the base, correct? So if a officer selects Ruby, the
> radio is scanning between base & mobile, so he'll hear base & mobile
> traffic?

That's how I understand they operate... not sure if the settings are
different or if scan is typically turned off for areas that are repeating
mobile traffic onto the base frequency. And yes, you will hear mobile
traffic over the extender frequencies when one is active (and of course,
nearby).

> A officer initiates the extender by putting the car in park? He'll
> then hear both the base and the mobile? Then what happens when
> another officer comes up to the scene? How does the second extender
> tell the first extender that its taking over? I monitor the extender
> frequency, and occasionally hear the <BEEP> that I'm guessing is the
> extender acitvating? Is there a chunk of data in there hidden?

Activation I believe is still done manually, but this I'm not sure of. I
do know that the mobile will beep incessantly at the officer until he
turns it off. Reminder to deactivate the extender so those 'cop detector'
type scanners aren't getting a bead on it, or at least that is what I've
had explained to me over the years by officers and others.

No data hidden in the beep of the extender activating, but the beep does
perform a function. The other extenders listen for that beep, and when
heard they will 'bump' themselves up a wait-state. It keeps multiple
units on the same scene from interfering with one-another. The newly
arriving mobile becomes the new extender for the scene, and the others are
now no longer active.

> So how then do the cars 'communicate' and determine which car should
> become the new extender? Say one car goes to a call. He's the
> extender. Another car arrives. Does he automatically become the
> extender? If then a 3rd car comes, is that car then the extender? Now
> let's say we're up to 8 cars - when car 8 leaves, is car 7 now the
> extender? How is this determined?

Yep, as explained above, they should keep bumping one another up. The
units do malfunction though, and I have drove past scenes where more than
one extender is in operation and they're interfering with one another. I

don't know what happens when one car leaves, but the wait states basically make it so the other extenders literally wait an interval of time before determining that there are no other extenders operating. Supposedly the next lowest (or in-line) wait state extender will become active. There was supposed to have been a reset button on the extender, to put the wait-state back to zero, but I'm not sure if this is how things are done or not.

> I'm guessing there's more to it than this - but I can imagine a
> problem if a officer makes a stop then say just out of range another
> officer makes a stop - and then his car becomes the extender - then
> say he leaves, but he's on such a fringe area, the other car doesn't
> get the signal that this car is leaving - I'm hoping there's not an
> officer now stuck without an extender when he's 2 feet away from his car?

The extenders themselves are purposefully 'deaf' on the receive side. Supposedly they're a little out of alignment so they aren't receiving a handheld too far away (or anther extender). I've heard officers fade-out on their extenders quickly during a foot-pursuit. I've heard others get well past a dozen blocks before the extender couldn't hear them. Guess it just depends on who puts the unit on the bench to do the alignment.

Hope that was somewhat helpful, wish I knew more.

-g-

Greg Stramback
ESD Dispatch HR Chief / ESD Dispatch Supervisor
510-385-4217 // greg_stramback@...

39972. Re: [bayscan] CHP Extender question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 01:56:53 UTC
From: pete g::..
Topic: 39969 Message: 39972

this might answer some of your questions:

http://www.mbay.net/~wb6nvh/CHP2001.htm

read this 1st for the history behind the current system:

http://www.mbay.net/~wb6nvh/chpradio.htm

On 5/26/05, Evan Platt <evan@espphotography.com> wrote:
> Hey all.. have a question on the 'technology' behind the extenders
> used by CHP? I may be wrong on some cases, so please correct me where
> I'm incorrect.

39973. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s mean

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 02:05:01 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39765 Message: 39973

oh.....ok (but here we go again LOL except Oakland)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39974. Re: [bayscan] New Fire Equipment .....Gary , please disregard :-)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 02:05:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39962 Message: 39974

thats what the article said (3448 and 3456)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39975. Re: [bayscan] New Fire Equipment .....Gary , please disregard :-)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 02:17:29 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39962 Message: 39975

ok i must have missed something? what article?

caladded@... wrote:

>thats what the article said (3448 and 3456)
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39976. Re: [bayscan] New Fire Equipment .....Gary , please disregard :-)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 02:52:29 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39962 Message: 39976

It was from Fire apparatus journal Magazine.....there on-line too, but the article isn't.....in case your interested in subscribing.

I have been subscribing for about 3-4 years. I submitted some information on new equipment once only to get a semi-nasty reply by one of the guys (I'll leave his name off here) that HE Writes the articles for FAJ .....<sign> thought I was doing them a favor since (1) the SF bay area column is usually the shortest of the several areas the magazine covers (New York's' article is always the largest) AND (2) on at least 3 times there was NO article for our area

I have since emailed the "other " guy who does SF and he seems like a decent guy and thanked me for the information. In fact I just emailed him regarding SJFD Air 6 and the fact SJFD is getting more new equipment in the near future.

The magazine is bimonthly and is $27.00 @ year

www.fireapparatusjournal.com

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39977. Re: [bayscan] Fire Aparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s mean

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 03:11:28 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39765 Message: 39977

If I remember correctly oakland is the same except that since they have 20+ stations their engines are 2541-60's, trucks are 70's, patrols are 80, and specialized are 90's.. Their reserve equipment takes the # of the engine or truck they are taking the place of.....

caladded@... wrote:

>oh.....ok (but here we go again LOL except Oakland)
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39978. Re: [bayscan] Fire Apparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s mean

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 03:55:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39978 Message: 39978

well what I meant is Oaklands Engines in the 60 range i.e. E2561 - 2569 are type 1s where in other depts the 60 series refers to type 3 engines.

Then there is East Bay Regional Engines ? are they any different ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39979. Re: [bayscan] Fire Apparatus Numbering... This is what the #'s mean

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 04:12:11 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39978 Message: 39979

The numbering system guideline isn't different. Its just expanding and
molded to suite oakland use. since they have mor than 20 stations...
EBRP engines use the same guidelines

caladded@... wrote:

>well what I meant is Oaklands Engines in the 60 range i.e. E2561 - 2569 are
>type 1s where in other depts the 60 series refers to type 3 engines.
>
>Then there is East Bay Regional Engines ? are they any different ?
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39980. Re: [bayscan] SAN JOSE PD US MARSHALL ASSIST 460.200

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 12:21:10 UTC
From: Hubert Stamps
Topic: 39980 Message: 39980

Is this San Jose PD Ch. 1 or Ch. 3?

_____
Yahoo! Mail
Stay connected, organized, and protected. Take the tour:
http://tour.mail.yahoo.com/mailtour.html

39981. Re: [bayscan] SAN JOSE PD US MARSHALL ASSIST 460.200

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 15:59:14 UTC
From: Craig T.
Topic: 39980 Message: 39981

I apologize, yes that's SJ 1.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39982. [SJFD] Full 1st

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 16:19:27 UTC
From: Craig
Topic: 39982 Message: 39982

E16 USAR16 E26 B2 E24 E2 T2 B1 M30

1601 Cunningham at an elementary school that had a refrigerator catch fire.

154.115 Command 3

39983. new rigs for you fire buffs.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 20:17:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39983 Message: 39983

check out www.americanlafrance.com for some new aerial rigs
for local depts.....click on new deliveries / aerials / the rigs
are on the 2nd page of aerials

Oakland / tractor-drawn -- tiller type 100 ft. Aerial

American Canyon / 75' rear mount

Gilroy / 75 'rear mount

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39984. new rigs for you fire buffs.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 20:20:11 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39983 Message: 39984

petaluma fd just got a new sutphen pumper...

On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 13:17 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

> check out www.americanlafrance.com for some new
> aerial rigs
> for local depts.............click on new deliveries / aerials /
> the rigs
> are on the 2nd page of aerials
>
> Oakland / tractor-drawn -- tiller type 100 ft. Aerial
>
> American Canyon / 75' rear mount
>
> Gilroy / 75 'rear mount
>
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39985. Re: [bayscan] new rigs for you fire buffs.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 20:24:23 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39983 Message: 39985

whoa..........Nina ....haven't got to Sutphen yet LOL , looking at Seagrave right now

Sutphen huh ? first one I have heard of in the area except Milpitas has a Sutphen Tower ladder (Truck 1)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39986. Re: [bayscan] new rigs for you fire buffs.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 20:39:04 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39983 Message: 39986

that's correct, it is the first one up here. ppd also has a sutphen w/
105 ft ladder....

On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 13:24 US/Pacific, caladded@aol.com wrote:

> whoa...........Nina ....haven't got to Sutphen yet LOL ,
> looking at
> Seagrave right now
>
> Sutphen huh ? first one I have heard of in the area except
> Milpitas has a
> Sutphen Tower ladder (Truck 1)
>
>
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39987. mera 1430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 21:31:05 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39987 Message: 39987

anyone listening?

contact me offlist...

39988. fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 21:32:40 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39988 Message: 39988

Yep Nina.....Petalumas Engine is on Sutphens' webpage , 8th one down.....but no pic of the ladder tower ;-([See Below}
Checked Seagrave and E-Ones.....nothing

But Pierce (www.piercemfg.com) has some pics of rigs from ALCO and Rohnert Park.....not sure when they were delivered.....AND I just found the Petaluma has a 105' Quint from Pierce.....not Sutphen , and Pierce does have a pic of it :-) Funny thing is I have seen this pic before.....just couldn't remember it (of course , at my age I can't remember a LOT of things LOL)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39989. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 21:35:07 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39988 Message: 39989

On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 14:32 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:

> Yep Nina.....Petalumas Engine is on Sutphens' webpage , 8th one
> down.....but no pic of the ladder tower ;-([See Below}
> Checked Seagrave and E-Ones.....nothing
>
> But Pierce (www.piercemfg.com) has some pics of rigs from ALCO and
> Rohnert Park.....not sure when they were
> delivered.....AND I just
> found the Petaluma has a 105' Quint from Pierce.....not Sutphen ,
> and Pierce
> does have a pic of it :-) Funny thing is I have seen this pic
> before.....just couldn't remember it (of course , at my age I can't
> remember a LOT of
> things LOL)
>
> ED
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39990. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 21:37:26 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39988 Message: 39990

whoops, i will post an image of it in action to the photo file...
On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 14:35 US/Pacific, nina zhito wrote:

>
> On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 14:32 US/Pacific, caladded@... wrote:
>
>> Yep Nina..........Petalumas Engine is on Sutphens' webpage , 8th one
>> down..........but no pic of the ladder tower ;-([See Below}
>> Checked Seagrave and E-Ones........nothing
>>
>> But Pierce (www.piercemfg.com) has some pics of rigs from ALCO and
>> Rohnert Park..........not sure when they were
>> delivered.............AND I just
>> found the Petaluma has a 105' Quint from Pierce........not Sutphen ,
>> and Pierce
>> does have a pic of it :-) Funny thing is I have seen this pic
>> before.......just couldn't remember it (of course , at my age I can't
>> remember a LOT of
>> things LOL)
>>
>> ED
>>
>>
>> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39991. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 21:40:30 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39988 Message: 39991

that would be great Nina.....the pics on the website aren't that big, especially Pierces' website.
thanks

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39992. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 22:53:31 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 39988 Message: 39992

Alco has their engines and are being oufitted as we speak.. Probably 1-2
months before they go in service... the engines will indeed be going to
sta 8, 16 and most likely 3, while 3 will rotate to 11...

caladded@... wrote:

>Yep Nina..........Petalumas Engine is on Sutphens' webpage , 8th one
>down..........but no pic of the ladder tower ;-([See Below}
> Checked Seagrave and E-Ones........nothing
>
>But Pierce (www.piercemfg.com) has some pics of rigs from ALCO and
>Rohnert Park..........not sure when they were delivered.............AND I just
>found the Petaluma has a 105' Quint from Pierce........not Sutphen , and Pierce
>does have a pic of it :-) Funny thing is I have seen this pic
>before.......just couldn't remember it (of course , at my age I can't remember a LOT of
>things LOL)
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

39993. Re: [bayscan] mera 1430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 22:50:24 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 39987 Message: 39993

> anyone listening?
>
> contact me offlist...

don't hold out, what did you hear?

39994. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 23:01:13 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39988 Message: 39994

ok, thanks Ben.....interesting , the Same article mentions the SFFD
Engines but Milt tells me they won't see them for another year ......so the
article isn't exactly on about only present stuff , future stuff as
well.....now I wonder when the Hayward and Newark rigs will go in service.....2000gpm
is
a powerful pump,,,,,,,,,most seem to get rigs with 1500gpm pumps , have heard
of 1750s yet FDNY still orders some of their Engines with 1000gpm pumps.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39995. Re: [bayscan] mera 1430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 23:06:45 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39987 Message: 39995

well, it sounded like a tac undercover was going down in san rafael....
wasn't completely comfortable putting what i was hearing out there at
the time....

On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 15:50 US/Pacific, Jordan Hayes wrote:

>> anyone listening?
>>
>> contact me offlist...
>
> don't hold out, what did you hear?
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

39996. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 23:09:04 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39988 Message: 39996

I just wonder where they are going to get that kind of volume of water out of a PIPE, I could see it if they were drafting.
2k GPM is a hell of a lot of water...
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2005 16:01
Subject: Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

ok, thanks Ben.....interesting , the Same article mentions the SFFD Engines but Milt tells me they won't see them for another year ......so the article isn't exactly on about only present stuff , future stuff as well.....now I wonder when the Hayward and Newark rigs will go in service.....2000gpm is
a powerful pump,,,,,,,,,most seem to get rigs with 1500gpm pumps , have heard of 1750s yet FDNY still orders some of their Engines with 1000gpm pumps.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39997. Re: [bayscan] mera 1430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 23:14:09 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 39987 Message: 39997

I think that it was a good call on your part.
George
----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Friday, May 27, 2005 16:06
Subject: Re: [bayscan] mera 1430

well, it sounded like a tac undercover was going down in san rafael....
wasn't completely comfortable putting what i was hearing out there at
the time....

On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 15:50 US/Pacific, Jordan Hayes wrote:

>> anyone listening?
>>
>> contact me offlist...
>
> don't hold out, what did you hear?
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

39998. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 23:15:25 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39988 Message: 39998

Yeah, good point George..... and your right on about drafting, I remember working a 5th alarm at Pier 7 in the city and we had a few Engines drafting .....we supplied WaterTower # 1 with EIGHT big lines.....that thing could really throw a heck of a stream of water.....considering it was built in 1903 :-)

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

39999. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 23:18:15 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 39988 Message: 39999

At 04:09 PM 5/27/2005, you wrote:
>I just wonder where they are going to get that kind of volume of
>water out of a PIPE, I could see it if they were drafting.
>2k GPM is a hell of a lot of water...

They wouldn't need to get 2k GPM out of a pipe.. I don't think
there's any instance where one rig would put 2k GPM of water on a
fire. I know I ain't the biggest of guys but I don't know of many
firefighters that could handle a 1000gpm hoseline, much less 2000gpm.
Well Ok, between a few hoselines, an aerial, etc - I still can't see
them using full volume. :)

40000. Re: [bayscan] mera 1430

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 23:22:29 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 39987 Message: 40000

thanks george... "when in doubt, umm, don't.... "

On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 16:14 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

> I think that it was a good call on your part.
> George
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Friday, May 27, 2005 16:06
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] mera 1430
>
>
> well, it sounded like a tac undercover was going down in san rafael....
> wasn't completely comfortable putting what i was hearing out there at
> the time....
>
>
> On Friday, May 27, 2005, at 15:50 US/Pacific, Jordan Hayes wrote:
>
>>> anyone listening?
>>>
>>> contact me offlist...
>>
>> don't hold out, what did you hear?
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

40001. Re: [bayscan] fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-27 23:22:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39988 Message: 40001

well, the later part of your post is right Evan..........no one could hold a line with pressure much about maybe 150 psi , no matter what the gpm is..........but at the pier 7 fire we ran 4 lines from one engine into the watertower and another 4 from a 2nd engine into it.......BUT these Engines weren't 2000 gpm,,,,,,,,,,they were either 1250 or 1500s......I don't know of any SFFD Engines over 1500.

2000gpm is almost like a " pipe" dream no pun intended

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40002. Gnoss Field Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 02:53:42 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 40002 Message: 40002

Marin County Sheriff 1z33 enroute to Gnoss Field airport in Novato to detain a pilot that landed approx. 10 min ago for the FAA. FAA is enroute and wants to speak with them. Apparently they violated some airspace (San Francisco class B maybe) and are now in big big trouble. Uh oh. Hahaha.

39.24 Mhz PL 82.5 (unrepeated) or MERA TG 45616

7:54 pm

-Inigo

40003. testing...

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 02:54:15 UTC
From: Chuck Hoffman
Topic: 40003 Message: 40003

.new computer

Chuck Hoffman

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40004. Re: Gnoss Field Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 05:44:41 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 40002 Message: 40004

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "inigo4688" <inigo.markle@m...> wrote:
> Marin County Sheriff 1z33 enroute to Gnoss Field airport in Novato to
> detain a pilot that landed approx. 10 min ago for the FAA. FAA is
> enroute and wants to speak with them. Apparently they violated some
> airspace (San Francisco class B maybe) and are now in big big
trouble.
> Uh oh. Hahaha.
>
> 39.24 Mhz PL 82.5 (unrepeated) or MERA TG 45616
>
> 7:54 pm
>
> -Inigo

Did you hear any more about this? I wonder if the FAA was really enroute or wanted to speak to him by phone? In all the plane incidents I've worked, I've never seen an FAA mobile unit. I've seen NTSB but all the contact I've ever had with the FAA has been by phone in Oakland.

Regards, Jim

40005. Re: fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 05:49:02 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39988 Message: 40005

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> well, the later part of your post is right Evan...........no one could hold a
> line with pressure much about maybe 150 psi , no matter what the gpm
> is...........but at the pier 7 fire we ran 4 lines from one engine into the
> watertower and another 4 from a 2nd engine into it.......BUT these Engines weren't
> 2000 gpm,,,,,,,,,,,they were either 1250 or 1500s......I don't know of any SFFD
> Engines over 1500.
>
> 2000gpm is almost like a " pipe" dream no pun intended
>
> ED

Although 2000 GPM is a lot of water, it's a very small amount when you consider how much water flows in even a small river. 2000 GPM is equal to about 4.5 cubic feet per second of flow. The Russian River is flowing at about 1800 CFS now and flows at about 60 CFS even when it is really low in the summer. It gives you some idea why it takes so many engines and hose lines to put out a big fire.

Regards, Jim

40006. Re: [bayscan] Gnoss Field Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 05:59:01 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40002 Message: 40006

At 07:53 PM 5/27/2005, you wrote:

>Marin County Sheriff 1z33 enroute to Gnoss Field airport in Novato to
>detain a pilot that landed approx. 10 min ago for the FAA. FAA is
>enroute and wants to speak with them. Apparently they violated some
>airspace (San Francisco class B maybe) and are now in big big trouble.
>Uh oh. Hahaha.
>
>39.24 Mhz PL 82.5 (unrepeated) or MERA TG 45616
>
>7:54 pm
>
>-Inigo

This may be the source of the Bigfoot CAP traffic around the same time this
evening.

Bigfoot = NORAD
CAP= combat air patrol

CAP was up chasing something about the same time.

Could this be the Guy in the Cessna that buzzed the Santa Cruz wharf at
<100ft. earlier
this evening ?

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.1.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40007. Re: [bayscan] Re: fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 06:19:56 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39988 Message: 40007

come on Jim ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,comparing an Engines GPM with the Russian River ??
kind of unfair LOL

when you compare the Engines of today with the ones I remember when I first
got an interest in the Fire Service, its quite an improvement. the highest in
SF was 1000gpm and some of the older rigs were 750 and had NO tanks (called
duals) [late 50s and early 60s]

As far as how many Engines it takes to put out a large fire, that has
certainly been reduced for number of reasons.

1) The increase in capacity of the Engines and their supply i.e. LDH (large
Diameter Hose) like 5" supply line. Many of the new Engines have as many as 6
outlets.....if you feed the 1st due Engine plenty of water, they can
supply 3-4 large lines.

I remember fires in the 60s where the streets were full of
"spaghetti" what the media referred to with lots of hose lines from various Engines
and hydrants.....Don't seem to see that as much as in years past. Of
course there are exceptions i.e. the Santana Row Fire in San Jose in 2002.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40008. Re: fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 06:33:47 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39988 Message: 40008

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> come on Jim ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,comparing an Engines GPM with the Russian River ??
> kind of unfair LOL

Yes, it is, but my point was that, even when it looks like huge volume of water is being thown on a fire, it's really a very small amount compared to what most people think of as a large amount of water. It would take almost seven minutes for water at 2000 GPM to equal the flow passing any one point for one second on the Russian River right now. Just gives some perspective to people who wonder why a fire can't be put out faster.

Regards, Jim

40009. Re: [bayscan] Re: fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 06:36:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39988 Message: 40009

yeah I guess I see your point.....btw , the media (which I am not much of a friend) mentioned that it might rain .....during a 6 alarm structure fire in San Jose a couple months ago .....and it would " help" fire fighters.....uh.....don't think so.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40010. Re: fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 06:40:13 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 39988 Message: 40010

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, caladded@a... wrote:
> yeah I guess I see your point.....btw , the media (which
I am not
> much of a friend) mentioned that it might rain .....during a 6
alarm
> structure fire in San Jose a couple months ago .....and it
would " help" fire
> fighters.....uh.....don't think so.
>
> ED
Ah, but it would help. It would make the firefighters look all steamy
and give Craig and Nina some cool pictures. :)

Regards, Jim

40011. Re: [bayscan] Re: fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 06:43:06 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 39988 Message: 40011

No, what would REALLY give them good PICS would be fighting fire like they do in Buffalo with icesickles (spelling ;-( ) hanging from the rigs, ladders,hose , helmets etc.

then again,,,,,,,,,,,Buffalo can KEEP that kind of weather LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40012. Re: fire rigs updated

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 06:45:09 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 39988 Message: 40012

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Jim Cooke" <jjcooke@y...> wrote:

> Ah, but it would help. It would make the firefighters look all steamy
> and give Craig and Nina some cool pictures. :)

Ahem..

Oh yeah - the fires only happen when I'm at work. Never mind. :)

40013. Re: Gnoss Field Marin

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 07:42:44 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 40002 Message: 40013

You're probably right Jim, they probably wanted the Sheriff to make him call the FSDO in Oakland. I never got much of an update other than that the unit found the plane and was looking for the pilot, code 4.

-Inigo

40014. Re: Dumb Pilot + Intercept = Unhappy FAA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 08:19:44 UTC
From: inigo4688
Topic: 40002 Message: 40014

NO! Someone actually buzzed the Santa Cruz Warf at 100 ft? I figured the pilot was some dumb weekend warrior but that just took my assumption to a whole new level! I DID hear the activity this evening on Bigfoot WADS (Western Area Defense System) Blue 7 - 364.20. Because I could hear ATC but couldn't hear the pilot (and missed their callsign), I figured it might have been un-related or far away (like the Fresno ANG F-16s), but I AM in a valley. I wish I tuned in a little earlier. :)

For information, the FAA will be after that guy not only for being an idiot, but there are actually laws he just broke in the Federal Avation Regulations too. ;)

FAR Part 91.13 - Careless & Reckless Operation of an aircraft, and FAR Part 91.119 - Minimum Safe Altitudes. 91.119 basically says that you're ok flying low out over water or un-populated areas so long as you maintain 500' away from people, boats etc. He would have broken the law even in that situation, but the Warf and the Boardwalk are congested areas, and require an altitude of 1000' above the ground, so he was just under 1000' too low. And then come the F-16s. Oops!

-Inigo

(P.S. Sorry if the FARs weren't that relevant, I'm just trying to remind myself how much effort I'm going to make to keep my pilot's license when I get it... unlike the said gentleman in this post. :P)

40015. A GOOD PRICE TO PAY FOR RADIO SHACK PRO-51 200 CH SCANNER

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 11:02:02 UTC
From: Anthony
Topic: 40015 Message: 40015

WANTED TO KNOW IF ANYONE CAN TELL ME WHATS A GOOD PRICE TO PAY FOR A PRO-51 RADIO SCANNER PLEASE eMAIL ME BACK THANKS

Anthony

40016. Fw: Fire department Stand down day.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 14:05:17 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 40016 Message: 40016

Sent: Saturday, May 28, 2005 06:42
Subject: Fire department Stand down day.

> For your info
> ....
>
> Fire departments urged to stand down for firefighter safety
>
> Fairfax, Va., May 24, 2005? The International Association of
> Fire Chiefs (IAFC) and 13 partnering fire service organizations
> are calling for all fire departments in the nation to conduct a
> "stand down" for firefighter safety beginning Tuesday, June 21.
> As of May 1, there have been 50 line-of-duty firefighter deaths
> ? that is 10 more than at this same time last year.
>
> A stand down is a method used by the military to correct an
> issue that has been identified as a problem throughout its
> ranks. The IAFC and their partners* are urging fire departments
> to suspend all non-emergency activity on June 21 and instead
> focus entirely on firefighter safety.
>
> "Fire fighters are being killed at an alarming rate. The fire
> service simply cannot continue to do business as usual when so
> many of its own are dying," said IAFC President Chief Bob
> DiPoli. "We are conducting the stand down to raise awareness of
> the need for increased vigilance toward fire fighter safety."
>
> The IAFC and its partners are urging you and all fire
> departments throughout the country to suspend all non-emergency
> activity and focus entirely on firefighter safety. If you are a
> career or combination department, please stand down beginning
> Tuesday, June 21 until all personnel and duty nights have been
> covered. If you are a volunteer department, please conduct a
> special safety meeting the evening of June 21 or as near to that
> date as possible.
>
> Use the recommended "daily activity schedule" below. Take the
> 21st to talk about the causes of line-of-duty deaths; check all
> apparatus and equipment; discuss health and safety regulations;
> review fire ground safety issues; and take stock of training
> exercises and fitness goals. An entire Web page at the IAFC Web
> site www.iafc.org/standdown has been devoted to important issue.
> Go to that site and use those resources to plan your activities
> for the stand down.
>

> "We must call attention to the unacceptable number of
> line-of-duty deaths and injuries plaguing our fire departments,"
> said DiPoli continued. "During the Safety Stand Down, fire
> departments all around the nation will have thorough, honest
> conversations about firefighter health and safety and maybe we
> do something to stop these deaths."
>
> After your department has stood down, please email the IAFC with
> a summary of the activities you conducted and your comments at
> standdown@.... If you have questions, please call the IAFC
> at 703/273-0911.
>
> Partnering Organizations
> International Association of Fire Chiefs
> National Fallen Firefighters Foundation
> United States Fire Administration
> International Association of Fire Fighters
> National Volunteer Fire Council
> National Fire Protection Association
> International City/County Management Association
> National Association of State Fire Marshals
> North American Fire Training Directors
> Canadian Fire Chiefs Association
> Congressional Fire Service Institute
> International Association of Arson Investigators
> Fire & Emergency Manufacturers Services Association
> International Fire Service Training Association
> Fire Department Safety Officers' Association
>
> Recommended Daily Schedule of Events
>
>
> National Firefighter Safety Stand Down
> June 21, 2005
> Recommended Activity Schedule
>
>
>
> Prior to June 21:
> Department wide email/notification to the department
> ? For career fire departments, this should be a joint
> labor/management initiative, so the announcement should be
> jointly from chief and union president. For combination
> departments, the fire chief, volunteer leadership, and union
> president should make a joint announcement.
> ? Send out a reminder to the department a few days before the
> event.
>
>
> June 21 (and continue until all subsequent duty days/shifts have

> been covered):
> Department wide radio announcement (see attached sample)
>
> Station Line-up
> ? Moment of silence for LODDs
> ? Review of shift activities and explanation of purpose
> ? Review LODD causes
> ? Utilize NIOSH reports and recommendations
> ? Entire shift focus on safety and what can be done to improve
> fire department safety and individual safety
> ? All other activities (public ed, inspections, etc.) postponed
>
> Health and Safety Standards
> ? Address federal (as well as state/provincial) health and
> safety regulations and NFPA standards (1500, 1710, 1581, etc.),
> especially in areas where fire department is in violation or
> perceived violation
>
> Fire Ground Safety
> ? Review current fire department staffing
> ? Compare fire department staffing to national standards
> (including NFPA 1710, 1720)
> ? Review fire department accountability
> ? SOPS, RITs and equipment (locators, PASS, etc.)
> ? Incident management SOPs and risk management issues
> ? Review fire department Standard Operating Procedures
> ? Review individual knowledge of SOPs
> ? Review adherence to SOPs
> ? Suggest needed revisions to current SOPs
> ? Review communication issues
> ? Review individual knowledge of communication equipment and
> procedures
> ? Review adequacy of current communication system as well as
> individual units
>
> Personal Protective Equipment
> ? Review fire department personal protective equipment
> ? Check all PPE, including bunker gear and SCBA, for obvious
> defects, cleaning and maintenance needs. PPE review should be
> in accordance with NFPA 1851, Standard on Selection, Care, and
> Maintenance of Structural Fire Fighting Protective Ensembles.
> Tag all equipment with defects and remove from service.
> ? Discuss fire department specification for current gear and
> suggest revisions
> ? Address respirator standards, including training, selection,
> use, fit testing, etc. (as required by OSHA 1910.134)
> ? Emphasize use of safety glasses and hearing protection
>
> Apparatus and Equipment Check
> ? Check all fire apparatus and equipment stowed on fire

> apparatus. Tag all equipment with defects and remove from
> service.
> ? Address MANDATORY seat belt use (no exceptions)
> ? Allow extra time for mechanical checks
> ? Review defect reports
>
> Infectious Disease Safety
> ? Review department SOPs and protocols
> ? Address proper PPE
> ? Address proper hand washing and other universal protocols
> ? Address vaccine programs
>
> Physical Fitness
> ? Review the IAFF/IAFC Wellness Fitness Initiative. Has the
> fire department adopted fully, at all, in part. This is the
> number one recommendation of NIOSH after any firefighter LODD
> from heart event.
> ? Review fire department hiring procedures. Does the fire
> department use CPAT for candidate physical ability requirements
> and does fire department use NFPA 1582 for candidate medical
> requirements?
> ? Ensure all personnel engage in some form of approved exercise
> for a minimum of 30 minutes. May be as simple as basic
> stretching and a one mile walk around a nearby fitness track or
> marked path.
> ? Address Peer Fitness Trainers and generate interest in getting
> fire department to certify members as IAFF/IAFC PFTs
>
> Training
> ? Review minimum training requirements (fire ground, driving,
> etc.)
> ? Address recruit training, both a training center and in
> company during probation
> ? Address company training needs
> ? Address company critiques after emergency events (all
> regardless of emergency)
> ? Address company officer, especially front line officer,
> training
> ? Address specialized training. Does fire department have
> minimum HazMat, WMD training?
> ? Address wellness fitness training (recruit and company level)
>
> Behavioral Health
> ? Review and address fire department EAPs, CISM, and other
> related programs
> ? Address attitudes relating to safety
> ? Positive and negative
> ? Includes aggression, judgment, ability to execute assigned
> tasks safely, age/experience/physical conditioning
> ? Drill periods?if time permits, then actual safety drills would

> be an excellent way to review emergency operations
> ? Conduct drills that review safety practices and principles for
> targeted areas
> ? Safe driving ? include a practical component (e.g., road trip)
> ? Fire ground operations
> ? Training
> ? Non-fire emergencies
> ? In-station activities
> ? Practical skills drills should include an enhanced safety
> component.
> ? Go to any of the Safety Stand Down Web site at
> www.iafc.org/standdown to select a drill topic
>
> Shift/Group Meal
> ? Prepare healthy meal selected from recipes and share as a
> team. Visit the IAFF's Food Fit Web site, www.foodfit.com/iaff
> for sample recipes.
>
> End Activities
> ? Recap day's emphasis
> ? Second moment of silence for LODDs
> ? Relax
>
> Sample Radio Announcement
>
> "The (Department Name) is participating in the National
> Firefighter Safety Stand Down today. All personnel are to
> observe a moment of silence for our fire service brothers and
> sisters who have lost their lives in the line of duty. All
> department activities for the remainder of the shift are to
> follow the guidelines issued by the fire chief with the full
> support of the IAFF local president/volunteer
> leadership/volunteer chiefs."
>
> -end-
>
> --
> Merlin Willis, N6OFY
> DEC SF ARES
> San Francisco, Ca.
> Peace thru WorldWide Communications
>

40017. RE: [bayscan] Re: Dumb Pilot + Intercept = Unhappy FAA

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 14:37:15 UTC
From: Matthew Kaufman
Topic: 40002 Message: 40017

Inigo4688 says:
> ...
> For information, the FAA will be after that guy not only for
> being an idiot, but there are actually laws he just broke in
> the Federal Avation Regulations too. ;)

Probably not. People buzz along the coastline all the time without getting in serious trouble. Even though it is even more illegal than you think (see below)

> FAR Part 91.13 - Careless & Reckless Operation of an
> aircraft, and FAR Part 91.119 - Minimum Safe Altitudes.
> 91.119 basically says that you're ok flying low out over
> water or un-populated areas so long as you maintain 500' away
> from people, boats etc. He would have broken the law even in
> that situation, but the Warf and the Boardwalk are congested
> areas, and require an altitude of 1000' above the ground, so
> he was just under 1000' too low. And then come the F-16s. Oops!

Out over the water itself, you'd think the 500' away from people and boats rule would apply (since the "500' above ground" part of 91.119 doesn't apply "over open water", just the 500' away from people part)... So you'd think it'd be legal to buzz just a few feet over the water up and down the coast, and so as long as you're 500 feet (in any axis) from the wharf or any other people, you'd think you could be just a few feet of sea level there and still be legal. (The wharf would probably not be considered a "congested area", though the boardwalk might, in the summer)

But actually 15 CFR 922 prohibits flying below 1000' over large portions of the Monterey Bay Marine Sanctuary.

In none of these cases would the F-16s come... But if someone wrote down your registration number and called to report you, or worse, the police wrote it down, or even worse, you were captured on video or file, you could end up very unhappy.

The odds that the guy buzzing the wharf and the guy who needed to check in with the FAA after landing at Gnoss being the same guy are slim, too. Someone who likes to fly that low over water could have made it to Gnoss without touching the class B, after all.

Matthew Kaufman
matthew@...

40018. 3rd alarm City of Santa Clara

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-28 22:07:44 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40018 Message: 40018

Commercial stucture Fire / unknown additional details / Hazmat involved. requested San Jose HIT 29 to scene

3255 Octavius @ Scott Thomas bros map 813 B7

Mutual Aid
San Jose
Sunnyvale
Santa Clara County

Talkgroups 272/304

153.965 Dispatch(repeated from 272)
154.280 White 1

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40019. 580 incident

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 01:55:15 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40019 Message: 40019

580 near Dutton (Eastbound) at least one vehicle overturned and fully involved has a trailer also.....grass fire also
partial list

BC3411
RS3493
Engines 3449 3452 + ?
Truck ??

Oakland

Engine 2560
Engine 2566

ACSO also on scene

1854 hours
TAC 6/ 17680
CHP/ 42.12
ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40020. San Leandro .....

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 02:07:43 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40020 Message: 40020

Hesperian and Springlake.....train vrs 2 peds both DOA

multiple Sheriffs units on scene / CHP enroute

TG 16016

1907 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40021. SFPD low band PL's ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 02:35:12 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40021 Message: 40021

Trying to program up a new vertex radio for just low band. (RX only of course)

Anybody have the Rx PL tones for SFPD's low band channels, as well as the
PL for
SF Water District low band channels and E Bay Regional Parks ?

Anybody else in the bay area on low band I should care about ?

I have 128 channels to play with, and I'm trying to put in PL's so I don't
pick up
Jockstrap Arkansas skip.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.2.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

40022. Large gang fight San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 03:00:52 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40022 Message: 40022

Pacific Islanders / tongans etc.....in Southeast area of
Kelly Park (1300 Senter)

I can't seem to get SJPD on this (weird) probably on channel 5
or 6 but the Sheriffs are sending at least 8 units plus Fire and EMS are
staging

Fire 154.115
EMS 856.4375
SJPD 6/ 460.325
SJPD 5/ 460.400
SCCSO / 156.210

2000 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40023. Re: [bayscan] SFPD low band PL's ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 03:02:08 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40021 Message: 40023

All lowband SFPD channels have a P/L of 131.8 , although in
all the years I monitored them I don't recall a problem with outside
interferance.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40024. Re: [bayscan] Large gang fight San Jose

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 03:17:14 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40022 Message: 40024

At 08:00 PM 5/28/2005, you wrote:

> Pacific Islanders / tongans etc.....in Southeast area of
>Kelly Park (1300 Senter)
>
> I can't seem to get SJPD on this (weird) probably on channel 5
>or 6 but the Sheriffs are sending at least 8 units plus Fire and EMS
>are
>staging

It was on SJPD 6

Near the same time SJPD 2 had a code 3 fill request for another fight at
Century 25 theaters.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.2.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40025. SFPD PL

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 03:25:21 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40025 Message: 40025

Thanks.

PL helps even with the computer noise.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.2.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

40026. Re: [bayscan] SFPD PL

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 03:24:19 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40025 Message: 40026

LOL , when I monitored SFPD low band there was NO such thing as a PC or a MAC LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40027. Re: SFPD low band PL's ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 03:41:38 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 40021 Message: 40027

East Bay Regional Parks 44.760 PL 114.8
*Marin Co Fire 37.100 PL 136.5
*Marin S.O. Main 39.240 PL 82.5

*Marin is still acive on low band until the final switch to MERA,
which will come sometime late this year.

Other than that, there's CHP and not much else on low band in the Bay
Area. If you do want to know if there's a low band skip opening,
program in 33.900, CSQ. This is Hamilton County/Cincinnati OH FD and
always seems to be one of the first eastern US frequency I pick up
when the band opens.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> Trying to program up a new vertex radio for just low band. (RX only
of course)
>
> Anybody have the Rx PL tones for SFPD's low band channels, as well
as the
> PL for
> SF Water District low band channels and E Bay Regional Parks ?
>
> Anybody else in the bay area on low band I should care about ?
>
> I have 128 channels to play with, and I'm trying to put in PL's so
I don't
> pick up
> Jockstrap Arkansas skip.

40028. Re: [bayscan] Re: SFPD low band PL's ?

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 04:13:36 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40021 Message: 40028

At 08:41 PM 5/28/2005, you wrote:

>East Bay Regional Parks 44.760 PL 114.8
>*Marin Co Fire 37.100 PL 136.5
>*Marin S.O. Main 39.240 PL 82.5
>
>*Marin is still acive on low band until the final switch to MERA,
>which will come sometime late this year.
>
>Other than that, there's CHP and not much else on low band in the Bay
>Area.
/////

Thanks.

Yes, I'm having trouble filling up 120 channels for the bay area.

I may add some of the Nevada County Fire channels for summer fire season since
I seem to get Placer CHP pretty strong.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.2.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40029. Last low band question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 04:27:10 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40029 Message: 40029

Anyone know if Red Cross uses PL tones ?

Thx

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.2.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

40030. Re: Last low band question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 05:43:58 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 40029 Message: 40030

If you mean the 47.420 frequency then no, it's CSQ. The Red Cross in the Bay Area almost never uses the low band frequencies in any case. They typically use either their UHF frequencies or Nextel, which seem to be by far the most common way they communicate now.

Regards, Jim

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, KG6VXT <kg6vxt@s...> wrote:
> Anyone know if Red Cross uses PL tones ?
>
> Thx

40031. Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 05:58:42 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 40031 Message: 40031

Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
will be transported via chopper.
Novato Fire-28816
CALCORD-156-075

10:57 PM

CHP CAD
Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
10:49PM - ROLLOVER

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene

Robert
BayScan

40032. mera 28816 2300

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 06:00:39 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 40032 Message: 40032

single vehicle into a utility pole, 2 subjects inside...

starting copter, comms will be on calcord reach 1, eta 20 minutes

novato at alameda del prado

40033. Novato Accident Update 11:05 PM

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 06:06:18 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 40033 Message: 40033

Setting up the LZ (Landing Zone) for that critical patient transport.
First patient extracated and sounds like more more remaining. One went
via ground ambulance already.

I would tune in 155.280 if your in line of site of Novato so you can
hear the patient transport information after they take off.
That's a great frequency to monitor when they transport someone via
chopper. They will give out all the vital patient information.

Robert
BayScan

40034. CHP CAD UPDATE 11:19pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 06:23:19 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 40034 Message: 40034

Two of the three patients have been transported to Marin General and
the third is going via chopper shortly.
This is the CHP CAD:

Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
5/28/2005 11:18:57 PM

ADDITIONAL DETAILS
11:06PM - 1039 DOWNTOWN IGNACIO FOR FB
11:05PM - 1039 PGE - ADV ALREADY NOTIFIED
11:03PM - NEG DAMAGE TO POLE - BUT IT HAS BEEN MOVED 3 INCHES OFF ITS
BASE
11:03PM - PLZ CONTACT PGE RE POWER POLE 2389
11:01PM - ROLL 1185 FB PLZ
10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
10:49PM - ROLLOVER

RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
10:58PM - CHP Unit Assigned

40035. Re: [bayscan] CHP CAD UPDATE 11:19pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 06:29:28 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 40034 Message: 40035

significant EtOH on one patient, per fire, in custody of CHP but being
transported to mgh...
44912

On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 23:23 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:

> Two of the three patients have been transported to Marin General and
> the third is going via chopper shortly.
> This is the CHP CAD:
>
> Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
> Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
> 5/28/2005 11:18:57 PM
>
> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
> 11:06PM - 1039 DOWNTOWN IGNACIO FOR FB
> 11:05PM - 1039 PGE - ADV ALREADY NOTIFIED
> 11:03PM - NEG DAMAGE TO POLE - BUT IT HAS BEEN MOVED 3 INCHES OFF ITS
> BASE
> 11:03PM - PLZ CONTACT PGE RE POWER POLE 2389
> 11:01PM - ROLL 1185 FB PLZ
> 10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
> 10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
> 10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>
> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
> 10:58PM - CHP Unit Assigned
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

40036. SF Carnavle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 14:50:05 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 40036 Message: 40036

SFPD will be using PD A-9(13104)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40037. Re: [bayscan] SF Carnavle

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 16:46:23 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 40036 Message: 40037

Mission command will be on PD A-9(13104)and will cover both the parade(starts at 24th & Harrison will end at 16th & So.Van Ness) & Street fair on Harrison St, and Mission Dist. will stay on A-3 & A-4(12912-12944)

----- Original Message -----
From: Scanner 4
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 07:50
Subject: [bayscan] SF Carnavle

SFPD will be using PD A-9(13104)

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40038. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 17:29:04 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 40031 Message: 40038

bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
manslaughter.

in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing s
that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:

1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal choices
about next steps?

2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial

thoughts, anyone?
On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:

> Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
> will be transported via chopper.
> Novato Fire-28816
> CALCORD-156-075
>
> 10:57 PM
>
> CHP CAD
> Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
> Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
> 5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>
> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
> 10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
> 10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
> 10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>
> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>

> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

=========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

40039. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 17:43:15 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 40031 Message: 40039

was reach riding with the drunken driver or another vic? REACH probably didn't want to put anyone in the air under the influence and take the chance of him start an incident in the air OR they couldn't paralyze someone under the influence for side affect reasons...

nina zhito wrote:

>bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
>22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
>manslaughter.
>
>in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing s
>that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
>
>1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
>thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal choices
>about next steps?
>
>2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
>general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
>
>thoughts, anyone?
>On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:
>
>
>
>>Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
>>will be transported via chopper.
>>Novato Fire-28816
>>CALCORD-156-075
>>
>>10:57 PM
>>
>>CHP CAD
>>Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
>>Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
>>5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>>
>>ADDITIONAL DETAILS
>>10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
>>10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
>>10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>>
>>RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene

>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>
>>Robert
>>BayScan
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>========================
>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

40040. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 17:44:14 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 40031 Message: 40040

"2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial"

Do I under stand this right ? that the helo crew went with the ground crew?
Why? SF's procall's call for a firefighter to go along if need be,
Why take such a big part out of the responce picture.
So now I want to know how long REACH was out of service because of that???

Is Novato Gen. a truma center?

----- Original Message -----
From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 10:29
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
manslaughter.

in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing s
that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:

1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal choices
about next steps?

2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial

thoughts, anyone?
On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:

> Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
> will be transported via chopper.
> Novato Fire-28816
> CALCORD-156-075
>
> 10:57 PM
>
> CHP CAD
> Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
> Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
> 5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>
> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
> 10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH

> 10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
> 10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>
> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>
> Robert
> BayScan
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604

Yahoo! Groups Links

40041. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 17:55:38 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 40031 Message: 40041

Helo crews do it all the time.. Its a step up level of care having a
nurse along to the hospital

Scanner 4 wrote:

>"2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
>general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial"
>
>Do I under stand this right ? that the helo crew went with the ground crew?
>Why? SF's procall's call for a firefighter to go along if need be,
>Why take such a big part out of the responce picture.
>So now I want to know how long REACH was out of service because of that???
>
>Is Novato Gen. a truma center?
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
>To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 10:29
>Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato
>
>
>bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
>22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
>manslaughter.
>
>in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing s
>that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
>
>1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
>thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal choices
>about next steps?
>
>2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
>general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
>
>thoughts, anyone?
>On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:
>
>
>
>
>>Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
>>will be transported via chopper.
>>Novato Fire-28816
>>CALCORD-156-075

>>
>>10:57 PM
>>
>>CHP CAD
>>Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
>>Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
>>5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>>
>>ADDITIONAL DETAILS
>>10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
>>10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
>>10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>>
>>RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>
>>Robert
>>BayScan
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>========================
>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

40042. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 17:51:15 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 40031 Message: 40042

as i understand it, reach rode with novato emergency responders and the 14 yo victim to the local community hospital, maybe 5 miles away. the unattended copter wound up blocking traffic for a bit cause of where the lz was.

my wildy speculative and uninformed interpretation just on listening was that the patient was... "in extremis" .... and that it was considered desireable to have him a hospital, any hospital, stat, instead of having him expire in the air... we don't know time of death or how long he was in the hospital til he was pronounced... i thought i heard first pd on scene call for a chopper which seemed weird. unless the tgs have changed it was pd and not fire who made that call...

paralyzed? don't understand.

On Sunday, May 29, 2005, at 10:43 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:

> was reach riding with the drunken driver or another vic? REACH probably
> didn't want to put anyone in the air under the influence and take the
> chance of him start an incident in the air OR they couldn't paralyze
> someone under the influence for side affect reasons...
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>
>> bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
>> 22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
>> manslaughter.
>>
>> in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing
>> s
>> that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
>>
>> 1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
>> thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal
>> choices
>> about next steps?
>>
>> 2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
>> general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
>>
>> thoughts, anyone?
>> On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one

>>> will be transported via chopper.
>>> Novato Fire-28816
>>> CALCORD-156-075
>>>
>>> 10:57 PM
>>>
>>> CHP CAD
>>> Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
>>> Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
>>> 5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>>>
>>> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
>>> 10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
>>> 10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
>>> 10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>>>
>>> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
>>> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>>
>>> Robert
>>> BayScan
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> ========================
>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

40043. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 17:54:17 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 40031 Message: 40043

no, novato is a community hospital not a trauma center. the trauma
center --such as it is, without a heliport that is -- is marin general,
in the greenbrae area.

yes, as reach personnel road with ground crew.

who knows why at the moment, but i am sure their reasoning was sound....

On Sunday, May 29, 2005, at 10:44 US/Pacific, Scanner 4 wrote:

> "2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
> general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial"
>
> Do I under stand this right ? that the helo crew went with the ground
> crew?
> Why? SF's procall's call for a firefighter to go along if need be,
> Why take such a big part out of the responce picture.
> So now I want to know how long REACH was out of service because of
> that???
>
> Is Novato Gen. a truma center?
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 10:29
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato
>
>
> bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
> 22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
> manslaughter.
>
> in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing s
> that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
>
> 1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
> thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal choices
> about next steps?
>
> 2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
> general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
>
> thoughts, anyone?
> On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:
>

>> Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
>> will be transported via chopper.
>> Novato Fire-28816
>> CALCORD-156-075
>>
>> 10:57 PM
>>
>> CHP CAD
>> Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
>> Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
>> 5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>>
>> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
>> 10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
>> 10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
>> 10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>>
>> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
>> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>
>> Robert
>> BayScan
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> ========================
> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

========================
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

40044. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 17:55:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40031 Message: 40044

Was wondering why CHP was handling this ? Did the car come thru a fence
from 101 ?

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40045. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 17:59:40 UTC
From: nina zhito
Topic: 40031 Message: 40045

why the change in destination?

On Sunday, May 29, 2005, at 10:55 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:

> Helo crews do it all the time.. Its a step up level of care having a
> nurse along to the hospital
>
> Scanner 4 wrote:
>
>> "2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
>> general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial"
>>
>> Do I under stand this right ? that the helo crew went with the ground
>> crew?
>> Why? SF's procall's call for a firefighter to go along if need be,
>> Why take such a big part out of the responce picture.
>> So now I want to know how long REACH was out of service because of
>> that???
>>
>> Is Novato Gen. a truma center?
>>
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "nina zhito" <photozhito@comcast.net>
>> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
>> Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 10:29
>> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato
>>
>>
>> bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
>> 22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
>> manslaughter.
>>
>> in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing
>> s
>> that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
>>
>> 1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
>> thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal
>> choices
>> about next steps?
>>
>> 2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
>> general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
>>
>> thoughts, anyone?

>> On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
>>> will be transported via chopper.
>>> Novato Fire-28816
>>> CALCORD-156-075
>>>
>>> 10:57 PM
>>>
>>> CHP CAD
>>> Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
>>> Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
>>> 5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>>>
>>> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
>>> 10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
>>> 10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
>>> 10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>>>
>>> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
>>> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>>
>>> Robert
>>> BayScan
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> ========================
>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>

>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

==========
zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
(415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604

40046. Mountain View 2nd alarm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 18:13:26 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 14543 Message: 40046

615 South Rengstorff @ Latham .....vehicle into laundrymat with Fire

2 rescued / AMR transporting to Stanford

Engine 1-3-2-5 Truck 1 Rescue 1 Battalion 18

Mutual Aid includes Palo Alto BC6 , Moffett Truck 6 (to Station 1)
unknown which Engines on the 2nd

154.025
1113 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40047. 2nd Alarm Resuce, Mtn. View 1115hrs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 18:20:11 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40047 Message: 40047

2nd alarm for a vehicle fully into a commercial structure.

Laundromat

Somewhere off Rengstorf.. didn't catch the location.

Mtn. View Primary
154.025

2nd alarm units from
Moffett Field (special request for truck company)
Palo Alto

3 victims trapped, medical group established.

Some concern on structural stability.

Building Inspector requested.
PG and E

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.2.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40048. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 18:23:27 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 40031 Message: 40048

when in flight, flight nurse give a combo of drugs to paralyse the
patient so he or she desn't thrash about in the air.

nina zhito wrote:

>as i understand it, reach rode with novato emergency responders and the
>14 yo victim to the local community hospital, maybe 5 miles away. the
>unattended copter wound up blocking traffic for a bit cause of where
>the lz was.
>
>my wildy speculative and uninformed interpretation just on listening
>was that the patient was... "in extremis" .... and that it was
>considered desireable to have him a hospital, any hospital, stat,
>instead of having him expire in the air... we don't know time of death
>or how long he was in the hospital til he was pronounced... i thought
>i heard first pd on scene call for a chopper which seemed weird.
>unless the tgs have changed it was pd and not fire who made that call...
>
>paralyzed? don't understand.
>
>
>
> On Sunday, May 29, 2005, at 10:43 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
>
>
>
>>was reach riding with the drunken driver or another vic? REACH probably
>>didn't want to put anyone in the air under the influence and take the
>>chance of him start an incident in the air OR they couldn't paralyze
>>someone under the influence for side affect reasons...
>>
>>nina zhito wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
>>>22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
>>>manslaughter.
>>>
>>>in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing
>>>s
>>>that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
>>>
>>>1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
>>>thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal

>>>choices
>>>about next steps?
>>>
>>>2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
>>>general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
>>>
>>>thoughts, anyone?
>>>On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
>>>>will be transported via chopper.
>>>>Novato Fire-28816
>>>>CALCORD-156-075
>>>>
>>>>10:57 PM
>>>>
>>>>CHP CAD
>>>>Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
>>>>Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
>>>>5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>>>>
>>>>ADDITIONAL DETAILS
>>>>10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
>>>>10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
>>>>10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>>>>
>>>>RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
>>>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>>>
>>>>Robert
>>>>BayScan
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>========================
>>>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
>>> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>========================
>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

40049. Re: [bayscan] Re: Last low band question

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 18:26:15 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40029 Message: 40049

At 10:43 PM 5/28/2005, you wrote:

>If you mean the 47.420 frequency then no, it's CSQ. The Red Cross in
>the Bay Area almost never uses the low band frequencies in any case.
>They typically use either their UHF frequencies or Nextel, which seem
>to be by far the most common way they communicate now.

Thanks Jim.

And we all know how WELL NexTel works in a disaster....

Just ask Florida.

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.2.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40050. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View 2nd alarm UPDATE 1155 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 18:56:47 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40050 Message: 40050

2nd alarm was clearly precautionary ....almost all units
now released..........vehicle is " holding up " the building according to BC18
.

awaiting board-up crew , which needs to include shoring up the building.

1156 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40051. Re: [bayscan] Mountain View 2nd alarm UPDATE 1155 hours

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 19:04:56 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 40050 Message: 40051

do you have an address?
----- Original Message -----
From: caladded@...
To: bayscan@yahoogroups.com
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 11:56
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Mountain View 2nd alarm UPDATE 1155 hours

2nd alarm was clearly precautionary ....almost all units
now released.....vehicle is " holding up " the building according to BC18
.

awaiting board-up crew , which needs to include shoring up the building.

1156 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

Yahoo! Groups Links

a.. To visit your group on the web, go to:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/bayscan/

b.. To unsubscribe from this group, send an email to:
bayscan-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com

c.. Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to the Yahoo! Terms of Service.

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40052. Off topic

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 19:07:07 UTC
From: Scanner 4
Topic: 40052 Message: 40052

Great Indy 500
#16 is the first FEMALE to lead a lap at the Indy 500, currently in 13th with 127 of 200 completed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40053. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 22:00:07 UTC
From: Bayard Culley
Topic: 40031 Message: 40053

When a patient has airway management issues (such as a clinched jaw) the paramedics / flight nurses cannot incubate (breathing tube) so they may induce a paralytic state known as RSI (Rapid Sequence Incubation). This in a sense paralysis the pt and relaxes his muscles so his airway can be secured. This can also be used for pts that are still semi conscious but cannot maintain their airway. Ben is also correct. The pt could have been very violent due to hypoxia or blunt trauma to the head; however I think the more likely reason for them to go to Novato Community was because of an unstable/unmanageable airway. Just a hunch from my past and present field experience.

Take a look at this link. Specificly #6 on the list.

http://www.emsmagazine.com/articles/airwayart.html

----- Original Message -----
From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 11:23 AM
Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

> when in flight, flight nurse give a combo of drugs to paralyse the
> patient so he or she desn't thrash about in the air.
>
> nina zhito wrote:
>
>>as i understand it, reach rode with novato emergency responders and the
>>14 yo victim to the local community hospital, maybe 5 miles away. the
>>unattended copter wound up blocking traffic for a bit cause of where
>>the lz was.
>>
>>my wildy speculative and uninformed interpretation just on listening
>>was that the patient was... "in extremis" .... and that it was
>>considered desireable to have him a hospital, any hospital, stat,
>>instead of having him expire in the air... we don't know time of death
>>or how long he was in the hospital til he was pronounced... i thought
>>i heard first pd on scene call for a chopper which seemed weird.
>>unless the tgs have changed it was pd and not fire who made that call...
>>
>>paralyzed? don't understand.
>>
>>
>>
>> On Sunday, May 29, 2005, at 10:43 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
>>
>>

>>
>>>was reach riding with the drunken driver or another vic? REACH probably
>>>didn't want to put anyone in the air under the influence and take the
>>>chance of him start an incident in the air OR they couldn't paralyze
>>>someone under the influence for side affect reasons...
>>>
>>>nina zhito wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that the
>>>>22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
>>>>manslaughter.
>>>>
>>>>in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of thing
>>>>s
>>>>that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
>>>>
>>>>1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
>>>>thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal
>>>>choices
>>>>about next steps?
>>>>
>>>>2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
>>>>general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
>>>>
>>>>thoughts, anyone?
>>>>On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical, one
>>>>>will be transported via chopper.
>>>>>Novato Fire-28816
>>>>>CALCORD-156-075
>>>>>
>>>>>10:57 PM
>>>>>
>>>>>CHP CAD
>>>>>Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
>>>>>Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
>>>>>5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>>>>>
>>>>>ADDITIONAL DETAILS
>>>>>10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
>>>>>10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
>>>>>10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>>>>>

>>>>>RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
>>>>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>>>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>>>>
>>>>>Robert
>>>>>BayScan
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>========================
>>>>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
>>>> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>=========================
>>zhito photography ? www.ninazhito.com
>> (415) 892-5443 ? (707) 338-3604
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>

40054. Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-29 22:31:38 UTC
From: Craig Rose
Topic: 40031 Message: 40054

You mean intubation, right? I don't think "incubation" has anything to do with tracheal intubation - passing a tube through the nose/mouth, through the larynx, and into the trachea. RSI or Rapid Sequence Induction is a variation of the standard technique for patients under anesthesia. It is performed when immediate definitive airway management through intubation is required, and especially when there is a risk of aspiration. For RSI, a short acting narcotic is administered followed shortly thereafter by a paralytic such as succinylcholine.

At any rate, TMI to be sure, but for those of us that grew up with an ICU/Burn Unit nurse for a mother, it brings back memories ;^)

-C-

---
craig allyn rose photography

http://www.emergencyphoto.com
http://www.carosephoto.com

craig@...

- emergency, nature, aviation,
travel and urban themes -

On May 29, 2005, at 3:00 PM, Bayard Culley wrote:

> When a patient has airway management issues (such as a clinched jaw)
> the
> paramedics / flight nurses cannot incubate (breathing tube) so they may
> induce a paralytic state known as RSI (Rapid Sequence Incubation).
> This in a
> sense paralysis the pt and relaxes his muscles so his airway can be
> secured.
> This can also be used for pts that are still semi conscious but cannot
> maintain their airway. Ben is also correct. The pt could have been very
> violent due to hypoxia or blunt trauma to the head; however I think
> the more
> likely reason for them to go to Novato Community was because of an
> unstable/unmanageable airway. Just a hunch from my past and present
> field
> experience.
>
>
> Take a look at this link. Specificly #6 on the list.
>

> http://www.emsmagazine.com/articles/airwayart.html
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Ben" <ben96cal@...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 11:23 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato
>
>
>> when in flight, flight nurse give a combo of drugs to paralyse the
>> patient so he or she desn't thrash about in the air.
>>
>> nina zhito wrote:
>>
>>> as i understand it, reach rode with novato emergency responders and
>>> the
>>> 14 yo victim to the local community hospital, maybe 5 miles away.
>>> the
>>> unattended copter wound up blocking traffic for a bit cause of where
>>> the lz was.
>>>
>>> my wildy speculative and uninformed interpretation just on listening
>>> was that the patient was... "in extremis" .... and that it was
>>> considered desireable to have him a hospital, any hospital, stat,
>>> instead of having him expire in the air... we don't know time of
>>> death
>>> or how long he was in the hospital til he was pronounced... i
>>> thought
>>> i heard first pd on scene call for a chopper which seemed weird.
>>> unless the tgs have changed it was pd and not fire who made that
>>> call...
>>>
>>> paralyzed? don't understand.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> On Sunday, May 29, 2005, at 10:43 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>> was reach riding with the drunken driver or another vic? REACH
>>>> probably
>>>> didn't want to put anyone in the air under the influence and take
>>>> the
>>>> chance of him start an incident in the air OR they couldn't paralyze
>>>> someone under the influence for side affect reasons...
>>>>
>>>> nina zhito wrote:
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and that
>>>>> the
>>>>> 22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
>>>>> manslaughter.
>>>>>
>>>>> in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of
>>>>> thing
>>>>> s
>>>>> that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
>>>>> thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal
>>>>> choices
>>>>> about next steps?
>>>>>
>>>>> 2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital
>>>>> --novato
>>>>> general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
>>>>>
>>>>> thoughts, anyone?
>>>>> On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2 critical,
>>>>>> one
>>>>>> will be transported via chopper.
>>>>>> Novato Fire-28816
>>>>>> CALCORD-156-075
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 10:57 PM
>>>>>>
>>>>>> CHP CAD
>>>>>> Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
>>>>>> Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
>>>>>> 5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ADDITIONAL DETAILS
>>>>>> 10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
>>>>>> 10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
>>>>>> 10:49PM - ROLLOVER
>>>>>>
>>>>>> RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
>>>>>> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
>>>>>> 10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene

>>>>>>
>>>>>> Robert
>>>>>> BayScan
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> ========================
>>>>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>>>>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> ========================
>>> zhito photography • www.ninazhito.com
>>> (415) 892-5443 • (707) 338-3604
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Yahoo! Groups Links
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
> Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

40055. Re: Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 00:21:50 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz
Topic: 40031 Message: 40055

Bayard,

Isn't it intubate?

But I may be wrong.

Ed

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Bayard Culley" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> When a patient has airway management issues (such as a clinched jaw)
the
> paramedics / flight nurses cannot incubate (breathing tube) so they may
> induce a paralytic state known as RSI (Rapid Sequence Incubation).
This in a
> sense paralysis the pt and relaxes his muscles so his airway can be
secured.
> This can also be used for pts that are still semi conscious but cannot
> maintain their airway. Ben is also correct. The pt could have been very
> violent due to hypoxia or blunt trauma to the head; however I think
the more
> likely reason for them to go to Novato Community was because of an
> unstable/unmanageable airway. Just a hunch from my past and present
field
> experience.
>
>
> Take a look at this link. Specificly #6 on the list.
>
> http://www.emsmagazine.com/articles/airwayart.html
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Ben" <ben96cal@d...>
> To: <bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
> Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2005 11:23 AM
> Subject: Re: [bayscan] Bad Accident in Novato
>
>
> > when in flight, flight nurse give a combo of drugs to paralyse the
> > patient so he or she desn't thrash about in the air.
> >
> > nina zhito wrote:
> >
> >>as i understand it, reach rode with novato emergency responders
and the

> >>14 yo victim to the local community hospital, maybe 5 miles away. the
> >>unattended copter wound up blocking traffic for a bit cause of where
> >>the lz was.
> >>
> >>my wildy speculative and uninformed interpretation just on listening
> >>was that the patient was... "in extremis" .... and that it was
> >>considered desireable to have him a hospital, any hospital, stat,
> >>instead of having him expire in the air... we don't know time of death
> >>or how long he was in the hospital til he was pronounced... i thought
> >>i heard first pd on scene call for a chopper which seemed weird.
> >>unless the tgs have changed it was pd and not fire who made that
call...
> >>
> >>paralyzed? don't understand.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> On Sunday, May 29, 2005, at 10:43 US/Pacific, Ben wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>was reach riding with the drunken driver or another vic? REACH
probably
> >>>didn't want to put anyone in the air under the influence and take the
> >>>chance of him start an incident in the air OR they couldn't paralyze
> >>>someone under the influence for side affect reasons...
> >>>
> >>>nina zhito wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>bcn advises that the juvenile succumbed to his injuries, and
that the
> >>>>22 yo driver faces charges of felony drunk driving and vehic
> >>>>manslaughter.
> >>>>
> >>>>in monitoring this event last night i was struck by a couple of
thing
> >>>>s
> >>>>that suggested the outcome might not be a happy one:
> >>>>
> >>>>1) times were asked for repeatedly... suggesting a need for extra
> >>>>thorough documentation, perhaps, or to help the ic make optimal
> >>>>choices
> >>>>about next steps?
> >>>>
> >>>>2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
> >>>>general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial
> >>>>

> >>>>thoughts, anyone?
> >>>>On Saturday, May 28, 2005, at 22:58 US/Pacific, Robert Sanford
wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Sounds like a very bad accident in Novato, 3 patients 2
critical, one
> >>>>will be transported via chopper.
> >>>>Novato Fire-28816
> >>>>CALCORD-156-075
> >>>>
> >>>>10:57 PM
> >>>>
> >>>>CHP CAD
> >>>>Incident: 2468 Type: Traffic Collision - Ambulance Responding
> >>>>Location: 400 ALAMEDA DEL PRADO Zoom Map: 546 2F Info as of:
> >>>>5/28/2005 10:58:22 PM
> >>>>
> >>>>ADDITIONAL DETAILS
> >>>>10:49PM - 2 JUVS TRAPPED IN VEH
> >>>>10:49PM - INTO POWER POLE
> >>>>10:49PM - ROLLOVER
> >>>>
> >>>>RESPONDING OFFICERS STATUS
> >>>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> >>>>10:57PM - CHP Unit On Scene
> >>>>
> >>>>Robert
> >>>>BayScan
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>

> >>>>>
> >>>>=========================
> >>>>zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> >>>> (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>=========================
> >>zhito photography ☐ www.ninazhito.com
> >> (415) 892-5443 ☐ (707) 338-3604
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Yahoo! Groups Links
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

40056. Re: [bayscan] Re: Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 03:02:29 UTC
From: Boulrog@aol.com
Topic: 40031 Message: 40056

it IS intubate.... isnt this guy supposed to be a medic?? lol

In a message dated 5/29/2005 5:22:09 PM Pacific Daylight Time,
sr_radio@... writes:

Bayard,

Isn't it intubate?

But I may be wrong.

Ed

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40057. More online feeds from our generous scanning community.

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 04:11:41 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 40057 Message: 40057

Come check em out.. Fire season is here. Lots to listen to... I'm still looking for someone who'd be interested in streaming L.A. County fire, Monterey Peninsula and santa barbara county area.. lemme know if you can help and make this a better community everyday.

WWW.MATTLOGIC.COM
Yahoo group
norcalonlinescanning

SCANNER 1 SFFD & SFPD
SCANNER 2 CDF 1 & CDF 2
SCANNER 3 FD's on VHF 1 & 2
SCANNER 4 NORTHERN CA CHP
SCANNER 5 Sacramento Trs, ALCO TRS & Livermore TRS
SCANNER 6 CDF SHASTA AREA
SCANNER 7 CDF Riverside, San Bernardino,San Diego
SCANNER 8 CDF, BLM & NATIONAL FORESTS(summer Only)
SCANNER 9 Kern County Fire & S.O.(Winamp)
SCANNER 10 Kern County S.O. Dispatch Channels(Winamp)
SCANNER 11 Fresno County Fire Agencies (winamp)
SCANNER 12 Santa Barbara County FD, S.O.(Winamp)
SCANNER 13 San Diego City (Winamp)
SCANNER 14 San Bernardino County FD,PD @CDF
SCANNER 15 Shasta Area Law Feed
SCANNER 16 Imperial County-RCS
SCANNER 17 Inyo County LE & Fire
SCANNER 18 San Bernardino Trunk System 4 & 5
SCANNER 19 Oakland FD (Coming Soon)
SCANNER 20 MERA Trunk System (Coming Soon)
SCANNER 21 CDF 3
*****SCANNER 22 San Diego County RCS (Fire)
<http://www.mattlogic.com/scanner22.html>*****

40058. Coco County Action 9:50pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 04:51:05 UTC
From: Robert Sanford
Topic: 40058 Message: 40058

Something going on Contra Costa Sheriff 155.310 looking for a suspect with a gun, they have H-30 on the way.

9:50 pm

Robert
BayScan

40059. Re: Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 05:36:36 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 40031 Message: 40059

So funny I forgot to laugh....MS Words auto corrector has failed me. Can we please not focus on a mistype and rather focus on the valuable and insightful information provided within my post. If you people had taken the time to click on the link prior to responding you would have read the title "(RSI) Rapid sequence INTUBATION)" and it would have been painfully obvious that incubation was a mere mistype. Please keep your derogatory comment," isn't this guy suppose to be a medic" to your self. 1 Post about a single mistype would have been plenty. Ed thanks you for your tact that others lack.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Boulrog@a... wrote:
>
> it IS intubate.... isnt this guy supposed to be a medic?? lol
>
> In a message dated 5/29/2005 5:22:09 PM Pacific Daylight Time,
> sr_radio@y... writes:
>
> Bayard,
>
> Isn't it intubate?
>
> But I may be wrong.
>
> Ed
>
>
>
>
>
> [Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40060. Re: Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 05:51:17 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 40031 Message: 40060

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, nina zhito <photozhito@c...> wrote:
> as i understand it, reach rode with novato emergency responders and the
> 14 yo victim to the local community hospital, maybe 5 miles away. the
> unattended copter wound up blocking traffic for a bit cause of where
> the lz was.

I doubt the helo was left unattended. REACH flies with 2 medics (or 1 medic 1 EMT) and a pilot - the pilot will have no official medical involvement. His job is to secure the helo.

40061. Re: Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 05:53:22 UTC
From: Evan
Topic: 40031 Message: 40061

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
> "2) reach personnel accompanied nfd medics to local hospital --novato
> general-- instead of flying to snata ros memorial"
>
> Do I under stand this right ? that the helo crew went with the
ground crew?
> Why? SF's procall's call for a firefighter to go along if need be,

Protocol you mean? In instances such as this, they may need the level
of training a flight medic (REACH is Medics I believe, not nurses)
that a firefighter may not have?

> Why take such a big part out of the responce picture.
> So now I want to know how long REACH was out of service because of
that???

See above. :)

40062. Re: Bad Accident in Novato

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 06:41:56 UTC
From: Jim Cooke
Topic: 40031 Message: 40062

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Evan" <evan@e...> wrote:
> --- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "Scanner 4" <scanner4@c...> wrote:
> In instances such as this, they may need the level
> of training a flight medic (REACH is Medics I believe, not nurses)
> that a firefighter may not have?

REACH normally does carry a MIC nurse in addition to a medic. I suspect that this unfortunate kid had traumatic airway injuries and the flight nurse rode along with the ambulance to assist in managing the airway. If he could have been stabilized at Novato Community, REACH could have then flown him to Santa Rosa but I think the providers on scene knew that this wasn't going to be the case. The radio traffic I heard from the scene made it pretty clear that there was going to be a fatality from this accident.

Regards, Jim

40063. Code33 Sunnyvale 459PC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 07:03:57 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 40063 Message: 40063

Sunnyvale PD has set up perimeter searching for a burglary suspect in the area of Arques and Lawrence Express Way. Building search of a commercial structure is currently occurring.

Sunnyvale PD 482.96250

40064. Re: Code33 Sunnyvale 459PC

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 07:08:12 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 40063 Message: 40064

Suspect feld the area unk direction of travel. Building is "all clear"
after search. Perimeter units cleared and code33 was lifited.

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, "stfucode3" <Charger@W...> wrote:
> Sunnyvale PD has set up perimeter searching for a burglary suspect in
> the area of Arques and Lawrence Express Way. Building search of a
> commercial structure is currently occurring.
>
> Sunnyvale PD 482.96250

40065. Bad crash / SR 85 @ Union

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 08:17:20 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40065 Message: 40065

Santa Clara Co. Fire + Four AMR rigs .....southbound 85 at Union
..... 2 Vehicles - at least 7 injuried / 3 major trauma
declaring MCI Alert

Fire on Command 1 / 153.905
EMS on Med 92/ 857.4375
CHP / 42.50

0115 hours

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40066. SR 85 crash UPDATE

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 08:28:53 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40066 Message: 40066

5 AMR rigs code 3 with 3 trama alert patients.....IC
requesting at least 2 BLS rigs for 4 victims with minor injuries.

0128 last update

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40067. OT, the "NexTel-ization" of 700mhz

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-30 17:52:19 UTC
From: KG6VXT
Topic: 40067 Message: 40067

Interesting article.
http://www.wirelessnewsfactor.com/story.xhtml?story_id=35799

Wonder if the FCC will be blinded by auction $$$ again and screw up 700mhz for public safety like they did 800mhz.

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.322 / Virus Database: 267.2.0 - Release Date: 5/27/2005

40068. East Palo Alto Shooting @ 5:02 pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 00:05:59 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 40068 Message: 40068

Menlo Park Department Engines 5 and 2 responding to 1512 Kavanaugh in East Palo Alto for 3 to 4 people shot. Engine 2 now requesting Battalion 1 and a 2nd code 3 ambulance. I can't hear EPA PD from here, so I doubt I'll have any additional on this unless it comes from the FD side.

Engine 2 now reports they are taking one patient, Engine 5 to handle one shot in the foot and another is DOA on scene, Engine 1 also enroute to the scene.

Jeff

40069. East Palo Alto Shooting update @ 5:15 pm

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 00:17:17 UTC
From: sixbyfire
Topic: 40068 Message: 40069

Engine 2 reports they found another shooting victim, there are a total of 4 people who have been shot, one of which is DOA, there are 3 code 3 ambulances enroute. Engines 5 and 1 are on scene and Battalion 1 is now Kavanaugh Command. They are using Control 5 for the tac channel 156.105 mhz which is streamed from firedispatch.com, though I haven't heard anything on there yet. It sounds like all 3 victims are going to be transported to Stanford.

Nothing further on this from my end unless the situation changes drastically.

Jeff

40070. Re: [bayscan] East Palo Alto Shooting update @ 5:18pm NUMBER 3

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 00:22:05 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40070 Message: 40070

Police using EPA primary (488.3875) and Tac 3 (488.5375)

with mutual aid from the S/O , Menlo Park P.D. and Palo Alto P.D.

One DOA
One shot in back
One shot in foot
unknown on 4th victim

Since I live in EPA and very aware of this stuff , I would actually except additional shootings as soon as today/tonight..... there are rival gangs involved in lot of the shootings in town. 1200 block on Newbridge is on HOT area.

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40071. EPA Shooting update number 4

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 00:29:38 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40071 Message: 40071

Suspect vehicle is 2001 Brown Chevy Malibu ,

CA plate of 4 LYW 259 , registered out of Menlo Park

final update/ unless .....

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40072. 2 DOA today in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 07:58:11 UTC
From: stfucode3
Topic: 40072 Message: 40072

Surprised no one posted on either of these. I could not since I'm working on MED 7

1st DOA around 5p.m.
LOC: South Mary on Train Tracks
Train VS Ped

2nd DOA around 9:20p.m. (I was 1st unit on scene)
LOC: North 101 @ North Fair Oaks
Several Autos VS Ped on HWY 101
Freeway was shut down for 2-3hours

Due to possible conflict of interest I will not comment further until news articles and information are released by the PIO on these calls.

40073. Re: [bayscan] 2 DOA today in Sunnyvale

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 10:51:58 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40072 Message: 40073

Regarding the 1st one ....it was NOT the top story at the time ,
the Quadruple Shooting / 187 in East Palo Alto was :-)

As the the 2nd one , I dispatched it for IPN , but was kind of busy and
just didn't think to put it here.

Total of 4 dead then in the area since around 1700

1) the 187 in EPA
2) tracks in Sunnyvale
3) MVA on 101 near Marsh
4) MVA on 101 at Fair Oaks

nasty night

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40074. Traffic problem in Livermore (May 20)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 18:57:55 UTC
From: Jordan Hayes
Topic: 40074 Message: 40074

I'm surprised no one mentioned this:

http://www.airliners.net/open.file?id=849029

40075. Re: [bayscan] Traffic problem in Livermore (May 20)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 19:04:24 UTC
From: caladded@aol.com
Topic: 40074 Message: 40075

You would think Ben would have caught this one , since the guy probably just missed Bens' antennas' LOL

ED

[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]

40076. Re: [bayscan] Traffic problem in Livermore (May 20)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 19:51:54 UTC
From: Ben
Topic: 40074 Message: 40076

didn't come too far away 2 miles. Hmm I've always wanted to put a
blinking red light on top of one of my masts :-)

caladded@... wrote:

> You would think Ben would have caught this one , since the guy
>probably just missed Bens' antennas' LOL
>
>ED
>
>
>[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
>
>
>
>
>Yahoo! Groups Links
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

40077. Re: Traffic problem in Livermore (May 20)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 22:26:56 UTC
From: sixstrrzr
Topic: 40074 Message: 40077

I seem to remember seeing this (not hearing) on CHP CAD - I remember
chp "escort for plane on fway, no plane crash" - or something similar.

I believe it went down on a rural road, and the only way to get it
back to Livermore Air strip was via 580 West (non-commute direction,
as if THAT made a difference.)

- Geoff
Hayward, CA

--- In bayscan@yahoogroups.com, Ben <ben96cal@d...> wrote:
> didn't come too far away 2 miles. Hmm I've always wanted to put a
> blinking red light on top of one of my masts :-)
>
> caladded@a... wrote:
>
> > You would think Ben would have caught this one , since
the guy
> >probably just missed Bens' antennas' LOL
> >
> >ED
> >
> >
> >[Non-text portions of this message have been removed]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Yahoo! Groups Links
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

40078. Re: [bayscan] Re: Traffic problem in Livermore (May 20)

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-05-31 22:34:01 UTC
From: Evan Platt
Topic: 40074 Message: 40078

At 03:26 PM 5/31/2005, you wrote:
>I seem to remember seeing this (not hearing) on CHP CAD - I remember
>chp "escort for plane on fway, no plane crash" - or something similar.
>
>I believe it went down on a rural road, and the only way to get it
>back to Livermore Air strip was via 580 West (non-commute direction,
>as if THAT made a difference.)

Hey the plane going on the non commute freeway direction can make a
huge difference!

http://www.405themovie.com/ :)

Great 'movie'.

48212. RE: [bayscan] Headsup, possible exercies @ NASA Ames / New TGIDs

Yahoo group: bayscan (2005-01 — 2005-05)
Date: 2005-03-02 15:50:13 UTC
From: Matt Sparks
Topic: 48209 Message: 48212

Yup- just a rescue class hosted by the NASA Ames D.A.R.T. Team. The course was Structure Collapse Rescue and what you were listening to was the final evaluation drill. It takes place out at bldg 267, the DART traning center on the northern edge of the research center - you can see the site from the stevens creek trail near shoreline. This class draws rescue personnel from all over the nation, takes a whole week, and the group you were listening to was from alabama or georgia I think.
As for the new TG's, the Ames Trunked system has been re-organized a bit. I'll be able to get a list with the new ID names and post them possibly tomorrow.

Cheers!
Matt

-----Original Message-----
From: "b52hbuff2001"<b52hbuff@...>
Sent: 5/20/06 8:06:37 AM
To: "bayscan@yahoogroups.com"<bayscan@yahoogroups.com>
Subject: [bayscan] Headsup, possible exercies @ NASA Ames / New TGIDs

I was monitoring the NASA/Ames Trunked system. I have been hearing a
couple of new TGIDs.

02-102
02-104

These appear to have some sort of urban earthquake sar training.
There are folks trying to extricate survivors. Talks of morgues and
aftershocks. And then they break for dinner. They packed it in @
10pm last night.

There are many southern accents, so maybe someone is visiting for the
exercise?

I have also picked up 03-003, which sounds like it isn't related to
the exercies.

Yahoo! Groups Links